

## GOPEN ACCESS

**Citation:** Vinueza-Burgos C, Ortega-Paredes D, Narváez C, De Zutter L, Zurita J (2019) Characterization of cefotaxime resistant *Escherichia coli* isolated from broiler farms in Ecuador. PLoS ONE 14(4): e0207567. <u>https://doi.org/10.1371/</u> journal.pone.0207567

**Editor:** Sarah Tschudin-Sutter, University Hospital Basel, SWITZERLAND

Received: October 30, 2018

Accepted: March 27, 2019

Published: April 5, 2019

**Copyright:** © 2019 Vinueza-Burgos et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the <u>Creative Commons Attribution License</u>, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

**Data Availability Statement:** All relevant data are within the manuscript.

**Funding:** This work was supported by Secretaría de Educación Superior Ciencia y Tecnología del Ecuador; Programa de Becas Convocatoria Abierta 2012 Segunda Fase; <u>http://www.</u>

<u>educacionsuperior.gob.ec</u>; to CVB. The funder had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript. **RESEARCH ARTICLE** 

# Characterization of cefotaxime resistant *Escherichia coli* isolated from broiler farms in Ecuador

# Christian Vinueza-Burgos<sup>1\*</sup>, David Ortega-Paredes<sup>1,2</sup>, Cristian Narváez<sup>1</sup>, Lieven De Zutter<sup>3</sup>, Jeannete Zurita<sup>2</sup>

1 Unidad de Investigación de Enfermedades Transmitidas por Alimentos y Resistencias a los Antibióticos, Facultad de Medicina Veterinaria y Zootecnia, Universidad Central del Ecuador, Quito, Ecuador, 2 Unidad de Investigaciones en Biomedicina, Zurita&Zurita Laboratorios, Quito, Ecuador, 3 Department of Veterinary Public Health and Food Safety, Faculty of Veterinary, Ghent University, Merelbeke, Belgium

\* cvinueza@uce.edu.ec

### Abstract

Antimicrobial resistance (AR) is a worldwide concern. Up to a 160% increase in antibiotic usage in food animals is expected in Latin American countries. The poultry industry is an increasingly important segment of food production and contributor to AR. The objective of this study was to evaluate the prevalence, AR patterns and the characterization of relevant resistance genes in Extended Spectrum β-lactamases (ESBL) and AmpC-producing E. coli from large poultry farms in Ecuador. Sampling was performed from June 2013 to July 2014 in 6 slaughterhouses that slaughter broilers from 115 farms totaling 384 flocks. Each sample of collected caeca was streaked onto TBX agar supplemented with cefotaxime (3 mg/l). In total, 176 isolates were analyzed for AR patterns by the disk diffusion method and for bla<sub>CTX-M</sub>, bla<sub>TEM</sub>, bla<sub>CMY</sub>, bla<sub>SHV</sub>, bla<sub>KPC</sub>, and mcr-1 by PCR and sequencing. ESBL and AmpC E. coli were found in 362 flocks (94.3%) from 112 farms (97.4%). We found that 98.3% of the cefotaxime-resistant isolates were multi-resistant to antibiotics. Low resistance was observed for ertapenem and nitrofurantoin. The most prevalent ESBL genes were the ones belonging to the bla<sub>CTX-M</sub> group (90.9%), specifically the bla<sub>CTX-M-65</sub>, bla<sub>CTX-M-55</sub> and *bla<sub>CTX-M-3</sub>* alleles. Most of the AmpC strains presented the *bla<sub>CMY-2</sub>* gene. Three isolates showed the mcr-1 gene. Poultry production systems represent a hotspot for AR in Ecuador, possibly mediated by the extensive use of antibiotics. Monitoring this sector in national and regional plans of AR surveillance should therefore be considered.

### Introduction

Antimicrobial resistance (AR) is a worldwide concern. It is expected that deaths linked to AR could rise from 700,000 to 10 million deaths per year by 2050[1]. On the other hand, In developed countries, an estimated 23,000 (United States) to 25,000 (Europe) deaths are attributable to resistant pathogens each year [2].

In terms of economic loses, AR could cause a global loss of production as high as 100 trillion dollars which represents a huge impact on the economy of all countries, especially **Competing interests:** The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

developing countries [1]. This problem will not only result in increased illnesses, disabilities and deaths but also puts at risk the achievement of Sustainable Development Goals for the next 30 years [3,4].

It has been observed that AR in relevant pathogens has increased in recent years [5,6]. The causes of this phenomenon are complex and are mainly linked to selective pressures triggered by antibiotic usage [7]. Inappropriate prescription of antimicrobials, unauthorized sale of antibiotics and the extensive use of these drugs in animal production are mayor factors that contribute to this problem [8,9]. For example, in 2010, more than 63,000 tons of antibiotics were used in livestock [10]. Moreover, it has been projected that by 2030 the use of antibiotics in livestock will double in countries such as Brazil, Russia, India and South Africa. Furthermore, up to a 160% increase in antibiotic usage in food animals is expected in Latin American countries [11].

Worldwide, the poultry industry is an increasingly important segment of food production. In fact, it is expected that by 2025, poultry will be the most important sector of meat production [11,12]. Widespread use of antibiotics in the poultry industry impacts the AR problem. This issue is especially relevant in developing countries where antimicrobials are not only used therapeutically but also prophylactically and as growth promoters [13,14].

Among the antibiotics used in livestock production, some are listed in the WHO list of critically important antimicrobials for human medicine. This group includes third generation cephalosporines, carbapenems and colistin, all of which are categorized as highest priority [15]. Additionally, extended-spectrum  $\beta$ -lactamases (ESBL)- and AmpC-producing *E. coli*, and carbapenem-resistant *E. coli* are listed as high priority organisms for which new antibiotics are urgently needed [16].

*E. coli* harboring resistance determinants originating in the poultry industry are therefore of great epidemiological interest because they can serve as reservoirs of resistance genes that can be transferred to human pathogens [17]. A relationship between resistant strains of *E. coli* from poultry and those found in humans has been suggested in several studies [18–20]. However, information about resistant *E. coli* in industrial poultry has been poorly studied in Latin America. The objective of this study was to evaluate the prevalence and AR patterns of and to characterize relevant resistance genes in ESBL and AmpC *E. coli* from large poultry farms in Ecuador.

#### Material and methods

#### Study design and sampling

Pichincha, the province where Quito, the capital city of Ecuador is located, was selected for the collection of samples since 36% of the total Ecuadorian broiler production is located in this and surrounding provinces [21]. Eight large slaughterhouses are located in Pichincha [21] and all were asked to participate in the study. Sampling was performed in the 6 participating slaughterhouses which slaughter broilers from 115 farms with a median capacity of 100.000 broilers. These farms deliver broilers to be consumed mainly at Pichincha province. From June 2013 to July 2014, a total of 384 flocks (birds coming from one house and slaughtered on the same day) were sampled. All sampled flocks from the same farm originated from different houses or birds reared during different periods in the same house.

