

# NIH Public Access

Author Manuscript

Angew Chem Int Ed Engl. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2012 October 24

# Published in final edited form as:

Angew Chem Int Ed Engl. 2011 October 24; 50(44): 10327-10329. doi:10.1002/anie.201104305.

# Capture and Visualization of Hydrogen Sulfide via A Fluorescent Probe\*\*

Chunrong Liu, Jia Pan, Sheng Li, Yu Zhao, Lisa Y. Wu, Clifford E. Berkman, A. Richard Whorton, and Ming Xian<sup>\*</sup>

Department of Chemistry Washington State University Pullman, WA 99164, USA

Department of Pharmacology & Cancer Biology Duke University Medical Center Durham, NC 27710, USA

### Keywords

hydrogen sulfide; fluorescence; aromatic compd.; acylation

Hydrogen sulfide (H<sub>2</sub>S) has been known as a toxic pollutant for years. However, this molecule has been recently recognized as the third gaseous transmitter (the other two are nitric oxide and carbon monoxide).<sup>[1–3]</sup> The production of H<sub>2</sub>S in mammalian systems has been attributed to at least three endogenous enzymes:<sup>[4–7]</sup> cystathionine  $\beta$ -synthase (CBS), cystathionine  $\gamma$ -lyase (CSE), and 3-mercaptopyruvate sulfur-transferase (MPST). These enzymes use cysteine or cysteine derivatives as substrates and convert them into H<sub>2</sub>S within different organs and tissues. In addition to these enzymatic pathways, there are also a range of comparably simple chemical events which may liberate H<sub>2</sub>S from the intracellular pool of `labile' sulfur, for instance from the `sulfane sulfur' pool (compounds containing sulfur atoms bound only to other sulfur atoms).<sup>[8]</sup> The production of endogenous H<sub>2</sub>S and exogenous administration of H<sub>2</sub>S have been demonstrated to exert protective effects in many pathologies. For example, H<sub>2</sub>S has been shown to relax vascular smooth muscle, induce vasodilation of isolated blood vessels, and reduce blood pressure. H<sub>2</sub>S can also inhibit leukocyte adherence in mesenteric microcirculation during vascular inflammation in rats, suggesting H<sub>2</sub>S is a potent anti-inflammatory molecule. Additionally, it has become evident that H<sub>2</sub>S is a potent antioxidant and, under chronic conditions, can up-regulate antioxidant defense. Despite the rising interest in H<sub>2</sub>S research, fundamental questions regarding regulation of its production, its mechanism of action, and its destruction remain. A critical debate in the field involves the biologically relevant levels of H<sub>2</sub>S as current reports varying over 10<sup>5</sup>-fold concentration range.<sup>[9–12]</sup> Obviously, accurate and reliable measurement of H<sub>2</sub>S concentrations in biological samples is needed and can provide useful information to understand the function of H<sub>2</sub>S. Currently the major methods for H<sub>2</sub>S detection are colorimetric and electrochemical assays, gas chromatography, and sulfide precipitation.<sup>[12–16]</sup> These methods often require complicate sample processing. Given the high reactivity of  $H_2S$ , these methods can yield variable results.<sup>[9–12]</sup> Fluorescence based assays could be useful in this field due to the high sensitivity and convenience. However, fluorescence method for H<sub>2</sub>S detection, especially for real-time detection in biological

<sup>\*\*</sup>We thank Prof. Jeanne McHale for her help with fluorescence measurement. This work is supported in part by NIH (R01GM088226 to M.X.).

<sup>\*</sup>Fax: (+01) 509-335-8867 mxian@wsu.edu.

Supporting information for this article is available on the WWW under http://www.angewandte.org or from the author.

