Cell rotation using optoelectronic tweezers

Yuan-Li Liang,¹ Yuan-Peng Huang,² Yen-Sheng Lu,¹ Max T. Hou,³ and J. Andrew Yeh^{1,2,a)}

¹Institute of Electronics Engineering, National Tsing Hua University, Hsinchu 30013, Taiwan

²Institute of Nanoengineering and Microsystems, National Tsing Hua University, Hsinchu 30013, Taiwan

³Department of Mechanical Engineering, National United University, Miaoli 36003, Taiwan

(Received 31 July 2010; accepted 11 September 2010; published online 30 December 2010)

A cell rotation method by using optoelectronic tweezers (OET) is reported. The binary image of a typical OET device, whose light and dark sides act as two sets of parallel plates with different ac voltages, was used to create a rotating electric field. Its feasibility for application to electrorotation of cells was demonstrated by rotating Ramos and yeast cells in their pitch axes. The electrorotation by using OET devices is dependent on the medium and cells' electrical properties, the cells' positions, and the OET device's geometrical dimension, as well as the frequency of the electric field. © 2010 American Institute of Physics. [doi:10.1063/1.3496357]

I. INTRODUCTION

In the last few decades, the laboratory methods have been continuously updated by many researches and developments in order to manipulate cells. Recently, optoelectronic tweezers (OETs, also known as optically induced dielectrophoresis) have shown the ability to parallel manipulate a large number of microparticles and living cells.¹ In typical OET devices with positive dielectrophoresis (DEP),^{1–9} the cell is pulled toward and trapped in an area of high electric field gradient. When the illuminated spot moves, the cell follows it. The gradient force required in OET is mostly generated by DEP mechanism. The light used in such system is only to induce DEP forces. Hence, OET requires much less optical intensities than optical tweezers⁷ does to trap micrometer-sized cells, which might be damaged by a high optical power intensity.

To acquire more manipulation functions of OET devices, various engineering attempts have been made. However, most OETs are still operated to achieve linear cell transportation, which occurs in an abruptly (stepped) changing electric field. For example, two linear-segment virtual electrodes with two different projected lights have been used to continuously separate particles with different sizes.⁸ The separation is achieved by a selective transportation between larger and smaller particles. Recently, a grayscale light image was used to pattern vertical electric field strength on an OET to align cells in vertical direction.⁶ While the alignment can only be categorized as an electro-orientation method, which uses a gradually changing field. Using OET to perform electrorotation, which requires a rotating electric field, is still not found.

In this work, we reveal the electrorotation of cells about their pitch axes performed by OET, which innately contains rotating electric fields. A simplified model has been derived to display that the voltages across the illuminated and unilluminated media have a phase difference. Then, the OET device can be modeled as two sets of parallel plates with different ac voltages. The numerical simulations have been made to show that the rotating electric fields exist in the area around the

^{a)}Author to whom correspondence should be addressed. Electronic mail: jayeh@pme.nthu.edu.tw.



FIG. 1. (a) The structure and (b) the corresponding circuit model of a typical OET device.

border between the illuminated and unilluminated areas. Based on DEP theories, parameters that affect the electrorotation in OET were analyzed. To demonstrate the application of this technology, we manipulated Ramos and yeast cells.

II. THEORY

A. OET devices

The schematic configuration of a typical setup of the OET is shown in Fig. 1(a). The work presented herein focuses on the boundary between illuminated and unilluminated areas. The photoconductive (bottom) layer of the OET device is made of an indium-tin-oxide (ITO)-coated glass substrate and an amorphous silicon (a-Si) photoconductive layer. The photoconductive layer and the ground (top) layer sandwiches a cell-containing solution between them. The upper and lower surfaces are separated by a spacer to form a gap (in this study, 100 μ m). An ac bias across the device is applied on the top and bottom ITO layers. Finally, the binary image is projected by a projector onto the bottom layer to form an OET device. As the binary image is created, the cell near the boundary would be pulled toward and then stop at the illuminated area, as shown in Fig. 1(a). Based on the phenomenon, transportation, separation, and even electro-orientation of cells were achieved.¹⁻⁹ In the following subsections, another phenomenon (the rotating electric fields), which innately exists near the boundary, will be modeled and simulated. Then, its application in electrorotation of cells [see Fig. 1(a)] will be analyzed.

