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#### Abstract

We study the stability of direct images by Frobenius morphisms. First, we compute the first Chern classes of direct images of vector bundles by Frobenius morphisms modulo rational equivalence up to torsions. Next, introducing the canonical filtrations, we prove that if $X$ is a nonsingular projective minimal surface of general type with semistable $\Omega_{X}^{1}$ with respect to the canonical line bundle $K_{X}$, then the direct images of line bundles on $X$ by Frobenius morphisms are semistable with respect to $K_{X}$.


1. Introduction. Let $k$ be an algebraically closed field of characteristic $p>0, X$ a nonsingular projective variety over $k$ of dimension $n, F=F_{X}$ the absolute Frobenius morphism of $X$, and $H$ a numerically positive divisor on $X$. A divisor $H$ on $X$ is called numerically positive if it is numerically effective and $H^{n}>0$. Then one can define the slope of a torsion free sheaf $\mathscr{E}$ on $X$ with respect to $H$ by

$$
\mu(\mathscr{E})=\frac{c_{1}(\mathscr{E}) H^{n-1}}{\operatorname{rk}(\mathscr{E})}
$$

where $c_{1}(\mathscr{E})$ is the first Chern class of $\mathscr{E}$ and $\operatorname{rk}(\mathscr{E})$ is the rank of $\mathscr{E}$. Then a torsion free sheaf $\mathscr{E}$ on $X$ is called semistable (resp. stable) with respect to $H$ if for all nonzero torsion free subsheaves $\mathscr{F}$ of $\mathscr{E}, \mu(\mathscr{F}) \leq \mu(\mathscr{E})($ resp. $\mu(\mathscr{F})<\mu(\mathscr{E}))$.

As for the semistability of Frobenius pull-backs of vector bundles, a lot of useful and important results have been obtained (see, for examples, [5], [8], [16]). On the other hand, Lange and Pauly proved recently the following theorem on the stability of Frobenius direct images of line bundles.

Theorem (Lange-Pauly [11]). Let $X$ be a nonsingular projective curve over $k$ of genus $g(X) \geq 2$ and $\mathscr{L}$ a line bundle on $X$. Then $F_{*} \mathscr{L}$ is stable.

Hence it is quite natural to consider the following question for higher dimensional cases:
Problem. Let $X$ be a nonsingular projective variety of general type over $k$ of dimension $n \geq 2$ and $\mathscr{E}$ a semistable vector bundle with respect to a numerically positive divisor $H$ on $X$. Then is $F_{*} \mathscr{E}$ semistable with respect to $H$ ?

[^0]It is well-known that the de Rham complex $\left(F_{*}\left(\Omega_{X}^{\bullet}\right), d\right)$ of $X$ plays an important role in the proof of Deligne and Illusie's theorem [2]. Hence it seems that our claim of the Problem might be useful in the studies, such as geography, Kodaira vanishing theorem or etc., of nonsingular projective varieties of general type in positive characteristic. In this paper, we shall prove the following theorems which give affirmative answers to the Problem when $X$ is a surface and $\mathscr{E}$ is a line bundle on $X$.

THEOREM 5.1. Let $X$ be a nonsingular projective surface over $k$, and let $H$ be a numerically positive divisor on $X$ such that $|m H|$ is base point free and it contains a nonsingular member for sufficiently large integers $m$. Assume that $K_{X} H>0$ and $\Omega_{X}^{1}$ is semistable with respect to $H$. Then $F_{*} \mathscr{L}$ is semistable with respect to $H$ for any line bundle $\mathscr{L}$ on $X$.
and
THEOREM 5.3. Let $X$ be a nonsingular projective surface over $k$, and let $H$ be a numerically positive divisor on $X$ such that $|m H|$ is base point free and it contains a nonsingular member for sufficiently large integers $m$. Assume that $K_{X} \equiv 0$ (numerically equivalent to 0 ) and $\Omega_{X}^{1}$ is semistable with respect to $H$. Then $F_{*} \mathscr{L}$ is semistable with respect to $H$ for any line bundle $\mathscr{L}$ on $X$.

As corollaries of Theorem 5.1, we obtain the following
Corollary 5.2. Let $X$ be a nonsingular projective minimal surface of general type over $k$. Assume that $\Omega_{X}^{1}$ is semistable with respect to $K_{X}$. Then $F_{*} \mathscr{L}$ is semistable with respect to $K_{X}$ for any line bundle $\mathscr{L}$ on $X$.

Further, we obtain the following on geography of minimal projective surface of general type in positive characteristic.

Corollary 6.1. Let $X$ be a nonsingular projective minimal surface of general type over $k$. Assume that $\Omega_{X}^{1}$ is semistable with respect to $K_{X}$.
(1) (Bogomolov's inequality) If $\Omega_{X}^{1}$ is strongly semistable, i.e., $\left(F^{k}\right)^{*}\left(\Omega_{X}^{1}\right)$ is semistable for every $k \in N$ with respect to $K_{X}$, then we have

$$
c_{1}^{2}(X) \leq 4 c_{2}(X)
$$

(2) If $\left(F^{k-1}\right)^{*}\left(\Omega_{X}^{1}\right)$ is semistable and $\left(F^{k}\right)^{*}\left(\Omega_{X}^{1}\right)$ is not semistable with respect to $K_{X}$ for a positive integer $k$, then we have

$$
c_{1}^{2}(X) \leq \frac{4 p^{2 k}}{p^{2 k}-(p-1)^{2}} c_{2}(X)
$$

In particular, we obtain that $c_{2}(X)>0$.
We introduced a natural filtration $W^{\bullet}=\left\{W^{i}\right\}(0 \leq i \leq n(p-1)+1)$ of $F^{*} F_{*}(\mathscr{E})$ called a canonical filtration of $F^{*} F_{*} \mathscr{E}$ (cf. Definition 3.1)

$$
F^{*} F_{*} \mathscr{E}=W^{0} \supset W^{1} \supset \cdots \supset W^{n(p-1)+1}=0
$$

as follows. Let $\varphi: F^{*} F_{*} \mathscr{O}_{X} \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{X}$ be the canonical surjective homomorphism and $I=$ $\operatorname{Ker}(\varphi)$ the kernel of $\varphi$. Since $F^{*} F_{*} \mathscr{E}$ is an $F^{*} F_{*} \mathscr{O}_{X}$ module, we define

$$
W^{i}=F^{*} F_{*} \mathscr{E} \cdot I^{i}, \quad 0 \leq i \leq n(p-1)+1 .
$$

This canonical filtration is also introduced by Joshi, Ramanan, Xia and Yu [8] and Sun [19] using the canonical connection $\nabla: F^{*} F_{*} \mathscr{E} \rightarrow F^{*} F_{*} \mathscr{E} \otimes \Omega_{X}^{1}$ of $F^{*} F_{*} \mathscr{E}$, which is the positive characteristic version of the Gauss-Manin connection [9]. It is easily seen that both filtrations coincide with each other by local calculations. The canonical filtration and canonical connection play an essential role in our proofs of Theorem 5.1 and Theorem 5.3.

