Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10, 11931–11954, 2010 www.atmos-chem-phys.net/10/11931/2010/doi:10.5194/acp-10-11931-2010 © Author(s) 2010. CC Attribution 3.0 License.



Characterization of trace gases measured over Alberta oil sands mining operations: 76 speciated C_2 – C_{10} volatile organic compounds (VOCs), CO_2 , CH_4 , CO, NO, NO_2 , NO_y , O_3 and SO_2

I. J. Simpson¹, N. J. Blake¹, B. Barletta¹, G. S. Diskin², H. E. Fuelberg³, K. Gorham¹, L. G. Huey⁴, S. Meinardi¹, F. S. Rowland¹, S. A. Vay², A. J. Weinheimer⁵, M. Yang^{1,2}, and D. R. Blake¹

Received: 1 July 2010 - Published in Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss.: 5 August 2010

Revised: 19 November 2010 - Accepted: 26 November 2010 - Published: 15 December 2010

Abstract. Oil sands comprise 30% of the world's oil reserves and the crude oil reserves in Canada's oil sands deposits are second only to Saudi Arabia. The extraction and processing of oil sands is much more challenging than for light sweet crude oils because of the high viscosity of the bitumen contained within the oil sands and because the bitumen is mixed with sand and contains chemical impurities such as sulphur. Despite these challenges, the importance of oil sands is increasing in the energy market. To our best knowledge this is the first peer-reviewed study to characterize volatile organic compounds (VOCs) emitted from Alberta's oil sands mining sites. We present high-precision gas chromatography measurements of 76 speciated C₂–C₁₀ VOCs (alkanes, alkenes, alkynes, cycloalkanes, aromatics, monoterpenes, oxygenated hydrocarbons, halocarbons and sulphur compounds) in 17 boundary layer air samples collected over surface mining operations in northeast Alberta on 10 July 2008, using the NASA DC-8 airborne laboratory as a research platform. In addition to the VOCs, we present simultaneous measurements of CO₂, CH₄, CO, NO, NO₂, NO_y, O₃ and SO₂, which were measured in situ aboard the DC-8.

Carbon dioxide, CH_4 , CO, NO, NO_2 , NO_y , SO_2 and 53 VOCs (e.g., non-methane hydrocarbons, halocarbons, sulphur species) showed clear statistical enhancements (1.1–397×) over the oil sands compared to local background val-



Correspondence to: I. J. Simpson (isimpson@uci.edu)

ues and, with the exception of CO, were greater over the oil sands than at any other time during the flight. Twenty halocarbons (e.g., CFCs, HFCs, halons, brominated species) either were not enhanced or were minimally enhanced (<10%) over the oil sands. Ozone levels remained low because of titration by NO, and three VOCs (propyne, furan, MTBE) remained below their 3 pptv detection limit throughout the flight. Based on their correlations with one another, the compounds emitted by the oil sands industry fell into two groups: (1) evaporative emissions from the oil sands and its products and/or from the diluent used to lower the viscosity of the extracted bitumen (i.e., C₄–C₉ alkanes, C₅–C₆ cycloalkanes, C₆–C₈ aromatics), together with CO; and (2) emissions associated with the mining effort, such as upgraders (i.e., CO₂, CO, CH₄, NO, NO₂, NO_v, SO₂, C₂-C₄ alkanes, C₂-C₄ alkenes, C₉ aromatics, short-lived solvents such as C₂Cl₄ and C₂HCl₃, and longer-lived species such as HCFC-22 and HCFC-142b). Prominent in the second group, SO₂ and NO were remarkably enhanced over the oil sands, with maximum mixing ratios of 38.7 ppbv and 5.0 ppbv, or 383× and 319× the local background, respectively. These SO₂ levels are comparable to maximum values measured in heavily polluted megacities such as Mexico City and are attributed to coke combustion. By contrast, relatively poor correlations between CH₄, ethane and propane suggest low levels of natural gas leakage despite its heavy use at the surface mining sites. Instead the elevated CH₄ levels are attributed to methanogenic tailings pond emissions.

¹Dept. of Chemistry, University of California-Irvine, Irvine, CA 92697, USA

²NASA Langley Research Center, Hampton, VA 23681, USA

³Dept. of Meteorology, Florida State University, Tallahassee, FL 32306, USA

⁴School of Earth & Atmospheric Sciences, Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, GA 30332, USA

⁵National Center for Atmospheric Research, 1850 Table Mesa Dr., Boulder, CO 80305, USA

In addition to the emission of many trace gases, the natural drawdown of OCS by vegetation was absent above the surface mining operations, presumably because of the widespread land disturbance. Unexpectedly, the mixing ratios of α -pinene and β -pinene were much greater over the oil sands (up to 217 pptv and 610 pptv, respectively) than over vegetation in the background boundary layer (20 ± 7 pptv and 84 ± 24 pptv, respectively), and the pinenes correlated well with several industrial tracers that were elevated in the oil sands plumes. Because so few independent measurements from the oil sands mining industry exist, this study provides an important initial characterization of trace gas emissions from oil sands surface mining operations.

1 Introduction

Hydrocarbons are the basis of oil, natural gas and coal. Crude oil contains hydrocarbons with five or more carbon atoms (i.e., $\geq C_5$), with an average composition of alkanes (30%), cycloalkanes (49%), aromatics (15%) and asphaltics (6%) (Alboudwarej et al., 2006). Oil sands comprise 30% of total world oil reserves (Alboudwarej et al., 2006) and are a mixture of sand, water, clay and crude bitumen - a thick, sticky extra-heavy crude oil that is "unconventional", i.e., does not flow and cannot be pumped without heating or dilution. With 179 billion barrels in its deposits, most of which occurs as oil sands, Canada has the world's 2nd largest crude oil reserves after Saudi Arabia and is currently the world's 7th largest producer of crude oil, generating about 1 million barrels day⁻¹ with almost 4 million barrels day⁻¹ expected by 2020 (www.canadasoilsands.ca). Alberta is Canada's largest oil producer and has oil sands deposits in three relatively remote regions: Athabasca (which is serviced by Fort McMurray), Peace River and Cold Lake (Fig. 1). Shallow oil sands deposits (<75 m deep) in the Athabasca region can be surface mined, which has disturbed about $600 \,\mathrm{km}^2$ of land and comprises $\sim 20\%$ of Alberta's oil sands production (www.oilsands.alberta.ca). Each barrel of oil generated from surface mining requires about 2 tons of oil sands, 3 barrels of water - much of which is re-used – and 20 m³ of natural gas (http://www.neb.gc.ca/ clf-nsi/rnrgynfmtn/nrgyrprt/lsnd/pprtntsndchllngs20152006/ qapprtntsndchllngs20152006-eng.html). Deeper deposits occur in all three regions and require other in situ recovery methods such as steam injection and have higher natural gas requirements, but they have the advantage of much less land disturbance and no need for tailings ponds (www.oilsands.alberta.ca).

Oil sands processing extracts the bitumen from the oil sands and upgrades it into synthetic crude oil. The surface mining operators (e.g., Syncrude Canada, Suncor Energy, Albian Sands Energy) extract the bitumen using a hot water process (e.g., www.syncrude.ca/users/folder.asp?




Fig. 1. Alberta's oil sands deposits in the Athabasca, Peace River and Cold Lake regions (brown areas) (http://www.energy.alberta.ca/Oil/pdfs/oil_resources_Map.pdf).

FolderID=5918). Solvents/diluents are used to remove water and solids from the extracted bitumen and also decrease the bitumen's viscosity so that it can be piped to upgraders and refineries for processing. Diluent such as naphtha is used, which is a flammable liquid mixture of C3-C14 hydrocarbons with major fractions of n-alkanes (e.g., heptanes, octane, nonane) and aromatics (e.g., benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, xylenes) (Siddique et al., 2007). Paraffinic solvents/diluents may also be used, which consist of pentanes and hexanes (Siddique et al., 2006; D. Spink, personal communication, 2010). Upgraders crack and separate the bitumen into a number of fractions, e.g., fuel gas, synthetic crude oil, carbon (coke), and sulphur. There are upgraders at some of the surface mining sites north of Fort McMurray, at an in situ site south of Fort McMurray, and at downstream industrial centers such as the industrial heartland in Fort Saskatchewan, Alberta. Every 1 m³ of oil sands also generates 4 m³ of tailings waste, which includes both residual bitumen and diluent (Holowenko et al., 2000; Siddique, 2006). For example the Syncrude Mildred Lake tailings pond contains about 200 million m³ of mature fine tailings and became methanogenic in the 1990s (Holowenko et al., 2000; Siddique et al., 2008). The methanogens are believed to anaerobically degrade certain components of naphtha (C_{6} – C_{10} n-alkanes and aromatics such as toluene and xylenes) into methane (CH₄), at rates of up to $10 \, \mathrm{g} \, \mathrm{CH_4} \, \mathrm{m^{-2}} \, \mathrm{d^{-1}}$ or $40 \, \mathrm{million} \, \mathrm{L} \, \mathrm{CH_4} \, \mathrm{d^{-1}}$ (Holowenko et al., 2000; Siddique et al., 2006, 2007, 2008; Penner and Foght, 2010).

Despite the emerging importance of oil sands in the energy market, characterizations of the emissions of volatile organic compounds (VOCs) and other trace gases from the oil sands industry are extremely scarce in the peer-reviewed literature. Whereas downstream oil sands upgrading and refining facilities can be monitored by ground-based studies (e.g., Mintz and McWhinney, 2008), independent studies of oil sands mining emissions are particularly difficult because the mining operations are not accessible to the public (Timoney and Lee, 2009). Instead, the majority of oil sands studies are reported in the so-called "grey literature", consisting of discipline-specific reports, industrial monitoring reports, reports by industrially-controlled consortia, and reports commissioned by non-governmental organizations (Timoney and Lee, 2009). Air quality in the oil sands surface mining air shed is monitored locally by the Wood Buffalo Environmental Association (WBEA), which is a multi-stakeholder organization that represents industry, environmental groups, government, communities, and Aboriginal stakeholders. Longterm monitoring data and reports are available at the WBEA website (www.wbea.org) but these data have not been published in the peer-reviewed literature.

We present independent observations of 76 speciated C₂-C₁₀ VOCs, CH₄, sulphur dioxide (SO₂), carbon dioxide (CO₂), carbon monoxide (CO), ozone (O₃), nitric oxide (NO), nitrogen dioxide (NO₂) and total reactive nitrogen $(NO_y = NO + NO_2 + HNO_3 + PANs + other organic nitrates$ + HO_2NO_2 + HONO + NO_3 + $2 \times N_2O_5$ + particulate NO_2 + ...) near surface oil sands mining and upgrading operations in Alberta's Athabasca region. Although present in trace quantities in the Earth's atmosphere, these gases drive the atmosphere's chemistry and radiative balance (Forster et al., 2007 and references therein). For example hydrocarbons and nitrogen oxides $(NO_x = NO + NO_2)$ are key atmospheric constituents that can react together in the presence of sunlight to form tropospheric O3, itself a greenhouse gas and air pollutant. Many hydrocarbons (e.g., benzene, toluene) can also be toxic or carcinogenic. Sulphur dioxide is produced by industrial processes including petroleum combustion and can contribute to photochemical smog and acid rain. Our measurements were made on 10 July 2008 during a 17 min boundary layer (BL) flight leg over the Alberta oil sands using the NASA DC-8 aircraft as a research platform (http:// airbornescience.nasa.gov/platforms/aircraft/dc-8.html). The VOCs were collected in seventeen 45 s integrated whole air samples that were subsequently analyzed at the University of California, Irvine (UC-Irvine). The remaining trace gases were sampled continuously, with CO₂, CH₄, CO, NO, NO₂, NO_y and O_3 reported every 1 s and SO_2 reported every 30 s. Although clearly limited in temporal and spatial extent, to our best knowledge these measurements represent the first independent characterization of speciated VOCs and many other trace gases from oil sands mining in the peer-reviewed literature.

