

Carbon management in the logistics and transportation sector: an overview and new research directions

Author

Herold, David Martin, Lee, Ki-Hoon

Published

2017

Journal Title

Carbon Management

Version

Accepted Manuscript (AM)

DOI

<https://doi.org/10.1080/17583004.2017.1283923>

Copyright Statement

© 2017 Taylor & Francis (Routledge). This is an Accepted Manuscript of an article published by Taylor & Francis in Carbon Management on 08 Mar 2017, available online: <http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/10.1080/17583004.2017.1283923>

Downloaded from

<http://hdl.handle.net/10072/340105>

Griffith Research Online

<https://research-repository.griffith.edu.au>

Carbon management in the logistics and transportation sector: An overview and new research directions

1. Introduction

Carbon management in the logistics and transportation sector has recently become an increasing concern for both academics and practitioners (Kolk, Levy, and Pinkse 2008; Lieb and Lieb 2015). This growing concern can be mainly attributed to environmental issues, such as global warming or climate change (Burritt, Schaltegger, and Zvezdov 2011), increasing levels of pollution (Srivastava 2007) and rising societal and stakeholder awareness and pressure (Schaltegger and Csutora 2012). In addition, key factors such as regulatory risks through changes in government policies, changes in customer demands and the increasing adoption of international certification standards have gradually led companies to focus increasing attention on carbon management practices (Arimura, Darnall, and Katayama 2011; CDP 2010).

Many contributions to these topics have been made in existing research, sometimes under the environmental sustainability area or under the 'green supply chain management' label (Schaltegger and Burritt 2014; Marchet, Melacini, and Perotti 2014). However, little attention has been given specifically to carbon management in the logistics and transportation sector, despite the fact that logistics accounts for 5.5 per cent of global carbon emissions and can thus be regarded as being a significant contributor to global warming (Doherty and Hoyle 2009). Moreover, papers dealing with carbon management from the perspective of third-party logistics providers (3PL) are still limited (e.g., Evangelista et al. 2013; Lieb and Lieb 2010; Perotti et al. 2012). Nevertheless, it can be observed that interest in the topic of carbon management in logistics has gradually increased, pointing to the need to examine the carbon management practices of in-house or 3PL providers in the logistics and transportation sector. As such, an attempt to categorize and review carbon management practices in the logistics and transportation sector complements the existing literature and provides new insights into this emerging field.

To the best of our knowledge, no literature review in peer reviewed journals has specifically examined carbon management practices by adopting the viewpoint of companies performing logistics or transportation activities. To close this gap, this paper provides a comprehensive literature review on the topic of carbon management in the logistics and transportation sector. As such, the aim of this paper is to classify and analyze existing research on the basis of current carbon practices and concepts, gleaned from the carbon and environmental management literature (e.g., McKinnon and Piecyk 2012; Tang and Luo 2014; Schaltegger and Csutora 2012). In particular, the classification and analysis provides an overview and directions for future research according to five identified key topics: carbon strategy, carbon risk assessments, carbon target setting, carbon reduction initiatives and carbon performance and reporting.

The reasons for examining and focusing on such a narrow scope are twofold. First, much prior research encompasses the rather broad field of environmental sustainability and supply chains. Second, carbon management practices or carbon related information is still under researched, but is becoming a relevant topic for corporate management, as attested by Schaltegger, and Csutora

1
2
3 (2012) and (Lee and Wu 2014). As such, an in-depth examination of a narrower theme may
4 provide more specific insights and recommendations for future research.
5
6

7 The paper is organized as follows. The next section provides a brief overview of carbon
8 management in the logistics and transportation sector, identifying the gap in the literature. This is
9 followed by the methodology adopted within the review, detailing the process of selection and
10 the subsequent analysis of the literature. In section 4, we present and discuss the results, both in
11 terms of research methods and the key topics in carbon management. The five key topics are
12 discussed, i.e., carbon strategy, carbon risk assessments, carbon target setting, carbon reduction
13 initiatives and carbon performance and reporting. In section 5, we highlight the identified gaps
14 and provide direction for future research in this field. The final section summaries the highlights
15 and addresses the research limitations of this study.
16
17

18 19 20 **2. Carbon Management in Logistics and Transportation** 21

22 Climate change and increasing carbon emissions can be regarded as one of the key challenges for
23 business and society. According to the World Economic Forum (2016), the logistics industry
24 accounts for 13 per cent of all carbon emissions worldwide. In turn, transport and logistics
25 activities, as facilitators of global trade, can thus be regarded as a significant source of carbon
26 emissions. Logistics activities comprise all our main transport modes (air, sea, rail and road) and
27 the resources and systems required for the transportation of goods are enormous in numbers and
28 sizes of terminals, means of transportation and handling equipment (Onghena, Meersman, and
29 Van de Voorde 2014). The extensive use of the logistics and transportation network that depends
30 heavily on fossil fuels results in a high carbon emission output, leading to pressure from
31 stakeholders to reduce negative impacts on the environment. In response to this pressure,
32 companies involved in logistics and transportation increasingly adopt and implement carbon
33 related management practices with the aim of keeping their social contracts intact, on one hand,
34 and of seeking opportunities to improve their logistics efficiency for economic gains, on the
35 other hand (Hrasky 2011; Oberhofer and Dieplinger 2014).
36
37

38
39 Despite the significantly high contribution of carbon emissions from logistics activities, carbon
40 management research in the context of logistics and transportation seems to be under researched
41 (World Economic Forum 2016; Abbasi and Nilsson 2016; Burritt, Schaltegger, and Zvezdov
42 2011). The majority of prior research focused much more broadly on carbon management. Two
43 streams of research in carbon management can be distinguished. Firstly, research has focused on
44 the area of carbon management, performance and reporting in areas other than logistics, such as
45 carbon performance in manufacturing or production (e.g., Bertinelli et al. 2006; Theißen, Spinler,
46 and Huchzermeier 2014; Yoshizaki et al. 2015), the analysis of carbon disclosure in companies
47 (e.g., Luo, Lan, and Tang 2012; Kolk, Levy, and Pinkse 2008; Matisoff, Noonan, and O'Brien
48 2013) or along supply chains (e.g., Auvinen et al. 2014; Acquaye et al. 2014; Tiwari, Chang, and
49 Choudhary 2015). While all these contributions cover carbon reduction initiatives or carbon
50 management practices, these articles do not specifically focus on the impact of carbon emissions
51 from a logistics and transportation perspective.
52
53

54
55 Secondly, if carbon management or the carbon impacts are examined in the context of logistics
56 and transportation, the discussion and the reviews are regularly embedded in the broader view of
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 environmental sustainability. For example, Evangelista (2014) reviewed environmental
4 sustainability practices in the Italian logistics service industry, focusing on the adoption of green
5 initiatives. In the same way, using case studies in Italy, Perotti et al. (2012) provided a
6 comprehensive overview of environmental sustainability practices of 3PLs in Italy. Abbasi and
7 Nilsson (2016) explored the environmental challenges in logistical activities, but provided rather
8 a holistic view covering customers' opinions as well as legislative uncertainties. The literature
9 review by Marchet, Melacini, and Perotti (2014) is similar to ours as it also addresses the
10 environmental sustainability initiatives and challenges undertaken in the logistics area. However,
11 all these papers address carbon related issues in the logistics and transportation sector only
12 partially as part of environmental sustainability, and do not focus specifically on comprehensive
13 carbon management practices, revealing a gap in the literature.
14
15
16

17 It is therefore of academic and practitioner interest to examine the current status of carbon
18 management practices in the literature in the area of logistics and transportation. In particular, the
19 literature review presented will contribute in two ways. Firstly, the paper classifies and analyses
20 the key characteristics and topics in the field of carbon management, thus providing a
21 comprehensive overview of the logistics and transportations sector. Secondly, the paper
22 identifies and reveals gaps in the literature to propose directions for future research and
23 implications.
24
25
26

27 **3. Methodology**

28 We first explain the selection of journals used to generate the sample of carbon management
29 related articles in the field of logistics and transportation for analysis. Firstly, we describe the
30 process of identifying the literature, followed by the study selection and evaluation. Lastly, the
31 process of analyzing the literature is described.
32
33
34

35 **3.1 Identifying Literature and Study Selection**

36 We used several online databases (EBSCO, Scopus, Science Direct) for our keyword search. We
37 searched for carbon management articles in the databases by applying the keywords and multiple
38 combinations of the keywords, for example "carbon accounting", "carbon management", carbon
39 reporting", "carbon disclosure", "carbon measurement", "carbon performance", "carbon
40 emissions", "scope 1", "scope 2", "scope 3", "carbon footprint" or "carbon reduction", but always
41 in combination with "logistics", "3PL", "LSP" or "transportation".
42
43
44

45 In addition, we used equivalent keywords to expand our scope and include as many relevant
46 articles as possible, for example, instead of the keyword "carbon" we used the keyword "CO2"
47 to find incrementally relevant literature. To ensure that this selection captures all relevant
48 academic articles that deal with carbon management in logistics and transportation, we
49 conducted a cross-reference of all citations and bibliographies which also included broader topics
50 such as "environmental sustainability" or "supply chain". However, only those papers that
51 addressed carbon-related information and/or logistics were included. In order to confirm the
52 results, Google Scholar in conjunction with our keywords has been used to double-check the
53 relevant list of peer reviewed articles.
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

It needs to be emphasized that our search deals with content only related to carbon management practices specifically in the area of logistics and transportation. This included the manual screening process of all abstracts as well as the main body of the articles to validate the relevance of the respective journal articles. Relevant journal articles had to demonstrate a specific and narrow focus on carbon management practices. Consequently, all journal articles which did not fulfil this criterion were excluded from this study. The final selection process ended with a total of 66 articles in 30 journals.

3.2 Analysis of Literature Selection

The aim of this study is to review the contributions on the topic of carbon management from the perspective of companies involved in logistics and transportation. In particular, an overview of the main characteristics of the literature will be provided, followed by a content analysis of the key topics in carbon management to identify gaps in the literature. As a consequence, this analysis is divided into two parts. The first part analyses the main characteristics of the literature, i.e. publication year, journal title, countries and research methodology.