The authors would like to express their sincere gratitude to Professor Kei-ichi Watanabe for pointing out Lange and Pauly's Theorem ([11]) to them and to the referee for the referee's comments.
2. A formula for first Chern classes of the direct images by Frobenius morphisms.

Let $X$ be a nonsingular projective variety of dimension $n$ over $k$ and $\mathscr{E}$ a vector bundle of rank $r$ on $X$. The aim of this section is to compute the first Chern class $c_{1}\left(F_{X * \mathscr{E}}\right)$ to determine its slope.

Theorem 2.1. Let $\mathscr{E}$ be a vector bundle on $X$ of rank $r$. Then

$$
c_{1}\left(F_{*} \mathscr{E}\right) \equiv \frac{p^{n}-p^{n-1}}{2} r K_{X}+p^{n-1} c_{1}(\mathscr{E}),
$$

where $\equiv$ denotes rational equivalence up to torsions and $K_{X}$ is the canonical divisor of $X$.
Proof. Applying Grothendieck-Riemann-Roch Theorem (cf. [4]) to $F: X \rightarrow X$, we obtain

$$
\operatorname{ch}\left(F_{*} \mathscr{E}\right) \cdot \operatorname{td}(X)=F_{*}(\operatorname{ch}(\mathscr{E}) \cdot \operatorname{td}(X))
$$

in the Chow ring $A(X) \boldsymbol{Q}$. Hence it follows that

$$
c_{1}\left(F_{*} \mathscr{E}\right)-\frac{1}{2} p^{n} r K_{X}=F_{*}\left(c_{1}(\mathscr{E})\right)-\frac{r}{2} F_{*} K_{X} .
$$

Since $F_{*} D=p^{n-1} D$ for every divisor $D$ of $X$, we obtain the desired formula.
REmARK 2.2. Kurano proved a similar formula by using Singular Riemann-Roch Theorem.

THEOREM 2.3 (Kurano [10]). Let $k$ be a perfect field, char $(k)=p>0$, and $X$ a normal algebraic variety of dimension $n$ over $k$. Then

$$
c_{1}\left(F_{*} \mathscr{O}_{X}\right)=\frac{p^{n}-p^{n-1}}{2} K_{X}
$$

in $A_{n-1}(X) Q$.
3. Canonical filtrations. In this section, we introduce a useful filtration on $F^{*} F_{*} \mathscr{E}$, where $\mathscr{E}$ is a vector bundle on $X$. Let $I$ be the kernel of the natural surjection $F^{*} F_{*} \mathscr{O}_{X} \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{X}$.

Since $F^{*} F_{*} \mathscr{O}_{X}$ is an $\mathscr{O}_{X}$-algebra, we obtain a descending filtration

$$
I^{0}:=F^{*} F_{*} \mathscr{O}_{X} \supset I^{1}:=I \supset I^{2} \supset I^{3} \supset \cdots
$$

on $F^{*} F_{*} \mathscr{O}_{X}$. Here we consider $F^{*} F_{*} \mathscr{O}_{X}$ as an $\mathscr{O}_{X}$-module from right. Further, we have a descending filtration on $F^{*} F_{*} \mathscr{E}$ :

$$
W^{0}=F^{*} F_{*} \mathscr{E} \supset W^{1}=F^{*} F_{*} \mathscr{E} \cdot I \supset \cdots \supset W^{i}=F^{*} F_{*} \mathscr{E} \cdot I^{i} \supset \cdots \supset W^{n(p-1)+1}=(0) .
$$

Definition 3.1. We call this filtration $W^{\bullet}$ (resp. $I^{\bullet}$ ) the canonical filtration on $F^{*} F_{*} \mathscr{E}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.F^{*} F_{*} \mathscr{O}_{X}\right)$.

REMARK 3.2. It is observed that the canonical filtration on $F^{*} F_{*} \mathscr{E}$ introduced in [8] and [19] by using the canonical connection $\nabla: F^{*} F_{*} \mathscr{E} \rightarrow F^{*} F_{*} \mathscr{E} \otimes \Omega_{X}^{1}$ (cf. [9]) coincides with our canonical filtration $W^{\bullet}=\left\{W^{i}=F^{*} F_{*} \mathscr{E} \cdot I^{i} \mid 0 \leq i \leq n(p-1)+1\right\}$ on $F^{*} F_{*} \mathscr{E}$.

Consider the following commutative diagram:

where $\varphi$ is the structure morphism of $X, \psi$ is the morphism induced from the map taking the $p$-th root of elements of $k$, and $p_{i}, p_{i}^{\prime}$ are natural projections. Then there exists the morphism $j$ in the diagram which is a closed immersion.

Let $J$ (resp. $I^{\prime}$ ) be the kernel of the natural surjection $\mathscr{O}_{X \times_{k} X} \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{X}$ (resp. $\mathscr{O}_{X \times_{X^{p}} X} \rightarrow$ $\left.\mathscr{O}_{X}\right)$. Then there exists the following commutative diagram with exact rows of sheaves on $X \times{ }_{k} X$ :


We have $I=p_{2 *}^{\prime} I^{\prime}$ because $F^{*} F_{*} \mathscr{O}_{X}=p_{2 *}^{\prime} \mathscr{O}_{X \times_{X^{p}} X}$ and $p_{2}^{\prime}=p_{2} \circ j$ is an affine morphism. Hence the morphism $J^{i} / J^{i+1}=S^{i}\left(\Omega_{X}^{1}\right) \rightarrow j_{*}\left(I^{i} / I^{i+1}\right) \cong I^{i} / I^{i+1}$ is surjective on $X$, where $\Omega_{X}^{1}$ is the vector bundle of regular differential forms of degree 1 .

