# CERTAIN SCHUR-HADAMARD MULTIPLIERS IN THE SPACES $C_{p}$ 

JONATHAN ARAZY

Abstract. Let $f$ be a continuously differentiable function on [ $-1,1$ ] satisfying $\left|f^{\prime}(t)\right| \leq C|t|^{\alpha}$ for some $0<C, \alpha<\infty$ and all $-1 \leq t \leq 1$, and let $\lambda=\left(\lambda_{i}\right) \in l_{r}$ satisfy $-1 \leq \lambda_{i} \leq 1$ for all $i$. Then

$$
a_{f, \lambda}=\left(\frac{f\left(\lambda_{i}\right)-f\left(\lambda_{j}\right)}{\lambda_{i}-\lambda_{j}}\right)
$$

is a Schur-Hadamard multiplier from $C_{p_{1}}$ into $C_{p_{2}}$ for all $p_{1}, p_{2}$ satisfying $1 \leq p_{2} \leq 2 \leq p_{1} \leq \infty$ and $p_{2}^{-1} \leq p_{1}^{-1}+\alpha / r$.

1. Introduction . Let $C_{\infty}$ be the space of all compact operators on $l_{2}$ with the operator norm. For $1 \leq p<\infty$ let $C_{p}$ be the Banach space of all $x \in C_{\infty}$ for which $\|x\|_{p}=\left(\operatorname{trace}\left(x^{*} x\right)^{p / 2}\right)^{1 / p}<\infty$. (See [9, Chapter III] for a detailed study of these spaces and related topics.) A matrix $a=(a(i, j))$ is said to be a Schur-Hadamard multiplier (or, briefly, a multiplier) from $C_{p_{1}}$ into $C_{p_{2}}$ if for every $b=(b(i, j))$ in $C_{p_{1}}$ the Schur-Hadamard product of $a$ and $b$, namely $a \circ b=(a(i, j) b(i, j))$, belongs to $C_{p_{2}}$. We denote by $M\left(C_{p_{1}}, C_{p_{2}}\right)$ the space of all multipliers from $C_{p_{1}}$ into $C_{p_{2}}$ with the norm

$$
\|a\|_{p_{1}, p_{2}}=\sup \left\{\|a \circ b\|_{p_{2}} ;\|b\|_{p_{1}} \leq 1\right\}
$$

In this note we study multipliers of the form

$$
a_{f, \lambda}=\left(a_{f, \lambda}(i, j)\right)
$$

where

$$
a_{f, \lambda}(i, j)= \begin{cases}\frac{f\left(\lambda_{i}\right)-f\left(\lambda_{j}\right)}{\lambda_{i}-\lambda_{j}}, & \lambda_{i} \neq \lambda_{j}  \tag{1.1}\\ f^{\prime}\left(\lambda_{i}\right), & \lambda_{i}=\lambda_{j}\end{cases}
$$

Here $f$ is a continuously differentiable function on $[-1,1]$ and $\lambda=\left(\lambda_{i}\right)$ is a real sequence with $-1 \leq \lambda_{i} \leq 1, i=1,2, \ldots$. Such multipliers are of great importance in perturbation theory of linear operators (see [1,3,5-8]). The multiplier $a_{f, \lambda}$ plays the role of the Gâteaux derivative of the operator map $x \mapsto f(x)$, evaluated at the diagonal matrix $d(\lambda)=\operatorname{diag}\left(\lambda_{i}\right)$, whenever the derivative exists.

In the papers [1-5] the authors study general multipliers from $C_{p}$ into itself (and from $B\left(l_{2}\right)$ into itself) under the name "Stieltjes Double Operator Integrals". In their applications to the multipliers $a_{f, \lambda}$ there is no restriction on $\lambda$ besides boundedness. As for $f$, boundedness of the derivative $f^{\prime}$ is clearly a necessary condition for the boundness of the multiplier $a_{f, \lambda}$ in $C_{p}$ for all $\lambda$, but if $p \neq 2$ it is not sufficient and
one has to impose more restrictive smoothness conditions on $f$. (The case $p=2$ is trivial. $C_{2}$, the Hilbert-Schmidt class, is a Hilbert space by itself and thus its multipliers are precisely the coordinate-wise bounded matrices.) A typical result is the following

Theorem 1.1 [1, TheOrem 5]. Let $f$ be so that $f^{\prime} \in \operatorname{Lip}_{\alpha}[-1,1]$ for some $\alpha>0$, and let $\lambda=\left(\lambda_{i}\right)$ satisfies $-1 \leq \lambda_{i} \leq 1$ for alli. Then $a_{f, \lambda} \in M\left(B\left(l_{2}\right), B\left(l_{2}\right)\right)$ and $a_{f, \lambda} \in M\left(C_{p}, C_{p}\right)$ for all $1 \leq p \leq \infty$.

