

## CASTELNUOVO-MUMFORD REGULARITY AND DEGREES OF GENERATORS OF GRADED SUBMODULES

MARKUS BRODMANN

ABSTRACT. We extend the regularity criterion of Bayer-Stillman for a graded ideal  $\mathfrak{a}$  of a polynomial ring  $K[\underline{x}] := K[x_0, \dots, x_r]$  over an infinite field  $K$  to the situation of a graded submodule  $M$  of a finitely generated graded module  $U$  over a Noetherian homogeneous ring  $R = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} R_n$ , whose base ring  $R_0$  has infinite residue fields. If  $R_0$  is Artinian, we construct a polynomial  $\tilde{P} \in \mathbb{Q}[\underline{x}]$ , depending only on the Hilbert polynomial of  $U$ , such that  $\text{reg}(M) \leq \tilde{P}(\max\{d(M), \text{reg}(U) + 1\})$ , where  $d(M)$  is the generating degree of  $M$ . This extends the regularity bound of Bayer-Mumford for a graded ideal  $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq K[\underline{x}]$  over a field  $K$  to the pair  $M \subseteq U$ .

### 1. Introduction

Let  $R = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} R_n$  be a Noetherian homogeneous ring (so that  $R$  is  $\mathbb{N}_0$ -graded with  $R = R_0[R_1]$ ) and let  $M \neq 0$  be a finitely generated graded  $R$ -module. For  $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  let  $H_{R_+}^i(M)_n$  denote the  $n$ -th graded component of the  $i$ -th local cohomology module  $H_{R_+}^i(M)$  of  $M$  with respect to the irrelevant ideal  $R_+ = \bigoplus_{n > 0} R_n$  of  $R$ . The (*Castelnuovo-Mumford*) *regularity*  $\text{reg}(M)$  of  $M$  is defined by

$$(1.1) \quad \text{reg}(M) := \inf\{m \in \mathbb{Z} \mid H_{R_+}^i(M)_{n-i} = 0 \quad \forall i \in \mathbb{N}_0 \quad \forall n > m\}.$$

The *generating degree*  $d(M)$  of  $M$  is “the largest degree of a minimal homogeneous generator of  $M$ ”; thus

$$(1.2) \quad d(M) = \inf\{m \in \mathbb{Z} \mid M \text{ is generated by homogeneous elements of degree } \leq m\}.$$

The principal aim of this paper is to derive a (polynomial) upper bound on  $\text{reg}(M)$  in terms of  $d(M)$  and  $\text{reg}(U)$ , where  $M$  is a graded submodule of a finitely generated graded  $R$ -module  $U$ .

---

Received July 16, 2002; received in final form February 26, 2003.  
2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 13D45. Secondary 13D40.

Upper bounds on  $\text{reg}(M)$  in terms of other invariants of  $M$  are of fundamental significance in algebraic geometry, commutative algebra and computational algebraic geometry (cf. [3]).

In the theory of Hilbert and Picard schemes one is led to bound the regularity of a graded submodule  $M$  of a graded free module  $F$  over a polynomial ring in terms of the Hilbert polynomial of  $M$ , the generating degree and the rank of  $F$  (cf. [13], [14], [15], [22]).

On the other hand, if the base ring  $R_0$  is Artinian,  $\text{reg}(M)$  and various other cohomological invariants of  $M$  may be bounded in terms of the *diagonal values*  $\text{length}_{R_0}(H_{R_+}^i(M)_{-i})$  ( $i = 0, 1, \dots$ ) of cohomology (cf. [5], [6], [7]). Closely related to these bounds of diagonal type is the vanishing or non-vanishing of the graded components  $H_{R_+}^i(M)_n$ , which is completely governed by a few simple combinatorial conditions if  $R_0$  is semilocal and of dimension  $\leq 1$  (cf. [4]).

If  $R = K[\mathbf{x}_0, \dots, \mathbf{x}_r] =: K[\underline{\mathbf{x}}]$  is a polynomial ring over a field,  $\text{reg}(M)$  has a “*syzygetic*” description: namely, if  $\dots \rightarrow F_1 \rightarrow F_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$  is a minimal free resolution of  $M$ , then

$$(1.3) \quad \text{reg}(M) = \sup\{d(F_i) - i \mid i \geq 0\}.$$

So, in the polynomial ring case,  $\text{reg}(M)$  gives an upper bound on the generating degrees of the syzygies of  $M$  and hence is of crucial significance for the classical *problem of “the finitely many steps”* (cf. [16], [17]). Expressed in modern terminology,  $\text{reg}(M)$  governs the computational complexity of calculating the syzygies of the finitely generated graded  $K[\underline{\mathbf{x}}]$ -module  $M$  (cf. [9]). In case  $R$  is not a polynomial ring, the “*syzygetic*” regularity (i.e., the term on the right hand side of equation (1.3)) may exceed the cohomological regularity  $\text{reg}(M)$  and in fact even become infinite.

Let us recall that the problem of “the finitely many steps” consists in constructing, in a predictable number of steps, a minimal graded free resolution of  $M$  from a minimal graded free presentation  $F_1 \rightarrow F_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ . This problem can be solved as the regularity  $\text{reg}(M)$  of a graded submodule  $M$  of the free module  $K[\underline{\mathbf{x}}]^{\oplus s}$  can be bounded in terms of  $r, s$  and the generating degree  $d(M)$  of  $M$ . This was essentially shown by Hermann [17] using ideas of Hentzelt-Noether [16]. (Note that the bounds calculated by Hermann are not correct; for correctly calculated bounds see [19], for example.) In the same spirit, Bayer and Mumford [1] showed that for a graded ideal  $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq K[\underline{\mathbf{x}}]$  one has the bound

$$(1.4) \quad \text{reg}(\mathfrak{a}) \leq (2d(\mathfrak{a}))^{r!}.$$

In [5] we extended this bound by showing that for a graded submodule  $M \subseteq K[\underline{\mathbf{x}}]^{\oplus s}$  one has

$$(1.5) \quad \text{reg}(M) \leq s^{e_r} (2d(M))^{r!},$$

where the numbers  $e_r$  are defined recursively by  $e_0 = 0$ , and  $e_r := e_{r-1} \cdot r + 1$  if  $r > 0$ . In explicit form we have  $e_r = r! \sum_{k=1, \dots, r} 1/k! = [r!(e-1)]$  (cf. [23, Sequence A002627]). It also should be noted that the bounds given in (1.4) and (1.5) still appear to be rather far from being sharp: namely, if  $\text{Char}(K) = 0$  one has  $\text{reg}(\mathfrak{a}) \leq (2d(\mathfrak{a}))^{2^{r-1}}$  (cf. [11], [12]), and by the examples of Mayr and Meyer [21] this latter bound is close to being best possible.

One basic aim of this paper is to extend the regularity bounds of (1.4) and (1.5) to a much more general situation. Namely, we consider an arbitrary finitely generated graded module  $U$  over a Noetherian homogeneous ring  $R = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} R_n$  with Artinian base ring  $R_0$ . Then we show (cf. Theorem 5.7):

(1.6) *There is a polynomial  $\tilde{P} \in \mathbb{Q}[\mathbf{x}]$  (of degree  $\dim(U)!$ ) which depends only on the Hilbert polynomial  $P$  of  $U$ , such that for each graded submodule  $M \subseteq U$  we have  $\text{reg}(M) \leq \tilde{P}(\max\{d(M), \text{reg}(U) + 1\})$ .*

If in addition  $\dim(U) = \dim(R)$  and  $d(M) + \text{reg}(M) \leq \text{reg}(U) + 1$ , we may replace  $\tilde{P}$  by a polynomial  $P^* \in \mathbb{Q}[\mathbf{x}]$  such that the bounds of (1.5) hold with  $R = K[\underline{\mathbf{x}}]$  and  $U = K[\underline{\mathbf{x}}]^{\oplus s}$ .

In [1], the bound (1.4) is deduced using the *regularity criterion of Bayer-Stillman* (cf. [2]). In fact, it turns out that the bound (1.4), and its extension (1.5), may be deduced without using this criterion (cf. [5]). Nevertheless, our proof of the bound (1.5) (resp. its extension (1.6)) is closely related to the regularity criterion of Bayer-Stillman, as both rely on the technique of (*saturated*) *filter-regular sequences of linear forms*. In Section 3 we give a criterion—in terms of such sequences—for detecting whether a graded submodule  $M$  of a finitely generated graded module  $U$  over a homogeneous Noetherian ring  $R = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} R_n$  is  $m$ -regular (cf. Theorem 3.8). If the base ring  $R_0$  has infinite residue fields, our criterion extends the corresponding criterion of Bayer-Stillman for a graded ideal  $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq K[\underline{\mathbf{x}}]$  to the case of a graded submodule  $M \subseteq U$  (cf. Theorem 4.7).