We envisioned that H<sub>2</sub>S is a reactive nucleophile in biological systems which can participate in nucleophilic substitution. In order to selectively detect  $H_2S$ , the key is to differentiate  $H_2S$ from other biological nucleophiles, especially thiols such as cysteine and glutathione. Theoretically, H<sub>2</sub>S can be considered as a non-substituted thiol. It can undergo nucleophilic reaction two times, while other thiols like cysteine are mono-substituted thiols which can only undergo nucleophilic reaction one time. Based on this property, we expected that compounds containing bis-electrophilic enters could be useful reagents for H<sub>2</sub>S detection. As shown in Scheme 1, H<sub>2</sub>S should react with the most electrophilic component of a fluorescent probe like A to form a free SH containing intermediate A1. If another electrophile is presented at suitable position, like the ester group shown in A1, the SH group should undergo a spontaneous cyclization to release the fluorophore and form product **B**. This strategy not only can capture  $H_2S$  as a stable and analyzable product **B**, but also will allow us to visualize H<sub>2</sub>S-related signal via convenient and sensitive fluorescence measurement. We envisioned that substrate A could also react with biological thiols like cysteine. However, the product A2 should not undergo the cyclization to release the fluorophore. Therefore, the fluorescent signal should be selective only for H<sub>2</sub>S.

With this idea in mind, we designed a reactive disulfide-containing probe (compound 1). This compound was prepared from thiosalicylic acid 2 in two steps using the procedure shown in Scheme 2. The fluorescence property of this probe was tested in aqueous PBS buffer solution (pH 7.4). Compound 1 (fluorescence q uantum yield:  $\Phi = 0.003$ ) adopted a closed lactone conformation and exhibited no absorption features in the visible region (supporting information). We found that probe 1 reacted rapidly with H<sub>2</sub>S to generate fluorophore 6 ( $\Phi = 0.392$ ) and benzodithiolone 7 in good yields (Scheme 3). In these experiments, NaHS was used as the equivalent of H<sub>2</sub>S. It is known that in aqueous state under the physiological pH of 7.4, the major form of H<sub>2</sub>S exists as HS<sup>-</sup>; the ratio of HS<sup>-/</sup> H<sub>2</sub>S is ~3:1.<sup>[9]</sup>

As shown in Figure 1, the reaction of **1** with  $H_2S$  yielded significant fluorescence signal. Control experiments using cysteine or glutathione did not lead to any fluorescence increase. As expected, when  $H_2S$  and thiols like GSH co-existed, we still observed strong fluorescence. These results demonstrated that **1** was a selective fluorescent probe for  $H_2S$ .

The turn-on responses of 1 to  $H_2S$  and other biological thiols were also measured by a spectrofluorometer. As indicated in Figure 2, the fluorescence intensity of 1 increased dramatically (50~60 fold) if  $H_2S$  was presented in the solution (even when  $H_2S$  and other thiols were presented together). In addition, the maximum intensity was reached in 1 hour, which suggested the reaction was fast.

To demonstrate the efficiency of probe 1 in the measurement of  $H_2S$  concentration, 1 was treated with  $H_2S$  under a series of different concentrations in order to obtain a standard curve of emission intensity versus  $H_2S$  concentration. The concentration of compound 1 was maintained at 100  $\mu$ M, while the concentrations of NaHS varied from 0 to 10  $\mu$ M. As shown in figure 3, the fluorescent signal was indeed linearly related to the concentration of NaHS in such concentration range. These results demonstrated that probe 1 could detect  $H_2S$  both qualitatively and quantitatively.

Next, we used plasma to investigate the potential of probe 1 for use in the detection of  $H_2S$  in complex systems. Bovine plasma containing NaHS at different concentrations (0, 50, 100, and 500  $\mu$ M) were prepared first. These concentrations were within the range of those which

Angew Chem Int Ed Engl. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2012 October 24.

have been used to elicit physiological responses of  $H_2S$  (10–600  $\mu$ M).<sup>[19–22]</sup> These plasma solutions were then diluted and incubated with probe **1**. After 1 hour, the mixture was diluted again with PBS buffer and fluorescence signals were measured. As expected, strong fluorescence was observed in plasma solutions in the presence of NaHS (Figure 4). We noticed that the fluorescence intensity response to certain  $H_2S$  concentration obtained in plasma was lower than the signal obtained in pure buffer solutions. This is likely due to the fact that  $H_2S$  can be quickly scavenged by proteins present in plasma.<sup>[18]</sup> Nevertheless we conclude that probe **1** can be used for the selective detection of  $H_2S$  in complex biological systems like plasma.