We are interested here in the little different problem of membership of $a_{f, \lambda}$ in $M\left(C_{p_{1}}, C_{p_{2}}\right)$ for $p_{1} \neq p_{2}$, mainly for $p_{2} \leq 2 \leq p_{1}$. Roughly speaking, we require that $\lambda \in l_{r}$ for some $r<\infty$, and relax the $\operatorname{Lip}_{\alpha}$ condition on $f^{\prime}$ by requiring only that $\left|f^{\prime}(t)\right| \leq C|t|^{\alpha}$ for some $0<C<\infty$ and all $-1 \leq t \leq 1$, where the exponent $\alpha>0$ satisfies $1 / p_{2} \leq 1 / p_{1}+\alpha / r$. The condition $p_{2} \leq 2 \leq p_{1}$ allows us to factor $a_{f, \lambda}$ through $C_{2}$, and thus to reduce the study of the multipliers $a_{f, \lambda}$ to that of the multipliers $b_{\mu}=\left(\mu_{\min \{i, j\}}\right)$ where $\mu_{i}=\left|\lambda_{i}\right|^{\beta}$ for an appropriate $\beta$. Finally, using the triangular projection, we reduce the study of the multipliers $b_{\mu}$ to that of left and right multiplication by diagonal matrices. We also show that, in a sense, the result stated in the abstract is best possible.
2. The main result. We start with some known facts on multipliers which will be needed later.

PROPOSITION 2.1. $M\left(C_{2}, C_{2}\right)=1_{\infty}(\mathbf{N} \times \mathbf{N})$.
Proof. This follows immediately from $C_{2}=l_{2}(\mathbf{N} \times \mathbf{N})$.
PROPOSITION 2.2. Let $1 \leq p_{1} \leq q_{1} \leq \infty, 1 \leq q_{2} \leq p_{2} \leq \infty$. Then $M\left(C_{q_{1}}, C_{q_{2}}\right) \subseteq M\left(C_{p_{1}}, C_{p_{2}}\right)$ and $\|a\|_{p_{1}, p_{2}} \leq\|\bar{a}\|_{q_{1}, q_{2}}$ for all $a \in M\left(C_{q_{1}}, C_{q_{2}}\right)$.

Proof. This follows trivially from the fact that if $p \leq q$ then $C_{p} \subseteq C_{q}$ and $\|x\|_{q} \leq\|x\|_{p}$ for all $x \in C_{p}$.

COROLLARY 2.3. Let $1 \leq p_{1} \leq 2 \leq p_{2} \leq \infty$. Let $\lambda=\left(\lambda_{i}\right)$ be so that $-1 \leq$ $\lambda_{i} \leq 1$ for all $i$, and let $f$ be any continuously differentiable function on $[-1,1]$. Then $a_{f, \lambda} \in M\left(C_{p_{1}}, C_{p_{2}}\right)$.

Proof. By Propositions 2.1 and 2.2, $a_{f, \lambda} \in M\left(C_{2}, C_{2}\right) \subseteq M\left(C_{p_{1}}, C_{p_{2}}\right)$.
Let $D$ denotes the diagonal projection, i.e. $(D a)(i, j)=\delta_{i, j} a(i, i)$.
PROPOSITION 2.4.

$$
D\left(M\left(C_{p_{1}}, C_{p_{2}}\right)\right)=M\left(l_{p_{1}}, l_{p_{2}}\right)=l_{p_{0}}
$$

where $1 / p_{2}=1 / p_{1}+1 / p_{0}$.
Proof. $l_{p}$ is identified with $D\left(C_{p}\right)$, so

$$
D\left(M\left(C_{p_{1}}, C_{p_{2}}\right)\right)=M\left(D\left(C_{p_{1}}\right), D\left(C_{p_{2}}\right)\right)=M\left(l_{p_{1}}, l_{p_{2}}\right)
$$

The fact that $M\left(l_{p_{1}}, l_{p_{2}}\right)=l_{p_{0}}$ is well known.
Next for $0<\alpha<\infty$ let $X_{\alpha}$ be the space of all continuously differentiable functions on $[-1,1]$ satisfying $f(0)=0$ and $\left|f^{\prime}(t)\right| \leq C|t|^{\alpha}$ for some $0<C<\infty$ and all $t \in[-1,1]$, with the norm

$$
\|f\|_{\alpha}=\inf \left\{0<C<\infty ;\left|f^{\prime}(t)\right| \leq C|t|^{\alpha} \text { for all }-1 \leq t \leq 1\right\}
$$

