# Characterization of a novel wood mouse virus related to murid herpesvirus 4 
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## SUMMARY

We have isolated two novel gammaherpesviruses, one from a field vole (Microtus agrestis) and the other from wood mice (Apodemus sylvaticus). The genome of the latter, wood mouse herpesvirus (WMHV), was completely sequenced. WMHV had the same genome structure and predicted gene content as murid herpesvirus 4 (MuHV4; murine gammaherpesvirus 68). Overall nucleotide sequence identity between WMHV and MuHV4 was $85 \%$ and most of the 10 kbp region at the left end of the unique region is particularly highly conserved, especially the viral $t R N A$-like sequences and the coding regions of genes M1 and M4. The partial sequence (71913 bp) of another gammaherpesvirus, Brest herpesvirus (BRHV), which was isolated ostensibly isolated from a white-toothed shrew (Crocidura russula), was also determined. The BRHV sequence was 99.2 \% identical to the corresponding portion of the WMHV genome. Thus, WMHV and BRHV appear to be strains of a new virus species. Biological characterization of WMHV indicated that it grows with similar kinetics to MuHV4 in cell culture. The pathogenesis of WMHV in wood mice was also extremely similar to that of MuHV4, except for the absence of inducible bronchus-associated lymphoid tissue at day 14 post infection and a higher load of latently infected cells at 21 days post infection.

## INTRODUCTION

The most extensively characterized members of the family Herpesviridae that have hosts in the family Muridae are mouse cytomegalovirus (MCMV) and rat cytomegalovirus (RCMV), which are classified in the genus Muromegalovirus of the subfamily Betaherpesvirinae, and murid herpesvirus 4 (MuHV4; also known as murine gammaherpesvirus 68, often abbreviated to MHV-68 or yHV -68; species Murid herpesvirus 4), classified in the genus Rhadinovirus of the subfamily Gammaherpesvirinae (Davison et al., 2009; Efstathiou et al., 1990). Species in the genus Rhadinovirus also represent five herpesviruses of primates and one of ungulates. However, these viruses are not closely related to MuHV4, and the best estimate is that the lineages within the genus diverged approximately 60 million years ago (McGeoch et al., 2005). At least three other murid herpesviruses have been reported, though these are unclassified at present (Davison et al., 2009).

MuHV4 was originally isolated from bank voles (Myodes glareolus) and yellow-necked field mice (Apodemus flavicollis) in Slovakia (Blaskovic et al., 1980); reviewed in (Nash et al., 2001). An epidemiological survey of MuHV4 infection in free-living rodents in the UK (Blasdell et al., 2003) showed that MuHV4 is endemic in wood mice (but not bank voles), indicating that the wood mouse is a major natural host for this virus. Recent definitive molecular data has also shown that MuHV4 is present in free-living yellow-necked field and wood mice (Ehlers et al., 2007).

In consideration of these observations, a wood mouse infection model was developed as an alternative to a model utilizing the laboratory (house) mouse (Mus musculus), which has been used to date for MuHV4 studies (Hughes et al., submitted). In comparison with the $B A L B / c$ laboratory mouse, the features of MuHV4 infection in the wood mouse are: (i) after intranasal inoculation, viral titres achieved in the lung are approximately 1000-fold lower; (ii) replication is restricted to scattered alveolar epithelial cells and macrophages within focal granulomatous infiltrations, rather than being evident as a diffuse, T-cell dominated
interstitial pneumonitis; (iii) latently infected lymphocytes are abundant in inducible bronchus-associated lymphoid tissue (iBALT); (iv) the spleens of wood mice show reduced splenomegaly and leukocytosis; (v) well-delineated secondary follicles with classical germinal centres are formed; and (vi) titres of neutralizing antibody to MuHV4 are significantly higher.

The present study focuses on the isolation and genetic and biological characterization of a novel, MuHV4-like virus. Two independent strains were examined, one isolated in the present study from wood mice in Cheshire, UK and the other from a white-toothed shrew (Crocidura russula) in Brest, France (Chastel et al., 1994).

## RESULTS

## Genomic characterization of WMHV and BRHV

Three distinct viruses were obtained from free-living murids captured in Cheshire, UK. The isolation and TEM results are summarized in Table 1.

The TEM-positive samples gave rise to PCR products from the DPOL gene, and the TEMnegative samples did not (data not shown). The sequences of the 213-bp amplicons originating from WM1, WM2, WM7 and WM8 DPOL were identical to each other, regardless of the tissue from which the viruses were isolated. These sequences (minus the primers; 160 bp ) exhibited 89 \% nucleic acid identity and 94 \% predicted amino acid sequence identity to the corresponding region of MuHV4 DPOL. The FV1 DPOL amplicon was also 213 bp in size, and the 160-bp sequence (minus primers) was more closely related to MuHV4 than any other herpesvirus, at $61 \%$ nucleic acid and $54 \%$ amino acid sequence identity. The HM4 virus DNA yielded a DPOL PCR product of 231 bp (178 bp minus primers) that was closely related to MCMV (strain Smith) DPOL, at 99 \% nucleic acid and 100 \% amino acid sequence identity. These results confirm the identification of two novel gammaherpesviruses. The WM isolates were designated WMHV, and the FV1 isolate was designated field vole herpesvirus (FVHV).

An initial analysis of the coding regions of genes $M 1, M 2$ and $M 3$, which had been identified hitherto only in MuHV4, showed that cognate PCR products were detected in all WMHV isolates using MuHV4-specific primers, thus confirming the close relatedness of these isolates to MuHV4 (Fig. 1). In contrast, none of these genes were amplified from FVHV, consistent with its more distant relationship to MuHV4. The DNA sequences obtained from these PCR products for WMHV M1 and M3 were $97 \%$ and $94 \%$ identical to MuHV4 M1 and M3, respectively, while WMHV and MuHV4 M2 sequences were more divergent, sharing only 83 \% identity.

The complete nucleotide sequence of the WMHV genome was then determined. The
genome structure deduced from the WMHV genome sequence is the same as that of MuHV4, consisting of a unique region (U) flanked at both ends by multiple direct repeats of a terminal repeat (TR). In WMHV and MuHV4, the size of U is 118864 and 118211 bp, respectively, and that of TR is 1244 and 1240 bp. Overall nucleotide sequence identity is 85 \%. The predicted gene content of WMHV is the same as that for MuHV4, as represented by the most up-to-date annotation (NC_001826). A 71913-bp segment of the BRHV genome was sequenced. This represented TR (1265 bp; plus a partial copy) linked to the left portion of $U$ (70439 bp) terminating within ORF53. The BRHV sequence is $86 \%$ and $99.2 \%$ identical to the corresponding portion of the MuHV4 and WMHV genomes, respectively. The information used to annotate the genome sequences is shown in Supplementary Table S1.