In the second part, the content of the articles will be analyzed. The definition of the key topics for the content analysis had the aim of opening a discussion on the key topics that emerged from the literature analysis. For the purpose of this review, five key topics were identified in order to group the carbon management literature in the logistics and transportation sector. Two of these topics are derived from the classification framework proposed by McKinnon (2011) and Tang, and Luo (2014), namely carbon strategy and carbon risk assessment. Moreover, two other main topics were identified: carbon target setting (e.g., McKinnon and Piecyk 2012; Tang and Luo 2014) as well as carbon performance and reporting (e.g., Kolk, Levy, and Pinkse 2008; Hahn, Reimsbach, and Schiemann 2015; Lee and Wu 2014). In order to reflect the importance of the logistics area, the topic of carbon reduction initiatives has also been included as a main issue (McKinnon 2010b; Schaltegger and Csutora 2012), resulting in five key topics: carbon strategy, carbon risk assessment, carbon target setting, carbon reduction initiatives and carbon performance and reporting.

Within these groups 15 critical elements (or sub-groups) have been identified and are listed in Table 3. Each of these elements represents a unique feature or dimension in the key topics of carbon management and was identified according to its role in facilitating management planning, and in assessing, monitoring and evaluating climate change issues in the logistics sector. The allocation of the papers according the key topics and elements provides a solid foundation for identifying gaps and proposing directions for future research.

4. Results and Discussion

We first discuss the main characteristics of all examined papers in a bibliometric analysis: publication year, development of articles from 2000 to 2015, countries and the research methodology. After the main characteristics have been presented, the findings and papers of the five identified key topics in carbon management are discussed. In addition, Table 1 summarizes the content and the features of each paper.

4.1 Main characteristics

As highlighted in Table 1, the 66 examined papers were published between 2000 and 2015 in international peer reviewed journals. Interestingly, more than 75 per cent of all papers have been published in the last five years between 2011 and 2015, which can be assessed as being the scientific community's acknowledgement of the severity of the problems posed by climate change. From a journal frequency perspective, it can be observed that almost three out of four journals (22 of 30) included only one or two articles on carbon management during the examined timeframe. The remaining eight journals account for more than 60 per cent of all articles and consist of the following journals: *Transportation Research Part D*, *International Journal of Physical Distribution & Logistics Management*, *Transportation Research Part E*, *International Journal of Production Economics*, *Journal of Cleaner Production*, *Energy Policy*, *Research in Transportation Business and Management* and *International Journal of Logistics Management*.

Insert Table 1 around here

From the viewpoint of the countries addressed, we can observe that in ten papers the first author is from the United States, whereas eight have a UK based first author, followed by six publications with first authors from Germany. Several publications have also been found from other countries, such as Sweden (five), Italy (four), China, France and Taiwan (three each), followed by 17 countries with one or two publications. The analysis of the research methods showed that two-thirds of the papers are based on empirical research (44 out of 66), followed by an analytical approach with 15 papers (23 per cent) and seven conceptual papers (11 per cent). We also analyzed the papers according to the type of journal. Whereas between 2006 and 2010 the research field was dominated by supply chain and operations management journals (9 of 13 papers in total), the types of publication channels can now be regarded as being much broader, and include sustainability management and policy and accounting journals. However, given the increasing awareness of climate change, it is surprising that accounting journals are still weakly represented.

4.2 Carbon Management: Key Topics Results

This section discusses the findings and features of the main topics and elements in carbon management in the logistics and transportation sector, i.e. carbon strategy, carbon risk assessments, carbon target setting, carbon reduction initiatives and carbon performance and reporting. Table 2 provides the findings from carbon management in the logistics and transportation field.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Insert Table 2 around here

4.2.1 Carbon Strategy

The literature reveals that carbon strategy research in the logistics and transportation industry is mainly driven by competitive advantage studies, while actions regarding internal management and the adoption of new technologies seems to be underrepresented. It can also be observed that most of the papers are relatively new and consist mainly of case studies. Most of the authors acknowledge that the purpose of having a carbon strategy is to shift the attention of short-term thinking towards the long-term interests of the company in alignment with climate strategies, thus to develop and establish a solid carbon policy and provide oversight for its implementation (Maas, Schuster, and Hartmann 2014; Zailani, Amran, and Jumadi 2011b; Colicchia et al. 2013).

4.2.1.1 Competitive advantage

The literature reveals a rising focus on the competitive advantage of carbon management and the impact of carbon reductions. It appears that logistics managers give priority to strategic planning and involve stakeholders in the process to reduce carbon emissions. However, Pålsson, and Kovács (2014), who examined the importance of reducing transport carbon emissions in Sweden, found that the company's strategy outweighs stakeholder pressure when implementing carbon reduction initiatives. Moreover, they argue that external requirements should be seen as opportunities to improve the company-internal resources by translating external requirements into internal motives, but do not specifically elaborate on how to do this. González-Benito, and González-Benito (2006) found that only non-governmental pressure is able to explain the implementation of environmental logistics practices in a significant way.

From a green logistics view, Pazirandeh, and Jafari (2013) found a significant relationship between a company's strategy and the efforts conducted to reduce carbon emissions. In particular, it was found that companies with a solid green logistics strategy are focusing on 'greening' their transportation both from purchasing and operations perspectives to improve environmental and carbon performance (Pazirandeh and Jafari 2013). It was also found that prevention of carbon emissions in the 3PL industry can lead to a differentiation advantage (Maas, Schuster, and Hartmann 2014). This view is supported by Colicchia et al. (2013) in their study of Italian logistics providers. Their results reveal that companies consider that providing green services could be a decisive factor which will increase the company's and their customers' competitiveness. Similar to Maas, Schuster, and Hartmann (2014), Oberhofer, and Dieplinger (2014), who studied 3PL providers in Austria, argue that sustainability performance is not always a "necessary evil" (p. 249), but can result in added value in the long run.

4.2.1.2 Internal management

The function of internal management regarding carbon awareness and reduction involves employees as well as management, but was addressed only by three papers in the extant

1
2
3 literature, mainly focusing on the diffusion of environmental practices and top management
4 commitment. Interestingly, González-Benito, and González-Benito (2006) research asked
5 whether companies with environmentally aware managers respond to environmental pressures
6 with a higher intensity in their implementation of environmental practices. Their study found that
7 those companies with environmentally aware managers are no more reactive to perceived
8 environmental pressures than other companies. As for skilled and trained employees, Lin, and
9 Ho (2008) found in their study that logistics companies which encourage or support employees
10 in environmental activities as well as training and educating them can lead to an overall increase
11 in the company's performance. Dey, LaGuardia, and Srinivasan (2011) researched sustainability
12 in logistics from an internal strategic perspective, and come up with recommendations on how to
13 integrate sustainability and carbon reduction programs into the organization, i.e. the commitment
14 of top management as well as the use of a balance sustainability scorecard to measure progress
15 and benchmark the company's efforts against other companies.
16
17
18

19 ***4.2.1.3 Adoption of advanced technologies***

20
21 The use and the adoption of new and advanced technologies to reduce carbon emissions seems
22 also to be an underrepresented field in the academic literature with regard to logistics
23 management. Similar to internal management, only three papers deal with this issue. Zailani,
24 Amran, and Jumadi (2011a) studied the adoption of new technology among 70 Malaysian 3PLs.
25 The results showed that the vast majority of these companies considered information technology
26 to be an important tool in mitigating the environmental impact of transport and logistics
27 activities. Lin, and Ho (2008) also argued that more highly explicit green practices can help the
28 transfer of technological knowledge within companies, and thus can raise willingness to adopt
29 environmental or carbon reduction practices. One of the practices mentioned to reduce carbon
30 emissions is the introduction of electric vehicles, or the transformation of the existing fleet to
31 electric vehicles. In contrast, Egbue, and Long (2012) found that a major barrier to widespread
32 adoption of electric vehicles is the uncertainty associated with the battery technology and the
33 sustainability of fuel sources, which leads to the conclusion in top management that electric
34 vehicles are not a better option compared to the existing options.
35
36
37
38

39 **4.2.2 Carbon Risk Assessment**

40
41 Carbon risk assessment can be defined as a formal procedure to identify and assess carbon
42 related risks and opportunities and the significance of their impacts on operations, products and
43 financial results (PWC 2008). The Carbon Disclosure Project (CDP 2016) distinguishes risks
44 into regulatory, physical and other climate change risks and opportunities. We categorize the
45 risks into regulatory and policy risks as well as product and supply chain risks. Overall, only four
46 papers discuss risk related issues specifically, while most deal with regulatory risks.
47
48

49 ***4.2.2.1 Regulatory and policy risks***

50
51 The literature reveals that logistics and transportation managers see changes in governments'
52 climate policies as the main sources of carbon risk. For example, Jin, Granda-Marulanda, and
53 Down (2014) used a case study to investigate carbon policies on logistics design, using the
54 example of a major retailer in the United States. They found that different challenges occur
55 during the implementation of these policies which prevent retailers from accepting carbon taxes,
56 and the difficulty of reaching an agreement on carbon caps between retailers and policy makers.
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 From an Australian perspective, Fahimnia et al. (2013) examined the carbon pricing scheme and
4 its impact on logistics. Their results concluded that the current carbon pricing scheme leads only
5 to a minor increase in overall logistics costs, which in turn, is not enough to drive a significant
6 shift in transport behaviors to reduce carbon emissions. From a European perspective, Oberhofer,
7 and Dieplinger (2014) looked at the market framework to encourage transport companies to
8 enhance their environmental performance. They recommended a number of policy instruments to
9 promote greener transport modes and reduce carbon output.
10
11

12 **4.2.2.2 Product and Supply Chain Risks**

14 Only one article discussed the risk of carbon emissions which are created throughout the supply
15 chain by producing industrial parts overseas and shipping them to the 'home' country. In
16 particular, Nieuwenhuis, Beresford, and Choi (2012) used a case study to determine the
17 difference in the carbon emissions impact between local production and shipping in the
18 automotive industry, taking into account all four transport modes. The results showed that the
19 local option leads to significantly lower emissions.
20
21
22
23

24 **4.2.3 Carbon Target Setting**

26 Although only four papers discussed the topic of carbon target setting in logistics companies,
27 some of the articles provide a solid overview and cover the main areas to address when setting
28 targets. In particular, McKinnon, and Piecyk (2012) examined different approaches that
29 companies can take to set targets for the reduction of carbon emissions for their logistics
30 operations. However, their study found that target-setting practices differ widely in the field and
31 companies simply adopt corporate-level targets without considering the carbon reduction
32 potential by function and activity. As for setting specific carbon emissions targets and finding
33 out how to achieve them in the logistics area, Tang et al. (2015) used a case study to illustrate the
34 carbon and the cost impact on particular targets. Their model showed that a company could meet
35 only a moderate emissions reduction target with a limited impact on total cost. The remaining
36 papers discussed carbon setting in a more general way and focused on environmental
37 performance management systems (EPMS). It was found that the main purpose of these systems
38 is to have a systematic approach to setting targets for carbon reduction (e.g., Björklund and
39 Forslund 2013; Tsoulfas and Pappis 2008).
40
41
42
43
44
45