**Acknowledgement.** We thank the referee for his suggestions and for referring us to [23].

## 2. Some preliminaries

In this section we recall a few generalities on graded rings and graded modules. We use  $\mathbb{N}_0$  (resp.  $\mathbb{N}$ ) to denote the set of non-negative (resp. positive) integers.

### 2.1. DEFINITION AND REMARK.

(A) By a *homogeneous ring* we mean a (commutative unitary)  $\mathbb{N}_0$ -graded ring  $R = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} R_n$ , which is generated over its base ring  $R_0$  by linear forms, so that  $R = R_0[R_1]$ . Keep in mind that the  $\mathbb{N}_0$ -graded ring  $R = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} R_n$

is homogeneous and Noetherian if and only if  $R_0$  is Noetherian and there are finitely many linear forms  $f_0, \dots, f_r \in R_1$  such that  $R = R_0[f_0, \dots, f_r]$ .

(B) If  $R = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} R_n$  is an  $\mathbb{N}_0$ -graded ring, we denote by  $R_+$  the *irrelevant ideal* of  $R$ , i.e.,  $R_+ := \bigoplus_{n > 0} R_n$ . Recall that  $R$  is homogeneous if and only if  $R_+$  is generated by linear forms, and thus if and only if  $R_+ = R_1 \cdot R$ .

(C) If  $R = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} R_n$  is an  $\mathbb{N}_0$ -graded ring, we use  $\text{Proj}(R)$  to denote the *projective spectrum* of  $R$ , i.e., the set of all graded primes  $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq R$  with  $R_+ \not\subseteq \mathfrak{p}$ .

**2.2. DEFINITION.**

(A) Let  $R = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} R_n$  be an  $\mathbb{N}_0$ -graded ring and let  $T = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} T_n$  be a graded  $R$ -module. We define the *beginning* and the *end* of  $T$ , respectively, by

$$\text{beg}(T) := \inf\{n \in \mathbb{Z} \mid T_n \neq 0\}, \quad \text{end}(T) := \sup\{n \in \mathbb{Z} \mid T_n \neq 0\},$$

where “inf” and “sup” are formed in  $\mathbb{Z} \cup \{\pm\infty\}$  with the convention that  $\inf \emptyset = \infty$  and  $\sup \emptyset = -\infty$ .

(B) Let  $R$  and  $T$  be as in part (A) and let  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ . We define the  $m$ -th *left-truncation* and the  $m$ -th *right-truncation* of  $T$ , respectively, as the following  $R_0$ -submodules of  $T$ :

$$T_{\geq m} := \bigoplus_{n \geq m} T_n; \quad T_{\leq m} := \bigoplus_{n \leq m} T_n.$$

As  $R$  is  $\mathbb{N}_0$ -graded,  $T_{\geq m}$  is a (graded)  $R$ -submodule of  $T$ .

(C) Let  $R$  and  $T$  be as above. We denote the *generating degree* of  $T$  by  $d(T)$ , so that

$$d(T) := \inf\{m \in \mathbb{Z} \mid T = T_{\leq m} \cdot R\},$$

where “inf” is formed under the same convention as in part (A).

**2.3. DEFINITION AND REMARK** (cf. [8]).

(A) Let  $R = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} R_n$  be a homogeneous Noetherian ring and let  $M = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} M_n$  be a graded  $R$ -module. Then, for each  $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , the  $i$ -th *local cohomology module*  $H_{R_+}^i(M)$  of  $M$  with respect to the irrelevant ideal  $R_+$  of  $R$  carries a natural grading. For all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  we use  $H_{R_+}^i(M)_n$  to denote the  $n$ -th *graded component* of  $H_{R_+}^i(M)$ .

(B) Let  $R = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} R_n$  and  $M = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} M_n$  be as in part (A), but assume in addition that the  $R$ -module  $M$  is finitely generated. Then, for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$  and all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , the  $R_0$ -module  $H_{R_+}^i(M)_n$  is finitely generated and vanishes for all  $n \gg 0$ . Moreover,  $H_{R_+}^i(M)$  vanishes for all  $i > \dim(M)$ . So, for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$  we may define the (*Castelnuovo-Mumford*) *regularity of  $M$  at and above level  $k$*  by

$$\text{reg}^k(M) := \sup\{\text{end}(H_{R_+}^i(M)) + i \mid i \geq k\},$$

and obtain  $\text{reg}^k(M) \in \mathbb{Z} \cup \{-\infty\}$ .

(C) Let  $R$  and  $M$  be as in part (B). The (*Castelnuovo-Mumford*) *regularity of  $M$*  is defined as (cf. (1.1))

$$\operatorname{reg}(M) := \operatorname{reg}^0(M),$$

where  $\operatorname{reg}^0(M)$  is defined as in part (B). It is important to keep in mind that the generating degree and the regularity of  $M$  are related by the inequality (cf. [8, 15.3.1])

$$d(M) \leq \operatorname{reg}(M).$$

(D) Let  $R$  and  $M$  be as in part (B) and let  $k \in \mathbb{N}, m \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then the following equivalence is known to hold (cf. [8, 15.2.5]):

$$\operatorname{reg}^k(M) \leq m \iff H_{R_+}^i(M)_{m-i+1} = 0 \quad \forall i \geq k.$$

If  $\operatorname{reg}^k(M) \leq m$  we say that  $M$  is  *$m$ -regular at and above level  $k$* . If  $\operatorname{reg}(M) \leq m$ , i.e., if  $M$  is  *$m$ -regular at and above level 0*, we say that  $M$  is  *$m$ -regular*.

**2.4. REMARK (Replacement argument).** Let  $R = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} R_n$  be a homogeneous Noetherian ring and let  $R'_0$  be a Noetherian faithfully flat  $R_0$ -algebra. Let  $M$  be a finitely generated graded  $R$ -module and  $N \subseteq M$  a graded submodule. Then by faithful flatness and the graded flat base change property of local cohomology [8, 15.2.3]) we may replace  $M$  and  $N$  by  $R'_0 \otimes_{R_0} M$  resp.  $R'_0 \otimes_{R_0} N$  whenever we wish to prove a statement on regularities and generating degrees of  $M$  and  $N$ .

For notation and terminology from commutative algebra that has not been explained here we refer to [10] and [20].

### 3. Filter-regular sequences and regularity

Let  $R = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} R_n$  be a homogeneous Noetherian ring, let  $U$  be a finitely generated graded  $R$ -module and let  $M \subseteq U$  be a graded submodule. Let  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$  and let  $f_1, \dots, f_r \in R_1$  be a sequence of linear forms. We prove a criterion for the property that  $M$  is  *$m$ -regular* and  $f_1, \dots, f_r$  form a saturated filter-regular sequence with respect to  $U/M$ .

We briefly recall the notion of filter-regular sequence.

**3.1. REMINDER AND REMARK** (cf. [8, Chapt. 18]).

(A) Let  $R = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} R_n$  be a homogeneous Noetherian ring and let  $T = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} T_n$  be a finitely generated and graded  $R$ -module. A homogeneous element  $f \in R$  is said to be  *$(R_+ -)$  filter-regular (or almost-regular) with respect to  $T$*  if it is a non-zero divisor with respect to  $T/H_{R_+}^0(T)$ . This is equivalent to saying that  $f$  avoids all elements  $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Ass}_R(T) \cap \operatorname{Proj}(R)$ . Clearly,  $f$  is filter-regular with respect to  $T$  if and only if the annihilator  $0 \underset{T}{:} f$  of  $f$  in  $T$  is contained in  $H_{R_+}^0(T)$ , and thus if and only if  $\operatorname{end}(0 \underset{T}{:} f) < \infty$ .