Our main result is the following:
THEOREM 2.5. Let $0<\alpha, r<\infty$. Let $f \in X_{\alpha}$ and let $\lambda=\left(\lambda_{i}\right) \in l_{r}$ be so that $-1 \leq \lambda_{i} \leq 1$ for all $i$. Then

$$
a_{f, \lambda}=\left(\left(f\left(\lambda_{i}\right)-f\left(\lambda_{j}\right)\right) /\left(\lambda_{i}-\lambda_{j}\right)\right)
$$

is a multiplier from $C_{p_{1}}$ into $C_{p_{2}}$ for all $p_{1}, p_{2}$ satisfying $1 \leq p_{2} \leq 2 \leq p_{1} \leq \infty$ and $1 / p_{2}=1 / p_{1}+\alpha / r$.

Moreover,

$$
\left\|a_{f, \lambda}\right\|_{p_{1}, p_{2}} \leq 2\|f\|_{\alpha} \cdot\|\lambda\|_{r}^{\alpha}
$$

We remark that using Proposition 2.2 one gets easily that, under the hypotheses of Theorem 2.5, $a_{f, \lambda} \in M\left(C_{p_{1}}, C_{p_{2}}\right)$ for all $1 \leq p_{2} \leq 2 \leq p_{1} \leq \infty$ with $1 / p_{2} \leq$ $1 / p_{1}+\alpha / r$.

The proof of Theorem 2.5 proceeds by a sequence of propositions.
Proposition 2.6. Let $0<\alpha<\infty$, let $f \in X_{\alpha}$ and let $\lambda=\left(\lambda_{i}\right)$ be so that $-1 \leq \lambda_{i} \leq 1$ for all $i$. Let $m_{f, \lambda, \alpha}$ be defined by

$$
m_{f, \lambda, \alpha}(i, j)= \begin{cases}\left(f\left(\lambda_{i}\right)-f\left(\lambda_{j}\right)\right)\left(\left(\lambda_{i}-\lambda_{j}\right) \max \left\{\left|\lambda_{i}\right|^{\alpha},\left|\lambda_{j}\right|^{\alpha}\right\}\right)^{-1}  \tag{2.1}\\ 0 & \text { if } \lambda_{i}=\lambda_{j}=0\end{cases}
$$

Then $m_{f, \lambda, \alpha} \in M\left(C_{2}, C_{2}\right)$ and $\left\|m_{f, \lambda, \alpha}\right\|_{2,2} \leq\|f\|_{\alpha}$.
Proof. Using Proposition 2.1 we get

$$
\left\|m_{f, \lambda, \alpha}\right\|_{2,2}=\sup _{i, j}\left|m_{f, \lambda, \alpha}(i, j)\right| \leq\|f\|_{\alpha}
$$

Next, let $P_{T}$ denotes the (upper) triangular projection, that is

$$
\left(P_{T} x\right)(i, j)= \begin{cases}x(i, j), & i \leq j \\ 0, & i>j\end{cases}
$$

It is known that $P_{T}$ is bounded in $C_{p}$ if and only if $1<p<\infty$ (see [10, Chapter III and 11]). In this case, let $\gamma_{p}$ denotes the norm of $P_{T}$ in $C_{p}$.

For any $\lambda=\left(\lambda_{i}\right) \in l_{\infty}$ let $d(\lambda)=\operatorname{diag}\left(\lambda_{i}\right)$ be the diagonal matrix whose $(i, i)$ entry is $\lambda_{i}$. We denote by $L_{\lambda}$ and $R_{\lambda}$ the operators of left and right multiplication by $d(\lambda)$, respectively. That is

$$
\left(L_{\lambda} x\right)(i, j)=\lambda_{i} x(i, j), \quad\left(R_{\lambda} x\right)(i, j)=x(i, j) \lambda_{j}
$$

Since $L_{\lambda}, R_{\lambda}, P_{T}$ are (identified with) multipliers-they commute with each other.

