Certain Class of Analytic Functions Defined by Ruscheweyh Derivative with Varying Arguments

RABHA MOHAMED EL-ASHWAH

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Damietta University, New Damietta 34517, Egypt

 $e ext{-}mail: r_elashwah@yahoo.com}$

Mohamed Kamal Aouf

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Mansoura University, Mansoura 33516, Egypt

 $e ext{-}mail: {\tt mkaouf127@yahoo.com}$

AHMED HASSAN AND ALAA HASSAN*

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Zagazig University, Zagazig 44519, Egypt

 $e ext{-}mail: aam_hassan@yahoo.com, alaahassan1986@yahoo.com$

ABSTRACT. In this paper we derive some results for certain new class of analytic functions defined by using Ruscheweyh derivative with varying arguments.

1. Introduction

Let \mathcal{A} denote the class of functions of the form:

(1.1)
$$f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k,$$

which are analytic and univalent in the open unit disc $U = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$. Given

Received September 17, 2012; accepted April 22, 2013.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: $30{\rm C}45.$

Keywords and Phrases: analytic functions, univalent, Hadamard product, Ruscheweyh derivative, extreme points.

^{*} Corresponding Author.

two functions $f, g \in \mathcal{A}$, where f(z) is given by (1.1) and g(z) is given by

(1.2)
$$g(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} b_k z^k,$$

the Hadamard product (f * g)(z) is defined by

(1.3)
$$(f * g)(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k b_k z^k = (g * f)(z).$$

By using the Hadamard product, Ruscheweyh [7] defined

(1.4)
$$D^{\gamma} f(z) = \frac{z}{(1-z)^{\gamma+1}} * f(z) \quad (\gamma \ge -1).$$

Also Ruscheweyh [7] observed that

(1.5)
$$D^n f(z) = \frac{z(z^{n-1} f(z))^{(n)}}{n!} \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}; \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, ...\}).$$

The symbol $D^n f(z)$ $(n \in \mathbb{N}_0)$ was called the n-th order Ruscheweyh derivative of f(z) by Al-Amiri [1]. We note that

$$D^{0}f(z) = f(z)$$
 and $D^{1}f(z) = zf'(z)$.

It is easy to see that

(1.6)
$$D^n f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \delta(n, k) a_k z^k,$$

where

(1.7)
$$\delta(n,k) = \binom{n+k-1}{n}.$$

In [4] Attiya and Aouf defined the class $Q(n, \lambda, A, B)$ as follows:

Definition 1.([4]) Let $Q(n, \lambda, A, B)$ denote the subclass of A consisting of functions f(z) of the form (1.1) such that

(1.8)
$$(1 - \lambda) (D^n f(z))' + \lambda (D^{n+1} f(z))' \prec \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz}$$

$$(\lambda \ge 0; -1 \le A < B \le 1; 0 < B \le 1; n \in \mathbb{N}_0; z \in U).$$

Specializing the parameters $\lambda,\,A,\,B$ and n, we can obtained different classes studied by various authors:

- (i) $Q(0, \lambda, 2\alpha 1, 1) = R(\lambda, \alpha)$ $(0 \le \alpha < 1, \lambda \ge 0)$ (see Altintas [3])
- (ii) $Q(0,0,2\alpha-1,1)=T^{**}(\alpha)$ ($0 \le \alpha < 1$) (see Sarangi and Uralegaddi [8] and Al-Amiri [2])
- (iii) $Q(n,0,2\alpha-1,1) = Q_n(\alpha) \ (0 \le \alpha < 1, n \in \mathbb{N}_0)$ (see Uralegaddi and Sarangi [11])
- (iv) $Q(0,0,(2\alpha-1)\beta,\beta) = P^*(\alpha,\beta) \ (0 \le \alpha < 1,0 < \beta \le 1)$ (see Gupta and Jain[5])
- (v) $Q(0,0,((1+\mu)\alpha-1)\beta,\mu\beta) = P^*(\alpha,\beta,\mu) \ (0 \le \alpha < 1, 0 < \beta \le 1, 0 < \mu \le 1)$ (see Owa and Aouf [6]).