(B) Let  $R$  and  $T$  be as in part (A). A sequence of homogeneous elements  $f_1, \dots, f_r \in R$  is called a *filter-regular (or almost-regular) sequence with respect to  $T$*  if  $f_i$  is filter-regular with respect to  $T/\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} f_j T$  for all  $i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$ . If in addition  $f_1, \dots, f_r \in R_1$ , we call the sequence a *filter-regular sequence of linear forms*. If  $W \subseteq H_{R_+}^0(T)$  is a graded submodule, a sequence  $f_1, \dots, f_r$  of homogeneous elements in  $R$  is filter-regular with respect to  $T$  if and only if it is filter-regular with respect to  $T/W$ .

**3.2. LEMMA.** *Let  $R = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} R_n$  be a homogeneous Noetherian ring, let  $T = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} T_n$  be a finitely generated graded  $R$ -module, let  $f_1, \dots, f_r \in R_1$  be a filter-regular sequence with respect to  $T$  and let  $i \in \{0, \dots, r\}$ . Then:*

- (a)  $\text{reg}\left(T/\sum_{j=1}^i f_j T\right) \leq \text{reg}(T)$ .
- (b)  $\text{end}\left(H_{R_+}^i(T)\right) + i \leq \text{end}\left(H_{R_+}^0\left(T/\sum_{j=1}^i f_j T\right)\right)$ .

*Proof.* (a) This follows from [8, (18.3.11)].

(b) The case  $i = 0$  is obvious. So, let  $i > 0$ . As  $f_2, \dots, f_r$  is a filter-regular sequence with respect to  $T/f_1 T$ , by induction

$$\text{end}\left(H_{R_+}^{i-1}(T/f_1 T)\right) + i - 1 \leq \text{end}\left(H_{R_+}^0\left(T/\sum_{j=1}^i f_j T\right)\right) =: e.$$

Let  $\bar{T} := T/H_{R_+}^0(T)$ . Then the graded epimorphism

$$H_{R_+}^{i-1}(T/f_1 T) \twoheadrightarrow H_{R_+}^{i-1}(\bar{T}/f_1 \bar{T})$$

shows that  $\text{end}(H_{R_+}^{i-1}(\bar{T}/f_1 \bar{T})) + i - 1 \leq e$ . But now the exact sequences

$$H_{R_+}^{i-1}(\bar{T}/f_1 \bar{T})_{n+1} \longrightarrow H_{R_+}^i(\bar{T})_n \xrightarrow{f_1} H_{R_+}^i(\bar{T})_{n+1}$$

and the vanishing of  $H_{R_+}^i(\bar{T})_n$  for all  $n \gg 0$  imply

$$\text{end}\left(H_{R_+}^i(\bar{T})\right) \leq \text{end}\left(H_{R_+}^{i-1}(\bar{T}/f_1 \bar{T})\right) - 1 \leq e - i.$$

In view of the graded isomorphism  $H_{R_+}^i(T) \cong H_{R_+}^i(\bar{T})$  we get our claim.  $\square$

In order to formulate and prove the announced regularity criterion we introduce the notion of a saturated filter-regular sequence.

**3.3. DEFINITION AND REMARK.**

(A) Let  $R = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} R_n$  and  $T = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} T_n$  be as in 3.1. A filter-regular sequence  $f_1, \dots, f_r$  with respect to  $T$  is *saturated* if  $f_1, \dots, f_r \in R_+$  and

$T/\sum_{j=1}^r f_j T$  is an  $R_+$ -torsion module. This is equivalent to saying that

$$\sum_{j=1}^r f_j R \subseteq R_+ \subseteq \sqrt{0 \begin{smallmatrix} : \\ R \end{smallmatrix} T/\sum_{j=1}^r f_j T}$$

or that

$$\sqrt{(0 \begin{smallmatrix} : \\ R \end{smallmatrix} T) + R_+} = \sqrt{(0 \begin{smallmatrix} : \\ R \end{smallmatrix} T) + \sum_{j=1}^r f_j R}.$$

(B) As a consequence of this definition (cf. [8, 2.1.9]), if  $f_1, \dots, f_r \in R$  is a saturated filter-regular sequence with respect to  $T$ , then there are natural isomorphisms  $H_{R_+}^i(T) \cong H_{(f_1, \dots, f_r)}^i(T)$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . Hence, in this situation we have  $H_{R_+}^i(T) = 0$  for all  $i > r$ .

**3.4. PROPOSITION.** *Let  $R = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} R_n$  be a homogeneous Noetherian ring, let  $T = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} T_n$  be a finitely generated graded  $R$ -module, let  $f_1, \dots, f_r \in R_1$  and let  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i)  $\text{reg}(T) < m$  and  $f_1, \dots, f_r$  is a saturated filter-regular sequence with respect to  $T$ .
- (ii)  $\text{end} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ T/\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} f_j T \\ f_i \end{pmatrix} < m$  for all  $i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$  and  $\text{end} \left( T/\sum_{j=1}^r f_j T \right) < m$ .

*Proof.* “(i)  $\implies$  (ii)”: Assume that condition (i) holds. Then 3.2(a) shows that

$$\text{end} \left( H_{R_+}^0 \left( T/\sum_{j=1}^k f_j T \right) \right) \leq \text{reg} \left( T/\sum_{j=1}^k f_j T \right) \leq \text{reg}(T) < m$$

for all  $k \in \{1, \dots, r\}$ . As  $f_i$  is filter-regular with respect to  $T/\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} f_j T$ , we obtain

$$\text{end} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ T/\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} f_j T \\ f_i \end{pmatrix} \leq \text{end} \left( H_{R_+}^0 \left( T/\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} f_j T \right) \right) < m, \quad \forall i \in \{1, \dots, r\}.$$

As the sequence  $f_1, \dots, f_r$  is saturated, we have

$$T/\sum_{j=1}^r f_j T = H_{R_+}^0 \left( T/\sum_{j=1}^r f_j T \right)$$

and hence obtain  $\text{end}(T/\sum_{j=1}^r f_j T) < m$ .

“(ii)  $\implies$  (i)”: Assume that condition (ii) holds. As  $\text{end}(0 \begin{smallmatrix} \vdots \\ T/\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} f_j T \end{smallmatrix} f_i) < \infty$  for  $i = 1, \dots, r$ , it follows that the sequence  $f_1, \dots, f_r$  is filter-regular with respect to  $T$ . As  $\text{end}(T/\sum_{j=1}^r f_j T) < \infty$ , this sequence is saturated. In particular, we have  $H_{R_+}^i(T) = 0$  for all  $i > r$  (cf. 3.3(B)). If we apply 3.2(b) with  $i = 1, \dots, r$  we obtain  $\text{reg}(T) < m$ .  $\square$

**3.5. COROLLARY.** *Let  $R = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} R_n$  be a homogeneous Noetherian ring, let  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$  and let  $U$  be a finitely generated graded  $R$ -module such that  $\text{reg}(U) < m$ . Let  $M \subseteq U$  be a graded submodule and let  $f_1, \dots, f_r \in R_1$ . Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i)  $\text{reg}(M) \leq m$  and  $f_1, \dots, f_r$  is a saturated filter-regular sequence with respect to  $U/M$ .
- (ii)  $\left( \left( M + \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} f_j U \right) \begin{smallmatrix} \vdots \\ \vdots \end{smallmatrix} f_i \right)_{\geq m} = \left( M + \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} f_j U \right)_{\geq m}$  for all  $i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$  and  $\left( M + \sum_{j=1}^r f_j U \right)_{\geq m} = U_{\geq m}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $T := U/M$ . Then the graded exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow U \rightarrow T \rightarrow 0$  shows that  $\text{reg}(M) \leq \max\{\text{reg}(U), \text{reg}(T) + 1\}$  and  $\text{reg}(T) \leq \max\{\text{reg}(U), \text{reg}(M) - 1\}$  (cf. [8, 15.2.15]). So, 3.4(i) is equivalent to 3.5(i). The equivalence of 3.4(ii) and 3.5(ii) is immediate.  $\square$

The announced regularity criterion turns the criterion 3.5 into a “persistence result”, in which the comparison of graded components in all degrees  $\geq m$  which appears in statement 3.5 (ii) is replaced by a comparison in degree  $m$ . To prove this, we use the following lemma:

**3.6. LEMMA.** *Let  $R = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} R_n$  be a homogeneous Noetherian ring. Let  $U$  be a finitely generated graded  $R$ -module, let  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ , and let  $M, N \subseteq U$  be two graded submodules such that  $d(M), d(N) \leq m$  and  $\text{reg}(M + N) < m$ . Then  $d(M \cap N) \leq m$ .*

