

Open access • Posted Content • DOI:10.1101/491019

CarbMetSim: A Discrete-Event Simulator for Carbohydrate Metabolism in Humans — Source link

Buket Aydas, Husam Ghazaleh, Mukul Goyal

Institutions: Albion College, University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee

Published on: 09 Dec 2018 - bioRxiv (Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory)

Topics: Insulin resistance, Carbohydrate metabolism and Insulin

Related papers:

- Glucose Metabolism and Liver
- Regulation of Glucose Metabolism in the Liver
- Glucose metabolism: Normal physiology, diabetic dysregulation, and therapeutic targets
- · Glucose sensing and the pathogenesis of obesity and type 2 diabetes
- · Regulation of glucose metabolism from a liver-centric perspective



CarbMetSim: A discrete-event simulator for carbohydrate metabolism in humans

Mukul Goyal¹ Buket Aydas², Husam Ghazaleh¹, Sanjay Rajasekharan³

1 Computer Science Department, University of Wisconsin Milwaukee, Milwaukee, WI, USA

 ${\bf 2}$ Meridian Health Plans, Detroit, MI, USA

3 The Harker School, San Jose, CA, USA

* mukul@uwm.edu

Abstract

This paper describes *CarbMetSim*, a *discrete-event* simulator that tracks the blood glucose level of a person in response to a timed sequence of diet and exercise activities. *CarbMetSim* implements broader aspects of carbohydrate metabolism in human beings with the objective of capturing the average impact of various diet/exercise activities on the blood glucose level. Key organs (stomach, intestine, portal vein, liver, kidney, muscles, adipose tissue, brain and heart) are implemented to the extent necessary to capture their impact on the production and consumption of glucose. Key metabolic pathways (*glucose oxidation, glycolysis* and *gluconeogenesis*) are accounted for in the operation of different organs. The impact of insulin and insulin resistance on the operation of various organs and pathways is captured in accordance with published research. *CarbMetSim* provides broad flexibility to configure the insulin production ability, the average flux along various metabolic pathways and the impact of insulin resistance on different aspects of carbohydrate metabolism. The simulator does not yet have a detailed implementation of protein and lipid metabolism.

1 Introduction

More than 400 million people world wide suffer from diabetes [1]. People with Type 2 Diabetes (around 90% of total diabetic population [1]) usually have at least some ability to produce insulin, however their bodies develop *insulin resistance* and hence are not able to react strongly enough to the presence of insulin in blood to keep the blood *qlucose level* (BGL) under control. On the other hand, people with Type 1 Diabetes cannot produce insulin endogenously at all and hence must receive external insulin regularly. Keeping BGL under control is a constant struggle for people with diabetes. One wrong meal choice may result in very high BGL and an accompanying feeling of sickness for several hours. Persistently high BGL would ultimately cause a number of 10 severe complications such as heart/kidney failure, blindness and limb amputations. 11 Those using external insulin may suffer life threatening hypoglycemic incidents if too 12 much insulin is injected. Physical exercise allows the muscles to use glucose in the blood 13 even in the absence of insulin but exercise activities need to be carefully coordinated 14 with food and medication intake so as to avoid hypoglycemia. For people with Type 1 15 Diabetes, physical exercise may even worsen the state of hyperglycemia. In general, 16 people with diabetes need help deciding how they should plan their food and exercise 17 activities so as to keep their BGL under control. There is a real need for tools that help 18 diabetic people understand the impact a particular sequence of food and exercise 19 activities would have on their BGL. Continuous BGL monitoring solutions, now offered 20 by a number of vendors, can significantly help but are either not easily available to a 21 vast majority of diabetic people world-wide or are simply too expensive. Clearly, one 22 solution is to build simulation tools that use our vast knowledge of energy metabolism 23 in human beings to give reasonably accurate prediction of the impact of a diet/exercise 24 sequence on some one's BGL. A few such simulators already exist [2,3] but are geared 25 towards predicting the impact of *individual* meals and are not available in a format that 26 can be freely used by individuals. This paper describes *CarbMetSim* (the **Carb**ohydrate 27 Metabolism Simulator), an open-source and freely available [4] simulation software that predicts minute by minute BGL in response to an arbitrary length sequence of food and exercise activities. While the existing simulation tools are based on *continuous time* models that use differential and algebraic equations to describe physiological details, 31

CarbMetSim is based on a discrete event model where the time increments in units32(called ticks) one minute long. At the beginning of each tick, CarbMetSim fires the33food/exercise events that need to be fired at this time and directs various simulated34body organs to do the work they are supposed to do during this tick. The simulator is35currently geared for use by people with Prediabetes and Type 2 Diabetes who follow a36fixed medication (including long term insulin) regime prescribed by their physicians.37Future versions of the simulator will include the ability to specify the dosage of38externally injected short term insulin to allow use by people dependent on short term39insulin (including those with Type 1 Diabetes).40

CarbMetSim implements broader aspects of carbohydrate metabolism in human beings with the objective of capturing the average impact of various diet/exercise activities on the BGL of people with different levels of diabetes. The simulator implements key organs (stomach, intestine, portal vein, liver, kidney, muscles, adipose tissue, brain and heart) to the extent necessary to capture their impact on the production and consumption of glucose. Key metabolic pathways (glucose oxidation, glycolysis and gluconeogenesis) are accounted for in the operation of different organs. The impact of insulin and insulin resistance on the operation of various organs/pathways is captured in accordance with published research. CarbMetSim provides broad flexibility to configure the insulin production ability, the average flux along various metabolic pathways and the impact of insulin resistance on different aspects of carbohydrate metabolism. Thus, it is possible to customize the simulator for a particular user by setting appropriate values to various configurable parameters.

CarbMetSim is not yet a finished product. The protein and lipid metabolism are54implemented in a very simplified manner. The simulator does not yet consider55monosaccharides other than glucose and assumes that all dietary carbohydrate gets56converted to glucose after digestion. The impact of insulin is captured in a simplified57manner and other important hormones (e.g. glucagon) are not yet directly modeled.58Impact of externally injected short term insulin is not modeled yet. Only aerobic59exercise activities can be simulated at present. Finally, CarbMetSim is not yet capable60of translating a user's diet/exercise/BGL data into the values of simulation parameters61governing the behavior of different organs. The simulator has broad applicability6264646465656666666666666666676668666966606661666266636664666566666666666666676668666866696660666166626663666466656666666666666667666666

41

43

45

51

52

> implementation to study the long term impact of diabetes on various organs or to predict changes in body weight in response to a diet/exercise regimen.

2 Modeling Carbohydrate Metabolism in Humans -A Literature Review

Existing approaches to model carbohydrate metabolism in human beings can be 68 classified as either data-driven or knowledge-driven [5]. The data-driven (or empirical) models relate a user's recent BGL values along with other relevant information (e.g. 70 diet, exercise, medication and stress) to the user's future BGL values using approaches 71 such as neural networks [6-11] and gaussian models [12]. A number of different neural 72 network models exist including those based on multilayer perceptrons [7, 8], radial basis 73 function [9], wavelets [10], time series convolution [6] and recurrent neural 74 networks [6, 11]. Such models consider the human body to be a *black-box* and do not 75 take in account the physiological aspects of carbohydrate metabolism [13].

Unlike the data-driven models, the knowledge-driven models are based on human 77 physiology. In such models, different factors are treated as different *compartments* that influence each other and are described by a set of differential and algebraic equations [5]. 79 The earliest such models [14–16] involved two *linear* compartments - one for glucose in 80 blood and the other for insulin in blood - such that the rates of 81 appearance/disappearance of glucose/insulin were *linearly* proportional to their level in 82 blood. The next generation of models included *non-linear* rates and consideration of 83 additional hormones (e.g. glucagon) besides insulin [17]. Foster [18] presented a six compartment model, one each for blood glucose, liver glycogen, muscle glycogen, plasma insulin, plasma glucagon and free fatty acids in plasma, and the addition/removal from each compartment happened in a non-linear fashion. Some of the other notable 87 multi-compartment, nonlinear models were those developed by Cerasi [19]. Insel [20]. Cramp and Carson [21] and Cobelli et al. [22]. These models were increasingly more complex with many physiological details taken in account. Sorensen [23] provides a good overview of the earliest knowledge-based models (and some of the later models 91 described next). 92

Bergman et al. [24, 25] designed a method to quantify a) the sensitivity of an 93 individual's beta cells to his/her BGL and b) the sensitivity of the individual's BGL to insulin level in his/her blood. For this purpose, a *minimally* complex mathematical model was developed that could capture the individual differences in two sensitivities mentioned above. Bergman's *minimal* model has been modified in a variety of ways. 97 Furler et al. [26] introduced modifications to allow for absence of insulin production by pancreas and external insulin infusion. Bergman's model has also been used to study closed [27] and semi-closed [28] loop optimal control algorithms to determine the insulin 100 infusion profile for an individual. Roy and Parker extended Bergman's model to take in 101 account the level of *free fatty acids* in plasma [29]. Bergman's model has also been 102 extended to take in account the impact of physical exercise [30, 31]. 103

Tiran et al. [32] developed a multi-compartment model for glucose circulation where 104 each relevant organ was modeled as a separate compartment. Guyton et al. [33] 105 developed another multi-compartment model consisting of a glucose circulation 106 subsystem (separate compartments for liver glucose, liver glycogen, kidney glucose, 107 brain tissue glucose, brain blood glucose, peripheral (muscles, adipose tissue) blood 108 glucose, peripheral tissue glucose, central (i.e. gastrointestinal tract) blood glucose and 109 central tissue glucose) and an insulin circulation subsystem (separate compartments for 110 liver insulin which represents insulin from pancreatic beta cells, kidney insulin, 111 peripheral blood insulin, peripheral tissue insulin, central blood insulin and central 112 tissue insulin). The model consisted of a total of 32 nonlinear ordinary differential 113 equations (ODEs) with 11 nonlinear ODEs just to model insulin secretion from 114 pancreas [23]. Sorensen [23] presented another physiologically complex, 115 multi-compartment model albeit with a much simplified model for pancreatic insulin 116 secretion. Sorensen's model consisted of a total of 22 nonlinear ODEs of which 11 ODEs 117 were associated with glucose circulation, 10 ODEs with insulin and 1 ODE with 118 glucagon. Parker et al. [34,35] updated the Guyton/Sorensen models by accounting for 119 uncertainty in parameter values and by including a model for gastric emptying of 120 carbohydrates in a meal [36]. Hovorka et al. [37] developed a multi-compartment model 121 of glucose and insulin kinetics as part of a model predictive controller for subcutaneous 122 insulin infusion for people with Type 1 Diabetes. This model consists of a 123 two-compartment glucose subsystem (accounting for glucose absorption, distribution 124 and disposal), a two-compartment insulin subsystem (accounting for insulin absorption, distribution and disposal) and an insulin action subsystem (accounting for insulin action on glucose transport, disposal and endogeneous production).

Dalla Man et al. [38] developed a model that related the plasma concentrations of 128 glucose and insulin to various glucose and insulin related rates (the rate of appearance 129 of glucose from the gastro-intestinal tract, the rate at which the glucose is produced by 130 liver and kidney, insulin dependent and independent rates of glucose utilization, the rate 131 of renal extraction of glucose, the rate of insulin secretion by beta cells and the rate of 132 insulin degradation). The parameters of this model were determined using the 133 experimental data collected for 204 normal and 14 Type 2 Diabetic subjects. This 134 model was used to simulate patient behavior in UVA/PADOVA Type 1 Diabetes 135 Simulator [2] aimed at investigating the closed control strategies for insulin pumps. A 136 new version of UVA/PADOVA Type 1 Diabetes Simulator [3] modifies Dalla Man's 137 model by incorporating glucagon secretion/action/kinetics and nonlinear increase in 138 insulin dependent glucose utilization as BGL dips below the normal range. 139

The *CarbMetSim* simulator presented in this paper is physiologically complex just 140 like the models presented by Tiran et al. [32], Guyton et al. [33], Sorensen [23] and 141 Dalla Man [38]. The key difference is that *CarbMetSim* implements the physiological 142 details in software with various body organs implemented as *objects* whereas the 143 existing models used ODEs to model physiological details. It can be argued that 144 implementing physiological details in software allows for much more complex behavior 145 to be taken in account than what is possible using ODEs. Moreover, it is much easier to 146 modify physiological behavior implemented in software than via ODEs. In that sense, 147 the presented simulator is an improvement over existing ODE based approaches. It is 148 hoped that these benefits coupled with its open-source nature will allow CarbMetSim to 149 emerge as a popular simulation model of human metabolism for both diabetes research 150 and self-management tools for diabetic people. 151

3 Key Aspects in *CarbMetSim* Design

In the following, we describe some of the key aspects of CarbMetSim's design.

152

3.1 Food, Exercise and Human Subject Description

In *CarbMetSim*, a food is described in terms of its serving size and the amount of 155 rapidly available glucose (RAG), slowly available glucose (SAG), protein and fat per 156 serving. The RAG contents include sugars and the rapidly digestible starch (i.e. starch 157 that gets digested in vitro within 20 minutes [39,40]). The SAG contents include the 158 slowly digestible starch (i.e. starch that gets digested in vitro between 20 and 120 159 minutes [39, 40]). In general, the starch with high amylopectin to amylose ratio is 160 classified as rapidly digestible starch whereas the one with high amylose to amylopectin 161 ratio is classified as slowly digestible starch. The non-starch polysaccharide (also known 162 as *dietary fiber*) part of the carbohydrates is currently ignored (even though the fiber 163 contents of the food are known to have an impact on the *gastric emptying*). 164 CarbMetSim currently does not have a detailed implementation of the protein and lipid 165 metabolism. However, it does model the impact of protein and fat contents of food on 166 gastric emptying. Hence, the food description should include the total amount of protein 167 and total amount of fat per serving. *CarbMetSim* currently does not characterize 168 protein in terms of its amino acid contents. Since only 3 of the 20 amino acids have 169 branched chains, a general assumption is made that 85% of amino acids resulting from 170 protein digestion have unbranched chains and the remaining have branched chains [41]. 171

CarbMetSim can currently simulate only aerobic exercises. In CarbMetSim, an 172 exercise activity is described in terms of its intensity in units of Metabolic Equivalent of 173 Task or METs, where 1 MET is 1 kcal of energy expenditure per kg of body weight per 174 hour. By convention, 1 MET is considered equivalent to 3.5ml of oxygen consumption 175 per kg of body weight per minute. Each individual has a certain maximal rate at which 176 he/she can consume oxygen. This individual-specific maximal rate, called VO_2max , 177 depends on the gender, age and fitness level of the individual [54]. The intensity of an 178 exercise activity in terms of the associated oxygen consumption rate (described as the 179 % age of the individual's VO_2max , henceforth referred to as (VO_2max) determines to 180 a large extent the relative fraction of the glucose and fatty acids oxidized to meet the 181 energy needs of the exercising muscles. Thus, *CarbMetSim* needs to know the gender, 182 age and (self-assessed) fitness level within the age group of the human subject being 183 simulated. This information is used to estimate the VO_2max for the human subject 184

using the tables in Kaminsky et al. [54].

