

## CASTELNUOVO–MUMFORD REGULARITY OF SIMPLICIAL SEMIGROUP RINGS WITH ISOLATED SINGULARITY

JÜRGEN HERZOG AND TAKAYUKI HIBI

(Communicated by Bernd Ulrich)

ABSTRACT. Let  $S = K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  be the polynomial ring in  $n \geq 2$  variables over a field  $K$  and  $\mathfrak{m}$  its graded maximal ideal. Let  $f_1, \dots, f_m \in S$  be homogeneous polynomials of degree  $d - 1 \geq 2$  generating an  $\mathfrak{m}$ -primary ideal, and let  $g_1, \dots, g_r \in S$  be arbitrary homogeneous polynomials of degree  $d$ . In the present paper it will be proved that the Castelnuovo–Mumford regularity of the standard graded  $K$ -algebra  $A = K[\{f_i x_j\}_{\substack{i=1, \dots, m \\ j=1, \dots, n}}, g_1, \dots, g_r]$  is at most  $(d - 2)(n - 1)$ . By virtue of this result, it follows that the regularity of a simplicial semigroup ring  $K[C]$  with isolated singularity is at most  $e(K[C]) - \text{codim}(K[C])$ , where  $e(K[C])$  is the multiplicity of  $K[C]$  and  $\text{codim}(K[C])$  is the codimension of  $K[C]$ .

### INTRODUCTION

Castelnuovo–Mumford regularity of graded rings and ideals is one of the most active research topics in computational commutative algebra and computational algebraic geometry.

Let  $S = K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  denote the polynomial ring in  $n \geq 2$  variables over a field  $K$ , and let  $M$  be a finitely generated graded  $S$ -module. If

$$\cdots \longrightarrow F_j \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow F_0 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow 0$$

is the graded minimal free  $S$ -resolution of  $M$ , then the Castelnuovo–Mumford regularity  $\text{reg}(M)$  of  $M$  is the nonnegative integer  $\text{reg}(M) = \max\{b_j - j : j = 0, 1, \dots\}$ , where  $b_j$  is the maximal degree of the generators of the graded free  $S$ -module  $F_j$ .

We are especially interested in the Castelnuovo–Mumford regularity of the standard graded  $K$ -algebra  $A = S/I$ , where  $I$  is a homogeneous ideal of  $S$ . Eisenbud and Goto conjectured in their paper [3] that if  $A$  is an integral domain, then  $\text{reg}(A)$  satisfies the inequality

$$(1) \quad \text{reg}(A) \leq e(A) - \text{codim}(A),$$

where  $e(A)$  is the multiplicity of  $A$  and  $\text{codim}(A)$  is the codimension of  $A$ . The Eisenbud–Goto conjecture turns out to be true in several special cases considered

---

Received by the editors April 1, 2002.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 13D45; Secondary 52A38.

*Key words and phrases*. Castelnuovo–Mumford regularity, Eisenbud–Goto conjecture, simplicial semigroup ring, isolated singularity.

in algebraic geometry; see [5] and [6]. However, the conjecture is widely open in general; even in the case that  $A$  is an affine semigroup ring.

Let  $\mathfrak{m}$  denote the graded maximal ideal of  $S$ . In the present paper, we pay attention to the Castelnuovo–Mumford regularity of the standard graded  $K$ -algebra  $A = K[f_1, \dots, f_m]$ , where  $I = (f_1, \dots, f_m) \subset S$  is a homogeneous ideal generated in degree  $d$  such that  $I^k = \mathfrak{m}^{dk}$  for some  $k > 0$ . For such a  $K$ -algebra  $A$  one has  $e(A) = d^{n-1}$  and  $\text{codim}(A) \leq \binom{d+n-1}{n-1} - n$ .

For a particular class of such  $K$ -algebras we can bound the regularity. This is shown in Theorem 1.1. As a consequence we obtain in Corollary 1.3 that for such a  $K$ -algebra  $A$ , one has  $\text{reg}(A) \leq e(A) - \text{codim}(A)$ , if  $n \geq 3$ .