46 **4.2.4 Carbon Reduction Initiatives**

47 The subject of carbon reduction initiatives seems to be the most discussed topic in the literature,
48 addressed by means of empirical, analytical and conceptual approaches. In line with Lieb, and
49 Lieb (2010), most companies in the logistics sector have implemented initiatives towards carbon
50 reduction. These initiatives range from daily transport management initiatives, tactical logistics
51 system design and intermodal transportation, to advanced vehicle technology and alternative
52 fuels. Overall, almost half of all of the papers (31 of 66) mention carbon reduction initiatives,
53 with transport management and logistics systems design being the most popular topics. This is
54 followed by articles about modal choice to reduce carbon emissions as well as papers covering
55 vehicle technologies or electric vehicles. It can be observed that most articles discuss transport
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 management and logistics systems design in a more general way, thus can be considered as being
4 rather repetitive or overlapping.
5

6 7 **4.2.4.1 Transport management**

8
9 Transport management initiatives are considered to cover all directly operational tasks which can
10 be influenced on a day to day basis to decrease carbon emissions. Many authors identified route
11 or distribution optimization to reduce carbon emissions (e.g., McKinnon 2010b; Tiwari and
12 Chang 2015; Ciliberti, Pontrandolfo, and Scozzi 2008; Lieb and Lieb 2010; Zhang et al. 2015).
13 In their case study, Cholette, and Venkat (2009) investigated the carbon intensity of wine
14 distribution in California. They found that carbon emissions vary up to 80 per cent depending on
15 transportation and storage. Liotta, Stecca, and Kaihara (2015) presented a model for strategic and
16 tactical decision making to minimize carbon outputs. Other authors investigated the load factor
17 problem and the shipment frequency (e.g., Ülkü 2012; Colicchia et al. 2013; Evangelista 2014).
18 An example is Liljestrand, Christopher, and Andersson (2015), who found that increasing load
19 factors for certain products can lead to significant carbon reductions. Rizet, Cruz, and de
20 Lapparent (2014) investigated the carbon emission impact of French shipping companies and
21 found that it is possible to improve the efficiency without the need for technological change.
22 They argue that many vehicles are far from fully loaded, and lower frequency of shipments
23 would increase load weights and consequently reduce carbon emissions. Tang et al. (2015)
24 examined how carbon emissions can be reduced by reducing the shipment frequency. Their
25 model showed that by reducing shipment frequency for a company, a moderate carbon emissions
26 reduction can be achieved with limited impact on total cost. Merrick, and Bookbinder (2010)
27 studied shipment release policies and their carbon emissions impact and found that an optimal
28 shipment release policy depends on five specific parameters.
29
30
31
32

33 **4.2.4.2 Logistics system design**

34
35 Logistics system design deals with the fleet management planning on a tactical basis, i.e. how
36 carbon reductions be achieved through middle-term planning or the use of new technologies.
37 Jeffers (2010) examined IT routing systems in the context of the 3PL industry and found that IT
38 investment can enhance a company's performance. Haass et al. (2015) developed an 'intelligent
39 container' that enabled each container to make its own decisions with regard to green
40 transportation. They used a simulation study to demonstrate the reduction in carbon emissions.
41 Guerrero (2014) investigated fleet management in the trucking industry and found that speeding
42 up shipments can significantly reduce carbon emissions. Pan, Ballot, and Fontane (2013) used a
43 case study of two French retailers to explore the environmental impact of pooling supply chains
44 at a strategic level and to compute the potential of carbon emissions reduction. Other authors
45 investigated the empty container problem on a tactical level. Jothi Basu, Bai, and Palaniappan
46 (2015) examined the full truck-load transportation from a contract auction perspective and found
47 that combinatorial bidding minimizes the empty movement of trucks in the existing network and
48 leads to a reduction in carbon emissions. In a similar vein, Islam, and Olsen (2014) interviewed
49 logistics managers in New Zealand and found that truck-sharing and better coordination among
50 road carriers can lead to a reduction in carbon emissions.
51
52
53
54

55 **4.2.4.3 Modal choice and intermodal transportation**

56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 Many authors point to the added value of intermodal transportation and its impact on
4 significantly reducing carbon emissions. For example, Rondinelli, and Berry (2000) claimed that
5 3PL companies should adopt strategies based on proactive environmental management and they
6 provided a conceptual framework for understanding the interactions among multimodal transport
7 activities and their impact on the environment. Rizet, Cruz, and de Lapparent (2014) described
8 the effects of mode choice on carbon emissions of French shipping companies and found that
9 intermodal choices have a high impact on carbon intensity. Analytical models and the
10 calculations, including cost and carbon emissions and their reduction potential, can also be found
11 in the literature. One example is the study by Bouchery, and Fransoo (2015), who presented an
12 intermodal network design model from a cost, carbon emissions and modal shift perspective.
13 Their results show that maximizing the modal shift has a negative outcome for both costs and
14 carbon emissions and determines the carbon optimal level of modal shift, hence optimizing the
15 allocation between direct truck transportation and intermodal transport. Hoen et al. (2014)
16 focused on the reduction of carbon emissions by switching transport modes with an existing
17 network. Their case study shows that emissions can be reduced by 10 per cent at a marginal
18 increase of 0.7 per cent in total logistics cost. Similarly, Chang, and Lai (2013) proposed models
19 for projecting several reductions in carbon emissions using modal choice. Their results show that
20 for 10 per cent to 80 per cent decreases in carbon emissions, the intermodal ratio increases from
21 one to almost 54 per cent, respectively. Liljestrand, Christopher, and Andersson (2015)
22 developed a transport portfolio framework to improve transport systems and to reduce carbon
23 footprints. In their case study of a Swedish retailer they identified the intermodal characteristics
24 among other factors that show the largest potential to reduce carbon emissions.
25
26
27
28
29

30 **4.2.4.4 Vehicle technology / low carbon vehicles**

31
32 Overall, eleven papers examined ways to improve vehicles, the use of electric vehicles for last-
33 mile transportation or ways to improve energy efficiency on the road. For example, Liimatainen
34 et al. (2012) investigated the energy efficiency practices of a sample of 295 road transport
35 companies in Finland. The research indicated that logistics companies are aware of energy
36 efficiency actions but they require more resources and knowledge to fully exploit them. Energy
37 efficiency seems to be of little importance to customers and this reduces the incentive of logistics
38 companies to improve it. Streimikiene (2013) assessed road transport technologies based on
39 carbon emissions reduction potential. She ranked the road transport technologies based on
40 private costs and carbon emissions leading to prioritizing technologies that mitigate carbon
41 emissions at the lowest costs. The reduction of carbon emissions via new vehicles or the
42 adoption of a tighter ‘maintenance regime’ is part of the investigation by McKinnon (2010b).
43 Other authors also mentioned improved vehicle technology or the use of electric vehicles to
44 reduce carbon emissions (e.g., Srivastava 2007; Colicchia et al. 2013; Browne, O'Mahony, and
45 Caulfield 2012)
46
47
48

49 **4.2.4.5 Alternative fuels**

50
51 Many authors identified the use of alternative fuels to reduce carbon emissions in the logistics
52 industry and among logistics service providers, but did not elaborate on their current status nor
53 did they analyze alternative fuels in depth (e.g., Evangelista 2014; Perotti et al. 2012; Ciliberti,
54 Pontrandolfo, and Scozzi 2008; Lieb and Lieb 2010; Colicchia et al. 2013). An exception is
55 Browne, O'Mahony, and Caulfield (2012), who investigated several alternative fuels such as
56 liquid biofuels and biogas and the likelihood of their adoption in the transportation industry. The
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 authors developed a framework which can be used as a template for identifying and evaluating
4 consumer choices, policy preferences and the stages of technological innovation of alternative
5 fuels.
6

7 8 **4.2.5 Carbon Performance and Reporting**

9
10 There is a rise in interest among practitioners and academics in measuring carbon performance.
11 The large majority of papers that address carbon performance in the logistics and transportation
12 industry fall in the timeframe 2010 to 2015. Many authors have emphasized the need to examine
13 carbon performance improvement opportunities to realize economic benefits by reducing carbon
14 emissions, as well as increasing energy and ecological efficiency. Interestingly, only few papers
15 mentioned specific tools and no studies dealt with carbon emissions according to the three GHG
16 Protocol Scopes 1, 2 and/or 3. Overall, it seems that carbon reporting specifically addressing
17 logistics and transportation companies and activities has been disregarded.
18
19

20 **4.2.5.1 Carbon measurement and requirements**

21
22 Ramanathan, Bentley, and Pang (2014) used case studies of leading retailers in the UK to
23 measure the practices and actions of these companies to reduce carbon emissions, and how a
24 logistics collaboration between suppliers and buyers can help to achieve the companies'
25 environmental agendas. Pazirandeh, and Jafari (2013) measured the link between the companies'
26 sustainability strategy and the greening of their transportation, and found that a focus on
27 purchasing as well as on operations improves environmental performance and leads to a
28 reduction in carbon output. Choudhary et al. (2015) proposed a decision making model that
29 incorporated carbon emissions parameters with various decision variables to minimize the cost
30 and the carbon footprint of logistics networks. Lam, and Dai (2015) developed a model to
31 translate customer requirements for 'green' concerns into multiple criteria for 3PL provider
32 design requirements. Kellner, and Igl (2015) measured the carbon reduction potential of different
33 freight forwarder networks and examined how the network of carbon footprints of a real-world
34 distribution system is affected by 3PL providers. They used three distribution logistics variables
35 to calculate several network carbon footprints and found that a geographically decentralized
36 consolidation of shipments is most efficient in reducing carbon emissions.
37
38
39
40