2 Experimental

Boundary layer air was sampled over the Athabasca surface mines as part of the 2008 Arctic Research of the Composition of the Troposphere from Aircraft and Satellites (ARCTAS) field mission (www-air.larc.nasa.gov/missions/arctas/arctas. html). The summer deployment of ARCTAS was based in Cold Lake, Alberta (54°25′ N; 110°12′ W) and included eight 8-h science flights (Flights 17-24) from 29 June-13 July 2008. Although the major focus of the summer phase of ARCTAS was boreal biomass burning emissions (Jacob et al., 2009), on 10 July 2008 (Flight 23) there was an opportunity for the DC-8 to make two descents into the BL over northeast Alberta as part of a return transit flight from Thule, Greenland to Cold Lake (Fig. 2). During the first BL excursion (Leg 7) the DC-8 circled over the Athabasca oil sands mining area between 11:27-11:44 local time, at altitudes between 720-850 m and within an area bounded by 56°34′–57°09′ N and 111°01′–111°50′ W. The aircraft flew over both boreal forest and cleared industrial land including tailings ponds, tailings sand and upgrader facilities (Fig. 2b). Ten-day backward trajectories show that during Leg 7 the air masses arrived at the aircraft's pressure level from the west (Fig. 3a). The second BL run was flown shortly after, from 12:00-12:15 local time at altitudes between 980-1410 m (Leg 9). Leg 9 was an intercomparison flight leg between the DC-8 and the NASA P-3B aircraft that occurred in generally clean air approximately 1° further south $(55^{\circ}39'-56^{\circ}16' \text{ N and } 112^{\circ}44'-113^{\circ}47' \text{ W})$, i.e., not over the oil sands. The ten-day backward trajectories for Leg 9 show that the sampled air masses also arrived from the west and not from the oil sands mines to the north (Fig. 3b). Therefore, even though Leg 9 occurred at higher altitudes within the BL, we believe it provides a reasonable concurrent local background against which to compare the oil sands VOC enhancements (see additional discussion in Sect. 3.2.3).

2.1 Volatile organic compounds (VOCs)

2.1.1 Airborne whole air sampling

UC-Irvine has measured speciated VOCs from diverse environments for more than 30 years, using both ground-based and airborne platforms (e.g., Blake and Rowland, 1988, 1995; Colman et al., 2001; Blake et al., 2003, 2008; Katzenstein et al., 2003; Barletta et al., 2005, 2009; Simpson et al., 2002, 2006). Our sampling technique collects whole air samples (WAS) into 2-L electropolished, conditioned stainless





Fig. 2. (a) Flight track of the NASA DC-8 aircraft during Flight 23, a transit flight from Thule, Greenland to Cold Lake, Alberta on 10 July 2008. The DC-8 circled within the boundary layer over the oil sands for 17 min (Leg 7) then descended back into background boundary layer air 16 min later (Leg 9). (b) Detail of the flight path during Leg 7. The locations of selected samples (in which maximum values were measured) are highlighted in yellow. The prevailing wind direction was from the southwest quadrant, and samples 4, 5 and 6 were collected directly downwind of the oil sands operations (the grey patch just above the center of the figure).

steel canisters each equipped with a Swagelok Nupro metal bellows valve (Solon, OH). The electropolishing minimizes the surface area and any surface abnormalities, and the canister conditioning (baking the cans in humidified air at ambient pressure and 225 °C for 12 h) forms an oxidative layer on the interior surface that further passivates the canister walls. The DC-8 payload included 168 of our 2-L air sampling canisters for each flight of the ARCTAS mission.

To prepare the canisters for field use, they are taken to the University of California Crooked Creek Station in the




Fig. 3. Ten-day backward kinematic trajectory plots starting at flight level pressure for the two boundary layer excursions flown on 10 July 2008 (a) over the Alberta oil sands from 11:27–11:44 local time (Leg 7), and (b) in background boundary layer air from 12:00–12:14 local time (Leg 9). Computational details are given in Fuelberg et al. (2010).

Sierra Nevada mountains (altitude = 10 200 ft) for a pumpand-flush procedure that is repeated ten times, in which each canister is pressurized to 40 psig with ambient air and then vented to ambient pressure. Next the canisters are returned to our UC-Irvine laboratory where they are evacuated to 10^{-2} Torr and then pressurized to 1000 Torr with ultra-high purity helium before a final evacuation to 10^{-2} Torr (E2M12 dual-stage vacuum pumps, Edwards Vacuum, Wilmington, MA). Lastly each canister is humidified by adding \sim 17 Torr of purified water (the approximate vapour pressure of water at room temperature) to minimize surface adsorption and improve the reproducibility of our analytical split ratios during laboratory analysis (see Sect. 2.1.2). Rigorous sensitivity tests have shown that alkene growth in our passivated canisters is limited to a maximum of 0.2 pptv day⁻¹ and all other compounds reported here are stable over the typically short period that the cans are stored before analysis (Sive, 1998). By analyzing the Alberta samples within 7 days of collection, we were able to limit the size of any alkene artefact to 1.4 pptv or less (i.e., to negligible values).

During each flight the whole air sampling is manually controlled and uses a stainless steel dual head metal bellows pump (MB-602, Senior Aerospace Metal Bellows, Sharon, MA) that is configured in series to draw outside air into a window-mounted 1/4" forward-facing inlet, through our air sampling manifold, and into one of the 168 canisters until it is filled to 40 psig. During Leg 7 we collected seventeen 45-second air samples, or approximately one sample per minute. During Leg 9 we collected 1-min integrated air samples every 3 min, for a total of 6 samples.

2.1.2 Laboratory analysis of VOCs

After Flight 23 the whole air samples were returned to our UC-Irvine laboratory for analysis using three gas chromatography (GC) ovens coupled with a suite of detectors that together are sensitive to the $76 \, \text{C}_2\text{--}\text{C}_{10}$ VOCs that we seek

to measure. We use two flame ionization detectors (FIDs) to measure hydrocarbons, two electron capture detectors (ECDs) for halocarbons, and a quadrupole mass spectrometer detector (MSD) for sulphur compounds.

Complete analytical details are given in Colman et al. (2001). For each sample a 1520 cm³ sample aliquot is introduced into the analytical system's manifold and passed over glass beads contained in a loop maintained at liquid nitrogen temperature. A mass flow controller (Brooks Instrument; Hatfield, PA; model 5850E) keeps the flow below 500 cm³ min⁻¹ to ensure complete trapping of the less volatile sample components (e.g., VOCs) while allowing more volatile components (e.g., N2, O2, Ar) to be pumped away. The less volatile species are re-volatilized by immersing the loop in hot water (80°C) and are then flushed into a helium carrier flow. The sample flow is split into five streams, with each stream chromatographically separated on an individual column and sensed by a single detector, namely: (1) a DB-1 fused silica capillary column (J&W Scientific; 60 m, I.D. 0.32 mm, film 1 mm) connected to an FID; (2) a GS-Alumina PLOT column (J&W Scientific; 30 m, I.D. 0.53 mm) spliced with a DB-1 fused silica capillary column (J&W Scientific; 5 m, I.D. 0.53 mm, film 1 mm) and connected to an FID; (3) an Rtx-1701 fused silica capillary column (Restek; 60 m, I.D. 0.25 mm, film 0.50 mm) connected to an ECD; (4) a DB-5 column (J&W Scientific; 30 m, I.D. 0.25 mm, film 1 mm) spliced with an Rtx-1701 column (Restek; 5 m, I.D. 0.25 mm, film 0.5 mm) and connected to an ECD; and (5) a DB-5ms fused silica capillary column (J&W Scientific; 60 m, I.D. 0.25 mm, film 0.5 mm) connected to an MSD. The split ratios are highly reproducible as long as the specific humidity of the injected air is above 2 g-H₂O/kg-air, which we ensure by adding purified water into each canister (Sect. 2.1.1). The signal from each FID and ECD is output to a personal computer and digitally recorded using Chromeleon Software; the MSD output signal uses Chemstation software. To ensure that the measurements are of the highest calibre, each peak of interest on every chromatogram is individually inspected and its integration is manually modified. For Flight 23 more than 10000 peaks were hand-modified.

Calibration is an ongoing process whereby new standards are referenced to older certified standards, with appropriate checks for stability and with regular inter-laboratory comparisons. The hydrocarbons standards are NIST-traceable and the halocarbon standards are either NIST-traceable or were made in-house and have been compared to standards from other groups such as NOAA/ESRL. Multiple standards are used during sample analysis, including working standards (analyzed every four samples) and absolute standards (analyzed twice daily). Here we used working standards collected in the Sierra Nevada mountain range. International intercomparison experiments have demonstrated that our analytical procedures consistently yield accurate identification of a wide range of blindly selected hydrocarbons and produce

excellent quantitative results (e.g., Apel et al., 1999, 2003). Table 1 shows the limit of detection (LOD) and the measurement precision and accuracy for each VOC. Even though our LOD is conservative, the accuracy and precision of many species does deteriorate as we approach this limit.

2.2 SO_2 , CO_2 , CH_4 , CO, NO, NO_2 , NO_y and O_3

In addition to VOCs measured by UC-Irvine, we also present SO₂, CO₂, CO, CH₄, NO, NO₂, NO_y and O₃ mixing ratios, which were measured in situ aboard the DC-8 by four research teams each using fast-response, high precision, continuous real-time instruments. The measurement precision and accuracy of these compounds are given in Table 1. The time response is 1 s for all compounds except NO and NO2, which have a 3s time response (SO₂ has a 1s time response but was reported as a 30 s average). Briefly, SO₂ was measured using the Georgia Tech Chemical Ionization Mass Spectrometer (GT-CIMS) instrument, which uses SF₆ ion chemistry to selectively ionize SO₂ (Kim et al., 2007). Carbon dioxide CO₂ was measured using the NASA Langley Atmospheric Vertical Observations of CO2 in the Earth's Troposphere (AVOCET) instrument, which uses a modified Li-Cor model 6252 differential, non-dispersive infrared (NDIR) gas analyzer at the 4.26 µm CO₂ absorption band (Vay et al., 1999, 2003). Methane and CO were measured by the NASA Langley Differential Absorption CO Measurement (DACOM) instrument, which uses two tunable diode lasers in the infrared spectral region to simultaneously measure the absorption of light by CH₄ (3.3 μ m) and CO (4.7 μ m) (Fried et al., 2008). Nitric oxide, NO₂, NO_y and O₃ were measured using the 4-channel NCAR NO_{xv}O₃ chemiluminescence instrument (Weinheimer et al., 1994).

3 Results and discussion

Mixing ratio time series for Flight 23 are shown for selected species in Figs. 4 and 10, and altitudinal profiles are shown for many measured species in Figs. 5-9. Values that are below their LOD have been given a value of "0" so that they are visually represented on the graphs. Measurement statistics for Flight 23 - including the BL excursions over the oil sands mining operations (Leg 7, n = 17) and in background air (Leg 9, n = 6) – are given in Table 1. For comparison, statistics for free troposphere (FT) measurements made earlier in the flight are also shown (60–76° N; n = 66). Results for individual samples collected during Leg 7 (Table 2) show that not all of the Leg 7 samples were influenced by emissions from the oil sands because some samples were collected south of the mining operations as the plane manoeuvred (Fig. 2b). For many compounds their maximum enhancements were measured directly downwind of the Syncrude Mildred Lake Facility (i.e., samples 4, 5 and 6 in Table 2 and Fig. 2b). For other compounds such as isoprene,

Table 1. Statistics of boundary layer measurements for 84 compounds measured near oil sands surface mining north of Fort McMurray, Alberta on 10 July 2008 (n = 17). Concurrent local background values in the boundary layer (Bkgd) are also included (n = 6), as are free tropospheric measurements (FT) collected between $60-76^{\circ}$ N (n = 66). Max. Enh. = Maximum enhancement of oil sands values over background values (oil sands max/bkgd avg) – when the background mixing ratio was below detection limit (LOD) a mixing ratio of 1.5 pptv was assumed in the enhancement calculations; Min = minimum; Max = maximum; Avg = average; StD = standard deviation; n/a = not applicable. Units: pptv unless otherwise stated.