3.2 Modeling Insulin Production

The insulin level in blood generally depends on the BGL. If the BGL is high, the insulin 187 level increases as well so as to signal the liver and the muscles to absorb glucose from 188 the blood stream and also to signal both the liver and the kidneys to slow down or stop 189 the endogeneous glucose production via glycogen breakdown and gluconeogenesis. Also, 190 the insulin level in blood decreases in response to physical exercise [65, 73–76] so as to 191 signal the liver and the kidneys to ramp up the endogeneous glucose production. In 192 *CarbMetSim*, the current insulin level in the blood is represented by a variable called 193 insulinLevel (inside the Blood object) that assumes values between 0 and 1. The value 194 of *insulinLevel* depends on the current BGL, the current exercise intensity (in 195 $\% VO_2max$) and a number of configurable parameters: $minGlucoseLevel_{-}$ (typical 196 hypoglycemic BGL), baseGlucoseLevel_ (typical fasting BGL), highGlucoseLevel_ 197 (typical peak BGL) ($minGlucoseLevel_ < baseGlucoseLevel_ < highGlucoseLevel_)$, 198 baseInsulinLevel_ (representing the typical fasting insulin level), peakInsulinLevel_ 199 (representing typical insulin level when BGL is at peak) (where $0 \le baseInsulinLevel_{\leq} \le$ 200 $peakInsulinLevel_{\leq} \leq 1$), restIntensity_ (the oxygen consumption rate in %VO₂max 201 when the individual is not exercising, by default 2 METs converted to (VO_2max) and 202 intensity $PeakGlucoseProd_{-}$ (the exercise intensity in $\% VO_2max$ at which the liver and 203 kidney produce glucose at the maximum rate, by default 20%). The following rules 204 govern the value of *insulinLevel*: 205

- If the current BGL is less than or equal to the *minGlucoseLevel_*, the *insulinLevel* 2005 stays at value zero. 2007
- If the current BGL is between the *minGlucoseLevel_* and the *baseGlucoseLevel_*, ²⁰⁸ the *insulinLevel* depends on whether the individual being simulated is currently ²⁰⁹ engaged in exercise or not. If the individual is exercising and ²¹⁰
 - if the exercise intensity is greater than or equal to *intensityPeakGlucoseProd_*,
 the *insulinLevel* stays at zero.
 - otherwise, the *insulinLevel* depends on the exercise intensity. As the exercise

185

> intensity decreases from $intensityPeakGlucoseProd_$ to the the $restIntensity_{-}$, 214 the insulinLevel increases linearly from zero to the $baseInsulinLevel_{-}$. 215

 If the individual is not exercising, as the BGL increases from minGlucoseLevel_ to
 216

 baseGlucoseLevel_, the insulinLevel increases linearly from zero to the
 217

 baseInsulinLevel_.
 218

- As the BGL increases from the baseGlucoseLevel_ to the highGlucoseLevel_, the insulinLevel increases linearly from the baseInsulinLevel_ to the peakInsulinLevel_. 220
- If the BGL is greater than or equal to the *highGlucoseLevel_*, the *insulinLevel* 221 stays at the *peakInsulinLevel_* value. 222

In *CarbMetSim*, the *peakInsulinLevel_* represents the peak ability to produce insulin. ²²³ A value 1 for *peakInsulinLevel_* means normal (or excessive, as in the case of initial ²²⁴ stages of Type 2 Diabetes) insulin production, whereas a value 0 means that the ²²⁵ pancreas does not produce any insulin at all (as in people with Type 1 Diabetes). A ²²⁶ value x (between 0 and 1) for *peakInsulinLevel_* means that peak insulin production is ²²⁷ just x times the normal peak. ²²⁸

As described in the later sections, the *insulinLevel* variable has a profound impact 229 on the operation of different organ objects in *CarbMetSim*. So, its value should be 230 interpreted in terms of the impact it has on various organ objects, rather than the 231 actual insulin concentration it corresponds to for a particular person. So, it is entirely 232 possible that two very different actual insulin concentrations for two individuals map to 233 the same value for the *insulinLevel* because they have the same impact on carbohydrate 234 metabolism related functions of the organs. 235

In *CarbMetSim*'s current implementation, the *insulinLevel* variable is tightly coupled with the BGL in the manner described above. A future implementation will allow the *insulinLevel* to vary in a configurable manner by allowing the user to specify the dosage of externally injected short term insulin. This would allow the simulator to be useful for diabetes patients dependent on short term insulin injections or insulin pumps. 240

3.3 Modeling Glucose Transport

Glucose crosses cell membranes using either *active* transporters or *passive* ones. The 242 active transporters, such as Sodium GLucose coTransporters (SGLTs) are able to move 243 glucose from a low concentration to a high concentration. The passive transporters, 244 such as *Glucose Transporters* (GLUTs) move glucose from a high concentration to a low 245 concentration. *CarbMetSim* models the operation of active transporters in an organ by 246 specifying the average amount of glucose transferred per minute via active transport. 247 The actual amount transferred is a poisson distributed random variable. The simulator 248 uses *Michaelis Menten* kinetics to determine the amount of glucose transferred in a 249 minute via passive transport. As per the Michaelis Menten kinetics, the rate of 250 transport (V) across a membrane depends on the difference in the substrate 251 concentration (Y) across the membrane in the following manner: $V = V_{max} \frac{Y}{Y+K_m}$, 252 where V_{max} is the maximum rate of transport and K_m is the substrate concentration 253 difference at which the transport rate is half the maximum. The V_{max} value associated 254 with a GLUT transporter in an organ indicates the number of transporters involved. 255 Hence, the simulator treats V_{max} associated with a particular GLUT in a particular 256 organ as a poisson distributed random variable with a configurable mean. 257

3.3.1 Modeling GLUT4 Operation in Muscles

Among the GLUTs, the GLUT4 transporters are of particular importance because they 259 allow the muscles to absorb glucose from the bloodstream. When the human body is 260 engaged in exercise, the physical activity itself activates sufficient number of GLUT4 261 transporters [42–44] and the muscles are able to absorb the desired amount of glucose 262 from the bloodstream. *CarbMetSim* replicates this behavior. However, in the resting 263 state, the number of *active* GLUT4 transporters depends on the insulin level in the 264 bloodstream. When the insulin level is low (because of low BGL), GLUT4 transporters 265 are inactive and the muscles do not absorb much glucose from the bloodstream. As the 266 insulin level rises in the blood (in response to increase in BGL). GLUT4 transporters 267 become active proportionately and allow the muscles to quickly absorb excess glucose 268 from the blood. 269

In CarbMetSim, GLUT4 activation during the resting states is modeled by

270

241

manipulating the V_{max} value associated with GLUT4 transporters in the following manner:

- Since a large fraction of the absorbed glucose is converted to glycogen inside 273 muscles and there is a limit on how much glycogen can be stored inside muscles, 274 the current amount of muscle glycogen impacts the V_{max} value. Specifically, as 275 the muscle glycogen storage increases from zero to a configurable maximum value, 276 the V_{max} value reduces linearly from a configurable maximum (7 mg/kg/min by 277 default) to a configurable minimum (3.5 mg/kg/min by default). 278
- The impact of insulin level is captured by multiplying the V_{max} value with a factor (between 0 and 1) that increases in value with increase in the *insulinLevel*. 280 Currently, the *insulinLevel* itself is used as the value of this factor. Since vigorous 281 physical exercise causes temporary increase in glucose absorption by muscles [79] 282 to make up for the glycogen lost during exercise), the *insulinLevel* does not 283 impact the V_{max} value in the first hour after an intense physical exercise activity 284 (unless the current BGL drops below the *baseGlucoseLevel_*). 285
- The impact of insulin resistance in reducing the activation of GLUT4 transporters $_{286}$ is modeled by multiplying the the V_{max} value with a configurable parameter $_{287}$ (glut4Impact_) that assumes values between 0 and 1 (by default 1.0). $_{288}$

3.4 Modeling Glycolysis

Glucose serves as a key source of energy for various tissues, which either oxidize it completely or consume it anaerobically via *glycolysis*. Complete oxidation of glucose yields 15 times more energy than anaerobic glycolysis but can only be done if oxygen is available. Tissues with access to plenty of oxygen oxidize glucose for their energy needs whereas others (possibly in the same organ) use glycolysis. Glycolysis results in the generation of lactate, which serves as a key substrate for endogenous glucose production via *gluconeogenesis* (described later).

The following organs in *CarbMetSim* use anaerobic glycolysis as an energy source: 297 *Muscles, Liver, Kidneys, Intestine* and *Blood.* The amount of glucose consumed for 298 glycolysis increases with the glucose availability, which is signaled by the insulin level in 299 the bloodstream. This is modeled in the simulator in the following manner. Each organ 300

271

272

using glycolysis as an energy source has two configurable parameters: *glycolysisMin*_ 301 and glycolysisMax_ (in units of mg of glucose consumed per kg of body weight per 302 minute). At each tick, the organ generates a poisson distributed random number (min)303 with $glycolysisMin_{-}$ as the mean value and $glycolysisMax_{-}$ as the maximum value. Then, 304 subject to the glucose availability in the organ, the amount of glucose consumed in a 305 tick for glycolysis is given by: $min + insulinImpact \times (glycolysisMax_- - min)$. Here, 306 insulinImpact is a factor (between 0 and 1) that increases in value with increase in the 307 insulinLevel. This factor is calculated using a sigmoid function, which is currently the 308 CDF of a normal distribution with a configurable mean and standard deviation. The 309 simulator also uses configurable multiplicative parameters glycolysisMinImpact_ and 310 *qlycolysisMaxImpact* (with default values 1.0) to modify the values of *qlycolysisMin*. 311 and *qlycolysisMax* parameters associated with each organ. These parameters can be 312 used to model the impact of diabetes on glycolysis flux. A fraction (by default 1) of the 313 glucose consumed for glycolysis is converted to lactate, which is added to the *Blood* 314 object. Table 1 shows the default values for glycolysis related parameters for different 315 organs. Here, the relative contributions of different organs towards overall glycolysis 316 flux were set as suggested in [52, 53]. The default values of various configurable 317 parameters in *CarbMetSim* were determined experimentally to provide a close match 318 with published measurements performed on non-diabetic human subjects before and 319 after a meal event [51]. 320

Organ	$glycolysisMin_{-}$	glycolysisMax_
	(mg/kg/minute)	(mg/kg/minute)
Blood	0.0315	0.1135
Kidneys	0.0315	0.1135
Liver	0.0630	0.5675
Muscles	0.0630	0.8512
Intestine	0.0315	0.1135

Table 1. The default values for glycolysis related parameters in various organs.

3.5 Modeling Gluconeogenesis

Gluconeogenesis is a metabolic pathway that allows the liver and kidneys to produce 322 glucose from lactate, glycerol, glutamine and alanine [40, 48]. This pathway assumes 323 special significance as the only source of glucose when no new glucose is arriving in the 324 body via food and the glycogen store in the liver has been exhausted. 325

Normally, gluconeogenesis occurs when the insulin level is low (i.e. in the post-absorptive state). However, diabetic people may experience high gluconeogenesis flux even in the post-prandial state when the insulin level is high [49, 50].