41 **4.2.5.2 Carbon integration and analysis**

42
43 The consideration and integration of carbon emissions in the logistics planning is part of many
44 authors' studies. For example, Wanke et al. (2015) included carbon emissions in the planning of
45 logistics networks in Brazil, and found the transportation costs and carbon emissions ultimately
46 overshadow the cost of inventory. Additionally, various sets of carbon performance indicators
47 have been defined. The most popular subject is the trade-off between costs and carbon emissions.
48 For instance, Lee, and Wu (2014) used a multi-methodological approach in the logistics field to
49 measure both economic and environmental performance, represented in costs and carbon
50 emissions. They found that trade-offs between cost savings and carbon reduction potential
51 provide the opportunity to re-design and re-conceptualize logistics networks for long term value.
52 Konur, and Schaefer (2014) analyzed a transportation planning problem with less-than-truckload
53 (LTL) and truckload (TL) transportation with regards to carbon emissions and provided a tool
54 that analyses the effects of regulation, the transportation costs and the carbon emissions of each
55 carrier.
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 Other authors have presented tools and metrics to assess the impact of carbon emissions. Nanaki,
4 and Koroneos (2012), used life-cycle analysis (LCA) to compare biodiesel, diesel and gasoline
5 for transportation purposes, and found that biodiesel seems to have the greatest potential to
6 reduce carbon emissions, compared to gasoline and diesel. Lagoudis, and Shakri (2015)
7 developed a model that captures and calculates carbon emissions across a logistics and
8 transportation network, and which helps to optimize carbon reduction potential in inbound
9 networks. Jin, Granda-Marulanda, and Down (2014) investigated the carbon emissions of the
10 logistics network of a retailer in the US and found that dramatic carbon emissions reduction must
11 involve different sourcing decisions. Interestingly, the authors found that a shift to more efficient
12 transportation modes (e.g. from truck to rail) cannot significantly reduce carbon emissions,
13 which contradicts the current literature.
14
15
16

17 Other authors tried to consider or integrate carbon emissions as a factor in analyzing the impact
18 on distribution routes. For example, Cholette, and Venkat (2009) integrated carbon emissions in
19 their study of wine distribution in the United States and found significant potential for carbon
20 reduction. Similarly, Kellner, and Otto (2012) and Naber et al. (2015) integrated the carbon
21 footprint of shipments in order to calculate the emissions used on a single delivery route. They
22 demonstrated different allocation methods and tested them with a model and a case study. The
23 analysis of carbon intensity of last mile deliveries is the focus of the article by Edwards,
24 McKinnon, and Cullinane (2010). This research suggested that, on average, the home delivery
25 operation is likely to generate less carbon output than the typical shopping trip.
26
27
28

29 ***4.2.5.3 Performance implications after carbon reduction adoption initiatives***

30 Although both practitioners and academics seem to attest to the importance of initiatives towards
31 carbon management (e.g., McKinnon 2011), the performance implications after the
32 implementation or adoption of carbon reduction initiatives are only marginally tackled in the
33 examined literature. Focusing on the studies examined in the literature, all of the studies are
34 related to regulatory policies and their impact on the transportation and logistics industry on a
35 company level. For example, Fahimnia et al. (2013) looked at the carbon pricing scheme in
36 Australia and found that the scheme is responsible for only a small increase in total logistics
37 costs, thereby not adding enough incentive to change transport behavior. Chao (2014) researched
38 the increase in logistics costs due to carbon taxes imposed by the European Trade System. The
39 study found that increases in cost range from 0 to more than 5 per cent and this may encourage
40 cargo airlines to reduce their carbon emissions. Jin, Granda-Marulanda, and Down (2014)
41 investigated the most common carbon policies with an example of a major retailer in the US and
42 found that policy parameters are critical to the effectiveness of a carbon policy, as well as
43 causing challenges for retailers when the carbon policy is implemented. Chaabane, Ramudhin,
44 and Paquet (2011) examined carbon emissions and logistics costs, which thereby provide a trade-
45 off between economic and environmental considerations under new carbon legislation in Canada.
46 The result shows how the emissions trading market can be used to reduce carbon emissions.
47
48
49
50
51

52 ***4.2.5.4 Carbon disclosure and stakeholder engagement***

53 The role of carbon disclosure and stakeholder engagement seems be highly underrepresented in
54 the logistics and transportation literature. This is interesting insofar as a high degree of carbon
55 disclosure reveals a company's strategy and their carbon emissions impact per activity and scope
56 (Kolk, Levy, and Pinkse 2008). The only papers that deal with carbon disclosure focused on
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 carbon auditing. McKinnon (2010a) examined the practical problems and costs associated with
4 carbon auditing on a product level in supply chains. He found that carbon labelling and auditing
5 of products is difficult and costly, leaving the practicality of applying the carbon labelling rather
6 doubtful. The main conclusion is that product level auditing is 'wasteful distraction'. From a
7 stakeholder engagement perspective, the remaining two papers discuss stakeholder
8 communication and the influence of stakeholder pressure. Such is the case in the examination by
9 Maas, Schuster, and Hartmann (2014), who researched the role of environmental communication
10 in the 3PL industry. The authors found that companies which have already implemented a
11 medium to high level of carbon reduction initiatives need to communicate their efforts to the
12 public in order to reap the full benefit of these efforts. Pålsson, and Kovács (2014) investigated
13 the reaction of logistics companies to stakeholder pressure to reduce transport emissions and
14 found that stakeholder pressure sets only the minimal levels that evaluate environmental
15 performance and does not lead to differentiation advantage.
16
17
18
19
20

21 **5. Identified Gaps and Directions for Future Research**

22
23 Although the literature review highlights some key findings of carbon management practices in
24 the logistics and transportation sector, it also reveals that several key topics are still
25 underrepresented or missing. Based on the analysis of this literature review, we identify the main
26 gaps and provide directions for future research.
27
28

29 **5.1 Ways in which strategy and carbon performance are aligned have been little studied so** 30 **far**

31
32 Although a number of papers have addressed the subject of a carbon strategy, the extant research
33 has not so far considered what information requirements and systems would be needed to
34 measure carbon performance with regard to implementing strategies of carbon performance
35 measurement. This leads to two future research streams. Firstly, future research should focus on
36 what kind of tools and methods strategic management needs to develop in order to control and
37 measure carbon performance and improvement in the logistics and freight transportation sector.
38 Secondly, the challenge for future research is to be better aligned with how carbon management
39 can support corporate improvement strategies.
40
41
42

43 **5.2 A risk assessment of existing assets and certification guidelines may be recommended**

44
45 The majority of the examined papers dealing with a risk assessment of carbon emissions are
46 related to governmental climate policy or other regulatory issues. However, all of these empirical
47 and analytical studies are based on secondary data and research the possible future impacts of
48 current and existing climate policies. Only one study examined the supply chain risks stemming
49 from carbon emissions in a real-life in-depth study (Nieuwenhuis, Beresford, and Choi 2012).
50 Although the examination of impacts of policy changes is useful, future research may examine
51 the costs and benefits of adopting specific ISO guidelines for the transportation and logistics
52 sector.
53
54

55 **5.3 Little attention has been focused on carbon target setting processes and a more** 56 **functional perspective is missing**

57
58
59
60

1
2
3 A thorough examination of setting carbon reduction targets for logistics has only been observed
4 by (McKinnon and Piecyk 2012), who offered practical solutions and approaches. As such, from
5 the perspective of logistics and transportation much remains to be learned. In particular, the
6 literature reveals that carbon setting in a logistics company seems to be restricted to corporate level
7 targets only. Future research could involve the analysis and identification of the potential of
8 carbon reduction by function, activity and operational level and could investigate what specific
9 information is required to set up reasonable carbon reduction targets.
10
11

12 **5.4 Carbon reduction initiatives based on in-depth real-life case studies have only been** 13 **partially explored** 14

15
16 It seems that an overview of initiatives that lead to carbon reduction has been well researched. In
17 particular, many studies dealing with transport management and logistics systems design
18 initiatives provide rather a general or macro overview and can be regarded as largely overlapping
19 with regard to specific topics (e.g., Colicchia et al. 2013; Evangelista 2014; Perotti et al. 2012;
20 McKinnon 2010b). Only few studies such as that by Cholette, and Venkat (2009) address real-
21 life cases. Moreover, studies that deal with real-life cases could provide important insight into
22 the practicability and usefulness of carbon reduction practices, but they seem to neglect the
23 outcomes of these cases after the adoption of carbon reduction initiatives. Hence, the challenge
24 for further research in the area of carbon reduction initiatives is to develop realistic cases and
25 approaches to carbon reduction initiatives to identify the benefits or criticalities after
26 implementation.
27
28

29 **5.5 Research into carbon performance lacks specific measurements and tools** 30

31
32 While the literature revealed that carbon performance and measurement is a popular subject in
33 the logistics and transportation sector, only few studies define what their understanding of carbon
34 performance is and what should be measured. The role of carbon performance is to collect,
35 summarize and measure carbon emissions data to enable comparisons across reporting periods
36 and facilitate independent reviews for compliance and data accuracy. Surprisingly, the majority
37 of the examined literature discusses the 'improvement' of carbon performance, but does not
38 describe specific ways of 'how' to measure it, and neglect the development of specific
39 measurement tools. Many studies do not mention any instruments, tools or concepts at all, which
40 indicates a rather general approach to performance measurement, leaving room for further
41 research in specific areas. This view is also supported by the fact that no paper specifically
42 addressed the boundaries and scope of carbon emissions. Future research in carbon performance
43 requires a better quality of carbon information, and measurement studies need to get closer to
44 where carbon impacts are caused and where improvements can be realized. Thus, future
45 approaches will have to develop specific requirements for measuring and managing carbon
46 performance in the logistics and transportation sector.
47
48
49

50 **5.6 Carbon disclosure and stakeholder engagement seem to be under-examined** 51

52
53 Surprisingly, not one paper addressed carbon disclosure in the logistics and transportation sector.
54 Given that logistics and transportation can be regarded as producing a significant carbon output,
55 higher scrutiny of the transparency of logistics companies via carbon disclosure could be
56 expected. This area may provide the biggest opportunity for further research as it seems that
57 carbon reporting has been neglected. The examined papers for disclosure and stakeholder
58
59
60

1
2
3 management focus either on communication with stakeholders, stakeholder pressure or carbon
4 auditing on a product level. Moreover, no attempt was made to distinguish or examine carbon
5 emissions according to the three GHG Protocol Scopes 1, 2 or 3 emissions. Scope 3 emissions
6 are particularly interesting for the logistics sector, as purchased transportation accounts for the
7 largest source of Scope 3 emissions, but the reporting of these emissions remains voluntarily.
8 Hence, future research provides much to explore, ranging from stakeholder engagement to the
9 transparency of carbon disclosure in the logistics industry, where further investigation may focus
10 on specific carbon emissions such as Scope 3 emissions.
11
12
13

14 15 16 **6. Conclusions**

17
18 Our goal for this systematic literature review is to highlight the rich context with thematic
19 analysis being conducted on carbon management in the logistics and transportation area between
20 2000 and 2015. Over the last two decades, our understanding and appreciation of carbon
21 management has increased, along with a broader view of logistics as an important carbon emitter
22 as well as a potential source of carbon emission problem solving. With a thematic analysis, the
23 modest contribution of this paper is to shed light on the current state of carbon management
24 thematic affairs in the logistics and transportation field and to provide a way of characterizing
25 the dynamics within a field. The topic of carbon management practices has had outstanding
26 growth in the number of publications in the logistics sphere. The majority of the papers studied
27 (75 per cent) have been published in the last five years from 2011 to 2015. 18 of 66 papers were
28 written by authors studying cases in the United States or the United Kingdom. We also identified
29 the major journals that deal with carbon management practices in the field of logistics and
30 transportation, and the methodology analysis revealed that most articles are empirically based
31 studies.
32
33
34