Formula Lifetime	Avg (pptv) 17 3 8 424 75 382 1836 111 813 127 7 14 3 LOD	\$tD (pptv) 5 10 15 166 23 1 10 13 13 14 74 4 n/a n/a n/a n/a	
Nitric oxide NO 10 s 20 2 10 7 4980 635 1403 16 6 Nitrogen dioxide NO2 1 day 30 5 10 19 4995 678 19 24 11 Total reactive nitrogen NOy n/a 20 1 1 0 211 10555 1620 2888 194 33 Ozone O3 (ppbv) 8 day 40 1 5 25 31 28 2 31 0 Ozone O4 (ppbv) 9 yr n/a 0.1 ppmv 0.25 ppmv 378 389 381 4 378 1 Methane CH4 (ppbv) 9 yr n/a 0.1 ppmv 0.25 ppmv 378 389 381 4 378 1 Methane CO (ppbv) 2 mo n/a 1 1 1 97 144 103 11 97 1 Alkanes Ethane C2H6 47 dayc 3 1 5 754 1492 917 174 781 22 Propane C3H8 11 dayc 3 2 2 5 214 714 382 135 200 26 Propane C4H10 4.9 dayc 3 3 3 5 32 290 89 69 29 9 Propane C5H12 3.2 dayc 3 3 3 5 64 765 202 179 63 19 Preptane C5H12 3.2 dayc 3 3 3 5 6 4 765 202 179 63 19 Preptane C5H12 3.0 dayc 3 3 3 5 6 27 510 116 119 21 8 Preptane C6H14 2.2 dayc 3 3 3 5 LOD 596 70 158 LOD n/a n-Nonane C9H20 1.2 dayc 3 3 5 11 288 57 71 9 4 2,3-Dimethylpentane C6H14 2.2 dayc 3 3 3 5 LOD 99 13 25 LOD n/a 24-3-Methylpentane C6H14 2.2 dayc 3 3 3 5 LOD 99 13 25 LOD n/a 24-3-Methylpentane C6H14 2.2 dayc 3 3 3 5 LOD 99 13 25 LOD n/a 24-3-Methylpentane C6H14 2.2 dayc 3 3 3 5 LOD 99 13 25 LOD n/a 24-3-Methylpentane C6H14 2.2 dayc 3 3 3 5 LOD 99 13 25 LOD n/a 24-3-Methylpentane C6H14 2.2 dayc 3 3 3 5 LOD 99 13 25 LOD n/a 24-3-Methylpentane C6H14 2.2 dayc 3 3 3 5 LOD 99 13 25 LOD n/a 24-3-Methylpentane C6H14 2.2 dayc 3 3 3 5 LOD 99 13 25 LOD n/a 24-3-Methylpentane C6H14 2.2 dayc 3 3 3 5 LOD 99 13 25 LOD n/a 24-3-Methylpentane C6H14 2.2 dayc 3 3 3 5 LOD 99 13 25 LOD n/a 24-3-Methylpentane C6H14 2.2 dayc 3 3 3 5 LOD 99 13 25 LOD n/a 24-3-Methylpentane C6H14 2.2 dayc 3 3 3 5 LOD 99 13 25 LOD n/a 24-3-Methylpentane C6H14 2.2 dayc 3 3 3 5 LOD 72 13 25 LOD n/a 24-3-Methylpentane C6H14 2.2 dayc 3 3 3 5 LOD 72 13 25 LOD n/a 24-3-Methylpentane C6H14 2.2 dayc 3 3 3 5 LOD 72 13 25 LOD n/a 24-3-Methylpentane C6H14 2.2 dayc 3 3 3 5 LOD 72 13 25 LOD n/a 24-3-Methylpentane C6H14 2.2 dayc 3 3 3 5 LOD 72 13 25 LOD n/a 24-3-Methylpentane C6H14 2.2 dayc 3 3 3 5 LOD 72 13 25 LOD n/a 24-3-Methylpentane C6H14 2.2 dayc 3 3 3 5 LOD 72 13 25 LOD n/a 24-3-Methylpentane C6H1	3 8 424 75 382 1836 111 813 127 7 14 3 LOD LOD LOD LOD LOD LOD	10 15 166 23 1 10 13 73 20 4 7 7 4 n/a n/a	1.
Nitrogen dioxide NO2	8 424 75 382 1836 1111 813 127 7 14 3 LOD LOD LOD LOD LOD LOD LOD LOD	15 166 23 1 10 13 73 20 4 7 7 4 n/a n/a	1.
Nitrogen dioxide NO2	8 424 75 382 1836 1111 813 127 7 14 3 LOD LOD LOD LOD LOD LOD LOD LOD	166 23 1 10 13 73 20 4 7 7 4 n/a n/a	1.
Total reactive nitrogen NOy n/a 20 1 10 211 10555 1620 2888 194 33 Dzone O3 (ppbv) 8 day 40 1 5 25 31 28 2 31 0 Carbon dioxide CO2 (ppmv) > 100 yr n/a 0.1 ppmv 0.25 ppmv 378 389 381 4 378 1 Methane CH4 (ppbv) 9 yr n/a 0.1 2 1844 1983 1876 35 1843½ 5 Carbon monoxide CO (ppbv) 2 mo n/a 1 1 1 97 144 103 11 97 1 2 1 2 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	424 75 382 1836 1111 813 127 7 14 3 LOD LOD LOD LOD LOD LOD LOD	166 23 1 10 13 73 20 4 7 7 4 n/a n/a	1.
Ozone O_3 (ppbv) 8 day 40 1 5 25 31 28 2 31 0 Carbon dioxide CO_2 (ppmv) > 100 yr n/a 0.1 ppmv 0.25 ppmv 378 389 381 4 378 1 Methane CH_4 (ppbv) 9 yr n/a 0.1 2 ppmv 1844 1983 1876 35 1843 2 5 Carbon monoxide CO (ppbv) 2 mo n/a 0.1 2 ppmv 1844 1983 1876 35 1843 2 5 Carbon monoxide CO (ppbv) 2 mo n/a 1 1 97 144 103 11 97 1 Alkanes Ethane C_2H_6 47 day^c 3 1 5 754 1492 917 174 781 22 Ethane C_2H_6 47 day^c 3 2 5 214 714 382 135 200 26	75 382 1836 1111 813 127 7 14 3 LOD LOD LOD LOD LOD LOD LOD LOD	23 1 10 13 73 20 4 7 4 n/a n/a	1.
Carbon dioxide CO_2 (ppmv) $>100 \text{ yr}$ n/a 0.1 ppmv 0.25 ppmv 378 389 381 4 378 1 Methane CH_4 (ppbv) 9 yr n/a 0.1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	382 1836 1111 813 127 7 144 3 LOD LOD LOD LOD LOD LOD LOD LOD	1 10 13 73 20 4 7 4 n/a n/a	1.
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	813 127 7 14 3 LOD LOD LOD LOD LOD LOD LOD	73 20 4 7 4 n/a n/a	1.
Carbon monoxide CO (ppbv) 2 mo n/a 1 1 97 144 103 11 97 1 Alkanes Ethane C_2H_6 47 day ^c 3 1 5 754 1492 917 174 781 22 Propane C_3H_8 11 day ^c 3 2 5 214 714 382 135 200 26 18 -Butane C_4H_{10} 5.5 day ^c 3 3 5 64 765 202 179 63 19 19 -Pentane C_5H_{12} 3.2 day ^c 3 3 5 64 765 202 179 63 19 19 -Pentane C_5H_{12} 3.0 day ^c 3 3 5 64 765 202 179 63 19 19 -Pentane C_5H_{12} 3.0 day ^c 3 3 5 6 27 510 116 119 21 8 19 -Pentane C_5H_{12} 3.0 day ^c 3 3 5 6 294 44 74 5 3 19 -Pentane C_6H_{14} 2.2 day ^c 3 3 5 10 10 10 116 119 11 10 11 10 11 10 11 11	813 127 7 14 3 LOD LOD LOD LOD LOD LOD LOD	73 20 4 7 4 n/a n/a	
Alkanes Ethane C_2H_6 47 day 3 1 5 754 1492 917 174 781 22 Propane C_3H_8 11 day 3 2 5 214 714 382 135 200 26 i-Butane C_4H_{10} 5.5 day 3 3 5 32 290 89 69 29 9 n-Butane C_4H_{10} 4.9 day 3 3 5 64 765 202 179 63 19 i-Pentane C_5H_{12} 3.2 day 3 3 5 31 564 141 132 22 10 n-Pentane C_5H_{12} 3.0 day 3 3 5 7 71 9 1 8 n-Hexane C_6H_{14} 2.2 day 3 3 5 60 294 44 74 5 3 n-Heptane C_7H_{16} 1.7 day 3 3 5 LOD 596 70 158 LOD n/a n-Nonane C_8H_{18} 1.4 day 3 3 5 LOD 91 13 25 LOD n/a n-Nonane C_9H_{20} 1.2 day 3 3 5 LOD 91 13 25 LOD n/a 2-3-Methylpentane C_6H_{14} 2.2 day 3 3 5 LOD 91 13 25 LOD n/a 2-3-Dimethylbutane C_6H_{14} 2.2 day 3 3 5 LOD 28 5 8 LOD n/a 2-3-Dimethylbutane C_6H_{14} 2.0 day 3 3 5 LOD 28 5 8 LOD n/a 2-3-Dimethylbutane C_6H_{14} 2.0 day 3 3 5 LOD 28 5 8 LOD n/a 2-3-Dimethylbutane C_6H_{14} 2.0 day 3 3 5 LOD 28 5 8 LOD n/a 1-Butene C_2H_4 1.4 day 3 3 5 LOD 72 13 25 LOD n/a 1-Butene C_2H_4 1.4 day 3 3 5 LOD 72 13 25 LOD n/a	813 127 7 14 3 LOD LOD LOD LOD LOD LOD LOD	73 20 4 7 4 n/a n/a	
Ethane C_2H_6 47 day ^c 3 1 5 754 1492 917 174 781 22 Propane C_3H_8 11 day ^c 3 2 5 214 714 382 135 200 26 i-Butane C_4H_{10} 5.5 day ^c 3 3 5 32 290 89 69 29 9 in-Butane C_5H_{12} 3.2 day ^c 3 3 5 64 765 202 179 63 19 in-Pentane C_5H_{12} 3.2 day ^c 3 3 5 5 64 765 202 179 63 19 in-Pentane C_5H_{12} 3.0 day ^c 3 3 5 5 64 765 202 179 63 19 in-Pentane C_5H_{12} 3.0 day ^c 3 3 5 5 6 27 510 116 119 21 8 in-Pentane C_5H_{12} 3.0 day ^c 3 3 5 5 6 294 44 74 5 5 3 in-Pentane C_5H_{14} 2.2 day ^c 3 3 5 5 6 294 44 74 74 5 3 in-Pentane C_7H_{16} 1.7 day ^c 3 3 5 LOD 596 70 158 LOD in-Pantane in-Poctane C_8H_{18} 1.4 day ^c 3 3 5 LOD 363 45 101 LOD in-Pantane in-Poctane C_9H_{14} 2.2 day ^c 3 3 5 LOD 363 45 101 LOD in-Pantane in-Poctane C_9H_{14} 2.2 day ^c 3 3 5 LOD 91 13 25 LOD in-Pantane in-Poctane C_9H_{14} 2.2 day ^c 3 3 5 LOD 91 13 25 LOD in-Pantane in-Poctane C_9H_{14} 2.2 day ^c 3 3 5 LOD 91 13 25 LOD in-Pantane in-Poctane C_9H_{14} 2.2 day ^c 3 3 5 LOD 28 5 8 LOD in-Pantane in-Poctane C_9H_{14} 2.2 day ^c 3 3 5 LOD 28 5 8 LOD in-Pantane in-Poctane C_9H_{14} 2.2 day ^c 3 3 5 LOD 28 5 8 LOD in-Pantane in-Poctane C_9H_{14} 2.2 day ^c 3 3 5 LOD 28 5 5 8 LOD in-Pantane in-Poctane C_9H_{14} 2.0 day ^c 3 3 5 LOD 28 5 5 8 LOD in-Pantane in-Poctane C_9H_{14} 2.0 day ^c 3 3 5 LOD 7 128 28 30 LOD in-Pantane in-Poctane C_9H_{14} 2.0 day ^c 3 3 5 LOD 7 128 28 30 LOD in-Pantane in-Poctane C_9H_{14} 2.0 day ^c 3 3 5 LOD 7 128 28 30 LOD in-Pantane in-Poctane C_9H_{14} 2.4 day ^c 3 3 5 LOD 7 128 28 30 LOD in-Pantane in-Poctane C_9H_{14} 2.4 day ^c 3 3 5 LOD 7 128 28 30 LOD in-Pantane in-Poctane C_9H_{14} 3.4 day ^c 3 3 5 LOD 7 128 28 30 LOD in-Pantane in-Poctane C_9H_{14} 3.4 day ^c 3 3 5 LOD 7 128 28 30 LOD in-Pantane in-Pantane in-Poctane in-Pantane in-Panta	127 7 14 3 LOD LOD LOD LOD LOD LOD LOD LOD	20 4 7 4 n/a n/a n/a	
Propane C_3H_8	127 7 14 3 LOD LOD LOD LOD LOD LOD LOD LOD	20 4 7 4 n/a n/a n/a	
Februane C_4H_{10} 5.5 dayc 3 3 5 32 290 89 69 29 9 α -Butane C_4H_{10} 4.9 dayc 3 3 5 64 765 202 179 63 19 α -Pentane C_5H_{12} 3.2 dayc 3 3 5 5 31 564 141 132 22 10 α -Pentane C_5H_{12} 3.0 dayc 3 3 5 27 510 116 119 21 8 α -Pentane C_5H_{14} 2.2 dayc 3 3 5 6 294 44 74 5 3 α -Pentane C_7H_{16} 1.7 dayc 3 3 5 LOD 596 70 158 LOD α -Pentane α -Octane α -Reputane α -Octane α -Reputane α -Pentane α -Octane α -Pentane α -Pen	7 14 3 LOD	4 7 4 n/a n/a n/a	
t -Butane C_4H_{10} $4.9 day^c$ 3 3 5 64 765 202 179 63 19 t -Pentane C_5H_{12} $3.2 day^c$ 3 3 5 31 564 141 132 22 10 t -Pentane C_5H_{12} $3.0 day^c$ 3 3 5 6 116 119 21 8 t -Hexane C_6H_{14} $2.2 day^c$ 3 3 5 6 294 44 74 5 3 t -Heptane C_7H_{16} $1.7 day^c$ 3 3 5 100 596 70 158 100 n/a t -Heptane C_7H_{16} $1.7 day^c$ 3 3 5 100 596 70 158 100 n/a t -Octane C_8H_{18} $1.4 day^c$ 3 3 5 100 363 45	14 3 LOD	7 4 n/a n/a n/a	
Pentane C_5H_{12} 3.2 day ^c 3 3 5 31 564 141 132 22 10 $a_7Pentane$ C_5H_{12} 3.0 day ^c 3 3 5 27 510 116 119 21 8 $a_7Pentane$ C_6H_{14} 2.2 day ^c 3 3 5 6 294 44 74 5 3 7 $a_7Pentane$ C_7H_{16} 1.7 day ^c 3 3 5 LOD 596 70 158 LOD $a_7Pentane$ $a_7Pentan$	3 LOD LOD LOD LOD 3 LOD LOD	4 n/a n/a n/a	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	LOD LOD LOD LOD 3 LOD LOD	n/a n/a n/a	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	LOD LOD LOD 3 LOD LOD	n/a n/a	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	LOD LOD LOD 3 LOD LOD	n/a n/a	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	LOD LOD 3 LOD LOD	n/a	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	LOD 3 LOD LOD		1
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	LOD LOD	n/a	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	LOD LOD		
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	LOD	3	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		n/a	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1	n/a	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	4	11	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	LOD	n/a	
F-Butene C_4H_8 5.4 h^c 3 3 5 4 37 9 9 LOD n/a	LOD	n/a	
7 0			
	LOD	n/a	
cis -2-Butene C_4H_8 4.9 hc 3 3 5 LOD 9 LOD n/a LOD n/a	LOD	n/a	
rans-2-Butene C_4H_8 4.3 h ^c 3 3 5 LOD 18 3 6 LOD n/a	LOD	n/a	
1,3-Butadiene C ₄ H ₆ 4.2 h ^c 3 3 5 LOD 8 LOD n/a LOD n/a	LOD	n/a	
soprene C_5H_8 2.8 h^c 3 3 5 243 780 468 147 311 95	LOD	n/a	
Alkynes			
Ethyne C ₂ H ₂ 12–17 day 3 3 5 56 138 74 22 59 5	106	34	
Propyne C ₃ H ₄ 2 day 5 30 20 LOD n/a LOD n/a LOD n/a	LOD	n/a	
	1		÷
Cycloalkanes	LOD		
Cyclopentane C_5H_{10} 2.3 day 3 3 5 LOD 41 9 10 LOD n/a	LOD	n/a	
Methylcyclopentane C_6H_{12} 2.0 day ^c 3 3 5 4 185 31 47 6 2	3	1	
Cyclohexane C_6H_{12} 1.7 day ^c 3 3 5 5 133 23 33 4 1	LOD	n/a	
Methylcyclohexane C_7H_{14} 1.3 day ^c 3 3 5 4 339 52 100 3 2	3	2	
Aromatics			Π
Benzene C_6H_6 9.5 day ^c 3 3 5 13 82 24 18 11 1	21	10	
Foluene C_7H_8 2.1 day ^c 3 3 5 6 401 50 102 6 1	LOD	n/a	
, ,			
0 10 1	LOD	n/a	
$m+p-{ m Xylene}$ C ₈ H ₁₀ 12-19 h ^{c,d} 3 3 5 LOD 272 29 74 LOD n/a	LOD	n/a	
$_{2}$ -Xylene $C_{8}H_{10}$ 20 h^{c} 3 3 5 LOD 127 14 37 LOD $_{1}$ $_{2}$	LOD	n/a	
r -Propylbenzene C_9H_{12} 2.0 day c 3 3 5 LOD 13 2 4 LOD r	LOD	n/a	
n-Ethyltoluene C_0H_{12} 15 h ^c 3 3 5 LOD 27 4 9 LOD n/a	LOD	n/a	
	LOD	n/a	
9 12			
p-Ethyltoluene C ₉ H ₁₂ 24 h ^e 3 3 5 LOD 20 2 6 LOD n/a	LOD	n/a	
1,2,3-Trimethylbenzene C_9H_{12} 8.5 h^c 3 3 5 LOD 40 5 11 LOD n/a	LOD	n/a	
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene C_9H_{12} 8.5 h^c 3 3 5 LOD 51 6 15 LOD n/a	LOD	n/a	
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene C_9H_{12} 4.9 h^c 3 3 5 LOD 10 1 3 LOD n/a	LOD	n/a	
Monoterpenes			
x-Pinene $C_{10}H_{16}$ 5.3 h ^c 3 3 5 15 217 67 52 20 7	LOD	n/a	
β-Pinene C ₁₀ H ₁₆ 3.7 h ^c 3 3 5 38 610 226 149 84 24	LOD	n/a	
Oxygenated	T		ī
hydrocarbons			
Methanol CH ₃ OH 12 day ^c 50 30 20 1848 3570 2515 513 1967 354	2276	816	
Ethanol C_2H_5OH 3.6 day 20 30 20 76^h 141^h 106^h 23^h 75 12	81	20	
Acetone C ₃ H ₆ O 15 day ^e 100 30 20 393 941 644 154 519 71	947	229	
MEK C_4H_8O 9.5 day ^c 5 30 20 20 214 65 49 20 16	57	27	
MAC C_4H_6O 9.6 h° 5 30 20 26 266 92 63 35 10	3	4	
MVK C_4H_6O 14 h° 5 30 20 42 379 141 109 64 25	16	10	
MTBE $C_5H_{12}O$ 3.9 day ^c 1 30 20 LOD n/a LOD n/a LOD n/a	LOD	n/a	1
Furan C_4H_4O $3.4 h^c$ 10 30 $20 \mid LOD$ n/a LOD $n/a \mid LOD$ n/a	LOD	n/a	

Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10, 11931-11954, 2010

Table 1. Continued.

						Oil Sands				BK	GD	FT		
Compound	Formula	Lifetime ^a	LOD (pptv)	Precision ^b (%)	Accuracy (%)	Min (pptv)	Max (pptv)	Avg (pptv)	StD (pptv)	Avg (pptv)	StD (pptv)	Avg (pptv)	StD (pptv)	Ma En
Halocarbons														
CFC-11	CCl ₃ F	45 yr ^f	10	1	3	251	254	253	1	252.7	0.8	248.4	2.4	1.0
CFC-12	CCl ₂ F ₂	100 yr ^f	10	1	3	526	538	534	3.2	532.3	1	529.2	3.2	1.
CFC-113	CCl ₂ FCClF ₂	85 yr ^f	5	1	3	77.5	79.5	78.6	0.6	78.1	0.5	78.2	0.7	1.
CFC-114	CCIF2CCIF2	300 yrf	1	1	10	16.2	16.8	16.5	0.1	16.4	0.2	16	0.2	1.
Methyl chloroform	CH ₃ CCl ₃	5.0 yrf	0.1	1	5	12.1	12.6	12.3	0.2	12.2	0.1	12.2	0.1	1.
Carbon tetrachloride	CCl ₄	26 yr ^f	1	1	5	91.8	93.4	92.7	0.4	92.3	0.3	91.7	0.9	1.
Halon-1211	CBrClF ₂	16 yr ^f	0.1	1	5	4.09	4.37	4.22	0.1	4.16	0.05	4.23	0.07	1.
Halon-1301	CBrF ₃	65 yr ^f	0.1	10	10	3.0	3.5	3.3	0.15	3.18	0.12	3.12	0.13	1.
Halon-2402	CBrF2CBrF2	20 vr ^f	0.01	1	5	0.51	0.54	0.52	0.01	0.51	0.01	0.51	0.01	1
HFC-134a	CH ₂ FCF ₃	14 yr ^f	1	3	10	44	48.9	46.7	1.3	45.3	0.6	46.6	1.6	1
HCFC-22	CHF ₂ Cl	12 yr ^f	2	5	5	189.2	212.1	200	6.7	188.7	1.1	193.4	5.8	1
HCFC-141b	CH ₃ CCl ₂ F	9.3 yr ^f	0.5	3	10	19.9	21.8	21.2	0.4	20.4	0.7	20.8	0.6	1
HCFC-142b	CH ₂ CClF ₂	18 yr ^f	0.5	3	10	18.5	22.1	20.1	1	18.8	0.2	19.5	0.6	1
Methyl bromide	CH ₃ Br	0.7 yr ^f	0.5	5	10	7.6	8.3	8	0.2	7.7	0.1	8.3	0.7	1
Methyl chloride	CH ₃ Cl	1.0 yr ^f	50	5	10	508	545	522	12	503	6	530	13	1
Methyl iodide	CH ₃ I	4 d	0.005	5	20	0.37	0.45	0.41	0.02	0.36	0.01	0.06	0.04	1
Dibromomethane	CH ₂ Br ₂	3-4 mo	0.01	5	20	0.76	0.94	0.87	0.04	0.91	0.03	0.75	0.05	1
Dichloromethane	CH ₂ Cl ₂	3-5 mo	1	5	10	28.4	35.1	31.3	1.8	28.9	0.6	30.9	1.7	1
Chloroform	CHCl ₃	3-5 mo	0.1	5	10	10.3	15.6	11.7	1.3	10.8	0.3	10.4	0.6	1
Trichloroethene	C ₂ HCl ₃	5 d	0.01	5	10	0.1	4.8	0.6	1.2	0.1	0	0.1	0	3
Tetrachloroethene	C_2Cl_4	2-3 mo	0.01	5	10	2.7	5.9	3.2	0.8	2.8	0.1	2.9	0.3	2
1,2-Dichloroethane	$C_2H_4Cl_2$	1-2 mo	0.1	5	10	7.4	9.4	8.5	0.6	8.1	0.5	8.9	0.8	1
Bromodichloromethane	CHBrCl ₂	2–3 mo	0.01	10	50	0.14	0.17	0.15	0.01	0.15	0.01	0.15	0.01	1
Dibromochloromethane	CHBr ₂ Cl	2-3 mo	0.01	5	50	0.09	0.13	0.12	0.01	0.13	0.01	0.11	0.02	1
Bromoform	CHBr ₃	11 mo	0.01	10	20	0.7	0.91	0.83	0.05	0.9	0.04	0.47	0.31	1
Ethyl chloride	C ₂ H ₅ Cl	1 mo	0.1	5	30	0.75	2.62	1.42	0.47	1.39	0.68	1.67	0.62	1.
Sulphur Compounds										1				
Carbonyl sulphide	OCS	2.5 yr	10	2	10	392	484	437	26	413	13	445	19	1
Dimethyl sulphide	CH ₃ SCH ₃	1-2 d	1	10	20	6	18	10.7	3.9	4.7	0.8	LOD	n/a	

^a Lifetimes of short-lived OH-controlled compounds are shorter (longer) during the summer (winter), when there are more (fewer) hydroxyl radicals (OH) available for oxidative reactions.

which has a dominant biogenic source, the maximum occurred further south over vegetation (i.e., sample 14). Because the Leg 7 samples ranged from near-background air to strongly polluted industrial plumes, the discussion below includes a "maximum enhancement" over the Leg 9 background average, based on each compound's maximum mixing ratio during Leg 7. For correlation purposes, the SO₂, CO₂, CH₄, CO, NO, NO₂, NO_y and O₃ data are based on the average only of those 1 s measurements (30 s for SO₂) that overlapped the VOC sampling times (i.e., the so-called hydrocarbon data merge). The complete 1 s and 30 s data sets for these eight compounds, together with all the VOC data from the summer phase of ARCTAS, are available at ftp://ftp-air.larc.nasa.gov/pub/ARCTAS/DC8_AIRCRAFT/.

3.1 General features

Atmospheric trace gas mixing ratios are generally greater in the Earth's BL than above in the FT. Here we seek to quantify mixing ratio increases over the oil sands that exceed the increases that may occur as the aircraft descends into the boundary layer. The 84 trace gases presented here can be classified into three groups: (1) compounds that were strongly enhanced (>10%) over the oil sands relative to levels in the local background BL air; (2) compounds that showed minimal increases over the oil sands (<10% greater than the local background average); and (3) compounds that showed no statistical enhancements over the oil sands compared to the local background. The first group,

^b The VOC precision deteriorates as we approach our detection limit; at low values the precision is either the stated precision (%) or 3 pptv, whichever is larger. The NO, NO_2 , NO_y and O_3 precision values are for high mixing ratios as were encountered over the oil sands; at low mixing ratios their precision is 20 pptv for the nitrogen species and 0.1 ppbv for O_3 .

