

GOPEN ACCESS

Citation: Erfan NA, Mahmoud MS, Kim HY, Barakat NAM (2022) CdTiO₃-NPs incorporated TiO₂ nanostructure photocatalyst for scavenger-free water splitting under visible radiation. PLoS ONE 17(10): e0276097. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0276097

Editor: Satya Pal Nehra, University of California Santa Barbara, California, USA, INDIA

Received: July 23, 2022

Accepted: September 29, 2022

Published: October 18, 2022

Peer Review History: PLOS recognizes the benefits of transparency in the peer review process; therefore, we enable the publication of all of the content of peer review and author responses alongside final, published articles. The editorial history of this article is available here: https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0276097

Copyright: © 2022 Erfan et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Data Availability Statement: The accepted submission contains the minimal data set; any researcher can get the required data and other

RESEARCH ARTICLE

CdTiO₃-NPs incorporated TiO₂ nanostructure photocatalyst for scavenger-free water splitting under visible radiation

Nehal A. Erfan¹, Mohamed S. Mahmoud^{1,2}, Hak Yong Kim^{3,4}*, Nasser A. M. Barakat¹*

1 Chemical Engineering Department, Minia University, El-Minia, Egypt, 2 Collage of Applied Science, Department of Engineering, Suhar, Oman, 3 Department of Nano Convergence Engineering, Jeonbuk National University, Jeonju, South Korea, 4 Department of Organic Materials and Fiber Engineering, Jeonbuk National University, Jeonju, South Korea

* nasbarakat@mu.edu.eg (NAMB); khy@jbnu.ac.kr (HYK)

Abstract

Nanofibrous morphology and the doping technique can overcome the problem of electron/ hole fast recombination and improve the activity of titanium oxide-based photocatalysts. In this study, nanoparticulate and nanofibrous forms of CdTiO₃-incorporated TiO₂ were synthesized with different cadmium contents; the morphology and composition were determined by SEM, TEM, EDX, and XRD techniques. The nanomorphology, cadmium content, and reaction temperature of Cd-doped TiO₂ nanostructures were found to be strongly affect the hydrogen production rate. Nanofibrous morphology improves the rate of hydrogen evolution by around 10 folds over the rate for nanoparticles due to electron confinement in 0D nanostructures. The average rates of hydrogen production for samples of 0.5 wt.% Cd are 0.7 and 16.5 ml/g_{cat} min for nanoparticles and nanofibers, respectively. On the other hand, cadmium doping resulted in increasing the hydrogen production rate from 9.6 to 19.7 ml/ g_{cat}.min for pristine and Cd-doped (2 wt%) TiO₂ nanofibers, respectively. May be the formation of type I heterostructures between the TiO₂ matrix and CdTiO₃ nanoparticles is the main reason for the observed enhancement of photocatalytic activity due to the strong suppressing of electron/holes recombination process. Consequently, the proposed photocatalyst could be exploited to produce hydrogen from scavenger-free solution. Varying reaction temperature suggests that hydrogen evolution over the proposed catalyst is incompatible with the Arrhenius equation. In particular, reaction temperature was found to have a negative influence on photocatalytic activity. This work shows the prospects for using CdTiO₃ as a co-catalyst in photon-induced water splitting and indicates a substantial enhancement in the rate of hydrogen production upon using the proposed photocatalyst in nanofibrous morphology.

information from the included figures and tables in the manuscript.

Funding: The author(s) received no specific funding for this work.

Competing interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

1. Introduction

The depletion of fossil fuels has become a troubling fact. In addition to environmental restrictions, researchers are focusing on possible renewable energy-harvesting routes that can enable the world's energy demand to be continuously met. One such research path is to search for suitable energy carriers such as hydrogen and metals, which can be used to store renewable energy and employ it on demand. Several means of producing elemental hydrogen have been proposed, including control fermentation of waste biomasses [1], catalytic and thermal cracking of some hydrocarbons [2], the use of electrical energy to extract hydrogen from water [3], or the use of a photocatalyst for water splitting [4–6]. Photocatalytic water splitting is the best strategy due to its simplicity and its favorable economic factors. In addition, if the used photocatalyst works efficiently under visible light, the process can be considered a renewable energy technology [7, 8].

Among the proposed photocatalysts for water splitting, titanium dioxide has drawn the most attention due to its low cost and high chemical stability. With its band gap energy of 3.2 eV, TiO_2 is adequate for use under UV irradiation [9]. The high photogenerated electron/hole (e-/h)-pair recombination rate is a critical drawback of TiO_2 , which strongly decreases its photocatalytic efficiency [10]. Such a fast recombination rate impedes the chemical reaction due to the short lifetime of the charge carriers (in the nanosecond range), meaning that there is not enough time for the photocatalytic reactions to take place [11]. Many attempts to solve these problems have been reported. The charge carriers' recombination at the grain boundaries can be strongly inhibited by controlling the internal crystalline structure to reduce the density of defects (such as TiO_2 [0 0 1] facets) [10]. In addition, non-metallic doping has attracted some research attention as a strategy for increasing the photo-excited electron's lifetime [12, 13]. For enhancing TiO_2 photocatalytic activity, many dopant materials such as carbonaceous materials (graphene, carbon nanotubes, fullerenes, and activated carbon) have been used [4, 6, 14–16].

Furthermore, coupling with other semiconductors was proposed in order to enhance photocatalytic activity by creating low-energy bands for the charge carriers. Typically, TiO₂ photocatalytic activity is enhanced through doping with transition metal nanoparticles. Excellent performance has been shown by such nanoparticles under UV and visible light irradiation [17–19]. Moreover, when the nanoparticles of metal oxides are electronically doped with aliovalent dopants, oxygen vacancies, or interstitial dopants, they exhibit the same behavior as metal nanoparticles due to the substantial concentration of charge carriers over the nanoparticle surface. Dopants in the photocatalyst act not only as recombination sites between photogenerated electrons and holes, but also as visible light absorption centers with an absorption coefficient that depends upon dopant density.

Moreover, a high Schottky barrier which enhance electron capture can be obtained by doping with foreign metal nanoparticles [20]. Electron capture results in an enlarged separation lifetime of the e⁻/h pair. Increasing the e⁻/h-pair separation lifetime decimates the recombination of these pairs and therefore enhances the transfer of holes and possibly electrons to O_2 adsorbed on the photocatalyst surface. Afterwards, the excited electrons are trapped by the foreign nanoparticles and the recombination of the e-/h pairs is suppressed. Furthermore, some researchers have indicated that doping TiO₂ with foreign nanoparticles can enhance rutile phase formation which has a greater tendency for visible light absorption than anatase [21, 22].

