

Published on Web 07/30/2005

Carbon Nanotube Graphoepitaxy: Highly Oriented Growth by Faceted Nanosteps

Ariel Ismach, David Kantorovich, and Ernesto Joselevich*

Department of Materials and Interfaces, Weizmann Institute of Science, Rehovot 76100, Israel

Received April 28, 2005; E-mail: ernesto.joselevich@weizmann.ac.il

Carbon nanotubes have unique properties, which make them potentially useful building blocks for nanoelectronics,1 but their organization into horizontal arrays on surfaces remains a critical issue for large-scale integration. In-plane directional growth of single-wall carbon nanotubes has been achieved by electric fields,² gas flow,³ lattice directions,⁴ and atomic steps.⁵ Epitaxy on periodically faceted surfaces has been extensively used for the nonlithographic production of self-assembled nanowire arrays.⁶ However, this approach has not yet been extended to carbon nanotubes. Graphoepitaxy, in contrast to the more classical commensurate epitaxy, usually refers to the incommensurate orientation of crystals7 or periodic molecular assemblies8 by relief features of the substrate, such as steps or grooves, which can be significantly larger than the lattice parameter. Here we report for the first time the oriented growth of carbon nanotubes on periodically faceted surfaces. Discrete single-wall carbon nanotubes (SWNTs) form in graphoepitaxy along faceted nanosteps, which had spontaneously self-assembled on the surface of annealed miscut C-plane sapphire. Depending on the miscut orientation and annealing conditions, graphoepitaxy leads to the formation of either unprecedentedly straight and parallel nanotubes, with angular deviations as small as $\pm 0.5^{\circ}$, or to wavy nanotubes loosely conformal to sawtoothshaped faceted nanosteps.

The procedures and models for the different morphologies of graphoepitaxial nanotubes are flowcharted in Figure 1, and



Figure 1. Flowchart describing the formation of possible morphologies of carbon nanotube graphoepitaxy by miscut of C-plane sapphire, annealing, and CVD. (a) Equilibrium shape of α -Al₂O₃, with facets C{0001}, R{1102}, S{1011}, P{1123}, and A{1120}, in order of increasing surface energy. The same drawing is used to show the different miscut directions. (b) Miscut toward [1100] produces a vicinal α -Al₂O₃ (0001) surface with atomic steps along [1120]. (c) Annealing leads to R-faceted nanosteps. (d) SWNTs grow straight along [11210] produces a vicinal α -Al₂O₃ (0001) with atomic steps along [1010]. (f) Annealing initially leads to metastable P-faceted nanosteps. (g) SWNTs grow straight along [1010]. (h) Further annealing from (f) leads to sawtooth-shaped S-/R-faceted nanosteps. (i) SWNTs grow loosely conformal to the sawtooth-shaped nanosteps, with segments along [1120] and [2110].



Figure 2. Graphoepitaxial SWNTs on different annealed miscut C-plane sapphire. (a) Straight nanosteps along $[10\overline{1}0]$, as in Figure 1g, observed by SEM. (b) AFM image of (a), showing the nanosteps. (c) Nanosteps along $[11\overline{2}0]$, as in Figure 1d. (d) Highly faceted sawtooth-shaped nanosteps along $[10\overline{1}0]$, as in Figure 1i.

representative results are shown in Figure 2 (experimental details and additional figures are available as Supporting Information).

Different substrates were produced as wafers by cutting and mechanically polishing single crystals of sapphire (α -Al₂O₃) at 2° or 4° off the C-plane toward the [1100] or [1210] directions (Figure 1a). The lattice and miscut orientations were determined by a previously described technique of asymmetric double-exposure back-reflection X-ray diffraction.⁵ The substrates were then thermally annealed at 1100 °C in air for 5–10 h. The SWNTs were grown from C₂H₄ and Fe nanoparticles by chemical vapor deposition (CVD) at 800 °C, as previously described.^{2,5}