Recently, in Hoa and Stückrad [4] the regularity of simplicial semigroup rings was studied. Their work strongly stimulates the research to find reasonable classes of simplicial semigroup rings satisfying inequality (1). As a conclusion of Corollary 1.3 and a simple counting argument, we show in our final Corollary 2.2 that the Eisenbud–Goto conjecture holds for simplicial semigroup rings with isolated singularity.

## 1. REGULARITY OF CERTAIN GRADED RINGS GENERATED BY $d$ -FORMS

Let  $K$  be a field and  $S = K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  the polynomial ring in  $n \geq 2$  variables over  $K$  with the graded maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ .

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $f_1, \dots, f_m \in S$  be homogeneous polynomials of degree  $d-1 \geq 2$  generating an  $\mathfrak{m}$ -primary ideal, and let  $g_1, \dots, g_r \in S$  be arbitrary homogeneous polynomials of degree  $d$ . Then the regularity of the standard graded  $K$ -algebra  $A = K[\{f_i x_j\}_{i=1, \dots, m, j=1, \dots, n}, g_1, \dots, g_r]$  is at most  $(d-2)(n-1)$ .*

*Proof.* We may assume that  $K$  is an infinite field. Let  $J = (f_1, \dots, f_m)$ . Then there exists an ideal  $L \subset J$  generated by a regular sequence of length  $n$  consisting of elements of degree  $d-1$ . For a finite length graded  $S$ -module  $N$  we set  $s(N) = \max\{i : N_i \neq 0\}$ . It is known that  $\text{reg}(N) = s(N)$ . Therefore for  $k$  it follows that

$$\text{reg}(J^k) = \text{reg}(S/J^k) + 1 \leq \text{reg}(S/L^k) + 1 = \text{reg}(L^k).$$

Let  $L = (\ell_1, \dots, \ell_n)$ . Since  $L$  is generated by a regular sequence of length  $n$  of elements of degree  $d-1$ , the resolution of  $L^k$  is given by the Eagon–Northcott complex (see, e.g., [2]) attached to the  $(d-1) \times (n+d-2)$  matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} \ell_1 & \cdots & \ell_n & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \ell_1 & \cdots & \ell_n & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & & \ddots & & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & \ell_1 & \cdots & \ell_n \end{pmatrix}.$$

It follows immediately from this resolution that  $\text{reg}(L^k) = (d-1)k + (d-2)(n-1)$ .

For the convenience of the reader we give a direct proof of this fact: The ideal  $\mathfrak{m}^k = (x_1, \dots, x_n)^k$  has a  $K$ -linear resolution. In particular, the generators in the last step of the resolution are of degree  $k+n-1$ . Consider the flat map  $\varphi: S \rightarrow S$  with  $\varphi(x_i) = \ell_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . Then  $\varphi(\mathfrak{m}^k) = L^k$ , and so the resolution of  $L^k$  is obtained from that of  $\mathfrak{m}^k$  by replacing each  $x_i$  with  $\ell_i$ . This implies that all the shifts are multiplied by  $d-1$ . Hence the generators in the last step of the resolution of  $L^k$  are of degree  $(k+n-1)(d-1)$ . From this we conclude that  $\text{reg}(L^k) = (k+n-1)(d-1) - (n-1) = (d-1)k + (d-2)(n-1)$ .

Let  $I = J\mathfrak{m}$ . We claim that  $I^k$  has a linear resolution if  $k \geq (d-2)(n-1)$ . In fact,  $I^k = J^k \mathfrak{m}^k = (J^k)_{\geq (d-1)k+k}$ , where for a graded module  $M$  we set  $M_{\geq j} = \bigoplus_{i \geq j} M_i$ . Recall from [3] (or [1, Theorem 4.3.1]) that

$$\text{reg } M = \min\{j : M_{\geq j} \text{ has a linear resolution}\}.$$

It follows that  $I^k$  has a linear resolution if and only if  $(d-1)k+k \geq \text{reg}(J^k)$ . In particular,  $I^k$  has a linear resolution if  $(d-1)k+k \geq (d-1)k+(d-2)(n-1)$ , namely if  $k \geq (d-2)(n-1)$ .