In CarbMetSim, the Liver and the Kidneys produce glucose via gluconeogenesis at 329 configurable average rates ($qnqLiver_{-}$ and $qnqKidneys_{-}$ respectively, 0.16 mg/kg/minute 330 each by default) using substrates mentioned above. When the *insulinLevel* is above the 331 baseInsulinLevel_ (i.e. the BGL is more than the baseGlucoseLevel_), the average 332 gluconeogenesis flux is multiplied by a factor (between 0 and 1) that decreases in value 333 with increase in the *insulinLevel* as per an inverse sigmoid function (currently, the 334 complementary CDF of a normal distribution with a configurable mean and standard 335 deviation). This allows us to model the decrease in gluconeogenesis flux with increase in 336 the insulin level. On the other hand, if the *insulinLevel* is below the *baseInsulinLevel*_ 337 (i.e. the BGL is below the *baseGlucoseLevel_*), the average gluconeogenesis flux is 338 multiplied by a factor that decreases in value from a configurable maximum (*qnqImpact*_ 339 \geq 1, by default 6.0) to the minimum value 1 as the *insulinLevel* increases from zero to 340 the *baseInsulinLevel*. This allows us to model the increased gluconeogenesis flux when 341 BGL is low and gluconeogenesis is probably the only source of glucose for the body. 342 The simulator currently assumes that the substrates are always available in sufficient 343 quantity to allow gluconeogenesis to take place in the manner described above. 344

3.6 Modeling Liver Glycogen Synthesis & Breakdown

In human body, the liver helps maintain glucose homeostasis by storing excess glucose ³⁴⁶ in blood during the post-prandial state (when the insulin levels are high) as glycogen ³⁴⁷ and releasing glucose to the blood during the post-absorptive and exercising states ³⁴⁸ (when insulin level is low) by breaking down the stored glycogen. Diabetes may effect ³⁴⁹ both glycogen synthesis and breakdown in the liver. ³⁵⁰

increases in value with increase in the *insulinLevel* and is calculated using a sigmoid $_{356}$ function, which is currently the CDF of a normal distribution with a configurable mean $_{357}$ and standard deviation. The second factor called *liverGlycogenSynthesisImpact_* (by $_{358}$ default 1.0) simply modifies the configured average multiplicatively and can be used to $_{359}$ model the impact of diabetes on glycogen synthesis in the liver. The *Liver* object has a $_{360}$ finite capacity to store glycogen and hence any excess glycogen is converted to fat and $_{361}$ stored in the *AdiposeTissue* object. $_{362}$

Glycogen breakdown in the liver serves as the key source of glucose when no new 363 glucose is entering the body via food or when the glucose needs of the body increase 364 due to intense physical exercise. Accordingly, in *CarbMetSim*, the amount of glycogen 365 stored in the *Liver* that is broken down to glucose during a tick closely depends on the 366 insulinLevel (and hence on the current BGL). When the insulinLevel is above the 367 baseInsulinLevel_ (i.e. the BGL is more than the baseGlucoseLevel_), the average 368 glycogen breakdown flux in the *Liver* (*glycogenToGlycoseInLiver*, 0.9 mg/kg/min by 369 default) is multiplied by a factor (between 0 and 1) that decreases in value with increase 370 in the *insulinLevel* as per an inverse sigmoid function (currently, the complementary 371 CDF of a normal distribution with a configurable mean and standard deviation). This 372 allows us to model the decrease in liver glycogen breakdown with increase in the insulin 373 level. On the other hand, if the *insulinLevel* is below the *baseInsulinLevel*_ (i.e. the 374 BGL is below the *baseGlucoseLevel*.), the average *Liver* glycogen breakdown flux is 375 multiplied by a factor that decreases in value from a configurable maximum 376 (liverGlycogenBreakdownImpact > 1, by default 6.0) to the minimum value 1 as the 377 insulinLevel increases from zero to the baseInsulinLevel.. This allows us to model the 378 increased liver glycogen breakdown when BGL is low. 379

4 CarbMetSim Design and Implementation

CarbMetSim is a discrete event simulator implemented in an object-oriented manner. At the top level, CarbMetSim consists of a SimCtl (SIMulation ConTroLler) object and a HumanBody object. The SimCtl object maintains the simulation time (in ticks, where each tick is a minute) and contains a priority queue of food/exercise events sorted in order of their firing times. At the beginning of the simulation, the SimCtl object reads 385

all the food/exercise events into the priority queue. At each tick, the *SimCtl* object fires the events whose firing time has arrived (by invoking appropriate methods on the *HumanBody* object) and then causes each organ to do its work during that tick (again by invoking a *HumanBody* object method).

In the following, we describe the implementation and operation of various *objects* 390 that together implement the *CarbMetSim* simulator. The default values of various 391 parameters listed here were determined experimentally to provide a close match with 392 published measurements performed on non-diabetic human subjects before and after a 393 meal event [51]. Validation of simulation results against these and other published 394 measurements is described in later sections. Table 1 shows the default values for 395 glycolysis related parameters for different organs. Default values of configurable 396 parameters that determine the impact of *insulinLevel* on various metabolic processes 397 are shown in Table 2. 398

Parameter	Default Value
$insulinImpactOnGlycolysis_Mean$	0.5
$insulinImpactOnGlycolysis_StdDev$	0.2
$insulinImpactOnGNG_Mean$	0.5
$insulinImpactOnGNG_StdDev$	0.2
$insulinImpactGlycogenBreakdownInLiver_Mean$	0.1
$insulinImpactGlycogenBreakdownInLiver_StdDev$	0.02
$insulinImpactGlycogenSynthesisInLiver_Mean$	0.5
$insulinImpactGlycogenSynthesisInLiver_StdDev$	0.2
$insulinImpactOnGNG_StdDev\\insulinImpactGlycogenBreakdownInLiver_Mean\\insulinImpactGlycogenBreakdownInLiver_StdDev\\insulinImpactGlycogenSynthesisInLiver_Mean\\insulinImpactGlycogenSynthesisInLiver_StdDev$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.2 \\ 0.1 \\ 0.02 \\ 0.5 \\ 0.2 \end{array}$

Table 2. Configurable parameters (and their default values) for the mean and standard deviation of normal distributions to determine the impact of *insulinLevel* on various metabolic processes.

4.1 HumanBody

The HumanBody object serves as the container for following organ objects: Stomach, Intestine, PortalVein, Liver, Kidneys, Muscles, AdiposeTissue, Brain, Heart and Blood. At the beginning of a simulation, the HumanBody object does the following:

- It reads the description of various foods: their composition in terms of *rapidly/slowly available glucose* (RAG/SAG), protein and fat.
- It reads the description of various exercise activities: their intensity in units of METs.

399

403

- It estimates the maximal rate of glucose consumption $(VO_2max, \text{ see Section 4.8})$ 407 associated with the individual being simulated using the tables in Kaminsky et al. [54] given this individual's gender, age and self-assessed fitness level within his/her age group, which are all supplied as simulation parameters. 410
- It reads other simulation parameters that affect the operation of different organs. 411

The HumanBody contains methods that cause the food to be added to the stomach 412 when SimCtl fires a food event and update the energy needs of the body when SimCtl413 fires an exercise event. When an exercise event gets over, the HumanBody resets the 414 energy needs to the resting state. When the *Stomach* has no food left, it informs the 415 HumanBody about the situation. Thus, at any given time, the HumanBody remembers 416 whether the stomach has some undigested food (Fed) or not (PostAbsorptive) and 417 whether the body is currently engaged in some exercise (*Exercising*) or not (*Resting*). 418 Accordingly, there are four body states: Fed_Resting, Fed_Exercising, 419 PostAbsorptive_Resting and PostAbsorptive_Exercising. Different body states allow 420 different values to be in effect for the configurable parameters governing the operation 421 of the organs. 422

As mentioned before, the *HumanBody* object provides a method, which is invoked by the *SimCtl* object at each tick and causes methods to be invoked on individual organ objects that allow the organs to do their work during that tick.

4.2 Blood

The Blood object represents the bloodstream and interacts with various organs to 427 exchange glucose, amino acids and other substrates. The Blood object maintains the 428 following substrate variables: *alucose*, *lactate*, *branchedAminoAcids* (consumed by 429 muscles, adipose tissue and brain) and unbranchedAminoAcids. The Blood object also 430 maintains the *insulinLevel* variable discussed earlier and a *fluidVolume*_ variable 431 representing the blood volume (5 liters by default). Hormones other than insulin are not 432 currently maintained. At each tick, the Blood object updates the insulinLevel in the 433 manner described in Section 3.2. Also, some glucose is consumed for glycolysis in the 434 manner described in Section 3.4. 435

4.3 Stomach

The gradual emptying of stomach contents into the intestine, also known as *gastric* 437 *emptying*, is a complex phenomenon affected by a number of factors such as the volume, 438 particle size, viscosity, osmolarity, acidity and nutritional contents of the meal [55–57]. 439 A variety of models have been suggested in the past for the emptying of food from the 440 stomach into the intestine. Many of these models were based on mathematical functions 441 such as exponential [58, 59] and power exponential [60]. Lehmann and Deutsch [36]442 presented a simple model for gastric emptying of carboydrates in a meal, where the rate 443 of gastric empyting has three phases - a linear increase phase, a constant maximum rate 444 phase and a linear decrease phase. Dalla Man et al. [61] presented a three-compartment 445 model of the gastrointestinal tract where the gastric emptying rate follows a 446 trough-shaped pattern (initially high followed by a non-linear decrease to a minimum 447 value followed by a non-linear increase back to the initial maximum value). 448

In CarbMetSim, when a food event is fired, the eaten food enters the Stomach 449 instantaneously, where its contents are added to any existing stores of RAG, SAG, 450 protein and fat. The simulator currently uses a simple model for gastric emptying where 451 all the food in the stomach is assumed to be in the *chyme* form and the amount of 452 chyme leaking to the intestine each minute consists of one part determined using a 453 poisson distribution (with default mean 500 mg) and another part proportional to the 454 total amount of chyme currently present in the stomach. This proportionality constant 455 increases linearly with decrease in the energy density of the chyme. The minimum value 456 of this proportionality constant (0.03 by default) represents the fraction leaking out of 457 stomach each minute when the chyme consists entirely of fat (with energy density 9.0 458 kcal/g). On the other hand, the maximum value (9.0/4.0 times the minimum value) 459 represents the fraction leaking out of stomach each minute when the chyme consists 460 entirely of carbs (with energy density 4.0 kcal/g). The nutritional composition of leaked 461 chyme is same as that of chyme present in the stomach. This simple model, inspired 462 from [62], allows us to take in account the fat/protein induced slowdown of gastric 463 emptying. There are many other factors that affect the gastric emptying process (the 464 solid/liquid nature of food, fiber content, osmolarity, viscosity etc.) which CarbMetSim 465 currently does not take in account. Thus, a bolus of chyme leaks from the *Stomach* into 466

the Intestine every tick (i.e. every minute) until the Stomach is empty.

4.4 Intestine

Carbohydrate Digestion: The intestine digests the carbohydrate in the chyme using a 469 number of enzymes to produce monosaccharides such as glucose, fructose and 470 galactose [40]. Currently, the *Intestine* object in *CarbMetSim* converts all the 471 carbohydrate in the chyme to just one monosaccharide - glucose. The Intestine receives 472 a bolus of chyme from the *Stomach* every tick as long as there is some food in the 473 Stomach. The Intestine maintains a list of Chyme objects where each object contains 474 the undigested RAG/SAG contents of each bolus received from the *Stomach* and the 475 time when the bolus was received. At each tick, the Intestine digests some amount of 476 RAG/SAG from each *Chyme* object. The amount digested from a particular *Chyme* 477 object is determined using normal distributions (default mean & standard-deviation: 2) 478 minutes & 0.5 minutes for RAG and 30 minutes & 10 minutes for SAG) such that most 479 of the RAG and SAG contents of a bolus are digested within 20 and 120 minutes 480 respectively after the bolus's entry into the *Intestine*. The glucose resulting from 481 digested RAG/SAG is added to the *qlucoseInLumen* variable in *Intestine*, which 482 represents the total glucose present in the intestinal lumen. This glucose is processed as 483 described later in this section. 484

Fat and Protein Digestion: As a chyme bolus enters the Intestine from the Stomach, its fat contents are simply added to the Adipose Tissue and its protein contents are added to a common protein pool in Intestine. At each tick, the Intestine digests a small amount of this protein (determined as per a poisson distribution with default mean 1mg) and transfers the resulting amino acids to the Portal Vein. The simulator does not keep track of the amino acid contents of dietary protein and makes a simple assumption that 85% of these amino acids are unbranched and the remaining 15% are branched.

Glucose Absorption from Intestine to PortalVein: The glucose moves from the intestinal lumen to the enterocytes across the brush border membrane and then from the enterocytes to the portal vein across the basolateral membrane. The transfer from the intestinal lumen to the enterocytes takes place via a combination of active (SGLT-1) and passive (GLUT2) transporters, where the number of GLUT2 transporters in action

467

depends on the glucose concentration on the lumen side. The transfer from the 497 enterocytes to the portal vein takes place solely via passive GLUT2 transporters [40]. 498 The Intestine object maintains two variables: *glucoseInLumen* and *glucoseInEnterocytes*, 499 which represent total glucose present in the intestinal lumen and in enterocytes 500 respectively. At each tick, the *Intestine* moves some glucose from *qlucoseInLumen* to 501 *glucoseInEnterocytes.* The amount moved has an active transport component (poisson 502 distributed with default mean 30 mg/minute) and a passive transport component 503 determined using Michaelis Menten kinetics (assuming configurable volumes for the 504 lumen and the enterocytes). The V_{max} value used for Michaelis Menten kinetics 505 increases with glucose concentration in the lumen with default maximum value 800 506 mg/minute. The K_m value used is 20 mmol/l by default [40]. Glucose transport from 507 the enterocytes to the portal vein is modeled by moving some glucose from 508 glucoseInEnterocytes to the PortalVein at each tick. The amount moved is determined 509 using Michaelis Menten kinetics (average $V_{max} = 800 \text{ mg/minute}, K_m = 20 \text{ mmol/l by}$ 510 default [40]). 511

 Glycolysis: The intestinal cells get some of their energy via glycolysis of glucose to
 512

 lactate in the manner described in Section 3.4. If the glucose in enterocytes
 513

 (glucoseInEnterocytes) is not sufficient, the extra glucose needed for glycolysis comes
 514

 from the bloodstream (the Blood object).
 515

4.5 PortalVein

The portal vein carries blood that has passed through the intestinal tract to the liver. ⁵¹⁷ Due to its special status as the conduit from the intestine to the liver, *CarbMetSim* ⁵¹⁸ maintains the portal vein as a separate entity (the *PortalVein* object) from rest of the ⁵¹⁹ circulatory system (represented by the *Blood* object). The glucose and amino acids ⁵²⁰ resulting from the food digestion in the *Intestine* travel to the *Liver* via the *PortalVein*. ⁵²¹

Since the portal vein is a part of the circulatory system, it must have the same 522 glucose concentration as rest of the circulatory system when no new glucose is being 523 received from the intestine. This is achieved in *CarbMetSim* in the following manner. 524 At the beginning of a tick, there is no glucose in the *PortalVein*. During each tick, the 525 following sequence of actions take place: 526

- The *PortalVein* imports glucose from the *Blood* so that the glucose concentration ⁵²⁷ in the *PortalVein* matches that of *Blood* before the import. The *PortalVein*'s ⁵²⁸ volume, used to calculate the glucose concentration, is a configurable parameter ⁵²⁹ with default value 5 dl. ⁵³⁰
- Glucose transfer takes place from the *Intestine* to the *PortalVein* (as described previously in Section 4.4) and then from the *PortalVein* to the *Liver* (as described next in Section 4.6).
- Finally, any remaining glucose in the *PortalVein* is moved back to the *Blood*. All the amino acids received from the *Intestine* into the *PortalVein* during a tick are moved to the *Liver* during that tick itself.

