Published in final edited form as:
J Am Chem Soc. 2012 November 14; 134(45): 18566–18569. doi:10.1021/ja309311z.

Catalytic Enantioselective *O*–Nitrosocarbonyl Aldol Reaction of β–Dicarbonyl Compounds

Mahiuddin Baidya, **Kimberly A. Griffin**, and **Hisashi Yamamoto***
Department of Chemistry, The University of Chicago, 5735 South Ellis Avenue, Chicago, Illinois 60637, United States

Abstract

The first example of Cu–catalyzed asymmetric *O*–nitrosocarbonyl aldol reaction is described. This novel protocol allows convenient access to highly enantioenriched α–hydroxy–β–ketoesters including the antibacterial natural product kjellmanianone (up to 99% ee). MnO_2 was introduced as a mild efficient oxidant for the in situ generation of nitrosocarbonyl species from hydroxamic acid derivatives.

Since the pioneering work by Kirby in 1973, nitrosocarbonyl compounds have occupied a prominent position in organic chemistry.[1–3] Yet, these species are very unstable and highly reactive, posing a significant challenge to the synthetic chemist. Traditionally, they are generated in situ as a transient intermediate via oxidation of hydroxamic acid derivatives.[4–5] During the past few years, aerobic oxidizing processes have emerged, allowing convenient use of these intermediates for organic synthesis.[6] However, despite their high synthetic potential, the success of nitrosocarbonyl compounds is still immature and limited to hetero Diels–Alder and ene reactions.[2,3] Heretofore, the more exciting nitrosocarbonyl aldol reaction is not known and no catalytic enantioselective reactions utilizing nitrosocarbonyl compounds have been reported. In this report we describe our progress addressing these challenges (Scheme 1).

The α–hydroxy–β–dicarbonyl moiety is an important structural feature found in many biologically relevant molecules and drug candidates.[7] Examples include the antibacterial kjellmanianone, hamigeran A, and doxycycline.[8] Moreover, this functional unit appears in key intermediates in the synthesis of complex molecules, such as indoline alkaloids vindoline and 11–demethoxyvindoline.[9] The most convenient synthetic route to chiral α–hydroxy–β–dicarbonyl products is the asymmetric oxidation of β–dicarbonyl compounds. The first enantioselective α–hydroxylation of β–ketoesters was developed by Davis and coworkers three decades ago, where stoichiometric amount of enantiopure *N*–sulfonyloxaziridines (Davis reagent) was employed.[10] In spite of considerable efforts in this area, to the best of our knowledge there are only few methods dealing with asymmetric catalysis.[11,12] All these catalytic processes are good, but only highly enolizable cyclic β–ketoesters are demonstrated to give high yields and enantioselectivities, indicating limited substrate scopes. Recently Ti–catalyzed α–hydroxylation reported by Togni and coworkers employed both cyclic and acyclic β–ketoesters as substrates; however, asymmetric induction was considerably low.[11b] Further, these transformations rely on oxaziridines and peroxides

Corresponding Authoryamamoto@uchicago.edu.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

Complete experimental details and characterization data for prepared compounds described. This material is available free of charge via the internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

as oxygen atom sources, for which extra precaution is needed. Thus, the development of mild, robust, and highly enantioselective catalytic protocol with wide substrate scope is necessary.

We envisioned that development of an enantioselective *O*–nitrosocarbonyl aldol reaction of β–dicarbonyl compounds would open a new direction of nitroso chemistry, and subsequent selective N–O bond heterolysis could be a facile route to enantioenriched α–hydroxy–β–dicarbonyl compounds (Scheme 1b). Herein, we will report the first example of Cu–catalyzed enantioselective *O*–nitrosocarbonyl aldol reaction of β–dicarbonyl compounds with commercially available *N*–Boc–hydroxylamine as the nitrosocarbonyl precursor and manganese dioxide as a mild oxidant.[13] In addition, for preliminary demonstration of the utility of this strategy, a concise enantioselective synthesis of antibacterial natural product kjellmanianone was accomplished.[8a]

Among the β–dicarbonyl compounds, we have preferred β–ketothioesters **1** for these studies. The choice of thioesters relative to oxoesters is advantageous because the thioester moiety can be easily functionalized, allowing straightforward access to the products with a series of functional groups such as ketones, aldehydes, esters, and amides upon a single transformation.[14] Most importantly, the key to the success of this process is the identification of MnO_2 as an oxidizing reagent compatible with the catalytic cycle. This extremely mild oxidant efficiently generates nitrosocarbonyl compounds in situ through the oxidation of hydroxamic acid derivatives and also avoids over oxidation of the products.