We also used cultured COS7 cells to investigate the potential of 1 for use in the detection of  $H_2S$  in cells. As shown in Figure 5, COS7 cells were incubated with compound 1 (100  $\mu$ M) for 30 min and we did not observe any fluorescent cells. Strong fluorescence in the cells was induced after treatment with sodium sulfide (250  $\mu$ M). Thus we conclude that probe 1 can be used for the detection of  $H_2S$  in cultured cells.

In summary, we reported in this study a  $H_2S$ -mediated benzodithiolone formation under mild conditions. This reaction proved to be selective for  $H_2S$  and it did not proceed with other biological thiols such as cysteine and glutathione. Based on this reaction, a fluorescent probe, i.e. compound **1**, was developed for the detection of  $H_2S$ . The efficiency of this probe was demonstrated in aqueous buffers and plasma, as well as in cells. Using this strategy, the concentration of  $H_2S$  can not only be measured by the fluorescence signal, but also be assessed from the analysis of the benzodithiolone product. We are now actively pursuing more specific  $H_2S$  fluorescent probes based on this new benzodithiolone formation and related reactions.


### Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.


# References

- [1]. Li L, Rose P, Moore PK. Annu. Rev. Pharmacol. Toxicol. 2011; 51:169–187. [PubMed: 21210746]
- [2]. Szabo C. Nat. Rev. Drug Discov. 2007; 6:917–935. [PubMed: 17948022]
- [3]. Lowicka E, Beltowski J. Pharmacol. Rep. 2007; 59:4–24. [PubMed: 17377202]
- [4]. Kimura H. Amino Acids. 2011; 41:113-121. [PubMed: 20191298]
- [5]. Stipanuk MH, Ueki I. J. Inherit. Metab. Dis. 2011; 34:17–32. [PubMed: 20162368]
- [6]. Whiteman M, Moore PK. J. Cell. Mol. Med. 2009; 13:488-507. [PubMed: 19374684]
- [7]. Leffler CW, Parfenova H, Jaggar JH, Wang R, R. J. Appl. Physiol. 2006; 100:1065–1076. [PubMed: 16467393]
- [8]. Jacob C, Anwar A, Burkholz T. Planta Med. 2008; 74:1580–1592. [PubMed: 18937169]
- [9]. Kabil O, Banerjee R. J. Biol. Chem. 2010; 285:21903-21907. [PubMed: 20448039]
- [10]. Olson KR. Biochim. Biophys. Acta. 2009; 1787:856–863. [PubMed: 19361483]
- [11]. Furne J, Saeed A, Levitt MD. Am. J. Physiol. Regul. Integr. Comp. Physiol. 2008; 295:R1479– R1485. [PubMed: 18799635]
- [12]. a) Han Y, Qin J, Chang X, Yang Z, Du Z. Cell. Mol. Neurobiol. 2006; 26:101–107. [PubMed: 16633905] b) Ubuka T. Analyt. Technol. Biomed. Life Sci. 2002; 781:227–249.c) Warenycia MW, Goodwin LR, Benishin CG, Reiffenstein RJ, Francom DM, Taylor JD, Dieken FP. Biochem. Pharmacol. 1989; 38:973–981. [PubMed: 2930598]
- [13]. Tangerman A. J. Chromatogr. B. 2009; 877:3366-3377.
- [14]. Ubuka T. J. Chromatogr. B. 2002; 781:227-249.