The faceting tendencies of sapphire are indicated by its equilibrium shape⁹ (Figure 1a), where the relative area of the different facets decreases with their surface energy. Miscut toward [1100] at room temperature produces vicinal α -Al₂O₃ (0001) surfaces with atomic steps along [1120] (Figure 1b), whereas miscut toward [1210] produces atomic steps along [1010] (Figure 1e). For consistency, we define the step direction by a step vector⁵ $\mathbf{s} = \hat{\mathbf{c}} \times \hat{\mathbf{n}}$, where $\hat{\mathbf{c}}$ and $\hat{\mathbf{n}}$ are unit vectors normal to the C-plane and to the surface plane, respectively, so that \mathbf{s} is parallel to the steps, descending to the right. Upon annealing, the thermodynamically unstable atomic steps tend to reduce the surface energy by bunching together into faceted nanosteps spaced by flat C-plane terraces.¹⁰ The size of the faceted nanosteps is determined by equilibrium between the elastic energy due to surface stress and the energy of

the facet edges. Following Marchenko theory,^{6,11} the energy of a periodically faceted vicinal surface per unit of horizontal area is given by eq 1, where γ_0 and γ_1 are the surface energies of the flat terrace and the step facet, respectively, H and D are the step height and spacing, respectively, η is the energy of the facet edges, τ is the intrinsic surface stress, Y is the Young's modulus, a is the lattice parameter, and C_1 and C_2 are geometric factors related to the symmetry and elastic anisotropy of the facets. Upon annealing, the steps reach an equilibrium height H_{eq} when $\partial E/\partial H = 0$. Considering that H and D are related to the miscut inclination θ (Figure 1a) by $H/D \approx \theta$, this leads to eq 2, which explains the self-assembly of steps with heights that can be about 3 times or greater than the lattice parameter. Since H_{eq} is independent of θ , the step spacing D is inversely proportional to θ .

$$E = \gamma_0 + \gamma_1 \frac{H}{D} + \frac{C_1 \eta}{D} - \frac{C_2 \tau^2}{YD} \ln\left(\frac{H}{a}\right)$$
(1)

$$H_{\rm eq} = a \exp\left(1 + \frac{C_1 \eta Y}{C_2 \tau^2}\right) \tag{2}$$

The faceted nanosteps observed after annealing vicinal α -Al₂O₃ (0001)¹⁰ indeed have heights between 1 and 3 times larger than the unit cell, i.e., $H_{obs} = c - 3c = 1.3 - 3.8$ nm, resulting from the bunching of 6–18 atomic steps⁵ of height h = c/6 = 0.21 nm. In equilibrium, the facet of the nanostep should be the most stable facet of α -Al₂O₃ (Figure 1a) that follows the macroscopic surface plane. Thus, the atomic steps along $[11\overline{2}0]$ (Figure 1b) can bunch into stable R-faceted nanosteps (Figure 1c), and nanotubes grown on this surface graphoepitaxially form along the [1120] direction (Figure 1d), as experimentally observed in Figure 2c. Following the same principle, the atomic steps along [1010] (Figure 1e) initially bunch into P-faceted nanosteps (Figure 1f), which lead to graphoepitaxial nanotubes along [1010], observed in a and b of Figure 2. However, since the P facet has a large surface energy, these P-faceted nanosteps are metastable, and upon further annealing, they break into sawtooth-shaped S-/R-bifaceted nanosteps (Figure 1h). Due to the stiffness of the nanotubes and their relatively weak interaction with the faceted surface, graphoepitaxy produces nanotubes loosely conformal to alternate S and R facets along [1120] and [2110], respectively, as observed in Figure 2d. Curiously, the most strikingly straight and parallel nanotubes (Figure 2a,b), with angular deviations as small as $\pm 0.5^{\circ}$, are obtained on the metastable nanosteps along $[10\overline{1}0]$ before their breakdown. This may be attributed to the relatively high surface energy of the P facet, which could stabilize via the interaction with the growing nanotubes and catalyst nanoparticles.

