



LAWRENCE
LIVERMORE
NATIONAL
LABORATORY

Challenges and opportunities in high-precision Be-10 measurements at CAMS

D. H. Rood, S. Hall, T. P. Guilderson, R. C. Finkel,
T. A. Brown

October 13, 2009

Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research B

Disclaimer

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States government. Neither the United States government nor Lawrence Livermore National Security, LLC, nor any of their employees makes any warranty, expressed or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States government or Lawrence Livermore National Security, LLC. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States government or Lawrence Livermore National Security, LLC, and shall not be used for advertising or product endorsement purposes.

1 **Challenges and opportunities in high-precision Be-10 measurements at CAMS**

2
3 Dylan H. Rood ^{1*,2}; Sarah Hall ³; Thomas P. Guilderson ^{1,4}; Robert C. Finkel ^{5,6}; Thomas
4 A. Brown ¹

5
6 ¹ Center for Accelerator Mass Spectrometry, Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory,
7 Livermore, CA 94550 USA

8 ² Department of Earth Science, University of California, Santa Barbara, CA 93106 USA

9 ³ Department of Earth and Planetary Sciences, University of California, Santa Cruz, CA
10 95064 USA

11 ⁴. Department of Ocean Sciences, and Institute of Marine Sciences, University of
12 California, Santa Cruz, CA 95064 USA

13 ⁵ CEREGE (Centre Européen de Recherche et d'Enseignement des Géosciences de
14 l'Environnement), 13545 Aix en Provence Cedex 4 France

15 ⁶ Department of Earth and Planetary Sciences, University of California, Berkeley, CA
16 95064 USA

17 * Corresponding author: Tel: (925) 422-7378; fax: (925) 423-7884; *e-mail address*:
18 rood5@llnl.gov

19
20 **Abstract**

21
22 We determined the overall efficiency for ¹⁰Be of the high-intensity LLNL
23 modified Middleton cesium sputter source in combination with the CAMS FN mass

24 spectrometer. BeO^+ ionization efficiency is $>3\%$. Charge exchange efficiency including
25 transmission through the tandem for 7.5MeV Be^{+3} is $\sim 34\%$, resulting in a total system
26 efficiency of just over 1% . At this efficiency and with very low backgrounds, we estimate
27 our detection limit to be ~ 1000 ^{10}Be atoms. Cathodes prepared with only ~ 80 micrograms
28 of ^9Be show only an $\sim 33\%$ reduction in ^9Be beam current compared to a sample with
29 ~ 200 micrograms. These same samples, prepared from 07KNSTD1032 standard
30 material, contained 1×10^7 and 5×10^6 ^{10}Be atoms and exhibited similar ionization and
31 total system efficiency. These results demonstrate the feasibility of pursuing applications
32 that require precise measurement of samples with low ^{10}Be concentrations and/or small
33 sample size.

34

35 PACS: 07.75.+h; 29.25.Ni; 37.20.+j; 41.85.Ja; 91.80.+d; 91.80.Uv

36

37 *Keywords:* AMS, Ion source, Efficiency, Beryllium-10

38

39 **1. Introduction**

40

41 Beryllium-10 measurements have important applications in the fields of Earth
42 sciences, astrophysics-cosmochemistry, and nuclear chemistry. However, development of
43 new applications often requires and is limited by, small sample size and subsequent
44 efficiencies in sputtering, charge exchange, and transmission from the ion source to the
45 detector. Recent work at CAMS demonstrates that ^{10}Be measurements can consistently be
46 made at $<1\%$ precision, from targets producing $30\text{-}40 \mu\text{A } ^9\text{Be}$ beam currents, and with

47 very low backgrounds (2-5 total ^{10}Be counts in 15 minutes, $\sim 5 \times 10^{-17}$ $^{10}\text{Be}/^9\text{Be}$ ratio). We
48 have explored the sensitivity of low ratio/concentration samples by determining the
49 overall efficiency of the LLNL modified Middleton cesium sputter source in combination
50 with the CAMS FN mass spectrometer. Modifications to and characteristics of the high-
51 intensity CAMS Cs^+ sputter source are reviewed by Southon and Roberts [1] and Fallon
52 et al. [2].

53

54 **2. Methods**

55

56 We prepared 5 targets from 07KNSTD1032 beryllium oxide standard material [3]
57 mixed with Nb and packed in stainless steel cathodes. Aliquots of BeO and Nb were
58 transferred into tared quartz crucibles and their masses measured on a balance with an
59 accuracy of approximately $\pm 1 \mu\text{g}$. Each target contained either ~ 200 or $\sim 80 \mu\text{g}$ of Be as
60 BeO (Table 1). The beryllium oxide and Nb were mixed thoroughly, transferred to
61 stainless steel cathodes, and packed for measurement. Assuming that the BeO and Nb
62 were completely homogenous, the mass of the residue in the quartz crucible was
63 subtracted from the original mass of material in order to determine the total BeO in the
64 cathode. After converting the total mass of BeO to Be, we used the published $^{10}\text{Be}/^9\text{Be}$ of
65 the 07KNSTD1032 standard, 972×10^{-15} [3], to calculate the initial number of ^{10}Be atoms
66 in the target. ~ 200 and $\sim 80 \mu\text{g}$ targets contained $\sim 1.5 \times 10^7$ and $\sim 5.3 \times 10^6$ atoms,
67 respectively (Table 1).