 $^{^{}c}$ Based on OH rate constants from Atkinson and Arey (2003) and assuming a 12-h daytime average OH radical concentration of 2.0×10 molec cm⁻³. The lifetime estimates for furan and methylcyclopentane are from Atkinson et al. (2005) and also use a 12-h daytime OH value of 2.0×10 molec cm⁻³.

^d The OH-lifetimes of *m*-xylene and *p*-xylene are 12.0 and 19.4 hours, respectively.

^e Total tropospheric lifetime based on Jacob et al. (2002).

f Total lifetimes based on Clerbaux et al. (2007).

g CH₄ mixing ratios were not measured during Leg 9, and the background value was determined from the Cold Lake landing (see text).

h Ethanol data were not available for the first seven samples of Leg 7, and the statistics presented here are based on samples 8–17.

Table 2. Mixing ratios of selected compounds in 17 whole air samples measured near the Alberta oil sands on 10 July 2008 during Flight Leg 7. Samples 4, 5 and 6 were collected directly downwind of the Syncrude Mildred Lake Facility and showed the strongest enhancements for most compounds. An exception is the biogenic tracer isoprene, which was most enhanced in sample 14. Maximum mixing ratios are shown in bold for each compound. To help distinguish which of the 17 samples collected during Leg 7 resembled background air as the aircraft manoeuvred south of the mining operations, the bottom two rows show the average (standard deviation) values for the background samples collected during Flight Leg 9 (n = 6). Lat = latitude; Long = longitude; Alt = altitude; WD = wind direction; Bkgd = background; StD = standard deviation; n/a = not applicable; LOD denotes values below the detection limit.

	m:					110		G 61			α-	n-	n-	Cyclo-	m 1	0-	
No.	Time	Lat	Long	Alt	WD	NO _y	SO ₂	C ₂ Cl ₄	Ethane	Ethene	Pinene	Hexane	Heptane	Hexane	Toluene	Xylene	Isoprene
1	11:05	56.887	248.822	854	207	517	190	3.39	844	54	72	16	7	10	16	LOD	457
2	11:06	56.956	248.773	838	201	889	264	2.98	833	42	79	15	5	11	13	LOD	391
3	11:07	57.027	248.714	832	207	1461	6082	3.71	884	54	130	17	6	12	20	4	378
4	11:08	57.079	248.608	761	190	10 554	38 730	5.93	1492	270	217	141	268	47	135	94	507
5	11:09	57.118	248.487	747	193	7343	30 976	3.77	1066	214	138	294	596	133	401	127	427
6	11:10	57.148	248.356	721	184	2795	1766	3.17	1106	89	31	90	236	70	164	18	399
7	11:11	57.123	248.236	774	225	699	299	3.22	827	95	43	13	5	12	8	LOD	344
8	11:13	56.979	248.162	794	243	259	208	2.81	794	30	17	11	4	10	9	LOD	344
9	11:15	56.905	248.174	815	241	219	190	2.74	754	23	39	6	3	6	8	LOD	363
10	11:17	56.845	248.257	846	228	231	140	2.82	809	28	15	7	5	6	8	LOD	466
11	11:20	56.785	248.348	842	225	236	119	2.79	881	42	63	10	5	8	8	LOD	768
12	11:22	56.725	248.444	843	230	211	130	2.76	797	27	42	6	3	6	6	LOD	466
13	11:24	56.665	248.532	802	234	240	130	2.71	850	31	46	10	LOD	5	8	LOD	677
14	11:26	56.607	248.620	787	224	296	154	2.77	902	38	55	16	7	9	9	LOD	780
15	11:29	56.574	248.741	785	202	645	157	2.90	940	47	48	41	14	19	12	LOD	455
16	11:32	56.564	248.876	804	194	579	174	2.79	944	50	68	37	13	16	12	LOD	496
17	11:34	56.601	248.989	817	194	373	135	2.82	874	37	28	17	7	10	8	LOD	243
Bkgd	11:57	55.9	246.6	1135	270	194	102	2.8	781	20	20	5	LOD	4	6	LOD	311
(StD)	(0.06)	(0.3)	(0.5)	(180)	(26)	(33)	(27)	(0.1)	(22)	(2)	(7)	(3)	(n/a)	(1)	(1)	(n/a)	(95)

easily the largest, includes SO₂, NO, NO₂, NO_v, CO₂, CH₄, CO and 70% of the measured VOCs, namely alkanes, aromatics, cycloalkanes, alkenes, oxygenated hydrocarbons, ethyne, short-lived solvents (C₂Cl₄, C₂HCl₃, CHCl₃, CH₂Cl₂) and some HCFCs (HCFC-22 and HCFC-142b) (Sects. 3.2, 3.3.3 and 3.4–3.6). The maximum enhancements were $1.1-397 \times$ the background BL values, most notably nheptane (397×), SO_2 (382×), NO (319×), *n*-octane (242×), NO_2 (210×), m + p-xylene (181×) and methylcyclohexane (113×). Interestingly this group comprises α -pinene and β pinene, which are usually associated with biogenic emissions (Sect. 3.2.3). In the second group, halocarbons including HFC-134a, HCFC-141b, the halons and the methyl halides were minimally enhanced over the oil sands (Sect. 3.3.2). In the third group, long-lived industrial halocarbons (e.g., CFCs, CCl₄, CH₃CCl₃), several brominated species (e.g., CHBr₃, CH₂Br₂) and ozone (O₃) were not enhanced over the oil sands (Sects. 3.3.1 and 3.5). In fact O_3 was relatively depleted because of titration by high levels of NO.

Before discussing the results below, it is important to first recognize the limitations of this data set. Because of its short time-frame (17 min) and sample size (n = 17), the representativeness of the measured VOC enhancements is unclear. On the other hand, because emissions from oil sands mining are so poorly characterized in the peer-reviewed literature due to the inaccessibility of mining sites to independent observers, this study provides important close-range observations of the VOCs that are being released, i.e., which individual species

are emitted and with what other species do they correlate. The large number of compounds and relatively small number of samples in this data set make it unsuitable for emission estimates or factor analysis such as Principal Component Analysis or Positive Matrix Factorization (e.g., Thurston and Spengler, 1985; Paatero, 1997; Choi et al., 2003), and we have instead performed linear correlations among the measured compounds using least squares fits to better understand their source influences.

3.2 Non-methane volatile organic compounds (NMVOCs)

Non-methane volatile organic compounds (NMVOCs) are mainly emitted from three major sources: vegetation, biomass burning, and anthropogenic activities such as industry and fossil fuel production, distribution and combustion (e.g., Guenther et al., 2000; Ehhalt and Prather, 2001; Folberth et al., 2006). Remarkably, of the 48 C_2 – C_{10} hydrocarbons that we measured, all but three (propyne, furan and methyl tert-butyl ether or MTBE) showed very strong enhancements over the oil sands (maximum values at least 80% more than the local background average). Based on their correlations with one another (Table 3), the NMVOCs appear to have two distinct sources: (1) the oil sands and its products and/or the diluent used to lower the viscosity of the extracted bitumen (enhanced levels of C_4 – C_9 alkanes, C_5 – C_6 cycloalkanes, C_6 – C_8 aromatics), and (2) industrial sources




Fig. 4. Time series of selected trace gases measured during ARC-TAS Flight 23 on 10 July 2008. The solid blue line traces altitude. The boundary layer run over oil sands mining operations (Leg 7, shaded) is followed by a second boundary run (Leg 9) in cleaner air 1° further south. Values below detection limit have been given a value of "0" for plotting purposes. Note that the mixing ratios of n-heptane, the cycloalkanes, the butenes and isoprene were below their detection limits for most of the flight.

that support the mining effort, such as upgrading (elevated levels of C_2 – C_4 alkanes, C_2 – C_4 alkenes, C_9 aromatics). The major upgrading processes at this location are distillation (to separate different hydrocarbons), thermal conversion/coking (to convert the bitumen into lighter, refinable hydrocarbons), catalytic conversion (an enhanced form of thermal conversion), and hydrotreating (the addition of hydrogen to unsaturated molecules to stabilize them). For example the catalytic hydrocracking of Athabasca bitumen vacuum bottoms (AB-VBs) produces gaseous by-products including hydrogen sulphide (H_2S), C_1 – C_7 alkanes and, to a lesser extent, C_2 – C_4 alkenes (Dehkissia et al., 2004).

3.2.1 Alkanes, cycloalkanes and aromatics

Because of increasing reactivity with the hydroxyl radical (OH) with increasing chain length, the average $(\pm 1\sigma)$ n-alkane mixing ratios decreased with increasing chain length in the background BL (Leg 9), from ethane $(781 \pm 22 \text{ pptv})$ to propane $(200 \pm 26 \text{ pptv})$ to n-butane $(63 \pm 19 \text{ pptv})$, etc. (Table 1). The \geq C₇ n-alkanes are so short-lived (<2 days)




Fig. 5. Vertical profiles of selected alkanes measured during Flight 23 on 10 July 2008. Red triangles: oil sands boundary layer run (Leg 7); green squares: background boundary layer run (Leg 9); purple diamonds: Cold Lake landing; blue circles: remainder of Flight 23. Note that the mixing ratios of n-hexane and n-octane were below their detection limits for most of the flight.

that they were undetectable in the background BL (<3 pptv). By contrast, all 12 C₂–C₉ alkanes were strongly enhanced in the oil sands plumes. Maximum ethane (1490 pptv) and propane (714 pptv) levels occurred in sample 4 downwind of the Syncrude Mildred Lake Facility (Fig. 2b) and were almost double and quadruple the background BL values, respectively (Table 1; Fig. 5a-b). Despite minimal urbanization in northern Alberta, the oil sand plume values were greater than average summertime values measured in rural New England from 2004-2007 (Russo et al., 2010). Instead they were comparable to average ethane and propane levels measured in urban areas of Baltimore and New York City during a summer study of 28 US cities from 1999-2005 (Baker et al., 2008). By comparison, the ethane and propane values in the oil sands plumes were smaller than median values measured during summer 2006 in the Houston and Galveston Bay area, which is both a large urban area and a major petrochemical manufacturing center (4407 pptv ethane; 2713 pptv propane) (Gilman et al., 2009). The primary ethane and propane sources are fossil fuel production (mainly unburned gas in the case of ethane) and biofuel and biomass burning, plus propane is also emitted in gasoline exhaust (e.g., Watson et al., 2001; Buzcu and Fraser, 2006; Xiao et al., 2008). Ethane and propane correlated well with a range of industrial and combustion species such as other light alkanes, alkenes, NO, NO₂, NO_y and ethyne (Table 3). By contrast, even though ethane is the second most abundant component of natural gas after CH₄ (Xiao et al., 2008; McTaggart-Cowan et al., 2010), it did not correlate well with CH₄ ($r^2 = 0.52$). This relatively poor correlation is surprising given the heavy use of natural gas during bitumen extraction (Sect. 1) and appears to indicate low natural gas leakage levels (see Sect. 3.6). Because ethane and propane are primarily associated with evaporative rather than combustive fossil fuel emissions, we expect a co-located evaporative source from the industries that process the oil sands, possibly from fuel gas and/or hydrocracking.

The butanes are associated with fossil fuel evaporation (e.g., liquefied petroleum gas or LPG) and biomass burning (Blake et al., 1996; Watson et al., 2001; Buzcu and Fraser, 2006). The i-butane (290 pptv) and n-butane (765 pptv) maxima in the oil sands plumes were 10-12× greater than in the background BL (Table 1; Fig. 5c) and were comparable to maximum levels measured in fresh biomass burning plumes during ARCTAS (not shown). They were also similar to the lower range of values measured in the 28 US city study (Baker et al., 2008), and they were much greater than average July values measured in rural New England $(70 \pm 39 \text{ pptv} \text{ and } 105 \pm 59 \text{ pptv}, \text{ respectively; Russo et al.,}$ 2010), even though there is widespread LPG leakage in the northeastern United States (White et al., 2008; Russo et al., 2010). The ratio of *i*-butane/n-butane varies according to source, for example 0.2-0.3 for vehicular exhaust, 0.46 for LPG, and 0.6–1.0 for natural gas (Russo et al., 2010 and references therein). Interestingly, the i-butane/n-butane ratio for the dominant oil sands plumes, i.e., samples 4, 5 and 6 $(0.42 \pm 0.03 \,\mathrm{pptv}\,\mathrm{pptv}^{-1})$ was very close to that expected for LPG. We have not been able to determine that LPG is used in the mining operations, and this signal could potentially represent emissions from hydrocrackers and/or the fuel gas that is produced and used at the major oil sands operations. Even though they only contain 4 carbon atoms, the butanes correlated better with the suite of heavier compounds that is associated with direct emissions from the oil sands and/or diluent (e.g., *n*-hexane, xylenes, cyclopentane; $0.93 \le r^2 \le 0.96$) than with species linked to industrial activities associated with the mining operations (e.g., propane, CHCl₃, ethene, ethyne, NO, NO_v; $0.77 \le r^2 \le 0.84$) (Table 3). Because the butanes are not generally associated with combustive fossil fuel emissions, these results indicate multiple evaporative butane sources at the mining sites.