In Ecuador, commercial broiler farm management includes the total depopulation of houses and removal of the litter after every flock, cleaning and disinfection of the house followed by a dormant period of 8 to 15 days. All sampled flocks were commercially reared and slaughtered at the age of 6 to 7 weeks. From each batch, caeca from 25 randomly selected chickens were collected, and transported in an ice box within 1 hour to the laboratory for bacteriological analysis.

#### Isolation and identification of ESBL/AmpC E. coli

Caeca from each flock were immersed in 98% ethanol to eliminate surface bacteria present due to human handling. After evaporation of the ethanol, approximately 1 g of fecal content from each of the 25 samples was collected and pooled in a sterile plastic bag. The pooled sample was homogenized by hand for 1 minute.

Each sample was streaked onto chromogenic Tryptone Bile X-Glucuronide (TBX) agar (BioRad) supplemented with cefotaxime (3 mg/l) [22]. Positive plates where considered when at least one typical colony could be selected (when possible, two sample colonies were selected) and confirmed to be *E. coli* using Triple Sugar Iron agar (Difco, BD) and by PCR [23]. From this medium, one loopful was used to extract DNA by the boiling method. Another loopful was used to subculture the isolate in trypticase soy broth (Difco, BD) and stored with glycerol (60%) at -80°C. All cefotaxime resistant *E. coli* isolates were further examined for the presence of ESBL using ceftazidime, ceftazidime/clavulanate, cefotaxime, cefotaxime/clavulanate disks [24] and for the AmpC phenotype using boronic acid, ceftazidime and cefepime disks [25].

#### Antimicrobial resistance and PCR screening

When detected, one isolate with ESBL phenotype and/or one isolate with AmpC phenotype from each farm were selected for analysis by the Kirby Bauer method. AR profiles were evaluated using clinical breakpoint values from the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI,2018) [24]. The following antibiotics were evaluated: trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole, nalidixic acid, ciprofloxacin, gentamicin, kanamycin, streptomycin, tetracycline, chloram-phenicol, fosfomycin, tetracycline, doxycycline, ceftazidime and ertapenem. *E. coli* ATCC 25922 was used as a quality control strain.

Selected isolates for AR testing were studied by PCR to identify  $bla_{CTX-M}$ ,  $bla_{TEM}$ ,  $bla_{CMY}$ ,  $bla_{SHV}$  and  $bla_{KPC}$ . PCR conditions and primers were those described by [26] for  $bla_{CTX-M}$ , [27] for  $bla_{TEM}$ , [28] for  $bla_{CMY}$ , [29] for  $bla_{SHV}$  and [30] for  $bla_{KPC}$ . Sub-families of  $bla_{CTX-M}$  genes were identified with PCR protocols described by [31] for  $bla_{CTX-M-1}$ , [32] for  $bla_{CTX-M-2}$ , [33] for  $bla_{CTX-M-8}$ , [34] for  $bla_{CTX-M-9}$  and [35] for  $bla_{CTX-M-14-like}$ . Additionally, Isolates were tested by PCR for the presence of *mcr*-1 [36] and *mcr*-2 [37] plasmid genes. Amplification products were confirmed by gel electrophoresis using a 1% agarose gel. All PCR products were aligned against reference sequences with the online tool ResFinder 2.1 with an identity threshold of 100% [38]. MIC values for ampicillin, piperacillin-tazobactam, cefoxitin, ceftazidime, ceftriaxone, cefepime, doripenem, ertapenem, imipenem, meropenem, amikacin, gentamicin, ciprofloxacin, tigecycline and colistin were obtained on *mcr* positive isolates using the Vitek 2 system with the AST-N272 card (Biomérieux, Marcy-l'Étoile, France). MIC values for colistin were confirmed by microdilution using GNX2F plates (Thermo Scientific, West Palm Beach, USA) The results were evaluated using the breakpoints recommended by CLSI (2018) [24].

#### Genetic characterization

Fingerprint characterization was performed in selected isolates for AR testing by repetitive element palindromic PCR (REP-PCR) analysis [39]. Bands were analyzed using BioNumerics software V.7.6 (Applied Maths, Sint-Martems-Latem, Belgium). Fragments between 200 bp and 1500 bp in size were included in the analysis. The unweighted pair group method using the arithmetic averages algorithm (UPGMA) with a 1.5% tolerance was used to construct a dendrogram. Patterns with more than one isolate were arbitrarily numbered starting from I and ordered from the most frequent one.

#### Statistical analysis

To determine the prevalence of *E. coli* ESBL at the farm level, a farm was considered positive when at least one of the sampled batches was positive. Farms were assumed to be independent.

Differences in antibiotic resistances between ESBL *E. coli* and AmpC *E. coli* were calculated by the chi-square test. Proportions were considered significantly different when the *P* value was below 0.05.

#### Results

#### Prevalence of ESBL and AmpC E. coli isolates at poultry farms

ESBL and/or AmpC *E. coli* were found in 362/384 flocks (94.3%; CI95%: 93,39% - 95,26%). In total, 112/115 farms (97.4%; CI95%: 96.37% - 98.94%) delivered a positive result at least once.

From all positive flocks, 62 (17.1%) delivered a combination of ESBL and AmpC isolates while 223 (61.6%) and 51 (14.1%) flocks had exclusively the ESBL or AmpC phenotypes, respectively. Only one colony could be isolated from 26 flocks, 21 (5.8%) and 3 (0.01%) showing the ESBL and AMPC phenotypes respectively (colonies from 2 flocks could not be recuperated for phenotypic testing).

For AR tests, 110 E. coli ESBL and 66 E. coli AmpC isolates were selected for further analysis.

#### Antimicrobial resistance patterns

Antimicrobial susceptibility testing grouped ESBL and AmpC *E. coli* isolates in 26 patterns that showed resistances to between 2 and 7 antibiotic families tested. Resistance patterns to at least 3 antibiotic families (multi-resistant isolates) were present in 98.3% of all tested isolates. Moreover, 92.1% of isolates presented resistance to between 4 and 7 antibiotic families. Pattern number 3 was the most common one for ESBL and AmpC isolates with resistance to all tested groups of antibiotics with the exception of nitrofurantoin (<u>Table 1</u>), while 9.7% of tested *E. coli* isolates presented resistance to all tested groups of antibiotics.

Number of isolates and AR rates for ESBL and AmpC *E. coli* isolates are shown in <u>Table 2</u>. Low resistance rates were observed for ertapenem followed by nitrofurantoin. For the remaining antibiotics, resistance rates ranged from 29,1% to 93.9%. Antibiotics for which significant differences were observed between ESBL and AmpC isolates were ceftazidime, kanamycin and gentamicin. Resistance to ceftazidime and kanamycin was more frequent in AmpC isolates while in ESBL isolates resistance to gentamicin was more frequent (<u>Table 2</u>).