Let $U=\operatorname{Spec} A \subset X$ be a nonempty affine open subset. Then the exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow I \rightarrow F^{*} F_{*} \mathscr{O}_{X} \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{X} \rightarrow 0
$$

is locally expressed in the following way:

$$
0 \rightarrow I \rightarrow A \otimes_{A^{p}} A \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0
$$

and $I=\langle a \otimes 1-1 \otimes a \mid a \in A\rangle A$. We consider $A \otimes_{A^{p}} A$ as an $A$-module from right. Let $\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\}$ be a regular system of parameters. For any element $a \in A$, write $a=$ $\sum_{0 \leq i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n} \leq p-1} a_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n}}^{p} x_{1}^{i_{1}} \cdots x_{n}^{i_{n}}$, where $a_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n}} \in A$ by shrinking $U$ sufficiently small if necessary. Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
a \otimes 1-1 \otimes a & =\sum a_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n}}^{p} x_{1}^{i_{1}} \cdots x_{n}^{i_{n}} \otimes 1-1 \otimes \sum a_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n}}^{p} x_{1}^{i_{1}} \cdots x_{n}^{i_{n}} \\
& =\sum\left(x_{1}^{i_{1}} \cdots x_{n}^{i_{n}} \otimes a_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n}}^{p}-1 \otimes a_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n}}^{p} x_{1}^{i_{1}} \cdots x_{n}^{i_{n}}\right) \\
& =\sum\left(x_{1}^{i_{1}} \cdots x_{n}^{i_{n}} \otimes 1-1 \otimes x_{1}^{i_{1}} \cdots x_{n}^{i_{n}}\right) a_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n}}^{p} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, $I=\left\langle x_{1}^{i_{1}} \cdots x_{n}^{i_{n}} \otimes 1-1 \otimes x_{1}^{i_{1}} \cdots x_{n}^{i_{n}} \mid 0 \leq i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n} \leq p-1\right\rangle A$ locally.
Let us put $\omega_{i}=x_{i} \otimes 1-1 \otimes x_{i}(1 \leq i \leq n)$ and for $\alpha=\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}\right), \beta=\left(\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{n}\right)$, $\left(0 \leq \alpha_{k}, \beta_{k} \leq p-1,1 \leq k \leq n\right)$ denote by $\beta \leq \alpha$ if $\beta_{k} \leq \alpha_{k}$ for all $k$.

LEmma 3.3. $x_{1}^{\alpha_{1}} \cdots x_{n}^{\alpha_{n}} \otimes 1-1 \otimes x_{1}^{\alpha_{1}} \cdots x_{n}^{\alpha_{n}}=\sum_{\beta \leq \alpha} \omega_{1}^{\beta_{1}} \cdots \omega_{n}^{\beta_{n}} a_{\beta_{1}, \cdots, \beta_{n}}$ for some $a_{\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{n}} \in A$.

Proof. We shall prove by induction on $|\alpha|=\alpha_{1}+\cdots+\alpha_{n}$. When $|\alpha|=1$, it is obvious by definition. Assume that we have

$$
x_{1}^{\alpha_{1}} \cdots x_{n}^{\alpha_{n}} \otimes 1-1 \otimes x_{1}^{\alpha_{1}} \cdots x_{n}^{\alpha_{n}}=\sum_{\beta \leq \alpha} \omega_{1}^{\beta_{1}} \cdots \omega_{n}^{\beta_{n}} a_{\beta_{1}, \cdots, \beta_{n}}
$$

for some $a_{\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{n}} \in A$. Multiplying by $\omega_{k}=x_{k} \otimes 1-1 \otimes x_{k}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\beta \leq \alpha} \omega_{1}^{\beta_{1}} \cdots \omega_{k}^{\beta_{k}+1} \cdots \omega_{n}^{\beta_{n}} a_{\beta_{1}, \cdots, \beta_{n}}= & \left(x_{k} \otimes 1-1 \otimes x_{k}\right)\left(x_{1}^{\alpha_{1}} \cdots x_{n}^{\alpha_{n}} \otimes 1-1 \otimes x_{1}^{\alpha_{1}} \cdots x_{n}^{\alpha_{n}}\right) \\
= & x_{1}^{\alpha_{1}} \cdots x_{k}^{\alpha_{k}+1} \cdots x_{n}^{\alpha_{n}} \otimes 1-1 \otimes x_{1}^{\alpha_{1}} \cdots x_{k}^{\alpha_{k}+1} \cdots x_{n}^{\alpha_{n}} \\
& +\left(1 \otimes x_{k}-x_{k} \otimes 1\right) x_{1}^{\alpha_{1}} \cdots x_{n}^{\alpha_{n}} \\
& +\left(1 \otimes x_{1}^{\alpha_{1}} \cdots x_{n}^{\alpha_{n}}-x_{1}^{\alpha_{1}} \cdots x_{n}^{\alpha_{n}} \otimes 1\right) x_{k} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{1}^{\alpha_{1}} \cdots x_{k}^{\alpha_{k}+1} \cdots x_{n}^{\alpha_{n}} \otimes 1-1 \otimes x_{1}^{\alpha_{1}} \cdots x_{k}^{\alpha_{k}+1} \cdots x_{n}^{\alpha_{n}} \\
& \quad=\sum_{\beta \leq \alpha} \omega_{1}^{\beta_{1}} \cdots \omega_{k}^{\beta_{k}+1} \cdots \omega_{n}^{\beta_{n}} a_{\beta_{1}, \cdots, \beta_{n}}+\sum_{\beta \leq \alpha} \omega_{1}^{\beta_{1}} \cdots \omega_{n}^{\beta_{n}} a_{\beta_{1}, \cdots, \beta_{n}} x_{k}+\omega_{k} x_{1}^{\alpha_{1}} \cdots x_{n}^{\alpha_{n}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, we see that $I=\left\langle\omega_{1}^{\alpha_{1}} \cdots \omega_{n}^{\alpha_{n}} \mid\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}\right) \neq(0)\right\rangle A$. In addition, we observe that
LEMMA 3.4. If $\sum_{\alpha} \omega_{1}^{\alpha_{1}} \cdots \omega_{n}^{\alpha_{n}} a_{\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}}=0, a_{\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}} \in A$, then $a_{\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}}=0$ for all $\alpha=\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}\right)$.

PROOF. Multiplying by $\omega_{1}^{p-2} \omega_{2}^{p-1} \cdots \omega_{n}^{p-1}$, we have

$$
\omega_{1}^{p-1} \omega_{2}^{p-1} \cdots \omega_{n}^{p-1} a_{10 \cdots 0}=0
$$

since $\omega_{i}^{p}=0$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =\omega_{1}^{p-1} \omega_{2}^{p-1} \cdots \omega_{n}^{p-1} a_{10 \cdots 0} \\
& =\sum_{\beta \leq(p-1, \cdots, p-1), \beta \neq 0}\left(x_{1}^{\beta_{1}} \cdots x_{n}^{\beta_{n}} \otimes 1-1 \otimes x_{1}^{\beta_{1}} \cdots x_{n}^{\beta_{n}}\right) b_{\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{n}} a_{10 \cdots 0}
\end{aligned}
$$

implies $a_{10 \ldots 0}=0$ because $\left\{x_{1}^{\beta_{1}} \cdots x_{n}^{\beta_{n}} \otimes 1 \mid 0 \leq \beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{n} \leq p-1\right\}$ is a free basis of $A \otimes_{A^{p}} A$ as an $A$-module. Iterating this procedure, we observe that $a_{\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}}=0$ for all $\alpha=\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}\right)$.