Finally, for all sequences $\lambda=\left(\lambda_{i}\right)$ we define a matrix $b_{\lambda}=\left(b_{\lambda}(i, j)\right)$ by

$$
b_{\lambda}(i, j)=\lambda_{\min \{i, j\}}
$$

Notice that for all matrix $x$

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{\lambda} \circ x=L_{\lambda} P_{T} x+R_{\lambda}\left(I-P_{T}\right) x=P_{T} L_{\lambda} x+\left(I-P_{T}\right) R_{\lambda} x . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

PROPOSITION 2.7. Let $1 \leq p_{0}, p_{1}, p_{2} \leq \infty$ be so that $1 / p_{2}=1 / p_{0}+1 / p_{1}$. Let $\lambda \in l_{p_{0}}$. Then $L_{\lambda}$ and $R_{\lambda} \operatorname{map} C_{p_{1}}$ into $C_{p_{2}}$ and $\left\|L_{\lambda}\right\|_{p_{1}, p_{2}}=\left\|R_{\lambda}\right\|_{p_{1}, p_{2}}=\|\lambda\|_{p_{0}}$.

We omit the straightforward proof which depends on the "generalized Hölder inequality" in the spaces $C_{p}$ (see [ 9 , Chapter III]):

$$
\|x y\|_{p_{2}} \leq\|x\|_{p_{0}}\|y\|_{p_{1}}, \quad 1 / p_{2}=1 / p_{0}+1 / p_{1}
$$

PROPOSITION 2.8. Let $1 \leq p_{0}, p_{1}, p_{2} \leq \infty$ be so that $1 / p_{2}=1 / p_{0}+1 / p_{1}$. Let $\lambda \in l_{p_{0}}$. Then $b_{\lambda} \in M\left(C_{p_{1}}, \bar{C}_{p_{2}}\right)$ with norm $\left\|b_{\lambda}\right\|_{p_{1}, p_{2}} \leq A\|\lambda\|_{p_{0}}$ (the constant $A$ depends only on $p_{1}, p_{2}$ ), except for the cases $p_{1}=p_{2}=\infty$ and $p_{1}=p_{2}=1$.

PROOF. If $1<p_{1}<\infty$ then $P_{T}$ is bounded in $C_{p_{1}}$, and $L_{\lambda}$ and $R_{\lambda}$ map $C_{p_{1}}$ into $C_{p_{2}}$. Using (2.2) and Proposition 2.7 we get $b_{\lambda} \in M\left(C_{p_{1}}, C_{p_{2}}\right)$ and $\left\|b_{\lambda}\right\|_{p_{1}, p_{2}} \leq$ $\left(1+2 \gamma_{p_{1}}\right)\|\lambda\|_{p_{0}}$. (If $1<p_{2}<\infty$ then, using the boundedness of $P_{T}$ in $C_{p_{2}}$, we get in a similar way $b_{\lambda} \in M\left(C_{p_{1}}, C_{p_{2}}\right)$ and $\left\|b_{\lambda}\right\|_{p_{1}, p_{2}} \leq\left(1+2 \gamma_{p_{2}}\right)\|\lambda\|_{p_{0}}$. ) It remains to deal with the case $p_{0}=p_{2}=1, p_{1}=\infty$. For $x \in C_{\infty}$ define $x_{n}(i, j)=x(i, j)$ if $\min \{i, j\}=n$ and $x_{n}(i, j)=0$ otherwise. Clearly, $x=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_{n}$ and $\left\|x_{n}\right\|_{1} \leq$ $2\|x\|_{\infty}$. So

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|b_{\lambda} \circ x\right\|_{1} & =\left\|\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{n} x_{n}\right\|_{1} \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left|\lambda_{n}\right|\left\|x_{n}\right\|_{1} \\
& \leq 2\|x\|_{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left|\lambda_{n}\right|=2\|x\|_{\infty}\|\lambda\|_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

i.e. $b_{\lambda} \in M\left(C_{\infty}, C_{1}\right)$ and $\left\|b_{\lambda}\right\|_{\infty, 1} \leq 2\|\lambda\|_{1}$.

Remark. For general $\lambda \in l_{\infty}, b_{\lambda}$ need not be defined on the whole of $C_{\infty}$ (or $C_{1}$ ); this is the consequence of [11, Proposition 1.3] and the unboundedness of the triangular projection in $C_{\infty}$ (respectively, in $C_{1}$ ). If $\lambda \in c_{0}$ and

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{i}^{*}(2 i-1)^{-1}<\infty
$$

where $\left\{\lambda_{i}^{*}\right\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$, is the nonincreasing rarrangement of $\left\{\left|\lambda_{i}\right|\right\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$, then

$$
b_{\lambda} \in M\left(C_{\infty}, C_{\infty}\right)=M\left(C_{1}, C_{1}\right)
$$

This follows by the above arguments from the fact that $P_{T}$ acts continuously from the Macaev ideal

$$
C_{\omega}=\left\{x \in C_{\infty} ;\|x\|_{\omega}=\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} s_{i}(x)(2 i-1)^{-1}<\infty\right\}
$$

into $C_{\infty}$ and from $C_{1}$ into $C_{\Omega}=C_{\omega}^{*}$. (Here $\left\{s_{i}(x)\right\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ are the $s$-numbers of $x$; see [10, Chapter III].)