B. Modeling

The OET device could be considered as the combination of two sheet capacitors in parallel, C_1 and C_2 , in series with resistors, R_1 and R_2 , as shown in Fig. 1(b). The sheets can be considered as "virtual electrodes." Traditional DEP systems use microfabricated electrodes to build the nonuniform electrical field that causes DEP force. OET devices use virtual electrodes to create the similar field, then, DEP force.^{1,9} Assuming that the impedance of conjunction between the illuminated and unilluminated regions in the a-Si layers is very large indicates no current flows across the boundary. Comparing R_1 and R_2 , the resistance existing in the liquid medium is small and can be neglected for simplicity. In Fig. 1(b), when the applied voltage is $A \cdot \sin(\omega t)$, the ac voltages across capacitors C_1 and C_2 , i.e., V_{C1} and V_{C2} , can be determined as follows:

$$V_{C1} = AR \cdot \frac{\sqrt{\alpha_1^2 + \beta_1^2}}{T} \angle \left(\gamma + \frac{\beta_1}{\alpha_1}\right),\tag{1}$$

$$V_{C1} = AR \cdot \frac{\sqrt{\alpha_2^2 + \beta_2^2}}{T} \angle \left(\gamma + \frac{\beta_2}{\alpha_2}\right),\tag{2}$$

where *R* is the inverse of the total effective resistance and γ is its phase shift, α_1 , α_2 , β_1 , β_2 , and *T* are the functions of R_1 , R_2 , and ω . Please refer to the Appendix for the detailed expressions for *R*, γ , α_1 , α_2 , β_1 , β_2 , and *T*.

C. Rotating fields

The foregoing two ac voltages are of the same amplitude and frequency but out of phase. For example, if the applied ac voltage is 4 V and its frequency is 1 kHz, the V_{C1} , V_{C2} , and their phase difference can be calculated as 7.4 V, 5.5 V, and 50° by using Eqs. (1) and (2). Applying these two voltages onto the OET device, the electric field \vec{E} in the sandwiched solution was predicted by using the software COMSOL. In the simulation model, as shown in Fig. 2, the gap between the top and bottom sheets is 100 μ m. The top sheet is always grounded. V_{C1} and V_{C2} were respectively applied on the left and right sides of the bottom sheet, which was isolated by a tiny gap in the middle. The cell-containing solution is assumed to be de-ionized water. Then, V_{C1} and V_{C2} with a series of phase angles, i.e., (a) 0°, (b) 90°, (c) 180°, and (d) 270°, were applied, and the model was simulated to observe the variation of the electric fields around the boundary in a period.

The results demonstrate that the field that exists nearby the boundary between the illuminated and unilluminated regions is rotating. As shown in Fig. 2, two areas with a diameter of 10 μ m (similar to the diameter of a cell), A_1 and A_2 , are circled, and the fields in the areas are compared. In area A_1 , the fields are continuously rotating, while in area A_2 , the fields are only switching. Namely, in OET devices, the creation of a virtual electrode upon localized illumination inherently results in the rotating electric fields around the boundaries of virtual electrodes. Using this rotating electric field, cells can be continuously rotated.

D. Electrorotation of cells

The interior of biological cells behaving like single-shell spheres can be well approximated by a homogeneous medium. Subjected to an electric field \vec{E} , an polarization \vec{P} would be induced in a cell.¹⁰ The polarization tends to align with the field. When the electric field \vec{E} rotates, the polarization \vec{P} follows it, so does the cell. Moreover, the cell, as shown in Fig. 1(a), undergoes a rotational torque due to the electrorotation mechanism,¹¹ given by

$$\bar{\Gamma} = 4\pi r^3 \varepsilon_m \cdot \operatorname{Im}[K(\omega)] \cdot E_{\mathrm{rms}}^2 \cdot \hat{j}, \qquad (3)$$

where r is the cell radius, ε_m is the permittivity of the media surrounding the cell, $K(\omega)$ is the Clausius–Mossotti factor, $E_{\rm rms}$ is the root-mean-square value of the electric field \vec{E} , and \hat{j} denotes