Four isolates from the ESBL group (n = 110; 1CT86A, 1CT109B, 1CT136A and 1CT160A) and 2 isolates from the AmpC group (n = 66; 1CT22A and 1CT188B) were positive for the *mcr*-1 gene. The six isolates originated in different farms. MIC values of these isolates are shown in <u>Table 3</u>. All these isolates presented resistance to ceftriaxone, and colistin. Resistance to doripenem, imipenem, amikacin and tigecycline was not identified in any isolate.

#### Genetic characterization

Sequencing of genes in isolates with ESBL phenotype (n = 110) showed that the most prevalent family of genes was  $bla_{CTX-M}$  (90.9%). Forty-eight (43.6%) and 9 (8.2%)  $bla_{CTX-M}$  positive isolates presented the  $bla_{TEM-1A}$  or  $bla_{TEM-1B}$ , and  $bla_{SHV-5}$  alleles respectively. Three isolates had the  $bla_{TEM-176}$  gene and 1 isolate presented the  $bla_{SHV-153}$  gene. Three and one isolates presented only  $bla_{SHV-5}$  and  $bla_{SHV-153}$  respectively. One and 4 isolates presented only  $bla_{TEM-1A}$  or  $bla_{TEM-1A}$  or  $bla_{TEM-153}$  respectively. One and 4 isolates presented only  $bla_{TEM-1A}$  or  $bla_{TEM-1A}$  isolates presented on thydrolyze cefotaxime. Within isolates that presented genes of  $bla_{CTX-M}$  family (n = 100),  $bla_{CTX-M-55}$ ,  $bla_{CTX-M-55}$  and  $bla_{CTX-M-3}$ 

Pattern	Resistance Pattern number	No. of antibiotic groups	No. of ESBL isolates (n = 110)	No. of AmpC isolates (n = 66)	Total isolates (%) (n = 176)
BQTAPNS	1	7	8	9	17 (9.7)
BQTANS	2	6	2	2	4 (2.3)
BQTAPS	3	6	42	19	61 (34.7)
BQTAPN	4	6	1	2	3 (1.7)
BQTPNS	5	6	1	1	2 (1.1)
BQTAS	6	5	9	6	15 (8.5)
BQTAN	7	5	1	1	2 (1.1)
BQTNS	8	5	1		1 (0.6)
QTAPS	9	5		4	4 (2.3)
BQTAP	10	5	6	3	9 (5.1)
BTAPS	11	5	1	2	3 (1.7)
BQAPS	12	5	4	3	7 (4)
BAPNS	13	5	1		1 (0.6)
BQTPS	14	5	2	1	3 (1.7)
BQTA	15	4	12	1	13 (7.4)
BQTS	16	4		2	2 (1.1)
BQAN	17	4		1	1 (0.6)
BAPS	18	4	2	1	3 (1.7)
BQPS	19	4	1	1	2 (1.1)
BQAP	20	4	3	2	5 (2.8)
BQTP	21	4	1	1	2 (1.1)
BTPS	22	4	2		2 (1.1)
BQT	23	3	5	1	6 (3.4)
BQA	24	3	1	1	2 (1.1)
BTA	25	3	1	2	3 (1.7)
BQ	26	2	3		3 (1.7)

Table 1. Antibiotic resistance patterns of ESBL/AmpC E. coli isolated from poultry farms.

B, Beta-lactam; Q, quinolone; T, tetracycline; A, aminoglycoside; P, phenicols; N, nitrofuran; S, sulfonamide.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0207567.t001

#### Table 2. Number of ESBL/AmpC E. coli isolates resistant to each tested antibiotic.

Antibiotic group	Antibiotic	No. of ESBL isolates (%) (n = 110)	No. of AmpC isolates (%) $(n = 66)$		
Beta-lactams	*Ceftazidime	32 (29.1)	62 (93.9)		
	Ertapenem	0 (0)	1 (1.5)		
Quinolones	Nalidixic acid	103 (93.6)	61 (92.4)		
	Ciprofloxacin	80 (72.7)	47 (71.2)		
Tetracyclines	Tetracycline	95 (86.4)	27 (86.4)		
	Doxycycline	82 (74.5)	54 (81.8)		
Aminoglycosides	Streptomycin	91 (82.7)	56 (84.8)		
	*Kanamycin	44 (40)	42 (63.6)		
	*Gentamicin	52 (47.3)	18 (27.3)		
Sulfonamide	Sulfamethoxazole + trimethoprim	76 (69.1)	51 (77.3)		
Phenicol	Chloramphenicol	75 (68.2)	49 (74.2)		
Nitrofuran	Nitrofurantoin	15 (13.6)	16 (24.2)		

\* ESBL and AmpC isolates showed significantly different rates by chi-square test (p<0.05) for these antibiotics.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0207567.t002

#### Table 3. MIC values of mcr-1 positive isolates.

Isolate	Isolation date (mm/ yyyy)	ESBL/AmpC genes	AMP	TPZ	FOX	CAZ	CRO	FEP	DOR	ERT	IMI	MER	AK	GEN	CIP	TIG	COI
1CT22A	08/2013	bla <sub>CMY-2</sub>	≥ <b>32</b>	≥ 128	≥ <b>64</b>	16	16	$\leq 1$	≤0,12	≤0,5	≤0,25	≤0,25	≤2	≥ <b>16</b>	$\geq$ 4	≤0,5	8
1CT86A	12/2013	bla <sub>CTX-M-2</sub>	≥ <b>32</b>	$\leq 4$	16	4	≥ <b>64</b>	≥ 64	≤0,12	≤0,5	≤0,25	≤0,25	≤2	≥ <b>16</b>	≥ <b>4</b>	≤0,5	8
1CT109B	01/2014	bla <sub>CTX-M-14</sub>	≥ 32	$\leq 4$	8	$\leq 1$	≥ <b>64</b>	2	≤0,12	≤0,5	≤0,25	≤0,25	$\leq 2$	≥16	$\geq$ 4	≤0,5	4
1CT136A	03/2014	bla <sub>CTX-M-65</sub>	16	$\leq 4$	$\leq 4$	$\leq 1$	16	$\leq 1$	≤0,12	$\leq$ 0,5	≤0,25	≤0,25	$\leq 2$	$\geq$ 16	$\geq$ 4	$\leq$ 0,5	8
1CT160A	04/2014	bla <sub>CTX-M-65</sub>	≥ <b>32</b>	$\leq 4$	16	32	≥ <b>64</b>	4	0,5	1	≤0,25	4	4	≥ <b>16</b>	$\geq$ 4	≤0,5	≥ 16
1CT188B	06/2014	bla <sub>CMY-2</sub>	16	$\leq 4$	≥64	4	8	$\leq 1$	≤0,12	≤0,5	≤0,25	≤0,25	$\leq 2$	1	≤0,25	≤0,5	8

AMP: ampicillin; TPZ: piperacillin-tazobactam; FOX: cefoxitin; CAZ: ceftazidime; CRO: ceftriaxone; FEP: cefepime; DOR: doripenem; ERT: ertapenem; IMI: imipenem; MER: meropenem; AK: amikacin; GEN: gentamicin; CIP: ciprofloxacin; TIG: tigecycline; COL: colistin. Values in bold indicate resistance according to CLSI-2018.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0207567.t003

accounted for 77 isolates. One isolate was not positive for any of the studied ESBL genes (Table 4).