Fig. 2 shows a representation of DNA sequence identity along the entire WMHV and MuHV4 genomes, and Fig. 3 provides detail on amino acid sequence identity between WMHV, BRHV and MuHV4 protein-coding regions. The most highly conserved regions between WMHV and MuHV4 include two sets of internal tandem repeats and the region from the left end of $U$ to the end of the $M 4$ coding region, which includes the eight viral tRNA-like genes (vtRNAs) (Bowden et al., 1997). Although the vtRNAs are well conserved, there are functionally relevant differences in the sequences of micro-RNAs (miRNAs) 1, 2, 5, 6 and 9 that are derived from vtRNA primary transcripts (Pfeffer et al., 2004)(Fig. 4). The most highly conserved coding regions at the amino acid sequence level are M4 (98.3 \%), ORF43 (97.2 \%), M1 (96.9 \%) and ORF60 (96.7 \%), and the least conserved are ORF73 (67.0 \%), ORF51 (68.0\%) and M2 (72.8 \%). In the comparable sequences, the most highly conserved coding regions at the amino acid sequence level between WMHV and BRHV are ORF8, ORF28, ORF29, ORF34, ORF43, ORF44 and ORF46 (each 100 \%), and the least conserved are ORF45 (95.2 \%), ORF51 (95.8 \%) and M2 (97.4 \%). Fig. 5 shows the amino acid sequence alignments for M1, M3 and M4 (which are related), and also M2, ORF51 and ORF73.

## Biological characterization of WMHV

The relative rates of growth of MuHV4 and WMHV in NIH3T3 cells were compared by determining a one-step growth curve as described previously (Macrae et al., 2001), and were not significantly different (data not shown).

Fig. 6 shows the features of infection of wood mice by WMHV in comparison with MuHV4. Infectious virus was detected in the lungs of wood mice infected with WMHV or MuHV4 at 7 dPI , but not at 14 dPI , and a significantly greater amount of infectious virus was recovered from MuHV4-infected wood mice at 7 dPI (Fig. 6a). The numbers of leukocytes per spleen isolated from infected wood mice were similar for WMHV and MuHV4 at all time points PI (Fig. 6b). There was an increase in the number of leukocytes at 14 dPI with both viruses, but this was marginal and transient, and infection with neither virus induced significant splenomegaly. In WMHV- and MuHV4-infected wood mice, the number of latently infected cells per spleen increased dramatically from 7 dPI , peaking at 14 dPI (Fig. 6c); the mean number of latently infected cells then declined approximately six-fold by 21 dPI in WMHVinfected animals and twenty-five-fold in the MuHV4-infected mice, and was largely unchanced at 28 dPI in both infections. The difference observed at 21 dPI was statistically significant ( $\mathrm{P}<0.05$ ).

Histological examination identified broadly similar changes in both WMHV- and MuHV4infected wood mice and similar to those reported in detail previously (D.J. Hughes et al. Submitted). On day 7 PI , mild to moderate perivascular or peribronchial, B cell dominated lymphocyte infiltration with evidence of B cell emigration from blood vessels was seen together with multifocal, predominantly perivascular macrophage and lymphocyte (i.e., granulomatous) infiltrates. There was a mild to moderate increase in the number of disseminated $T$ and $B$ cells in the interstitium. Viral antigen was scarce and seen in occasional alveolar epithelial cells (type I and II pneumocytes) and in macrophages within the granulomatous infiltrates. The mediastinal lymph nodes and spleens of these animals
contained primary and secondary follicles and unaltered T cell zones. Rare virus antigenpositive macrophages were seen in the lymph nodes. At 14 d PI , wood mice infected with MuHV4 displayed intense perivascular and peribronchial, B cell rich lymphocyte infiltration with evidence of lymphatic follicle formation (Fig. 7a, b). This has been described previously as being iBALT (D.J. Hughes, submitted). In contrast, in WMHV-infected animals moderate multifocal perivascular and peribronchial B cell infiltration and emigration was seen, but without distinct evidence of follicle formation (Fig. 7c, d). Granulomatous infiltrates were still observed in both groups; these contained macrophages exhibiting viral antigen. Large, well delineated secondary follicles were observed in the spleens, and virus antigen-positive macrophages were detected in the red pulp. At 20 d PI , both granulomatous infiltrates and perivascular and peribronchial lymphocyte infiltrations were still observed in the lungs. However, the follicle formation that was previously seen in MuHV4-infected wood mice had subsided. Spleens exhibited smaller secondary follicles than at day 14 PI . Virus antigenladen macrophages were seen in the spleen. In the lung, however, viral antigen expression was restricted to one individual macrophage in a granulomatous infiltrate in a MuHV4infected animal. By day 28 PI , the granulomatous infiltrates were few in number and small, but a mild to moderate perivascular and peribronchial lymphocyte infiltration remained. This persisted until day 46 d PI , to a mild degree. Thus, the changes observed were extremely similar except for the less intense $B$ cell infiltration and absence of iBALT in the lungs of WMHV-infected mice at day 14 PI .

## DISCUSSION

This study demonstrated the abundance of herpesviruses in natural populations of wood mice in Cheshire. Two novel gammaherpesviruses (FVHV and WMHV) were isolated. Previous analyses have concluded that herpesvirus genomes of less than $95 \%$ nucleotide sequence identity may represent different species (Ehlers et al., 2007). Thus, the degree of divergence between WMHV and MuHV4, both overall (85 \%) and within specific loci (e.g., M2 and ORF73) (Figs. 2, 3), is probably sufficient to warrant classification of WMHV as a new species. Under the current taxonomic scheme, in which murid herpesvirus species are named after the host family, this would be Murid herpesvirus 7. Although an epidemiological study of free-living rodents in the UK was unable to distinguish between the two viruses (Blasdell et al., 2003), a PCR-based study of mice trapped in Germany (Ehlers et al., 2007) indicated that MuHV4 is present predominantly in yellow-necked field mice (Apodemus flavicollis), whereas WMHV is present in wood mice (A. sylvaticus). However, MuHV4 was detected in some wood mice. Thus, it is possible that the two viruses normally infect different Apodemus species, but that there is some crossover. The biological characteristics of the two viruses in the wood mouse model exhibit significant similarities. However, the viruses do differ in their ability to grow in the lungs, in the development of iBALT, and also perhaps in the efficiency of reactivation from splenic leukocytes. Interestingly, WMHV was isolated from trigeminal ganglia as well as spleens, suggesting that this virus may be neurotropic during a natural infection. This hypothesis warrants further investigation.

The analysis of the sequence of a large portion of the genome of the BRHV genome showed that the relationship of this virus to WMHV is sufficiently close ( $99 \%$ identity) to warrant the consideration of WMHV and BRHV as strains of the same virus. Given that herpesviruses are thought generally to have evolved with their hosts (McGeoch et al., 2006), this relationship was unanticipated, since the wood mouse and white-toothed shrew are classified in different mammalian orders, Rodentia (family Muridae) and Insectivora (family Soricidae), respectively. Thus, the claimed insectivore source of BRHV must be viewed as
questionable. It is possible that the virus actually originated from a rodent, either by crossinfection in the wild or by laboratory contamination, since BRHV was isolated by passage in suckling mouse brains (Chastel et al., 1994).