35 Although an increase and interest in carbon management practices in logistics and transportation
36 can be acknowledged, the present study identified gaps in the literature. With regard to carbon
37 strategy, more research needs to be done in order to understand how the management of carbon
38 emissions can support corporate improvement strategies, and vice versa. As for the risk
39 assessment of carbon emissions, future research may examine the costs and benefits of adopting
40 specific ISO guidelines for the transportation and logistics sector. For carbon target setting, a
41 more in-depth analysis and identification of the potential for carbon reduction by function,
42 activity and operational level is required. Although carbon reduction initiatives have been
43 extensively identified, realistic cases and approaches that measure the benefits or criticalities are
44 still under researched. For carbon performance and measurement, future approaches will have to
45 develop more specific requirements for the measurement and management of carbon
46 performance, e.g. the specific use of tools and concepts. Lastly, carbon disclosure in the logistics
47 and transportation area was highly under-represented and may provide the biggest opportunity
48 for further research. Future research into stakeholder engagement, and other fields up to the
49 transparency of carbon disclosure in the logistics industry is recommended, focusing on specific
50 carbon emissions such as Scope 3 emissions.
51
52
53
54

55 These identified gaps also have implications for managers or policy makers. Due to the low level
56 of research into carbon management practices in the field of logistics and transportation,
57 managers can contribute to the body of knowledge by engaging in activities that raise the overall
58
59
60

1
2
3 carbon performance in logistics and transportation. Data from optimizing routes as well as
4 specific corporate measures such as shifting cargo from road to rail, tracking carbon emissions to
5 identify critical processes or the use of electric vehicles is useful in assessing the carbon impact
6 in the logistics and transportation sector. As this literature review indicates, it seems that
7 managers in the logistics and transportation sector have little interest in research, and therefore
8 are neglecting opportunities to improve business performance. For policy makers, the challenge
9 is to provide a framework that encourages improvement in investment in carbon performance
10 without reducing the ability to compete in the marketplace, thus initiating a shift to more
11 environmentally friendly activities. Among other policy instruments, this may include carbon
12 standards for vehicles or investment in rail services. We conclude that the responsibility for
13 reducing or mitigating carbon emissions is, and will be, a long-term challenge. Further
14 collaboration between academics, managers and policy makers can significantly help to address
15 the identified gaps and to tackle climate change.
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23

24 7. References

- 25
26 Abbasi, Maisam, and Fredrik Nilsson. 2016. "Developing environmentally sustainable logistics:
27 Exploring themes and challenges from a logistics service providers' perspective."
28 *Transportation Research Part D: Transport and Environment* 46: 273-283.
29
- 30 Acquaye, Adolf, Andrea Genovese, John Barrett, and S. C. Lenny Koh. 2014. "Benchmarking
31 carbon emissions performance in supply chains." *Supply Chain Management* 19 (3):
32 306-321. doi: 10.1108/SCM-11-2013-0419.
33
- 34 Arimura, Toshi H., Nicole Darnall, and Hajime Katayama. 2011. "Is ISO 14001 a gateway to
35 more advanced voluntary action? The case of green supply chain management." *Journal*
36 *of Environmental Economics & Management* 61 (2): 170-182. doi:
37 10.1016/j.jeem.2010.11.003.
38
39
- 40 Auvinen, Heidi, Uwe Clausen, Igor Davydenko, Daniel Diekmann, Verena Ehrler, and Alan
41 Lewis. 2014. "Calculating emissions along supply chains — Towards the global
42 methodological harmonisation." *Research in Transportation Business & Management*
43 12: 41-46. doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.rtbm.2014.06.008>.
44
- 45 Bertinelli, F., S. Comel, P. Harlet, G. Peiro, A. Russo, and A. Taquet. 2006. "Production of Low-
46 Carbon Magnetic Steel for the LHC Superconducting Dipole and Quadrupole Magnets."
47 *IEEE Transactions on Applied Superconductivity* 16 (2): 1777-1781. doi:
48 10.1109/TASC.2006.873236.
49
50
- 51 Björklund, M., and H. Forslund. 2013. "The purpose and focus of environmental performance
52 measurement systems in logistics." *International Journal of Productivity and*
53 *Performance Management* 62 (3): 230-249. doi: 10.1108/17410401311309168.
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 Bouchery, Y., and J. Fransoo. 2015. "Cost, carbon emissions and modal shift in intermodal
4 network design decisions." *International Journal of Production Economics* 164: 388-
5 399. doi: 10.1016/j.ijpe.2014.11.017.
6
7
8 Browne, David, Margaret O'Mahony, and Brian Caulfield. 2012. "How should barriers to
9 alternative fuels and vehicles be classified and potential policies to promote innovative
10 technologies be evaluated?" *Journal of Cleaner Production* 35: 140-151.
11
12 Burritt, Roger L, Stefan Schaltegger, and Dimitar Zvezdov. 2011. "Carbon management
13 accounting: Explaining practice in leading German companies." *Australian Accounting*
14 *Review* 21 (1): 80-98.
15
16 CDP. 2010. Transport Report. London: Carbon Disclosure Project.
17
18 CDP. 2016. "Carbon Disclosure Project - About us." <http://www.cdproject.net/index.asp>.
19
20 Chaabane, Amin, Amar Ramudhin, and Marc Paquet. 2011. "Designing supply chains with
21 sustainability considerations." *Production Planning & Control* 22 (8): 727-741. doi:
22 10.1080/09537287.2010.543554.
23
24 Chang, Ching Chih, and Tin Chia Lai. 2013. "Carbon allowance allocation in the transportation
25 industry." *Energy Policy* 63: 1091-1097.
26
27 Chao, Ching-Cheng. 2014. "Assessment of carbon emission costs for air cargo transportation."
28 *Transportation Research: Part D* 33: 186-195. doi: 10.1016/j.trd.2014.06.004.
29
30 Cholette, S., and K. Venkat. 2009. "The energy and carbon intensity of wine distribution: A
31 study of logistical options for delivering wine to consumers." *Journal of Cleaner*
32 *Production* 17 (16): 1401-1413. doi: 10.1016/j.jclepro.2009.05.011.
33
34 Choudhary, Alok, Sagar Sarkar, Srikar Settur, and M. K. Tiwari. 2015. "A carbon market
35 sensitive optimization model for integrated forward–reverse logistics." *International*
36 *Journal of Production Economics* 164: 433-444. doi: 10.1016/j.ijpe.2014.08.015.
37
38 Ciliberti, F., P. Pontrandolfo, and B. Scozzi. 2008. "Logistics social responsibility: Standard
39 adoption and practices in Italian companies." *International Journal of Production*
40 *Economics* 113 (1): 88-106. doi: 10.1016/j.ijpe.2007.02.049.
41
42 Colicchia, Claudia, Gino Marchet, Marco Melacini, and Sara Perotti. 2013. "Building
43 environmental sustainability: empirical evidence from Logistics Service Providers."
44 *Journal of Cleaner Production* 59: 197-209.
45
46 Dey, Asoke, Paul LaGuardia, and Mahesh Srinivasan. 2011. "Building sustainability in logistics
47 operations: A research agenda." *Management Research Review* 34 (11): 1237-1259.
48
49 Doherty, Sean, and Seb Hoyle. 2009. "Supply chain decarbonization: the role of logistics and
50 transportation in reducing supply chain carbon emission." World Economic Forum.
51
52 Edwards, J. B., A. C. McKinnon, and S. L. Cullinane. 2010. "Comparative analysis of the carbon
53 footprints of conventional and online retailing: A "last mile" perspective." *International*
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 *Journal of Physical Distribution and Logistics Management* 40 (1-2): 103-123. doi:
4 10.1108/09600031011018055.
5
6
7 Egbue, Ona, and Suzanna Long. 2012. "Barriers to widespread adoption of electric vehicles: An
8 analysis of consumer attitudes and perceptions." *Energy policy* 48: 717-729.
9
10 Evangelista, P., M. Hüge-Brodin, K. Isaksson, and E. Sweeney. 2013. "Purchasing green
11 transport and logistics services: Implications from the environmental sustainability
12 attitude of 3PLs." In *Sustainable Practices: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools, and*
13 *Applications*, 86-102. Hershey, PA: Information Resource Management Association.
14
15 Evangelista, Pietro. 2014. "Environmental sustainability practices in the transport and logistics
16 service industry: An exploratory case study investigation." *Research in Transportation*
17 *Business & Management* 12: 63-72. doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.rtbm.2014.10.002>.
18
19 Fahimnia, B., M. Reisi, T. Paksoy, and E. Özceylan. 2013. "The implications of carbon pricing
20 in Australia: An industrial logistics planning case study." *Transportation Research Part*
21 *D: Transport and Environment* 18 (1): 78-85. doi: 10.1016/j.trd.2012.08.006.
22
23 González-Benito, J., and O. González-Benito. 2006. "The role of stakeholder pressure and
24 managerial values in the implementation of environmental logistics practices."
25 *International Journal of Production Research* 44 (7): 1353-1373. doi:
26 10.1080/00207540500435199.
27
28 Guerrero, S. E. 2014. "Modeling fuel saving investments and fleet management in the trucking
29 industry: The impact of shipment performance on GHG emissions." *Transportation*
30 *Research Part E: Logistics and Transportation Review* 68: 178-196. doi:
31 10.1016/j.tre.2014.05.012.
32
33 Haass, R., P. Dittmer, M. Veigt, and M. Lütjen. 2015. "Reducing food losses and carbon
34 emission by using autonomous control - A simulation study of the intelligent container."
35 *International Journal of Production Economics* 164: 400-408. doi:
36 10.1016/j.ijpe.2014.12.013.
37
38 Hahn, Rüdiger, Daniel Reimsbach, and Frank Schiemann. 2015. "Organizations, climate change,
39 and transparency: Reviewing the literature on Carbon Disclosure." *Organization &*
40 *Environment* 28 (1): 80-102.
41
42 Hoen, Kristel M. R., Tarkan Tan, Jan C. Fransoo, and Geert-Jan van Houtum. 2014. "Switching
43 Transport Modes to Meet Voluntary Carbon Emission Targets." *Transportation Science*
44 48 (4): 592-608. doi: 10.1287/trsc.2013.0481.
45
46 Hrasky, Sue. 2011. "Carbon footprints and legitimation strategies: symbolism or action?"
47 *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal* 25 (1): 174-198.
48
49 Islam, S., and T. Olsen. 2014. "Truck-sharing challenges for hinterland trucking companies: A
50 case of the empty container truck trips problem." *Business Process Management Journal*
51 20 (2): 290-334. doi: 10.1108/BPMJ-03-2013-0042.
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 Jeffers, P. I. 2010. "Embracing sustainability: Information technology and the strategic
4 leveraging of operations in third-party logistics." *International Journal of Operations*
5 *and Production Management* 30 (3): 260-287. doi: 10.1108/01443571011024629.
6
7
8 Jin, M., N. A. Granda-Marulanda, and I. Down. 2014. "The impact of carbon policies on supply
9 chain design and logistics of a major retailer." *Journal of Cleaner Production* 85: 453-
10 461. doi: 10.1016/j.jclepro.2013.08.042.
11
12 Jothi Basu, R., Ruibin Bai, and P. K. Palaniappan. 2015. "A strategic approach to improve
13 sustainability in transportation service procurement." *Transportation Research Part E:*
14 *Logistics and Transportation Review* 74: 152-168. doi:
15 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tre.2014.10.015>.
16
17
18 Kellner, F., and J. Igl. 2015. "Greenhouse gas reduction in transport: Analyzing the carbon
19 dioxide performance of different freight forwarder networks." *Journal of Cleaner*
20 *Production* 99: 177-191. doi: 10.1016/j.jclepro.2015.03.026.
21
22
23 Kellner, F., and A. Otto. 2012. "Allocating CO₂ emissions to shipments in road freight
24 transportation." *Journal of Management Control* 22 (4): 451-479. doi: 10.1007/s00187-
25 011-0143-6.
26
27
28 Kolk, Ans, David Levy, and Jonatan Pinkse. 2008. "Corporate responses in an emerging climate
29 regime: the institutionalization and commensuration of carbon disclosure." *European*
30 *Accounting Review* 17 (4): 719-745.
31
32
33 Konur, Dinçer, and Brian Schaefer. 2014. "Integrated inventory control and transportation
34 decisions under carbon emissions regulations: LTL vs. TL carriers." *Transportation*
35 *Research Part E: Logistics and Transportation Review* 68: 14-38. doi:
36 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tre.2014.04.012>.
37
38
39 Lagoudis, Ioannis N., and Aamil Raza Shakri. 2015. "A framework for measuring carbon
40 emissions for inbound transportation and distribution networks." *Research in*
41 *Transportation Business & Management* 17: 53-64. doi:
42 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.rtbm.2015.11.001>.
43
44
45 Lam, Jasmine Siu Lee, and Jing Dai. 2015. "Environmental sustainability of logistics service
46 provider: an ANP-QFD approach." *The International Journal of Logistics Management*
47 26 (2): 313-333.
48
49
50 Lee, K. H., and Y. Wu. 2014. "Integrating sustainability performance measurement into logistics
51 and supply networks: A multi-methodological approach." *British Accounting Review* 46
52 (4): 361-378. doi: 10.1016/j.bar.2014.10.005.
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60 Lieb, Kristin J, and Robert C Lieb. 2010. "Environmental sustainability in the third-party
logistics (3PL) industry." *International Journal of Physical Distribution & Logistics*
Management 40 (7): 524-533.