Besides the influence of the composition, the nanostructure morphology reveals a distinct effect upon the photocatalytic activity. It has been reported that the titania nanoparticles' quantum size of under 10 nm was the reason for the distinct improvement in photocatalytic

activity [23]. The photocatalytic electronic modification and the closeness of the excited e-/h pairs in nanostructures strongly contribute in the enhancement of the reaction which consequently improves their performances over those of larger titania powders. However, complicated and expensive processes are needed to synthesize TiO_2 quantum dots. Compared to nanoparticulate morphology, the large axial ratio of nanofibers strongly enhances the physico-chemical and catalytic characteristics because of the rapid electron transfer, which markedly improves the activity of the TiO_2 photocatalyst [21, 24]. Among the several nanofiber synthesis techniques, electrospinning has drawn the most attention due to its low cost, simplicity, high yield, product morphology controllability, and applicability to a wide range of materials [25, 26].

Titanium-based perovskite-type oxides with formula of MTiO₃ (M = Cd, Ca, Ba, Sr, ... etc.) show distinct ferroelectric, dielectric, piezoelectric, pyroelectric and photostrictive properties [27]. Among these materials, cadmium titanate (CdTiO₃) possesses interesting characteristics [28]. Accordingly, this semiconductor has been utilized in several applications including conductive material, optical fibers and humidity sensors [29–31]. Moreover, it has a strong potential in the photocatalysis applications [32]. CdTiO₃ crystallizes non-ferroelectric ilmenite or ferroelectric orthorhombic perovskite phases depending on the method of synthesis and/or post growth annealing temperature [33].

In this study, to investigate the influence of the nanomorphology and cadmium oxide doping, $CdTiO_3$ -doped TiO_2 nanofibers were prepared by an electrospinning technique, and their photocatalytic activity for water splitting was evaluated. In addition, nanoparticulate photocatalysts with similar nanofiber compositions were prepared to study the effect of nanomorphology on the photocatalytic activity. Interesting results were recorded as the nanofibrous morphology strongly enhanced the photocatalytic activity. Besides the distinct enhancement in the hydrogen production rate, cadmium doping also improved the photocatalytic splitting of water to the point that it became incompatible with the Arrhenius equation—meaning that working under low temperature which is economically beneficial. Moreover, the proposed photocatalyst could be successfully exploited to produce hydrogen gas from a scavenger-free water.

2. Materials and methods

2.1 Materials

All chemicals in this study have been used without further modification. Titanium (IV) isopropoxide (TiIso, $C_{12}H_{28}O_4Ti$, 99.9 purity) and polyvinylpyrrolidone (PVP, $(C_6H_9NO)_n$ Mw = 130000) were purchased from Sigma Aldrich. Cadmium acetate di-hydrate (CdAc, Cd (CH₃CO₂)₂.2H₂O purity 99.0%) was obtained from Showa Co. Japan. Analytical-grade ethanol was used as a solvent (99.8–100% purity from Alpha chemicals). Acetic acid (99–100% purity), sodium sulfide (Na₂SO₃, Mw = 126, 98% purity), and sodium sulfate (Na₂SO₄, Mw = 78.04, 55–60% purity) were obtained from Alpha chemicals.

2.2 Fabrication of TiO₂ and Cd-doped TiO₂ nanofibers and nanoparticles

Fig 1 represents a schematic diagram for the nanofibers and nanoparticles fabrication procedure. For comparison, pure TiO_2 nanofibers were first prepared. A mixture of 2 g anhydrous ethanol and an equal amount of glacial acetic acid was prepared. Then, 1 g of Titanium isopropoxide was added to the solvent mixture. Later on, 1 g of PVP and 6 g of ethanol were added to the previous solution. The whole mixture was stirred at 300 rpm for 15 min to obtain a transparent sol-gel.



Fig 1. Experimental procedure for Cd-doped TiO₂ nanofibers and nanoparticles preparation.

Regarding the electrospinning experiment, the unit consists of a DC power supply, a spinning syringe mounted on a flexible syringe holder, and a rotating drum target. The distance from the syringe to the rotating drum was fixed at 15 cm. To perform a uniform deposition of the nanofiber, the rotation speed of the drum target is fixed as 10 rpm. High intensity DC voltage of 20 kV is applied between the syringe tip and the rotating drum. The drum was covered by a polyethylene sheet. After electrospinning, the mat underwent a drying step under vacuum at 80°C for 24 h, followed by a calcination process at 700°C for a 1-h holding time. In order to prepare Cd-doped TiO₂ nanofibers, the aforementioned procedure was similarly performed after the addition of specific amounts of CdAc dissolved in 1 ml ethanol. To prepare final nanofiber mats containing 0.5, 1, and 2 wt.% CdO with respect to titanium element, the corresponding mass of cadmium acetate is added. The calculations were based on assumptions that a complete elimination of the used polymer and full decompositions of titanium isopropoxide and cadmium acetate di-hydrate precursors into titanium oxide and cadmium oxide, respectively will carry out. The calcination process was done in air atmosphere. Moreover, to ensure performing complete oxidation reactions, suction pump was used to remove the gaseous products from the reaction atmosphere as well as to fill the tubular furnace by fresh air continuously. Accordingly, the claiming about complete elimination of the used polymer and converting the used metallic precursors to the oxide forms is acceptable. Considering that the melting points of titanium oxide and cadmium oxide are 1843 and 900 °C, respectively, no losses in the metal content are expected especially the calcination temperature was chosen to be 200 °C less than the melting point of the relatively volatile oxide (CdO). Using the same prepared solutions for nanofiber mats synthesis, nanoparticles with similar compositions were prepared by vacuously drying the solutions overnight, crushing and well grinding before calcination process.

2.3 Water photo-splitting experiment

The experiments were performed under a 1,000-W mercury lamp as a source of visible light. A solution containing 0.05 g of catalyst was added to 100 ml of 0.5 M Na₂S/Na₂SO₃ as a sacrificial agent. The general role of the scavenger agent in the water splitting process is to improve the hydrogen evolution. For Na₂S/Na₂SO₃ as a sacrificial agent, they capture of holes generated from the photocatalyst which leads to promoting the oxygen evolution reaction, in turn, the hydrogen reduction reaction is enhanced as well [34]. The suspension was placed in a well-sealed round-bottom flask with one opening from which a rubber tube exited. This tube was immersed in a water-filled inverted graduated cylinder; by displacing the water, the evolved gases were collected. In order to maintain the reaction temperature, the round-bottom flask was jacketed by a temperature-controlled water bath; the accumulated gas from the photocatalytic water-splitting reaction consists mainly of H₂ and O₂ with a molar ratio of 2:1. The number of moles of accumulated hydrogen was calculated by recording the change in the volume above the water level using the following equation:

$$n = \frac{2 \times 273 \times V}{3 \times 22.4 \times m \times T} \tag{1}$$

where *n* is the number of moles of H_2 [mmol/g], *V* is the volume of the gas (mL), *m* is the mass of the photocatalyst (g), and *T* is the temperature of the solution (K). In literature, the rate of hydrogen generation was represented different units including mmol/g_{catalyst}, µmol/h/g_{catalyst}, and ml/g_{catalyst} according to the ideal gas law for hydrogen gas. However, for kinetic calculation, the rate of hydrogen evolution is expressed in mmol/min to calculate the quantum efficiency. It is noteworthy mentioning that to ensure the collected gas represents the water splitting reaction product gases and no interfere from water vapor especially the used lamp becomes hot during illuminating time, these precautions have been conducted. First, the lamp was cooling by a suction fan placed above the lamp so this used fan sucks the hot air around the reactor flask. Second, the collecting gas beaker was placed a way from the lamp so it was always cold. Third, the used water in the collector beaker was checked continuously during the reaction time to be sure it still cold. Fourth, after every experiment, the collect gas was left for relatively long time to allow any water vapor to condensate; no any change in the gas volume was observed which indicates the collected gas is free from any water vapor.