*Proof.* Write  $R$  as a graded homomorphic image of a polynomial ring  $R_0[\underline{x}] = R_0[x_0, \dots, x_r]$  and observe that neither the generating degree nor the regularity of a finitely generated graded  $R$ -module  $V$  change their values if we consider  $V$  as an  $R_0[\underline{x}]$ -module. Therefore we may assume that  $R = R_0[\underline{x}]$  is a polynomial ring. We can now proceed as in the proof of [5, 2.4], where our result was shown for the special case when  $R$  is a polynomial ring over a field. Namely, as  $d(M), d(N) \leq m$ , there are graded epimorphisms  $\pi : F \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$  and  $\varrho : G \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0$  in which  $F$  and  $G$  are graded free  $R$ -modules of finite rank with  $d(F), d(G) \leq m$ . As  $\text{reg}(R) = 0$  we thus obtain  $\text{reg}(F \oplus G) \leq m$ . The graded short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Ker}(\pi + \varrho) \rightarrow F \oplus G \xrightarrow{\pi + \varrho} M + N \rightarrow 0$$



yields that  $\text{reg}(\text{Ker}(\pi + \varrho)) \leq m$  and thus  $d(\text{Ker}(\pi + \varrho)) \leq m$  (cf. 2.3(C)). Now the commutative diagram

$$\begin{CD} M \oplus N @>\sigma := \text{id}_M + \text{id}_N>> M + N \\ @A{\pi \oplus \varrho}AA @AA{\pi + \varrho}A \\ F \oplus G @= F \oplus G \end{CD}$$

shows that  $(\pi \oplus \varrho)(\text{Ker}(\pi + \varrho)) = \text{Ker}(\sigma)$  and thus  $d(\text{Ker}(\sigma)) \leq m$ . In view of the graded isomorphism  $M \cap N \cong \text{Ker}(\sigma)$  our claim follows.  $\square$

**3.7. LEMMA.** *Let  $R = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} R_n$  be a homogeneous Noetherian ring and let  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Let  $U$  be a finitely generated graded  $R$ -module, let  $M \subseteq U$  be a graded submodule and let  $f \in R_1$  be filter-regular with respect to  $U$ . Assume that  $d(M), \text{reg}(U), \text{reg}(M + fU) \leq m$ . Then  $d(M \underset{U}{;} f) \leq m$ .*

*Proof.* As  $d(fU) \leq d(U) + 1 \leq \text{reg}(U) + 1 \leq m + 1$ , Lemma 3.6 implies that  $d(M \cap fU) \leq m + 1$ . Since  $M \cap fU = f(M \underset{U}{;} f)$ , we have a graded short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow (0 \underset{U}{;} f) \rightarrow (M \underset{U}{;} f) \rightarrow (M \cap fU)(1) \rightarrow 0.$$

As  $f$  is filter-regular with respect to  $U$ , we have  $(0 \underset{U}{;} f) \subseteq H_{R^+}^0(U)$  and hence

$$d(0 \underset{U}{;} f) \leq \text{end}(0 \underset{U}{;} f) \leq \text{end}(H_{R^+}^0(U)) \leq \text{reg}(U) \leq m.$$

Now, the above exact sequence yields  $d(M \underset{U}{;} f) \leq m$ .  $\square$

We are now ready to formulate and prove the main result of this section.

**3.8. THEOREM.** *Let  $R = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} R_n$  be a homogeneous Noetherian ring and let  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Let  $U$  be a finitely generated graded  $R$ -module, let  $M \subseteq U$  be a graded submodule, let  $f_1, \dots, f_r \in R_1$  be filter-regular elements with respect to  $U$  and assume that  $\text{reg}(U) < m$  and  $d(M) \leq m$ . Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i)  $\text{reg}(M) \leq m$  and  $f_1, \dots, f_r$  is a saturated filter-regular sequence with respect to  $U/M$ .
- (ii)  $\left( (M + \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} f_j U) \underset{U}{;} f_i \right)_m = (M + \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} f_j U)_m$  for all  $i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$  and  $(M + \sum_{j=1}^r f_j U)_m = U_m$ .

*Proof.* “(i)  $\implies$  (ii)”: This is clear by 3.5.

“(ii)  $\implies$  (i)”: We proceed by induction on  $r$ . First, let  $r = 1$ . By statement (ii) we have  $(M + f_1 U)_m = U_m$ . As  $d(U) \leq \text{reg}(U) \leq m$ , it follows that  $(M + f_1 U)_{\geq m} = U_{\geq m}$ , and hence  $\text{end}(U/(M + f_1 U)) < m$ . In view of the

graded short exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow (M + f_1U) \rightarrow U \rightarrow U/(M + f_1U) \rightarrow 0$  it follows that  $\text{reg}(M + f_1U) \leq m$ . By Lemma 3.7 we get  $d(M \underset{U}{:} f_1) \leq m$ . By statement (ii), we have  $(M \underset{U}{:} f_1)_m = M_m$ ; it follows that  $(M \underset{U}{:} f_1)_{\geq m} = M_{\geq m}$ . From the implication “(ii)  $\implies$  (i)” of Corollary 3.5 we get  $\text{reg}(M) \leq m$  and that  $f_1$  constitutes a saturated filter-regular sequence with respect to  $U/M$ .

Now, let  $r > 1$  and assume that statement (ii) holds. As  $d(f_1U) \leq d(U) + 1 \leq \text{reg}(U) + 1 \leq m$ , we have  $d(M + f_1U) \leq m$ . Applying induction to the graded submodule  $M + f_1U \subseteq U$  and the sequence  $f_2, \dots, f_r \in R_1$ , we see that  $\text{reg}(M + f_1U) \leq m$  and that  $f_2, \dots, f_r$  is a saturated filter-regular sequence with respect to  $U/(M + f_1U)$ . Hence, by 3.5 we have

$$\left( \left( M + \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} f_jU \right) \underset{U}{:} f_i \right)_{\geq m} = \left( M + \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} f_jU \right)_{\geq m}$$

for all  $i \in \{2, \dots, r\}$  and  $(M + \sum_{j=1}^r f_jU)_{\geq m} = U_{\geq m}$ . By 3.7 we also have  $d(M \underset{U}{:} f_1) \leq m$ . As  $(M \underset{U}{:} f_1)_m = M_m$  and  $d(M) \leq m$ , it follows that  $(M \underset{U}{:} f_1)_{\geq m} = M_{\geq m}$ . Now, another application of 3.5 gives statement (i).  $\square$

**4. Extending the regularity criterion of Bayer-Stillman**

Let  $K[\mathbf{x}] = K[\mathbf{x}_0, \dots, \mathbf{x}_t]$  be a polynomial ring over an infinite field  $K$  and let  $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq K[\mathbf{x}]$  be a graded ideal. Let  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . In [2, 1.10] Bayer and Stillman proved that  $\mathfrak{a}$  is  $m$ -regular if and only if there is a sequence of linear forms  $f_1, \dots, f_r \in K[\mathbf{x}]_1$  such that statement (ii) of Theorem 3.8 holds with  $M = \mathfrak{a}$  and  $U = K[\mathbf{x}]$ . The aim of this section is to extend this regularity criterion of Bayer-Stillman to a situation nearly as general as that in 3.8. To do so, we obviously need the existence of saturated filter-regular sequences of linear forms with respect to arbitrary finitely generated modules over the considered homogeneous Noetherian ring  $R = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} R_n$ . To ensure that such sequences exist, we shall subject the base ring  $R_0$  to an appropriate condition.

**4.1. DEFINITION AND REMARK.**

(A) A Ring  $R_0$  is said to have *infinite residue fields* if the field  $R_0/\mathfrak{m}_0$  is infinite for each  $\mathfrak{m}_0 \in \text{Max}(R_0)$  or, equivalently, if  $R_0/\mathfrak{p}_0$  is an infinite domain for each  $\mathfrak{p}_0 \in \text{Spec}(R_0)$ .

(B) Clearly, if  $f : R_0 \rightarrow R'_0$  is a homomorphism of rings and  $R_0$  has infinite residue fields, then  $R'_0$  also has infinite residue fields. In particular,  $R_0$  has infinite residue fields if it contains an infinite field.