4.6 Liver

The *hepatocytes* in the liver absorb glucose from the portal vein via GLUT2s when the 538 glucose concentration in the portal vein is higher. The absorbed glucose is 539 phosphorylated to glucose 6-phosphate, which is used either for glycogen synthesis or for 540 glycolysis. Insulin and glucose activate the enzymes associated with glycogen synthesis 541 and inhibit those associated with glycogen breakdown. Insulin also activates glycolysis 542 of glucose 6-phosphate in hepatocytes to form pyruvate, some of which is oxidized and 543 the remaining is converted to lactate and released to the bloodstream. On the other 544 hand, lack of insulin (and presence of glucagon) activates glycogen breakdown (to 545 glucose) as well as gluconeogenesis (which again produces glucose). The gluconeogenesis 546 flux increases with the availability of the substrates (such as lactate, alanine and 547 glycerol) in the bloodstream even if the insulin level is high. Excess glucose in the 548 hepatocytes is either used for glycogen synthesis (if the insulin level is high) or leaves 549 the cells via GLUT2s and possibly other means (if the insulin level is low). High insulin 550 level also causes some of the excess glucose to be converted to lipid. Thus, the liver 551 absorbs glucose during the fed state and uses it for glycogen synthesis and glycolysis. 552 On the other hand, the liver releases glucose to the bloodstream during the 553 post-absorptive and exercising states via glycogen breakdown and gluconeogenesis. 554 Another important aspect of the liver operation is its oxidation of *unbranched* amino 555 acids which provides for almost half of the liver's energy requirements. 556

CarbMetSim implements the liver operation in the Liver object. The simulator allows the initial amount of glycogen stored in the Liver as well as the maximum amount it can hold to be set via configurable parameters. By default, the Liver has sufficient glycogen at the beginning of a simulation to produce 100 grams of glucose. Also, by default, an amount equivalent to 120 grams of glucose is the upper limit on the amount of glycogen that the Liver object can store. At each tick, the Liver does the following: 557

- Glucose Absorption/Release: If the glucose concentration in higher in the PortalVein than in the Liver, some glucose will be absorbed in the Liver via GLUT2s. Similarly, if the glucose concentration is higher in the Liver than in the Blood, some glucose will be released to the Blood via GLUT2s. The amount of the glucose absorbed/released is determined using Michaelis Menten kinetics (with default average V_{max} =50mg/kg/min and default K_m =20 mmol/l [40]).
- Glycogen Synthesis/Breakdown: The Liver performs glycogen synthesis or breakdown in the manner described in Section 3.6.
- *Lipogenesis:* If the glycogen storage in the *Liver* exceeds its maximum configured 571 value, the excess glycogen is converted to fat, which is stored in *AdiposeTissue*. 572
- *Glycolysis* and *Gluconeogenesis:* The *Liver* consumes some glucose for glycolysis 573 in the manner described in Section 3.4 and produces glucose via gluconeogenesis 574 in the manner described in Section 3.5. 575
- Amino Acid Consumption: The Liver consumes 93% of unbranched amino acids received from the PortalVein and releases the rest (along with all the branched amino acids) to the Blood object.

4.7 Kidneys

The kidneys filter the blood and require significant amount of energy for this task. 550 Their outer layer (the *cortex*) is well supplied with oxygen and hence meets its energy 551 needs via oxidation of glucose and fatty acids absorbed from the bloodstream. The 552 inner core (the *medulla*) uses anaerobic glycolysis for energy. The kidneys also generate 553 glucose via gluconeogenesis. *CarbMetSim* implements the kidney operation in the 554 *Kidneys* object. At each tick, the *Kidneys* do the following: 555

- *Glycolysis:* The renal medulla in *Kidneys* meets its energy requirements via glycolysis, which is implemented in the manner described in Section 3.4. The glucose consumed for glycolysis is absorbed from the *Blood* object and the resulting lactate is released to the *Blood* object.
- *Gluconeogenesis:* The *Kidneys* produce glucose via gluconeogenesis in the manner described in Section 3.5 and release it to the *Blood* object.
- Glucose Excretion in Urine: As the the glucose concentration in Blood increases 592 from one threshold (11 mmol/l [52, 64] by default) to another (22 mmol/l by 593 default), the glucose excretion in urine increases linearly from zero to a certain 594 peak level (100 mg/min by default). The simulator supports a configurable 595 parameter excretionKidneysImpact_ (with default value 1) to multiplicatively 596 modify the amount of glucose excreted per tick in urine. 597

4.8 Muscles

The skeletal muscles have two types of cells or *fibers*: the *red* fibers oxidize substrates (fatty acids, glucose) absorbed from the bloodstream to meet their energy needs while the *white* fibers rely on glycolysis of glucose 6-phosphate obtained from the glycogen stored within the white fibers for energy. The glucose absorption from the bloodstream occurs mainly via insulin-sensitive GLUT4 transporters with some *basal* level absorption taking place via GLUT1 transporters. The skeletal muscles also use some *branched chain* amino acids absorbed from the bloodstream to meet their energy needs.

Muscles Operation During Rest [40, 47, 65]: In the resting state, the muscles meet60685 - 90% of their energy needs via the oxidation of fatty acids. About 10% of the607energy comes from oxidation of glucose and 1 - 2% from amino acids. The glucose is608absorbed from the bloodstream using GLUT4 and GLUT1 transporters as mentioned609earlier. The absorbed glucose is used for oxidation, glycogen synthesis and610glycolysis [66]. The glucose oxidation and glycolysis in muscles under resting conditions611612613614614614615615616616616617617618618618619611619611612610612613611614614612615616613616616614617618615619611616611612617612613618614619615619616611617612618613619614619615616616617617618618619

Muscles Operation During Aerobic Activity [40, 47, 63]: Oxidation of glucose and fatty acids is the main source of energy for exercising muscles. The relative fraction of these substrates used to meet the energy needs depends on the exercise intensity, which

in turn is decided based on the rate at which the individual consumes oxygen while 616 doing this exercise. Each individual has a certain maximal rate at which he/she can 617 consume oxygen. This individual-specific maximal rate, called VO_2max , depends on 618 the gender, age and fitness level of the individual [54]. So, the intensity of an exercise 619 activity can be described by the oxygen consumption rate (as the % age of the 620 individual's VO_2max) associated with this exercise. The exercise intensity can also be 621 described in an individual-independent manner in units of Metabolic Equivalent of Task 622 or METs, where 1 MET is 1 kcal of energy expenditure per kg of body weight per hour. 623 By convention, 1 MET is considered equivalent to 3.5ml of oxygen consumption per kg 624 of body weight per minute. So, an exercise with a certain intensity in terms of METs625 may translate to very different intensities in terms of $%VO_2max$ for different 626 individuals. 627

Romijn et al. [63] reported that about 10% of the energy needs during aerobic 628 exercise are met by oxidizing glucose absorbed from the blood via GLUT4/GLUT1 629 transporters. The aerobic activity is sufficient to activate GLUT4 transporters. So, 630 their action is not dependent on the insulin during the aerobic exercise [42-44]. For low 631 intensity (e.g. $25\% VO_2 max$) exercise, almost all of the remaining energy needs are met 632 by oxidizing fatty acids [63]. For moderate and high intensity exercise, a significant 633 fraction of energy needs is met by oxidation of glucose derived from the glycogen stored 634 locally in the exercising muscles. Romijn et al. [63] reported about 30% of the energy 635 coming from the oxidation of glucose derived from locally stored glycogen when the 636 intensity of the aerobic exercise was $65\% VO_2 max$. Horton [47] reported oxidation of 637 glucose (absorbed from the blood and derived from local glycogen) providing for about 638 50% and almost 100% of the energy needs when the exercise intensities were 639 $50\% VO_2max$ and $100\% VO_2max$ respectively. Most of the remaining energy needs are 640 met by oxidation of fatty acids [67]. Once the glycogen stored in the liver and the 641 muscles is over, it becomes impossible for the individual to perform very high intensity 642 exercise. A small fraction of the energy needs is met by glycolysis of glucose 643 6-phosphate derived from locally stored glycogen. The glycolysis level increases linearly 644 with exercise intensity. Finally, a very small fraction of energy needs is met by 645 consuming *branched* amino acids absorbed from the blood [67]. 646

4.8.1 Implementation in CarbMetSim

In *CarbMetSim*, the skeletal muscles are implemented as the *Muscles* object. Currently, the simulator implements response to the resting condition and the aerobic exercise only. Specifically, exercise with a significant anaerobic component cannot yet be simulated. Also, it is not yet possible to distinguish among different muscle groups.

At the beginning of a simulation, the HumanBody object estimates the VO_2max 652 associated with the individual being simulated using the tables in Kaminsky et al. [54] 653 given this individual's gender, age and self-assessed fitness level within his/her age 654 group, which are all supplied to the simulator as input parameters. When an exercise 655 event is fired, the exercise intensity is translated from the units of METs into 656 $%VO_2max$. The exercise intensity determines the fraction of the energy needs met via 657 oxidation of glucose derived from locally stored glycogen. The simulator allows the 658 initial amount of glycogen stored in the *Muscles* as well as the maximum amount it can 659 hold to be set via configurable parameters. By default, both these parameters have 660 values equivalent to 500 grams of glucose. 661

When the *HumanBody* is in *Fed_Exercising* or *PostAbsorptive_Exercising* state during a tick, the *Muscles* object performs the following actions:

- Oxidation of glucose absorbed from the Blood: The Muscles absorb a random amount of glucose from the Blood (up to a configurable limit which is 30μ mol/kg/min by default) so that it can be oxidized to meet on average 10% of the energy needs during this tick. This absorption does not depend on the current insulinLevel in the Blood.
- Oxidation of glucose derived from local glycogen: The exercise intensity (in 669 $(%VO_2max)$ is used to determine the fraction of energy needs that will be met by 670 oxidizing glucose derived from locally stored glycogen. As the exercise intensity 671 increases from $0\% VO_2 max$ to $100\% VO_2 max$, a value between 0 and 0.9 is 672 determined using a sigmoid function (currently, the compressed CDF of a normal 673 distribution) such that exercise intensities $50\% VO_2max$ and $100\% VO_2max$ yield 674 values close to 0.4 and 0.9 respectively. This value is then used as the mean to 675 generate a random value that gives the fraction of energy needs during this tick to 676 be met by oxidizing glucose derived from local glycogen (as long as a sufficient 677

647

662

amount of local glycogen is available).

• <i>Glycolysis:</i> The glycolysis flux during the tick increases linearly from a (poisson	679
distributed) random value (with $gly colysisMin_{-}$ as the mean) to $gly colysisMax_{-}$ as	680
the exercise intensity increases from $0\% VO_2 max$ to $100\% VO_2 max$. The glucose	681
6-phosphate consumed for glycolysis comes from locally stored glycogen. The	682
resulting lactate is added to the <i>Blood</i> object.	683
• Fatty Acid Consumption: If glucose oxidation and glycolysis described above do	684
not meet the current energy needs, <i>Muscles</i> consume fat (representing fatty acids)	685
from the <i>AdiposeTissue</i> to meet the remaining energy needs.	686
When the $HumanBody$ is in $Fed_Resting$ or $PostAbsorptive_Resting$ state during a	687
tick, the <i>Muscles</i> object performs the following actions:	688
• <i>Glucose Absorption:</i> GLUT4 based glucose absorption [40] occurs in the manner	689
described in Section 3.3.1. Also, basal absorption via GLUT1s occurs at a	690
configured rate (by default zero).	691
• <i>Glycolysis:</i> A fraction of the absorbed glucose (determined as described in Section	692
(3.4) is consumed via glycolysis and the resulting lactate is added to the <i>Blood</i>	693
object.	694
• Glycogen Synthesis: If the glycogen store of the Muscles is less than the maximum	695
amount that <i>Muscles</i> could hold [40], a (poisson distributed) random amount of	696
the absorbed glucose (with a configurable mean, 7.0mg/kg/min by default) is	697
converted to glycogen.	698
• Oxidation: Remainder of the absorbed glucose is considered consumed via	699
oxidation.	700
• <i>Fatty Acid Consumption:</i> If glycolysis and glucose oxidation described above do	701
not meet the energy needs during the resting state, <i>Muscles</i> consume fat	702
(representing fatty acids) from the $A dipose T issue$ to meet the remaining energy	703
needs.	704

4.9 Adipose Tissue

CarbMetSim does not yet have a detailed implementation of lipid metabolism. Currently, 706 the AdiposeTissue object serves as the storage for fat. The Intestine object directly 707 adds the fat contents in chyme to the AdiposeTissue object. Similarly, the Liver object 708 converts excess glycogen to fat to be stored in the AdiposeTissue object. The Muscles 709 object directly removes fat from the AdiposeTissue in accordance with its energy needs. 710

4.10 Brain

The brain meets its energy needs by oxidizing glucose (although under starvation 712 conditions it can also use ketone bodies). The *nerve* cells in the brain use GLUT3 713 transporters to absorb glucose from the bloodstream. Since the K_m value associated 714 with GLUT3 transporters is quite low, the rate of glucose absorption by the nerve cells 715 does not change much with glucose concentration in the bloodstream (unless it drops 716 way below the normal levels). The brain oxidizes about 120 g of glucose per day, 717 equivalent to absorption of about 83.33 mg of glucose per minute [40, 66]. In 718 *CarbMetSim*, the brain operation is modeled as the *Brain* object which consumes a 719 (poisson distributed) random amount (with mean 83.33 mg) of glucose every minute 720 from the *Blood* object. 721

4.11 Heart

The heart meets most of its energy needs by oxidizing fatty acids. Depending upon their availability, up to 30% of the heart's energy needs are met by consuming glucose and lactate [68]. A much smaller part of the energy needs is met from amino acids and ketone bodies. The heart uses both GLUT1 and GLUT4 transporters to absorb glucose from the bloodstream. The *Heart* object in *CarbMetSim* models the heart operation. It absorbs a poisson distributed random amount of glucose (with default mean 14 mg/minute [66]) from *Blood* and oxidizes it to meet its energy needs.