FIG. 2. The electric field distribution varies in the sandwiched medium through a series of phase angles, i.e., (a) 0° , (b) 90° , (c) 180° , and (d) 270° . The rotating fields can be observed in the middle portion which is around the illuminated boundary. The size of the arrow represents the amplitude of the electric field.

the unit vector of the pitch axis. Equation (3) is affected by the complex permittivity of the cell, $\varepsilon_p^{*,11}$ because

$$K(\omega) = \frac{\varepsilon_p^* - \varepsilon_m^*}{\varepsilon_p^* + 2\varepsilon_m^*}.$$
(4)

Namely, the rotation mechanism is dependent on the electrical properties of the cells. Besides, the magnitude of $\text{Im}[K(\omega)]$ varies with frequency.

Assumed under constant angular velocity, Ω , of a cell, the rotational torque, $\vec{\Gamma}$, is balanced by the oppositely directed torque arising from the fluidic frictional torque, $\vec{\Gamma}_f$, given by

$$\vec{\Gamma}_f = 8\pi\eta\Omega r^3 \cdot \hat{j},\tag{5}$$

where η is the viscosity of the medium.¹¹

In equilibrium,



FIG. 3. Electrorotation of a Ramos cell about its pitch axis.

$$\vec{\Gamma} \cdot \vec{\Gamma}_f = 0. \tag{6}$$

Substitute Eqs. (3) and (5) into Eq. (6), yielding the rotational velocity Ω

$$\Omega = -\frac{\varepsilon_m}{2\mu} \cdot \operatorname{Im}[K(\omega)] \cdot E_{\mathrm{rms}}^2.$$
⁽⁷⁾

Equation (7) indicates that the cell rotational velocity Ω is the function of the electric field frequency ω . The rotational spectrum for a homogeneous sphere has a single peak at the Maxwell–Wagner frequency.¹²

Based on the foregoing discussions, the rotating velocity is dependent on the medium and cells' electrical properties, the cells' positions, the OET device's geometrical dimension, as well as the frequency of the electric field.

III. RESULTS

For qualitative verification, various cells have been tested. Figure 3 illustrates the electrorotation of a Ramos cell in its pitch axis. Take an occasional spot on the cell surface as the reference point, it shows that the cell rotates along the axis which is perpendicular to the moving direction. Other kinds of cells, e.g., yeast cells, have the same test results, as shown in Fig. 4.

Besides, an electric signal of 5 V at 10 kHz was applied to an OET device, which contains yeast cells. A circular area with a radius of 50 μ m was illuminated. The rotation speeds at different positions (position=0 μ m indicating the illumination boundary) were observed, as shown in Fig. 5. The theoretical prediction agrees well with the experimental results. The yeast



FIG. 4. Electrorotation of yeast cells using OET. Every cell and cell cluster was rotating about its pitch axis, e.g., the cell cluster pointed by the arrow (enhanced online). [URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.3496357.1]



FIG. 5. The experimental and simulated results of the electrorotation of a yeast cell in an OET device.

cell at the illumination boundary has the largest rotating speed, whereas at the position far enough away from the illumination boundary (in this case, position=150 μ m), it has no rotating speed.

The most significant differences between electrorotations induced by DEP and OET devices are listed as follows. First, cells rotate about their yaw axes in most DEP devices, but about their pitch axes in OET devices, due to the typical configurations of both devices. Second, depending on electrodes layout and operation method, transportation and electrorotation of cells in DEP devices can be integrated or isolated. In OET devices, because the rotating electric fields exist nearby the illumination boundary where the highest electric field gradient also exists, electrorotation of cells always couple with transportation. However, electrorotation of a cell can be easily confined in a well; as long as the well can stop the moving of the cell, as shown in Fig. 6. Third, OET devices are more flexible than DEP devices due to the feature of virtual electrodes. These three differences mean different applications can be developed by using OET induced electrorotation.

IV. CONCLUSION

This work demonstrates the phenomenon of rotating electric field in OET devices and electrorotation of cells in the pitch axis due to the light-induced dielectrophoresis (LIDEP) effect. A simplified model has been proposed to illustrate the configuration of virtual electrodes which is able to create rotating electric fields. Simulations were performed to verify the generation of rotating electric fields. Ramos and yeast cells were rotated by using the proposed method about their pitch axes. The results show that the electrorotation of cells by using OET devices is very promising for a wide variety of cell manipulation applications.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was partly supported by the National Science Council in Taiwan through Project Nos. NSC 98-3011-P-007-001 and NSC 97-3114-E-007-002.