2.4 Characterization

The surface morphology of the as-obtained nanofibers and nanoparticles was studied using the JEOL JSM-5900 scanning electron microscope (JEOL Ltd., Japan). A Rigaku X-ray diffractometer (Rigaku Co., Japan) with Cu K α (λ = 1.54056 Å) radiation over a 2 θ range from 10° to 80° was used to characterize the phase and crystallinity of the prepared nanomaterials. A JEOL JEM-2200FS transmission electron microscope (TEM) operating at 200 kV and equipped with EDX (JEOL Ltd., Japan) was used to investigate the materials' internal structure.

3. Results and discussion

3.1 Catalyst characterization

During the calcination of the electrospun nanofibers in air, the utilized polymer is fully eliminated and the metallic precursor decomposes into its most stable form. Therefore, choosing a suitable precursor is a main reason to obtain good nanofiber morphology using the electrospinning technique. The main aspect of the proper precursor is polycondensation during solgel preparation. The high polycondensation tendency and hydrolysis reactions for alkoxides explain their distinct performance in forming an integrated network [35–37].

The organometallic family comprises compounds having one or more metal atoms in the molecule, and metal alkoxides are members of that family. Metal alkoxides (R-O-M) were obtained by replacing the hydrogen atom in the hydroxyl group of alcohols (R-OH) with a metal atom M, which are the class of chemical precursors most widely used in sol–gel formation because of their condensation behavior and tendency to combine together to form a gel network. The gel network can be formed using metal salts such as chlorides, nitrates, and acetates besides alkoxides. The acetates showed the most convenient polycondensation behavior for gel network formation [38]. Excellent morphology and bead-free obtained nanofibers after the calcination process shown in Fig 2A indicates that the electrospinning working parameters



Fig 2. SEM images for pristine; (A) and 0.5 wt% Cd-doped TiO_2 ; (B) produced nanofibers after the calcination process. (C) magnification of 0.5 wt% Cd-doped TiO_2 nanofiber indicating the corrugated/speckled surface of the nanofiber. (D) TEM image of Cd-doped TiO_2 nanofiber indicating the attach of CdTiO₃ over the surface of TiO₂ nanofiber.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0276097.g002

and the composition of the utilized sol-gel were properly selected. In other words, as a result of the polycondensation behaviors of all utilized precursors, the calcination process had no effect upon the nanomaterials' morphology.

It is notable that the addition of cadmium acetate did not distinctly affect the general morphology of the obtained nanofibers. The nanofiber sample chosen for SEM imaging is that having a composition of 0.5 wt% Cd-doped TiO₂ nanofibers. As observed in Fig 2B and 2C, cadmium incorporation results in the breaking of nanofibers. The produced nanofibers length decrease by increasing the cadmium content in the initial electrospinning solution. Typically results in evolving CO₂ and other exhausting gases leaving behind holes on the surface, potentially explaining the nanofiber breakdown. Comparing Fig 2B to 2A, the addition of cadmium can be seen to result in the creation of rough surface nanofibers. By contrast, the cadmiumfree nanofibers have a smooth surface. A high surface area is a preferable characteristic for a photocatalyst, as it leads to enhanced photon absorption and consequently increases the catalytic activity. Fig 2D shows the TEM image of the Cd-doped TiO₂ nanofiber; the appearance of transparent bubble-like nanoparticles attached to the surface of the TiO₂ nanofiber is notable. It should be mentioned that the utilized calcination process for the prepared electrospun Ti (Iso)/CdAc)/PVP nanofibers resulted in producing CdO-TiO₂ (CdTiO₃) NPs-attaching a TiO₂ matrix [17, 39, 40]. As CdTiO₃ nanoparticles exhibit several catalytic, optical, and electrical properties, their presence over the surface of the nanofiber can enhance the TiO_2 nanofiber's photocatalytic performance by functioning as electron trapping sites for the hydrogen evolution reaction as it will be discussed below.

Fig 3 displays the TEM and the EDX results for the Cd-doped TiO_2 nanoparticles. The CdTiO₃ phase clearly appears in the TiO_2 matrix. As shown in the figure, CdTiO₃ has a different structure than that of TiO_2 (Fig 3B), which confirms the formation a Cd-doped TiO_2 nanostructure. The EDX result (Fig 3C) confirms the presence of Cd in the TiO_2 matrix. It is worth mentioning that EDX analysis has been conducted for 0.5% sample. The numerical data summarized in the inset table supports the aforementioned calculation hypotheses. Therefore, it can be concluded that, during the calcination process, the used polymer has been completely eliminated and the metallic precursors have been changed into the oxide forms without considerable losses in the metals content.

X-ray diffraction analysis is a typical technique for determining the composition of crystalline materials. Basically, brookite, anatase, and rutile are common TiO₂ phases from a crystal structure point of view; the latter two are abundantly found. Fig 4 represents the impact of cadmium doping on the crystal structure of the produced nanofibers. Cd-free electrospun nanofibers consist entirely of the anatase phase; the diffraction peaks appear at 20 values of 25.09°, 37.65°, 38.44°, 47.89°, 53.89°, 55.07°, 62.40°, 68.70°, 70.04°, and 75.00° and correspond to the (101), (004), (112), (200), (105), (211), (204), (220), (220), and (215) crystal planes, respectively. This suggests the formation of pure anatase TiO₂ according to the XRD database (JCPDS card no 21–1272). One peak refers to the rutile phase at a 2θ value of 27.4° detected in the 0% Cd nanofiber sample. As can be seen in Fig 4, CdAc addition enhanced the rutile phase formation, which compared to anatase, has a greater tendency for visible light absorption [21, 22]. The tetragonal rutile phase (JCPDS 21-1276) at 2θ values of 27.4°, 36.1°, 39.2°, 41.2°, 44.1°, 54.3°, 56.6°, 62.7°, 64°, 69°, and 69.8° corresponds to the (110), (101), (200), (111), (210), (211), (220), (002), (310), (301), and (112) crystal planes, respectively. However, as shown, it can be concluded from the obtained data that 0.5% Cd nanofibers have the minimum amount of anatase; other combinations contain high amount. The reason for this may be that the addition of the optimum content of foreign dopant atoms into the lattice weakens its structure, thereby decreasing crystallinity [41]. The rutile (110) peaks shift towards lower angle for the samples



Fig 3. TEM images of pristine; (A) and CdO-doped; (B) TiO_2 nanoparticles. (C) The EDX image of CdTiO₃ doped TiO_2 nanoparticle obtained after atmospheric calcination at 700°C.

with the addition of cadmium in addition to cadmium smaller radii compared to radius of Ti^{+4} indicating the incorporation of cadmium metal ions in the TiO_2 matrix.