PROOF OF THEOREM 2.5. Since $\lambda_{i} \rightarrow 0$, we can assume without loss of generality that $\left\{\left|\lambda_{i}\right|\right\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ is nonincreasing. Thus

$$
\max \left\{\left|\lambda_{i}\right|^{\alpha},\left|\lambda_{j}\right|^{\alpha}\right\}=\left|\lambda_{\min \{i, j\}}\right|^{\alpha} .
$$

Let $\alpha_{1}$ be defined by $1 / 2=1 / p_{1}+\alpha_{1} / r$ and let $\alpha_{2}=\alpha-\alpha_{1}$. Clearly, $0 \leq$ $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2} \leq \alpha$ and $1 / p_{2}=1 / 2+\alpha_{2} / r$. We present the proof in the case $p_{2}<2<$ $p_{1}$, i.e. $0<\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}<\alpha$. The other cases are treated similarly, and are even easier.

Let $m_{f, \lambda, \alpha}$ be defined by (2.1), let $\mu^{(k)}=|\lambda|^{\alpha_{k}}(k=1,2)$, i.e. $\mu_{i}^{(k)}=\left|\lambda_{i}\right|^{\alpha_{k}}$. Then $a_{f, \lambda}$ admits the following factorization:

$$
a_{f, \lambda}=b_{\mu(2)} \circ m_{f, \lambda, \alpha} \circ b_{\mu(1)}
$$

Now, by Proposition $2.8 b_{\mu^{(1)}} \in M\left(C_{p_{1}}, C_{2}\right), b_{\mu^{(2)}} \in M\left(C_{2}, C_{p_{2}}\right)$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|b_{\mu(1)}\right\|_{p_{1}, 2} \leq \sqrt{2}\left\|\mu^{(1)}\right\|_{r / \alpha_{1}}=\sqrt{2}\|\lambda\|_{r}^{\alpha_{1}} \\
& \left\|b_{\mu(2)}\right\|_{2, p_{2}} \leq \sqrt{2}\left\|\mu^{(2)}\right\|_{r / \alpha_{2}}=\sqrt{2}\|\lambda\|_{r}^{\alpha_{1}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since, by Proposition 2.6, $m_{f, \lambda, \alpha} \in M\left(C_{2}, C_{2}\right)$ and $\left\|m_{f, \lambda, \alpha}\right\|_{2,2} \leq\|f\|_{\alpha}$ we finally get that $a_{f, \lambda} \in M\left(C_{p_{1}}, C_{p_{2}}\right)$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|a_{f, \lambda}\right\|_{p_{1}, p_{2}} & \leq\left\|b_{\mu^{(2)}}\right\|_{2, p_{2}} \cdot\left\|m_{f, \lambda, \alpha}\right\|_{2,2} \cdot\left\|b_{\mu^{(1)}}\right\|_{p_{1}, 2} \\
& \leq \sqrt{2}\|\lambda\|_{r}^{\alpha_{2}} \cdot\|f\|_{c} \cdot \sqrt{2}\|\lambda\|_{r}^{\alpha_{1}}=2\|f\|\|\lambda\|_{r}^{\alpha} .
\end{aligned}
$$

3. Concluding remarks. Theorem 2.5 admits the following partial converse, which shows that our hypotheses on $f$ and $\lambda$ are optimal.

