

## CHARACTERIZATION OF A CYCLIC GROUP RING IN TERMS OF CHARACTER VALUES

JOONGUL LEE

ABSTRACT. Let  $G$  be a cyclic group of prime power order. There is a natural embedding of  $\mathbb{Z}[G]$  into a product of rings of integers of cyclotomic fields. In this paper the image of the embedding is determined, and we also compute the index of the image.

### 1. Introduction

For a finite abelian group  $G$  let  $\mathbb{Z}[G]$  be the integral group ring of  $G$ , and let  $I_G$  be the augmentation ideal. For each complex character  $\chi$  of  $G$  let  $\mathbb{Q}(\chi)$  be the cyclotomic field generated by the values of  $\chi$  and  $\mathbb{Z}[\chi]$  be its ring of integers.

Consider

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi : \mathbb{Z}[G] &\longrightarrow \prod_{\chi \in \widehat{G}} \mathbb{Z}[\chi] \\ \Phi(\alpha) &= (\dots, \chi(\alpha), \dots),\end{aligned}$$

where the domain of  $\chi$  is extended to  $\mathbb{Z}[G]$  by linearity. The map  $\Phi$  is an injective ring homomorphism. The goal of this paper is to determine  $\Phi(\mathbb{Z}[G])$  when  $G$  is cyclic of prime power order.

For an element

$$\beta = (\dots, \beta_\chi, \dots) \in \prod_{\chi \in \widehat{G}} \mathbb{Z}[\chi],$$

let us refer to its components  $\beta_\chi$  as the character values of  $\beta$ . We find that, when  $G$  is cyclic of prime power order, we can express the necessary and sufficient condition for  $\beta \in \Phi(\mathbb{Z}[G])$  as congruence relations among the character values of  $\beta$ . As a byproduct, we also compute the index of  $\Phi(\mathbb{Z}[G])$  in  $\prod \mathbb{Z}[\chi]$ .

There are refined type of conjectures on the values of  $L$ -functions (cf. [1], [2], [4], [5]) which predict (among others) that certain elements of  $\prod_{\chi \in \widehat{G}} \mathbb{Z}[\chi]$  whose character values come from special values of  $L$ -functions belong to  $\Phi(I_G^n)$

---

Received August 20, 2014.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 16S34, 20C05.

*Key words and phrases.* group rings.

This work was supported by 2013 Hongik University Research Fund.

for a prescribed positive integer  $n$ . The author hopes that the result of this paper offers an aid in understanding the meaning of those very deep conjectures in concrete situations.

## 2. Cyclic case

Fix a prime  $p$  and a positive integer  $k$ . Let  $G$  be a cyclic group of order  $p^k$  with generator  $\sigma$ . Let  $H$  be the subgroup of  $G$  of order  $p$ , and let  $\bar{\sigma}$  be the element  $\sigma$  modulo  $H$ . Let us choose a complex character  $\chi_k$  of  $G$  with order  $p^k$ , and for  $0 \leq i \leq k-1$  we inductively define  $\chi_i = \chi_{i+1}^p$  so that the order of  $\chi_i$  is  $p^i$ . We may view  $\chi_i$  as complex characters of  $G/H$  for  $i < k$ . We also set  $\zeta_i = \chi_i(\sigma)$  and  $\lambda_i = \zeta_i - 1$ .

Consider

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi : \mathbb{Z}[G] &\longrightarrow \prod_{i=0}^k \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_i] \\ \Phi(\alpha) &= (\chi_0(\alpha), \dots, \chi_k(\alpha)) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\Phi} : \mathbb{Z}[G/H] &\longrightarrow \prod_{i=0}^{k-1} \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_i] \\ \bar{\Phi}(\alpha) &= (\chi_0(\alpha), \dots, \chi_{k-1}(\alpha)). \end{aligned}$$

We need the following generalization of the Chinese remainder theorem, the proof of which can be found in [3].

**Proposition 1.** *Let  $R$  be a commutative ring with 1, and  $I, J$  be ideals of  $R$ . There exists a short exact sequence of  $R$ -modules*

$$0 \rightarrow R/(I \cap J) \rightarrow R/I \times R/J \rightarrow R/(I + J) \rightarrow 0,$$

where the first map sends  $r \bmod (I \cap J)$  to  $(r \bmod I, r \bmod J)$  and the second sends  $(r_1 \bmod I, r_2 \bmod J)$  to  $r_1 - r_2 \bmod (I + J)$ .