A topographic 3D projection and a section analysis of graphoepitaxial SWNTs on faceted nanosteps are shown in Figure 3. The height of the nanosteps corresponds to one unit cell, c = 1.3 nm. The nanotube has a slightly larger height, and is located next to the nanostep edge, as explained by the model. An unbunched atomic step can be observed on one of the terraces. These residual atomic steps often divert the nanotubes from the nanostep edges (see SI).

For the graphoepitaxial formation of SWNTs along the faceted nanosteps we propose a wake-growth mechanism,5 where the catalyst slides along the inner and/or outer edges of the nanostep facets, leaving a nanotube on its trail. This mechanism, schematically implied in Figure 1d,g,i, is analogous to that previously proposed by us for the growth of SWNTs along atomic steps.⁵ To verify this mechanism with respect to a free growth mechanism², we repeated some of the experiments under a strong electric field perpendicular to the nanosteps and found that the growth direction is solely



Figure 3. Topographic analysis of a graphoepitaxial SWNT (black arrows) on faceted nanosteps. (a) AFM 3D projection. (b) Height profile of a selected section (inset, red line). (c) Geometric model.

dictated by the nanosteps, unaffected by the electric field (see SI). The tendency of the nanotubes to grow along the faceted nanosteps may be attributed to a series of factors, including van der Waals interactions between the nanotubes and the nanosteps, which have similar sizes, electrostatic interactions between the facet edges and the nanotubes, and capillarity of the catalyst nanoparticles at the inner edge of the faceted nanosteps. In principle, faceted nanosteps could template the formation of periodic nanotube arrays. However, we note that the nanotube yield is lower on these annealed samples than on nonannealed ones. This may be attributed to the lower energy of the rearranged surface with respect to that of the atomic steps, which could stabilize the catalyst. Current efforts are underway to understand the mechanism of catalytic growth of SWNTs on these surfaces and the role of impurities, and to increase nanotube yield by varying the substrate, catalyst, and growth conditions.¹²

We propose that epitaxy, which has been so decisive in defining present technology, may also represent a powerful general approach for the long-sought bottom-up assembly of nanotube architectures for nanoelectronics and other applications. Previously reported lattice-oriented⁴ and atomic step-templated⁵ nanotube growth may be rationalized as nanotube-extended versions of incommensurate lattice-directed and ledge-directed epitaxy,¹³ respectively. Nanotube graphoepitaxy, presented here, would complete a set of epitaxial modes of nanotube growth, pointing to intriguing new possibilities in nanotechnology.

Acknowledgment. This research was supported by the U.S.-Israel Binational Science Foundation, the Israel Science Foundation, the Djanogly and the Alhadeff foundations. E.J. holds the Victor Erlich Career Development Chair.

Supporting Information Available: Experimental details, XRD data, and additional AFM images. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

References

- (1) Dresselhaus, M. S.; Dresselhaus, G.; Avouris, P. Carbon Nanotubes: Synthesis, Properties and Applications; Springler-Verlag: Berlin, 2001. (a) Joselevich, E.; Lieber, C. M. Nano Lett. **2002**, 2, 1137. (b) Ural, A.;
- Li, Y.; Dai, H. Appl. Phys. Lett. 2002, 81, 3464. (c) Radu, I.; Hanein, Y.; Cobden, D. Nanotechnology 2004, 15, 473.
 (3) Huang, S.; Cai, X.; Liu, J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 16698.
 (4) (a) Su, M.; Li, Y.; Maynor, B.; Buldum, A.; Lu, J. P.; Liu, J. J. Phys.
- Chem B 2000, 104, 6505. (b) Han, S.; Liu, X.; Zhou, C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 5294. (c) Ago, H.; Nakamura, K.; Ikeda, K. Uehara, N. Ishigami, N.; Tsuji, M. Chem. Phys. Lett. 2005, 408, 433
- (5) Ismach, A.; Segev, L.; Wachtel, E.; Joselevich, E. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2004, 43, 6140.
- (6) Shchukin, V. A.; Ledenstov, N. N.; Bimberg, D. Epitaxy of Nanostructures; Springler-Verlag: Berlin, 2004.
- Smith, H. I.; Flanders, D. C. Appl. Phys. Lett. 1978, 32, 349.
- (9)
- Segalman, R. A.; Yokoyama, H.; Kramer, E. J. *Adv. Mater.* **2001**, *13*, 1152. Choi, J. H.; Kim, D. Y.; Hockey, B. J.; Wiederhorn, S. M.; Handwerker, C. A.; Blendell, J. E.; Carter, W. C.; Roosen, A. R. *J. Am. Ceram. Soc.* 1997, 80, 62
- (10) Heffelfinger, J. R.; Bench, M. W.; Carter, C. B. Surf. Science 1997, 370, L168.
- (11) Marchenko, V. I.; Soviet Phys. JETP 1981, 54, 605.
- Hata, K.; Futaba, D. N.; Mizuno, K.; Namai, T.; Yumura, M.; Iijima, S. (12)Science 2004, 306, 1362.
- (13) Hooks, D. E.; Fritz, T.; Ward, M. D. Adv. Mater. 2001, 13, 227. JA052759M