We began our investigation using acyclic β–ketothioester **1a** as a model substrate with $Cu(OTf)_2$ as the catalyst in combination with commercially available bidentate bisoxazoline ligands (Table 1).[15] Gratifyingly, when a solution of *N*–Boc–hydroxylamine was slowly injected via syringe pump into the mixture of 10 mol % $Cu(OTf)_2$ and 12 mol % (*R*, *R*)–PhBox ligand **L1** in the presence of substrate **1a** and oxidant MnO_2 (5 equiv.) in CH_2Cl_2 at room temperature, the *O*–nitrosocarbonyl aldol product **2a** was formed in 70% yield with 79% ee (Table 1, entry 1).

Only trace amounts of *N*–nitrosocarbonyl aldol product **3a** were noticed. The high preference for *O*–selectivity over *N*–selectivity is rather remarkable. Slow addition of *N*–Boc–hydroxylamine is crucial to avoid condensation between the in situ formed nitrosocarbonyl species and excess *N*–Boc–hydroxylamine, a strong nucleophile. Screening of other solvents resulted in diminished yields and enantioselectivities with poor *O*– *vs. N*–selectivity (entries 2–6). Notably, in MeOH, selectivity was inverted favoring the *N*–nitrosocarbonyl aldol product. The reaction completely shut down upon lowering the temperature to −40 °C in THF. In order to improve enantioselectivity further, various substituted ligands were tested. Box–ligands **L3**–**L5** were not particularly effective for this reaction (entries 9–11). The semicorrin ligand **L2** showed similar reactivity as observed for **L1**; however, enantioselectivity was improved up to 88% (entry 7). Addition of 4Å molecular sieves reduced the yield significantly (entry 8).

Next, we turned our attention to modification of the thioester moiety using ligands **L1** and **L2** while keeping other reaction conditions unchanged. Replacement of *S*–ethyl thioester **1a** with *S*–phenyl thioester **1b** significantly improved the outcome delivering the *O*–nitrosocarbonyl aldol product **2b** in 76% yield and 90% ee when **L1** was used as a ligand (Table 1, entry 12). In contrast, this reaction became sluggish for ligand **L2,** which performed better for *S*–ethyl thioester **1a** (entry 13 *vs.* entry 7). When *S*–tert–butyl thioester **1d** was used with ligand **L1**, both yields and ee dropped drastically (entry 14 *vs.* entry 15). Moreover, the aldol product **2c** was crystallized and X–ray analysis unambiguously confirmed the *O*–selectivity. These results suggest that *S*–phenyl unit in the β-ketothioester

is very important. Encouraged by this result, we have further tuned the β–ketothioester by introducing *S*–2,6–xylyl moiety. To our delight, *S*–2,6–xylyl thioester **1e** produced desired *O*–nitrosocarbonyl aldol product **2e** in very good yield (73%) with almost complete enantioselectivity (99%, entry 16). Thus, *S*–2,6–xylyl substituted β–ketothioesters are most appropriate for this reaction with the ligand **L1**.