Due of the phase transition from the tetragonal (ilmenite) structure to the orthorhombic structure, CdTiO₃ crystallizes in both the ilmenite and perovskite structures and exhibits displacive-type ferroelectrics. In the perovskite structure, the TiO₆ octahedra are corner shared with the Cd²⁺ ion having a 12-fold coordination. On the other hand, in the ilmenite structure, the TiO₆ octahedra are edge shared with the Cd²⁺ ion possessing 6-fold-coordination [28]. At normal temperature, this semiconductor is existed in the form of tetragonal phase; however, to transform to the octahedral structure thermal treatment at elevated temperature is required; usually more than 1000 °C [42]. Diffraction peaks indicating formation of tetragonal (ilmenite) CdTiO₃ were observed at 31.1°, 34.2°, 46.9°, and 59.3°, corresponding to the (101), (104), (110), (024), and (214) crystal planes, respectively (JCPDS card no. 29–0277). The XRD result for Cd-doped TiO₂ nanoparticles shows a similar pattern to the nanofibers, as the XRD result does not depend upon the nanostructure's morphology; Fig 4B displays the nanoparticles prepared from 0.5 wt.% Cd solution.





3.2 Photocatalytic activity investigation

3.2.1 Effect of nanomorphology and composition. Fig 5 represents the effect of cadmium content upon the amount of hydrogen evolved under visible light irradiation using the prepared Cd-doped nanoparticles and nanofibers. As shown, the hydrogen production rates for the 2 wt.% Cd samples were 27 and 250 ml $H_2/g_{cat.}$ for nanoparticles and nanofibers, respectively. The nanofibrous morphology distinctly enhanced the photocatalytic activity. As observed in the figure, the rate of hydrogen production was increased greatly using nanofibers compared to nanoparticles at the same composition. The structure that provides one



Fig 5. Effect of Cd content on H_2 evolution rate in case of utilizing Cd-doped nanofibers; (A) and nanoparticles; (B) as photocatalyst.

dimension for electron motion may be the reason for the considerable enhancement in the nanofibers' photocatalytic activity; however, in the nanoparticles with 0D structure, full electron confinement takes place, which favors the e^-/h recombination process [43]. Moreover, the results show the effect of cadmium doping upon the photocatalytic activity. As shown in Fig 5, a remarkable enhancement in the photocatalytic activity of TiO₂ was detected after the addition of small amounts of cadmium. In fact, cadmium oxide particles act not only as visible light absorption centers with an absorption coefficient dependent on Cd density, but also as recombination sites between photogenerated electrons and holes. As aforementioned, doping by proper nanoparticles can yield to enlarge the Schottky barrier which increases electron capture which distinctly suppresses the recombination of e^-/h pairs [20]. The observed decrease in the photocatalytic activity of the nanofibers for Cd concentrations above 0.5 wt% is related to



Fig 6. Effect of multiple use of the introduced 0.5% Cd nanofiber.

the decrease in rutile phase intensity, as confirmed by XRD results (Fig 4). Reusability is an important feature for the photocatalysts. Fig 6 demonstrates the obtained results after using the 0.5% Cd nanofiber for three successive cycles. As Fig 6 manifested, the nanofiber exhibited sustainable photocatalytic performance even after three cycles without significant loss of H_2 generation rate. These results confirm that the electrospun product was stable and reusable. Furthermore, such nanofibers could be effortlessly separated from the solution by facile sedimentation as the mixing stopped benefiting from the instinct feature of the large aspect ratio.

3.2.2 Photosplitting efficacy. Basically, the photocatalytic activity is intensely related to the optical properties of the light source used in the experiments, like the light intensity and irradiation area. Hence, the catalyst activities cannot be compared with each other if the reaction conditions are different. Therefore, determination of an apparent quantum yield (AQY), which rules the effect of light source out, is essential. Accordingly, it is necessary to determine the (AQY) of the present system and compare with other published works. To judge the feasibility of a proposed photocatalyst, the quantum efficiency of H_2 evolution is calculated as follows:

$$\boldsymbol{\eta} = \frac{N_e}{N_v} \tag{2}$$

where η is the energy conversion efficiency, N_e is the number of electrons involved in the hydrogen evolution reaction, and N_v is the number of incident photons in the reaction area. N_e can be calculated from the rate of hydrogen evolution (from Fig 5, production rate = 0.63 mmol H₂ g⁻¹_{catalyst}min⁻¹ for Cd-doped TiO₂ nanoparticles). Through those rates, the actual

number of electrons disappearing due to the hydrogen evolution reaction can be obtained. The number of incident photons (N_v) was determined as 6.51×10^{20} photons/s.m² according to literature [44]. This calculation indicates that the prepared catalyst has achieved a 20% conversion of photons to electrons, showing that the Cd-doped TiO₂ nanostructure photocatalyst is capable of increasing the absorbance of photons and prolonging the lifetime for e-/h pairs. Other researchers used another practical standard to calculate the efficiency of the photocatalystic water splitting; solar-to-hydrogen (STH) efficiency. It can be calculated using the following formula:

$$STH = \frac{Energy \ released \ from \ H_2 \ gas}{Incident \ solar \ energy} = \frac{r_{H_2}\Delta G}{I_s A_r}$$
(3)

where r_{H_0} is the hydrogen production rate in mol/s, ΔG is the Gibbs free energy associated with hydrogen gas in J/mol, I_s is the solar energy flux in (W/cm²), and A_r is the surface area of the photocatalytic reactor. Using the STH formula, the STH efficiency of the prepared Cddoped TiO₂ nanoparticles is calculated to be 0.64% and 9% for Cd-doped TiO₂ nanoparticle and Cd-doped TiO₂ nanofiber, respectively. Table 1 shows the rate of hydrogen evolution of this study compared with other works. It is important to note that comparison of the rate of hydrogen evolution should be done for experiments that are conducted using same light source and same sacrificing agent. However, it is hard to fulfill that condition to compare our results with other works. For nanostructures containing TiO₂, it is apparent that the moles of hydrogen produced by the Cd-doped TiO_2 nanofibers prepared in this study is relatively higher than that obtained previously by other scholars (Table 1); the exception is Mahmoud et al. [17], who indicated that a Cd-doped TiO₂ nanotube can achieve 24 mmol H_2/g_{cat} min using methanol as a scavenger agent. This reveals that the Cd-doped TiO₂ nanofiber may act as an effective photocatalyst candidate for the photon-induced water-splitting reaction. However, the stability and recyclability of this substance must be considered in greater detail before nominating it as a viable photocatalyst.