Carbon Nanotube Graphoepitaxy: Highly Oriented Growth by Faceted Nanosteps

Ariel Ismach, David Kantorovich, and Ernesto Joselevich*

Department of Materials and Interfaces, Weizmann Institute of Science, Rehovot 76100, Israel. E-mail: ernesto.joselevich@weizmann.ac.il

Supporting Information

1. Definition of the miscut parameters



Figure S1. Miscut inclination and azimuth angles, θ and φ , respectively, and step vector s. The step vector is defined as $\mathbf{s} = \hat{\mathbf{c}} \times \hat{\mathbf{n}}$, where $\hat{\mathbf{c}}$ and $\hat{\mathbf{n}}$ are unit vectors normal to the C-plane and to the surface plane, respectively, so that s is parallel to the steps, descending to the right.



2. Additional AFM images

Figure S2. Topographic AFM images showing SWNTs competitively aligned by the nanoscopic and atomic steps present on certain surfaces. (a) The upper nanotube runs along a nanostep, while the lower one jumped onto an unbunched atomic step remaining in the middle of a terrace. (b) A SWNT jumps from one nanostep to another along unbunched atomic steps.



Figure S3. AFM images of selected samples showing different phenomena. (a) and (b) show two samples with similar miscut inclination and orientation, but different degrees of faceting (Figures 1i and 1g, respectively), leading to sawtooth-shaped or straight nanostep morphologies, respectively. (b). (c) Certain nanotubes can hide or be partly eclipsed by the nanosteps, giving the appearance of a dashed line, at a larger scale. The inset shows the amplitude image where the SWNT is hiding along the step.



Figure S4. AFM images of additional samples displaying wavy SWNTs loosely conformal to sawtoothshaped faceted nanosteps. These samples exhibit a lower density and degree of alignment, which may be attributed to a weaker SWNT-nanostep interaction.



Figure S5. AFM image of aligned SWNTs along the nanosteps, **s** vector. During the CVD an external electric field (**E**, $2x10^6$ V/m) was applied closely perpendicular to the **s** vector as shown in the image. However, the electric field had practically no effect on the growth direction.

3. Methods

C-plane sapphire wafers were purchased from Gavish Industrial & Materials LTD., Omer, Israel (one side polished), with miscut inclination angles of 2° and 4° towards the [1100] and [1210] directions. The material was received first as an ingot. The lattice orientation was identified by a back-reflection x-ray diffraction (XRD) method⁵ (see Figure S6 showing a XRD pattern from a low-miscut α -Al₂O₃ (0001) sample). After marking the exact directions, the ingots were sent back to Gavish Company for cutting according to the specifications described above, and mechanical polishing. Samples that were chemically-mechanically polished (Marketech International) gave poorer yield and alignment. X-ray Photoelectron Spectroscopy (XPS) showed different amounts of surface impurities (e.g. Na, Mg, Ca), which could arise from the chemical treatment, and affect the nanotube growth process. The miscut inclination and azimuth angles were determined by X-ray diffraction, by an asymmetric double-exposure back-reflection method⁵, Figure S7. The X-rays were produced by an Elliot GX6 rotating anode generator operating at 1.2 KW and producing Cu radiation with a 200 µm focus , with smallest wavelength of 0.41Å through k_a (1.54 Å) and residual lower intensities of larger wavelengths. The