68 The targets were analyzed using the high-intensity LLNL modified Middleton
69 cesium sputter source with the LLNL CAMS AMS system based on an HVEC model FN

70 Van de Graaff accelerator [4]. The ion source was optimized to sputter cones on the
71 target by focusing the cesium beam just forward of the target surface. We collected ^{10}Be
72 data during two different runs (August 2 and 17, 2008) in blocks of 600 seconds until
73 targets were run to “exhaustion” (i.e. the ^9Be current fell from the initial 37-13 μA range
74 to $\sim 1 \mu\text{A}$ so that samples were exhausted to greater than $\sim 93\%$). Typical ion source
75 settings used for ^{10}Be measurements at CAMS are given in Table 2.

76 For each sample, we determined the total system efficiency by comparing the
77 calculated ^{10}Be atoms in each target (Table 1) to the number of gated ^{10}Be counts
78 measured in the gas ionization detector. In order to calculate the ionization efficiency
79 from the total system efficiency, we measured the total transmission efficiency at 7.5
80 MeV by comparing the beam currents of $^9\text{Be}^{16}\text{O}^-$ before and $^9\text{Be}^{3+}$ after the accelerator.
81 This charge exchange efficiency was measured on a diluted 1:90 (BeO:Nb) tuning target
82 in order to limit the amount of sustained beam current transmitted through the
83 accelerator. The total transmission efficiency at 7.5 MeV is $\sim 34\%$ (Table 3), which
84 includes a 10% loss on the gridded lens [5].

85

86 **3. Results and discussion**

87

88 Fig. 1 shows the primary current as a function of cumulative measurement time.
89 Targets generally show a pattern of rapidly increasing current to a constant maximum
90 during the first 600-second cycle (e.g. sample 07KNSTD1032 of August 2, 2008) and
91 then slowly and steadily decreasing throughout the experiment. For the August 17, 2008,
92 samples, the $\sim 200 \mu\text{g}$ and $\sim 80 \mu\text{g}$ targets were generally exhausted after 9,000 and 4,000

93 seconds, respectively. On average, targets with ~80 μg of BeO showed only an ~33%
94 decrease in initial primary current compared with ~200 μg targets.

95 Fig. 2 shows the cumulative ^{10}Be counts measured over time for the experiment
96 duration. For the first hour of the experiments, count rates were 50 cps for the August 2,
97 2008, sample and 30 cps and 20 cps for the 200 μg and 80 μg samples, respectively, on
98 August 17, 2008. At these count rates, all of these samples would have $>1 \times 10^4$ ^{10}Be
99 counts in 15 minutes, which based on counting statistics should yield a $^{10}\text{Be}/^9\text{Be}$
100 measurement with $<1\%$ precision.

101 Table 4 summarizes results for the 6 BeO cathodes (four ~200 μg and two ~80 μg
102 targets) for which efficiencies were measured. Sample 07KNSTD1032-200-5 died after
103 3,000 seconds, and thus yielded a minimum efficiency value because it was not run to
104 exhaustion. Based on the results for the remaining samples, the total system efficiency is
105 just over 1%. Using our estimated total transmission efficiency of 34%, we calculate an
106 ion source BeO⁻ ionization efficiency of $>3\%$ for targets with ~200 μg and ~80 μg of
107 BeO.

108

109 **4. Conclusions**

110

111 Charge exchange efficiency (i.e. total transmission efficiency) for the CAMS FN
112 mass spectrometer is ~34% for 7.5MeV Be^{+3} , resulting in a total system efficiency of just
113 over 1%. BeO⁻ ionization efficiency for the high-intensity LLNL modified Middleton Cs⁺
114 sputter source is $>3\%$. At this efficiency and with very low backgrounds ($\sim 5 \times 10^{-17}$
115 $^{10}\text{Be}/^9\text{Be}$ ratio), we estimate our detection limit to be ~1000 ^{10}Be atoms. Cathodes

116 prepared with only ~80 μg of ^9Be as BeO (07KNSTD1032 standard material) show only
117 a ~33% reduction in primary current compared to a sample with ~200 μg , and exhibited
118 similar ionization and total system efficiencies. These same targets, prepared with ~80-
119 200 μg of Be, lasted for more than one hour and gave count rates between 50 and 20 cps.
120 With this efficiency, ion source output, count rate, background, and detection limit, the
121 LLNL AMS system can routinely measure samples with <1% precision. The calculated
122 total system efficiency allows us to estimate the total ^{10}Be counts at the detector and the
123 corresponding counting statistics assuming complete consumption (i.e. exhaustion) of the
124 target (Fig. 3). Accordingly, this efficiency demonstrates the potential at CAMS for
125 development of new applications that require small sample sizes (e.g. small amounts of
126 Be carrier addition) and low ^{10}Be concentrations (e.g. young or deeply buried surface
127 exposure samples) with associated precision.