Next we notice that an  $\mathfrak{m}$ -primary ideal  $H$  generated in one degree, say  $h$ , has a linear resolution if and only if it is a power of  $\mathfrak{m}$ . To see why this is true, we observe that  $H$  has a linear resolution if and only if  $\text{reg}(H) = h$ . But  $\text{reg}(H) = s(S/H) + 1 = h$  if and only if  $H = \mathfrak{m}^h$ .

Applied to our situation we conclude that  $I^k = \mathfrak{m}^{dk}$  for  $k \geq (d-2)(n-1)$ . This implies that  $A_k = S_{dk}$  for all  $k \geq (d-2)(n-1)$ . Let  $A^*$  be the integral closure of  $A$ . Then  $A^* = S^{(d)}$ , the  $d$ th Veronese subring of  $S$ , and  $A^*/A$  is of finite length with  $s(A^*/A) \leq (d-2)(n-1) - 1$ .

Let  $\mathfrak{n}$  be the graded maximal ideal of  $A$ . Local cohomology applied to the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow A \longrightarrow A^* \longrightarrow A^*/A \longrightarrow 0$$

yields that  $H_{\mathfrak{n}}^0(A^*/A) = H_{\mathfrak{n}}^1(A)$  and  $H_{\mathfrak{n}}^i(A) = H_{\mathfrak{n}}^i(A^*)$  for  $i > 1$ . Since  $A^*$  is Cohen–Macaulay, one also has  $H_{\mathfrak{n}}^i(A^*) = 0$  for  $i < d = \dim(A) = \dim(A^*)$ . Hence  $\text{reg}(A) = \max\{\text{reg}(A^*), \text{reg}(A^*/A) + 1\}$ . Since  $\text{reg}(A^*/A) = s$ , it follows that

$$\text{reg}(A) = \max\{\text{reg}(A^*), s(A^*/A) + 1\} \leq (d-2)(n-1),$$

since the regularity of the Cohen–Macaulay algebra  $A^*$  is  $n$  plus its  $a$ -invariant, and hence at most  $n-1$ , and since  $n-1 \leq (d-2)(n-1)$  because  $d \geq 3$ .  $\square$

Theorem 1.1 suggests the following question: Let  $f_1, \dots, f_m$  be homogeneous polynomials of degree  $d$  and suppose that  $A_k = S_{dk}$  for some  $k$ . Does this imply that  $\text{reg}(A) \leq (d-2)(n-1)$ ?

We shall need the following numerical result.

**Lemma 1.2.** *If  $n \geq 3$  and  $d \geq 3$ , then  $(d-2)(n-1) \leq d^{n-1} - \binom{n+d-1}{n-1} - n$ .*

*Proof.* Replace  $n-1$  with  $n$  in the required inequality, and what we must prove is the inequality

$$(2) \quad (d-2)n \leq d^n - \left( \binom{n+d}{n} - (n+1) \right)$$

for  $n \geq 2$  and  $d \geq 3$ . Inequality (2) is equivalent to the inequality

$$d^n - \binom{n+d}{n} \geq (d-2)n - (n+1).$$

Thus we must prove the inequality

$$(3) \quad d^n - \prod_{i=1}^n \left(1 + \frac{d}{i}\right) \geq nd - 3n - 1$$

for  $n \geq 2$  and  $d \geq 3$ .

Fix  $d \geq 3$ . By using induction on  $n \geq 2$  we will prove (3).

If  $n = 2$ , then the inequality (3) coincides with  $(d-3)(d-4) \geq 0$ .

Let  $n \geq 2$  and suppose that the inequality (3) is true. Inequality (3) for  $n + 1$  then follows from the computation below:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & d^{n+1} - \prod_{i=1}^{n+1} \left(1 + \frac{d}{i}\right) \\
 = & (d^{n+1} - d^n) - \left(\prod_{i=1}^{n+1} \left(1 + \frac{d}{i}\right) - \prod_{i=1}^n \left(1 + \frac{d}{i}\right)\right) + d^n - \prod_{i=1}^n \left(1 + \frac{d}{i}\right) \\
 \geq & d^n(d-1) - \frac{d}{n+1} \prod_{i=1}^n \left(1 + \frac{d}{i}\right) + (nd - 3n - 1) \\
 \geq & d^n(d-1) - \frac{d^n}{n+1}(1+d) + (nd - 3n - 1) \\
 = & d^n\left((d-1) - \frac{d+1}{n+1}\right) + (nd - 3n - 1) \\
 \geq & d^n\left((d-1) - \frac{d+1}{2}\right) + (nd - 3n - 1) \\
 = & \frac{d^n(d-3)}{2} + (nd - 3n - 1) \\
 \geq & (d-3) + (nd - 3n - 1) \\
 = & (n+1)d - 3(n+1) - 1.
 \end{aligned}$$