Of the 66 AmpC isolates, 7 were PCR negative for  $bla_{cmy}$ , 58 were positive for  $bla_{cmy-2}$ , and 1 isolate was positive for  $bla_{cmy-46}$ . None of the 176 tested isolates were positive for  $bla_{KPC}$  or *mcr*-2.

REP-PCR delivered 121 genotypes (<u>S1 Fig</u>) from which 22 grouped to more than one isolate (<u>Table 5</u>).

Genotypes II, III, IV, XVI and XVII were present in both ESBL and AmpC isolates. In total, genotypes I and II were the most common ones, with 14 and 11 isolates, respectively. ESBL and AmpC isolates from each genotype originated from different farms.

#### Discussion

The aim of this research was to study AR in *E. coli* from intensive poultry farming. The sparseness of this kind of data from Latin America makes this study one of the few available reports that demonstrate the extent of ESBL/AmpC *E. coli* in commercial poultry in the region [40-42]. Nonetheless, developed countries have also reported both a high prevalence of ESBL *E. coli* and the presence of multiresistant isolates from broiler flocks [43,44].

Similar to a previous studie in small-scale poultry farming in Ecuador [45], this research shows high prevalence of ESBL genes (*bla*CTX-M) among cefotaxime-resistant *E. coli*. How-ever, a study carried out in Colombia by Castellanos et al. [41] demonstrates a higher prevalence of AmpC genes (*bla*CMY) in cefotaxime-resistant *E. coli* isolates from commercial poultry. Differences in the epidemiologic patterns of enteric bacteria isolated from Ecuadorian and Colombian poultry has been reported before and may be attributed to the ecological characteristics (altitude above 2800 m.a.s.l.) of the boundary between these neighboring countries [13].

High AR rates and multi-resistance patterns could be related to the intensive use of antimicrobials in poultry production, which in some cases are not only used as therapeutics but also as prophylactics and growth promotors [11,46]. On the other hand, it has to be considered that the withdrawal of antibiotics from poultry production systems may not result in the diminution of ESBL/AmpC *E. coli* since ecological factors could be implicated in the dynamics of AR determinants [47,48].

Increasing antibiotic resistance and the lack of new antibacterial agents have revived interest in old compounds such as nitrofurantoin in clinical practice [49,50]. Despite the renewed

<i>bla</i> <sub>CTX-M</sub> group (%) (n = 110)	bla <sub>CTX-M</sub> allele (%)	bla <sub>TEM</sub>	bla <sub>SHV</sub>	No. of isolates
Group 1	<i>bla</i> <sub>CTX-M-1</sub> ( <b>3.6%</b> )	-	-	2
45.5%		-	-	1
(n = 50)		-	-	1
	<i>bla</i> <sub>CTX-M-3</sub> ( <b>19.1%</b> )	-	-	14
		-	-	2
		-	-	2
		bla <sub>TEM-176</sub>	-	2
			bla <sub>SHV-5</sub>	1
	<i>bla</i> <sub>CTX-M-12</sub> (2.7%)	-	-	1
		-	bla <sub>SHV-5</sub>	2
	<i>bla</i> <sub>CTX-M-29</sub> ( <b>0.9%</b> )	-	-	1
	<i>bla</i> <sub>CTX-M-55</sub> ( <b>17.3%</b> )	-	-	1
		-	-	13
		-	bla <sub>SHV-5</sub>	4
		bla <sub>TEM-176</sub>	bla <sub>SHV-5</sub>	1
	<i>bla</i> <sub>CTX-M-123</sub> (1.8%)	-	-	2
Group 2	<i>bla</i> <sub>CTX-M-2</sub> (7.3%)	-	-	3
7.3%		-	-	1
(n = 8)		-	-	3
		-	bla <sub>SHV-5</sub>	1
Group 8 0,9% (n = 1)	bla <sub>CTX-M-8</sub> (0.9%)	-	-	1
Group 9	<i>bla</i> <sub>CTX-M-14</sub> (1.8%)	-	-	2
37,3%	<i>bla</i> <sub>CTX-M-21</sub> (0.9%)	-	-	1
(n = 41)	<i>bla</i> <sub>CTX-M-27</sub> ( <b>0.9%</b> )	-	-	1
	<i>bla</i> <sub>CTX-M-65</sub> ( <b>33.6%</b> )	-	-	15
		-	-	1
		-	-	1
		_	-	20

#### Table 4. Combination of $bla_{CTX-M}$ , $bla_{TEM}$ and $bla_{SHV}$ alleles in ESBL E. coli isolates.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0207567.t004

importance of nitrofurantoin and the known role of food animals in resistance dissemination, only a few studies include this antibiotic in AR screenings [51,52]. Resistance rates to nitrofurantoin in this study are higher than the ones reported in chicken meat samples (7,9%) in Colombia by Donado-Godoy et al. [52]. Higher resistance to nitrofurantoin in extra-intestinal clinical isolates of *E. coli* from chickens has been reported in China [51]. Although nitrofurantoin is not used in the poultry industry in Ecuador, surveillance of this antibiotic should carried out in poultry production systems due to the possibility that the resistance to this antibiotic could increase over time.

Carbapenems are not used in the poultry industry in Ecuador, resulting in a lack of selective pressure by this antimicrobial in poultry production. This observation explains the low prevalence of carbapenem-resistant *E. coli* reported in poultry [53]. Concordantly, we only identified one isolate resistant to ertapenem and meropenem, although carbapenem resistance mediated by  $bla_{\rm KPC}$  has been reported in clinical Enterobacteriaceae in Ecuador [54].

The association of ESBL and AmpC phenotypes with increased prevalence of aminoglycoside resistance has been reported [55]. In our study we identified a significant association of kanamycin resistance with AmpC-producing isolates and gentamicin resistance to ESBL-

Genotypes		No. of isolates	
	ESBL	AmpC	Total
Ι	14		14
II	10	1	11
III	3	2	5
IV	4	1	5
V	4		4
VI	3		3
VII	3		3
VIII		3	3
IX	2		2
Х	2		2
XI	2		2
XII	2		2
XIII	2		2
XIV	2		2
XV	2		2
XVI	1	1	2
XVII	1	1	2
XVIII		2	2
XIX		2	2
XX		2	2
XXI		2	2
XXII		2	2

Table 5. Genotypes of ESBL and AmpC E. coli with more than one isolate.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0207567.t005

producing *E. coli*. Further genetic characterization should be performed in order to explain whether these ARs are associated with specific genetic environments (the presence of aminoglycosides modifying enzymes), In our study, all selected isolates were resistant to cefotaxime (used for screening), however, AmpC isolates were significantly more resistant to ceftazidime than ESBL isolates. This difference is explained by the enhanced hydrolyzation of ceftazidime by the *bla<sub>CMY</sub>* gene product [56,57].