Therefore summing up the above, we obtain the following.
COROLLARY 3.5. (1) I is a free A-module with a basis $\left\{\omega_{1}^{\alpha_{1}} \cdots \omega_{n}^{\alpha_{n}} \mid\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}\right)\right.$ $\left.\neq 0,0 \leq \alpha_{k} \leq p-1,1 \leq k \leq n\right\}$.

$$
I=\bigoplus_{\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}\right)} \omega_{1}^{\alpha_{1}} \cdots \omega_{n}^{\alpha_{n}} A
$$

(2) $\quad I^{i} / I^{i+1}=\bigoplus_{\alpha_{1}+\cdots+\alpha_{n}=i} \omega_{1}^{\alpha_{1}} \cdots \omega_{n}^{\alpha_{n}} A$ for $0 \leq i \leq n(p-1)$.

Next, we will calculate the filtration for the case of curves or surfaces.
3.1. Curve case. Assume that $X$ is a curve. Let $x$ be a regular parameter and put $\omega:=x \otimes 1-1 \otimes x$. Then we have $I=\bigoplus_{1 \leq i \leq p-1} \omega^{i} A$ and $I^{i} / I^{i+1}=\omega^{i} A$.

Since $I^{i} / I^{i+1}$ is a line bundle on $X$, the surjection $J^{i} / J^{i+1}=K_{X}^{\otimes i} \rightarrow I^{i} / I^{i+1}$ is an isomorphism for $0 \leq i \leq p-1$. Hence we obtain

Proposition 3.6. Let $X$ be a nonsingular projective curve over $k$ and $I^{\bullet}$ the canonical filtration on $F^{*} F_{*} \mathscr{O}_{X}$. Then it follows that

$$
F^{*} F_{*} \mathscr{O}_{X} \supset I \supset I^{2} \supset \cdots \supset I^{p-1} \supset I^{p}=(0)
$$

and $I^{i} / I^{i+1}=K_{X}^{\otimes i}$ for $0 \leq i \leq p-1$.
3.2. Surface case. Assume that $X$ is a surface. Let $\{x, y\}$ be a regular system of parameters and let $\omega:=x \otimes 1-1 \otimes x$ and $\eta:=y \otimes 1-1 \otimes y$. Then we see that

$$
F^{*} F_{*} \mathscr{O}_{X} \supset I \supset I^{2} \supset \cdots \supset I^{2 p-2} \supset I^{2 p-1}=(0),
$$

Lemma 3.7. $\binom{p-1}{n}=(-1)^{n}$ in positive characteristic $p$.
Proof. It is obvious.
LEMMA 3.8. $I^{2 p-2} \cong K_{X}^{\otimes(p-1)}$.

Proof. $I^{2 p-2}$ is a line bundle on $X$ because $I^{2 p-2}=\omega^{p-1} \eta^{p-1} A$ locally. Let $\left\{x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right\}$ be a regular system of parameters of another open subset $V$. Let $\omega^{\prime}:=x^{\prime} \otimes 1-1 \otimes x^{\prime}$ and $\eta^{\prime}:=y^{\prime} \otimes 1-1 \otimes y^{\prime}$. Put $\omega=\omega^{\prime} a+\eta^{\prime} b+$ (higher terms) and $\eta=\omega^{\prime} c+\eta^{\prime} d+$ (higher terms) for $a, b, c, d \in \Gamma\left(U \cap V, \mathscr{O}_{X}\right)$ on the intersection $U \cap V$. Then since $\omega^{\prime p}=\eta^{\prime p}=0$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\omega^{p-1} \eta^{p-1} & =\sum_{0 \leq m, n \leq p-1} \omega^{\prime m+n} \eta^{\prime 2 p-2-(m+n)} \cdot\binom{p-1}{m}\binom{p-1}{n} a^{m} b^{p-1-m} c^{n} d^{p-1-n} \\
& =\omega^{\prime p-1} \eta^{\prime p-1} \sum_{0 \leq m \leq p-1}\binom{p-1}{m}\binom{p-1}{p-1-m}(a d)^{m}(b c)^{p-1-m}
\end{aligned}
$$

By Lemma 3.7, it turns out that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{0 \leq m \leq p-1}\binom{p-1}{m}\binom{p-1}{p-1-m}(a d)^{m}(b c)^{p-1-m} \\
& \quad=\sum\binom{p-1}{m}(a d)^{m}(-1)^{p-1-m}(b c)^{p-1-m} \\
& \quad=(a d-b c)^{p-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

This is the transition function of $K_{X}^{\otimes(p-1)}$.
If $i \leq p-1$, then $J^{i} / J^{i+1}$ and $I^{i} / I^{i+1}$ are vector bundles on $X$ of the same rank, and so it follows that $I^{i} / I^{i+1} \cong J^{i} / J^{i+1} \cong S^{i}\left(\Omega_{X}^{1}\right)$. On the other hand, there exists the following perfect pairing:

$$
\begin{aligned}
I^{i} / I^{i+1} \otimes \mathscr{O}_{X} I^{2 p-2-i} / I^{2 p-1-i} & \longrightarrow I^{2 p-2} / I^{2 p-1}=I^{2 p-2} \cong \omega_{X}^{\otimes(p-1)} \\
\omega^{*} \eta^{l} \otimes \omega^{k^{\prime}} \eta^{l^{\prime}} & \longmapsto
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, combining the above, we obtain
PROPOSITION 3.9. Let $X$ be a nonsingular projective surface over $k$ and $I^{\bullet}$ the canonical filtration on $F^{*} F_{*} \mathscr{O}_{X}$. Then it holds that

$$
F^{*} F_{*} \mathscr{O}_{X} \supset I \supset I^{2} \supset \cdots \supset I^{2 p-2} \supset I^{2 p-1}=(0)
$$

and

$$
I^{i} / I^{i+1}= \begin{cases}S^{i}\left(\Omega_{X}^{1}\right), & 0 \leq i \leq p-1 \\ K_{X}^{\otimes(i-p+1)} \otimes S^{2 p-2-i}\left(\Omega_{X}^{1}\right), & p \leq i \leq 2 p-2\end{cases}
$$