16.5

Table 1. A comparison of the hydrogen evolution rate for different nanocatalysts.				
Photocatalyst	Light source	Scavenger agent	H ₂ production (mmol H ₂ /g _{cat.} Min)	Ref./year
Pt/ TiO ₂ nanosheet	Xenon Arc lamb 350 W	Ethanol	0.0056	[45]/2010
Graphene modified TiO ₂ nanoparticles	Xenon Arc lamb 350 W	Methanol	0.0123	[46]/2011
TiO ₂ nanoparticles	Xenon lamb 150 W	Methanol	0.1	[47]/2014
(Pt/HS-TiO ₂)	Mercury lamb 400 W	Methanol	0.017	[48]/2016
Pt-doped TiO ₂ -ZnO	Mercury lamb 400 W	Methanol	0.0034	[49]/2017
Pt-TiO ₂ particles	Mercury lamb 450 W	Methanol	0.444	[53]/2005
Cd-doped TiO ₂ nanotube	Mercury lamb 1000 W	Methanol	24	[17]/2018
CdS/TiO ₂ mesoporous core-shell	Sunlight	Na ₂ S/ Na ₂ SO ₃	1.13	[18]/2018
Ni/TiO ₂ nanotube	Solar simulator Xenon lamb	-	0.433	[19]/2019
Ni/GO-TiO ₂ nanoparticles	Sunlight	Methanol	3	[50]/2019
Ag-TiO2 NF	Mercury lamb 1000 W	Na ₂ S/ Na ₂ SO ₃	2	[51]/2020
NiCo ₂ S ₄ /CdO@CC	Sunlight	-	0.00125	[52]/2020
Cd-doped TiO ₂ nanoparticles	Mercury lamb 1000 W	Na ₂ S/ Na ₂ SO ₃	0.7	This study

Та

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0276097.t001

Cd-doped TiO₂ nanofibers



Fig 7. Effect of temperature on hydrogen production from water using (0.05 g) of 0.5 wt % CdTiO_3-TiO_2 nanofiber.

3.3 Influence of reaction temperature

The kinetic energy strongly depends on the temperature of the system, so higher temperature leads to a higher average molecular kinetic energy and more collisions per unit time. Therefore, in most chemical reactions, the temperature has a positive effect on the reaction rates. However, in hetero-catalytic reactions, increasing the reactants kinetic energy leads to a difficult catching of the reactants on the surface of the used solid catalyst which can be translated into negative impact of increasing the temperature on the reaction rate.

Fig 7 shows water photo splitting results using the proposed catalyst at temperatures of 298, 308, and 318 K. The results indicate that the hydrogen production rate decreases with increasing reaction temperature using Cd-doped TiO_2 nanofibers (0.5 wt% sample) as a photocatalyst. This behavior may be because the high kinetic energy might move the reactant molecules away from the active zones [21]. In addition, other researchers have noted that a surface plasmon is remarkably observed at low temperatures [53]. Moreover, increasing the temperature can increase the possibility of recombination between the charge holders, which consequently decreases the semiconductor's photoactivity. Therefore, we theoretically project that increasing the temperature is not preferred in the water-splitting reaction. This hypothesis has been verified experimentally (Fig 7). Numerically, the hydrogen production rates were 350, 300, and 100 ml/g_{cat} at reaction temperatures of 298, 308, and 318 K, respectively. This finding confirms that the water-splitting reaction over the proposed catalyst surface does not follow the Arrhenius equation. Obtaining a high yield at low temperatures is an economically preferred characteristic in industrial settings.

3.4 Photocatalysis mechanism

Thermodynamically, in order to perform the redox reaction, the photocatalyst must have a conduction band with a potential level that is more negative than the redox potential of the unoccupied lowest molecular orbital of the photocatalyst-acceptor part. To trigger the

oxidation reaction, the valence band of the photocatalyst must have a potential level that is more positive than the reduction potential of the highest occupied molecular orbital in the photocatalyst-donor part. This mainly governs hydrogen and oxygen production over the surface of the photocatalyst. In other words, to start the H⁺/ H₂ and O₂/ H₂O reactions, both the conduction and valence band potentials of the photocatalyst must be wider than the hydrogen and oxygen production levels (H⁺/H₂ (-0.41 V vs normal hydrogen electrode (NHE) at pH 7, O₂/H₂O (+0.82 V vs NHE at pH 7) [54].

In practice, electron transfer is not as straightforward as it can be predicted. Previous research showed that electron transfer between semiconductors and aqueous redox species occurs only at the semiconductor/electrolyte interface, where two orbitals, one belonging to the semiconductor and the other to the aqueous species, have a comparable energy [55]. Furthermore, the energy difference between the energy levels (i.e. conduction band (CB) and lowest-unoccupied molecular orbital (LUMO) of the acceptor, and highest-occupied molecular orbital (HOMO) and VB of the donor) should be minimal. Sphalerite (ZnS), for example, has a strong conduction band (-3.46 eV against. absolute vacuum scale (AVS), -1.04 eV vs. NHE), however the large gap prevents it from catalyzing hydrogen synthesis photochemical reactions from water splitting [56]. In other words, while increasing the driving force (i.e., the energy difference between the electron donor and acceptor levels) is predicted to improve the rate of electron transfer processes, a high energy difference results in a sluggish electron transfer; this phenomenon is known as *"inverted region effect*" [57].

Numerically, the band gap and conduction band energies for TiO_2 are 3.2 and -0.29 eV vs. NHE (3.2 and -4.21 eV vs. AVS), respectively. While several reports have estimated the band gap of pure CdTiO₃ nanoparticles, the obtained value was around 2.9 eV [28, 58]. To properly understand the water splitting mechanism using the proposed catalyst, the conduction and valance bands of incorporated nanoparticles have to be determined. The required bands could be estimated from this empirical equations:

$$\mathbf{E}_{CB} = \aleph - \mathbf{E}^{\mathbf{e}} - \mathbf{0.5}\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{e}} \tag{4}$$

$$E_{VB} = E_{CB} + E_g \tag{5}$$

Where E_{CB} and E_{VB} are the conduction and valance potentials, respectively, E^e is the energy free electrons vs. hydrogen (ca. 4.5 eV) [59]. The absolute electronegativity (χ) of the semiconductor can be estimated from the following equation [60]:

$$\aleph = \left[\mathbf{x}(T\mathbf{i})^{a} \mathbf{x}(C\mathbf{d})^{b} \mathbf{x}(\mathbf{O})^{c} \right]^{1/(a+b+c)}$$
(6)

In which *a*, *b* and *c* are the number of atoms in the compound, x(Ti), x(Cd) and x(O) are the absolute electronegativity of titanium, cadmium and oxygen elements; 3.45, 4.33 and 7.45 eV, respectively. Accordingly, the estimated values for the conduction and valance band energies were -0.22 and 2.68 eV, respectively.