Crude oil is composed of $\geq C_5$ alkanes, aromatics, cycloalkanes and asphaltics (Sect. 1), and even though the oil sands are extra-heavy and include molecules with 50 or more

carbon atoms, they are still expected to contain a certain fraction of volatiles that would be captured by our measurements (D. Spink, personal communication, 2010). The C₅-C₉ *n*-alkanes showed very strong enhancements, with higher values over the oil sands than at any other time during the summer phase of ARCTAS. It is noteworthy that the maximum abundances were not ordered according to chain length. n-Heptane (596 pptv) showed the highest maximum mixing ratio, followed by the pentanes (510–560 pptv), n-octane (363 pptv) and *n*-hexane (294 pptv) (Table 1; Figs. 4c, 5d– f). These values represent enhancements of $24-397 \times$ the background BL values (in the enhancement calculations a background value of 1.5 pptv was assumed for BL measurements that were below our detection limit of 3 pptv). Oil having a plant origin is known to show a predominance for *n*-alkanes with an odd chain number, in contrast to *n*-alkanes that are produced from marine organic matter (Rogers and Koons, 1971). Because oil sands are derived from marine phytoplankton, the relative abundance of pentanes and nheptane may suggest a stronger signal from the diluent than from the oil sands, assuming the diluent is made from conventional plant-based oil. Branched alkanes such as 2,3dimethylbutane and the methylpentanes (which we present as a single measurement, i.e., 2+3-methylpentane) were also enhanced by factors of 19-34 over the local background (Table 1).

The cycloalkanes were close to or below our 3 pptv detection limit during Leg 9, as they had been in the FT during the transit from Thule. By contrast, all the cycloalkanes were strongly enhanced over the oil sands, with maximum mixing ratios ranging from 41 pptv (cyclopentane) to 339 pptv (methylcyclohexane) (Table 1; Figs. 4e, 6a). Cycloalkanes are a major component of crude oil (Sect. 1), and these results are consistent with their evaporative release from oil sands surface mining operations.

Aromatics are associated with combustion (fossil fuel and biomass burning), fuel evaporation, industry, and biogenic emissions (e.g., Karl et al., 2009a; White et al., 2009). With the exception of the longest-lived aromatics that we measured – i.e., benzene (1–2 weeks) and toluene (2–3 days) – none of the aromatics were detectable in either the FT or in background BL air, whereas they were all strongly elevated over the oil sands with maximum enhancements of $7-181 \times$ over the background BL (Table 1; Figs. 4e-f, 6b-d). Toluene was the most abundant aromatic in the oil sands plumes (401 pptv maximum) followed by the xylenes (127–272 pptv maxima) and benzene (82 pptv maximum). For comparison these aromatic enhancements are much smaller than the maximum values measured near major petrochemical complexes in urban/industrial areas of Houston, Texas and southern Catalonia, Spain (e.g., toluene maxima of 16 000–77 000 pptv; Gilman et al., 2009; Ras et al., 2009). That is, the release of aromatics from the oil sands mining sites appears to be much smaller than releases further downstream during petrochemical refining.

Table 3. Correlation matrix for selected VOC species measured over oil sands mining operations in Alberta on July 10, 2008 (n = 17). Correlations ≥ 0.7 are highlighted in bold. With the exception of CHCl₃ and C₂Cl₄, all the halocarbons showed $0.00 \leq r^2 \leq 0.43$ with the selected species and are not included.

					n-	n-		Methy
	SO_2	NO	Ethene	Propane	Butane	Heptane	Toluene	cyclohexan
SO ₂	1.00	0.97	0.92	0.76	0.79	0.66	0.57	0.43
NO	0.97	1.00	0.95	0.82	0.77	0.68	0.57	0.46
NO_2	0.96	1.00	0.95	0.83	0.77	0.67	0.57	0.43
NO _y	0.96	1.00	0.96	0.83	0.77	0.67	0.57	0.46
O_3	0.39	0.44	0.51	0.68	0.54	0.38	0.35	0.32
CO ₂	0.64	0.70	0.74	0.62	0.66	0.70	0.66	0.60
CH ₄	0.24	0.37	0.39	0.57	0.39	0.48	0.46	0.53
CO	0.63	0.57	0.60	0.59	0.90	0.92	0.93	0.85
Ethane	0.69	0.81	0.77	0.84	0.54	0.41	0.32	0.20
Propane	0.76	0.82	0.82	1.00	0.84	0.69	0.61	0.55
<i>i</i> -Butane	0.81	0.81	0.82	0.88	0.99	0.86	0.80	0.7
<i>n</i> -Butane	0.79	0.77	0.79	0.84	1.00	0.89	0.85	0.70
<i>i</i> -Pentane	0.54	0.54	0.57	0.72	0.92	0.85	0.85	0.82
<i>n</i> -Pentane	0.55	0.55	0.57	0.70	0.93	0.88	0.88	0.85
<i>n</i> -Hexane	0.70	0.69	0.70	0.73	0.96	0.98	0.96	0.89
<i>n</i> -Heptane	0.66	0.68	0.67	0.69	0.89	1.00	0.99	0.94
<i>n</i> -Octane	0.57	0.61	0.61	0.65	0.83	0.99	0.99	0.9
<i>n</i> -Nonane	0.72	0.74	0.72	0.72	0.89	0.99	0.96	0.90
2+3-Methylpentane	0.65	0.66	0.68	0.77	0.95	0.96	0.94	0.90
2,3-Dimethylbutane	0.74	0.78	0.77	0.84	0.93	0.90	0.86	0.80
Ethene	0.92	0.95	1.00	0.82	0.79	0.67	0.58	0.40
Propene	0.87	0.93	0.95	0.75	0.61	0.48	0.38	0.23
1-Butene	0.54	0.70	0.67	0.73	0.55	0.63	0.56	0.50
<i>i</i> -Butene	0.85	0.90	0.94	0.71	0.62	0.52	0.43	0.32
c-2-Butene	0.91	0.93	0.87	0.67	0.58	0.43	0.33	0.22
<i>t</i> -2-Butene	0.69	0.83	0.78	0.79	0.65	0.71	0.63	0.60
1,3-Butadiene	0.58	0.64	0.57	0.40	0.21	0.10	0.05	0.0
Isoprene	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.0
Ethyne	0.90	0.92	0.96	0.83	0.79	0.63	0.54	0.43
Cyclopentane	0.61	0.62	0.61	0.73	0.93	0.94	0.93	0.90
Methylcyclopentane	0.57	0.58	0.60	0.67	0.89	0.98	0.99	0.9
Cyclohexane	0.51	0.53	0.55	0.63	0.83	0.97	0.98	0.99
Methylcyclohexane	0.43	0.46	0.46	0.55	0.76	0.94	0.98	1.00
Benzene	0.60	0.64	0.64	0.70	0.86	0.97	0.97	0.9
Toluene	0.57	0.57	0.58	0.61	0.85	0.99	1.00	0.98
Ethylbenzene	0.71	0.66	0.66	0.61	0.91	0.95	0.93	0.84
<i>m</i> + <i>p</i> -Xylene	0.82	0.79	0.79	0.71	0.94	0.95	0.91	0.80
o-Xylene	0.91	0.88	0.86	0.75	0.93	0.89	0.82	0.70
<i>n</i> -Propylbenzene	0.92	0.92	0.89	0.79	0.91	0.88	0.81	0.69
<i>m</i> -Ethyltoluene	0.97	0.96	0.95	0.79	0.86	0.78	0.69	0.50
o-Ethyltoluene	0.98	0.95	0.91	0.73	0.82	0.70	0.60	0.40
<i>p</i> -Ethyltoluene	0.90	0.90	0.88	0.79	0.92	0.90	0.83	0.72
1,2,3-Trimethylbenzene	0.99	0.99	0.94	0.79	0.76	0.65	0.55	0.43
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	0.99	0.98	0.95	0.79	0.82	0.73	0.63	0.50
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	0.98	0.96	0.92	0.73	0.74	0.61	0.50	0.3
α-Pinene	0.76	0.71	0.69	0.61	0.52	0.32	0.26	0.10
β -Pinene	0.64	0.57	0.57	0.56	0.49	0.26	0.21	0.12

Table 3. Continued.

	SO ₂	NO	Ethene	Propane	n- Butane	n- Heptane	Toluene	Methyl cyclohexane
Methanol	0.41	0.52	0.50	0.58	0.40	0.46	0.42	0.41
Ethanol	0.21	0.25	0.31	0.18	0.18	0.22	0.22	0.20
Acetone	0.17	0.24	0.38	0.36	0.29	0.33	0.32	0.34
MEK	0.76	0.85	0.85	0.81	0.62	0.52	0.43	0.36
MAC	0.27	0.31	0.50	0.31	0.25	0.22	0.19	0.16
MVK	0.43	0.44	0.60	0.42	0.35	0.29	0.25	0.20
CHCl ₃	0.52	0.54	0.60	0.66	0.80	0.85	0.86	0.85
C_2Cl_4	0.78	0.81	0.79	0.60	0.43	0.29	0.21	0.13
OCS	0.20	0.19	0.21	0.06	0.03	0.05	0.04	0.02
DMS	0.50	0.56	0.63	0.54	0.46	0.50	0.47	0.44

With the exception of the C_9 aromatics, the $\geq C_5$ alkanes, cycloalkanes and aromatics showed excellent mutual correlations (Table 3). Interestingly, the best correlations were not limited to compounds within the same class but were mixed among the compound classes. For example, n-heptane, the most strongly enhanced VOC, showed top 10 correlations with n-nonane, n-octane, toluene, methylcyclopentane, nhexane, benzene, cyclohexane, 2,3-methylpentane, m + pxylene, and ethylbenzene $(0.95 \le r^2 \le 0.99)$. These results show the fugitive co-emissions of a wide range of C₅-C₉ VOCs from oil sands surface mining sites in Alberta, e.g. from oil sands and/or diluent. By contrast, the C₉ aromatics (i.e., ethyltoluenes, trimethylbenzenes) correlated best with each other and with combustion and industrial tracers such as NO, NO₂, NO_v, ethene, propene and cis-2-butene, suggesting their release from industries at the mining sites as opposed to direct evaporative release from the oil sands or diluent.

3.2.2 Alkenes and alkynes

Because they are primarily biogenic tracers, isoprene and the monoterpenes are considered separately below (Sect. 3.2.3). The 7 C₂-C₄ alkenes considered here are highly reactive, short-lived compounds that are primarily associated with industrial emissions and incomplete combustion (e.g., Sprengnether et al., 2002; Buzcu and Fraser, 2006; de Gouw et al., 2009). Whereas only ethene was detectable in the background BL during Flight 23 ($20 \pm 2 \text{ pptv}$), all of the alkenes were strongly enhanced over the oil sands by factors of up to 5-85 (Table 1; Figs. 4g, 6e). The maximum mixing ratio decreased with increasing chain length, from ethene (270 pptv) to propene (128 pptv) to *i*-butene (37 pptv), etc. Although these oil sands values are much greater than the rural background, they are smaller for example than in fresh biomass burning plumes encountered during ARCTAS (e.g., ethene up to 18 690 pptv, propene up to 5465 pptv). They are also in the low range of summertime values in US cities (e.g., 260–2430 pptv ethene; 68–500 pptv propene; 33–1550 pptv *i*-butene; Baker et al., 2008). In the Houston area – where the greatest concentration of petrochemical facilities in the US is located and ethene and propene play a major role in rapid O₃ formation (Ryerson et al., 2003) – average respective ethene and propene mixing ratios of 2690 pptv and 1540 pptv were measured in the summer of 2006 (Gilman et al., 2009), and poor correlation between ethene and CO suggested the dominance of industrial point sources (de Gouw et al., 2009). Over the oil sands, ethene showed excellent correlation with the combustion tracer ethyne ($r^2 = 0.96$), some correlation with CO ($r^2 = 0.60$), and good correlation with the industrial tracer C_2Cl_4 ($r^2 = 0.79$) (Table 3), suggesting the mixed influence of industrial and combustion sources on ethene and other alkenes at the oil sands mining sites.