4. Canonical connections. Let $\mathscr{E}$ be a quasi-coherent sheaf on a nonsingular projective variety $X$ of dimension $n$. Then there exists a connection $\nabla: F^{*} \mathscr{E} \rightarrow F^{*} \mathscr{E} \otimes \Omega_{X}^{1}$, which is called the canonical connection (cf. [9]). This is locally written as

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
M \otimes_{A} A \longrightarrow M \otimes_{A} A \otimes_{A} \Omega_{A / k}^{1} \cong M \otimes_{A} \Omega_{A / k}^{1} \\
m \otimes f & \longmapsto
\end{array}
$$

where $A=\Gamma\left(U, \mathscr{O}_{X}\right)$ and $M=\Gamma(U, \mathscr{E})$ for an affine open subset $U$ of $X$. Here $A$ is considered as an $A$-module through Frobenius morphism. In particular, we get a connection on $F^{*} F_{*} \mathscr{O}_{X}$

$$
\nabla: F^{*} F_{*} \mathscr{O}_{X} \rightarrow F^{*} F_{*} \mathscr{O}_{X} \otimes \Omega_{X}^{1}
$$

Let $\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\}$ be a regular system of parameters on $U=\operatorname{Spec} A$ and $\omega_{i}=x_{i} \otimes 1-$ $1 \otimes x_{i}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Then we have by straightforward computation,
$\nabla\left(\omega_{1}^{\alpha_{1}} \cdots \omega_{n}^{\alpha_{n}} f\right)=\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(-\alpha_{k} \omega_{1}^{\alpha_{1}} \cdots \omega_{k}^{\alpha_{k}-1} \cdots \omega_{n}^{\alpha_{n}} f+\omega_{1}^{\alpha_{1}} \cdots \omega_{n}^{\alpha_{n}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{k}}\right) \otimes d x_{k}, \quad f \in A$.
5. Main results. Using the canonical filtrations (Proposition 3.9), we can prove the following theorem, which is a generalization of Lange and Pauly's Theorem to the surface case.

Theorem 5.1. Let $X$ be a nonsingular projective surface over $k$, and let $H$ be a numerically positive divisor on $X$ such that $|m H|$ is base point free and it contains a nonsingular member for sufficiently large integers $m$. Assume that $K_{X} H>0$ and $\Omega_{X}^{1}$ is semistable with respect to $H$. Then $F_{*} \mathscr{L}$ is semistable with respect to $H$ for any line bundle $\mathscr{L}$ on $X$.

Proof. Assuming that $F_{*} \mathscr{L}$ is not semistable with respect to $H$, we shall derive a contradiction. Let $S \subset F_{*} \mathscr{L}$ be a destabilizing subsheaf. Then $\mu(S)>\mu\left(F_{*} \mathscr{L}\right)=$ $((1-1 / p) / 2) K_{X} H+(1 / p) c_{1}(\mathscr{L}) H$.

Let $I^{\bullet}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.W^{\bullet}\right)$ be the canonical filtration of $F^{*} F_{*} \mathscr{O}_{X}$ (resp. $\left.F^{*} F_{*} \mathscr{L}\right)$.
By definition, we have $W^{i}:=F^{*} F_{*} \mathscr{L} \cdot I^{i}$ for $0 \leq i \leq 2 p-1$. Let $U=\operatorname{Spec} A \subset X$ be an affine open subset of $X$ and $M=\Gamma(U, \mathscr{L})$. Then $M \otimes_{A^{p}} A=M \otimes_{A}\left(A \otimes_{A^{p}} A\right)$ is an $\left(A \otimes_{A^{p}} A\right)$-module, and so $M \otimes_{A^{p}} A \cdot I^{i}=M \otimes_{A}\left(A \otimes_{A^{p}} A\right) \cdot I^{i}=M \otimes_{A} I^{i}$. Thus, considering $I^{i}$ as an $\mathscr{O}_{X}$-module from both sides, we observe that $W^{i}=F^{*} F_{*} \mathscr{L} \cdot I^{i} \cong \mathscr{L} \otimes_{\mathscr{O}_{X}} I^{i}$. Hence $W^{\bullet}$ is a filtration of $F^{*} F_{*} \mathscr{L}$ such that

$$
F^{*} F_{*} \mathscr{L} \supset W^{1} \supset W^{2} \supset \cdots \supset W^{2 p-2} \supset W^{2 p-1}=(0)
$$

and

$$
\operatorname{Gr}^{i}\left(W^{\bullet}\right)= \begin{cases}\mathscr{L} \otimes S^{i}\left(\Omega_{X}^{1}\right), & 0 \leq i \leq p-1  \tag{1}\\ \mathscr{L} \otimes K_{X}^{\otimes(i-p+1)} \otimes S^{2 p-2-i}\left(\Omega_{X}^{1}\right), & p \leq i \leq 2 p-2\end{cases}
$$

by Proposition 3.9.
Moreover, $F^{*} S \cap W^{\bullet}$ is a filtration of $F^{*} S$. Let $m$ be the integer such that $F^{*} S \cap W^{m} \neq$ (0) and $F^{*} S \cap W^{m+1}=(0)$.

Claim. $\quad F^{*} S \cap W^{i} \supsetneq F^{*} S \cap W^{i+1}$ for $0 \leq i \leq m$.
Indeed, it is trivial in the case $i=m$ by definition of $m$. Let $i<m$. Locally, we use the same notation of $\omega, \eta$ as in 3.2. Pick a nonzero element

$$
g \otimes\left(\sum_{k+l=i+1} \omega^{k} \eta^{l} f_{k l}+\theta\right) \in F^{*} S \cap W^{i+1}
$$

where $g \in \Gamma(U, \mathscr{L}), f_{k l} \in A$, and for some $f_{k_{0} l_{0}} \neq 0$ and $\theta \in I^{i+2}$. Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \nabla\left(g \otimes\left(\sum_{k+l=i+1} \omega^{k} \eta^{l} f_{k l}+\theta\right)\right) \\
& \quad=g \otimes \sum_{k+l=i+1}\left(-k \omega^{k-1} \eta^{l} f_{k l}+(\text { higher })\right) \otimes d x \\
& \quad+g \otimes \sum_{k+l=i+1}\left(-l \omega^{k} \eta^{l-1} f_{k l}+(\text { higher })\right) \otimes d y
\end{aligned}
$$

Since the restriction of $\nabla$ to $F^{*} S$ is a connection of $F^{*} S$, we see that $g \otimes \sum\left(-k \omega^{k-1} \eta^{l} f_{k l}+\right.$ (higher) $) \in F^{*} S \cap W^{i} \backslash F^{*} S \cap W^{i+1}$ and $g \otimes \sum\left(-l \omega^{k} \eta^{l-1} f_{k l}+\right.$ (higher) $) \in F^{*} S \cap W^{i} \backslash$ $F^{*} S \cap W^{i+1}$.