Generally, the main gained benefit from doping a semiconductor by another one is bringing down the electron/hole pair recombination of the host semiconductor. According to the relative positions of the conduction and valance band energies, the dopant semiconductor can work as an electron sink or a hole sink or for both. Therefore, semiconductors heterojunctions can be classified into three types; straddling, staggered and broken band gap junctions. In the first type (straddling band gap), compared to the host semiconductor, the conduction band of the dopant is more negative while the valance is band is more positive. Consequently, the excited electrons and the formed holes jump to the dopant bands so the dopant acts as a sink for both of electrons and holes. Accordingly, the two redox reactions take on the surface of the



Fig 8. The proposed mechanism for electron transfer and $\rm H_2$ evolution on Cd-TiO_2 nanofibers.

dopant semiconductor. For the second type, the dopant works as electron sink because both of the conduction and valance bands of the dopant are more negative than of that the host semiconductor. For the last type, there is a big difference between the energy bands. Typically, the valance band of the dopant semiconductor is more negative than the conduction band of the host semiconductor [34]. Fig 8 displays a schematic diagram for the location of titanium oxide and CdTiO₃ band energies. As shown in the diagram, it can be concluded that the proposed CdTiO₃ NPs-incorporated TiO₂ nanostructure belongs to "type 1" heterojunction semiconductor.

In water photo splitting, the scavenger is exploited to capture the holes which results in distinct depression for the electrons/holes recombination. In this study, Cd-incorporation is also proposed to suppress the electrons/holes recombination. To properly examine the success of the study target, water photo splitting process was examined without adding the scavenger; Fig 9. As shown in the figure, good hydrogen gas production rate was obtained from a suspension of Cd-incorporated TiO₂ nanofibers (0.5% Cd sample)/water (no Na₂S/Na₂SO₃ mixture was added) which indicates good termination of the electrons/holes recombination process. Therefore, it can be confidently claimed that using Cd incorporation results in producing an effective photocatalyst can be exploited in water photo splitting without a need of scavenger addition which is a highly recommended from the economical point of view.

In summary, the presence of $CdTiO_3$ with the TiO_2 nanostructure can create a type I heterojunction, which includes a spatial separation of electrons and holes, yielding higher reduction and oxidation potentials. Accordingly, this proposed photocatalyst can be effectively utilized to perform water splitting process under the visible light radiation without using a scavenger.

4. Conclusions

Cd-doped TiO₂ nanofibers, as a stable and nonprecious catalyst for water-splitting reactions, can be produced by simple, high yield and low cost process; electrospinning of a sol–gel

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0276097.g008



Fig 9. Hydrogen production rate using 0.5% Cd nanofiber sample at 25 °C without using a scavenger. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0276097.g009

composed of titanium isopropoxide, cadmium acetate and polyvinylpyrrolidone followed by heat treatment at high temperature. The high polycondensation tendency of the used metals precursors resulted in maintaining the nanofibrous morphology during the calcination process. Both of cadmium oxide content and nanomorphology has a highly considerable impact on the photocatalytic activity of the proposed composite. The optimum cadmium content depends on the produced nanostructure morphology. Typically, the maximum hydrogen production rate can be obtained with $CdTiO_3$ -doped TiO_2 nanofibers and nanoparticles containing 0.5 and 2 wt.% dopant, respectively. Doping of TiO_2 by Cd shows a good influence on increasing the electrons/holes lifetime. Accordingly, it presented good photocatalytic activity for water splitting which is translated as a distinct increase in the hydrogen evolution rate compared to that of undoped titanium oxide nanoparticles and nanofibers. Moreover, the proposed catalyst can be utilized for water splitting in a scavenger-free normal water.

Author Contributions

Data curation: Mohamed S. Mahmoud.
Formal analysis: Nasser A. M. Barakat.
Funding acquisition: Hak Yong Kim.
Methodology: Nehal A. Erfan, Mohamed S. Mahmoud.
Supervision: Nasser A. M. Barakat.
Writing – original draft: Nehal A. Erfan, Nasser A. M. Barakat.