Other viruses related to MuHV4 have been characterized, but none thus far has been shown to be sufficiently divergent from MuHV4 to form a new species. Viruses isolated from bank voles or yellow-necked field mice at the same time as MuHV4 (MHV-76, MHV-72, MHV-60 and MHV-78) are considered to be strains of MuHV4. MHV-76, although originally characterized as a novel alphaherpesvirus due to its cytopathic effect in vitro (Ciampor et al., 1981; Svobodova et al., 1982) and then as a betaherpesvirus (Hamelin \& Lussier, 1992), was conclusively demonstrated to be a gammaherpesvirus (Macrae et al., 2001). MHV-76 proved to be equivalent to MuHV4 with a 9538-bp deletion at the left end of $U$, which probably arose during passage of the virus in vivo or in vitro. MHV-72 ORF21 (encoding thymidine kinase) is identical in sequence to the corresponding MuHV4 gene (Raslova et al., 2000), and ORF51 (encoding gp150) differs by five nucleotide substitutions (Macakova et al., 2003). Analysis of 12 other loci has shown that MHV-72 is more divergent from MuHV4 than MHV-76, and that M1, M2 and M3 are absent; nonetheless, MHV-72 and MuHV4 are highly related (Oda et al., 2005). It seems likely that uncharacterized herpesviruses (MHV-60 and MHV-78) isolated at the same time as MuHV4 may also be strains of MuHV4 (Mistríková et al., 2000; Nash et al., 2001).

The WMHV genome is co-linear with that of MuHV4, and the two viruses have the same predicted gene content (Figs. 2, 3). The reason for the generally higher degree of conservation of sequences near the left end of $U$ is not known. Speculative explanations could centre on selective sweeps in this region of the genome or recombination between a WMHV-like virus and a virus more closely related to MuHV4. The noncoding sequences in this region, including the vtRNA-like transcripts, are generally highly conserved. However, there are differences in miRNAs 1, 2, 5, 6 and 9 that are derived from the primary transcripts
of these vtRNAs (Pfeffer et al., 2004) (Fig. 4) . The targets and exact functions of these miRNAs are not currently known (Pfeffer et al., 2004), but these differences could have functional consequences and the comparative data could be informative. In addition to noncoding regions in this locus, the M1 and M4 proteins are highly conserved (Fig. 5). It has been proposed that the most likely function for the M4 protein is as a modulator of the innate immune system. M4 is expressed in vitro with kinetics similar to immediate-early genes (Ebrahimi et al., 2003), and in vivo it is expressed during productive infection but not during latency (Virgin et al., 1999). M4 does not appear to have a role during the initial stages of infection in vivo, but is important during establishment of latency in the spleen (Evans et al., 2006; Geere et al., 2006). M1 has been shown to stimulate a $V \beta 4^{+} \mathrm{CD} 8^{+} \mathrm{T}$ cell in a way reminiscent of a superantigen and by doing this facilitate latent infection (Evans et al., 2008).

The M3 protein, which is related to M1 and M4, is also well conserved, but somewhat less so than the M1 and M4 proteins, particularly towards the N terminus (Fig. 2). The secreted M3 protein is expressed strongly during lytic infection and probably to a lesser extent during latency (Simas et al., 1999; Usherwood et al., 2000; van Berkel et al., 1999; Virgin et al., 1999). In vitro, the M3 protein selectively binds chemokines associated with the antiviral inflammatory response (Parry et al., 2000; van Berkel et al., 2000). In the laboratory mouse, M3 was found to have a role in enhancing the amplification of latently-infected $B$ cells by affecting the CD8+ T cell response (Bridgeman et al., 2001), although this function was not seen in an independent study (van Berkel et al., 2002). In the wood mouse model, M3 has a critical role in the amplification of latently-infected $B$ cells in the lung and the formation of iBALT containing these cells (Hughes et al, submitted for publication). Differences in M3 may therefore account for the lack of iBALT in WMHV-infected wood mice.

The M2 protein is the most divergent of the four proteins encoded by the left end of the genome, and is associated with latency (Husain et al., 1999). Numerous reports largely agree that $M 2$ is dispensable for long-term persistence, although MuHV4 recombinants
lacking a functional $M 2$ gene are less efficient in the establishment of latency following intranasal infection of mice (Clambey et al., 2002; Jacoby et al., 2002; Macrae et al., 2003; Simas et al., 2004). It has also been postulated that $M 2$ is required for efficient colonization of follicle B cells and the development of these cells into memory B cells, a cell type exploited by MuHV4 for long-term latency (Simas et al., 2004). Given the relationship, and possible overlap, between the hosts of WMHV and MuHV4, the divergence of the M2 gene in a region of low overall variation might reflect strong immune selection. Indeed, it has been shown that an H2-Kd-restricted CD8+ T cell epitope present in M2 (Husain et al., 1999) sets the latent load during persistent infection of laboratory mice (M. musculus) (Marques et al., 2008). However, this epitope is not conserved between MuHV4 and WMHV (Fig. 5b), suggesting that it may not be functional in the Apodemus hosts. The generation of greater numbers of infective centres (a measure of latency) in the spleens of the WMHV-infected wood mice at 21 dPI (Fig. 6c) raises the possibility that M2 may have evolved in this virus to augment the expansion of latently infected cells during the acute phase of latency. Experiments to address this hypothesis could involve replacing MuHV4 M2 with WMHV M2 and testing the phenotype in wood mice. Furthermore, numerous PXXP motifs are found throughout MuHV4 M2 (labelled P1-9, Fig.6c), some of which have been shown to functionally bind SH3-domain containing proteins, such as Vav1 (Madureira et al., 2005; Rodrigues et al., 2006). Of these, P3, P4 and P5 have not been conserved in WMHV or BRHV. Recent in vivo analysis showed that mutations of P3, P4 or P5 had no affect on the establishment of, or reactivation from, splenic latency (Herskowitz et al., 2008). Taken together, these motifs are unlikely to be important for M2's signalling function. In a similar vein, the tyrosine residues at positions 120 and 129 of M 2 , which have been proven to be functional (Herskowitz et al., 2008; Pires de Miranda et al., 2008), are conserved in both WMHV and BRHV, highlighting their importance for M2's signalling function.

The second most divergent protein in WMHV and MuHV4 is the virion glycoprotein gp150, which is encoded by ORF51. It seems likely that, in addition to exhibiting extensive
differences in amino acid sequence, these proteins are predicted to be N-glycosylated differently in the two viruses (Fig. 5c). Gp150 is a major target for the host antibody response, so it is likely to be under strong selective pressure (Gillet et al., 2007). However, it is not clear why this membrane glycoprotein is more variable than others encoded by the two viruses.