Therefore, $F^{*} S \cap W^{i} / F^{*} S \cap W^{i+1}$ is a nonzero subsheaf of $W^{i} / W^{i+1} \cong \mathscr{L} \otimes I^{i} / I^{i+1}$. Since $\Omega_{X}^{1}$ is semistable by assumption, $\mathrm{Gr}^{i}\left(W^{\bullet}\right)(0 \leq i \leq m)$ is semistable by above (1) and Ilangovan-Mehta-Parameswaran's Theorem ([7, 15]) and the restriction theorem (cf. [12, Corollary 5.4]). Hence we obtain

$$
\mu\left(F^{*} S \cap W^{i} / F^{*} S \cap W^{i+1}\right) \leq \mu\left(\mathscr{L} \otimes I^{i} / I^{i+1}\right)
$$

Let $r_{i}$ be the rank of $F^{*} S \cap W^{i} / F^{*} S \cap W^{i+1}$. Then it follows that

$$
\frac{\left(c_{1}\left(F^{*} S \cap W^{i}\right)-c_{1}\left(F^{*} S \cap W^{i+1}\right)\right) H}{r_{i}} \leq c_{1}(\mathscr{L}) H+\frac{i}{2} K_{X} H
$$

Summing up for all $i$, we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
c_{1}\left(F^{*} S\right) H \leq \sum_{i=0}^{m} r_{i} c_{1}(\mathscr{L}) H+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=0}^{m} i r_{i} K_{X} H, \\
\mu\left(F^{*} S\right)=\frac{c_{1}\left(F^{*} S\right) H}{\sum_{i=0}^{m} r_{i}} \leq c_{1}(\mathscr{L}) H+\frac{\sum i r_{i}}{2 \sum r_{i}} K_{X} H .
\end{gathered}
$$

On the other hand,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mu\left(F^{*} S\right)=p \cdot \mu(S) & >p \cdot\left(\frac{1-1 / p}{2} K_{X} H+\frac{1}{p} c_{1}(\mathscr{L}) H\right) \\
& =\frac{p-1}{2} K_{X} H+c_{1}(\mathscr{L}) H
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
(p-1) \sum_{i=0}^{m} r_{i}<\sum_{i=0}^{m} i r_{i} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, we may assume $m \geq p$ from the inequality (2).
Let $K=k(X)$ be the rational function field of $X$. Then we see that $W^{i} \otimes K=$ $\left\langle\omega^{\alpha} \eta^{\beta} \mid \alpha+\beta \geq i\right\rangle K$ and $W^{i} / W^{i+1} \otimes K=\left\langle\omega^{\alpha} \eta^{\beta} \mid \alpha+\beta=i\right\rangle K$. Let $\delta_{l}=\sum_{\alpha+\beta=i} \omega^{\alpha} \eta^{\beta} f_{\alpha \beta}^{(l)}$ $+($ higher $) \in\left(F^{*} S \cap W^{i}\right) \otimes K\left(f_{\alpha \beta}^{(l)} \in K\right)$ be a lifting of an element $\bar{\delta}_{l} \in\left(F^{*} S \cap W^{i}\right) \otimes$ $K /\left(F^{*} S \cap W^{i+1}\right) \otimes K$, and put $\varepsilon_{l}=\left(f_{\alpha \beta}^{(l)}\right) \in K^{\oplus(i+1)} \cong W^{i} / W^{i+1} \otimes K$. Then it is easily seen that $\left\{\varepsilon_{l} \mid 1 \leq l \leq r_{i}\right\}$ is linearly independent over $K$ if and only if $\left\{\bar{\delta}_{l} \mid 1 \leq l \leq r_{i}\right\}$ is linearly independent over $K$.

CLAIM. $\quad r_{i} \geq r_{2 p-2-i}$ for $1 \leq i \leq p-2$.
Indeed, let $\left\{\bar{\delta}_{l} \mid 1 \leq l \leq r_{2 p-2-i}\right\}$ be a basis of $\left(F^{*} S \cap W^{2 p-2-i}\right) \otimes K /\left(F^{*} S \cap W^{2 p-1-i}\right) \otimes$ $K$ and take $\left\{\delta_{l} \mid 1 \leq l \leq r_{2 p-2-i}\right\}$ a set of liftings of $\left\{\bar{\delta}_{l} \mid 1 \leq l \leq r_{2 p-2-i}\right\}$. Put $\delta_{l}=$ $\sum_{\alpha+\beta=2 p-2-i} \omega^{\alpha} \eta^{\beta} f_{\alpha \beta}^{(l)}+($ higher $) \in\left(F^{*} S \cap W^{2 p-2-i}\right) \otimes K$ and $\varepsilon_{l}=\left(f_{\alpha \beta}^{(l)}\right) \in K^{\oplus(i+1)}$. Then we see that $\left\{\varepsilon_{l} \mid 1 \leq l \leq r_{2 p-2-i}\right\}$ is linearly independent over $K$. On the other hand, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\nabla\left(\delta_{l}\right)= & \sum_{\alpha+\beta=2 p-2-i}\left(-\alpha \omega^{\alpha-1} \eta^{\beta} f_{\alpha \beta}^{(l)}+(\text { higher })_{x}\right) \otimes d x \\
& +\sum_{\alpha+\beta=2 p-2-i}\left(-\beta \omega^{\alpha} \eta^{\beta-1} f_{\alpha \beta}^{(l)}+(\text { higher })_{y}\right) \otimes d y .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, if we put