Let  $\phi_i(x)$  be the  $p^i$ -th cyclotomic polynomial. Note that there is a natural isomorphism from  $\mathbb{Z}[x]/(x^{p^k} - 1)$  to  $\mathbb{Z}[G]$  that sends  $x$  to  $\sigma$ , and from  $\mathbb{Z}[x]/(\phi_i(x))$  to  $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_i]$  sending  $x$  to  $\zeta_i$ . Via these identifications, it is useful to view  $\Phi$  and  $\bar{\Phi}$  as the natural maps

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi : \mathbb{Z}[x]/(x^{p^k} - 1) &\longrightarrow \prod_{i=0}^k \mathbb{Z}[x]/(\phi_i(x)), \\ \bar{\Phi} : \mathbb{Z}[x]/(x^{p^{k-1}} - 1) &\longrightarrow \prod_{i=0}^{k-1} \mathbb{Z}[x]/(\phi_i(x)). \end{aligned}$$

Let us apply Proposition 1 to the case  $R = \mathbb{Z}[x]$ ,  $I = (x^{p^{k-1}} - 1)$ ,  $J = (\phi_k(x))$ . We have

$$x^{p^k} - 1 = (x^{p^{k-1}} - 1)\phi_k(x),$$

hence

$$I \cap J = IJ = (x^{p^k} - 1)$$

as ideals of  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ , because  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$  is a unique factorization domain and the polynomials  $x^{p^{k-1}} - 1$  and  $\phi_k(x)$  have no common irreducible factor. On the other hand, it is clear that

$$\phi_k(x) \equiv p \pmod{(x^{p^{k-1}} - 1)},$$

which implies

$$I + J = (\phi_k(x), x^{p^{k-1}} - 1) = (p, x^{p^{k-1}} - 1).$$

Let us consider the ring homomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_k : \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_k] &\longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}[G/H]/p\mathbb{Z}[G/H] \\ \psi_k\left(\sum a_j \zeta_k^j\right) &:= \sum a_j \bar{\sigma}^j \pmod{p\mathbb{Z}[G/H]}. \end{aligned}$$

This map comes from the isomorphisms

$$\mathbb{Z}[x]/(I + J) \cong (\mathbb{Z}[x]/J)/((I + J)/J) \cong (\mathbb{Z}[x]/I)/((I + J)/I),$$

hence we may identify  $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_k]/(\lambda_1)$  with  $\mathbb{Z}[G/H]/p\mathbb{Z}[G/H]$ .  $\psi_k$  is surjective with  $\ker(\psi_k) = (\lambda_1)$ . The following theorem is now a direct consequence of Proposition 1.

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $\alpha = (\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_k)$  be an element of  $\prod_{i=0}^k \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_i]$ .  $\alpha$  is in  $\Phi(\mathbb{Z}[G])$  if and only if the following conditions hold;*

- (i)  $(\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_{k-1}) = \bar{\Phi}(\eta)$  for some  $\eta \in \mathbb{Z}[G/H]$ ,
- (ii)  $\eta \in \psi_k(\alpha_k)$ .

**Corollary 3.**  $\Phi(\mathbb{Z}[G])$  has index  $p^{(p^k-1)/(p-1)}$  in  $\prod_{i=0}^k \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_i]$ .

*Proof.* When  $k = 0$ ,  $\Phi$  is clearly surjective so the statement holds. In general,  $\Phi(\mathbb{Z}[G])$  has index

$$|\mathbb{Z}[G/H]/p\mathbb{Z}[G/H]| = p^{p^{k-1}}$$

in  $\bar{\Phi}(\mathbb{Z}[G/H]) \times \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_k]$  which follows from condition (ii) of Theorem 2. We obtain the result by mathematical induction on  $k$ .  $\square$

Let us analyze condition (ii) of Theorem 2 in more detail. Define

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa_k = Z[\zeta_k] &\longrightarrow \left(\prod_{i=0}^{k-1} \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_i]\right)/\bar{\Phi}(p\mathbb{Z}[G/H]) \\ \kappa_k(\alpha_k) &:= \bar{\Phi}(\psi_k(\alpha_k)) \pmod{\bar{\Phi}(p\mathbb{Z}[G/H])}. \end{aligned}$$

As  $\bar{\Phi}$  is injective, condition (ii) of Theorem 2 holds if and only if

$$(1) \quad (\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_{k-1}) \in \kappa_k(\alpha_k).$$

For  $\alpha_k = \sum a_j \zeta_k^j$  and for  $0 \leq i \leq k-1$ , let

$$\kappa_k(\alpha_k)_i = \sum a_j \zeta_i^j.$$

Each element  $\kappa_k(\alpha_k)_i$  is not well defined in general, but

$$(\kappa_k(\alpha_k)_0, \dots, \kappa_k(\alpha_k)_{k-1})$$

is well defined modulo  $\overline{\Phi}(p\mathbb{Z}[G/H])$  and it belongs to  $\kappa_k(\alpha_k)$ , hence  $\kappa_k(\alpha_k)_i$  is defined modulo  $p$ .

Relation (1) is now equivalent to the following congruence relation

$$(2) \quad (\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_{k-1}) \equiv (\kappa_k(\alpha_k)_0, \dots, \kappa_k(\alpha_k)_{k-1}) \pmod{\overline{\Phi}(p\mathbb{Z}[G/H])}.$$

The following theorem is now a direct consequence of the above discussion, and enables us to determine  $\Phi(\mathbb{Z}[G])$  inductively.