Also we note that:

(i)
$$Q(0,\lambda,A,B)=R(\lambda,A,B)$$
 (1.9)

$$= \left\{ f(z) \in \mathcal{A} : f^{'}(z) + \lambda z f''(z) \prec \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz} \quad (\lambda \geq 0; -1 \leq A < B \leq 1; 0 < B \leq 1; z \in U) \right\}$$

(ii)
$$Q(n,0,A,B)=Q_n(A,B)=$$
 (1.10)

$$\left\{ f(z) \in \mathcal{A} : (D^n f(z))' \prec \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz} \quad (\lambda \ge 0; -1 \le A < B \le 1; 0 < B \le 1; n \in \mathbb{N}_0; z \in U) \right\}$$

Silverman [9] defined the class of univalent functions $f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k$ for which $arg(a_k)$ prescribed in such a way that f(z) is univalent if and only if f(z) is starlike as follows:

Definition 2.([9]) A function f(z) of the form (1.1) is said to be in the class $V(\theta_k)$ if $f \in \mathcal{A}$ and $arg(a_k) = \theta_k$ for all $k \geq 2$. If further more there exist a real number δ such that $\theta_k + (k-1)\delta \equiv \pi \pmod{2\pi} \ (k \geq 2)$, then f(z) is said to be in the class $V(\theta_k, \delta)$. The union of $V(\theta_k, \delta)$ taken over all possible sequences $\{\theta_k\}$ and all possible real numbers δ is denoted by V.

Let $VQ(n,\lambda,A,B)$ denote the subclass of V consisting of functions $f(z) \in Q(n,\lambda,A,B)$.

We note that $VQ(0,0,2\alpha-1,1)=C_{\alpha}$ $(0 \leq \alpha < 1)=\left\{f \in V : \mathbf{Re}\{f'(z)\} > \alpha\right\}$, studied by Srivastava and Owa [10].

Also we note that by specializing the parameters λ , A, B and n we can obtain different classes with varying arguments:

(i)
$$VQ(0, \lambda, 2\alpha - 1, 1) = VR(\lambda, \alpha) \ (0 \le \alpha < 1, \lambda \ge 0)$$

(ii)
$$VQ(0,0,2\alpha-1,1) = VT^{**}(\alpha) \ (0 \le \alpha < 1)$$

(iii)
$$VQ(n, 0, 2\alpha - 1, 1) = VQ_n(\alpha) \ (0 < \alpha < 1, n \in \mathbb{N}_0)$$

(iv)
$$VQ(0, 0, (2\alpha - 1)\beta, \beta) = VP^*(\alpha, \beta) \ (0 \le \alpha < 1, 0 < \beta \le 1)$$

(v)
$$VQ(0,0,((1+\mu)\alpha-1)\beta,\mu\beta) = VP^*(\alpha,\beta,\mu) \ (0 \le \alpha < 1,0 < \beta \le 1,0 < \mu \le 1)$$

(vi)
$$VQ(0, \lambda, A, B) = VR(\lambda, A, B) \ (\lambda \ge 0, -1 \le A < B \le 1, 0 < B \le 1)$$

(vii)
$$VQ(n,0,A,B)=VQ_n(A,B) \ (-1 \le A < B \le 1, 0 < B \le 1, n \in \mathbb{N}_0)$$

In this paper we obtain coefficient bounds for functions in the class $VQ(n, \lambda, A, B)$, further we obtain distortion bounds and the extreme points for functions in this class

2. Coefficient Estimates

Unless otherwise mentioned, we assume in the reminder of this paper that, $\lambda \geq 0, -1 \leq A < B \leq 1, 0 < B \leq 1, n \in \mathbb{N}_0, \delta(n, k)$ and C_k are given by (1.7) and (2.2) respectively and $z \in U$.