□

**Corollary 1.3.** *Let  $A$  be the  $K$ -algebra as defined in Theorem 1.1, and assume that  $n \geq 3$ . Then*

$$\operatorname{reg}(A) \leq e(A) - \operatorname{codim}(A).$$

*Proof.* If  $n \geq 3$ , then the assertion follows from Theorem 1.1 together with Lemma 1.2 because  $e(A) = e(S^{(d)}) = d^{n-1}$  and  $\operatorname{codim}(A) \leq \binom{n+d-1}{n-1} - n$ . □

## 2. SIMPLICIAL SEMIGROUP RINGS WITH ISOLATED SINGULARITY

Let  $C$  be a positive affine semigroup of rank  $n$ , i.e., the associated group  $\mathbb{Z}C$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}^n$  and  $\{0\}$  is the only subgroup contained in  $C$ . Let  $G$  be the minimal set of generators of  $C$ . We say that  $C$  is standard graded if there exists a hyperplane  $H \subset \mathbb{Z}C \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$  such that  $G \subset H$ . Let  $P$  be the convex hull of  $G$  in  $\mathbb{Z}C \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$ . We say that  $C$  is *simplicial* if  $P$  is a simplex. Let  $v_1, \dots, v_n$  be the vertices of  $P$ . After the choice of a basis of  $\mathbb{Z}C$ , the vertices  $v_i$  can be identified with integral vectors. Let

$$A = (v_1^t, \dots, v_n^t)$$

be the  $n \times n$  matrix whose columns are the transpose of the vertices  $v_i$ . We denote by  $A^*$  the adjoint matrix of  $A$ . Let  $\delta = \det(A)$ . Then  $\delta \neq 0$  and  $A^*A = \delta E_n$ , where  $E_n$  is the unit matrix of size  $n$ .

Let  $\varphi: \mathbb{Z}C \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^n$  be the linear map associated with  $A^*$ . It then follows that the simplex  $P' = \varphi(P) \subset \mathbb{Z}^n$  has the vertices  $\delta\varepsilon_i$ , where  $\varepsilon_i$  denotes the  $i$ th standard unit vector of  $\mathbb{Q}^n$ . Let  $C' = \varphi(C)$  and  $G' = \varphi(G)$ . Note that  $C'$  is isomorphic to  $C$  and that  $G'$  is the minimal set of generators of  $C'$  with  $\{\delta\varepsilon_1, \dots, \delta\varepsilon_n\} \subset G' \subset P'$ . Let  $t$  be the greatest common divisor of all the components of all the vectors belonging to  $G'$ , and set  $d = \delta/t$ . Denote by  $C'' \subset \mathbb{Z}$  the semigroup generated by  $G'' = \frac{1}{t}G'$ .

Then it is clear that the convex hull of  $G''$  is the simplex with vertices  $d\varepsilon_1, \dots, d\varepsilon_n$ , that  $C'' \cong C$  and that  $[\mathbb{Z}^n : \mathbb{Z}C''] = d$ . We call  $d$  the *index* of  $C$ . It is in fact an invariant of  $C$ , i.e., does not depend on the particular basis of  $\mathbb{Z}C$  which was chosen to define the matrix  $A$ . We say that the simplicial semigroup  $C''$  is standard embedded.

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $C$  be a simplicial semigroup of rank  $n > 1$  with index  $d > 2$ . Let  $K$  be a field and  $K[C]$  the semigroup ring associated with  $C$ . Suppose that  $K[C]$  is a  $K$ -algebra with isolated singularity. Then*

$$\text{reg}(K[C]) \leq (d - 2)(n - 1).$$

*Proof.* Since  $K[C] \cong K[C']$  we may assume that the embedding of  $C$  itself is standard. Let  $[n] = \{1, \dots, n\}$ . Write  $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{w}} = x_1^{w_1} \cdots x_n^{w_n}$  if  $\mathbf{w} = (w_1, \dots, w_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ .

For each  $1 \leq i \neq j \leq d$ , we write  $q_i^j (\geq 1)$  for the biggest integer satisfying

$$d\varepsilon_i + \frac{1}{q_i^j}(d\varepsilon_j - d\varepsilon_i) \in G.$$

Since the localization

$$K[C]_{x_i^d} = K[x_i^d, \frac{1}{x_i^d}][\{\frac{\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{w}}}{x_i^d}\}_{\mathbf{w} \in G}] = K[x_i^d, \frac{1}{x_i^d}][\{\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{w}-d\varepsilon_i}\}_{\mathbf{w} \in G}]$$

is regular if and only if

$$K[C]_{x_i^d} = K[x_i^d, \frac{1}{x_i^d}][\{\mathbf{x}^{\frac{1}{q_i^j}(d\varepsilon_j - d\varepsilon_i)}\}_{j \in [n] \setminus \{i\}}],$$

and since  $K[C]$  is a  $K$ -algebra with isolated singularity, it follows that, for any  $\mathbf{w} \in G$  and for any  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , there exists  $0 \leq p_j \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $j \in [n] \setminus \{i\}$ , such that

$$\mathbf{w} - d\varepsilon_i = \sum_{j \in [n] \setminus \{i\}} \frac{p_j}{q_i^j}(d\varepsilon_j - d\varepsilon_i).$$

This simple observation yields the crucial result that  $q_i^j = q_k^\ell$  for all  $i, j, k, \ell$  with  $i \neq j$  and  $k \neq \ell$ . In fact, in case of  $1 \leq i \neq j \leq n$ , since

$$(d\varepsilon_j + \frac{1}{q_j^i}(d\varepsilon_i - d\varepsilon_j)) - d\varepsilon_i = \frac{p}{q_j^i}(d\varepsilon_j - d\varepsilon_i), \quad 0 \leq p \in \mathbb{Z},$$

one has  $q_i^j = q_j^i(q_j^j - p)$ . Thus  $q_j^i$  divides  $q_j^j$ . Similarly,  $q_i^i$  divides  $q_j^j$ . Hence  $q_i^j = q_j^j$ . Also, in case of  $i, k, \ell \in [n]$  with  $i \neq k$ ,  $k \neq \ell$  and  $i \neq \ell$ , since

$$d\varepsilon_k - d\varepsilon_i + \frac{1}{q_k^\ell}(d\varepsilon_\ell - d\varepsilon_k) = \sum_{j \in [n] \setminus \{i\}} \frac{p_j}{q_i^j}(d\varepsilon_j - d\varepsilon_i), \quad 0 \leq p_j \in \mathbb{Z},$$

one has  $\frac{1}{q_k^\ell} = \frac{p_\ell}{q_i^\ell}$ . Thus  $q_k^\ell$  divides  $q_i^\ell$ . Similarly,  $q_i^\ell$  divides  $q_k^\ell$ . Hence  $q_i^\ell = q_k^\ell$ .

Let  $q = q_i^j$  for all  $1 \leq i \neq j \leq n$ . Then  $0 < \frac{d}{q} \in \mathbb{Z}$  divides each component of any vector belonging to  $G$ . Since the embedding of  $C$  is standard, it follows that  $q = d$ .

We now conclude that

$$(4) \quad K[C] = K[\{x_i^{d-1}x_j\}_{\substack{i=1, \dots, n, \\ j=1, \dots, n}}, g_1, \dots, g_r],$$

where  $g_1, \dots, g_r$  are monomials of degree  $d$ . Hence we are in the situation of Theorem 1.1 with  $f_i = x_i^{d-1}$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . □

The following final result follows partly from Corollary 1.3.

**Corollary 2.2.** *Let  $K[C]$  be a simplicial semigroup ring with isolated singularity. Then*

$$\operatorname{reg}(K[C]) \leq e(K[C]) - \operatorname{codim}(K[C]).$$

*Proof.* Fix a standard embedding of  $C$ . Let  $\operatorname{rank} C = n$ . For  $n \geq 3$ , the assertion follows from (4) and Corollary 1.3.