Experiments probing the scope of this process under optimized conditions are summarized in Table 2. A broad spectrum of β–ketothioesters, cyclic and acyclic, could be employed to afford tertiary *O*–nitrosocarbonyl aldol products **2** in very good yields and excellent stereoselectivities. While substitution at the position R^1 with aliphatic (**2f–h**), aromatic (**2j–l**), and vinyl (**2i**) groups in β–ketothioesters **1** has little effect on enantioselectivity, substitution at the position R^3 is sensitive. Moving from methyl (**2e**, Table 1) to ethyl (**2o**) and benzyl (**2p**), a slight drop in enantioselectivity was observed, yet it remained high (≥ 90%). In order to extend the reaction scope further, we also integrated commonly used β–ketoesters (**1q–z**). Gratifyingly, β–ketoesters are also efficient for this reaction and the desired products were isolated in better yields with similar asymmetric inductions (**2q–t**). At this point, we questioned whether enantioselectivity of the aldol product **2** with ethyl and benzyl substitution at R^3 position, might be further improved via revision of the ester moiety (R^2). Thus, substituted β–ketoesters of various commercially available 2,6–disubstituted phenols were considered. Altering the methyl group at R^2 with isopropyl in β–ketoesters improved the enantioselctivity (**2q** *vs.* **2u**); however, when the more bulky ^{t}Bu–group was installed (**2v**), both the yield and enantioselectivity decreased significantly. Thus, β–ketoesters (**1w–z**) of 2,6–diisopropyl phenol were employed and the desired products (**2w–z**) were isolated with improved enantioselectivities (up to 97%).

The transformation from α–aminooxy–β–ketoester **2** to α–hydroxy–β–ketoesters **4** is smooth and facile. Treatment of $Mo(CO)_6$ cleanly cleaved the N–O bond for both carboxylate ester and thioesters affording α–hydroxy products **4** in very good yields without affecting enantioselectivities (Scheme 2).[16]

To highlight further chemical utility, we applied this new catalytic *O*–nitrosocarbonyl aldol reaction to the enantioselective synthesis of kjellmanianone, a member of the cyclopentanoid class of antibiotics, which shows moderate activity against gram positive bacteria such as *E Coli* K12 and *Bacillus subtilis var niger*.[17] As illustrated in Scheme 3, exposure of β–ketothioester **5** (prepared in two steps from commercial materials) to our oxidation protocol, followed by N–O bond heterolysis, and then silver trifluoroacetate promoted transesterification, rapidly furnished the antibiotic kjellmanianone **8** in 53% yield over three steps and 97% ee.[14a,b] The absolute configuration of the product was determined by comparing the optical rotation with literature data and the stereochemistries of the other α–hydroxy–β–ketoesters were tentatively assigned by analogy.[17b]

In conclusion, we have developed the first catalytic asymmetric *O*–nitrosocarbonyl aldol reaction of both β–ketothioesters and β–ketoesters using readily accessible Cu–catalyst and PhBox ligand. The value of this transformation has been highlighted via expedient synthesis of antibacterial (*S*)–kjellmanianone. MnO_2 was introduced as a mild oxidant to generate transient nitrosocarbonyl species from hydroxamic acid derivative. Further investigations are underway to clarify the mechanism of this transformation and to explore the scope of nitrosocarbonyl chemistry in catalytic asymmetric synthesis.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

We gratefully acknowledge NIH (2R01GM068433) for support of this research. We would also like to thank Dr. Antoni Jurkiewicz, Dr. Ian Steele, and Dr. Jin Qin for their expertise in NMR, X-ray crystallography, and mass spectrometry, respectively.