The most divergent protein in WMHV and MuHV4 is encoded by ORF73 (Fig. 5d). In vivo analyses of an MuHV4 mutant have shown that ORF73 is essential for the establishment and maintenance of latency (Fowler et al., 2003), and preliminary characterization of ORF73 mRNAs suggests that their transcription is similar to that of KSHV ORF73 encoding the protein LANA (Coleman et al., 2005). In a similar way to KSHV-LANA, the MuHV4 ORF73 protein interacts with cellular bromodomain-containing BET proteins leading to activation of the promoters of $\mathrm{G}_{1} / \mathrm{S}$ cyclins (Ottinger et al., 2009). The reason for the sequence variability in ORF73 is not clear. However, EBV EBNA1 (the functional analogue of rhadinovirus ORF73 proteins) shows considerable variability between strains (Wrightham et al., 1995), and this has consequences for EBV-associated disease (Mai et al., 2007; Wang et al., 2003), the function of EBNA1 as a transcriptional transactivator (Do et al., 2008) and the CD8+ T cell response (Bell et al., 2008).

In summary, WMHV is a novel MuHV4-like virus whose study will give further insight into gammaherpesvirus biology, especially in comparative terms alongside MuHV4.

## METHODS

## Cheshire herpesviruses

Isolation and growth. Eight wood mice (WM1 to WM8), a bank vole (BV1), a field vole (Microtus agrestis; FV1) and six house mice (HM1 to HM6) were captured in Cheshire during 2002. The animals were killed by cervical dislocation and trigeminal ganglia, lungs and spleens were removed for virus reactivation. Virus was reactivated from trigeminal ganglia by explant culture as described previously (Efstathiou et al., 1986). Virus was reactivated from the spleen by using an infectious centre assay (Sunil-Chandra et al., 1992). Lung tissue was homogenized and virus recovered as described previously (Stewart et al., 1998). Mouse NIH3T3 cells (Todaro \& Green, 1963) were used for all virus isolation experiments. Supernatants were examined as negatively stained preparations by transmission electron microscopy (TEM).

Preliminary sequence analysis. Samples were tested for the presence of herpesvirus DNA polymerase gene (DPOL) sequences by PCR. Whole-cell DNA from NIH3T3 cells was purified at 18-24 h post infection (PI) using a QIAamp DNA Mini Kit (Qiagen). PCR was carried out using the degenerate, deoxyinosine-substituted primers 5'-TGTAACTCGGTGTAYGGITTYACIGGIGT-3' and 5'-CACAGAGTCCGTRTCICCRTAIAT-3' (Ehlers et al., 1999). PCR products were inserted into pCR2.1topo (Invitrogen Life Technologies) and inserts were sequenced from three individual clones per product by Lark Technologies Inc., UK. Amino acid sequences deduced from the sequences of the PCR products were compared to known herpesvirus DPOL sequences using BLAST (Altschul et al., 1997).

To amplify protein-coding DNA from genes $M 1, M 2$ and $M 3$, the samples were subjected to PCR using primers M1-f/M1-r (5'-TCATTGAGCAGCGGCGAC-3' and 5'-GTATTCAGGCTTAGGACTG-3'; 1292 bp), M2-f/M2-r (5'-ATGGCCCCAACACCCCCAC-3' and 5'-ACTCCTCGCCCCACTCCAC-3'; 577 bp) and M3-f/M3-r (5'-CTCTGGGAGAGCGTCAG-3' and 5'-GTTACTGAGTATCAATGATCC-3'; 1251 bp),
respectively. PCR products were sequenced as described above. The sequences obtained, minus those of the primers, accounted for the entire protein-coding region of each gene but for a few codons at one or both ends.

Genome sequence analysis. Virus isolated from the WM8 spleen was plaque-purified three times from infected NIH3T3 cells overlaid with agarose, and a master stock of cellassociated virus was prepared and titrated. For the purposes of the present study, this virus was designated wood mouse herpesvirus (WMHV). WMHV was found to be primarily cellassociated in culture. To prepare virions for DNA extraction, WMHV was grown on a mouse cell line ( $\alpha \beta$ SV1) deficient in the response to $\alpha / \beta$ interferon. This line was derived by first generating mouse embryonic fibroblasts (Todaro \& Green, 1963) from interferon $\alpha / \beta$ receptor knockout mice (Muller et al., 1994). These cells were then transformed by transfection with a plasmid expressing SV40 T antigen (pVU0) (Kalderon et al., 1982) to generate an immortal cell line. The resulting cell line was found in preliminary experiments to release a much higher level of cell-free virus.

Twenty $150-\mathrm{cm}^{2}$ tissue culture flasks of sub-confluent $\alpha \beta S V 1$ cells were infected with WMHV at an MOI of 0.01 for 7 d. Virus DNA was then purified as described (Baldick et al., 1997) and its integrity confirmed by agarose gel electrophoresis.

The DNA was sequenced at the Wellcome Trust Sanger Institute by a standard random shotgun approach to an average coverage of 12 reads per nucleotide. Tandem repeat regions in the genome were determined using the program MREPS (Kolpakov et al., 2003), and the genome ends were inferred by comparison with the MuHV4 sequence (U97553; (Virgin et al., 1997). The main computer programs used to analyse the sequence were: for sequence annotation, Artemis (Rutherford et al., 2000), ACT (Carver et al., 2005), and Sequin (NCBI); for sequence alignment, ClustalW (Thompson et al., 1994) and Mafft (Katoh
\& Toh, 2008); for DNA sequence analyses, GCG (Accelrys) and EMBOSS (Rice et al., 2000); for amino acid sequence analysis, GCG, ExPASy (Gasteiger et al., 2003), PTrans (Taylor, 1986) and Philius (Reynolds et al., 2008); and for similarity searches, BLAST and FASTA and its relatives (Pearson \& Lipman, 1988).

Biological characterization. The growth properties of WMHV were compared with those of MuHV4 in laboratory-bred wood mice using the procedures described (Sunil-Chandra et al., 1992). All animal work was performed under UK Home Office Project Licence number 40/2483 and Personal Licence number 60/6501.

Wood mice (Apodemus sylvaticus) were obtained from an out-bred colony established at the Faculty of Veterinary Science, University of Liverpool (Bennett et al., 1997; Feore et al., 1997). This colony was obtained from Dr. J. Clarke in 1995, and was derived from captivebred colonies that had been maintained for several decades in the Department of Zoology, University of Oxford, with only occasional introductions of new stock from the wild. Their general housing and maintenance has been described elsewhere (Clarke, 1998), and at Liverpool they are maintained under semi-barrier conditions. The Liverpool colony has suffered no clinical disease, and, although not specified pathogen free (SPF) in the sense used for most laboratory rodents, all samples tested for the major infections of laboratory rodents have so far been negative. Of particular relevance to this study, no evidence of MuHV4 infection has been detected by serology and PCR analysis (Blasdell et al., 2003). Both male and female wood mice of $5-8$ weeks of age were used. They were infected intranasally with $4 \times 10^{5}$ PFU of virus, and the lungs, spleens and bronchial lymph nodes were harvested at various times PI. Lung tissue was homogenized, and the lysate was freeze-thawed three times and used in plaque assays. Leukocytes were purified from the spleens and counted, and virus reactivation was monitored using an infective centre assay. Tissues from infected wood mice were routinely processed for histopathological examination, including immunohistology.