References

- 1. Han S-K, Shin H-SJIjohe. Biohydrogen production by anaerobic fermentation of food waste. Int J Hydrogen Energ. 2004; 29(6):569–77.
- Amin AM, Croiset E, Epling WJIJOHE. Review of methane catalytic cracking for hydrogen production. Int J Hydrogen Energ. 2011; 36(4):2904–35.
- Zeng K, Zhang DJPie, science c. Recent progress in alkaline water electrolysis for hydrogen production and applications. Prog Energy Combust Sci. 2010; 36(3):307–26.
- Barakat NA, Ahmed E, Amen MT, Abdelkareem MA, Farghali AJML. N-doped Ni/C/TiO2 nanocomposite as effective photocatalyst for water splitting. Mater Lett. 2018; 210:317–20.
- 5. Mahmoud MS, Ahmed E, Farghali A, Zaki A, Barakat NA. Synthesis of Fe/Co-doped titanate nanotube as redox catalyst for photon-induced water splitting. Mater Chem Phys. 2018; 217:125–32.
- 6. Sharma R, Almáši M, Nehra SP, Rao VS, Panchal P, Paul DR, et al. Photocatalytic hydrogen production using graphitic carbon nitride (GCN): A precise review. Renew Sust Energ Rev. 2022; 168:112776.
- Kotay SM, Das DJIJoHE. Biohydrogen as a renewable energy resource—prospects and potentials. Int J Hydrogen Energ. 2008; 33(1):258–63.
- Perkins C, Weimer AW. Solar-thermal production of renewable hydrogen. AIChE J. 2009; 55(2):286– 93. https://doi.org/10.1002/aic.11810
- Burnajdad A, Madkour MJPCCP. Understanding the superior photocatalytic activity of noble metals modified titania under UV and visible light irradiation. Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics. 2014; 16 (16):7146–58. https://doi.org/10.1039/c3cp54411g PMID: 24554000
- Ong W-J, Tan L-L, Chai S-P, Yong S-T, Mohamed ARJN. Highly reactive {001} facets of TiO 2-based composites: synthesis, formation mechanism and characterization. Nanoscale. 2014; 6(4):1946–2008. https://doi.org/10.1039/c3nr04655a PMID: 24384624
- Chong MN, Jin B, Chow CW, Saint CJWr. Recent developments in photocatalytic water treatment technology: a review. Water Res. 2010; 44(10):2997–3027. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2010.02.039</u> PMID: 20378145
- Pan X, Yang M-Q, Fu X, Zhang N, Xu Y-JJN. Defective TiO 2 with oxygen vacancies: synthesis, properties and photocatalytic applications. Nanoscale. 2013; 5(9):3601–14. <u>https://doi.org/10.1039/ c3nr00476g</u> PMID: 23532413
- Wang D-H, Jia L, Wu X-L, Lu L-Q, Xu A-WJN. One-step hydrothermal synthesis of N-doped TiO 2/C nanocomposites with high visible light photocatalytic activity. Nanoscale. 2012; 4(2):576–84. https://doi. org/10.1039/c1nr11353d PMID: 22143193
- Zhang Y, Tang Z-R, Fu X, Xu Y-J. Engineering the unique 2D mat of graphene to achieve graphene-TiO2 nanocomposite for photocatalytic selective transformation: what advantage does graphene have over its forebear carbon nanotube? ACS nano. 2011; 5(9):7426–35. <u>https://doi.org/10.1021/nn202519j</u> PMID: 21870826
- Garg A, Almáši M, Bednarčík J, Sharma R, Rao VS, Panchal P, et al. Gd (III) metal-organic framework as an effective humidity sensor and its hydrogen adsorption properties. Chemosphere. 2022; 305:135467. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2022.135467 PMID: 35764119
- Paul DR, Sharma R, Panchal P, Malik R, Sharma A, Tomer VK, et al. Silver doped graphitic carbon nitride for the enhanced photocatalytic activity towards organic dyes. Journal of nanoscience and nanotechnology. 2019; 19(8):5241–8. https://doi.org/10.1166/jnn.2019.16838 PMID: 30913840
- Mahmoud MS, Ahmed E, Farghali AA, Zaki AH, Abdelghani EAM, Barakat NAM. Influence of Mn, Cu, and Cd–doping for titanium oxide nanotubes on the photocatalytic activity toward water splitting under visible light irradiation. Colloids Surf A Physicochem Eng Asp. 2018; 554:100–9. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.colsurfa.2018.06.039.
- El-Maghrabi HH, Barhoum A, Nada AA, Moustafa YM, Seliman SM, Youssef AM, et al. Synthesis of mesoporous core-shell CdS@TiO2 (0D and 1D) photocatalysts for solar-driven hydrogen fuel production. Journal of Photochemistry and Photobiology A: Chemistry. 2018; 351:261–70. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jphotochem.2017.10.048</u>.
- Dong Z, Ding D, Li T, Ning C. Facile preparation of Ti3+/Ni co-doped TiO2 nanotubes photoanode for efficient photoelectrochemical water splitting. Appl Surf Sci. 2019; 480:219–28. https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.apsusc.2019.02.237.
- Vorontsov A, Stoyanova I, Kozlov D, Simagina V, Savinov EJJoc. Kinetics of the photocatalytic oxidation of gaseous acetone over platinized titanium dioxide. J Catal. 2000; 189(2):360–9.
- Barakat NA, Kanjwal MA, Chronakis IS, Kim HY. Influence of temperature on the photodegradation process using Ag-doped TiO2 nanostructures: negative impact with the nanofibers. J Mol Catal A: Chem. 2013; 366:333–40.

- Hassan MS, Amna T, Pandeya DR, Hamza AM, Bing YY, Kim H-C, et al. Controlled synthesis of Mn₂O₃ nanowires by hydrothermal method and their bactericidal and cytotoxic impact: a promising future material. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol. 2012; 95(1):213–22. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-012-3878-6 PMID: 22252266.
- Anpo M, Shima T, Kubokawa Y. ESR and photoluminescence evidence for the photocatalytic formation of hydroxyl radicals on small TiO2 particles. Chem Lett. 1985; 14(12):1799–802.
- Barakat NA, Abdelkareem MA, El-Newehy M, Kim HY. Influence of the nanofibrous morphology on the catalytic activity of NiO nanostructures: an effective impact toward methanol electrooxidation. Nanoscale Res Lett. 2013; 8(1):1–6.
- Barakat N, Nassar M, Farrag T, Mahmoud M. Effective photodegradation of methomyl pesticide in concentrated solutions by novel enhancement of the photocatalytic activity of TiO 2 using CdSO 4 nanoparticles. Environmental Science and Pollution Research. 2014; 21(2):1425–35. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/</u> s11356-013-2027-9 PMID: 23917741
- Barakat NAM. Catalytic and photo hydrolysis of ammonia borane complex using Pd-doped Co nanofibers. Appl Catal, A. 2013; 451:21–7. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcata.2012.10.034.
- Jahanara K, Farhadi S. A magnetically separable plate-like cadmium titanate-copper ferrite nanocomposite with enhanced visible-light photocatalytic degradation performance for organic contaminants. RSC advances. 2019; 9(27):15615–28. https://doi.org/10.1039/c9ra01968e PMID: 35514850
- Kharkwal M, Uma S, Nagarajan R. Synthesis and optical properties of pure CdTiO 3 and Ni 2+ and Zn 2 + ion substituted CdTiO 3 obtained by a novel precursor route. Indian Journal of Chemistry. 2012; 51A:1538–44.
- Bakar SA, Hussain ST, Mazhar M. CdTiO 3 thin films from an octa-nuclear bimetallic single source precursor by aerosol assisted chemical vapor deposition (AACVD). New J Chem. 2012; 36(9):1844–51.
- Imran Z, Batool S, Jamil H, Usman M, Israr-Qadir M, Shah S, et al. Excellent humidity sensing properties of cadmium titanate nanofibers. Ceram Int. 2013; 39(1):457–62.
- Phani A, Santucci S. Microwave irradiation as an alternative source for conventional annealing: a study of pure TiO2, NiTiO3, CdTiO3 thin films by a sol–gel process for electronic applications. J Phys: Condens Matter. 2006; 18(30):6965.
- **32.** de Anda Reyes M, Delgado GT, Pérez RC, Marín JM, Ángel OZ. Optimization of the photocatalytic activity of CdO+ CdTiO3 coupled oxide thin films obtained by sol–gel technique. Journal of Photochemistry and Photobiology A: Chemistry. 2012; 228(1):22–7.
- Bahloul R, Sayouri S, Limame K, Yahyaoui MM, Jaber B, Laanab L. Temperature effect on the structural and the optical properties of sol gel CdTiO3 nanopowders. J Ceram Process Res. 2017; 18:329– 35.
- San Martín S, Rivero MJ, Ortiz I. Unravelling the mechanisms that drive the performance of photocatalytic hydrogen production. Catalysts. 2020; 10(8):901.
- Barakat NA, Taha A, Motlak M, Nassar MM, Mahmoud M, Al-Deyab SS, et al. ZnO&Fe2O3-incoportaed TiO2 nanofibers as super effective photocatalyst for water splitting under visible light radiation. Appl Catal, A. 2014; 481:19–26.
- Rupa AV, Divakar D, Sivakumar T. Titania and noble metals deposited titania catalysts in the photodegradation of tartazine. Catal Lett. 2009; 132(1–2):259–67.
- Chuangchote S, Jitputti J, Sagawa T, Yoshikawa S, interfaces. Photocatalytic activity for hydrogen evolution of electrospun TiO2 nanofibers. ACS Appl Mater Interfaces. 2009; 1(5):1140–3. https://doi.org/ 10.1021/am9001474 PMID: 20355902
- Barakat NA, El-Newehy MH, Yasin AS, Ghouri ZK, Al-Deyab SS. Ni&Mn nanoparticles-decorated carbon nanofibers as effective electrocatalyst for urea oxidation. Appl Catal, A. 2016; 510:180–8.
- 39. Mahfouz RM, Ahmed GAW, Al-Rashidi T. Effect of surfactant-free addition and γ-irradiation on the synthesis of CdO quantum dots by thermal decomposition of γ-irradiated anhydrous cadmium acetate. Cogent Chemistry. 2016; 2(1):1215233. https://doi.org/10.1080/23312009.2016.1215233
- Tadjarodi A, Imani M, Kerdari H. Application of a facile solid-state process to synthesize the CdO spherical nanoparticles. International Nano Letters. 2013; 3(1):43. https://doi.org/10.1186/2228-5326-3-43
- Yu J, Xiang Q, Zhou M. Preparation, characterization and visible-light-driven photocatalytic activity of Fe-doped titania nanorods and first-principles study for electronic structures. Applied Catalysis B: Environmental. 2009; 90(3–4):595–602.
- 42. Bersani D, Lottici P, Canali M, Montenero A, Gnappi G. Sol-gel preparation and Raman characterization of CdTiO3. J Sol-Gel Sci Technol. 1997; 8(1):337–42.
- **43.** Schodek DL, Ferreira P, Ashby MF. Nanomaterials, nanotechnologies and design: an introduction for engineers and architects: Butterworth-Heinemann; 2009.