**4.2. LEMMA.** *Let  $R = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} R_n$  be a homogeneous Noetherian ring such that  $R_0$  has infinite residue fields and let  $\Omega \subseteq \text{Proj}(R)$  be a finite set. Then  $R_1 \not\subseteq \bigcup_{\mathfrak{q} \in \Omega} \mathfrak{q}$ .*

*Proof.* We may assume that  $\Omega \neq \emptyset$ . For  $\mathfrak{m}_0 \in \text{Max}(R_0)$  set  $\Omega(\mathfrak{m}_0) := \{\mathfrak{q} \in \Omega \mid \mathfrak{q} \cap R_0 \subseteq \mathfrak{m}_0\}$ . Clearly, there is a finite set  $\mathbb{M} \subseteq \text{Max}(R_0)$  such that  $\Omega(\mathfrak{m}_0) \neq \emptyset$  for each  $\mathfrak{m}_0 \in \mathbb{M}$  and  $\Omega = \bigcup_{\mathfrak{m}_0 \in \mathbb{M}} \Omega(\mathfrak{m}_0)$ . For each  $\mathfrak{m}_0 \in \mathbb{M}$  and each  $\mathfrak{q} \in \Omega(\mathfrak{m}_0)$  it follows by Nakayama that  $\mathfrak{q} \cap R_1 + \mathfrak{m}_0 R_1 \subsetneq R_1$ . So, as  $\Omega(\mathfrak{m}_0)$  is finite and  $R_0/\mathfrak{m}_0$  is infinite, there is some  $v_{\mathfrak{m}_0} \in R_1 \setminus \bigcup_{\mathfrak{q} \in \Omega(\mathfrak{m}_0)} (\mathfrak{q}_1 + \mathfrak{m}_0 R_1)$ . For each  $\mathfrak{m}_0 \in \mathbb{M}$  we find some element  $a_{\mathfrak{m}_0} \in (\bigcap_{\mathfrak{n}_0 \in \mathbb{M} \setminus \{\mathfrak{m}_0\}} \mathfrak{n}_0) \setminus \mathfrak{m}_0$ . With  $v := \sum_{\mathfrak{m}_0 \in \mathbb{M}} a_{\mathfrak{m}_0} v_{\mathfrak{m}_0}$  it follows that

$$v \in R_1 \setminus \bigcup_{\mathfrak{m}_0 \in \mathbb{M}} \bigcup_{\mathfrak{q} \in \Omega(\mathfrak{m}_0)} (\mathfrak{q}_1 + \mathfrak{m}_0 R_1) = R_1 \setminus \bigcup_{\mathfrak{q} \in \Omega} \mathfrak{q}. \quad \square$$

**4.3. LEMMA.** *Let  $R = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} R_n$  be a homogeneous Noetherian ring such that  $R_0$  has infinite residue fields and let  $\mathcal{P} \subseteq \text{Proj}(R)$  be a finite set. Let  $r \in \mathbb{N}$  and let  $T = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} T_n$  be a finitely generated graded  $R$ -module. Then there is a sequence  $(f_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq R_1 \setminus \bigcup_{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{P}} \mathfrak{p}$  such that  $f_1, \dots, f_r$  is a filter-regular sequence with respect to  $T$  for each  $r \in \mathbb{N}$ .*

*Proof.* If we apply 4.2 with  $\Omega := \mathcal{P} \cap \text{Ass}(T) \cap \text{Proj}(R)$  we get an element  $f_1 \in R_1 \setminus \bigcup_{\mathfrak{q} \in \mathcal{P}} \mathfrak{p}$  which is filter-regular with respect to  $T$ . Using this observation, a sequence  $(f_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  of the requested type is easily constructed by induction. □

Hence, if the base ring  $R_0$  has infinite residue fields, filter-regular sequence of arbitrary length and consisting of linear forms exist. The existence of saturated filter-regular sequences now follows easily.

**4.4. LEMMA.** *Let  $R = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} R_n$  be a homogeneous Noetherian ring and let  $T$  be a finitely generated graded  $R$ -module. Let  $(f_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq R_+$  be a sequence such that  $f_1, \dots, f_r$  is a filter-regular sequence with respect to  $T$  for each  $r \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then there is some  $r_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that the filter-regular sequence  $f_1, \dots, f_r$  is saturated for each  $r \geq r_0$ .*

*Proof.* If, for some  $r \in \mathbb{N}$ , the filter-regular sequence  $f_1, \dots, f_r$  is non-saturated,  $f_{r+1}$  avoids some member of  $\text{Ass}_R(T/\sum_{i=1}^r f_i T)$ , so that  $f_{r+1} \notin \sum_{i=1}^r f_i R$ , and hence  $\sum_{i=1}^r f_i R \subsetneq \sum_{i=1}^{r+1} f_i R$ . As  $R$  is Noetherian, we obtain our claim. □

The possible values of the number  $r_0$  in Lemma 4.4 can easily be bounded. In order to do so, let us recall some notion.

**4.5. DEFINITION.** The *arithmetic rank*  $\text{ara}(\mathfrak{a})$  of an ideal  $\mathfrak{a}$  of a Noetherian ring  $R$  is defined as the minimal number of elements in  $R$  which generate an

ideal that is radically equal to  $\mathfrak{a}$ ; thus

$$\text{ara}(\mathfrak{a}) := \min \left\{ r \in \mathbb{N}_0 \mid \exists a_1, \dots, a_r \in R : \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^r a_i R} = \sqrt{\mathfrak{a}} \right\}.$$

**4.6. LEMMA.** *Let  $R = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} R_n$  be a homogeneous Noetherian ring, let  $T$  be a finitely generated graded  $R$ -module and let  $f_1, \dots, f_r \in R_+$  be a filter-regular sequence with respect to  $T$ . Then:*

- (a) *If the filter-regular sequence  $f_1, \dots, f_r$  is saturated, then  $r \geq \text{ara}((R/(0 :_R T))_+)$ .*
- (b) *If  $r \geq \dim(T)$ , the filter-regular sequence  $f_1, \dots, f_r$  is saturated.*
- (c) *If  $R_0$  is Artinian, then the filter-regular sequence  $f_1, \dots, f_r$  is saturated if and only if  $r \geq \dim(T)$ .*

*Proof.* (a) This is clear by 3.3(A).

(b) Assume that the sequence  $f_1, \dots, f_r$  is not saturated, so that

$$\sqrt{(0 :_R T) + R_+} \not\supseteq \sqrt{(0 :_R T) + \sum_{j=1}^r f_j R}.$$

Then there is a prime  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Var}((0 :_R T) + \sum_{j=1}^r f_j R) \setminus \text{Var}(R_+)$ . Thus  $f_1/1, \dots, f_r/1 \in \mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is a regular sequence with respect to  $T_{\mathfrak{p}}$  (cf. [8, 18.3.8]), so that  $r \leq \text{depth}(T_{\mathfrak{p}}) \leq \dim(T_{\mathfrak{p}})$ . As  $\mathfrak{p} \subsetneq \mathfrak{p}_0 + R_+ \in \text{Spec}(R)$ , we have  $\dim(T_{\mathfrak{p}}) < \dim(T)$  and hence get  $r < \dim(T)$ .

(c) As  $R_0$  is Artinian, we have  $\dim(R/(0 :_R T)) = \text{ara}((R/(0 :_R T))_+)$ . Now, the result follows by statements (a) and (b). □

Next, we give the announced extension of the regularity criterion of Bayer-Stillman.

**4.7. THEOREM.** *Let  $R = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} R_n$  be a homogeneous Noetherian ring such that  $R_0$  has infinite residue fields. Let  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ , let  $U$  be a finitely generated graded  $R$ -module and let  $M \subseteq U$  be a graded submodule. Assume that  $\text{reg}(U) < m$  and  $d(M) \leq m$ . Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i)  $\text{reg}(M) \leq m$ .
- (ii) *There are elements  $f_1, \dots, f_r \in R_1$  which are filter-regular with respect to  $U$  and such that*

$$\left( \left( M + \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} f_j U \right) :_U f_i \right)_m = \left( M + \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} f_j U \right)_m \quad \forall i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$$

and

$$\left( M + \sum_{j=1}^r f_j U \right)_m = U_m.$$

*Proof.* “(ii)  $\implies$  (i)”: This is clear by Theorem 3.8.