128

129 **Acknowledgements**

130

131 We thank John Stone (UW) and Daniel Farber (LLNL) for helpful discussions
132 during the development of the experiments. Thanks to reviewers for useful comments on
133 the manuscript. This work performed under the auspices of the U.S. Department of
134 Energy by Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory under Contract DE-AC52-
135 07NA27344.

136

137 **References**

138

139 [1] J.R. Southon, M.L. Roberts, Nucl. Instr. and Meth. 172 (2000) 257.
140 [2] S.J. Fallon, T.P. Guilderson, T.A. Brown, Nucl. Instr. and Meth. B 259 (2007) 106.
141 [3] K. Nishiizumi, I. Imamura, M.W. Caffee, J.R. Southon, R.C. Finkel, J. McAninch,
142 Nucl. Instr. and Meth. B 258 (2007) 403.
143 [4] J.C. Davis, I.D. Proctor, J.R. Southon, M.W. Caffee, D.W. Heikkinen, M.L. Roberts,
144 T.L. Moore, K.W. Turteltaub, D.E. Nelson, D.H. Loyd, J. S. Vogel, Nucl. Instr. and
145 Meth. B 52 (1990) 269.
146 [5] J.R. Southon, M.W. Caffee, J.C. Davis, T.L. Moore, I.D. Proctor, B. Schumacher, J.S.
147 Vogel. Nucl. Instr. and Meth. 52 (1990) 301.

148

149 **Figure Captions**

150

151 Fig. 1. Primary current (${}^9\text{Be}^{3+}$ μA) from the 6 samples versus time (s).

152 Fig. 2. Cumulative ${}^{10}\text{Be}$ gated counts versus time from the 6 samples. The total number of
153 counts measured is shown in parentheses in the legend.

154 Fig. 3. Achievable statistical precision based on counting statistics, assuming complete
155 consumption of a BeO target versus sample size. The counting statistics are calculated
156 using the estimated total ${}^{10}\text{Be}$ counts at the detector with a 1% total system efficiency.
157 The lines correspond to samples with 9.72×10^{-13} (e.g. 07KNSTD1032), 9.72×10^{-14} , and
158 9.72×10^{-15} ${}^{10}\text{Be}/{}^9\text{Be}$ ratios.

Table 1. Chemical data and calculations for samples

Sample Name	BeO (mg)	Nb (mg)	% transferred	Total BeO in cathode (mg)	Total Be in cathode (μg)	^{10}Be atoms in cathode
KNSTD1032	0.71	5.15	91	0.65	233	1.5E+07
KNSTD1032-200-3	0.64	2.82	90	0.58	209	1.4E+07
KNSTD1032-200-4	0.69	3.09	95	0.66	237	1.5E+07
KNSTD1032-200-5	0.69	2.59	94	0.65	234	1.5E+07
KNSTD1032-50-6	0.24	4.28	97	0.23	84	5.4E+06
KNSTD1032-50-8	0.23	4.28	97	0.22	80	5.2E+06

Table 2. CAMS typical source operating settings

Extraction voltage	40 kV
Cathode voltage	10 kV
Ionizer power	127 W
Cs reservoir temperature	172 degrees C

Table 3. CAMS 7.5MeV total transmission efficiency (including 10% loss on gridded lens)

${}^9\text{Be}^{16}\text{O}^-$ low energy cup (μA) *	${}^9\text{Be}^{3+}$ high energy cup (μA) *	Total transmission efficiency (%) **
0.084	0.091	36
0.072	0.082	38
0.075	0.084	37
0.85	0.89	35
0.95	0.9	32
1.1	0.95	29

* Currents measured on a dilute 1:90 (BeO:Nb) tuning target

** Calculated conversion = $(({}^9\text{Be}^{3+} / 3) / {}^9\text{Be}^{16}\text{O}^-) \times 100$

Table 4. CAMS source efficiency

Sample	10Be atoms in target	10Be gated counts	Total system efficiency (%)	Ionization efficiency (%)
KNSTD1032	1.5E+07	1.8E+05	1.2	3.4
KNSTD1032-200-3	1.4E+07	1.6E+05	1.2	3.4
KNSTD1032-200-4	1.5E+07	1.8E+05	1.2	3.4
KNSTD1032-200-5 *	1.5E+07	1.0E+05	0.7	1.9
KNSTD1032-50-6	5.4E+06	6.8E+04	1.2	3.6
KNSTD1032-50-8	5.2E+06	6.5E+04	1.3	3.6

* Target not run to exhaustion, thus minimum efficiencies