Theorem 1. Let the function f(z) defined by (1.1) be in V. Then $f(z) \in VQ(n, \lambda, A, B)$, if and only if

(2.1)
$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k \delta(n,k) C_k |a_k| \le (B-A) (n+1),$$

where

(2.2)
$$C_k = (1+B)[n+1+\lambda(k-1)].$$

Proof. Suppose that $f(z) \in VQ(n, \lambda, A, B)$. Then

(2.3)
$$h(z) = (1 - \lambda) \left(D^n f(z) \right)' + \lambda \left(D^{n+1} f(z) \right)' = \frac{1 + Aw(z)}{1 + Bw(z)}$$

where

$$w \in H = \{ w \ analytic, \ w(0) = 0 \ and \ |w(z)| < 1, \ z \in U \}.$$

Thus we get

$$w(z) = \frac{1 - h(z)}{Bh(z) - A}.$$

Therefore

$$h(z) = 1 + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{k[n+1+\lambda(k-1)]\delta(n,k)}{n+1} a_k z^{k-1},$$

and |w(z)| < 1 implies

$$\left| \frac{\sum\limits_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{k[n+1+\lambda(k-1)]\delta(n,k)}{n+1} a_k z^{k-1}}{(B-A)+B\sum\limits_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{k[n+1+\lambda(k-1)]\delta(n,k)}{n+1} a_k z^{k-1}} \right| < 1.$$

Since $f(z) \in V$, f(z) lies in the class $V(\theta_k, \delta)$ for some sequence $\{\theta_k\}$ and a real number δ such that

$$\theta_k + (k-1)\delta \equiv \pi \pmod{2\pi} \quad (k \ge 2)$$
.

Set $z = re^{i\delta}$ in (2.4), we get

(2.5)
$$\left| \frac{\sum\limits_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{k[n+1+\lambda(k-1)]\delta(n,k)}{n+1} |a_k| r^{k-1}}{(B-A)-B\sum\limits_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{k[n+1+\lambda(k-1)]\delta(n,k)}{n+1} |a_k| r^{k-1}} \right| < 1.$$

Since $\operatorname{\mathbf{Re}} \{w(z)\} < |w(z)| < 1$, we have

$$(2.6) \qquad \mathbf{Re} \left\{ \frac{\sum\limits_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{k[n+1+\lambda(k-1)]\delta(n,k)}{n+1} \left| a_{k} \right| r^{k-1}}{(B-A)-B\sum\limits_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{k[n+1+\lambda(k-1)]\delta(n,k)}{n+1} \left| a_{k} \right| r^{k-1}}{n+1} \right\} < 1.$$

Hence

(2.7)
$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} kC_k \delta(n,k) |a_k| r^{k-1} \le (B-A) (n+1).$$

Letting $r \longrightarrow 1$ in (2.7), we get (2.1).

Conversely, $f(z) \in V$ and satisfies (2.1). Since $r^{k-1} < 1$. So we have

$$\begin{split} \left| \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{k[n+1+\lambda(k-1)]\delta(n,k)}{n+1} \left| a_k \right| z^{k-1} \right| &\leq \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{k[n+1+\lambda(k-1)]\delta(n,k)}{n+1} \left| a_k \right| r^{k-1} \\ &\leq \left(B-A \right) - B \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{k[n+1+\lambda(k-1)]\delta(n,k)}{n+1} \left| a_k \right| r^{k-1} \\ &\leq \left| \left(B-A \right) - B \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{k[n+1+\lambda(k-1)]\delta(n,k)}{n+1} a_k z^{k-1} \right| \\ &\leq \left| \left(B-A \right) + B \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{k[n+1+\lambda(k-1)]\delta(n,k)}{n+1} a_k z^{k-1} \right| \end{split}$$

which gives (2.4) and hence follows that

$$(1 - \lambda) (D^n f(z))' + \lambda (D^{n+1} f(z))' = \frac{1 + Aw(z)}{1 + Bw(z)}$$

that is $f(z) \in VQ(n, \lambda, A, B)$. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