## Brest herpesvirus

Isolation and growth. The herpesvirus (Brest/AN711) isolated from a white-toothed shrew (Chastel et al., 1994) was grown and titrated on baby hamster kidney (BHK) cells as described (Bridgeman et al., 2001). For the purposes of the present study, this virus was named Brest herpesvirus (BRHV). To prepare viral DNA, confluent monolayers of cells in $175-\mathrm{cm}^{2}$ flasks were infected at an MOI of 0.01 . When CPE was complete at approximately 4 d PI , virions were purified from the medium by Ficoll gradient ultracentrifugation as described (Lopes et al., 2004). Banded virus was diluted with PBS to a total volume of 30 ml , and pelleted at $30000 \times g$ for 90 min . The pelleted virus was resuspended in TE buffer containing $0.5 \%(\mathrm{w} / \mathrm{v})$ SDS and $50 \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{ml}^{-1}$ proteinase K . The mixture was incubated overnight at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and extracted with phenol, and the DNA precipitated in ethanol and dissolved in a small volume of TE, as described above.

Preliminary sequence analysis. Initial cloning involved the generation of a small library of bacteriophage M13 recombinants containing BRHV Alul fragments, using standard methods. The inserts in three recombinants were sequenced, and found by BLAST similarity search to be most closely related to the MuHV4 genome. Respectively, the insert sizes were 148, 145 and 156 bp and exhibited 89.2, 96.6 and 90.4 \% nucleotide sequence identity to ORF18, ORF31 and ORF60.

Partial genome sequence analysis. A cosmid library was generated from BRHV DNA as described (Cunningham \& Davison, 1993). Three overlapping cosmid clones constituting approximately 70 kbp of the genome were sequenced, the first by a standard random shotgun approach, and the other two by iterative primer-walking on both strands, based initially on data generated from the first cosmid or arising from the preliminary sequence analysis described above. The computer programs used for analysis are listed above.
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Table 1. Isolation of herpesviruses from free-living rodents in Cheshire.

| Animal $^{*}$ | Tissues <br> harvested $\dagger$ | Tissues yielding <br> CPE $\dagger$ | Herpesvirus <br> particles $\ddagger$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| WM1 | TG, S, L | TG, S | + |
| WM2 | TG, S, L | S | + |
| WM3 | TG, S, L | - | - |
| WM4 | TG, S, L | - | - |
| WM5 | TG, S, L | - | - |
| WM6 | TG, S, L | - | - |
| WM7 | TG, S, L | TG | + |
| WM8 | TG, S, L | TG, S | + |
| BV1 | TG, S, L | - | - |
| FV1 | S, L, | S | + |
| HM1 | TG, S, L | - | - |
| HM2 | TG, S, L | - | - |
| HM3 | TG, S, L | - | - |
| HM4 | TG, S, L | S | + |
| HM5 | TG, S, L | - | - |
| HM6 | TG, S, L | - | - |

*WM, wood mouse; BV, bank vole; FV, field vole; HM, house mouse; followed by a number for each animal.
$\dagger$ TG, trigeminal ganglia; S, spleen; L, lungs; -, no CPE.
$\ddagger+$, particles observed by EM; -, particles not observed by Transmission
Electron Microscopy on negatively stained preparations.

## FIGURE LEGENDS

Fig. 1. PCR amplification of the coding regions of genes (a) M1, (b) M2 and (c) M3 from viruses isolated from FV1, WM1, WM2, WM7 and WM8, in comparison with MuHV4. TG, trigeminal ganglia; S, spleen.

Fig. 2. Variation between the genome sequences of WMHV and MuHV4. The lower part of the panels represent the genome, commencing in the first panel at the start of $U$ and ending in the last panel with one copy of TR, which is shown in a thicker format. Protein-coding regions are depicted by shaded arrows, with connecting introns indicated by white horizontal bars, and genes encoding the tRNA-like genes (1-8) are shown as arrowheads. Internal tandem repeats are represented by black horizontal bars. The upper part of each panel shows the nucleotide divergence (nd) calculated for a 100-nucleotide window, shifted by increments of 3 nucleotides. A nucleotide position was counted as divergent if it differed between the two sequences; insertions or deletions were not scored.

Fig. 3. Divergence between the amino acid sequences of predicted protein-coding regions in WMHV, BRHV and MuHV4. The histogram illustrates sequence divergence (\% non-identity) between the amino acid sequence of predicted protein-coding regions in WMHV and MuHV4 (grey bars, all coding regions) and BRHV and WMHV (black bars, coding regions to ORF52)

Fig. 4. Alignments of the predicted nucleotide sequences of the tRNA-like genes and miRNAs from MuHV4 and WMHV. A diagrammatic representation of the genomic region showing the relative positions of these non-coding RNAs is shown at the top. The positions of the M1-M3 ORFs and viral tRNA-like transcripts (t1-t8) are shown by arrows. The positions of the miRNAs (miR-M1-1 through M1-9) derived from primary transcripts of the tRNA-like RNAs are shown by vertical lines. Sequence alignments of the $t R N A / m i R N A$ transcripts are shown below. The sections processed to generate the $t R N A$-like molecues are shaded grey and pre-miRNAs are shaded blue. The positions of the $A$ and $B$ box of the RNA Polymerase III promoters are shown by open boxes, as are the positions of the processed miRNAs. The positions of the anti-codons in the tRNAs are shown in blue type. Differences between MuHV4 and WMHV are shown in red type. Data for MuHV4 are from (Bowden et al., 1997) and (Pfeffer et al., 2004).

Fig. 5. Alignments of the predicted amino acid sequences of (a) M1, M3 and M4, (b) M2, (c) ORF51, and (d) ORF73. Each individual alignment consists of the sequences from MuHV4, WMHV and BRHV, with residues that differ from the consensus (or from each other in the case of ORF73) shaded grey. In (a), the alignments for M1, M3 and M4 are aligned with each other because these three proteins are related via the residues in bold type; each sequence contains a predicted signal peptide (lower case). In (b), the positions of PXXP motifs (P1-P9), tyrosine residues 120 and 129 and the CD8 CTL epitope (CTL) are indicated above the M 2 sequence. In (c), the bold residues indicate potential N -linked glycosylation sites in gp150 encoded by ORF51. In (d), the positions of the Brd4- and Brd2interacting domains of the ORF73 protein are shown above the sequences.

Fig. 6. Virological analyses of WMHV infection of wood mice. Wood mice (three per timepoint) were infected intranasally with $4 \times 10^{5}$ PFU of MuHV4 or WMHV. Bars represent standard deviation from the mean; the asterisk represents statistically significant differences between species ( $p<0.05$ ). (a) Infectious virus recovered from the lung at 7 and 14 dPI . Titres were measured by plaque assay on NIH3T3 cells. (b) Mean leukocyte numbers per spleen. (c) Infective centre assay of the level of latency in splenocytes. Infectious virus titres in the samples were analysed in parallel and were subtracted from the total infectious centres.