Propyne was not detectable in any of the samples that we collected during Flight 23. Ethyne – a tracer of incomplete combustion by biomass burning and urban fossil fuel (e.g., Blake et al., 2003; Warneke et al., 2007) – correlated most strongly with ethene, NO_y, NO and the trimethylbenzenes $(0.91 \le r^2 \le 0.96)$ and showed a maximum mixing ratio of 138 pptv over the oil sands, compared to 59 ± 5 pptv in the local background (Table 1; Fig. 6f). As with the alkenes, this enhancement is small compared to average levels measured in Houston (473 pptv; Gilman et al. 2009) and in the 28 US city study (260–2390 pptv; Baker et al., 2008), indicating the relatively low impact of industrial combustion on the measured ethyne levels.

3.2.3 Isoprene and monoterpenes

Isoprene (C_5H_8) is a short-lived ($\sim 2.8 \, h$) biogenic volatile organic compound (BVOC) with a major terrestrial plant source (e.g., Sharkey et al., 2008; Warneke et al., 2010). Isoprene levels vary widely in North America, with greatest emission rates in the eastern US during summer (Guenther




Fig. 6. As in Fig. 5 but for methylcyclopentane, selected aromatics, ethene and ethyne. Note that the mixing ratios of *m*-ethyltoluene, *o*-xylene and 1,2,3-trimethylbenzene were below their detection limits for most of the flight.

Fig. 7. As in Fig. 5 but for the pinenes and selected oxygenated hydrocarbons. Note that the mixing ratios of α -pinene and β -pinene were below their detection limits for most of the flight.

et al., 2006). For example in the 28 US city study the lowest average isoprene mixing ratio was measured in El Paso, Texas (47 ± 75 pptv) and the highest in Providence, Rhode Island (2590 \pm 1610 pptv) (Baker et al., 2008). In our study, isoprene mixing ratios exceeded 200 pptv in all 17 air samples collected over the oil sands as well as in the background BL air. Interestingly, the average isoprene mixing ratio was 50% greater during Leg 7 (468 \pm 147 pptv) than in the local background air $(311 \pm 95 \text{ pptv})$, with a maximum value of 780 pptv (Tables 1 and 2; Fig. 4h). Anthropogenic sources of isoprene include traffic (e.g., Reimann et al., 2000; Borbon et al., 2001; Barletta et al., 2002) and industry (e.g., Ras et al., 2009). However the isoprene maximum was measured in sample 14, south of the main surface mining operations (Fig. 2b). Isoprene was poorly correlated with all of the trace gases that we measured ($r^2 < 0.37$) and its enhancements appear to be biogenic rather than industrial in origin. We believe that the stronger isoprene enhancements during Leg 7 than Leg 9 most likely reflect natural source strength variability rather than an altitude effect. Isoprene is highly reactive with OH and it is the shortest-lived species that we measure (Table 1). Although the Leg 7 samples were collected at a lower average altitude ($804\pm38\,\mathrm{m}$) than the background BL measurements ($1135\pm180\,\mathrm{m}$) (Sect. 2) – and in principle more isoprene oxidation by OH could have occurred during transport to higher altitudes during Leg 9 – the individual measurements did not show a clear declining trend with altitude. Instead we expect that samples with higher isoprene levels represent parts of Leg 7 that were downwind of more, or more recent, isoprene emissions. For example aspen are a major isoprene emitter (Sharkey et al., 2008) and the forests in the Fort McMurray area include a mix of trembling aspen and white spruce.

Like isoprene, monoterpenes ($C_{10}H_{16}$) are short-lived (\sim 3.7–5.3 h) BVOCs that are emitted by vegetation, though in this case they are more strongly emitted by coniferous ecosystems than by temperate deciduous forests (Fuentes et al., 2000). During this study the pinenes were enhanced during both BL runs compared to measurements in the FT (Fig. 7a–b). Interestingly, however, the maximum α -pinene and β -pinene mixing ratios over the oil sands (217 pptv and

610 pptv, respectively) were much greater $(7-11\times)$ than their respective average values in the background BL air (20 ± 7 and 84 ± 24 pptv; Table 1, Fig. 7a-b). At first glance this appears to be consistent with a number of studies that have shown increased emissions of monoterpenes and other VOCs in response to various plant stress factors (Schade and Goldstein, 2003; Räisänen et al., 2008; Holopainen and Gershenzon, 2010; Niinemets, 2010). For example, monoterpene mixing ratios and emissions from a California ponderosa pine forest showed a 10-30 fold increase during and after major forest thinning, with measured mixing ratios exceeding 3000 pptv (Schade and Goldstein, 2003). However, unlike isoprene, the pinenes were most strongly enhanced in sample 4, downwind of the Syncrude Mildred Lake Facility (Table 2; Fig. 2b), and they showed strong correlations with many species that were elevated in the oil sands plumes. For example α -pinene correlated best with β -pinene ($r^2 = 0.92$) and industrial compounds such as C_2Cl_4 ($r^2 = 0.81$), SO_2 $(r^2 = 0.76)$, 1,2,3-TMB $(r^2 = 0.74)$, *i*-butene $(r^2 = 0.73)$ and ethyne ($r^2 = 0.73$). The land surrounding the upgraders is already disturbed and we do not expect the industrial upgrader plumes to have had the opportunity to mix with plumes representing damaged vegetation. Instead these unexpected observations lead us to infer that there could be a source of pinenes associated with the oil sands mining industry, which requires further investigation.

3.2.4 Oxygenated hydrocarbons

During ARCTAS UC-Irvine measured methanol (CH₃OH), ethanol (C₂H₅OH), acetone (C₃H₆O), methyl ethyl ketone (MEK, C₄H₈O), methacrolein (MAC, C₄H₆O), methyl vinyl ketone (MVK, C₄H₆O), MTBE (C₅H₁₂O), and furan (C₄H₄O). The sources of oxygenated hydrocarbons are both natural and anthropogenic and include vegetation, biomass burning, atmospheric production, the oceans and industry (e.g., Horowitz et al., 2003; Jacob et al., 2005; Folberth et al., 2006; Jordan et al., 2009).

Methanol has a major biogenic source and minor sources including biomass burning and anthropogenic emissions (e.g., vehicles, solvent use and manufacturing; Jacob et al., 2005 and references therein). Its maximum mixing ratio (3570 pptv) was measured downwind of the oil sands operations in sample 6, which was enhanced by a factor of 1.8 over the local background average (Table 1; Fig. 7c). Methanol correlated best with MEK and the butenes $(0.71 \le r^2 \le 0.75)$ suggesting its industrial release from the oil sands facilities. However the oil sands industry appears to be a relatively minor methanol source. For example, the mixing ratios in the oil sands plumes were comparable to those measured at higher altitudes and latitudes during earlier portions of Flight 23, and they were an order of magnitude smaller than maximum methanol values that were measured in fresh biomass burning plumes during ARCTAS (32740 pptv).

In addition to being produced by plants and used as a solvent and chemical feedstock, ethanol is increasingly being used as a motor fuel and fuel additive (e.g., Kesselmeier and Staudt, 1999; Russo et al., 2010). The maximum ethanol mixing ratio during Leg 7 (141 pptv) was $1.9 \times$ the background average, and ethanol correlated best with CH₃CCl₃ and the halons $(0.65 \le r^2 \le 0.83)$. However, unlike the other gases that we measured, ethanol data were not available for the first seven samples of Leg 7 and therefore we are unable to fully characterize its emissions from the oil sands industry.

Acetone is produced by vegetation, the oceans and the atmospheric oxidation of C_3 – C_5 isoalkanes, especially propane (Jacob et al., 2002). It is used industrially as a solvent and polymer precursor and has been observed in abundance downwind of petrochemical complexes (Cetin et al., 2003). The maximum acetone mixing ratio over the oil sands (941 pptv) was $1.8\times$ its local background average. Like methanol, the acetone levels over the oil sands were comparable to other times during the flight and were smaller than maximum values measured in fresh biomass burning plumes during ARCTAS (4552 pptv). Acetone correlated best with MAC, 1,2-dichloroethane and CH_2Cl_2 ($0.64 \le r^2 \le 0.69$) suggesting that it is emitted by the oil sands industry but in smaller amounts than other regional sources such as biomass burning.

Methyl ethyl ketone is produced industrially and used as a solvent, and it is known for its characteristic sweet odour (Kabir and Kim, 2010). The maximum MEK mixing ratio over the oil sands (214 pptv) occurred in sample 4 and was $11 \times$ greater than the local background average. However most of the MEK enhancements over the oil sands were comparable to those measured at other times during the flight (Fig. 7d). Methyl ethyl ketone showed strong correlations ($r^2 > 0.8$) with a dozen industrial and combustion tracers including C_2 – C_4 alkenes, C_2 Cl₄, NO and 1,2,3-TMB, showing its release from the oil sands industry in what appear to be relatively minor quantities.

Methacrolein and MVK are major isoprene oxidation products (e.g., Montzka et al., 1993; Stroud et al., 2001; Karl et al., 2009b). The MAC and MVK mixing ratios were greater over the oil sands than at any other time during the flight (Fig. 7e–f), with maximum respective values of 266 and 379 pptv in sample 7, or $8 \times$ and $6 \times$ the local background average (Table 1). Methacrolein and MVK correlated most strongly with each other $(r^2 = 0.87)$, followed by species such as DMS and 1,2-dichloroethane ($r^2 \le 0.69$). Because the highest MAC and MVK mixing ratios occurred downwind of the Syncrude Mildred Lake Facility in samples 3–7, enhancements associated with the oil sands industry cannot be ruled out. However because their strongest correlations were with each other, we suggest that their primary local source is likely isoprene oxidation. The average isoprene mixing ratio during Leg 7 was 468 ± 167 pptv. Assuming MAC and MVK formation yields from OH and O₃ reactions in the range of 0.16-0.4 (Tani et al., 2010 and references therein), the observed MAC and MVK values during Leg 7 (92 ± 63 and 141 ± 109 pptv, respectively) appear to be within the range that can be explained by isoprene chemistry.

Methyl *tert*-butyl ether is a solvent and gasoline additive, and furan is an intermediate in the synthesis of industrial chemicals. The mixing ratio of MTBE remained below its detection limit of 3 pptv throughout Flight 23, showing that it is not being released by the oil sands industry. This is consistent with its declining use in North America because of concerns over groundwater contamination (e.g., Backer, 2010; Lu and Rice, 2010). Like MTBE, furan also remained below its 3 pptv detection limit throughout the flight, in contrast to a maximum mixing ratio of 2344 pptv in very fresh biomass burning plumes that were sampled during ARCTAS.

3.3 Halocarbons

Long-lived halocarbons (e.g., CFCs, HCFCs) contribute to stratospheric ozone depletion, and short-lived halocarbons (e.g., CHCl₃, C_2Cl_4) can be toxic or carcinogenic. Of the 26 C_1 – C_2 halocarbons that we measured, 6 showed both strong enhancements ($\geq 10\%$) over the local background average and larger mixing ratios over the oil sands than at any other time during the Flight, 9 showed minimal enhancements over the local background average, and 11 were not statistically enhanced over the oil sands.

3.3.1 Strongly enhanced halocarbons

The first group includes the CFC-substitutes HCFC-22 and HCFC-142b, and the relatively short-lived (2–5 mo) industrial solvents chloroform (CHCl₃), trichloroethene (C₂HCl₃), tetrachloroethene (C₂Cl₄) and dichloromethane (CH₂Cl₂). HCFC-22 and HCFC-142b are long-lived refrigerants, foam-blowing agents and solvents whose atmospheric concentrations are rapidly increasing (O'Doherty et al., 2004; Derwent et al., 2007; Montzka et al., 2009). Their mixing ratios over the oil sands were greater than at any other time during the flight, with maximum values of 212 pptv HCFC-22 and 22 pptv HCFC-142b – or respective enhancements of 12% and 18% over the average background (Table 1; Fig. 8a) – showing their usage and release at the mining sites.

The short-lived solvents CHCl₃, C₂HCl₃, C₂Cl₄ and CH₂Cl₂ also showed stronger enhancements over the oil sands than during any other portion of the flight (Figs. 4b, 8b). In fact, the maximum C₂HCl₃ and C₂Cl₄ levels (4.8 pptv and 5.9 pptv, respectively; Table 1) were higher in the oil sands plumes than at any other time during the summer phase of ARCTAS. For perspective, these plume values are smaller than average levels measured in urban centers such as Hong Kong, where C₂HCl₃ and C₂Cl₄ mixing ratios of 70 ± 31 and 29 ± 9 pptv were measured during autumn 2007 (Zhang et al., 2010). Maximum respective CH₂Cl₂ and CHCl₃ mixing ratios were 35.1 pptv and




Fig. 8. As in Fig. 5 but for selected halocarbons and OCS.