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \nabla_{x}\left(\delta_{l}\right)=\sum_{\alpha+\beta=2 p-2-i}\left(-\alpha \omega^{\alpha-1} \eta^{\beta} f_{\alpha \beta}^{(l)}+(\text { higher })_{x}\right), \\
& \nabla_{y}\left(\delta_{l}\right)=\sum_{\alpha+\beta=2 p-2-i}\left(-\beta \omega^{\alpha} \eta^{\beta-1} f_{\alpha \beta}^{(l)}+(\text { higher })_{y}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

then we see that $\nabla_{x}\left(\delta_{l}\right)$ and $\nabla_{y}\left(\delta_{l}\right)$ are contained in $\left(F^{*} S \cap W^{2 p-3-i}\right) \otimes K$. Further, it follows that $\left\{\overline{\nabla_{x}\left(\delta_{l}\right)} \mid 1 \leq l \leq r_{2 p-2-i}\right\}$ is linearly independent over $K$, where $\overline{\nabla_{x}\left(\delta_{l}\right)}$ is the residue class of $\nabla_{x}\left(\delta_{l}\right)$ in $\left(F^{*} S \cap W^{2 p-3-i}\right) \otimes K /\left(F^{*} S \cap W^{2 p-2-i}\right) \otimes K$, since $\left\{\varepsilon_{x l} \mid 1 \leq l \leq r_{2 p-2-i}\right\}$ is linearly independent over $K$, where $\varepsilon_{x l}=\left(-\alpha f_{\alpha \beta}^{(l)}\right) \in K^{\oplus(i+2)}$. Similarly, it turns out that $\left\{\overline{\nabla_{y}\left(\delta_{l}\right)} \mid 1 \leq l \leq r_{2 p-2-i}\right\}$ is linearly independent over $K$. Thus, iterating these operations $2(p-1-i)$-times, we observe that $\left\{\overline{\nabla_{y}^{p-1-i} \nabla_{x}^{p-1-i}\left(\delta_{l}\right)} \mid 1 \leq l \leq r_{2 p-2-i}\right\}$ is linearly independent over $K$, where $\overline{\nabla_{y}^{p-1-i} \nabla_{x}^{p-1-i}\left(\delta_{l}\right)}$ is the residue class of $\nabla_{y}^{p-1-i} \nabla_{x}^{p-1-i}\left(\delta_{l}\right)$ in $\left(F^{*} S \cap W^{i}\right) \otimes K /\left(F^{*} S \cap W^{i+1}\right) \otimes K$. Therefore we get

$$
r_{i} \geq r_{2 p-2-i}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq p-2 .
$$

Hence we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
(p-1) & \sum_{i=0}^{m} r_{i}-\sum_{i=0}^{m} i r_{i} \\
& =\left((p-1) \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} r_{i}+(p-1) \sum_{j=p}^{m} r_{j}\right)-\left(\sum_{i=0}^{p-1} i r_{i}+\sum_{j=p}^{m} j r_{j}\right) \\
& =\sum_{i=0}^{p-1}(p-1-i) r_{i}+\sum_{j=2 p-2-m}^{p-2}(p-1-(2 p-2-j)) r_{2 p-2-j} \\
& \geq \sum_{i=0}^{p-1}(p-1-i) r_{i}+\sum_{j=2 p-2-m}^{p-2}(j-(p-1)) r_{j} \\
& =\sum_{i=0}^{2 p-3-m}(p-1-i) r_{i} \geq 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

This contradicts the inequality (2).
In particular, we obtain the following from Theorem 5.1.
Corollary 5.2. Let $X$ be a nonsingular projective minimal surface of general type over $k$. Assume that $\Omega_{X}^{1}$ is semistable with respect to $K_{X}$. Then $F_{*} \mathscr{L}$ is semistable with respect to $K_{X}$ for any line bundle $\mathscr{L}$ on $X$.

Proof. We see by [3] that $\left|m K_{X}\right|(m \geq 5)$ is base point free and it contains a nonsingular member.

Using the canonical filtrations, we can also prove a similar result in the case that $K_{X}$ is numerically trivial.

THEOREM 5.3. Let $X$ be a nonsingular projective surface over $k$, and let $H$ be a numerically positive divisor on $X$ such that $|m H|$ is base point free and it contains a nonsingular member for sufficiently large integers $m$. Assume that $K_{X} \underset{\text { num }}{\equiv} 0$ and $\Omega_{X}^{1}$ is semistable with respect to $H$. Then $F_{*} \mathscr{L}$ is semistable with respect to $H$ for any line bundle $\mathscr{L}$ on $X$.

Proof. Consider the canonical filtration $W^{\bullet}$ as in the proof of Theorem 5.1. Since $K_{X} \underset{\text { num }}{\equiv} 0$, the graded components of $W^{\bullet}$ have the same slope $c_{1}(\mathscr{L}) H$. Hence $F^{*} F_{*} \mathscr{L}$ is semistable, since it is an extension of semistable vector bundles with the same slope $c_{1}(\mathscr{L}) H$ and so $F_{*} \mathscr{L}$ is semistable.

EXAMPLE 5.4 (cf. Noma [14]). If $X \subset \boldsymbol{P}^{3}$ is a general surface of degree $d \geq 4$, we can prove $\Omega_{X}^{1}$ is strongly stable, i.e., $\left(F^{k}\right)^{*} \Omega_{X}^{1}$ is stable for every $k \in N$, with respect to any ample divisor $H$. So $X$ satisfies the conditions of above theorems.

Proof. Let $\mathscr{L}$ be a sub-line bundle of $\left(F^{k}\right)^{*} \Omega_{X}^{1}$. By Noether's Theorem (cf. [1]), $\operatorname{Pic}\left(\boldsymbol{P}^{3}\right) \cong Z \rightarrow \operatorname{Pic}(X)$ is an isomorphism. So write $\mathscr{L}=\mathscr{O}_{X}(m)$ for some integer $m$.

Consider the following commutative diagram with exact rows and columns:


Since $H^{1}\left(X, \mathscr{O}_{X}\left(-p^{k} d-m\right)\right)=0$, the extension $Q$ splits as $Q \cong \mathscr{O}_{X}\left(-p^{k} d\right) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{X}(m)$. There is the exact sequence:

$$
\left.0 \rightarrow\left(F^{k}\right)^{*} \Omega_{\boldsymbol{P}^{3}}^{1}\right|_{X} \rightarrow \stackrel{4}{\bigoplus} \mathscr{O}_{X}\left(-p^{k}\right) \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{X} \rightarrow 0
$$

So the composition

$$
\left.Q \cong \mathscr{O}_{X}\left(-p^{k} d\right) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{X}(m) \hookrightarrow\left(F^{k}\right)^{*} \Omega_{\boldsymbol{P}^{3}}^{1}\right|_{X} \hookrightarrow \stackrel{4}{\bigoplus} \mathscr{O}_{X}\left(-p^{k}\right)
$$

is an injection. If $m \geq 0$, then this map cannot be an injection. So $m<0$. Therefore, $\mu(\mathscr{L})=m H^{2}<0$. On the other hand, $\mu\left(\left(F^{k}\right)^{*} \Omega_{X}^{1}\right)=p^{k} K_{X} H / 2 \geq 0$, since $X$ is of degree $d \geq 4$. Thus $\Omega_{X}^{1}$ is strongly stable with respect to $H$.