“(i)  $\implies$  (ii)”: Applying 4.3 with  $\mathcal{P} = \text{Ass}_R(U) \cap \text{Proj}(R)$  and keeping in mind 4.4, we get a saturated filter-regular sequence  $f_1, \dots, f_r \in R_1$  with respect to  $U/M$  such that each  $f_i$  is filter-regular with respect to  $U$ . The result now follows by Theorem 3.8.  $\square$

**4.8. REMARK.** Let  $K[\underline{x}] = K[\underline{x}_0, \dots, \underline{x}_t]$  be a polynomial ring over an infinite field  $K$ , let  $m, s \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $U := K[\underline{x}]^{\oplus s}$  and let  $M \subseteq U$  be a graded submodule with  $d(M) \leq m$ . As  $\text{reg}(U) = 0$  and  $U$  is torsion-free, it follows from 4.7 that  $\text{reg}(M) \leq m$  if and only there are generic linear forms  $f_1, \dots, f_r \in K[\underline{x}]_1 \setminus \{0\}$  such that the conditions 4.7 (ii) hold. This is precisely what is shown in [18, 1.10]. Choosing  $s = 1$ , we get the regularity criterion of Bayer-Stillman.

**5. Extending the regularity bound of Bayer-Mumford**

Let  $K[\underline{x}] = K[\underline{x}_0, \dots, \underline{x}_t]$  be a polynomial ring over a field  $K$  and let  $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq K[\underline{x}]$  be a graded ideal. In [1, 3.8] Bayer and Mumford showed that  $\text{reg}(\mathfrak{a}) \leq (2d(\mathfrak{a}))^{n!}$ . Our aim is to extend this bound to the case where  $K[\underline{x}]$  is replaced by an arbitrary finitely generated graded module  $U$  over a homogeneous Noetherian ring  $R = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} R_n$  with Artinian base ring  $R_0$  and  $\mathfrak{a}$  is replaced by a graded submodule  $M$  of  $U$ .

**5.1. NOTATION AND REMARK.**

(A) Let  $R_0$  be an Artinian ring and let  $V$  be a finitely generated  $R_0$ -module. We use  $\ell(V) = \ell_{R_0}(V)$  to denote the length of  $V$ .

(B) Let  $R_0$  and  $V$  be as in part (A). Let  $\mathfrak{m}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{m}_t$  be the different maximal ideals of  $R_0$ , let  $\mathbf{x}$  be an indeterminate and set

$$R'_0 := \left( R_0[\mathbf{x}] \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^t \mathfrak{m}_i R_0[\mathbf{x}] \right)^{-1} R_0[\mathbf{x}].$$

Then clearly  $R'_0$  is a faithfully flat Artinian extension ring of  $R_0$  with the different maximal ideals  $\mathfrak{m}'_i = \mathfrak{m}_i R'_0$  ( $i = 1, \dots, t$ ). Moreover, we have  $\ell_{R'_0}(R'_0 \otimes_{R_0} V) = \ell_{R_0}(V)$ . As  $R'_0/\mathfrak{m}'_i \cong R_0/\mathfrak{m}_i(\mathbf{x})$  for all  $i \in \{1, \dots, t\}$ , the ring  $R'_0$  has infinite residue fields.

**5.2. LEMMA.** *Let  $R = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} R_n$  be a homogeneous Noetherian ring such that  $R_0$  is Artinian, let  $U$  be a finitely generated graded  $R$ -module, let  $M \subseteq U$  be a graded submodule and let  $f \in R_1$  be filter-regular with respect to  $U$  and  $U/M$ . Let  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  be such that  $d(M), \text{reg}(M + fU), \text{reg}(U) + 1 \leq k$ . Then*

- (a)  $\text{end}(H_{R_+}^i(M)) + i \leq k$  for all  $i \neq 1$ .
- (b)  $\text{end}(H_{R_+}^1(M)) \leq \ell(U_k) + k - 1$ .

*Proof.* Let  $T := U/M$ . The short exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow (M + fU) \rightarrow U \rightarrow T/fT \rightarrow 0$  shows that  $\text{reg}(T/fT) \leq \max\{\text{reg}(U), \text{reg}(M + fU) - 1\} \leq k - 1$ . As  $f \in R_1$  is filter-regular with respect to  $T$ , it follows that  $\text{reg}^1(T) \leq \text{reg}(T/fT) \leq k - 1$  (cf. [8, 18.3.11]), and the graded short exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow U \rightarrow T \rightarrow 0$  implies  $\text{reg}^2(M) \leq \max\{\text{reg}^2(U), \text{reg}^1(T) + 1\} \leq k$  (cf. [8, 15.2.15]) and hence  $\text{end}(H_{R_+}^i(M)) + i \leq k$  for all  $i \geq 2$ . As  $\text{end}(H_{R_+}^0(M)) \leq \text{end}(H_{R_+}^0(U)) \leq \text{reg}(U) \leq k$ , we obtain statement (a).

It remains to prove statement (b). In view of the graded short exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow U \rightarrow T \rightarrow 0$  and since  $\text{end}(H_{R_+}^1(U)) \leq \text{reg}(U) - 1 \leq k - 1$ , it suffices to show that  $\text{end}(H_{R_+}^0(T)) \leq \ell(U_k) + k - 1$ . We have seen above that  $\text{reg}(T/fT) \leq k - 1$ . So, if we apply cohomology to the graded short exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow T/(0 \underset{T}{:} f) \xrightarrow{f} T(1) \rightarrow (T/fT)(1) \rightarrow 0$  we get isomorphisms

$$H_{R_+}^0(T/(0 \underset{T}{:} f))_n \cong H_{R_+}^0(T)_{n+1}, \quad \forall n \geq k - 1.$$

If we apply cohomology to the graded short exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow (0 \underset{T}{:} f) \rightarrow T \rightarrow T/(0 \underset{T}{:} f) \rightarrow 0$  and keep in mind that  $(0 \underset{T}{:} f) \subseteq H_{R_+}^0(T)$  (cf. 3.1(A)), we thus get exact sequences

$$0 \rightarrow (0 \underset{T}{:} f)_n \rightarrow H_{R_+}^0(T)_n \xrightarrow{\pi_n} H_{R_+}^0(T)_{n+1} \rightarrow 0, \quad \forall n \geq k - 1.$$

By 3.7 we have  $d(0 \underset{T}{:} f) \leq d(M \underset{U}{:} f) \leq k$ , so that  $\pi_m$  becomes an isomorphism for all  $m \geq n$ , provided  $\pi_n$  is an isomorphism for some  $n \geq k$ . From this it follows that the length  $\ell(H_{R_+}^0(T)_n)$  of the  $R_0$ -module  $H_{R_+}^0(T)_n$  is strictly decreasing as a function of  $n$  in the range  $n \geq k$  until its value becomes 0. This implies that  $\text{end}(H_{R_+}^0(T)) \leq \ell(H_{R_+}^0(T)_k) + k - 1$ . As  $H_{R_+}^0(T)_k$  is a subquotient of the  $R_0$ -module  $U_k$  we get  $\text{end}(H_{R_+}^0(T)) \leq \ell(U_k) + k - 1$ .  $\square$

**5.3. LEMMA.** *Let  $R = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} R_n$  be a homogeneous Noetherian ring such that  $R_0$  is Artinian and  $\dim(R) = 1$ . Let  $U$  be a finitely generated and graded  $R$ -module and let  $M \subseteq U$  be a graded submodule. Let  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  be such that  $d(M) + \text{reg}(R)$  and  $\text{reg}(U) + 1 \leq k$ . Then  $\text{reg}(M) \leq k$ .*