705

711

5 Validation of *CarbMetSim* For a Meal Event

In order to determine the default values of various configurable parameters for normal 731 subjects and to illustrate *CarbMetSim*'s ability to model carbohydrate metabolism in 732 normal subjects and those with Type 2 Diabetes (T2D) in *post-absorptive* and 733 *post-prandial* phases, we configured the simulator to provide a close match with 734 measurements reported in Woerle et al. [51]. Woerle et al. [51] did extensive 735 measurements on 26 subjects with Type 2 Diabetes and 15 age/weight/sex-matched 736 subjects without diabetes to determine the flux along different pathways for glucose 737 arrival and consumption following a standard meal. The T2D subjects included 16 men 738 and 10 women with following characteristics: age 53 ± 2 years, body weight 93 ± 4 kg, 739 BMI $30 \pm 1kq/m^2$, body fat 34 ± 3 %, average *HbA1c* 8.6 ± 0.3 %. The normal subjects 740 included 7 men and 8 women with following characteristics: age 49 ± 3 years, body 741 weight 89 ± 4 kg, BMI $30 \pm 1 kq/m^2$, body fat 36 ± 3 %. All the subjects consumed a 742 standard breakfast, consisting of 84 g of glucose, 10 g of fat and 26 g of protein, at 743 10am on the day of the measurements after a fast of more than 14 hours. Measurements 744 were performed for the post-absorptive phase before the breakfast and the post-prandial 745 phase assumed to be six hours in duration after the breakfast. 746

Two sets of simulations were performed using *CarbMetSim*: one for a normal subject 747 and one for a T2D subject (see Table 3). Each set consisted of 30 simulations with 748 different seeds for random number generation. Default values were used for most of the 749 configurable simulation parameters. These values (already reported in the previous 750 sections) were set so that the normal subject simulations achieves a close match with 751 measurements reported in [51]. In particular, the impact of insulin level on the 752 gluconeogenesis flux was disabled because insulin level did not seem to influence the 753 gluconeogenesis flux in the reported measurements. Configurable parameters for which 754 the default values were not used are shown in Table 3. In all the simulations reported in 755 this section and the remaining ones, the parameters did not change in value with the 756 body state (although the simulator is capable of using different values for a parameter 757 depending on the body state). Simulation parameters bodyWeight and age_{-} were set to 758 the average values reported for subjects in each category in [51]. Parameters age_, 759 gender_ and fitnessLevel_ are used to determine VO_2max , the maximal rate of oxygen 760

consumption for the subject being simulated and are not relevant for the simulations reported in this section. The following parameters were set as per the data reported in [51] (see Tables 4 and 5): 763

- The *baseGlucoseLevel_* and *highGlucoseLevel_* values were set to the reported values for the fasting and the peak BGL [51].
- The *peakInsulinLevel_* values were set according to the reported peak plasma ⁷⁶⁶ insulin levels [51]. ⁷⁶⁷
- The average glycogen breakdown flux in the *Liver* (glycogenToGlucoseInLiver_) 768 values was set to achieve a good match with the reported values for the 769 *post-absorptive* glycogen breakdown flux and the total glycogen breakdown in the 770 liver during the *post-prandial* phase. 771

Each simulation ran for 18 hours of simulated time: from 12am in midnight till 6pm in 772 the next evening with one meal (consisting of 84 g of glucose, 10 g of fat and 26 g of 773 protein) intake event happening at 10am. There were no other events during the 774 simulated time and the simulated subject was already in the post-absorptive state when 775 the simulation started at 12am. 776

	Normal	Type 2 Diabetic
age_ (years)	49	53
gender_	0 (male)	0 (male)
fitnessLevel_ (%ile)	50	50
bodyWeight (kg)	89	93
$\min GlucoseLevel_(mg/dl)$	50	50
baseGlucoseLevel_ (mg/dl)	90	210
highGlucoseLevel_ (mg/dl)	145	360
baseInsulinLevel_	0.001	0.001
peakInsulinLevel_	1.0	0.6
glut4Impact_	1.0	0.25
$glycolysisMinImpact_{-}$	1.0	4.0
glycolysisMaxImpact_	1.0	1.5
$excretionKidneysImpact_$	1.0	1.3
glucoseToGlycogenInLiver_ (mg/kg/min)	4.5	6.75
glycogenToGlucoseInLiver_ (mg/kg/min)	0.9	1.25
gngLiver_ (mg/kg/min)	0.16	0.38
gngKidneys_ (mg/kg/min)	0.16	0.38

Table 3. Configuration parameters for simulations for a single meal event.

Fig 1 shows the minute-by-minute values of interest in two simulations with a particular seed value (for random number generation): one for the normal subject and 778

the other for the T2D subject. Fig 1a shows that the gastric emptying is complete 779 within 45 minutes of meal intake. The fat contents of the meal was responsible for some 760 of the delay in gastric emptying. Fig 1b shows the rapid digestion of glucose as it 761 arrives in the *Intestine* and Fig 1c shows the appearance of digested glucose in the 762 *PortalVein* as described in Section IV-D. Fig 1d shows the change in BGL throughout 763 the post-prandial phase starting from the post-absorptive levels before 10am. 784

Post-Absorptive Phase: During the post-absorptive phase (before 10am), the 785 insulinLevel (Fig 1e) is low enough to ensure that the glucose production via glycogen 786 breakdown in the *Liver* (Fig 1g) takes place at the peak level, there is no glycogen 787 synthesis in the Liver (Fig 1f) and glucose consumption via oxidation (Fig 1j) & 788 glycolysis (Fig 1i) in various organs is at their minimum levels. Gluconeogenesis 789 (Fig 1h) takes place in the *Liver* and the *Kidneys*, unaffected by the *insulinLevel* (as 790 reported in [51]), at the configured rates specified in [51] and provides the second source 791 of glucose during the post-absorptive phase. While the minimum glucose oxidation flux 792 is largely determined by the needs of the Brain and Heart, the configured values for the 793 minimum glycolysis flux are chosen so that total glucose consumption during the 794 post-absorptive phase matches the glucose production during this phase. Accordingly, 795 the glycolysisMinImpact_ parameter was set to value 4.0 in simulations for the T2D 796 subject and hence the glycolysis flux for the T2D subject during the post-absorptive 797 phase is much higher than that for the normal subject (Fig 1i). Thus, during the 798 post-absorptive phase, total glucose production (glycogen breakdown + gluconeogenesis) 790 is matched closely by the total glucose consumption (oxidation + glycolysis + excretion 800 in urine) and the BGL stabilizes to a value near the *baseGlucoseLevel*. Since the 801 glycogen breakdown in *Liver* is configured to rapidly slow down with increase in the 802 insulinLevel, any temporary mismatch between glucose production and consumption is 803 quickly corrected. 804

Post-Prandial Phase: The post-prandial phase in these simulations begins with the meal intake at 10am. The BGL begins to rise (as shown in Fig 1d) with the arrival of the digested glucose in the PortalVein. Increase in the BGL causes the *insulinLevel* to increase (Fig 1e) which rapidly brings glycogen breakdown in the Liver to a halt (Fig 1g). However, the influx of digested glucose (\approx 700 mg/minute at peak) is more than sufficient to compensate for the halt in glycogen breakdown (peak value \approx 120 and



(j) Total Glucose Oxidation in All(k) Glucose Excretion in Urine (l) Glucose Absorption in Muscles Organs

Fig 1. Simulating a Meal Event for a Normal Subject and a Subject with Type 2 Diabetes (T2D): Minute-by-minute Values For Important Processes In Simulations With a Particular Seed for Random Number Generation.

80 mg/minute for T2D and normal subjects respectively) and the BGL (and hence the *insulinLevel*) continues to rise. Glucose production via gluconeogenesis (Fig 1h) continues as before unaffected by the increase in the *insulinLevel* (as reported in [51]). In the simulation for the normal subject, increase in the *insulinLevel* causes a proportional increase in the GLUT4 activation and hence in the glucose absorption by 815

Muscles (Fig 11). The glucose absorbed by the *Muscles* is consumed via glycolysis and 816 oxidation. Since the glycogen stores in the Muscles are already full, none of the 817 absorbed glucose is stored as glycogen in the *Muscles*. Increased oxidation flux seen in 818 Fig 1j between 10am and 1pm in the normal subject is mainly due to the increased 819 glucose oxidation in the *Muscles*. Impaired GLUT4 activation in the T2D subject 820 (caused by $glut_4Impact_$ value 0.25) means that the diabetic Muscles are not able to 821 absorb as much glucose as the normal Muscles (Fig 11). Also, almost all of the glucose 822 absorbed by the diabetic *Muscles* is consumed via glycolysis (since the oxidation flux 823 shown in Fig 1 does not show any rise in the post-prandial phase for the T2D subject). 824 This is because of the much higher value of the minimum glycolysis flux in the T2D 825 subject than for the normal subject (caused by the *glycolysisMinImpact_* parameter 826 having value 4.0 for the T2D subject and 1.0 for the normal subject). Increase in the 827 insulinLevel causes glycolysis flux to increase in other organs too (Fig 1i). The peak 828 glycolysis flux for the T2D subject is configured to be higher than that for the normal 829 subject (by setting glycolysisMaxImpact_ to 1.25 for the T2D subject) so as to achieve a 830 close match with reported results in [51] for the total glycolysis flux during the 831 *post-prandial* phase assumed to be between 10am and 4pm (see Table 5). As the BGL 832 approaches the *highGlucoseLevel*_ (and *insulinLevel* approaches the *peakInsulinLevel*_), 833 glycogen synthesis in the *Liver* starts and quickly ramps up to its peak level (see Fig 1f) 834 thereby significantly slowing down any further increase in BGL. Note that the total 835 glycogen storage during the *post-prandial* phase as reported in [51] (and shown in 836 Tables 4 and 5) is higher for the T2D subjects than for the normal subjects even though 837 the T2D subjects have much smaller peak insulin levels. As described in Section 3.6, the 838 insulinLevel has a big impact on glycogen synthesis in the Liver. In order to 839 compensate for lower insulin levels in the T2D subjects, the *glucoseToGlucogenInLiver_* 840 parameter in the simulations is assigned a much higher value for the T2D subject than 841 for the normal subject (6.75 mg/kg/min versus 4.5 mg/kg/min). For the T2D subject, a 842 significant amount of glucose is also lost via excretion in urine (see Fig 1k). Thus, BGL 843 stays around the *highGlucoseLevel* as long as the digested glucose is appearing in the 844 Portal Vein at the peak rate. As the digested glucose appearance in the Portal Vein 845 slows down, the BGL begins to drop and the glycogen synthesis in the *Liver* quickly 846 comes to a halt thereby slowing down the rate at which the BGL falls. Decrease in BGL 847 also causes decrease in the glycolysis flux, the glucose absorption by the *Muscles* and the glucose excretion in the urine, which further slows down the rate of BGL decrease. As BGL approaches the *baseGlucoseLevel_*, the glycogen breakdown in the *Liver* quickly ramps up to prevent any further decrease in the BGL and another post-absorptive phase begins.

Comparison with Measurements from Woerle et al. [51]: Tables 4 and 5 show the 853 key measurements from [51] for normal and T2D subjects respectively along with the 854 corresponding results from the simulations. The simulations were configured to use the 855 post-absorptive (peak) glycogen breakdown and gluconeogenesis flux values reported 856 in [51]. With appropriate settings for other configurable parameters (Table 3), the 857 post-absorptive BGLs in the simulations were close to the values reported in [51] for 858 both normal and T2D subjects. The simulations were configured to ensure that the 859 insulinLevel does not have any impact on gluconeogenesis flux (as reported in [51]). 860 Accordingly, the gluconeogenesis flux in simulations 90 minutes after the breakfast was 861 same as that before the breakfast matching the numbers reported in [51]. The glycogen 862 breakdown 90 minutes after the breakfast was still substantial in [51] but had 863 completely halted in the simulations. Overall, the peak post-prandial BGLs in 864 simulations matched the ones reported in [51]. Total glucose produced/consumed along 865 various pathways in the simulations for the normal subject during 6 hours after the 866 breakfast was similar to values reported in [51]. The only exception was glycogen 867 breakdown. It is clear from Fig 1 that the post-prandial phase in simulations was over 868 by 1pm and hence glycogen breakdown happened at the peak level between 1pm and 869 4pm (Fig 1g). Apparently, this was not the case during measurements reported in [51] 870 and the average glycogen breakdown flux during 6 hours after the breakfast was quite 871 low. This combined with the fact that the glycogen breakdown was still substantial 90 872 minutes after the breakfast (when insulin levels were at their peak) means that glycogen 873 breakdown process is relatively slow in reacting to the insulin levels. We observed a 874 similar mismatch between the simulation results for the T2D subject and the values 875 reported in [51] for total glycogen breakdown during 6 hours after the breakfast. Also, 876 for the T2D subjects, [51] reported somewhat higher total gluconeogenesis flux during 6 877 hours after the breakfast $(26.9 \pm 2.2 \text{ g})$ than what we observed in the simulations 878 $(23.069 \pm 0.001 \text{ g})$. Since gluconeogenesis flux in the simulation had same values during 879 both post-prandial and post-absorptive phase, higher total flux reported in [51] means that gluconeogenesis flux actually increased during the post-prandial phase (perhaps due to higher availability of gluconeogenesis substrates). The simulator currently does not support increase in gluconeogenesis flux due to increased availability of substrates. Other results for T2D subjects in [51] were quite similar to what we observed in the simulations. Overall, it can be said that the simulation results closely matched those reported in [51] for both normal and T2D subjects.