sample, placed perpendicular to the X-ray beam, was first exposed to back reflections for 2 hrs, and then rotated by 180° for a second exposure of 1 hr. In most cases a back reflection Laue pattern would have a larger number of reflections, which would be difficult to interpret. In order to reduce the number of spots and thus facilitate the recognition of both patterns, from the first and second exposures, a nickel filter was used to cut off the k_{β} 1.39Å and shorter radiations. We know that 80% of the reflections are from radiation wavelengths between 1.5-1.8 Å. The miscut angle θ was measured by a systematic and an approximated method, both giving the same results: (i) The spots of the XRD patterns were placed on an appropriate Greninger chart in order to read the angular relations on the back reflection film, and then the spots were plotted on a stereographic projection to measure the miscut inclination and azimuth; (ii) The distance between the centers of the first and second patterns was measured and defined as 2R. Then the miscut inclinations given by $\theta = \tan^{-1}(R/L)/2$, where L =3 cm is the distance between the sample and the X-ray sensitive film (7x7 cm) on which the Laue patterns were recorded.



Figure S6. Back reflaction XRD pattern of a low-miscut α -Al₂O₃ (0001) surface, showing the different low-index lattice directions.



Figure S7. Asymetric double exposure back reflection XRD pattern of a vicinal α -Al₂O₃ (0001) sample with miscut towards [1100] direction and miscut inclination angle of θ = 2.1 ± 0.1°.

The 5 cm wafers were cut in pieces of about 1×1 cm, and cleaned by sonication in acetone for 15 min, followed by rinsing in isopropanol and deionized water, blow dried and annealed at 1100°C for 5 to10 hours in air.

Single-wall carbon nanotubes (SWNTs) were grown by catalytic chemical vapor deposition (CVD), using ferritin protein as a precursor for monodisperse Fe nanoparticle catalyst, as previously reported^{2,5}. Substrates were first oxidized by oxygen plasma (March Plasmod GCM 200, 1-3 min, with 1 sccm of O_2), a ferritin solution of 0.1g/L (horse spleen ferritin, Sigma) was deposited on the samples for 10 min, washed with deionizied water and blow dried, followed by a second plasma oxidation step, to eliminate all the organic matter. Finally, the CVD was carried out at 800°C for 10 min with a mixture of 60% Ar (99.998%, Oxygen & Argon Industries, Israel), 40% H₂ (99.999%, Gordon Gas, Israel) and 0.2% ethylene (99.9%, Gordon Gas, Israel) at 1 atm and flow rate of 1 L/min. For the CVD experiments under electric field, platinum electrodes were patterned on the sapphire substrates, by a standard photolithography (photoresist Microposit S1805, Shipley), followed by electron beam evaporation (Edwards Auto 306) of 10 nm titanium (99.99%, Holland Moran Ltd., Israel) and 90nm platinum (99.99%, Holland Moran Ltd. Israel), while cooled with liquid nitrogen. The lithographic electrodes

were connected with 4523AD Kulicke & Soffa wire bonder, to external electrodes. A DC voltage of 50 V was applied between the electrodes (separation 25 μ m) during CVD.

Atomic force microscopy (AFM) characterization of the SWNT and annealed sapphire was carried out in air tapping mode (Veeco, Multimode Nanoscope IV), using 70 kHz etched Si probes (FESP, Nanoprobes). Field-emission scanning electron microscopy (FE-SEM) was done with a LEO Supra 55VP, in ultra high vacuum using relatively low voltages (0.3-1 kV) to minimize charging.