Fig. 7. Cellular response to WMHV and MuHV4 infection in the lungs of wood mice at 14 d PI. (a \& b), Infection with MuHV4; (a) Intense peribronchial focal lymphocyte infiltration with evidence of lymphatic follicle formation (F). B, bronchiole. HE stain. Bar $=50 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. (b) Focal perivascular B cell infiltration with lymphatic follicle formation (F). A, artery. Staining for the B cell marker CD45R, avidin biotin peroxidase complex method, Papanicolaou's haematoxylin counterstain. Bar $=20 \mu \mathrm{M}$. (c \& d) Infection with WMHV; (c) Moderate peribronchiolar focal lymphocyte infiltration (arrows). B, bronchiole. HE stain. Bar $=20 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. (d) Artery with focal B cell-dominated (CD45R-positive) perivascular lymphocyte infiltration (arrows). There is evidence of $B$ cell rolling and emigration (arrowheads). Bar $=20 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.
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| MuHV4 M1 | mqlatlcllscilgqs.......iaHWPSVVNIETYPFPEDDTKEDMRDYLFLVQNCLLQDNFNATYCSDSFEKLDKRSHFTLPDTCNVKTTFLVNY |
| :---: | :---: |
| WMHV M1 | mwlatlcllscilgqg. . . . . . iaYWPSVVNIETYPFPEDDTKEDMRDYLFLVQNCLLQDNFNATYCSDSFEKLDKRSHFTLPDTCNVKTTFLVNY |
| BRHV M1 | mwlatlcllscilgqg.......iaYWPSVVNIETYPFPEDDTKEDMRDYLFLVQNCLLQDNFNATYCSDSFEKLDKRSHFTLPDTCNVKTTFLVNY |
| MuHV4 M3 | maflstsvlikccilllagglaesltlgLAPALSTHSSGVSTQSVDLSQIKRGDEIQAHCLTPAETEVTECAGILKDVLSKNLHELQGLCNVKNKMGVPW |
| WMHV M3 | mafqtpsmlikccilllvgvvaesTSIGLRPALTTYSSGVTTQSVDLSQIKRGAEIQAHCLTPAETEVTECASILKDVLAQNLHELQGLCNVKNKMGAPW |
| BRHV M3 | mafqtpsmlikccilllvgvvaesTSIGLRPALTTYSSGVTTQSVDLSQIKRGAEIQAHCLTPAETEVTECASILKDVLAQNLHELQGLCHVSNKMGAPW |
| MuHV4 M4 | mgplgrpwaasfgffflavvslaTPTPGEDDDIPVKIHRLTFVKGLVPDVTGGS.SYYVCVYPSRKLFCTPTRWKDLSRELNSETLNQVCSARTIYSVVP |
| WMHV M4 | mgplgrpwamsfgffflavislatptpgEDDDIPVKIHRLTFVKGLVPDVTGGS.SYYVCVYPSRKLFCTPTRWKDLSRELNSETLNQVCSARTIYSVVP |
| BRHV M4 | mgplgrpwaasfgffflavislatptpgEDDDIPVKIHRLTFVKGLVPDVTGGS.SYYVCVYPSRKLFCTPTRWKDLSRFLNSETLNQVCSARTIYSVVP |
| MuHV4 M1 | N. RHKYGIFKFESRLPLPTMASATSGRVIKVLVLAEAGR. . . . PWKRHWANLAMVTYSNVVRLTDFNAKFRTRFSRIWSVTLDRHEVDLDLTF. . . AGFL |
| WMHV M1 | N. RHKYGMFKFESRLPLPTMASATSGRVIKVLVLAEAGR. . . PWKRHWANLAMVTYSNVVRLTDLNAKFRTRFSRIWSVTLDRHEVDLDLTF. . . AGFL |
| BRHV M1 | N. RHKYGMFKFESRLPLPTMASATSGRVIKVLVLAEAGR. . . PWKRHWANLAMVTYSNVVRLTDLNAKFRTRFSRIWSVTLDRHEVDLDLTF. . . AGFL |
| MuHV4 M3 | VSVEELGQEIITGRLPFPSVGGTPVNDLVRVLVVAESNTPEETPEEEFYAYVELQTELYTFGLSDDNVVFTSDYMTVWMIDIPKSYVDVGMLT...RATF |
| WMHV M3 | VSVEELGQEIITGRLPFPSVGGTPVNDLVRVLVVAESNTPEETPEEEFYAYVELQTGLYTFGLADANVVYASEYMTIWMIDIPRSYVDDGMLT. . . RATF |
| BRHV M3 | VSVEELGQEIIAGHLPFPSVGGTPVNDLVRVLVVAESNTPETTPDEEFYAYVELQTELYTFGLADANVVYASEYMTIWMIDIPKSYVDVGMLT. . .RATF |