Corollary 1. Let the function f(z) defined by (1.1) be in the class $VQ(n, \lambda, A, B)$. Then

$$|a_k| \le \frac{(B-A)(n+1)}{kC_k\delta(n,k)} \ (k \ge 2).$$

The result (2.1) is sharp for the function f(z) defined by

(2.8)
$$f(z) = z + \frac{(B-A)(n+1)}{kC_k\delta(n,k)}e^{i\theta_k}z^k \ (k \ge 2).$$

3. Distortion Theorems

Theorem 2. Let the function f(z) defined by (1.1) be in the class $VQ(n, \lambda, A, B)$. Then

(3.1)
$$|z| - \frac{B-A}{C_2} |z|^2 \le |f(z)| \le |z| + \frac{B-A}{C_2} |z|^2.$$

The result is sharp.

Proof. We employ the same technique as used by Silverman [9]. In view of Theorem 1, since

$$(3.2) \Phi(k) = C_k \delta(n, k),$$

is an increasing function of $k(k \ge 2)$, we have

$$\Phi(2) \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} |a_k| \le \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \Phi(k) |a_k| \le (B-A) (n+1),$$

that is

(3.3)
$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} |a_k| \le \frac{(B-A)(n+1)}{\Phi(2)} = \frac{(B-A)}{2C_2}.$$

Thus we have

$$|f(z)| \le |z| + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} |a_k| |z|^k \le |z| + |z|^2 \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} |a_k|,$$

Thus

$$|f(z)| \le |z| + \frac{(B-A)}{2C_2} |z|^2$$
.

Similarly, we get

$$|f(z)| \ge |z| - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} |a_k| |z|^k \ge |z| - |z|^2 \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} |a_k|.$$

Thus

$$|f(z)| \ge |z| - \frac{(B-A)}{2C_2} |z|^2$$
.

This completes the proof of Theorem 2. Finally the result is sharp for the function

(3.4)
$$f(z) = z + \frac{(B-A)}{2C_2}e^{i\theta_2}z^2,$$

at $z = \pm |z| e^{-i\theta_2}$

Corollary 2. Under the hypotheses of Theorem 2, f(z) is included in a disc with center at the origin and radius r_1 given by

(3.5)
$$r_1 = 1 + \frac{(B-A)}{2C_2}.$$

Theorem 3. Let the function f(z) defined by (1.1) be in the class $VQ(n, \lambda, A, B)$. Then

(3.6)
$$1 - \frac{(B-A)}{C_2} |z| \le |f'(z)| \le 1 + \frac{(B-A)}{C_2} |z|.$$

The result is sharp.

Proof. Similarly $\frac{\Phi(k)}{k}$ is an increasing function of $k(k \geq 2)$, where $\Phi(k)$ is defined by (3.2). In view of Theorem 1, we have

$$\frac{\Phi(2)}{2} \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k |a_k| \le \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \Phi(k) |a_k| \le (B - A) (n + 1),$$

that is

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k |a_k| \le \frac{(B-A)}{\Phi(2)} = \frac{(B-A)}{C_2}.$$

Thus we have

(3.7)
$$\left| f'(z) \right| \le 1 + |z| \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k |a_k| \le 1 + \frac{(B-A)}{C_2} |z|.$$

Similarly

(3.8)
$$\left| f'(z) \right| \ge 1 - |z| \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k |a_k| \ge 1 - \frac{(B - A)}{C_2} |z|.$$

Finally, we can see that the assertions of Theorem 3 are sharp for the function f(z) defined by (3.4). This completes the proof of Theorem 3.