FIG. 6. Electrorotation of a Ramos cell in a well using OET (enhanced online). [URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/ 1.3496357.2]

APPENDIX: DETAILS OF PARAMETER EXPRESSIONS

The detailed expressions for R, γ , α_1 , α_2 , β_1 , β_2 , and T are given in this section. These components are derived by analyzing the circuit in Fig. 1(b). The following expressions can be used to calculate the effective ac voltages, V_{C1} and V_{C2} , by substituting into Eqs. (1) and (2):

$$R = \frac{\sqrt{(X_1Y_1 + \omega^2 X_2 Y_2)^2 + \omega^2 (X_2 Y_1 - X_1 Y_2)^2}}{Y_1^2 + Y_2^2},$$
(A1)

$$\gamma = \frac{\omega(X_2Y_1 - X_1Y_2)}{(X_1Y_1 + \omega^2 X_2Y_2)},$$
(A2)

where

$$\begin{aligned} X_1 &= -\omega^2 (R_1 + R_2) C_1 C_2, \\ X_2 &= C_1 + C_2, \\ Y_1 &= 1 - R_1 R_2 C_1 C_2 \omega^2, \\ Y_2 &= R_1 C_1 + R_2 C_2. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_1 &= -\omega^2 C_1 C_2 (R_1 + R_2) + \omega^2 R_2 C_2 (C_1 + C_2), \end{aligned} \tag{A3}$$

$$\alpha_2 = -\omega^2 C_1 C_2 (R_1 + R_2) + \omega^2 R_1 C_1 (C_1 + C_2), \tag{A4}$$

$$\beta_1 = -\omega^3 R_2 C_1 C_2^2 (R_1 + R_2) - \omega (C_1 + C_2), \tag{A5}$$

$$\beta_2 = -\omega^3 R_1 C_1^2 C_2 (R_1 + R_2) - \omega (C_1 + C_2), \qquad (A6)$$

$$T = [\omega^2 C_1 C_2 (R_1 + R_2)]^2 + [\omega^2 (C_1 + C_2)^2].$$
(A7)

043003-8 Liang et al.

- ¹P. Y. Chiou, A. T. Ohta, and M. C. Wu, Nature (London) **436**, 370 (2005). ²Y. S. Lu, J. Y. Huang, J. A. Yeh, and C. Lee, Opt. Quantum Electron. **37**, 1385 (2005). ³J. Y. Huang, Y. S. Lu, and J. A. Yeh, Opt. Express **14**, 10779 (2006).
- ⁴W. Choi, S. H. Kim, J. Jang, and J. K. Park, Microfluid. Nanofluid. 3, 217 (2007).
- ⁵ A. Jamshidi, P. J. Pauzauskie, P. J. Schuck, A. T. Ohta, P.-Y. Chiou, J. Chou, P. Yang, and M. C. Wu, Nat. Photonics 2, 86 (2008).
- ⁶W. Choi, S. W. Nam, H. Hwang, S. Park, and J. K. Park, Appl. Phys. Lett. **93**, 143901 (2008).
- ⁷ A. Ashkin, J. M. Dziedzic, J. E. Bjorkholm, and S. Chu, Opt. Lett. **11**, 288 (1986). ⁸ W. Y. Lin, Y. H. Lin, and G. B. Lee, Microfluid. Nanofluid. **8**, 217 (2010).
- ⁹A. T. Ohta, P. Y. Chiou, T. H. Han, J. C. Liao, U. Bhardwaj, E. R. B. McCabe, F. Yu, R. Sun, and M. C. Wu, J. ¹⁰ E. G. Cen, C. Dalton, Y. Li, S. Adamia, L. M. Pilarski, and K. V. I. S. Kaler, J. Microbiol. Methods 58, 387 (2004).
 ¹¹ T. B. Jones, *Electromechanics of Particles* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995).

- ¹²H. A. Pohl, *Dielectrophoresis* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1978).