Several studies throughout the world have reported plasmid-mediated colistin resistance in Enterobacteriaceae pointing to its global emergence [58]. In our study 6 out of 176 isolates (3.4%) were PCR positive for *mcr-1* and confirmed as phenotypically colistin resistant. In contrast, a study in Argentina reported that 49% (n = 304) of *E. coli* isolates recovered from broilers were identified as colistin resistant by microdilution [59]. Another study in Brazil reported that 19.5% of chicken meat samples (n = 41) were positive for *mcr-1* [60]. Colistin resistant Enterobacteriaceae have been described in humans and poultry in several Latin American countries [61,62]. Considering these findings and because colistin has been largely used as a growth promotor in Latin America, the poultry industry could be considered an important hotspot for this kind of resistance. Additionally, it has to be considered that although we did not find *mcr-2* in our study, up to 8 genetic determinants for colistin resistance have been described [63–65]. Therefore, a search for more genetic determinants along with a phenotypic screening assay should be conducted to better understand the epidemiology of colistin resistance in poultry from Ecuador.

Genes of the  $bla_{\text{CTX-M}}$  family have been the most prevalent ones in poultry production, even when there is no selective pressure due to antibiotic usage [47,66]. In our study,

 $bla_{\text{CTX-M-65}}$  was the most prevalent allele of the  $bla_{\text{CTX-M}}$  family (33,6% of the ESBL-producing isolates) followed by  $bla_{\text{CTX-M-3}}$  (19,1%) and  $bla_{\text{CTX-M-55}}$  (17,3%) which differs from the results of other countries in the region. Colombia reported  $bla_{\text{CTX-M-2}}$  as the most prevalent variant followed by  $bla_{\text{CTX-M-8}}$  and  $bla_{\text{CTX-M-15}}$  [41], while Brazil identified  $bla_{\text{CTX-M-8}}$  and  $bla_{\text{CTX-M-2}}$  variants in chicken meat [40,42,67] In our case,  $bla_{\text{CTX-M-8}}$  and  $bla_{\text{CTX-M-2}}$  were present as a small proportion. Other genes such as  $bla_{\text{SHV-5}}$ ,  $bla_{\text{SHV-153}}$  and  $bla_{\text{TEM-176}}$  were found in lower proportions which agrees with the mentioned studies.

In Ecuador, there are no data about  $bla_{CTX-M-65}$  in *E. coli* from poultry. However, this variant has been identified in *Salmonella* from poultry and in human clinical samples in Ecuador [68]. Likewise,  $bla_{CTX-M-3}$  and  $bla_{CTX-M-55}$  have been identified in human infections [36,69]. These findings suggest the presence of plasmids carrying these variants in our environment. Therefore, transmission of resistance determinants from poultry to human may occur, but further evidence is needed to confirm this hypothesis. Finally, 6 isolates did not present ESBL or AmpC genes. In these cases, a broader panel of beta-lactamases genes should be used to identify the genetic determinants of resistance in these isolates.

Cross-contamination between farms could explain our finding that the same ESBL and AmpC genotypes originated from more than one farm. This idea is supported by other studies that found that *Salmonella* and *Campylobacter* isolated from different poultry farms are clonally related [70,71]. In Ecuador, climatic and social factors lead to most poultry houses having an open configuration in which implementation of rigorous biosecurity is difficult. Spread of bacterial genotypes among farms and integrated companies can therefore be a common event [72,73]. This highlights the importance of implementing effective biosecurity systems aiming not only to avoid the spread of AR but also to improve poultry health. Additionally, the contribution of factors like contamination of one-day-old chicks or feed should be studied in the future to obtain more insights on clonal relatedness of AR bacteria. It must be considered that, despite the relatively high concentration of cefotaxime used for screening of ESBL/AmpC phenotypes, a large number of isolates were recuperated.

In conclusion, poultry production systems represent a hotspot for AR in Ecuador, possibly mediated by the extensive use of antibiotics in this industry. Monitoring this sector in national and regional plans of AR surveillance should therefore be considered.

#### Supporting information

**S1 Fig. REP-PCR profiles of the 176 tested Escherichia coli isolates.** (PDF)

#### Acknowledgments

The authors want to thank Pedro Barba, slaughterhouse staff and the Académie de Recherche et Dénseignement Supérieur (ARES)–Belgium for their technical assistance.

#### **Author Contributions**

- **Conceptualization:** Christian Vinueza-Burgos, David Ortega-Paredes, Lieven De Zutter, Jeannete Zurita.
- **Data curation:** Christian Vinueza-Burgos, David Ortega-Paredes, Cristian Narváez, Lieven De Zutter.
- **Formal analysis:** Christian Vinueza-Burgos, David Ortega-Paredes, Cristian Narváez, Lieven De Zutter, Jeannete Zurita.

Funding acquisition: Christian Vinueza-Burgos.

- Investigation: Christian Vinueza-Burgos, David Ortega-Paredes, Cristian Narváez, Lieven De Zutter, Jeannete Zurita.
- Methodology: Christian Vinueza-Burgos, Cristian Narváez, Lieven De Zutter, Jeannete Zurita.

Project administration: Christian Vinueza-Burgos.

Resources: Lieven De Zutter, Jeannete Zurita.

Supervision: Christian Vinueza-Burgos.

Writing - original draft: Christian Vinueza-Burgos, Cristian Narváez.

Writing - review & editing: David Ortega-Paredes, Lieven De Zutter, Jeannete Zurita.