- 44. Bady M. Towards Sustainable Power Generation Using Solar Chimney. Open Access Library Journal. 2015; 2(4):9.
- Yu J, Qi L, Jaroniec M. Hydrogen Production by Photocatalytic Water Splitting over Pt/TiO2 Nanosheets with Exposed (001) Facets. J Phys Chem C. 2010; 114(30):13118–25. https://doi.org/10.1021/ jp104488b
- Xiang Q, Yu J, Jaroniec M. Enhanced photocatalytic H 2-production activity of graphene-modified titania nanosheets. Nanoscale. 2011; 3(9):3670–8.
- **47.** Zhang Y. Structural evolution from TiO₂ nanoparticles to nanosheets and their photocatalytic performance in hydrogen generation and environmental pollution removal. RSC advances. 2014; v. 4(no. 31): pp. 16146-52-2014 v.4 no.31.
- Zhu Z, Kao C-T, Tang B-H, Chang W-C, Wu R-J. Efficient hydrogen production by photocatalytic watersplitting using Pt-doped TiO2 hollow spheres under visible light. Ceram Int. 2016; 42(6):6749–54. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ceramint.2016.01.047
- **49.** Xie M-Y, Su K-Y, Peng X-Y, Wu R-J, Chavali M, Chang W-C. Hydrogen production by photocatalytic water-splitting on Pt-doped TiO2–ZnO under visible light. Journal of the Taiwan Institute of Chemical Engineers. 2017; 70:161–7. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jtice.2016.10.034.
- Atrees MS, Ebraheim EE, Ali MEM, Khawassek YM, Mahmoud MS, Almutairi MM. Synergetic effect of metal-doped GO and TiO2 on enhancing visible-light-driven photocatalytic hydrogen production from water splitting. Energy Sources, Part A: Recovery, Utilization, and Environmental Effects. 2019:1–11. https://doi.org/10.1080/15567036.2019.1629130
- Barakat NAM, Erfan NA, Mohammed AA, Mohamed SEI. Ag-decorated TiO2 nanofibers as Arrhenius equation-incompatible and effective photocatalyst for water splitting under visible light irradiation. Colloids Surf A Physicochem Eng Asp. 2020;125307. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.colsurfa.2020.125307.
- Anwer H, Lee H, Kim H-R, Kim H-K, Park J-W. Selective transport and separation of charge–carriers by an electron transport layer in NiCo2S4/CdO@CC for excellent water splitting. Appl Catal, B. 2020; 265:118564. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcatb.2019.118564.
- 53. Xia Y, Campbell D. Plasmons: why should we care? J Chem Educ. 2007; 84(1):91.
- Ng B-J, Putri LK, Kong XY, Teh YW, Pasbakhsh P, Chai S-P. Z-Scheme Photocatalytic Systems for Solar Water Splitting. Advanced Science. 2020; 7(7):1903171. https://doi.org/10.1002/advs.201903171 PMID: 32274312
- 55. Kanemoto M, Hosokawa H, Wada Y, Murakoshi K, Yanagida S, Sakata T, et al. Semiconductor photocatalysis. Part 20.—Role of surface in the photoreduction of carbon dioxide catalysed by colloidal ZnS nanocrystallites in organic solvent. J Chem Soc, Faraday Trans. 1996; 92(13):2401–11.
- 56. Yanagida S, Yoshiya M, Shiragami T, Pac C, Mori H, Fujita H. Semiconductor photocatalysis. I. Quantitative photoreduction of aliphatic ketones to alcohols using defect-free zinc sulfide quantum crystallites. J Phys Chem. 1990; 94(7):3104–11.
- 57. Miller J. Controlling charge separation through effects of energy, distance and molecular structure on electron transfer rates. Argonne National Lab., IL (USA), 1986.
- Mayén-Hernández S, Santos-Cruz J, Torres-Delgado G, Castanedo-Pérez R, Márquez-Marín J, Mendoza-Alvarez J, et al. CdTiO3 thin films prepared by sol–gel method using a simpler route. Surf Coat Technol. 2006; 200(11):3567–72.
- 59. Morrison SR, Morrison S. Electrochemistry at semiconductor and oxidized metal electrodes: Springer; 1980.
- Mousavi M, Habibi-Yangjeh A, Abitorabi M. Fabrication of novel magnetically separable nanocomposites using graphitic carbon nitride, silver phosphate and silver chloride and their applications in photocatalytic removal of different pollutants using visible-light irradiation. J Colloid Interface Sci. 2016; 480:218–31. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcis.2016.07.021 PMID: 27442149