- 1
2
3 Lieb, R. C., and K. J. Lieb. 2015. "The North American third-party logistics industry in 2013:
4 The provider CEO perspective." *Transportation Journal* 54 (1): 104-121. doi:
5 10.1353/tnp.2015.0000.
6
7
8 Liimatainen, Heikki, Pekka Stenholm, Petri Tapio, and Alan McKinnon. 2012. "Energy
9 efficiency practices among road freight hauliers." *Energy policy* 50: 833-842.
10
11 Liljestrand, K., M. Christopher, and D. Andersson. 2015. "Using a transport portfolio framework
12 to reduce carbon footprint." *International Journal of Logistics Management* 26 (2): 296-
13 312. doi: 10.1108/IJLM-06-2013-0073.
14
15 Lin, C. Y., and Y. H. Ho. 2008. "An empirical study on logistics service providers' intention to
16 adopt green innovations." *Journal of Technology Management and Innovation* 3 (1): 17-
17 26.
18
19
20 Liotta, G., G. Stecca, and T. Kaihara. 2015. "Optimisation of freight flows and sourcing in
21 sustainable production and transportation networks." *International Journal of*
22 *Production Economics* 164: 351-365. doi: 10.1016/j.ijpe.2014.12.016.
23
24 Luo, Le, Yi Chen Lan, and Qingliang Tang. 2012. "Corporate incentives to disclose carbon
25 information: Evidence from the CDP Global 500 Report." *Journal of International*
26 *Financial Management & Accounting* 23 (2): 93-120.
27
28
29 Maas, Steffen, Tassilo Schuster, and Evi Hartmann. 2014. "Pollution prevention and service
30 stewardship strategies in the Third Party logistics industry: Effects on firm
31 differentiation and the moderating role of environmental communication." *Business*
32 *Strategy and the Environment* 23 (1): 38-55.
33
34 Marchet, Gino, Marco Melacini, and Sara Perotti. 2014. "Environmental sustainability in
35 logistics and freight transportation: A literature review and research agenda." *Journal of*
36 *Manufacturing Technology Management* 25 (6): 2-2.
37
38
39 Matisoff, Daniel C, Douglas S Noonan, and John J O'Brien. 2013. "Convergence in
40 environmental reporting: assessing the Carbon Disclosure Project." *Business Strategy*
41 *and the Environment* 22 (5): 285-305.
42
43 McKinnon, A. C. 2010a. "Product-level carbon auditing of supply chains: Environmental
44 imperative or wasteful distraction?" *International Journal of Physical Distribution and*
45 *Logistics Management* 40 (1-2): 42-60. doi: 10.1108/09600031011018037.
46
47 McKinnon, AC. 2011. "Developing a carbon reduction strategy for logistics." Proceedings of the
48 16th Annual Logistics Research Network Conference, Smarter Logistics: Innovation for
49 Efficiency Performance and Austerity, University of Southampton, UK, 7-9 September.
50
51 McKinnon, AC, and MI Piecyk. 2012. "Setting targets for reducing carbon emissions from
52 logistics: current practice and guiding principles." *Carbon Management* 3 (6): 629-639.
53
54
55 McKinnon, Alan. 2010b. "Green logistics: the carbon agenda." *Heriot-Watt University,*
56 *Edinburgh, United Kingdom. Electronic Scientific Journal of Logistics ISSN.*
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 Merrick, R. J., and J. H. Bookbinder. 2010. "Environmental assessment of shipment release
4 policies." *International Journal of Physical Distribution and Logistics Management* 40
5 (10): 748-762. doi: 10.1108/09600031011093197.
6
7
8 Naber, S. K., D. A. de Ree, R. Spliet, and W. van den Heuvel. 2015. "Allocating CO2 emission
9 to customers on a distribution route." *Omega* 54: 191-199. doi:
10 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.omega.2015.01.017.
11
12 Nanaki, Evanthia A, and Christopher J Koroneos. 2012. "Comparative LCA of the use of
13 biodiesel, diesel and gasoline for transportation." *Journal of Cleaner Production* 20 (1):
14 14-19.
15
16
17 Nieuwenhuis, P., A. Beresford, and A. K. Y. Choi. 2012. "Shipping or local production? CO 2
18 impact of a strategic decision: An automotive industry case study." *International Journal*
19 *of Production Economics* 140 (1): 138-148. doi: 10.1016/j.ijpe.2012.01.034.
20
21
22 Oberhofer, P., and M. Dieplinger. 2014. "Sustainability in the transport and logistics sector:
23 Lacking environmental measures." *Business Strategy and the Environment* 23 (4): 236-
24 253. doi: 10.1002/bse.1769.
25
26
27 Onghena, Evy, Hilde Meersman, and Eddy Van de Voorde. 2014. "A translog cost function of
28 the integrated air freight business: The case of FedEx and UPS." *Transportation*
29 *Research Part A: Policy and Practice* 62: 81-97.
30
31
32 Pålsson, Henrik, and Gyöngyi Kovács. 2014. "Reducing transportation emissions: A reaction to
33 stakeholder pressure or a strategy to increase competitive advantage." *International*
34 *Journal of Physical Distribution & Logistics Management* 44 (4): 283-304.
35
36
37 Pan, Shenle, Eric Ballot, and Frédéric Fontane. 2013. "The reduction of greenhouse gas
38 emissions from freight transport by pooling supply chains." *International Journal of*
39 *Production Economics* 143 (1): 86-94.
40
41
42 Pazirandeh, A., and H. Jafari. 2013. "Making sense of green logistics." *International Journal of*
43 *Productivity and Performance Management* 62 (8): 889-904. doi: 10.1108/IJPPM-03-
44 2013-0059.
45
46
47 Perotti, Sara, Marta Zorzini, Enrico Cagno, and Guido JL Micheli. 2012. "Green supply chain
48 practices and company performance: The case of 3PLs in Italy." *International Journal of*
49 *Physical Distribution & Logistics Management* 42 (7): 640-672.
50
51
52 PWC. 2008. Carbon value: Robust carbon management – a framework to protect and enhance
53 shareholder value in response to climate change. PriceWaterhouseCoopers.
54
55
56 Ramanathan, U., Y. Bentley, and G. Pang. 2014. "The role of collaboration in the UK green
57 supply chains: An exploratory study of the perspectives of suppliers, logistics and
58 retailers." *Journal of Cleaner Production* 70: 231-241. doi:
59 10.1016/j.jclepro.2014.02.026.
60
61
62
63
64
65
66
67
68
69
70
71
72
73
74
75
76
77
78
79
80
81
82
83
84
85
86
87
88
89
90
91
92
93
94
95
96
97
98
99
100
101
102
103
104
105
106
107
108
109
110
111
112
113
114
115
116
117
118
119
120
121
122
123
124
125
126
127
128
129
130
131
132
133
134
135
136
137
138
139
140
141
142
143
144
145
146
147
148
149
150
151
152
153
154
155
156
157
158
159
160
161
162
163
164
165
166
167
168
169
170
171
172
173
174
175
176
177
178
179
180
181
182
183
184
185
186
187
188
189
190
191
192
193
194
195
196
197
198
199
200
201
202
203
204
205
206
207
208
209
210
211
212
213
214
215
216
217
218
219
220
221
222
223
224
225
226
227
228
229
230
231
232
233
234
235
236
237
238
239
240
241
242
243
244
245
246
247
248
249
250
251
252
253
254
255
256
257
258
259
260
261
262
263
264
265
266
267
268
269
270
271
272
273
274
275
276
277
278
279
280
281
282
283
284
285
286
287
288
289
290
291
292
293
294
295
296
297
298
299
300
301
302
303
304
305
306
307
308
309
310
311
312
313
314
315
316
317
318
319
320
321
322
323
324
325
326
327
328
329
330
331
332
333
334
335
336
337
338
339
340
341
342
343
344
345
346
347
348
349
350
351
352
353
354
355
356
357
358
359
360
361
362
363
364
365
366
367
368
369
370
371
372
373
374
375
376
377
378
379
380
381
382
383
384
385
386
387
388
389
390
391
392
393
394
395
396
397
398
399
400
401
402
403
404
405
406
407
408
409
410
411
412
413
414
415
416
417
418
419
420
421
422
423
424
425
426
427
428
429
430
431
432
433
434
435
436
437
438
439
440
441
442
443
444
445
446
447
448
449
450
451
452
453
454
455
456
457
458
459
460
461
462
463
464
465
466
467
468
469
470
471
472
473
474
475
476
477
478
479
480
481
482
483
484
485
486
487
488
489
490
491
492
493
494
495
496
497
498
499
500
501
502
503
504
505
506
507
508
509
510
511
512
513
514
515
516
517
518
519
520
521
522
523
524
525
526
527
528
529
530
531
532
533
534
535
536
537
538
539
540
541
542
543
544
545
546
547
548
549
550
551
552
553
554
555
556
557
558
559
560
561
562
563
564
565
566
567
568
569
570
571
572
573
574
575
576
577
578
579
580
581
582
583
584
585
586
587
588
589
590
591
592
593
594
595
596
597
598
599
600
601
602
603
604
605
606
607
608
609
610
611
612
613
614
615
616
617
618
619
620
621
622
623
624
625
626
627
628
629
630
631
632
633
634
635
636
637
638
639
640
641
642
643
644
645
646
647
648
649
650
651
652
653
654
655
656
657
658
659
660
661
662
663
664
665
666
667
668
669
670
671
672
673
674
675
676
677
678
679
680
681
682
683
684
685
686
687
688
689
690
691
692
693
694
695
696
697
698
699
700
701
702
703
704
705
706
707
708
709
710
711
712
713
714
715
716
717
718
719
720
721
722
723
724
725
726
727
728
729
730
731
732
733
734
735
736
737
738
739
740
741
742
743
744
745
746
747
748
749
750
751
752
753
754
755
756
757
758
759
760
761
762
763
764
765
766
767
768
769
770
771
772
773
774
775
776
777
778
779
780
781
782
783
784
785
786
787
788
789
790
791
792
793
794
795
796
797
798
799
800
801
802
803
804
805
806
807
808
809
810
811
812
813
814
815
816
817
818
819
820
821
822
823
824
825
826
827
828
829
830
831
832
833
834
835
836
837
838
839
840
841
842
843
844
845
846
847
848
849
850
851
852
853
854
855
856
857
858
859
860
861
862
863
864
865
866
867
868
869
870
871
872
873
874
875
876
877
878
879
880
881
882
883
884
885
886
887
888
889
890
891
892
893
894
895
896
897
898
899
900
901
902
903
904
905
906
907
908
909
910
911
912
913
914
915
916
917
918
919
920
921
922
923
924
925
926
927
928
929
930
931
932
933
934
935
936
937
938
939
940
941
942
943
944
945
946
947
948
949
950
951
952
953
954
955
956
957
958
959
960
961
962
963
964
965
966
967
968
969
970
971
972
973
974
975
976
977
978
979
980
981
982
983
984
985
986
987
988
989
990
991
992
993
994
995
996
997
998
999
1000