#### References

- 1. O'Neill J. Tackling drug-resistant infections globally: final report and recommendations. The Review on antimicrobial resistance. 2016. Available from: <a href="https://amr-review.org">https://amr-review.org</a>
- Laxminarayan R, Sridhar D, Blaser M, Wang M, Woolhouse M. Achieving global targets for antimicrobial resistance. Science. 2016; 353(6302):874–5. <u>https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aaf9286</u> PMID: <u>27540009</u>
- 3. Nations United. Sustainable Development Goals. 2016. Available from: <u>http://www.un.org/</u> sustainabledevelopment
- WHO, (World Health Organization). Antimicrobial resistance: global report on surveillance. 2014. Switzerland: Bulletin of the World Health Organization.
- CDC. National Antimicrobial Resistance Monitoring System for Enteric Bacteria (NARMS): Human Isolates Surveillance Report for 2014 (Final Reprot), 1–83. Available from: <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/ejoc.</u> 201200111
- 6. ECDC. Data from the ECDC Surveillance Atlas—Antimicrobial resistance. 2017. Available from: <u>https://ecdc.europa.eu/en/antimicrobial-resistance/surveillance-and-disease-data/data-ecdc</u>
- 7. Ventola C. L. The antibiotic resistance crisis: part 1: causes and threats. P & T. 2015; 40(4):277–83.
- Ayukekbong JA, Ntemgwa M, Atabe AN. The threat of antimicrobial resistance in developing countries: causes and control strategies. Antimicrob Resist Infect Control. 2017; 6:47. <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/ s13756-017-0208-x</u> PMID: <u>28515903</u>
- Prestinaci F, Pezzotti P, Pantosti A. Antimicrobial resistance: a global multifaceted phenomenon. Pathog Glob Health. 2015; 109(7):309–318. <u>https://doi.org/10.1179/2047773215Y.000000030</u> PMID: <u>26343252</u>
- 10. FAO. The FAO action plan on antimicrobial resistance 2016–2020. Rome: Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. 2016. Available from: <u>http://www.fao.org/3/a-i5996e.pdf</u>
- Van Boeckel TP, Brower C, Gilbert M, Grenfell BT, Levin SA, Robinson TP, et al. Global trends in antimicrobial use in food animals. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2015; 16:1–6.
- 12. OECD, & FAO. OECD-FAO agricultural outlook 2016–2025. Special Focus: Sub-Saharan Africa (1st ed.). Paris. 2016. Available from: <a href="http://www.oecd-ilibrary.org/docserver/download/5116021e.pdf?expires=1467786198&id=id&accname=guest&checksum=244B1479481EB19E3772C0CE4CBCB49D">www.oecd-ilibrary.org/docserver/download/5116021e.pdf?expires=1467786198&id=id&accname=guest&checksum=244B1479481EB19E3772C0CE4CBCB49D</a>
- Vinueza C. Salmonella and Campylobacter in broilers at slaughter age: a possible source for carcasses contamination in Ecuador. Ghent University. 2016. Availabre from: <u>https://doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2</u>. 20687.48803
- Vinueza-Burgos C, Wautier M, Martiny D, Cisneros M, Van Damme I, De Zutter L. Prevalence, antimicrobial resistance and genetic diversity of Campylobacter coli and Campylobacter jejuni in Ecuadorian broilers at slaughter age. Poultry Science. 2017; 96(7):2366–2374. <u>https://doi.org/10.3382/ps/pew487</u> PMID: 28339716
- WHO. Critically important antimicrobials for human medicine 5th revision. Geneva, Switzerland. 2016. Available from: <u>http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/255027/9789241512220-eng.pdf;</u> jsessionid=DCB20204D2318C5E99B8AF532D676441?sequence=1
- WHO. Global priority list of antibiotic-resistant bacteria to guide research, discovery, and development of new antibiotics. Geneva, Switzerland. 2017. Available from: <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099(09)</u> 70222-1

- 17. WHO. Integrated surveillance of antimicrobial resistance in foodborne bacteria. 2017. Available from: http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/10665/91778/1/9789241506311\_eng.pdf
- 18. Kluytmans JAJW, Overdevest ITMA, Willemsen I, Kluytmans-van den Bergh MFQ, van der Zwaluw K, Heck M, et al. Extended-spectrum β-lactamase-producing Escherichia coli from retail chicken meat and humans: comparison of strains, plasmids, resistance genes, and virulence factors. Clin Infect Dis. 2013; 56(4):478–87. https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/cis929 PMID: 23243181
- Moser KA, Zhang L, Spicknall I, Braykov NP, Levey K, Marrs C F, et al. The role of mobile genetic elements in the spread of antimicrobial resistant Escherichia coli from chickens to humans in small-scale production poultry operations in rural Ecuador. Am J Epidemiol. 2018; 187(3):558–567. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/aje/kwx286</u> PMID: <u>29506196</u>
- Overdevest I. Extended-Spectrum B-Lactamase genes of Escherichia coli in chicken meat and humans, the Netherlands. Emerg Infect Dis. 2011; 17(7):1216–1222. <u>https://doi.org/10.3201/eid1707.</u> <u>110209</u> PMID: <u>21762575</u>
- Monteros Guerrero A, Salvador Sarauz S. Panorama agroeconómico del ecuador una visión del 2015. Coordinación General del Sistema de Información Nacional Ministerio de Agricultura, Ganadería, Acuacultura y Pesca 2015;1–14.
- Ibrahim DR, Dodd CE, Stekel DJ, Ramsden SJ, Hobman JL. Multidrug resistant, extended spectrum βlactamase (ESBL)-producing Escherichia coli isolated from a dairy farm. FEMS Microbiol Ecol, 2016; 92(4):fiw013. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/femsec/fiw013</u> PMID: 26850161
- Bej AK, DiCesare JL, Haff L, Atlas RM. Detection of Escherichia coli and Shigella spp. in water by using the polymerase chain reaction and gene probes for uid. Appl Environ Microbiol. 1991; 57:1013–7. PMID: 2059028
- Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. Performance standards for antimicrobial susceptibility testing; Twenty-eight Informational Supplement. 2018. CLSI document M100S.
- 25. Song W, Jeong SH, Kim JS, Kim HS, Shin DH, Roh KH, et al. Use of boronic acid disk methods to detect the combined expression of plasmid-mediated AmpC β-lactamases and extended-spectrum β-lactamases in clinical isolates of Klebsiella spp., Salmonella spp., and Proteus mirabilis. Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis. 2007; 57(3):315–318. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.diagmicrobio.2006.08.023 PMID: 17174510
- Hasman H, Mevius D, Veldman K, Olesen I, Aarestrup FM. Beta-Lactamases among extended-spectrum beta-lactamase (ESBL)-resistant Salmonella from poultry, poultry products and human patients in the Netherlands. J Antimicrob Chemother. 2005; 56(1):115–121. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dki190</u> PMID: 15941775
- Olesen I, Hasman H, Aarestrup FM. Prevalence of beta-lactamases among ampicillin-resistant Escherichia coli and Salmonella isolated from food animals in Denmark. Microb Drug Resist. 2004; 10(4):334–340. <u>https://doi.org/10.1089/mdr.2004.10.334</u> PMID: <u>15650379</u>
- Kruger T, Szabo D, Keddy KH, Deeley K, Marsh JW, Hujer AM, et al. Infections with nontyphoidal Salmonella species producing TEM-63 or a novel TEM enzyme, TEM-131, in South Africa. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2004; 48(11):4263–4270. <u>https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.48.11.4263-4270.2004</u> PMID: 15504851
- Dierikx C, van Essen-Zandbergen A, Veldman K, Smith H, Mevius D. Increased detection of extended spectrum beta-lactamase producing Salmonella enterica and Escherichia coli isolates from poultry. Vet Microbiol. 2010; 145:273–278. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vetmic.2010.03.019</u> PMID: 20395076
- Woodford N, Tierno PM, Young K, Tysall L, Palepou M-FI, Ward, et al. Outbreak of Klebsiella pneumoniae Producing a New Carbapenem- Hydrolyzing Class A -Lactamase, KPC-3, in a New York Medical Center. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2004; 48(12):4793–4799. <u>https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.48.12</u>. 4793-4799.2004 PMID: 15561858
- Carattoli A, García-Fernández A, Varesi P, Fortini D, Gerardi S, Penni A, et al. Molecular epidemiology of Escherichia coli producing extended-spectrum beta-lactamases isolated in Rome, Italy. J Clin Microbiol. 2008; 46(1):103–108. <u>https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.01542-07</u> PMID: <u>17959756</u>
- Jiang X, Zhang Z, Li M, Zhou D, Ruan F, Lu Y. Detection of extended-spectrum beta-lactamases in clinical isolates of Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2006; 50(9):2990–2995. https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.01511-05 PMID: 16940093
- Hopkins KL, Batchelor MJ, Liebana E, Deheer-Graham AP, Threlfall EJ. Characterisation of CTX-M and AmpC genes in human isolates of Escherichia coli identified between 1995 and 2003 in England and Wales. Int J Antimicrob Agents. 2006; 28(3):180–192. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2006</u>. 03.027 PMID: 16879949
- Paauw A, Fluit AC, Verhoef J, Leverstein-van Hall MA. Enterobacter cloacae outbreak and emergence of quinolone resistance gene in Dutch hospital. Emerg Infect Dis. 2006; 12(5):807–812. <u>https://doi.org/ 10.3201/eid1205.050910</u> PMID: 16704842