*Proof.* Applying the replacement argument 2.4 with  $R'_0$  defined as in 5.1(B), we may assume that  $R_0$  has infinite residue fields. As  $\text{end}(H_{R_+}^0(M)) \leq \text{end}(H_{R_+}^0(U)) < k$  and  $H_{R_+}^i(M) = 0$  for all  $i > 1$ , it remains to show that  $\text{end}(H_{R_+}^1(M)) \leq k - 1$ . Choosing  $\mathcal{P} = \text{Ass}_R(R) \cap \text{Proj}(R)$  we conclude by 4.3 that there is a linear form  $f \in R_1$  which is at the same time filter-regular with respect to  $U$  and with respect to  $R$ . As  $f$  is filter-regular with respect

to  $U$ , we have  $\text{end}(0 \underset{U}{:} f) \leq \text{end}(H_{R_+}^0(U)) < k$ . Therefore, the multiplication map  $f : U_n \rightarrow U_{n+1}$  is injective for all  $n \geq k$ . As  $\dim(R) = 1$  and  $f \in R_1$  avoids all minimal primes of  $R$ , we have  $R_+ \subseteq \sqrt{Rf}$  and  $R$  is a finitely generated graded module over its subring  $R_0[f]$ . In particular, by the graded base ring independence of local cohomology,  $\text{reg}(R)$  does not change if we consider  $R$  as an  $R_0[f]$ -module. We then obtain  $d(R) \leq \text{reg}(R) \leq k - d(M)$ , so that  $R_{n+1} = fR_n$  for all  $n \geq k - d(M)$ . Hence for each  $n \geq k$  we obtain  $M_{n+1} = R_{n-d(M)+1}M_{d(M)} = fR_{n-d(M)}M_{d(M)} = fM_n$ . As  $f : U_n \rightarrow U_{n+1}$  is injective for all  $n \geq k$ , it follows that  $(M_{n+1} \underset{U_n}{:} f) = M_n$  for all such  $n$ . From this we see that  $\text{end}(0 \underset{U/M}{:} f) < k$ . As  $f \in R_1$ , it follows that  $\text{end}(H_{R_+}^0(U/M)) < k$ . If we apply cohomology to the graded exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow U \rightarrow U/M \rightarrow 0$  and keep in mind that  $\text{end}(H_{R_+}^1(U)) < \text{reg}(U) < k$ , we obtain indeed  $\text{end}(H_{R_+}^1(M)) < k$ .  $\square$

In order to formulate our main result, we introduce some notation.

**5.4. DEFINITION AND REMARK.**

(A) Let  $\mathbb{P}$  be the set of all polynomials  $P \in \mathbb{Q}[\mathbf{x}]$  with the property that  $P(n) \in \mathbb{N}_0$  for all integers  $n \gg 0$ . For  $P \in \mathbb{P}$ , let  $\Delta P \in \mathbb{P}$  denote the difference polynomial  $P(\mathbf{x}) - P(\mathbf{x} - 1)$  of  $P$ .

(B) For  $P \in \mathbb{P}$  we define a polynomial  $P^* = P^*(\mathbf{x})$  recursively by

$$P^*(\mathbf{x}) := \begin{cases} \mathbf{x}, & \text{if } \deg(P) \leq 0, \\ (\Delta P)^*(\mathbf{x}) + P((\Delta P)^*(\mathbf{x})), & \text{if } \deg(P) > 0. \end{cases}$$

It is easy to see that  $P^* \in \mathbb{P}$  whenever  $P \in \mathbb{P}$ .

(C) Now, let  $s \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $r \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . Then clearly  $s \binom{\mathbf{x}+r}{r} \in \mathbb{P}$  and  $\Delta \left[ s \binom{\mathbf{x}+r}{r} \right] = s \binom{\mathbf{x}+r-1}{r-1}$ . We write  $F_r(s, \mathbf{x}) := \left[ s \binom{\mathbf{x}+r}{r} \right]^*$ , so that  $F_0(s, \mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x}$  and  $F_r(s, \mathbf{x}) = F_{r-1}(s, \mathbf{x}) + s \binom{F_{r-1}(s, \mathbf{x})+r}{r}$  for all  $r > 0$ . This means that  $F_r(s, \mathbf{x})$  is as in [5, 2.5 (A)]. In particular, we have (cf. [5, 2.5 (B)])

$$F_r(s, t) < s^{e_r} (2t)^{r!}, \quad \forall s, t \in \mathbb{N},$$

where the numbers  $e_r$  are defined inductively by

$$e_0 := 0 \text{ and } e_r := r \cdot e_{r-1} + 1 \text{ for } r > 0.$$

(D) Also, for each  $P \in \mathbb{P}$  we recursively define a polynomial  $\tilde{P} \in \mathbb{P}$  by

$$\tilde{P}(\mathbf{x}) := \begin{cases} \mathbf{x}, & \text{if } P = 0, \\ (\tilde{\Delta P})(\mathbf{x}) + P((\tilde{\Delta P})(\mathbf{x})), & \text{if } P \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

It is easy to see that  $\tilde{P}(k) \geq P^*(k)$  for all  $k \gg 0$ .

Finally let us recall a few facts about Hilbert polynomials.

**5.5. REMINDER.**

(A) Let  $R = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} R_n$  be a homogeneous Noetherian ring such that  $R_0$  is Artinian and let  $M = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} M_n$  be a finitely generated graded  $R$ -module. We denote the Hilbert polynomial of  $M$  by  $P_M$ , so that (cf. [8, Chap. 17])

$$P_M(n) = \ell(M_n) \quad \forall n > \text{reg}(M).$$

(B) Also, if  $f \in R_1$  is filter regular with respect to  $M$ , we have short exact sequences  $0 \rightarrow M_{n-1} \xrightarrow{f} M_n \rightarrow (M/fM)_n \rightarrow 0$  for all  $n \gg 0$  and these yield  $P_{M/fM} = \Delta P_M$ .

If  $R'_0$  is defined as in 5.1(B), then in the notation of 2.4(B) we have

$$P_{R'_0 \otimes_{R_0} M} = P_M.$$

**5.6. LEMMA.** *Let  $R = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} R_n$  be a homogeneous Noetherian ring such that  $R_0$  is Artinian. Let  $U$  be a finitely generated graded  $R$ -module with Hilbert polynomial  $P_U =: P$  and let  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  be such that  $\text{reg}(U) < k$ . Then:*

- (a)  $k \leq (\Delta P)^*(k) \leq P^*(k)$ .
- (b)  $k \leq (\widetilde{\Delta P})(k) \leq \widetilde{P}(k)$ .

*Proof.* In view of 2.4 and 5.5 (B) we may assume that  $R_0$  has infinite residue fields. We now proceed by induction on  $\text{deg}(P)$ . If  $P = 0$ , we have  $P^* = \widetilde{P} = (\Delta P)^* = (\widetilde{\Delta P}) = \mathbf{x}$ , and our claims are obvious. If  $\text{deg}(P) = 0$ , we have  $P^* = (\Delta P)^* = (\widetilde{\Delta P}) = \mathbf{x}$  and  $\widetilde{P} = \mathbf{x} + P(\mathbf{x})$ . As  $P$  is a positive constant, our claims follow. Let  $\text{deg}(P) > 0$ . As  $R_0$  has infinite residue fields, there is a linear form  $f \in R_1$  which is filter regular with respect to  $U$ . In particular, we have  $\Delta P = P_{U/fU}$  (cf. 5.5(B)) and  $\text{reg}(U/fU) < k$  (cf. 3.2(a)). So, by induction we have  $k \leq (\Delta P)^*(k)$  and  $k \leq (\widetilde{\Delta P})(k)$ . In particular (cf. 5.5(A)),  $P((\Delta P)^*(k)) = \ell(U_{(\Delta P)^*(k)}) \geq 0$  and  $P((\widetilde{\Delta P})(k)) = \ell(U_{(\widetilde{\Delta P})(k)}) \geq 0$ . Now, both claims follow from the definitions of  $P^*$  and  $\widetilde{P}$ . □

We now prove the main result of this section.

**5.7. THEOREM.** *Let  $R = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} R_n$  be a homogeneous Noetherian ring such that  $R_0$  is Artinian. Let  $U$  be a finitely generated graded  $R$ -module with Hilbert polynomial  $P_U =: P$  and let  $M \subseteq U$  be a graded submodule. Let  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  and assume that  $\text{reg}(U) < k$ .*

- (a) *If  $d(M) \leq k$ , then  $\text{reg}(M) \leq \widetilde{P}(k)$ .*
- (b) *If  $\dim(R) = \dim(U)$  and  $d(M) + \text{reg}(R) \leq k$ , then  $\text{reg}(M) \leq P^*(k)$ .*

*Proof.* In view of 2.4 and the last observation made in 5.5(B), we may assume that  $R_0$  has infinite residue fields. We proceed by induction on  $\dim(U)$ . If  $\dim(U) \leq 0$  we have  $P = 0$  and  $\text{reg}(M) = \text{end}(H_{R_+}^0(M)) \leq \text{end}(H_{R_+}^0(U)) = \text{reg}(U) < k = 0^*(k) = \widetilde{0}(k)$ , which proves both claims in this case. Now,



let  $\dim(U) > 0$ . For the remainder of the proof, we treat the two claims separately.