| MuHV4 M4 | VEMLRIISLPLPPEIKS.IVGGSIRSQYVSFPTFISLHPAKLPIWKSFANLKVSMKFRSSQWEVAFSVVSKTDYAITYWAEIPGFLIHESATINLINQPL |
| WMHV M4 | VEMLRIISLPLPPEIKS.IVGGSIRSQYVSFPTFISLHPAKLPIWKSFANLKVSMKFRSSQWEVAFSVVSKTDYAITYWAEIPGFLIHESATINLINQPL |
| BRHV M4 | VEMLRIISLPLPPEIKS.IVGGSIRSQYVSFPTFISLHPAKLPIWKSFANLKVSMKFRSSQWEVAFSVVSKTDYAITYWAEIPGFLIHESATINLINQPL |
| MuHV4 M1 | FAAPESVQLTLLMDYVPTFTWCGQI.SLNDPDLPVPSFQAIRTLPVMCFP. . . MWRYLNGQDFHHQDGCHQESK. WWNPTHIIPRLNPGTES... HNIT |
| WMHV M1 | FAAPESVQLTLLMDYVPTFTWCGQI.SLKDPDLPVPSFQAIRTLPVMCFP. . . .MWRYLNGQDFHHQDGCHQESN. WWNPTHIIPRLNPGRES . . . HNIT |
| BRHV M1 | FAAPESVQLTLLMDYVPTFTWCGQI.SLKDPDLPVPSFQAIRTLPVMCFP. . . MWRYLNGQDFHHQDGCHQESN.WWNPTHIIPRLNPGTES... HNIT |
| MuHV4 M3 | LEQWPGAKVTVMIPYSSTFTWCGELGAISEESAPQPSLSAR. . SPVCKN. . . .SARYSTS.KFCEVDGCTAETG.MEKMSLLTP...FGGPP...QQAK |
| WMHV M3 | LEQWPGAKVTVMIPYSSTFTWCGEIGAISEESAPQPSLSAR. . SPVCKN. . . SARYSTS.KFCEVDGCTAETG.MEKMSLLTP....FGGPP. . . QQAK |
| BRHV M3 | LEQWPGAKVTVMIPYSSTFTWCGEIGAISEESAPQPSLSAR. . SPVCKN. . . . SARYSTS.KFCEVDGCTAETG.MEKMSLLTP. . . FGGPP. . . QQAK |
| MuHV4 M4 | LALYADLHVDMVMRLTDKFIYCQTY.TLQQKNLTDPRTGKRPTSSVLIPSPHVKNCQIRRRNETHFVDTCSSAWDNYTSEAHNISRNSSSRGSNATQLVN |
| WMHV M4 | LALYADLHVDMVMRLTDKFIYCQTY. TLQQKNLTDPRTGKRPTSSVLIPSPHVKNCQIRRRNETHFVETCSSAWDNYTSEAHNISRNSSSRGSNITQLVN |
| BRHV M4 | LALYADLHVDMVMRLTDKFIYCQTY.TLQQKNLTDPRTGKRPTSSVLIPSPHVKNCQIRRRNETHFVETCSSAWDNYTSEAHNISRNSSSRGSNVTQLVN |
| MuHV4 M1 | LNTCVCHVKYNDLQELDTAHRIKILTISNFFGFYKPLYVLVTYFGSSDVNVEGQAPPLQYCVVFIHRGNYGFFRTRQRGDPDCPCHFSLG. .RDEIVLVG |
| WMHV M1 | LNTCVCHVKYNDLKELDAAHRIKILTISNFFGFYKPLYVLVTYFGSSDVNVEGPAPPLQYCVVFIHRGNYGFFRTRQRGDPDCPCHFSLG. .RDELVLVG |
| BRHV M1 | LNTCVCHVKYNDLQELDAAHRIKILTISNFFGFYKPLYVLVTYFGSSDVNVEGPAPPLQYCVVFIHRGNYGFFRTRQRGDPDCPCHFSLG. .RDELVLVG |
| MuHV4 M3 | MNTCPCYYKY.SVSPLPAMDHLILADLAGLDSLTSPVYVMAAYFDSTHENPVRPSSKLYHCALQMTS.HDGVWTSTS..SEQCPIRLVEGQSQNVLQVRV |
| WMHV M3 | MNTCPCYYKY.SVSPLPAMDHLILADLAGLDSLTSPVYVMAAYFDSTHENPVRPSSKLYHCALQMTS.HDGVWTSTS..SEQCPIRLVEGQSRNVLQVLV |
| BRHV M3 | MNTCPCYYKY.SVSPLPAMDHLILADLAGLDSLTSPVYVMAAYFDSTHENPVRPSSKLYHCALQMTS.HDGVWTSTS..SEQCPIRLVEGQSRNVLQVLV |
| MuHV4 M4 | ITANPCTLPT.LWDNWPCYTNYRSSPVPEIVIHENILLEGRAIYIIYHQIGLFDQPRLCVATFWMSK......EETLLMQLDYPCEVSVEKKGKKFFIKS |
| WMHV M4 | ITANPCTLPT.LWDNWPCYTNYRSSPVPEIVIHENILLEGRAIYIIYHQIGLFDQPRLCVATFWMSK. . . . .EETLLMQLDYPCEVSVEKKGKKFLIKS |
| BRHV M4 | ITANPCTLPT.LWDNWPCYTNYRSSPVPEIVIHENILLEGRAIYIIYHQIGLFDQPRLCVATFWMSK. . . . .EETLLMQLDYPCEVSVEKKGKKFLIKS |
| MuHV4 M1 | HYVDVKRIVGITIFFDGQEHRISYLGKLSRAAVVGDDTTNKIIFPGQQS |
| WMHV M1 | HYVDVKRIVGITIFFDGQEHRISYLGKLSRAAVVGDDTTNKIFFPGQQS |
| BRHV M1 | HYVDVKRIVGITIFFDGQEHRISYLGKLSRAAVVGDDTTNKIFFPGQQS |
| MuHV4 M3 | APTSMPNLVGVSLMLEGQQYRLEYFGDH |
| WMHV M3 | APTSMPKLVGVSLMLEGQQYRLEYFGDH |
| BRHV M3 | APTSMPKLVGVSLMLEGQQYRLEYFGDH |
| MuHV4 M4 | VVSMYHAISMVTFIWEYGIEIYDFLE |
| WMHV M4 | IVSTYHAISMVTFIWEYGIEIYDFLE |
| BRHV M4 | IVSTYHAISMVTFIWEYGIEIYDFLE |