Proposition 3.1. Let $1 \leq p_{2}<p_{1} \leq \infty$, let $\alpha>0$ and let $0<r<\infty$ be so that $1 / p_{2}=1 / p_{1}+\alpha / r$.
(i) Let $\lambda=\left(\lambda_{i}\right)$ be so that $-1 \leq \lambda_{i} \leq 1$ for all $i$, and assume that for all $f \in X_{\alpha}$, $a_{f, \lambda} \in M\left(C_{p_{1}}, C_{p_{2}}\right)$. Then $\lambda \in l_{r}$.
(ii) Let $f$ be a continuous differentiable function on $[-1,1]$ so that for $\lambda=\left(\lambda_{i}\right) \in$ $l_{r}$ with $-1 \leq \lambda_{i} \leq 1$ for all $i, a_{f, \lambda} \in M\left(C_{p_{1}}, C_{p_{2}}\right)$. Then $f \in X_{\alpha}$.
Proof. (i) Consider $f(t)=t|t|^{\alpha}$. We have $f^{\prime}(t)=(\alpha+1)|t|^{\alpha}$ and so $f \in X_{\alpha}$. Since $a_{f, \lambda} \in M\left(C_{p_{1}}, C_{p_{2}}\right)$, we get by Proposition 2.4 that

$$
D\left(a_{f, \lambda}\right)=\operatorname{diag}\left((\alpha+1)\left(\lambda_{i}\right)^{\alpha}\right) \in M\left(l_{p_{1}}, l_{p_{2}^{\prime}}\right)=l_{r / \alpha}
$$

That is $\lambda \in l_{r}$.
(ii) Suppose that $f \notin X_{\alpha}$. Then there is a sequence $\left\{t_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ in $[-1,1]$ with $0<\left|t_{n}\right| \leq 2^{-n(1+1 / \alpha)}$ and $\left|f^{\prime}\left(t_{n}\right)\right| \geq 2^{n}\left|t_{n}\right|^{\alpha}$ for all $n=1,2, \ldots$. Put

$$
k_{n}=\left[2^{-n r / \alpha} \cdot\left|t_{n}\right|^{-r}\right], \quad n=1,2, \ldots,
$$

and let $\lambda=\left(\lambda_{i}\right)$ be the sequence in which $t_{n}$ appears exactly $k_{n}$ times. Then

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\left|\lambda_{i}\right|^{r}=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} k_{n}\left|t_{n}\right|^{r} \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-n r / \alpha}<\infty
$$

but

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\left|f^{\prime}\left(\lambda_{i}\right)\right|^{r / \alpha} & =\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} k_{n}\left|f^{\prime}\left(t_{n}\right)\right|^{r / \alpha} \\
& \geq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} k_{n} 2^{n r / \alpha}\left|t_{n}\right|^{r} \geq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(1-2^{-n r}\right)=\infty
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows that $\left(f^{\prime}\left(\lambda_{i}\right)\right) \notin M\left(l_{p_{1}}, l_{p_{2}}\right)$, and so by Proposition 2.4,

$$
a_{f, \lambda} \notin M\left(C_{p_{1}}, C_{p_{2}}\right)
$$

A contradiction.
Remark. Our methods and results can be extended by standard arguments to other symmetric norm ideals. For instance, if $a \in M\left(C_{p_{1}}, C_{p_{2}}\right)$ then $a \in$ $M\left(C_{p_{2}^{*}}, C_{p_{i}^{*}}\right)$ where $1 / p_{j}+1 / p_{j}^{*}=1, j=1,2$. This is the consequence of the fact that the adjoint operator of the multiplier $a$ is the multiplier $\bar{a}=(\overline{a(i, j)})$, the fact that $\|\bar{a}\|_{p, q}=\|a\|_{p, q}$ and the well-known fact that $\left(C_{p}\right)^{*}=C_{p^{*}}$. It follows
that if $\mathcal{f}$ is any interpolation functor and if $C_{E}=\mathcal{F}\left(C_{p_{1}}, C_{p_{\mathbf{2}}^{*}}\right)=C_{\mathcal{F}\left(l_{p_{1}}, l_{p_{2}^{*}}\right)}, C_{F}=$ $\mathcal{F}\left(C_{p_{2}}, C_{p_{1}^{*}}\right)=C_{\mathcal{F}\left(l_{p_{2}}, l_{p_{1}^{*}}\right)}$ then $a \in M\left(C_{E}, C_{F}\right)$. For instance, if $0<\theta<1$ and $p(\theta), q(\theta)$ are defined by $1 / p(\theta)=(1-\theta) / p_{1}+\theta / p_{2}^{*}$ and $1 / q(\theta)=(1-\theta) / p_{2}+\theta / p_{1}^{*}$, then $a \in M\left(C_{p(\theta)}, C_{q(\theta)}\right)$.

We conclude the paper with the following
CONJECTURE. Theorem 2.5 remains true even in the case where $p_{1}, p_{2}$ are on the same side of 2 , i.e., $1 \leq p_{2} \leq p_{1} \leq 2$, or $2 \leq p_{2} \leq p_{1} \leq \infty$.
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