(a) If we apply 4.3 with  $\mathcal{P} := \text{Ass}_R(U/M) \cap \text{Proj}(R)$ , we find a linear form  $f \in R_1$  which is filter-regular with respect to  $U$  and  $U/M$ . As  $\dim(U) > 0$ ,  $f$  avoids all minimal members of  $\text{Ass}_R(U)$ , so that  $\dim(U/fU) = \dim(U) - 1$ . By 3.2(a) we have  $\text{reg}(U/fU) \leq \text{reg}(U) < k$ . Clearly,  $d((M + fU)/fU) \leq d(M) \leq k$ . By 5.5(B) we also have  $\Delta P = P_{U/fU}$ . Now, by induction we have  $\text{reg}((M + fU)/fU) \leq (\widetilde{\Delta P})(k)$ . As  $(0 \ ; \ U) \subseteq H_{R_+}^0(U)$  and in view of the graded isomorphism  $fU \cong (U/(0 \ ; \ f))(-1)$  we get  $\text{reg}(fU) = \text{reg}(U/(0 \ ; \ f)) + 1 \leq \text{reg}(U) + 1 \leq k$ , and hence  $\text{reg}(fU) \leq (\widetilde{\Delta P})(k)$  (cf. 5.6(b)). The exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow fU \rightarrow (M + fU) \rightarrow (M + fU)/fU \rightarrow 0$  yields  $\text{reg}(M + fU) \leq (\widetilde{\Delta P})(k) =: m$ . If we keep in mind that  $k \leq m$  we get  $m \leq \widetilde{P}(m)$  (cf. 5.6(b)) and  $\ell(U_m) = P(m)$  (cf. 5.5(A)). So, applying 5.2 with  $m$  instead of  $k$  and observing 5.6(b), we get  $\text{end}(H_{R_+}^i(M)) + i \leq m = (\Delta \widetilde{P})(k) \leq \widetilde{P}(k)$  for all  $i \neq 1$  and  $\text{end}(H_{R_+}^1(M)) + 1 \leq P(m) + m = P((\widetilde{\Delta P})(k)) + (\widetilde{\Delta P})(k) = \widetilde{P}(k)$ . Therefore  $\text{reg}(M) \leq \widetilde{P}(k)$ .

(b) Assume first that  $\dim(U) = 1$  and hence  $\dim(R) = 1$ . Then 5.3 and 5.6(a) show that  $\text{reg}(M) \leq k \leq P^*(k)$ . So, let  $\dim(U) > 1$ . Now apply 4.3 with  $\mathcal{P} = (\text{Ass}_R(U/M) \cup \text{Ass}_R(R)) \cap \text{Proj}(R)$  to obtain a linear form  $f \in R_1$  which is filter-regular with respect to each of  $U, U/M$  and  $R$ . As in the proof of statement (a) we now get  $\dim(R/fR) = \dim(U/fU) = \dim(U) - 1$ ,  $\text{reg}(U/fU) < k$  and  $d((M + fU)/fU) + \text{reg}(R/fR) \leq k$ . Again, by 5.5(B) we have  $\Delta P = P_{U/fU}$ . Thus, by induction we obtain  $\text{reg}((M + fU)/fU) \leq (\Delta P)^*(k)$ . We can now complete the proof literally in the same way as that of statement (a) if we replace  $(\widetilde{\Delta P})$  by  $(\Delta P)^*$  and  $\widetilde{P}$  by  $P^*$ .  $\square$

**5.8. COROLLARY.** *Let  $R_0[\underline{x}] = R_0[x_0, \dots, x_r]$  be a polynomial ring over an Artinian ring  $R_0$ . Let  $w \in \mathbb{N}$  and let  $M \subseteq R_0[\underline{x}]^{\oplus w}$  be a graded submodule. Then*

$$\text{reg}(M) \leq (\ell(R_0)w)^{e_r} (2d(M))^{r!},$$

where  $e_r$  is defined as in 5.4(C).

*Proof.* If  $d(M) = 0$ , there is a graded isomorphism  $M \cong M_0 \otimes_{R_0} R_0[\underline{x}]$ , so that  $\text{reg}(M) = 0$ . Therefore we may assume that  $d(M) > 0$ . Let  $R := R_0[\underline{x}]$ ,  $U := R_0[\underline{x}]^{\oplus w}$ . Then  $\text{reg}(U) = \text{reg}(R) = 0$ ,  $\dim(R) = \dim(U) = r$  and the fact that  $P_U = \ell(R_0)w \binom{x+r}{r}$  yield the result, in view of 5.7(b) and 5.4(C).  $\square$

**5.9. REMARK.** If in 5.8 we let  $R_0 = K$  be a field, we obtain the bound given in [5, 2.7]. If we assume in addition  $w = 1$ , we get the bound of Bayer-Mumford [1, 3.8].

## REFERENCES

- [1] D. Bayer and D. Mumford, *What can be computed in algebraic geometry?*, Computational algebraic geometry and commutative algebra (Proc. Cortona 1991, D. Eisenbud and L. Robbiano, eds.), Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1993, pp. 1–48.
- [2] D. Bayer and M. Stillman, *A criterion for detecting  $m$ -regularity*, Invent. Math. **87** (1987), 1–11.
- [3] M. Brodmann, *Cohomological invariants of coherent sheaves over projective schemes: a survey*, Local cohomology and its applications (G. Lyubeznik, ed.), Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math., vol. 226, Marcel Dekker, New York, 2002, pp. 91–120.
- [4] M. Brodmann and M. Hellus, *Cohomological patterns of coherent sheaves over projective schemes*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **172** (2002), 165–182.
- [5] M. Brodmann and A. Lashgari, *A diagonal bound for cohomological postulation numbers of projective schemes*, J. Algebra **265** (2003), 631–650.
- [6] M. Brodmann, C. Matteotti, and N.D. Minh, *Bounds for cohomological Hilbert-functions of projective schemes over Artinian rings*, Vietnam J. Math. **28** (2000), 345–384.
- [7] ———, *Bounds for cohomological deficiency functions of projective schemes over Artinian rings*, Vietnam J. Math. **31** (2003), 71–113.
- [8] M. Brodmann and R.Y. Sharp, *Local cohomology: an algebraic introduction with geometric applications*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 60, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998.
- [9] B. Buchberger, *A note on the complexity of constructing Gröbner-Bases*, Computer algebra (London, 1983), Lecture Notes in Comput. Sci., vol. 162, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1983, pp. 137–145.
- [10] D. Eisenbud, *Commutative algebra with a view towards algebraic geometry*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1996.
- [11] A. Galligo, *Théorème de division et stabilité en géométrie analytique locale*, Ann. Inst. Fourier **29** (1979), 107–184.
- [12] M. Giusti, *Some effectivity problems in polynomial ideal theory*, Eurosam 84 (Cambridge, 1984), Lecture Notes in Comput. Sci., vol. 174, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1984, pp. 159–171.
- [13] G. Gotzmann, *Eine Bedingung für die Flachheit und das Hilbertpolynom eines gradierten Ringes*, Math. Z. **158** (1978), 61–70.
- [14] ———, *Durch Hilbertfunktionen definierte Unterschemata des Hilbert-Schemas*, Comment. Math. Helvetici **63** (1988), 114–149.
- [15] A. Grothendieck, *Séminaire de géométrie algébrique VI*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 225, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1971.
- [16] K. Hentzelt and E. Noether, *Zur Theorie der Polynomideale und Resultanten*, Math. Ann. **88** (1923), 53–79.
- [17] G. Hermann, *Über die Frage der endlich vielen Schritte in der Theorie der Polynomideale*, Math. Ann. **95** (1926), 736–788.
- [18] A.F. Lashgari, *The cohomology diagonal bounds the postulation numbers of a coherent sheaf over a projective scheme*, Dissertation, University of Zürich, 2000.
- [19] D. Masser and G. Wüstholz, *Fields of large transcendence degree generated by values of elliptic functions*, Invent. Math. **72** (1983), 407–464.
- [20] H. Matsumura, *Commutative ring theory*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 8, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1986.
- [21] E.W. Mayr and A.R. Meyer, *The complexity of the word problem for commutative semigroups and polynomial ideals*, Adv. in Math. **46** (1982), 305–329.

- [22] D. Mumford, *Lectures on curves on an algebraic surface*, Annals of Math. Studies, vol. 59, Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1966.
- [23] *The On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences*, <http://www.research.att.com/~njas/sequences/>

INSTITUTE OF PURE MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF ZÜRICH, WINTERTHURERSTRASSE  
190, 8057 ZÜRICH, SWITZERLAND  
*E-mail address:* `brodmann@math.unizh.ch`