- [16]. Nagata T, Kage S, Kimura K, Kudo K, Noda M. J. Forensic. Sci. 1990; 35:706–712. [PubMed: 2348184]
- [17]. a) Dasgupta PK, Zhang G, Li J, Boring CB, Jambunathan S, Al-Horr R. Anal. Chem. 1999;
  71:1400–1407. [PubMed: 21662963] b) Toda K, Dasgupta PK, Li J, Tarver GA, Zarus GM.
  Anal. Chem. 2001; 73:5716–5724. [PubMed: 11774912] c) Trettnak W, Wolfbeis OS. Fresenius'
  J. Anal. Chem. 1987; 326:547–550.d) Wolfbeis OS, Trettnak W. Spectrochimica Acta A. 1987;
  43A:405–408.
- [18]. Shen X, Pattillo CB, Pardue S, Bir SC, Wang R, Kevil CG. Free Radic. Biol. Med. 2011; 50:1021–1031. [PubMed: 21276849]
- [19]. A fluorescent probe of H<sub>2</sub>S was recently reported: Lippert AR, New EJ, Chang CJ. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011; 133:10078–10080. [PubMed: 21671682]
- [20]. Yang G, Wu L, Jiang B, Yang B, Qi J, Cao K, Meng Q, Mustafa AK, Mu W, Zhang S, Snyder SH, Wang R. Science. 2008; 322:587–590. [PubMed: 18948540]
- [21]. Papapetropoulos A, Pyriochou A, Altaany Z, Yang G, Marazioti A, Zhou Z, Jeschke MG, Branski LK, Herndon DN, Wang R, Szabo C. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA. 2009; 106:21972– 21977. [PubMed: 19955410]
- [22]. Abe K, Kimura H. J. Neurosci. 1996; 16:1066–1071. [PubMed: 8558235]




Scheme 1. Proposed fluorescent turn-on strategy




Scheme 2. Synthesis of fluorescent probe 1






#### Figure 1

. Fluorescent images of probe 1: a) 1 only (100  $\mu$ M), b) NaHS only (50  $\mu$ M), c) 1 (100  $\mu$ M) + NaHS (50  $\mu$ M); d) 1 (100  $\mu$ M) + cysteine (50  $\mu$ M); e) 1 (100  $\mu$ M) + glutathione (50  $\mu$ M), f) 1 (100  $\mu$ M) + glutathione (50  $\mu$ M) + NaHS (50  $\mu$ M), in a mixture of PBS buffer (pH 7.4 mM) and CH<sub>3</sub>CN (9:1).




#### Figure 2.

Fluorescence response of probe 1 toward H<sub>2</sub>S and other thiols. 1) 1 only (100  $\mu$ M), 2) 1 (100  $\mu$ M) + NaHS (50  $\mu$ M); 3) 1 (100  $\mu$ M) + cysteine (50  $\mu$ M); 4) 1 (100  $\mu$ M) + glutathione (50  $\mu$ M), 5) 1 (100  $\mu$ M) + glutathione (50  $\mu$ M) + NaHS (50  $\mu$ M); measured in a mixture of PBS buffer (pH 7.4) and CH<sub>3</sub>CN (9:1),  $\lambda_{ex}$  465 nm, 25 °C.






Linear correlation of fluorescent intensity toward  $H_2S$  concentration. NaHS concentration: 0, 1, 2.5, 5, 7.5, 10  $\mu$ M.



#### Figure 4.

Fluorescence response of probe 1 to  $H_2S$  in plasma. 1) probe 1 only, 2) probe 1 + NaHS (50  $\mu M^a$ , 2  $\mu M^b$ ), 3) probe 1 + NaHS (100  $\mu M^a$ , 4  $\mu M^b$ ), 4) probe 1 + NaHS (500  $\mu M^a$ , 21  $\mu M^b$ ). <sup>a</sup>original concentration in plasma, <sup>b</sup>diluted concentration when fluorescence was recorded.

Angew Chem Int Ed Engl. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2012 October 24.



#### Figure 5.

Fluorescence images of H<sub>2</sub>S detection in COS7 cells using probe **1**. COS7 cells on glass coverslips were incubated with **1** (100  $\mu$ M) for 30 min, and then subjected to different treatments. Top row was control (no sodium sulfide was added); bottom row was treated with sodium sulfide (250  $\mu$ M).