(b)

MuHV4 M2 WMHV M2
 MAPTPPQGKIPNQWPGGSSQNPVLWGDGTDGHNSPKEPWILGQVPCDHRGGHPAGNKNSSSTSGGKPHRRSWPRWRVPVSLKARFHKFRSTIRSPRNIE. MAPTPPQGKIPKQWPGGSSQNPVLWGDGTDGHNSPKEPWILGQVPCDHRGGHPAGNKNSSSTSGGKPHRRSWPRWRVPVSLKARFDKFRSTIRSPRNTE.

MuHV4 M2
WMHV M2 BRHV M2
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(C) MuHV4 ORF51 MuHV4 ORF51
WMHV ORF51 WMHV ORF51

MuHV4 ORF51 WMHV ORF51 BRHV ORF51 MuHV4 ORF51 WMHV ORF51 BRHV ORF51

MuHV4 ORF51 WMHV ORF51 BRHV ORF51

MuHV4 ORF51 WMHV ORF51 BRHV ORF51
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(d) $\begin{aligned} & \text { Murfy } 4 \text { arr73 } \\ & \text { what }\end{aligned}$ WMHV ORF73 MuHV4 ORF73 WMHV ORF73
(a)

(b)

(c)


Table S1. Information used to annotate the WMHV and MuHV4 genome sequences

|  | Protein name* | Family | Description | EC no. | Activity |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M1 | protein M1 | M1 | contains a signal peptide; secreted protein |  | unknown |
| M2 | protein M2 |  | interacts with Vav oncoprotein; involved in B cell proliferation and differentiation |  | immune regulation; latency |
| M3 | chemokine-binding protein M3 | M1 | contains a signal peptide; secreted protein |  | immune regulation |
| M4 | chemokine-binding protein M4 | M1 | contains a signal peptide; secreted protein |  | immune regulation |
| ORF4 | complement control protein | CCP | type 1 envelope glycoprotein; contains four SCR domains |  | immune regulation |
| ORF6 | single-stranded DNA-binding protein |  | contains a zinc-finger |  | DNA replication; possibly gene regulation |
| ORF7 | DNA packaging terminase subunit 2 |  |  |  | DNA encapsidation |
| ORF8 | envelope glycoprotein B |  | type 1 membrane protein; possible membrane fusogen; binds cell surface heparan sulphate |  | cell entry; cell-to-cell spread |
| ORF9 | DNA polymerase catalytic subunit |  |  | 2.7.7.7 | DNA replication |
| ORF10 | protein G10 | DURP |  |  | unknown |
| ORF11 | virion protein G11 | DURP |  |  | unknown |
| ORF12 | E3 ubiquitin ligase MIR1 | MIR | type 3 membrane protein; 2 transmembrane domains; contains a PHD finger; downregulates MHC-I |  | immune regulation |
| 13M | protein 13M |  | hypothetical protein encoded by 5 '-region of ORF12 transcript |  | unknown |
| ORF17.5 | capsid scaffold protein |  | clipped near C terminus |  | capsid morphogenesis |
| ORF17 | capsid maturation protease |  | serine protease (N-terminal region); minor scaffold protein (remainder of protein, clipped near C terminus) | 3.4.21.97 | capsid morphogenesis |
| ORF18 | protein UL79 |  | required for expression of late genes |  | gene regulation |
| ORF19 | DNA packaging tegument protein UL25 |  | located on capsid near vertices; possibly stabilizes the capsid and retains the genome |  | DNA encapsidation |
| ORF20 | nuclear protein UL24 |  |  |  | unknown |
| ORF21 | thymidine kinase |  |  | 2.7.1.21 | nucleotide metabolism |
| ORF22 | envelope glycoprotein H |  | type 1 membrane protein; possible membrane fusogen; complexed with envelope glycoprotein L |  | cell entry; cell-to-cell spread |
| ORF23 | protein UL88 |  |  |  | unknown |
| ORF24 | virion protein UL87 |  |  |  | unknown |
| ORF25 | major capsid protein |  | 6 copies form hexons, 5 copies form pentons |  | capsid morphogenesis |
| ORF26 | capsid triplex subunit 2 |  | complexed 2:1 with capsid triplex subunit 1 to connect capsid hexons and pentons |  | capsid morphogenesis |
| ORF27 | envelope glycoprotein 48 |  | type 2 membrane protein |  | cell-to-cell spread |
| ORF28 | envelope glycoprotein 150 |  | type 1 membrane protein |  | immune regulation |
| ORF29 | DNA packaging terminase subunit 1 |  | contains an ATPase domain |  | DNA encapsidation |
| ORF30 | protein UL91 |  |  |  | unknown |
| ORF31 | protein UL92 |  |  |  | unknown |
| ORF32 | DNA packaging tegument protein UL17 |  | capsid-associated |  | DNA encapsidation; capsid transport |
| ORF33 | tegument protein UL16 |  |  |  | possibly virion morphogenesis |
| ORF34 | protein UL95 |  |  |  | unknown |
| ORF35 | tegument protein UL14 |  |  |  | virion morphogenesis |
| ORF36 | tegument serine/threonine protein kinase | PK |  | 2.7.11.1 | protein phosphorylation |
| ORF37 | deoxyribonuclease |  |  |  | DNA processing |
| ORF38 | myristylated tegument protein |  | envelope-associated |  | virion morphogenesis |
| ORF39 | envelope glycoprotein M |  | type 3 membrane protein; 8 transmembrane domains; complexed with envelope glycoprotein N |  | virion morphogenesis; membrane fusion |
| ORF40 | helicase-primase subunit |  |  |  | DNA replication |
| ORF42 | tegument protein UL7 |  |  |  | virion morphogenesis |
| ORF43 | capsid portal protein |  | dodecamer located at one capsid vertex in place of a penton |  | DNA encapsidation |


| helicase-primase helicase subunit |  |  |  | DNA replication |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| tegument protein G45 |  |  |  | unknown |
| uracil-DNA glycosylase |  |  | 3.2.2.3 | DNA repair |
| envelope glycoprotein L |  | complexed with envelope glycoprotein H |  | cell entry; cell-to-cell spread |
| tegument protein G48 |  |  |  | unknown |
| protein G49 |  | cooperates with protein Rta |  | gene regulation |
| protein Rta |  |  |  | gene regulation; latency |
| envelope glycoprotein 350 |  | type 1 membrane protein |  | cell attachment |
| virion protein G52 |  |  |  | unknown |
| envelope glycoprotein N |  | type 1 membrane protein; complexed with envelope glycoprotein $M$ |  | virion morphogenesis; membrane fusion |
| deoxyuridine triphosphatase | DURP |  | 3.6.1.23 | nucleotide metabolism |
| tegument protein UL51 |  |  |  | virion morphogenesis |
| helicase-primase primase subunit |  |  |  | DNA replication |
| multifunctional expression regulator | MER | RNA-binding protein; shuttles between nucleus and cytoplasm; inhibits pre-mRNA splicing; exports virus mRNA from nucleus; exerts most effects post-transcriptionally |  | gene regulation; RNA metabolism and transport |
| envelope protein UL43 |  | type 3 membrane protein; 11 transmembrane domains |  | possibly membrane fusion |
| DNA polymerase processivity subunit |  | dsDNA-binding protein |  | DNA replication |
| ribonucleotide reductase subunit 2 |  |  | 1.17.4.1 | nucleotide metabolism |
| ribonucleotide reductase subunit 1 |  |  | 1.17.4.1 | nucleotide metabolism |
| capsid triplex subunit 1 |  | complexed 1:2 with capsid triplex subunit 2 to connect capsid hexons and pentons |  | capsid morphogenesis |
| tegument protein UL37 |  | complexed with large tegument protein |  | virion morphogenesis |
| large tegument protein |  | complexed with tegument protein UL37; ubiquitin-specific protease (N-terminal region) |  | capsid transport |
| small capsid protein |  | located externally on capsid hexons |  | capsid morphogenesis; possibly capsid transport |
| protein UL49 |  |  |  | unknown |
| nuclear egress type 2 membrane protein |  | interacts with nuclear egress lamina protein |  | nuclear egress |
| DNA packaging protein UL33 |  | interacts with DNA packaging terminase subunit 2 |  | DNA encapsidation |
| DNA packaging protein UL32 |  |  |  | DNA encapsidation; possibly capsid transport |
| nuclear egress lamina protein |  | interacts with nuclear egress type 2 membrane protein |  | nuclear egress |
| cyclin |  |  |  | cell cycle regulation |
| apoptosis regulator M11 | Bcl-2 |  |  | apoptosis |
| nuclear antigen LANA |  | chromosome-tethering protein |  | latency |
| membrane protein G74 | GPCR | type 3 membrane protein; 7 transmembrane domains |  | intracellular signalling |
| tegument protein G75C | FGARAT |  |  | unknown |
| protein G75B | FGARAT |  |  | unknown |
| protein G75A | FGARAT |  |  | unknown |
| Protein names are a provisional standard for all herpesviruses |  |  |  |  |
| Encoded by a core gene (i.e. inherited from an ancestor of alpha-, beta- and gammaherpesviruses) |  |  |  |  |
| Encoded by a betagamma gene (i.e. inherited from an ancestor of beta- and gammaherpesviruses) |  |  |  |  |
| Encoded by a gamma gene (i.e. inherited from an ancestor of gammaherpesviruses) |  |  |  |  |
| Encoded by a gene specific to a subset of gammaherpesviruses |  |  |  |  |
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