REFERENCES

1. Kirby GW, Sweeny JG. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1973:704.
2. Reviews on nitrosocarbonyl Diels-Alder reactions, see: Streith J, Defoin A. Synthesis. 1994:1107. Kibayashi C, Aoyagi S. Synlett. 1995:873. Vogt PE, Miller MJ. Tetrahedron. 1998; 54:1317. Yamamoto Y, Yamamoto H. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2006:2031. Bodnar BS, Miller MJ. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2011; 50:5630.
3. Reviews on nitrosocarbonyl ene reactions, see: Adam W, Krebs O. Chem. Rev. 2003; 103:4131. [PubMed: 14531720] Iwasa S, Fakhruddin A, Nishiyama H. Mini-Rev. Org. Chem. 2005; 2:157.
4. For oxidation via (a) peridodate, see Ref. 1, (b) Swern oxidation, see: Martin SF, Hartmann M, Josey JA. Tetrahedron Lett. 1992; 33:3583. (c) lead and silver oxide, see: Dao LH, Dust JM, Mackay D, Watson KN. Can. J. Chem. 1979; 57:1712. (d) Dess-Martin periodinane, see: Jenkins NE, Ware RW Jr, Atkinson RN, King SB. Synth. Commun. 2000; 30:947.
5. For oxidations using peroxides in combination with transition metals, see: Howard JAK, Ilyashenko G, Sparkes HA, Whiting A. Dalton Trans. 2007:2108. [PubMed: 17514329] Iwasa S, Fakhruddin A, Tsukamato Y, Kameyama M, Nishiyama H. Tetrahedron Lett. 2002; 43:6159. Howard JAK, Ilyashenko G, Sparkes HA, Whiting A, Wright AR. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2008; 350:869.
6. (a) Chaiyaveij D, Cleary L, Batsanov AS, Marder TB, Shea KJ, Whiting A. Org. Lett. 2011; 13:3442. [PubMed: 21644530] (b) Frazier CP, Engelking JR, Read de Alaniz J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011; 133:10430. [PubMed: 21678942] (c) Frazier CP, Bugarin A, Engelking JR, Read de Alaniz J. Org. Lett. 2012; 14:3620.
7. Christoffers J, Baro A, Werner T. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2004; 346:143.
8. (a) Nakayama M, Fukuoka Y, Nozaki H, Matsuo A, Hayashi S. Chem. Lett. 1980:1243.(b) Zhu J, Klunder AJH, Zwanenburg B. Tetrahedron. Lett. 1994; 35:2787.(c) Wellington KD, Cambie RC, Rutledge PS, Bergquist PR. J. Nat. Prod. 2000; 63:79. [PubMed: 10650083] (d) Olack G, Morrison H. J. Org. Chem. 1991; 56:4969.
9. Bühi G, Matsumoto KE, Nishimura H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1971; 93:3299. [PubMed: 5559600]
10. (a) Boschelli D, Smith AB III, Stringer OD, Jenkins RH Jr, Davis FA. Tetrahedron Lett. 1981; 22:4385.(b) Davis FA, Chen BC. Chem. Rev. 1992; 92:919.
11. (a) Bonaccorsi C, Althaus M, Becker C, Togni A, Mezzetti A. Pure. Appl. Chem. 2006; 78:391.(b) Toullec PY, Banaccorsi C, Mezzetti A, Togni A. Proc. Natl. Acad, Sci. U.S.A. 2004; 101:5810. [PubMed: 15071186] (c) Ishimaru T, Shibata N, Nagai J, Nakamura S, Toru T, Kanemasa S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006; 128:16488. [PubMed: 17177383] (d) Smith AMR, Billen D, Hii KK. Chem. Commun. 2009:3925.
12. For organocatalytic approach, See: Acocella MR, Mancheno OG, Bella M, Jørgensen KR. J. Org. Chem. 2004; 69:8165. [PubMed: 15527315] Lu M, Zhu D, Lu Y, Zeng X, Tan B, Xu Z, Zhong G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009; 131:4562. [PubMed: 19290662]
13. Brill E. Experientia. 1974; 30:835. [PubMed: 4847692]
14. For functionalizations of thioesters and β–ketothioester see: Masamune S, Hayase Y, Schilling W, Chan WK, Bates GS. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1977; 99:6756. [PubMed: 893906] Hatano M, Moriyama K, Maki T, Ishihara K. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2010; 49:3823. Zhou G, Lim D, Coltart DM. Org. Lett. 2008; 10:3809. [PubMed: 18681454] Ley SV, Smith SC, Woodward PR. Tetrahedron. 1992; 48:1145. Tokuyama H, Yokoshima S, Yamashita T, Fukuyama T. Tetrahedron Lett. 1998; 39:3189. Fukuyama T, Lin S–C, Li L. J. Am. Chem Soc. 1990; 112:7050. Kanda Y, Fukuyama T. J. Am. Chem Soc. 1993; 115:8451.
15. Recent reviews on chiral bis(oxazoline) ligands in asymmetric catalysis, see: Desimoni G, Faita G, Jørgensen KA. Chem. Rev. 2011; 111:PR284. [PubMed: 22077602] Hargaden GC, Guiry PJ. Chem. Rev. 2009; 109:2505. [PubMed: 19378971] Johnson JS, Evans DA. Acc. Chem. Res. 2000; 33:325. [PubMed: 10891050]