[Woorlo of al [51]	Simulations								
		Simulations								
	Delore Breaklast									
BGL	$4.7 \pm 0.1 \text{ mM} (84.6 \pm 1.8 \text{ mg/dl})$	$91.937 \pm 0.010 \text{ mg/dl}$								
Glycogen Breakdown	$5.5 \pm 0.6 \mu mol/kg/min (88.1 \pm 9.6 mg/min)$	$80.064 \pm 0.171 \text{ mg/min}$								
Gluconeogenesis	$2.6 \pm 0.2 \mu \text{mol/kg/min} (41.6 \pm 3.2 \text{ mg/min})$	$41.720 \pm 0.053 \text{ mg/min}$								
	90 Minutes Afte	er Breakfast								
Plasma Insulin	$290 \pm 29 \text{ pM}$	0.993 ± 0.001								
Glycogen Breakdown	$1.3 \pm 0.6 \mu \text{mol/kg/min} (20.8 \pm 9.6 \text{ mg/min})$	0 mg/min								
Gluconeogenesis	$2.6 \pm 0.2 \mu \text{mol/kg/min} (41.6 \pm 3.2 \text{ mg/min})$	$41.824 \pm 0.051 \text{ mg/min}$								
Peak Post-prandial BGL	8 mM (144 mg/dl)	$144.826 \pm 0.046 \text{ mg/dl}$								
	Total Glucose Consumed/Produced Du	uring 6 Hours After The Breakfast								
Gluconeogenesis	$15.3 \pm 1.2 \text{ g}$	$15.041 \pm 0.001 \text{ g}$								
Glycogen Breakdown	$4.3 \pm 1.7 \text{ g}$	$16.241 \pm 0.002 \text{ g}$								
Glucose Excretion in Urine	$0.7 \pm 0.4 \text{ g}$	0 g								
Oxidation	45.6 ± 2.6 g	47.055 ± 0.008 g								
Glycolysis	21.5 ± 2.2 g	22.614 ± 0.002 g								
Glycogen Storage	$40.6 \pm 3.6 \text{ g}$	$45.616 \pm 0.008 \text{ g}$								

Table 4. Normal Subjects: Key Measurements From Woerle Et Al. [51] and Corresponding Results From 30 Simulations with Different Seeds. "Before Breakfast" Simulation Results Were Observed at 9.59AM. All Values Expressed as $Mean \pm Std$ Error.

	Woerle et al. [51]	Simulations						
	Before Breakfast							
BGL	$11.7 \pm 0.6 \text{ mM} (210.6 \pm 10.8 \text{ mg/dl})$	$219.820 \pm 0.063 \text{ mg/dl}$						
Glycogen Breakdown	$7.0 \pm 0.4 \mu mol/kg/min (117.2 \pm 6.7 mg/min)$	$116.089 \pm 0.183 \text{ mg/min}$						
Gluconeogenesis	$3.8 \pm 0.3 \mu \text{mol/kg/min} (63.6 \pm 5 \text{ mg/min})$	$64.092 \pm 0.078 \text{ mg/min}$						
	90 Minutes After	Breakfast						
Plasma Insulin	$179 \pm 19 \text{ pM}$	0.6 ± 0.000						
Glycogen Breakdown	$3.8 \pm 0.7 \mu \text{mol/kg/min} (63.6 \pm 11.7 \text{ mg/min})$	0 mg/min						
Gluconeogenesis	$3.8 \pm 0.3 \mu mol/kg/min \ (63.6 \pm 5 mg/min)$	$64.127 \pm 0.084 \text{ mg/min}$						
Peak Post-prandial BGL	20 mM (360 mg/dl)	$363.064 \pm 0.076 \text{ mg/dl}$						
	Total Glucose Consumed/Produced Dur	ring 6 Hours After The Breakfast						
Gluconeogenesis	$26.9 \pm 2.2 \text{ g}$	$23.069 \pm 0.001 \text{ g}$						
Glycogen Breakdown	$10.1\pm1.2~{ m g}$	$22.648 \pm 0.006 \text{ g}$						
Glucose Excretion in Urine	$17.4 \pm 2.7 { m g}$	$16.750 \pm 0.007 \text{ g}$						
Oxidation	$32.8\pm2.8~{ m g}$	$35.039 \pm 0.001 \text{ g}$						
Glycolysis	$28.7\pm2.2~{ m g}$	$31.386 \pm 0.010 \text{ g}$						
Glycogen Storage	$46.3\pm3.3~{\rm g}$	$46.514 \pm 0.007 \text{ g}$						

Table 5. Subjects with Type 2 Diabetes: Key Measurements From Woerle Et Al. [51] and Corresponding Results From 30 Simulations with Different Seeds. "Before Breakfast" Simulation Results Were Observed at 9.59AM. All Values Expressed as $Mean \pm Std \; Error$.

6 Validation of *CarbMetSim* for an Exercise Event

Carbohydrate metabolism during and after an exercise event has been extensively studied for both normal and diabetic subjects [44, 47, 69–72]. As described in Section 4.8, glucose oxidation plays a major role in meeting energy needs during physical exercise. The exercising muscles get the glucose they need by breaking down locally stored glycogen and by absorbing glucose from the bloodstream. The glucose absorption from the bloodstream does not depend on the insulin levels since the physical exercise itself is sufficient to activate GLUT4 transporters [42–44].

In case of normal people, physical exercise inhibits insulin secretion [65, 73-76] and 895 promotes secretion of other hormones such as glucogon [65, 75–77]. These changes allow 896 the liver to break sufficient glycogen to meet the increased glucose needs. So, as long as 897 glycogen is available in the liver and in the exercising muscles, the glucose production 898 via glycogenolysis (in the liver and the exercising muscles) and gluconeogenesis (in the 899 liver and kidneys) generally matches the glucose consumption by exercising muscles 900 (and other organs) and the blood glucose level stays in the normal range [44, 47]. The 901 blood glucose level will drop once the glycogen stores have been exhausted and 902 gluconeogenesis alone is not sufficient to match the glucose consumption by the 903 exercising muscles. In case of people with Type 2 Diabetes or insulin-treated Type 1 904 Diabetes, physical exercise fails to sufficiently reduce insulin level in the blood and as a result the glycogen breakdown in the liver may not be sufficient to meet the additional 906 glucose needs [78]. Thus, the blood glucose level may drop significantly during physical 907 exercise. Finally, people with Type 1 Diabetes with too little insulin in their system 908 may experience an increase in BGL (which was already high before the exercise) when 909 they indulge in physical exercise. This may happen because the secretion of glucagon 910 and other hormones during exercise increases glucose production via glycogen 911 breakdown in the liver to a rate much higher than the impaired rate at which the 912 exercising muscles absorb glucose in some people with Type 1 Diabetes [44–47]. 913

In order to demonstrate *CarbMetSim*'s ability to simulate the impact of aerobic physical exercise, we report in this section the simulations where normal male subjects perform a long aerobic exercise following an overnight fast. These simulations replicate the experiments reported in [79] and [80]. Ahlborg and Felig [79] observed 20 normal

male subjects as they performed a leg exercise at intensity 58 $%VO_2max$ for 3 to 3.5 918 hours after a 12 to 14 hour overnight fast. In a later study, Ahlborg et. al. [80] observed 919 12 normal male subjects as they performed a leg/arm exercise at intensity 30 920 $%VO_2max$ for 2 hours after a 12 to 14 hour overnight fast. The characteristics of these 921 subjects are shown in Table 6. For each subject, the concentrations in the blood were 922 recorded for a number of substrates and hormones including glucose. The relevant BGL 923 data reported in [79] and [80] is shown in Table 7 and Fig 2. In the following 924 subsections, we interpret each set of BGL data and demonstrate that with proper 925 configuration CarbMetSim can be made to replicate each pattern. 926

	Average	Standard Error	Range						
	20 Subje	ects Doing Leg I	Exercise at $58\% VO_2 max$ [79]						
Age (years)	26	0.7	20-31						
Weight(Kg)	71	1.6	57-82						
$\operatorname{Height}(\operatorname{cm})$	182	1.4	169-187						
$VO_2max(\text{liters/min})$	3.8	.13	2.6-4.8						
6 Subjects Doing Arm Exercise at 30%VO ₂ max [80]									
Age (years)	27	1	24-29						
Weight(Kg)	80	6	61-100						
$\operatorname{Height}(\operatorname{cm})$	186	4	171-198						
$VO_2max(\text{liters/min})$	4.1	.3	3.3-4.8						
	6 Subje	cts Doing Leg E	Exercise at $30\% VO_2 max$ [80]						
Age (years)	27	2	19-31						
Weight(Kg)	74	4	62-93						
Height(cm)	181	3	170-194						
$VO_2max(\text{liters/min})$	3.9	.2	3.3-4.8						

Table 6. Characteristics of Subjects Reported in [79] and [80].

	Blood G	Blood Glucose Level (mmol/l): Average \pm Standard Error										
	Leg Exercise at 58%VO ₂ max [79]	Arm Exercise at 30%VO ₂ max [80]	Leg Exercise at 30%VO ₂ max [80]									
Rest	4.39 ± 0.08	4.00 ± 0.11	4.33 ± 0.09									
Exercise:40min	4.09 ± 0.10	4.01 ± 0.31	4.28 ± 0.10									
Exercise:90min	3.86 ± 0.28	4.06 ± 0.20	4.07 ± 0.16									
Exercise:120min	3.55 ± 0.11	3.98 ± 0.23	3.81 ± 0.15									
Exercise:180min	2.78 ± 0.13											
Exercise:210min	2.56 ± 0.13											
Recovery:10min	3.12 ± 0.13	3.96 ± 0.31	4.06 ± 0.25									
Recovery:20min	3.19 ± 0.13	3.76 ± 0.29	4.11 ± 0.25									
Recovery:40min	3.18 ± 0.10	3.83 ± 0.25	4.13 ± 0.21									

Table 7. BGL Measurements Reported in [79] and [80].

6.1 Exercise at Intensity 58 $%VO_2max$

In the case of experiments involving physical exercise at intensity 58 $%VO_2max$, Table 928 7 and Fig 2 show that there is a continuous drop in the BGL as the exercise progresses. 929 The BGL approaches hypoglycemic levels towards the end of the exercise. Also, there is 930



Fig 2. Average BGL Measurements (After Conversion to mg/dl) Reported in [79] and [80].

only a modest recovery from hypoglycemic BGL once the exercise concludes. These 931 observations, coupled with the fact that the exercise began after a long fast, indicate 932 that the liver glycogen was exhausted some time after the start of the exercise and that 933 the local glycogen and the gluconeogenesis were the only sources of glucose for the 934 exercising muscles. The BGL dropped continuously because the gluconeogenesis alone 935 was not sufficient to compensate for the absorption of glucose from the blood by the 936 exercising muscles. After the completion of the exercise, gluconeogenesis continues to be 937 the only source of glucose and is insufficient to bring the BGL to pre-exercise level. 938

We generated 20 age and weight value pairs for normal male subjects to simulate 939 using the average and standard error values specified in [79] (see Table 6) and simulated 940 the described experiment on these subjects. Each simulation started at simulated time 941 12am and had the subject perform a 210 minute long exercise (at intensity 58 942 $\% VO_2 max$) starting at 12pm. Each simulation ended at simulated time 5pm and used 943 the same seed value for the random number generation. We adjusted the simulation 944 parameters so as to cause the liver glycogen exhaustion early on in the exercise and thus 945 match the BGL trends reported in [79]. The simulation parameters (that differed from 946 the default values) for the simulated subjects are shown in Table 8. In each simulation, 947 the initial glycogen store in the *Liver* was set to 60 grams so that very little glycogen 948 was left in the *Liver* by the time the exercise event began at 12pm. The gngImpact_ 949 parameter was set to values between 13.2 and 15.5 so as to appropriately limit the 950 glucose production via gluconeogenesis during the exercise event. 951

Fig 3 shows the results of *CarbMetSim* simulations replicating the physical exercise

Subject #	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
age_ (years)	23	26	26	30	22	25	26	24	24	22	20	23	31	26	26	30	21	29	25	26
gender_ (0=Male)																				
fitnessLevel_ (%ile)										50										
bodyWeight (kg)	57	63	59	78	60	71	75	76	72	64	74	82	71	62	64	70	78	65	65	74
minGlucoseLevel_ (mg/dl)										40										
baseGlucoseLevel_(mg/dl)										79										
highGlucoseLevel. (mg/dl)										145	i i									
baseInsulinLevel_										0.00	1									
peakInsulinLevel_										1.0										
gngImpact_	15.5	15.1	15.35	13.2	15.35	14.75	14.5	14.5	14.7	15.1	14.55	14.3	13.5	15.25	15.1	13.5	14.35	15	15	14.6
Initial Liver Glycogen (g)		60.0																		
											0		-							

Table 8. Configuration parameters for simulations for a single exercise event at intensity 58 $%VO_2max$.

event at intensity 58 $\% VO_2 max$ as reported in [79]. The BGL values for each simulated 953 subject, along with the average BGL values reported in [79], are shown in Fig 3a. As 954 this figure shows, the BGLs in the simulations have a close match with the 955 measurements reported in [79]. For all subjects, the BGL hovered around its 956 pre-exercise level for some time once the exercise began and then dropped continuously 957 throughout the exercise duration with final values being in the hypoglycemic range. 958 After the conclusion of the exercise activity, the BGL recovered but failed to reach its 959 pre-exercise level. 960

Fig 3b shows the amount of glycogen left in the *Liver* for a particular simulated 961 subject. As mentioned before, the initial amount of glycogen in the *Liver* was set so 962 that all this glycogen would be exhausted in the early stages of the exercise activity. As 963 is clear from Fig 3b, all the glycogen in the *Liver* was exhausted by 1pm after which the 964 gluconeogenesis was the only source of glucose for this particular subject. Fig 3c and 965 Fig 3d show the glycogen breakdown flux in the *Liver* and the combined 966 gluconeogenesis flux in the *Liver* and *Kidneys* for this particular subject. Note that the 967 glycogen breakdown flux and the gluconeogenesis flux fluctuated between high and low 968 values once the exercise began (and before the *Liver* glycogen was exhausted). This 969 behavior is in accordance with the manner in which the *insulinLevel* varies (Section 3.2) 970 and the manner in which the glycogen breakdown in the Liver (Section 3.6) and 971 gluconeogenesis in the Liver and Kidneys (Section 3.5) react to the insulinLevel. As 972 described in Section 3.2, when the BGL falls below the baseGlucoseLevel, the 973 insulinLevel becomes zero if the body is engaged in an exercise at an intensity higher 974 than the *intensityPeakGlucoseProd*₋ (default value 20 $\% VO_2max$). Since the exercise 975 intensity (58 $\% VO_2max$) was indeed higher than the *intensityPeakGlucoseProd*, the 976 insulinLevel fell to zero whenever the BGL fell below the baseGlucoseLevel. This 977 caused both glycogen breakdown in the *Liver* and gluconeogenesis in the *Liver* and 978





(a) Green: BGL for 20 simulated subjects; Red: average BGL reported in [79]



subject # 1 \mathbf{x} **3** Results of simulations involving a physical exercise event at intensity 58

Fig 3. Results of simulations involving a physical exercise event at intensity 58 $\% VO_2max$ as reported in [79].