Now let  $n = 2$ . Then

$$K[C] = K[\{x_1^{d-a_i} x_2^{a_i}\}_{i=0, \dots, r+1}],$$

with  $0 = a_0 < 1 = a_1 < a_2 < \dots < d - 1 = a_r < d = a_{r+1}$ .

Therefore,  $e(K[C]) = d$ , and  $\operatorname{codim}(K[C]) = r$ . Thus we need to show that  $\operatorname{reg}(K[C]) \leq d - r$ , or equivalently, that

$$K[C]_{d-r} = K[\{x_1^{(d-r)d-j} x_2^j\}_{j=0, \dots, (d-r)d}].$$

Set  $k = d - r$ , and let  $X = \{j: x_1^{kd-j} x_2^j \in K[C]_{d-r}\}$ . Since  $a_0 = 0$ , it follows that

$$X = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{r+1} k_i a_i: k_i \geq 0, \sum_{i=1}^{r+1} k_i \leq k \right\},$$

and we have to show that  $X = \{0, \dots, kd\}$ .

For any two integers  $a \leq b$  we set  $[a, b] = \{c \in \mathbb{Z}: a \leq c \leq b\}$ . Fix a number  $j \in \{0, \dots, k\}$ . Then  $a_i + jd \in X \cap [jd, (j+1)d]$  for  $i = 0, \dots, r+1$ .

Next we notice that  $a_i + ja_{r+1} + la_1 = a_i + jd + l \in X$  for  $l = 0, \dots, k - 1 - j$ , and that  $a_{i+1} + la_r + (j-l)a_{r+1} = a_{i+1} + jd - l \in X$  for  $l = 0, \dots, j$ . Thus we see that

$$(5) \quad [a_i + jd, a_i + jd + (k - 1 - j)] \cup [a_{i+1} + jd - j, a_{i+1} + jd] \subset X.$$

Since

$$(a_{i+1} + jd - j) - (a_i + jd + (k - 1 - j)) = (a_{i+1} - a_i) - (k - 1) \leq (d - r) - (d - r - 1) = 1,$$

it follows that

$$[a_i + jd, a_{i+1} + jd] = [a_i + jd, a_i + jd + (k - 1 - j)] \cup [a_{i+1} + jd - j, a_{i+1} + jd],$$

so that by (5),  $[a_i + jd, a_{i+1} + jd] \in X$  for all  $i = 0, \dots, r$  and all  $j = 0, \dots, k$ . Since  $[0, kd] = \bigcup_{i=0, \dots, r} \bigcup_{j=0, \dots, k} [a_i + jd, a_{i+1} + jd]$ , the assertion follows.  $\square$

#### REFERENCES

- [1] W. Bruns and J. Herzog, "Cohen–Macaulay rings," Revised Edition, Cambridge University Press, 1996.
- [2] W. Bruns and U. Vetter, "Determinantal rings," Lecture Note in Mathematics 1237, Springer–Verlag, 1988. MR **89i**:13001
- [3] D. Eisenbud and S. Goto, Linear free resolutions and minimal multiplicity, *J. Algebra* **88** (1984), 89 – 133. MR **85f**:13023
- [4] L.T. Hoa and J. Stückrad, Castelnuovo–Mumford regularity of simplicial toric rings, preprint, 2001.

- [5] L. Gruson, R. Lazarsfeld and C. Peskine, On a theorem of Castelnuovo, and the equations defining space curves, *Inv. Math.* **72** (1983), 491 – 506. MR **85g**:14033
- [6] R. Lazarsfeld, A sharp Castelnuovo bound for smooth surfaces, *Duke Math. J.* **55** (1987), 423 – 429. MR **89d**:14007

FB6 MATHEMATIK UND INFORMATIK, UNIVERSITÄT – GHS – ESSEN, POSTFACH 103764, 45117  
ESSEN, GERMANY

*E-mail address:* `mat300@uni-essen.de`

DEPARTMENT OF PURE AND APPLIED MATHEMATICS, GRADUATE SCHOOL OF INFORMATION SCI-  
ENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, OSAKA UNIVERSITY, TOYONAKA, OSAKA 560–0043, JAPAN

*E-mail address:* `hibi@math.sci.osaka-u.ac.jp`