- 1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
- Research in Transportation Business & Management* 12: 20-28. doi:
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.rtbm.2014.09.003>.
- Rondinelli, Dennis, and Michael Berry. 2000. "Multimodal transportation, logistics, and the environment: managing interactions in a global economy." *European Management Journal* 18 (4): 398-410.
- Schaltegger, Stefan, and Roger Burritt. 2014. "Measuring and managing sustainability performance of supply chains: Review and sustainability supply chain management framework." *Supply Chain Management: An International Journal* 19 (3): 232-241.
- Schaltegger, Stefan, and Maria Csutora. 2012. "Carbon accounting for sustainability and management. Status quo and challenges." *Journal of Cleaner Production* 36: 1-16.
- Srivastava, Samir K. 2007. "Green supply chain management: a state-of-the-art literature review." *International journal of management reviews* 9 (1): 53-80.
- Streimikiene, D. 2013. "Assessment of road transport technologies based on GHG emission reduction potential and costs." *Transformations in Business and Economics* 12 (2): 138-147.
- Tang, Qingliang, and Le Luo. 2014. "Carbon management systems and carbon mitigation." *Australian Accounting Review* 24 (1): 84-98.
- Tang, S., W. Wang, H. Yan, and G. Hao. 2015. "Low carbon logistics: Reducing shipment frequency to cut carbon emissions." *International Journal of Production Economics* 164: 339-350. doi: 10.1016/j.ijpe.2014.12.008.
- Theißen, Sebastian, Stefan Spinler, and Arnd Huchzermeier. 2014. "Reducing the Carbon Footprint within Fast-Moving Consumer Goods Supply Chains through Collaboration: The Manufacturers' Perspective." *Journal of Supply Chain Management* 50 (4): 44-61. doi: 10.1111/jscm.12048.
- Tiwari, Anurag, and Pei-Chann Chang. 2015. "A block recombination approach to solve green vehicle routing problem." *International Journal of Production Economics* 164: 379-387. doi: 10.1016/j.ijpe.2014.11.003.
- Tiwari, M. K., P. C. Chang, and A. Choudhary. 2015. "Carbon-efficient production, supply chains and logistics." *International Journal of Production Economics* 164: 193-196. doi: 10.1016/j.ijpe.2015.02.008.
- Tsoufias, Giannis T, and Costas P Pappis. 2008. "A model for supply chains environmental performance analysis and decision making." *Journal of Cleaner Production* 16 (15): 1647-1657.
- Ülkü, M. A. 2012. "Dare to care: Shipment consolidation reduces not only costs, but also environmental damage." *International Journal of Production Economics* 139 (2): 438-446. doi: 10.1016/j.ijpe.2011.09.015.

- 1
2
3 Wanke, P., H. Correa, J. Jacob, and T. Santos. 2015. "Including carbon emissions in the planning
4 of logistic networks: A Brazilian case." *International Journal of Shipping and Transport*
5 *Logistics* 7 (6): 655-675. doi: 10.1504/IJSTL.2015.072681.
6
7
8 World Economic Forum. 2016. Logistics Industry. In *World Economic Forum White Paper -*
9 *Digital Transformation of Industries in collaboration with Accenture*. Geneva: World
10 Economic Forum.
11
12 Yoshizaki, Y., T. Yamada, N. Itsubo, and M. Inoue. 2015. "Material based low-carbon and
13 economic supplier selection with estimation of ghg emissions and affordable cost
14 increment for parts production among multiple asian countries." *Journal of Japan*
15 *Industrial Management Association* 66 (4E): 435-442.
16
17
18 Zailani, S., A. Amran, and H. Jumadi. 2011a. "Green innovation adoption among logistics
19 service providers in Malaysia: An exploratory study on the managers' perceptions."
20 *International Business Management* 5 (3): 104-113. doi: 10.3923/ibm.2011.104.113.
21
22 Zailani, Suhaiza, Azlan Amran, and Herina Jumadi. 2011b. "Green innovation adoption among
23 logistics service providers in Malaysia: an exploratory study on the managers'
24 perceptions." *International Business Management* 5 (3): 104-113.
25
26
27 Zhang, J., Y. Zhao, W. Xue, and J. Li. 2015. "Vehicle routing problem with fuel consumption
28 and carbon emission." *International Journal of Production Economics* 170: 234-242.
29 doi: 10.1016/j.ijpe.2015.09.031.
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

No.	Author (year)	Country	Journal	Title	Research Method	Carbon Strategy	Perspectives addressed			
							Carbon Risk Assessment	Carbon Target Setting	Carbon Reduction Initiatives	Carbon Performance/ Reporting
1	Rondinelli and Berry (2000)	United States	<i>European Management Journal</i>	Multimodal transportation, logistics, and the environment: managing interactions in a global economy	Conceptual	Absent	Absent	Absent	Core	Absent
2	Léonardi and Baumgartner (2004)	Germany	<i>Transportation Research Part D: Transport and Environment</i>	CO 2 efficiency in road freight transportation: Status quo, measures and potential	Empirical	Absent	Absent	Absent	Ancillary	Core
3	Hervani et al. (2005)	United States	<i>Benchmarking: An international journal</i>	Performance measurement for green supply chain management	Conceptual	Absent	Absent	Absent	Absent	Core
4	González-Benito and González-Benito (2006)	Spain	<i>International Journal of Production Research</i>	The role of stakeholder pressure and managerial values in the implementation of environmental logistics practices	Empirical	Core	Absent	Absent	Absent	Absent
5	Hilty et al. (2006)	Sweden	<i>Environmental Modelling & Software</i>	The relevance of information and communication technologies for environmental sustainability—a prospective simulation study	Analytical	Absent	Absent	Absent	Core	Absent
6	Srivastava (2007)	India	<i>International journal of management reviews</i>	Green supply-chain management: a state-of-the-art literature review	Conceptual	Absent	Absent	Absent	Core	Core
7	Ciliberti et al (2008)	Italy	<i>International Journal of Production Economics</i>	Logistics social responsibility: Standard adoption and practices in Italian companies	Empirical	Absent	Absent	Absent	Core	Absent
8	Lin and Ho (2008)	Taiwan	<i>Journal of Technology Management & Innovation</i>	An empirical study on logistics service providers' intention to adopt green innovations	Empirical	Core	Absent	Absent	Absent	Absent
9	Tsoufias and Pappis (2008)	Greece	<i>Journal of Cleaner Production</i>	A model for supply chains environmental performance analysis and decision making	Analytical	Absent	Absent	Ancillary	Core	Core
10	Cholette and Venkat (2009)	United States	<i>Journal of Cleaner Production</i>	The energy and carbon intensity of wine distribution: A study of logistical options for delivering wine to consumers	Empirical	Absent	Absent	Absent	Ancillary	Core
11	Edwards et al (2010)	United Kingdom	<i>International Journal of Physical Distribution & Logistics Management</i>	Comparative analysis of the carbon footprints of conventional and online retailing: A "last mile" perspective	Mixed Method	Absent	Absent	Absent	Ancillary	Core
12	McKinnon (2010a)	United Kingdom	<i>International Journal of Physical Distribution & Logistics Management</i>	Product-level carbon auditing of supply chains: Environmental imperative or wasteful	Conceptual	Absent	Absent	Absent	Absent	Core

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49

distraction?