16. Cicchi S, Goti A, Brandi A, Guarna A, Sarlo FD. Tetrahedron Lett. 1990; 31:3351.
17. Chen B–C, Weismiller MC, Davis FA, Boschelli D, Empfield JR, Smith AB III. Tetrahedron. 1991; 47:173. For correction in the absolute stereochemistry, see: Ref. 8b and Zhu J, Klunder AJH, Zwanenburg B. Tetrahedron Lett. 1994; 50:10597. Christoffers J, Werner T, Frey W, Baro A. Chem. Eur. J. 2004; 10:1042. [PubMed: 14978831]



Scheme 1.
Reactions of Nitrosocarbonyl Compounds



Scheme 2.
$Mo(CO)_6$ Mediated N–O Bond Heterolysis and the Synthesis of α–Hydroxy–β–Ketoesters



Scheme 3.
Rapid Enantioselective Synthesis of Antibacterial (*S*)−Kjellmanianone

J Am Chem Soc. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2013 November 14.

Table 1

Screening of Reaction Conditions



				2		**3**	
				2		**3**	
Entry[a]	**1**	**L**	**Solvent**	**Yield (%)**[b]	**ee (%)**[c]	**Yield (%)**[b]	**ee (%)**[c]
1	**1a**	**L1**	CH_2Cl_2	70	79	<1	N.D.
2	**1a**	**L1**	$CHCl_3$	46	79	14	14
3	**1a**	**L1**	CH_3CN	34	75	28	9
4	**1a**	**L1**	Toluene	25	74	6	14
5	**1a**	**L1**	THF[d]	48	75	10	6
6	**1a**	**L1**	MeOH	31	70	48	0
7	**1a**	**L2**	CH_2Cl_2	71	88	<1	N.D.
8[e]	**1a**	**L2**	CH_2Cl_2	11	87	<1	N.D.
9	**1a**	**L3**	CH_2Cl_2	31	0	14	2
10	**1a**	**L4**	CH_2Cl_2	37	81	7	0
11	**1a**	**L5**	CH_2Cl_2	28	36	26	17
12	**1b**	**L1**	CH_2Cl_2	76	90	<1	N.D.
13	**1b**	**L2**	CH_2Cl_2	15	83	<1	N.D.
14	**1c**	**L1**	CH_2Cl_2	65	89	<1	N.D.
15	**1d**	**L1**	CH_2Cl_2	8	69	N.D.	N.D.
16	**1e**	**L1**	**CH_2Cl_2**	**73**	**99**	**<1**	**N.D.**

[a] All reactions were performed at 0.1 mmol scale.

[b] Yield of the isolated product.

[c] Determined by HPLC on chiral stationary phase.

[d] Reaction did not proceed at −40 °C in THF.

[e] Reaction was performed with 100 mg of 4Å molecular sieves as additive. N.D. = not determined.



Table 2

Enantioselective *O*–Nitrosocarbonyl Aldol Reactions of β–Dicarbonyl Compounds[a–d]





2i

77% yield
98% ee



2j

69% yield
99% ee



2k

52% yield
99% ee



2l

60% yield
98% ee



2m

90% yield
99% ee



2n

78% yield
93% ee



2o

60% yield
90% ee



2p

57% yield
91% ee



2q

91% yield
97% ee



2r

86% yield
96% ee



2s

82% yield
96% ee



2t

86% yield
97% ee



2u

80% yield
98% ee



2v

71% yield
78% ee



2w

45% yield
96% ee



2x

51% yield
97% ee



2y

93% yield
97% ee



2z

68% yield
88% ee

---

[a] All reactions were performed at 0.1 mmol scale.

[b] Yield of the isolated product.

[c] Determined by HPLC on chiral stationary phase.

[d] Stereochemistries were assigned by analogy to compound **8**.