REMARK 5.5. Using the canonical filtrations in the curve case, we can also prove the following.
(1) $F_{*} \mathscr{O}_{X} \otimes F_{*} \mathscr{O}_{X}$ is not semistable, whereas $F_{*} \mathscr{O}_{X}$ is stable for nonsingular curves $X$ with genus $\geq 2$ ([5]).
(2) The following generalization of Lange and Pauly's Theorem ([11]) by applying the arguments in Theorem 5.1 to the curve case.

Theorem 5.6 (Mehta-Pauly [13], Sun [19]). Let $X$ be a nonsingular projective curve over $k$ of genus $g(X) \geq 2$ and $\mathscr{E}$ a stable (resp. semistable) vector bundle on $X$. Then $F_{*} \mathscr{E}$ is stable (resp. semistable).

REMARK 5.7. Under the assumption of Theorem 5.1, we see that the canonical filtration $W^{\bullet}$ on $F^{*} F_{*} \mathscr{L}$ is the Harder-Narasimhan filtration of $F^{*} F_{*} \mathscr{L}$. In fact, it follows from Proposition 3.9 that $W^{i} / W^{i+1}=\mathscr{L} \otimes I^{i} / I^{i+1}$ is semistable with respect to $H$ and the slope $\mu\left(W^{i} / W^{i+1}\right)=c_{1}(\mathscr{L}) H+(i / 2) K_{X} H$ for $0 \leq i \leq 2(p-1)$.
6. An application. In this section, we show an application to the geography of nonsingular projective minimal surfaces of general type.

THEOREM 6.1. Let $X$ be a nonsingular projective minimal surface of general type over $k$. Assume that $\Omega_{X}^{1}$ is semistable with respect to $K_{X}$.
(1) (Bogomolov's inequality) If $\Omega_{X}^{1}$ is strongly semistable, i.e., $\left(F^{k}\right)^{*} \Omega_{X}^{1}$ is semistable for every $k \in N$, with respect to $K_{X}$, then we have

$$
c_{1}^{2}(X) \leq 4 c_{2}(X)
$$

(2) If $\left(F^{k-1}\right)^{*} \Omega_{X}^{1}$ is semistable with respect to $K_{X}$ and $\left(F^{k}\right)^{*} \Omega_{X}^{1}$ is not semistable with respect to $K_{X}$ for a positive integer $k$, then we have

$$
c_{1}^{2}(X) \leq \frac{4 p^{2 k}}{p^{2 k}-(p-1)^{2}} c_{2}(X)
$$

In particular, we obtain $c_{2}(X)>0$.
Proof. (1) is well-known. We shall prove (2).
Assuming $\mathscr{O}_{X}(L)$ is a maximal destabilizing subsheaf of $\left(F^{k}\right)^{*} \Omega_{X}^{1}$, consider the following exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{X}(L) \rightarrow\left(F^{k}\right)^{*} \Omega_{X}^{1} \rightarrow I_{Z} \otimes \mathscr{O}_{X}(M) \rightarrow 0
$$

where $I_{Z}$ is the ideal of a 0 -dimensional closed subscheme $Z$ of $X$. Then we have a nonzero map $\left(F^{k-1}\right)^{*} \Omega_{X}^{1} \rightarrow F_{*} \mathscr{O}_{X}(M)$ by the adjoint of $\left(F^{k}\right)^{*} \Omega_{X}^{1} \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{X}(M)$. Since $\left(F^{k-1}\right)^{*} \Omega_{X}^{1}$ and $F_{*} \mathscr{O}_{X}(M)$ are semistable by Corollary 5.2, it follows that $\mu\left(\left(F^{k-1}\right)^{*} \Omega_{X}^{1}\right) \leq$ $\mu\left(F_{*} \mathscr{O}_{X}(M)\right)$. Thus, we obtain

$$
\frac{p^{k}}{2} K_{X}^{2}<L K_{X} \leq \frac{p^{k}+p-1}{2} K_{X}^{2}
$$

by Theorem 2.1. Moreover, we have $0 \leq \operatorname{deg}(Z)=c_{2}\left(\mathscr{O}_{X}(-L) \otimes\left(F^{k}\right)^{*} \Omega_{X}^{1}\right)=p^{2 k} c_{2}(X)-$ $p^{k} K_{X} L+L^{2}=p^{2 k} c_{2}(X)-M L$.

Assume $L^{2} \leq 0$. In this case, since we have $L^{2}=p^{k} K_{X} L-M L \leq 0$ and $M L \leq$ $p^{2 k} c_{2}(X)$, we obtain

$$
K_{X}^{2}<2 c_{2}(X)
$$

Assume $M^{2} \leq 0$. Then since $M^{2}=p^{k} K_{X} M-L M \leq 0$, it turns out that $p^{k} K_{X} M \leq$ $L M \leq p^{2 k} c_{2}(X)$. On the other hand, we have $M K_{X}=p^{k} K_{X}^{2}-L K_{X} \geq\left(p^{k}-p+1 / 2\right) K_{X}^{2}$. Hence it follows that

$$
K_{X}^{2} \leq \frac{2 p^{2 k}}{p^{k}-p+1} c_{2}(X)
$$

Assume that $L^{2}>0$ and $M^{2}>0$. Then we have $L^{2} M^{2} \leq(L M)^{2}$ by the Hodge index theorem. Since $L^{2} M^{2}=\left(p^{k} K_{X} L-M L\right)\left(p^{k} K_{X} M-M L\right)$, it follows that $\left(K_{X} L\right)\left(K_{X} M\right) \leq$ $K_{X}^{2}(L M) \leq p^{2 k} K_{X}^{2} c_{2}(X)$. Since $\left(K_{X} L\right)\left(K_{X} M\right)=\left(p^{k} K_{X}^{2}-K_{X} M\right)\left(K_{X} M\right) \geq\left(p^{k} K_{X}^{2}-\right.$ $\left.\left(p^{k}-p+1 / 2\right) K_{X}^{2}\right)\left(\left(p^{k}-p+1 / 2\right) K_{X}^{2}\right)$, we obtain

$$
K_{X}^{2} \leq \frac{4 p^{2 k}}{p^{2 k}-(p-1)^{2}} c_{2}(X)
$$

Combining the above, we get the claim.

REmARK 6.2. In the case that $\Omega_{X}^{1}$ is semistable with respect to $K_{X}$, Shepherd-Barron $[16,17]$ gave a better inequality than ours by different arguments.
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