<i>Kidneys</i> to proceed at the highest levels. When the BGL exceeded the	979
$baseGlucoseLevel_{-}$, the $insulinLevel$ exceeded the $baseInsulinLevel_{-}$ and the glycogen	980
breakdown & gluconeogenesis fluxes dropped down to the regular levels.	981
Once the liver glycogen was exhausted, the gluconeogenesis alone (even when	982
occurring at the highest level) was not sufficient to push BGL above the	983
$baseGlucoseLevel_{-}$ and hence the <i>insulinLevel</i> stayed at zero level for rest of the exercise	984
duration and the gluconeogenesis continued to occur at its highest level as the only	985
source of glucose for the blood. Once the exercise was over, the <i>insulinLevel</i> increased	986
to a positive value below $baseInsulinLevel_{-}$ (as per the rules described in Section 3.2)	987
and in response the gluconeogenesis flux assumed a value between the regular and the	988
highest levels (as per the rules described in Section 3.5). Gluconeogenesis at this level	989
allowed the BGL to climb up from the hypoglycemic range to a level below the	990

 $baseGlucoseLevel_{-}.$

6.2 Arm Exercise at Intensity 30 $\% VO_2 max$

In the case of experiments involving an arm exercise at intensity 30 %VO₂max, it is clear from Table 7 and Fig 2 that the BGL largely maintains its pre-exercise level during the entire exercise duration (although there is a small drop in the BGL during the recovery phase). These observations indicate that the liver glycogen was not exhausted during the exercise and that the breakdown of liver glycogen and gluconeogenesis together were sufficient to meet the needs of the exercising muscles. Once the exercise was over, the liver glycogen breakdown and gluconeogenesis returned to their pre-exercise levels and accordingly the BGL also returned to its pre-exercise level.

We generated 6 age and weight value pairs for normal male subjects to simulate 1001 using the average and standard error values specified in [80] for the arm exercise 1002 experiments (see Table 6) and simulated the described experiment on these subjects. 1003 CarbMetSim does not currently distinguish between different muscles and hence the arm 1004 exercise was simulated as a regular exercise. Each simulation started at 12am and had 1005 the subject perform a 120 minute long exercise (at intensity 30 $\% VO_2max$) starting at 1006 12pm. Each simulation ended at 5pm and used the same seed value for the random 1007 number generation. The simulation parameters (that differed from the default values) 1008 for the simulated subjects are shown in Table 9. In each simulation, the initial glycogen 1009 store in the *Liver* was set to 100 grams so that the liver glycogen does not get 1010 exhausted during the exercise. The gngImpact_ parameter was set to value 15.0 so that 1011 the glucose production via gluconeogenesis can ramp up to a high enough level when 1012 required during the exercise. 1013

Fig 4 shows the results of CarbMetSim simulations replicating the arm exercise event at intensity 30 % VO_2max as reported in [80]. The BGL values for each simulated subject, along with the average BGL values reported in [80], are shown in Fig 4a. As this figure shows, the BGLs in the simulations have a close match with the measurements reported in [80]. For all subjects, the BGL hovered around its pre-exercise level throughout the exercise duration and then went back to the pre-exercise level. Fig 4b shows the amount of glycogen left in the *Liver* for a particular simulated subject. As

991

Subject #	1	2	3	4	5	6	
age_ (years)	24	29	28	27	27	28	
gender_ $(0=Male)$	0						
fitnessLevel_ (%ile)	50						
bodyWeight (kg)	61	100	97	62	88	87	
$minGlucoseLevel_(mg/dl)$	40						
$baseGlucoseLevel_(mg/dl)$	72						
highGlucoseLevel_ (mg/dl)			14	5			
baseInsulinLevel_	0.001						
peakInsulinLevel_	1.0						
gngImpact_	15.0						
Initial Liver Glycogen (g)			100	0.0			

Table 9. Configuration parameters for simulations for a single "arm" exercise event at intensity $30 \ \% VO_2 max$.

desired, the liver glycogen did not get exhausted during the exercise and in the recovery 1021 phase. Fig 4c and Fig 4d show the glycogen breakdown flux in the *Liver* and the 1022 combined gluconeogenesis flux in the *Liver* and *Kidneys* for this particular subject. As 1023 was the case with the 58 $%VO_2max$ simulations reported in the previous section, the 1024 glycogen breakdown flux and the gluconeogenesis flux fluctuated between high and low 1025 values once the exercise began. The explanation for this behavior was provided in the 1026 previous section. These oscillations explain the BGL oscillations throughout the exercise 1027 duration. Once the exercise was over, the *insulinLevel* increased to the *baseInsulinLevel*. 1028 (as per the rules described in Section 3.2) and in response the liver glycogen breakdown 1029 and gluconeogenesis fluxes (and hence the BGL) assumed their pre-exercise levels. 1030

6.3 Leg Exercise at Intensity 30 $\% VO_2max$

Finally, we have the experiments involving a leg exercise at intensity $30 \ \% VO_2 max$. In ¹⁰³² these experiments, the BGL dropped modestly during the exercise and then seemed to ¹⁰³³ climb back to the pre-exercise level (see Table 7 and Fig 2). The fact that the ¹⁰³⁴ post-exercise BGL approached pre-exercise level indicates that the liver glycogen was ¹⁰³⁵ not exhausted during the exercise or in the recovery phase. However, the fact that the ¹⁰³⁶ BGL dropped modestly throughout the exercise indicates that glucose production ¹⁰³⁷ during exercise (via liver glycogen breakdown and gluconeogenesis) was a little less than ¹⁰³⁸



(a) Green: BGL for 6 simulated subjects; Red: average(b) Liver glycogen for the subject # 1 BGL reported in [80]



(c) Liver glycogen breakdown for the subject # 1
 (d) Total gluconeogenesis in Liver and Kidneys for the subject # 1

Fig 4. Results of simulations replicating a physical exercise event involving arms at intensity $30 \ \% VO_2 max$ as reported in [80].

the amount absorbed from the blood by the exercising muscles. Clearly, in these 1039 experiments, the physical exercise was not able to stimulate liver glycogen breakdown 1040 and gluconeogenesis sufficiently so that their combined glucose production could match 1041 the demands of the exercising muscles. 1042

We generated 6 age and weight value pairs for normal male subjects to simulate 1043 using the average and standard error values specified in [80] for the leg exercise 1044 experiments (see Table 6) and simulated the described experiment on these subjects. As 1045 mentioned before, *CarbMetSim* does not currently distinguish between different muscles 1046 and hence the *leg* exercise was simulated as a regular exercise. Each simulation started 1047 at 12am and had the subject perform a 120 minute long exercise (at intensity 30 1048 $\% VO_2 max$) starting at 12pm. Each simulation ended at 5pm and used the same seed 1049 value for the random number generation. In these simulations, we wanted to precisely 1050

control the glucose production via liver glycogen breakdown and gluconeogenesis during 1051 exercise. The total glucose production during exercise had to be just a little less than 1052 what the exercising muscles were absorbing from the blood. To achieve this end, we 1053 reduced the *liverGlycogenBreakdownImpact*₋ (that controls the liver glycogen breakdown 1054 during exercise; see Sections 3.6 and 3.2) to value 1.0 (i.e. no extra glycogen breakdown 1055 in the *Liver* during the exercise) while increasing the *glycogenToGlucoseInLiver*_ 1056 parameter (that controls the regular glycogen breakdown in the *Liver*) value 1057 appropriately. The gngImpact_ parameter (that controls the gluconeogenesis flux during 1058 exercise; see Sections 3.5 and 3.2) was also set appropriately to limit glucose production 1059 via gluconeogenesis during exercise. All simulation parameters (that differed from the 1060 default values) for the simulated subjects are shown in Table 10. In each simulation, the 1061 initial glycogen store in the *Liver* was set to 100 grams so that the liver glycogen would 1062 not be exhausted during the exercise or the recovery phase. 1063

Subject #	1	2	3	4	5	6
age_ (years)	20	31	22	29	30	25
gender_ (0=Male)	0					
fitnessLevel_ (%ile)	50					
bodyWeight (kg)	62	93	68	70	82	71
minGlucoseLevel_ (mg/dl)		40				
baseGlucoseLevel_ (mg/dl)	78					
highGlucoseLevel_ (mg/dl)	145					
baseInsulinLevel_			(0.001		
peakInsulinLevel_				1.0		
gngImpact_	6.2	5.6	6.2	6.2	5.6	6.1
Initial Liver Glycogen (g)	100.0					
glycogenToGlucoseInLiver_(mg/kg/min)	1.4	0.9	1.3	1.25	1.05	1.25
$liverGlycogenBreakdownImpact_{-}$				1.0		

Table 10. Configuration parameters for simulations for a single "leg" exercise event at intensity $30 \ \% VO_2 max$.

Fig 5 shows the results of *CarbMetSim* simulations replicating the *leg* exercise event ¹⁰⁶⁴ at intensity 30 $\% VO_2max$ as reported in [80]. The BGL values for each simulated ¹⁰⁶⁵ subject, along with the average BGL values reported in [80], are shown in Fig 5a. As ¹⁰⁶⁶ this figure shows, the BGLs in the simulations have a reasonably good match with the ¹⁰⁶⁷ measurements reported in [80]. As desired, for all subjects, the BGL dropped modestly ¹⁰⁶⁸ during the exercise duration and then went back to the pre-exercise level. Fig 5b shows ¹⁰⁶⁹ the amount of glycogen left in the *Liver* for a particular simulated subject and Fig 5c ¹⁰⁷⁰

shows the glycogen breakdown flux in the *Liver* for this subject. As desired, the liver 1071 glycogen flux did not increase during the exercise. Fig 5d shows the combined 1072 gluconeogenesis flux in the *Liver* and *Kidneys* for this particular subject. Since the 1073 BGL was always below the *baseGlucoseLevel*₋ throughout the exercise duration and the 1074 exercise intensity was greater than intensity PeakGlucoseProd, the insulinLevel was zero 1075 throughout the exercise duration and hence the gluconeogenesis took place at its highest 1076 level throughout the exercise duration. However, the zero value of the *insulinLevel* was 1077 not able to stimulate liver glycogen breakdown because the 1078 *liverGlycogenBreakdownImpact*, was set to value 1. The combined glucose production 1079 via gluconeogenesis and liver glycogen breakdown was just below the glucose absorbed 1080 from the blood by the exercising muscles and hence the BGL dropped modestly 1081 throughout the exercise duration as desired. Once the exercise was over, the 1082 insulinLevel increased to the baseInsulinLevel_ and in response the gluconeogenesis flux 1083 (and hence the BGL) assumed their pre-exercise level. 1084

7 Conclusion

This paper described *CarbMetSim*, a discrete event simulator that models the 1086 carbohydrate metabolism in human beings and allows tracking of a normal or Type 2 1087 Diabetic subject's BGL in response to a timed sequence of diet and exercise activities. 1088 The paper also validated *CarbMetSim*'s behavior in response to single meal and exercise 1089 events and demonstrated its ability to emulate actual BGL patterns with appropriate 1090 configuration. Our future work on *CarbMetSim* will include more validation of its 1091 behavior against real BGL data, expanding its functionality to correct some of its 1092 current limitations identified towards the end of Section 1 and building web/smartphone 1093 apps that will allow diabetes patients to use the simulator. CarbMetSim can also serve 1094 as the underlying engine for a variety of diabetes self management and education tools. 1095 With its open source nature and ease of modification/extension, *CarbMetSim* also has a 1096 good potential to emerge as a popular simulation framework for diabetes research. 1097



(a) Green: BGL for 6 simulated subjects; Red: average(b) Liver glycogen for the subject # 1 BGL reported in [80]



subject # 1 Fig. 5. Results of simulations replicating a physical avarciae event involving large at

Fig 5. Results of simulations replicating a physical exercise event involving legs at intensity $30 \ \% VO_2 max$ as reported in [80].

References

- International Diabetes Federation. IDF Diabetes Atlas 8th Edition; 2017. http://www.diabetesatlas.org/
- Kovatchev B, Breton M, Dalla Man C, Cobelli C. In Silico Preclinical Trials: A Proof of Concept in Closed-loop Control of Type 1 Diabetes. J Diabetes Sci Technol. 2009;3(1):44–55.
- Dalla Man C, Micheletto F, Lv D, Breton M, Kovatchev B, Cobelli C. The UVA/PADOVA Type 1 Diabetes Simulator: New Features. J Diabetes Sci Technol. 2014;8(1):26–34.
- Goyal M, Aydas B, Ghazaleh H. CarbMetSim: The Carbohydrate Metabolism Simulator; 2018. https://github.com/mukulgoyalmke/CarbMetSim

- Cobelli C, Dalla Man C, Sparacino G, Magni L, De Nicolao G, Kovatchev BP.
 Diabetes: Models, Signals, and Control. IEEE Rev Biomed Eng. 2009;2:54–96.
- Tresp V, Briegel T, Moody J. Neural-network Models for the Blood Glucose Metabolism of a Diabetic. IEEE Trans Neural Netw. 1999;10(5):1204–1213.
- Zitar RA, Al-Jabali A. Towards Neural Network Model for Insulin/Glucose in Diabetics-II. Informatica. 2005;29:227–232.
- Quchani S, Tahami E. Comparison of MLP and Elman Neural Network for Blood Glucose Level Prediction in Type 1 Diabetics. In: 3rd Kuala Lumpur International Conference on Biomedical Engineering 2006. Springer; 2006. p. 54–58.
- Baghdadi G, Nasrabadi AM. Controlling Blood Glucose Levels in Diabetics by Neural Network Predictor. In: 29th Annual International Conference of the IEEE Engineering in Medicine and Biology Society. IEEE; 2007. p. 3216–3219.
- Zainuddin Z, Pauline O, Ardil C. A Neural Network Approach in Predicting the Blood Glucose Level for Diabetic Patients. Int J Comput Intell. 2009;5(1):72–79.
- Mougiakakou SG, Prountzou A, Iliopoulou D, Nikita KS, Vazeou A, Bartsocas CS. Neural Network Based Glucose-insulin Metabolism Models for Children with Type 1 Diabetes. In: 28th Annual International Conference of the IEEE Engineering in Medicine and Biology Society. IEEE; 2006. p. 3545–3548.
- Valletta JJ, Chipperfield AJ, Byrne CD. Gaussian Process Modelling of Blood Glucose Response to Free-living Physical Activity Data in People with Type 1 Diabetes. In: Annual International Conference of the IEEE Engineering in Medicine and Biology Society. IEEE; 2009. p. 4913–4916.
- Oviedo S, Vehí J, Calm R, Armengol J. A Review of Personalized Blood Glucose Prediction Strategies for T1DM Patients. International journal for numerical methods in biomedical engineering. 2017;33(6).
- Boliei V. Coefficients of Normal Blood Glucose Regulation. J Clin Invest. 1960;39(2):783–788.