- 35. Dierikx CM, van Duijkeren E, Schoormans AHW, van Essen-Zandbergen A, Veldman K, Kant A, et al. Occurrence and characteristics of extended-spectrum-β-lactamase- and AmpC-producing clinical isolates derived from companion animals and horses. J Antimicrob Chemother. 2012; 67(6):1368–1374. https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dks049 PMID: 22382469
- Ortega-Paredes D, Barba P, Zurita J. Colistin-resistant Escherichia coli clinical isolate harbouring the mcr-1 gene in Ecuador. Epidemiol Infect. 2016; 144(14):2967–2970. <u>https://doi.org/10.1017/</u> <u>S0950268816001369</u> PMID: <u>27586373</u>
- Xavier BB, Lammens C, Ruhal R, Kumar-Singh S, Butaye P, Goossens H, Malhotra-Kumar S. Identification of a novel plasmid-mediated colistin-resistance gene, mcr-2, in Escherichia coli, Belgium. Euro Surveill. 2016; 21(27).
- Zankari E, Hasman H, Cosentino S, Vestergaard M, Rasmussen S, Lund O, et al. Identification of acquired antimicrobial resistance genes. J Antimicrob Chemother. 2012; 67(11):2640–2644. <u>https://doi. org/10.1093/jac/dks261 PMID: 22782487</u>
- Araújo S, Silva I, Tacão M, Patinha C, Alves A, Henriques I. Characterization of antibiotic resistant and pathogenic Escherichia coli in irrigation water and vegetables in household farms. Int J Food Microbiol. 2017; 257:192–200. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2017.06.020</u> PMID: <u>28668729</u>
- 40. Botelho LA, Kraychete GB, Costa e Silva JL, Regis DV, Picão RC, Moreira BM, Bonelli RR. Widespread distribution of CTX-M and plasmid-mediated AmpC β-lactamases in Escherichia coli from Brazilian chicken meat. Mem Inst Oswaldo Cruz. 2015; 110(2):249–54. <u>https://doi.org/10.1590/0074-02760140389</u> PMID: 25946250
- Castellanos LR, Donado-Godoy P, León M, Clavijo V, Arevalo A, Bernal JF, et al. High Heterogeneity of Escherichia coli Sequence Types Harbouring ESBL/AmpC Genes on Incl1 Plasmids in the Colombian Poultry Chain. PloS ONE. 2017; 12(1):e0170777. <u>https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0170777</u> PMID: 28125687
- 42. Ferreira JC, Penha Filho RAC, Andrade LN, Berchieri Junior A, Darini ALC. Evaluation and characterization of plasmids carrying CTX-M genes in a non-clonal population of multidrug-resistant Enterobacteriaceae isolated from poultry in Brazil. Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis. 2016; 85(4):444–448. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.diagmicrobio.2016.05.011</u> PMID: 27312692
- **43.** Brower CH, Mandal S, Hayer S, Sran M, Zehra A, Patel SJ, et al. The prevalence of extended-spectrum beta-lactamase-producing multidrug-resistant Escherichia coli in poultry chickens and variation according to farming practices in Punjab, India. Environ Health Perspect. 2017;125(7).
- Saliu EM, Vahjen W, Zentek J. Types and prevalence of extended–spectrum beta–lactamase producing Enterobacteriaceae in poultry. Environ Health Perspect 2017;1–12. <u>https://doi.org/10.1289/EHP168</u>
- Hedman HD, Eisenberg JNS, Vasco KA, Blair CN, Trueba G, Berrocal VJ, Zhang L. High Prevalence of Extended-Spectrum Beta-Lactamase CTX-M-Producing Escherichia coli in Small-Scale Poultry Farming in Rural Ecuador. Am J Trop Med Hyg. 2019; 100(2):374–376. <u>https://doi.org/10.4269/ajtmh.18-</u> 0173 PMID: 30457098
- Chantziaras I, Boyen F, Callens B, Dewulf J. Correlation between veterinary antimicrobial use and antimicrobial resistance in food-producing animals: a report on seven countries. J Antimicrob Chemother. 2014; 69(3):827–834. https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dkt443 PMID: 24216767
- 47. Huijber PMC, Graat EAM, van Hoek AHAM, Veenman C, de Jong MCM, van Duijkeren E. Transmission dynamics of extended-spectrum β-lactamase and AmpC β-lactamase-producing Escherichia coli in a broiler flock without antibiotic use. Prev Vet Med. 2016; 131:12–19. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.prevetmed.2016.07.001</u> PMID: 27544247
- Smith JL, Drum DJV, Dai Y, Kim JM, Sanchez S, Maurer JJ, et al. Impact of antimicrobial usage on antimicrobial resistance in commensal Escherichia coli strains colonizing broiler chickens. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2007; 73(5):1404–1414. <u>https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.01193-06</u> PMID: <u>17194843</u>
- Giske CG. Contemporary resistance trends and mechanisms for the old antibiotics colistin, temocillin, fosfomycin, mecillinam and nitrofurantoin. Clin Microbiol Infect. 2015; 21(10):899–905. <u>https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.cmi.2015.05.022</u> PMID: 26027916
- 50. Gupta K, Hooton TM, Naber KG, Wullt B, Colgan R, Miller LG, et al. International Clinical Practice Guidelines for the Treatment of Acute Uncomplicated Cystitis and Pyelonephritis in Women: A 2010 Update by the Infectious Diseases Society of America and the European Society for Microbiology and Infectious Diseases. Clin Infect Dis. 2011; 52(5):e103–20. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/cig257</u> PMID: 21292654
- Yassin AK, Gong J, Kelly P, et al. Antimicrobial resistance in clinical Escherichia coli isolates from poultry and livestock, China. PLoS ONE. 2017; 12(9):e0185326. <u>https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.</u> 0185326 PMID: 28934348
- Donado-Godoy P, Byrne BA, León M, Castellanos R, Vanegas C, Coral A, et al. Prevalence, resistance patterns, and risk factors for antimicrobial resistance in bacteria from retail chicken meat in Colombia. J Food Prot. 2015; 78(4):751–9. <u>https://doi.org/10.4315/0362-028X.JFP-14-349</u> PMID: <u>25836401</u>