13	Jeffers (2010)	Trinidad and Tobago	<i>International Journal of Operations & Production Management</i>	Embracing sustainability: Information technology and the strategic leveraging of operations in third-party logistics	Empirical	Absent	Absent	Absent	Core	Absent
14	Lieb and Lieb (2010)	United States	<i>International Journal of Physical Distribution & Logistics Management</i>	Environmental sustainability in the third-party logistics (3PL) industry	Empirical	Core	Absent	Absent	Core	Absent
15	McKinnon (2010b)	United Kingdom	<i>Electronic Scientific Journal of Logistics</i>	Green logistics: the carbon agenda	Conceptual	Absent	Absent	Absent	Core	Absent
16	Merrick and Bookbinder (2010)	Canada	<i>International Journal of Physical Distribution & Logistics Management</i>	Environmental assessment of shipment release policies	Analytical	Absent	Absent	Absent	Core	Absent
17	Chaabane et al (2011)	Canada	<i>Production Planning & Control</i>	Designing supply chains with sustainability considerations	Empirical	Absent	Absent	Absent	Absent	Core
18	Colicchia et al (2011)	Italy	<i>Benchmarking: an international journal</i>	Benchmarking supply chain sustainability: insights from a field study	Empirical	Absent	Absent	Absent	Core	Core
19	Dey et al. (2011)	United States	<i>Management Research Review</i>	Building sustainability in logistics operations: a research agenda	Conceptual	Absent	Absent	Absent	Absent	Absent
20	Kim and Han (2011)	Korea	<i>The Asian Journal of Shipping and Logistics</i>	Measuring environmental logistics practices	Empirical	Absent	Absent	Absent	Absent	Core
21	Zailani et al (2011)	Malaysia	<i>International Business Management</i>	Green innovation adoption among logistics service providers in Malaysia: an exploratory study on the managers' perceptions	Empirical	Core	Absent	Absent	Absent	Absent
22	Browne et al (2012)	Ireland	<i>Journal of Cleaner Production</i>	How should barriers to alternative fuels and vehicles be classified and potential policies to promote innovative technologies be evaluated?	Conceptual	Absent	Absent	Absent	Core	Absent
23	Egbue and Long (2012)	United States	<i>Energy policy</i>	Barriers to widespread adoption of electric vehicles: An analysis of consumer attitudes and perceptions	Empirical	Core	Absent	Absent	Absent	Absent
24	Kellner and Otto (2012)	Germany	<i>Journal of Management Control</i>	Allocating CO 2 emissions to shipments in road freight transportation	Analytical	Absent	Absent		Absent	Core
25	McKinnon and Piecyk (2012)	United Kingdom	<i>Carbon Management</i>	Setting targets for reducing carbon emissions from logistics: current practice and guiding principles	Empirical	Absent	Absent	Core	Absent	Absent
26	Nanaki and Koroneos	Greece	<i>Journal of Cleaner Production</i>	Comparative LCA of the use of biodiesel, diesel and gasoline for	Empirical	Absent	Absent	Absent	Ancillary	Core

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49

40	Evangelista (2014)	Italy	<i>Research in Transportation Business and Management</i>	Environmental sustainability practices in the transport and logistics service industry: An exploratory case study investigation	Empirical	Absent	Absent	Absent	Core	Absent
41	Guerrero (2014)	United States	<i>Transportation Research Part E: Logistics and Transportation Review</i>	Modeling fuel saving investments and fleet management in the trucking industry: The impact of shipment performance on GHG emissions	Empirical	Absent	Absent	Absent	Core	Absent
42	Hoer et al. (2014)	Netherlands	<i>Transportation Science</i>	Switching Transport Modes to Meet Voluntary Carbon Emission Targets	Empirical	Absent	Absent	Absent	Core	Absent
43	Islam and Olsen (2014)	New Zealand	<i>Business Process Management Journal</i>	Truck-sharing challenges for hinterland trucking companies: A case of the empty container truck trips problem	Empirical	Absent	Absent	Absent	Core	Absent
44	Jine et al. (2014)	United States	<i>Journal of Cleaner Production</i>	The impact of carbon policies on supply chain design and logistics of a major retailer	Empirical	Absent	Core	Absent	Absent	Core
45	Konur and Schaefer (2014)	United States	<i>Transportation Research Part E: Logistics and Transportation Review</i>	Integrated inventory control and transportation decisions under carbon emissions regulations: LTL vs. TL carriers	Empirical	Absent	Absent	Absent	Absent	Core
46	Lee and Wu (2014)	Australia	<i>British Accounting Review</i>	Integrating sustainability performance measurement into logistics and supply networks: A multi-methodological approach	Empirical	Absent	Absent	Absent	Absent	Core
47	Maas et al. (2014)	Germany	<i>Business Strategy and the Environment</i>	Pollution Prevention and Service Stewardship Strategies in the Third-Party Logistics Industry: Effects on Firm Differentiation and the Moderating Role of Environmental Communication	Empirical	Ancillary	Absent	Absent	Absent	Core
48	Ramanathan et al. (2014)	United Kingdom	<i>Journal of Cleaner Production</i>	The role of collaboration in the UK green supply chains: An exploratory study of the perspectives of suppliers, logistics and retailers	Empirical	Absent	Absent	Absent	Absent	Core
49	Rizet et al. (2014)	France	<i>Research in Transportation Business and Management</i>	Co2 emissions of French shippers: The roles of delivery frequency and weight, mode choice, and distance	Empirical	Absent	Absent	Absent	Core	Absent
50	Liimatainen et al. (2014)	Finland	<i>Energy Policy</i>	Energy efficiency of road freight hauliers—A Nordic comparison	Empirical	Absent	Absent	Absent	Ancillary	Core

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49

65	Zhang et al. (2015)	China	<i>International Journal of Production Economics</i>	Vehicle routing problem with fuel consumption and carbon emission	Analytical	Absent	Absent	Absent	Core	Core
66	Bouchery and Fransoo (2015)	France	<i>International Journal of Production Economics</i>	Cost, carbon emissions and modal shift in intermodal network design decisions	Analytical	Absent	Absent	Absent	Core	Absent

For Review Only

Carbon Accounting Perspectives	Elements	Papers
Carbon Strategy	Competitive advantage	Pazirandeh and Jafari (2013) ,Pålsson and Kovács (2014), González-Benito and González-Benito (2006), Maas et al (2014), Oberhofer and Dieplinger (2014), Colicchia et al (2013)
	Internal management	González-Benito and González-Benito (2006), Lin and Ho (2008), Dey et al (2011)
	Adoption of advanced technologies	Egbue and Long (2012), Lin and Ho (2008), Zailani et al (2011)
Carbon Risk Assessment	Regulatory and policy risks	Fahimnia et al. (2013), Jin et al. (2014), Oberhofer and Dieplinger (2014)
	Product and Supply Chain Risks	Nieuwenhuis et. (2012)
Carbon Target Setting	Target Setting Process	McKinnon and Piecyk (2012), Björklund and Forslund (2013), Tsoufas and Pappis (2008), Tang et al (2015)
Carbon Reduction Initiatives	Transport management	Ülkü (2012), Lieb and Lieb (2010), McKinnon (2010), Merrick and Bookbinder (2010), Rizet et al (2014), Tang et al (2015), Liotta (2015), Liljestrand et al (2015), Tiwari and Chang (2015), Zhang et al (2015), Colicchia et al (2011), Srivastava (2007), Evangelista (2014), Ciliberti et al (2007), Perotti et al (2012), Cholette and Venkat (2009)
	Logistics system design	Basu et al (2015), Pan et al (2010), Lieb and Lieb (2010), Evangelista (2014), Jeffers (2010), Ciliberti et al (2007), Perotti et al (2012), Guerrero (2014), Islam and Olsen (2014), Glock and Kim (2015), Haass et al (2015), Hilty et al (2006), Colicchia et al (2011), Srivastava (2007), Léonardi and Baumgartner (2004)
	Modal Choice and intermodal	

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49

Carbon Performance and Reporting

transportation	Ciliberti et al (2007), Lieb and Lieb (2010), McKinnon (2010), Chang and Lai (2013), Hoen et al (2014), Perotti et al (2012), Bouchery and Fransoo (2015), Colicchia et al (2011), Srivastava (2007), Evangelista (2014), Rondinelli and Berry (2000)
Vehicle Technology / Low Carbon Vehicles	Evangelista (2014), Ciliberti et al (2007), Lieb and Lieb (2010), McKinnon (2010a), Streimikiene (2013), Colicchia et al (2011), Srivastava (2007), Perotti et al (2012), Liimatainen et al (2014), Browne et al (2012), Liimatainen et al (2012)
Alternative Fuels	Evangelista (2014), Perotti et al (2012), Ciliberti et al (2007), Lieb and Lieb (2010), Colicchia et al (2011), Srivastava (2007), Browne et al (2012)
Carbon Measurement and Requirements	Pazirandeh and Jafari (2013), Siu et al (2015), Hervani and Sarkis (2005), Kin and Han (2011), Ramanathan et al (2014), Pazirandeh and Jafari (2013), Choudhary et al (2015), Colicchia et al (2011), Srivastava (2007), Björklund and Forslund (2013), Tsoufias and Pappis (2008), Perotti et al (2012), Oberhofer and Dieplinger (2014), Lam and Dai (2015), Kellner and Igl (2015)
Carbon Integration and Analysis	McKinnon (2010b), Kellner and Otto (2012), Naber et al (2015), Lagoudis and Shakri (2015), Wanke et al (2015), Lee and Wu (2014), Knour and Schaefer (2014), Edwards et al (2010), Nanaki and Koroneos (2012), Léonardi and Baumgartner (2004), Liimatainen et al (2014), Cholette and Venkat (2009), Liimatainen et al (2012), Jin et al. (2014)
Performance implications after carbon adoption	Chao (2014), Fahimnia et al. (2013), Jin et al. (2014), Chaabane et al (2011)
Carbon Disclosure and Stakeholder Engagement	Maas et al (2014), Pålsson and Kovács (2014), McKinnon (2010b)