Corollary 3. Under the hypotheses of Theorem 3, f'(z) is included in a disc with center at the origin and radius r_2 given by

(3.9)
$$r_2 = 1 + \frac{(B-A)}{C_2}.$$

4. Extreme Points

Theorem 4. Let the function f(z) defined by (1.1) be in the class $VQ(n, \lambda, A, B)$, with arg $a_k = \theta_k$, where $\theta_k + (k-1)\delta \equiv \pi \pmod{2\pi}$ $(k \ge 2)$. Define

$$f_1(z) = z$$

and

$$f_k(z) = z + \frac{(B-A)(n+1)}{kC_k\delta(n,k)}e^{i\theta_k}z^k \ (k \ge 2; z \in U).$$

Then $f(z) \in VQ(n, \lambda, A, B)$ if and only if f(z) can expressed in the form $f(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu_k f_k(z)$, where $\mu_k \geq 0$ and $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu_k = 1$.

Proof. If
$$f(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu_k f_k(z)$$
 with $\mu_k \ge 0$ and $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu_k = 1$, then

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left[kC_k \delta(n,k) \right] \frac{(B-A)(n+1)}{kC_k \delta(n,k)} \mu_k = \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (B-A)(n+1) \mu_k$$
$$= (1-\mu_1)(B-A)(n+1) \le (B-A)(n+1).$$

Hence $f(z) \in VQ(n, \lambda, A, B)$.

Conversely, let the function f(z) defined by (1.1) be in the class $VQ(n, \lambda, A, B)$, define

$$\mu_k = \frac{kC_k\delta(n,k)}{\left(B-A\right)\left(n+1\right)}\left|a_k\right| \qquad \quad (k \ge 2)$$

and

$$\mu_1 = 1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \mu_k.$$

From Theorem 1, $\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \mu_k \leq 1$ and so $\mu_1 \geq 0$. Since $\mu_k f_k(z) = \mu_k z + a_k z^k$, then

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu_k f_k(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k = f(z).$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 4.

Remarks.

- (i) Putting $\lambda = n = 0$, $A = 2\alpha 1$ ($0 \le \alpha < 1$) and B = 1 in all the above results, we obtain the corresponding results obtained by Srivastava and Owa [10];
- (ii) Putting n = 0 in all the above results, we obtain the corresponding results for the class $VR(\lambda, A, B)$ of which $R(\lambda, A, B)$ is given by (1.9);
- (iii) Putting $\lambda = 0$ in all the above results, we obtain the corresponding results for the class $VQ_n(A, B)$ of which $Q_n(A, B)$ is given by (1.10).

References

- [1] H. S. Al-Amiri, On Ruscheweyh derivatives, Ann. Polon. Math., 38(1980), 87-94.
- [2] H. S. Al-Amiri, On a subclass of close-to-convex functions with negative coefficients, Math. (Cluj), 31(1989), 1-7.
- [3] O. Altintas, A subclass of analytic functions with negative coefficients, Hacettepe Bull. Natur. Sci. Engrg., 19(1990), 15-24.
- [4] A. A. Attiya and M. K. Aouf, A study on certain class of analytic functions defined by Ruscheweyh derivative, Soochow J. Math., 33(2)(2007), 273-289.
- [5] V. P. Gupta and P. K. Jain, Certain classes of univalent functions with negative coefficients II, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc., 15(1976), 467-473.
- [6] S. Owa and M. K. Aouf, On subclasses of univalent functions with negative coefficients II, Pure Appl. Math. Sci., 29(1:2)(1989), 131-139.
- [7] St. Ruscheweyh, New criteria for univalent functions, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 49(1975), 109-115.
- [8] S. M. Sarangi and B. A. Uralegaddi, The radius of convexity and starlikeness for certain classes of analytic functions with negative coefficients I, Rend. Acad. Naz. Lincei, 65(1978), 38-42.
- [9] H. Silverman, Univalent functions with varying arguments, Houston J. Math., 17(1981), 283-287.
- [10] H. M. Srivastava and S. Owa, Certain classes of analytic functions with varying arguments, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 136(1)(1988), 217-228.
- [11] B. A. Uralegaddi and S. M. Sarangi, Some classes of univalent functions with negative coefficients, An. Stiint. Univ. Al. I. Cuza Iasi Sect. I a Mat. (N. S.), **34**(1988), 7-11.