- 53. Köck R, Daniels-Haardt I, Becker K, Mellmann A, Friedrich AW, Mevius D, et al. Carbapenem-resistant Enterobacteriaceae in wildlife, food-producing, and companion animals: a systematic review. Clin Microbiol Infect. 2018 Apr 11. pii: S1198-743X(18)30339-2.
- 54. Zurita J, Alcocer I, Ortega-Paredes D, Barba P, Yauri F, Iñiguez D, Mora M. Carbapenem-hydrolysing β-lactamase KPC-2 in Klebsiella pneumoniae isolated in Ecuadorian hospitals. J Glob Antimicrob Resist. 2013:229–230. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jgar.2013.06.001 PMID: 27873619
- 55. Alyamani EJ, Khiyami AM, Booq RY, Majrashi MA, Bahwerth FS, Rechkina E. The occurrence of ESBL-producing Escherichia coli carrying aminoglycoside resistance genes in urinary tract infections in Saudi Arabia. Annals of Clinical. Ann Clin Microbiol Antimicrob. 2017; 16:1. <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/ s12941-016-0177-6</u> PMID: <u>28061852</u>
- 56. Bradford P. Extended spectrum betalactamase in the 21 century: characterization, epidemiology, and detection of this important resistant threat. Clin Microbiol Rev. 2001; 14(4):933–951. <u>https://doi.org/10.1128/CMR.14.4.933-951.2001</u> PMID: <u>11585791</u>
- 57. Endimiani A, Doi Y, Bethel CR, Taracila M, Adams-Haduch JM, O'Keefe A, et al. Enhancing Resistance to Cephalosporins in Class C β-Lactamases: Impact of Gly214Glu in CMY-2. Biochemistry. 2010; 49 (5):1014–1023. https://doi.org/10.1021/bi9015549 PMID: 19938877
- Al-Tawfiq JA, Laxminarayan R, Mendelson M. How should we respond to the emergence of plasmidmediated colistin resistance in humans and animals? Int J Infect Dis. 2017; 54:77–84. <u>https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.ijid.2016.11.415</u> PMID: 27915108
- Dominguez JE, Figueroa Espinosa RA, Redondo LM, Cejas D, Gutkind GO, Chacana PA, et al. Resistencia a colistina mediada por plásmido en Escherichia coli recuperadas de aves de corral sanas. Rev Argent Microbiol. 2017; 49(3):297–298. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ram.2017.02.001 PMID: 28457652
- Monte DF, Mem A, Fernandes MR, Cerdeira L, Esposito F, Galvão JA, et al. Chicken Meat as a Reservoir of Colistin-Resistant Escherichia coli Strains Carrying mcr-1 Genes in South America. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2017; 61(5). pii: e02718-16.
- Fernandes MR, Moura Q, Sartori L, Silva KC, Cunha MP, Esposito F, et al. Silent dissemination of colistin-resistant Escherichia coli in South America could contribute to the global spread of the mcr-1 gene. Euro Surveill. 2016; 21(17).
- 62. Quiroga C, Nastro M, Di Conza J. Current scenario of plasmid-mediated colistin resistance in Latin America. Rev Argent Microbiol. 2018 Jun 23. pii: S0325-7541(18)30052-X
- Rebelo AR, Bortolaia V, Kjeldgaard JS, Pedersen SK, Leekitcharoenphon P, Hansen IM, et al. Multiplex PCR for detection of plasmid-mediated mcr-4 and mcr-5 for surveillance purposes. Euro Surveill. 2018; 23(6).
- Wang X, Wang Y, Zhou Y, Li J, Yin W, Wang S, et al. Emergence of a novel mobile colistin resistance gene, mcr-8, in NDM-producing Klebsiella pneumoniae. Emerg Microbes Infect. 2018; 7(1):122. <u>https:// doi.org/10.1038/s41426-018-0124-z</u> PMID: 29970891
- Yang Y-Q, Li YX, Lei CW, Zhang AY, Wang HN. Novel plasmid-mediated colistin resistance gene mcr-7.1 in Klebsiella pneumoniae. J Antimicrob Chemother. 2018; <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dky111</u> PMID: 29912417
- Casella T, Nogueira MCL, Saras E, Haenni M, Madec JY. High prevalence of ESBLs in retail chicken meat despite reduced use of antimicrobials in chicken production, France. Int J Food Microbiol. 2017; 257:271–275. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2017.07.005</u> PMID: <u>28728058</u>
- Casella T, Rodríguez MM, Takahashi JT, Ghiglione B, Dropa M, Assunção E, et al. Detection of blaCTX-M-type genes in complex class 1 integrons carried by Enterobacteriaceae isolated from retail chicken meat in Brazil. Int J Food Microbiol. 2015; 197:88–91. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.</u> 2014.12.001 PMID: 25576985
- Cartelle Gestal M, Zurita J, Paz y Mino A, Ortega-Paredes D, Alcocer I. Characterization of a small outbreak of Salmonella enterica serovar Infantis that harbour CTX-M-65 in Ecuador. Braz J Infect Dis. 2016; 20(4):406–407. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bjid.2016.03.007 PMID: 27215783
- Zurita J, Ortega-Paredes D, Barba P. First Description of Shigella sonnei Harboring blaCTX-M-55 Outside Asia. J Microbiol Biotechnol. 2016; 26(12):2224–2227. <u>https://doi.org/10.4014/jmb.1605.05069</u> PMID: <u>27558432</u>
- Vinueza-Burgos C, Cevallos M, Ron-Garrido L, Bertrand S, De Zutter L. Prevalence and Diversity of Salmonella Serotypes in Ecuadorian Broilers at Slaughter Age. Plos One. 2017; 11(7):e0159567.
- Vinueza-Burgos C, Wautier M, Martiny D, Cisneros M, Van Damme I, De Zutter L. Prevalence, antimicrobial resistance and genetic diversity of Campylobacter coli and Campylobacter jejuni in Ecuadorian broilers at slaughter age. Poult Sci. 2017 Jul 1; 96(7):2366–74. <u>https://doi.org/10.3382/ps/pew487</u> PMID: 28339716

- 72. Berghaus RD, Mathis DL, Bramwell RK, Macklin KS, Wilson JL, Wineland MJ, et al. Multilevel analysis of environmental Salmonella prevalences and management practices on 49 broiler breeder farms in four south-eastern States, USA. Zoonoses Public Health. 2012; 59(5):365–374. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1863-2378.2012.01464.x PMID: 22650982</u>
- **73.** Newell DG, Elvers KT, Dopfer D, Hansson I, Jones P, James S, et al. Biosecurity-based interventions and strategies to reduce Campylobacter spp. on poultry farms. Applied and Environmental Microbiology. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2011; 77(24):8605–8614. <u>https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.01090-10</u> PMID: 21984249