**Theorem 4.** *Let  $\alpha = (\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_k)$  be an element of  $\prod_{i=0}^k \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_i]$ .  $\alpha$  is in  $\Phi(\mathbb{Z}[G])$  if and only if the following conditions hold;*

- (i)  $(\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_{k-1})$  is in  $\overline{\Phi}(\mathbb{Z}[G/H])$ ,
- (ii)  $\alpha_i \equiv \kappa_k(\alpha_k)_i \pmod{p}$  for  $0 \leq i \leq k-1$ ,
- (iii)  $\frac{1}{p}(\alpha_0 - \kappa_k(\alpha_k)_0, \dots, \alpha_{k-1} - \kappa_k(\alpha_k)_{k-1})$  is in  $\overline{\Phi}(\mathbb{Z}[G/H])$ .

### 3. A few cases

In this section, we record the explicit condition for  $\alpha$  to be in  $\Phi(\mathbb{Z}[G])$ , following Theorem 4.

**Corollary 5.** *( $k = 1$ )  $\alpha = (\alpha_0, \alpha_1)$  is in  $\Phi(\mathbb{Z}[G])$  if and only if*

$$\alpha_0 \equiv \kappa_1(\alpha_1)_0 \pmod{p}.$$

*$\alpha$  is in  $\Phi(p\mathbb{Z}[G])$  if and only if the following conditions hold;*

- (i)  $\alpha_i = p\beta_i$  for some  $\beta_i \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_i]$  for  $i = 0, 1$ ,
- (ii)  $\beta_0 \equiv \kappa_1(\beta_1)_0 \pmod{p}$ .

**Corollary 6.** *( $k = 2$ )  $\alpha = (\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2)$  is in  $\Phi(\mathbb{Z}[G])$  if and only if the following conditions hold;*

- (i)  $\alpha_0 \equiv \kappa_1(\alpha_1)_0 \pmod{p}$ ,
- (ii)  $\alpha_i \equiv \kappa_2(\alpha_2)_i \pmod{p}$  for  $i = 0, 1$ ,
- (iii)  $(\alpha_0 - \kappa_2(\alpha_2)_0)/p \equiv \kappa_1((\alpha_1 - \kappa_2(\alpha_2)_1)/p)_0 \pmod{p}$ .

*Therefore  $\alpha$  is in  $\Phi(p\mathbb{Z}[G])$  if and only if the following conditions hold;*

- (iv)  $\alpha_i = p\beta_i$  for some  $\beta_i \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_i]$  for  $i = 0, 1, 2$ ,
- (v)  $(\beta_0, \beta_1, \beta_2)$  satisfies the above conditions (i)-(iii).

**Corollary 7.** *( $k = 3$ )  $\alpha = (\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3)$  is in  $\Phi(\mathbb{Z}[G])$  if and only if the following conditions hold;*

- (i)  $\alpha_0 \equiv \kappa_1(\alpha_1)_0 \pmod{p}$ ,
- (ii)  $\alpha_i \equiv \kappa_2(\alpha_2)_i \pmod{p}$  for  $i = 0, 1$ ,
- (iii)  $(\alpha_0 - \kappa_2(\alpha_2)_0)/p \equiv \kappa_1((\alpha_1 - \kappa_2(\alpha_2)_1)/p)_0 \pmod{p}$ ,
- (iv)  $(\alpha_0 - \kappa_3(\alpha_3)_0, \alpha_1 - \kappa_3(\alpha_3)_1, \alpha_2 - \kappa_3(\alpha_3)_2)$  satisfies condition (iv), (v) of Corollary 6.

*Remark.* The congruence relations in  $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_i]$  can be made more explicit using the integral basis  $\{1, \lambda_i, \lambda_i^2, \dots, \lambda_i^{l-1}\}$  where  $l = p^{i-1}(p-1)$ . Let

$$\alpha = \sum_{j=0}^{l-1} a_j \lambda_i^j, \quad a_j \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ for } 0 \leq j \leq l-1.$$

Using the discrete valuation on  $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_i]$  with local uniformizer  $\lambda_i$ , it is easy to see that

$$\alpha \equiv 0 \pmod{p} \iff a_j \equiv 0 \pmod{p} \text{ for } 0 \leq j \leq l-1.$$

### References

- [1] D. Burns, *Congruences between derivatives of abelian L-functions at  $s = 0$* , Invent. Math. **169** (2007), no. 3, 451–499.
- [2] B. H. Gross, *On the values of abelian L-functions at  $s = 0$* , J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. IA Math. **35** (1988), no. 1, 177–197.
- [3] J. Lee, *Congruences of L-values for cyclic extensions*, Honam Math. J. **32** (2010), no. 4, 791–795.
- [4] B. Mazur and J. Tate, *Refined conjectures of the “Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer type”*, Duke Math. J. **54** (1987), no. 2, 711–750.
- [5] K. Rubin, *A Stark conjecture “over  $\mathbf{Z}$ ” for abelian L-functions with multiple zeros*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **46** (1996), no. 1, 33–62.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS EDUCATION  
 HONGIK UNIVERSITY  
 SEOUL 72-1, KOREA  
*E-mail address:* jglee@hongik.ac.kr