- Ackerman E, Gatewood L, Rosevear J, Molnar G. Model Studies of Blood-glucose Regulation. Bulletin of Math Biophy. 1965;27:21–37.
- Gatewood L, Ackerman E, Rosevear J, Molnar G. Simulation Studies of Blood-glucose Regulation: Effect of Intestinal Glucose Absorption. Comput and Biomed Research. 1968;2:15–27.
- Charrette W. Control System Theory Applied to Metabolic Homeostatic Systems and the Derivation and Identification of Mathematical Models. California Institute of Technology; 1968.
- Foster R, Soeldner J, Tan M, Guyton J. Short Term Glucose Homeostasis in Man: A Systems Dynamic Model. J Dyn Syst Meas Control. 1973;95:308–314.
- Cerasi E, Fick G, Rudemo M. A Mathematical Model for the Glucose Induced Insulin Release in Man. Europ J Clin Invest. 1974;4:267–278.
- Insel P, Liljenquist J, Tobin J, Sherwin R, Watkins P, Andres R, et al. Insulin Control of Glucose Metabolism in Man. J Clin Invest. 1975;55:1057–1066.
- Cramp D, Carson E. The Dynamics of Short-term Blood Glucose Regulation. In: Carbohydrate Metabolism. John Wiley and Sons; 1981. p. 349–368.
- 22. Cobelli C, Federspil G, Pacini G, Salvan A, Scandellari C. An Integrated Mathematical Model of the Dynamics of Blood Glucose and its Hormonal Control. Mathematical Biosciences. 1982;58:27–60.
- Sorensen J. A Physiologic Model of Glucose Metabolism in Man and its Use to Design and Assess Improved Insulin Therapies for Diabetes. Massachusetts Institute of Technology; 1978.
- Bergman RN, Ider YZ, Bowden CR, Cobelli C. Quantitative Estimation of Insulin Sensitivity. American Journal of Physiology-Endocrinology And Metabolism. 1979;236(6):E667–E677.
- 25. Bergman RN, Phillips LS, Cobelli C. Physiologic Evaluation of Factors Controlling Glucose Tolerance in Man: Measurement of Insulin Sensitivity and

Beta-cell Glucose Sensitivity from the Response to Intravenous Glucose. Journal of clinical investigation. 1981;68(6):1456–1467.

- 26. Furler SM, Kraegen EW, Smallwood RH, Chisholm DJ. Blood Glucose Control by Intermittent Loop Closure in the Basal Mode: Computer Simulation Studies with a Diabetic Model. Diabetes Care. 1985;8(6):553–561.
- Ollerton R. Application of Optimal Control Theory to Diabetes Mellitus. International Journal of Control. 1989;50(6):2503–2522.
- Fisher ME. A Semiclosed-loop Algorithm for the Control of Blood Glucose Levels in Diabetics. IEEE Trans Biomed Eng. 1991;38(1):57–61.
- Roy A, Parker RS. Dynamic Modeling of Free Fatty Acid, Glucose, and Insulin: An Extended "Minimal Model". Diabetes Technology & Therapeutics. 2006;8(6):617–626.
- Roy A, Parker RS. Dynamic Modeling of Exercise Effects On Plasma Glucose and Insulin Levels. IFAC Proceedings Volumes. 2006;39(2):509–514.
- Derouich M, Boutayeb A. The Effect of Physical Exercise on the Dynamics of Glucose and Insulin. Journal of Biomechanics. 2002;35(7):911–917.
- Tiran J, Avruch I, Albisser A. A Circulation and Organs Model for Insulin Dynamics. Am J Physiol. 1979;237:E331–E339.
- 33. Guyton JR, Foster RO, Soeldner JS, Tan MH, Kahn CB, Koncz L, et al. A Model of Glucose-insulin Homeostasis in Man that Incorporates the Heterogeneous Fast Pool Theory of Pancreatic Insulin Release. Diabetes. 1978;27(10):1027–1042.
- Parker RS, Doyle FJ, Peppas NA. A Model-based Algorithm for Blood Glucose Control in Type I Diabetic Patients. IEEE Trans Biomed Eng. 1999;46(2):148–157.
- Parker RS, Doyle FJ, Ward JH, Peppas NA. Robust H∞ Glucose Control in Diabetes using a Physiological Model. AIChE Journal. 2000;46(12):2537–2549.
- Lehmann E, Deutsch T. A Physiological Model of Glucose-insulin Interaction in Type I Diabetes Mellitus. J Biomed Eng. 1992;14:235–242.

- 37. Hovorka R, Canonico V, Chassin LJ, Haueter U, Massi-Benedetti M, Federici MO, et al. Nonlinear Model Predictive Control of Glucose Concentration in Subjects with Type 1 Diabetes. Physiological Measurement. 2004;25:905–920.
- Dalla Man C, Rizza RA, Cobelli C. Meal Simulation Model of the Glucose-insulin System. IEEE Trans Biomed Eng. 2007;54(10):1740–1749.
- Englyst HN, Kingman S, Cummings J. Classification and Measurement of Nutritionally Important Starch Fractions. European Journal of Clinical Nutrition. 1992;46:S33-50.
- Frayn K. Metabolic Regulation: A Human Perspective, 3rd Edition. Wiley-Blackwell; 2010.
- Gleeson M. Interrelationship between Physical Activity and Branched-Chain Amino Acids. J Nutrition. 2005;135(6):1591S–1595S.
- Ploug T, Galbo H, Richter E. Increased Muscle Glucose Uptake During Contractions: No Need for Insulin. American Journal of Physiology. 1984;247:E726–E731.
- 43. Goodyear L, King P, Hirshman M, Thompson C, Horton E. Contractile Activity Increases Plasma Membrane Glucose Transporters in Absence of Insulin. American Journal of Physiology. 1990;258:E667–E672.
- Wasserman D, Zinman B. Exercise in Individuals with IDDM. Diabetes Care. 1994;17(8):924–937.
- 45. Berger M, Berchtold P, Cuppers H, Drost H, Kley H, Muller W, et al. Metabolic and Hormonal Effects of Muscular Exercise in Juvenile Type Diabetics. Diabetologia. 1977;13:355–365.
- 46. Kemmer F, Berchtold P, Berger M, Starke A, Cuppers HJ, Gries F, et al. Exercise-induced Fall of Blood Glucose in Insulin-treated Diabetics Unrelated to Alteration of Insulin Mobilization. Diabetes. 1979;28:1131–1137.
- Horton E. Role and Management of Exercise in Diabetes Mellitus. Diabetes Care. 1988;11(2):201–211.

- Meyer C, Stumvoll M, Dostou J, Welle S, Haymond M, Gerich J. Renal Substrate Exchange and Gluconeogenesis in Normal Postabsorptive Humans. Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab. 2002;282:E428–E434.
- Magnusson I, Rothman D, Katz L, Shulman R, Shulman G. Increased Rate of Gluconeogenesis in Type II Diabetes Mellitus. A 13C Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Study. Journal of Clinical Investigation. 1992;90(4):1323.
- Puhakainen I, Koivisto V, Yki-Jarvinen H. Lipolysis and Gluconeogenesis from Glycerol are Increased in Patients with Noninsulin-dependent Diabetes Mellitus. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 1992;75(3):789–794.
- 51. Woerle H, Szoke E, Meyer C, Dostou J, Wittlin S, Gosmanov N, et al. Mechanisms for Abnormal Postprandial Glucose Metabolism in Type 2 Diabetes. Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab. 2006;290:E67–E77.
- Gerich J. Role of the Kidney in Normal Glucose Homeostasis and in the Hyperglycaemia of Diabetes Mellitus: Therapeutic Implications. Diabetic Medicine. 2010;27(2):136–142.
- 53. Woerle HJ, Meyer C, Dostou JM, Gosmanov NR, Islam N, Popa E, et al. Pathways for Glucose Disposal after Meal Ingestion in Humans. American Journal of Physiology-Endocrinology and Metabolism. 2003;284(4):E716–E725.
- 54. Kaminsky L, Arena R, Myers J. Reference Standards for Cardiorespiratory Fitness Measured with Cardiopulmonary Exercise Testing: Data From the Fitness Registry and the Importance of Exercise National Database. Mayo Clinic Proceedings. 2015;90(11):1515–1523.
- Low A. Nutritional Regulation of Gastric Secretion, Digestion and Emptying. Nutrition Research Reviews. 1990;3:229–252.
- Minami H, McCallum R. The Physiology and Pathophysiology of Gastric Emptying in Humans. Gastroenterology. 1984;86:1592–1610.
- Hunt J, Smith J, Jiang C. Effect of Meal Volume and Energy Density on the Gastric Emptying of Carbohydrates. Gastroenterology. 1985;89:1326–1330.

- McHugh P, Moran T. Calories and Gastric Emptying: A Regulatory Capacity with Implications for Feeding. Am J Physiol. 1979;236:R254–R260.
- Hunt J, Spurrell W. The Pattern of Emptying of the Human Stomach. J Physiol. 1951;113:157–168.
- Elashoff J, Reedy T, Meyer J. Analysis of Gastric Emptying Data. Gastroenterology. 1982;83:1306–1312.
- Dalla Man C, Camilleri M, Cobelli C. A System Model of Oral Glucose Absorption: Validation on Gold Standard Data. IEEE Trans Biomed Eng. 2006;53(12):2472–2478.
- Hunt J, Stubbs D. The Volume and Energy Content of Meals as Determinants of Gastric Emptying. J Physiol. 1975;245:209–225.
- Romijn J, Coyle E, Sidossis L, Gastaldelli A, Horowitz J, Endert E, et al. Regulation of Endogenous Fat and Carbohydrate Metabolism in Relation to Exercise Intensity and Duration. American Journal of Physiology. 1993;265:E380–E391.
- 64. Moe O, Wright S, Palacin M. Renal Handling of Organic Solutes. In: Brenner & Rector's The Kidney, 8th edition. Saunders Elsevier; 2008. p. 214–247.
- Ahlborg G, Felig P, Hagenfeldt L, Hendler R, Wahren J. Substrate Turnover During Prolonged Exercise in Man. Journal of Clinical Investigation. 1974;53:1080–1090.
- 66. Kelley D, Mitrakou A, Marsh H, Schwenk F, Benn J, Sonneberg G, et al. Skeletal Muscle Glycolysis, Oxidation, and Storage of an Oral Glucose Load. J Clin Invest. 1988;81:1563–1571.
- Felig P, Wahren J. Fuel Homeostasis in Exercise. New England Journal of Medicine. 1975;293:1078–1084.
- 68. Abel E. Glucose Transport in the Heart. Front Biosci. 2004;9:201–215.

- Nelson J, Poussier P, Marliss E, Albisser A, Zinman B. Metabolic Response of Normal Man and Insulin-infused Diabetics to Postprandial Exercise. American Journal of Physiology. 1982;242:E309–E316.
- Caron D, Poussier P, Marliss E, Zinman B. The Effect of Postprandial Exercise on Meal-related Glucose Intolerance in Insulin-dependent Diabetic Individuals. Diabetes Care. 1982;5:364–369.
- Larsen J, Dela F, Kjaer M, Galbo H. The Effect of Moderate Exercise on Postprandial Glucose Homeostasis in NIDDM Patients. Diabetologia. 1997;40:447–453.
- Larsen J, Dela F, Madsbad S, Galbo H. The Effect of Intense Exercise on Postprandial Glucose Homeostasis in Type 2 Diabetic Patients. Diabetologia. 1999;42:1282–1292.
- 73. Soman V, Koivisto V, Deibert D, Felig P, DeFronzo R. Increased Insulin Sensivity and Insulin Binding to Monocytes After Physical Training. New England Journal of Medicine. 1979;301:1200–1204.
- 74. Wahren J, Felig P, Ahlborg G, Jorfeldt L. Glucose Metabolism During Leg Exercise in Man. Journal of Clinical Investigation. 1971;50:2715–2725.
- Galbo H. The Hormonal Response to Exercise. Diabetes Metabolism Review. 1986;1:385–408.
- 76. Wolfe R, Nadel E, Shaw J, Stephenson L, Wolfe M. Role of Changes in Insulin and Glucagon in Glucose Homeostasis in Exercise. Journal of Clinical Investigation. 1986;77:900–907.
- 77. Kemmer F, Vranic M. The Role of Glucagon and its Relationship to Other Glucoregulatory Hormones in Exercise. In: Glucagon, Physiology, Pathophysiology and Morphology of the Pancreatic A-Cells. Elsevier; 1981. p. 297–331.
- Zinman B, Murray F, Vranic M, Albisser A, Leibel B, McClean P, et al. Glucoregulation During Moderate Exercise in Insulin-treated Diabetics. Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism. 1977;45:641–652.

- Ahlborg G, Felig P. Lactate and Glucose Exchange across the Forearm, Legs, and Splanchnic Bed during and after Prolonged Leg Exercise. Journal of Clinical Investigation. 1982;69:45–54.
- Ahlborg G, Wahren J, Felig P. Splanchnic and Peripheral Glucose and Lactate Metabolism during and after Prolonged Arm Exercise. Journal of Clinical Investigation. 1986;77:690–699.