15.6 pptv, or 22% and 45% greater than the background average. Dichloromethane, C₂Cl₄, and to a lesser extent CHCl₃, were also enhanced relative to background mixing ratios during the descent into Cold Lake, showing their use as solvents in local urban areas as well as by the mining industry.

3.3.2 Minimally enhanced halocarbons

The second group of compounds, which were minimally enhanced over the oil sands, includes 1,1,1,2-tetrafluoroethane (HFC-134a), some chlorinated species (1,2-dichloroethane, HCFC-141b), the halons (H-1211, H-1301, H-2402) and the methyl halides (CH₃Br, CH₃I, CH₃Cl).

HFC-134a is a CFC-12 replacement that is rapidly increasing in the atmosphere (O'Doherty et al., 2004; Clerbaux and Cunnold, 2007). HFC-134a levels of up to 60 pptv were measured in U.S. pollution plumes (Barletta et al., 2009) compared to a maximum of 48.9 pptv in the oil sands plumes, or 8% greater than the background average, suggesting that the oil sands mining industry is a relatively minor HFC-134a source (Table 1, Fig. 8c). 1,2-Dichloroethane is used as a chemical intermediate and solvent, and its maximum mixing ratio over the oil sands (9.4 pptv) was 16% greater than

the background BL average but within the range of mixing ratios measured at other times during the flight, also suggesting relatively small emissions by the oil sands industry (Table 1). Likewise, the CFC replacement compound HCFC-141b showed fairly small (<7%) enhancements over the oil sands (Table 1). The halons are ozone-depleting substances that are still used in North America because of their critical role as fire extinguishing agents (Butler et al., 1998; Fraser et al., 1999; Montzka et al., 2003). Maximum H-1211, H-1301 and H-2402 levels over the oil sands were 5-10% greater than the local background average (Table 1), compared to average H-1211 enhancements of 75% in cities in China (Barletta et al., 2006) where 90% of global H-1211 production occurs (Fraser et al., 1999). Therefore the halon enhancements over the oil sands also appear to be relatively minor, though we note that the H-1301 maximum over the oil sands was greater than at any other time during the summer phase of ARCTAS.

Methyl bromide, an ozone-depleting substance with natural and anthropogenic sources (e.g., oceans, salt marshes, fumigation and biomass burning; Yvon-Lewis et al., 2009 and references therein), had a maximum mixing ratio of 8.3 pptv both over the oil sands and upon final descent into Cold Lake. This 8% enhancement over the local background average was small compared to CH₃Br mixing ratios of up to 15 pptv in fresh biomass burning plumes that were sampled during ARCTAS, suggesting a minor impact of the oil sands industry on CH₃Br levels in the sampled plumes.

Methyl iodide is a very short-lived species (1–2d) with a major oceanic source (Yokouchi et al., 2008) and minor terrestrial sources (e.g., Redeker et al., 2003; Sive et al., 2007). Its mixing ratio declined sharply with altitude, consistent with its short atmospheric lifetime (Fig. 8d). In fact, the shape of the profile and the magnitude of the mixing ratios were remarkably similar to those measured elsewhere over North America during the Intercontinental Chemical Transport Experiment-North America (INTEX-NA) campaign in July and August, 2004 (Sive et al., 2007). In addition, the mixing ratios of CH₃I during Legs 7 and 9 (<0.45 pptv) were at the low end of global background values (0.5-2 pptv; Yokouchi et al., 2008 and references therein) and CH₃I did not correlate with other species over the oil sands ($r^2 \le 0.37$). Therefore the oil sands do not appear to be a significant CH₃I source. The maximum CH₃I mixing ratio was measured in the final sample of Flight Leg 7 (sample 17) and the enhancements of CH₃I during Leg 7 may be attributable to methyl iodide's terrestrial source.

Methyl chloride is the most abundant chlorine-containing compound in the atmosphere. It has a complex budget with multiple sources (e.g., tropical vegetation, biomass burning) and sinks (e.g., OH, soil) (Clerbaux et al., 2007). During the first half of the flight the average CH₃Cl mixing ratio in the FT was 530 ± 13 pptv. It then decreased to 503 ± 6 pptv in the background BL, consistent with CH₃Cl removal at low altitude by its soil sink. By contrast, the average CH₃Cl mix-

ing ratio over the oil sands (522 ± 12 pptv) was enhanced compared to the local background, with a maximum of 545 pptv and a range of values similar to the FT (Fig. 8e). Whereas CH₃Cl showed poor correlation with most compounds over the oil sands ($r^2 < 0.34$), including combustion tracers such as CO and ethyne ($r^2 < 0.01$), it showed some correlation with OCS and HCFC-22 ($r^2 = 0.58$ for both) followed by HCFC-142b and HFC-134a ($0.46 \le r^2 \le 0.47$). Carbonyl sulphide has a strong vegetative sink (Sect. 3.4) and Montzka et al. (2007) found some correlation between OCS and other species with known surface sinks such as CH₃Cl. Therefore the CH₃Cl enhancement is expected to be related to the loss of its soil sink at the mining site, with the possibility of its co-emission from the oil sands industry together with species such as HCFC-22.

3.3.3 Non-enhanced halocarbons

Carbon tetrachloride (CCl₄), methyl chloroform (CH₃CCl₃) and the chlorofluorocarbons (CFC-11, CFC-12, CFC-113, CFC-114) were not statistically enhanced over the oil sands (Table 1; Fig. 4a). These long-lived compounds have been banned in developed countries since 1996 under the Montreal Protocol, and even though evidence exists for their continued emissions in North America (Millet and Goldstein, 2004; Hurst et al., 2006) their absence from the oil sands mining industry is not surprising. Ethyl chloride (C₂H₅Cl), another chlorinated hydrocarbon whose industrial demand is declining, also was not enhanced over the oil sands. Likewise, with the exception of methyl bromide (CH₃Br), none of the measured brominated species (CHBr₃, CH₂Br₂, CHBrCl₂, CHBr₂Cl) were enhanced over the oil sands (Table 1). These short-lived species are predominantly emitted from the ocean (e.g., Butler et al., 2007) and their lack of enhancement over the oil sands is not unexpected.

3.4 Sulphur species

Sulphur dioxide (SO₂) is strongly associated with fossil fuel combustion, especially coal and residential oil, and the sulphur content of crude petroleum can be up to 2% (Benkovitz et al., 1996). In the Athabasca oil sands developments, the major SO₂ emission sources are associated with the upgrading and energy production operations at the Suncor and Syncrude sites (Kindzierski and Ranganathan, 2006; D. Spink, personal communication, 2010). For example Suncor produces high sulphur fuel grade petroleum coke from oil sands at its Fort McMurray operations that is 5.7-6.8% sulphur on a dry basis (http://www.suncor.com/en/about/3408.aspx). Some of this coke is used to power its upgrading operations, emitting SO₂ into the atmosphere as a by-product, but most of the coke is buried as a waste product. Whereas Burstyn et al. (2007) measured monthly average SO₂ mixing ratios of 300–1300 pptv in rural locations of western Canada, and Kindzierski and Ranganathan (2006) measured a median outdoor SO₂ mixing ratio of 650 pptv in residential areas of Fort McKay (a small community 64km north of Fort Mc-Murray, in proximity to the mining operations), SO₂ levels in this study were even smaller during most of the flight, averaging 17 ± 5 pptv in the FT during the first half of the flight and increasing to 102 ± 27 pptv upon descent into the background BL air (Table 1; Fig. 4d). However SO₂ showed remarkable enhancements over the oil sands, with a maximum mixing ratio of 38 730 pptv (38.73 ppbv) in sample 4, or 383× the background BL average. This value is similar to those measured in the urban/industrial environments of heavily polluted cities. For example, Talbot et al. (2008) reported peak airborne SO₂ mixing ratios in excess of 34 000 pptv in BL air sampled over Mexico City during the spring 2006 INTEX-B experiment. Similarly de Foy et al. (2009) measured ground-based SO₂ values exceeding 80 000 pptv in the Mexico City Metropolitan Area during spring 2006, which they attributed to coal combustion, refineries and active volcanoes. Here we attribute the elevated SO2 levels to coke combustion. The very strong correlations between SO2 and more than a dozen compounds $(r^2 \ge 0.9)$ – most notably the trimethylbenzenes (0.98 $\leq r^2 \leq$ 0.99), NO, NO₂ and NO_y $(0.96 \le r^2 \le 0.97)$, the ethyltoluenes $(0.90 \le r^2 \le 0.97)$ and ethene $(r^2 = 0.92)$ – suggest that coke combustion may be associated with their enhancements as well.

Carbonyl sulphide (OCS) is the most abundant sulphurcontaining compound in the remote atmosphere (Ko et al., 2003 and references therein). Its sources include CS₂ oxidation, the oceans, biomass burning, coal burning and aluminum production (e.g., Watts, 2000; Kettle et al., 2002). Like CH₃Cl, the average OCS mixing ratio was fairly constant in the FT during the first half of the flight $(445 \pm 19 \,\mathrm{pptv})$, then diminished with decreasing altitude to 413 ± 13 pptv in background BL air, consistent with its wellknown uptake by vegetation (e.g., Montzka et al., 2007; Blake et al., 2008; Campbell et al., 2008). By contrast OCS was not depleted over the oil sands, where its average mixing ratio (437 \pm 26 pptv) was not significantly different than during the first half the flight (Table 1; Fig. 8f). Carbonyl sulphide did not correlate with most compounds over the oil sands ($r^2 \le 0.38$) but showed some correlation with the same suite of compounds as CH₃Cl, including HCFC-22, HCFC-142b and HFC-134a (0.47 $\leq r^2 \leq$ 0.58). Therefore the relative OCS enhancement over the oil sands compared to the background BL is most likely due to a lack of drawdown from the cleared land in the oil sands area, with the possibility of an OCS source associated with the oil sands industry. Note that even though previous work has shown similarities between OCS and CO2 because of simultaneous uptake by photosynthetically active vegetation during the daytime (Montzka et al., 2007; Campbell et al., 2008; White et al., 2010), here OCS and CO2 showed poor correlation $(r^2 = 0.16)$ because CO₂ has a clear additional fossil fuel source at the oil sands developments (Sect. 3.6).

Dimethyl sulphide (DMS) is a short-lived sulphur species (1–2 days) with a major oceanic source and minor sources including vegetation and biomass burning (e.g., Watts et al., 2000; Gondwe et al., 2003; Meinardi et al., 2003). Like CH₃I, DMS levels strongly increased with decreasing altitude. Dimethyl sulphide levels were below detection (<1 pptv) in the FT, increasing to 4.7 ± 0.8 pptv in the background BL. Its average mixing ratio doubled to 10.7 ± 3.9 pptv over the oil sands with a maximum enhancement of 18 pptv (Table 1). For comparison, DMS levels over productive oceanic regions are on the order of 100–250 pptv (e.g., Nowak et al., 2001). Dimethyl sulphide was most strongly enhanced in samples 4, 5 and 6 and correlated with a range of compounds, most strongly CO_2 ($r^2 = 0.82$) as well as H-1211, 1,2-dichloroethane and HCFC-142b (0.71 $\leq r^2 \leq$ 0.74), indicating that its oil sands source is industrial.

3.5 NO, NO_2 , NO_v and O_3

Like SO₂, the major source of NO_x is fossil fuel combustion (Benkovitz et al., 1996). Nitric oxide, NO₂ and NO_v were all very strongly enhanced over the oil sands compared to their respective background values of 16 ± 6 pptv, 24 ± 11 pptv and 194 ± 33 pptv (Table 1). The maximum NO, NO₂ and NO_y mixing ratios occurred in sample 4 and were 4980, 4995 and 10555 pptv, respectively, representing enhancements of $319\times$, $210\times$ and $54\times$ the local background (Table 1; Fig. 9a-b). Recall that these numbers are based on the average of those NO, NO₂ and NO_v measurements that overlapped the VOC sampling times (Sect. 3). The maximum NO, NO₂ and NO_v values over the oil sands based on 1 s measurements were even higher: 9545, 9205 and 21 800 pptv, respectively. The NO maximum was the highest recorded throughout the summer phase of ARCTAS, showing the very strong emissions of nitrogen oxides from the mining industry. The NO_v levels in the oil sands plumes lie within the lower range of values measured in megacities such as Tokyo, Mexico City and Beijing, which can vary from $2000-200\,000\,\mathrm{pptv}$ (Parrish et al., 2009). The major NO_{x} sources at the mining sites are (1) the upgraders, gaseous fuel fired boilers, heaters, and co-generation units for heat and power production, and (2) the heavy hauler mine fleets (D. Spink, pers. comm., 2010). Because NO, NO₂ and NO_v correlated perfectly with each other $(r^2 = 1.00)$ and very strongly with SO₂, most C₂-C₄ alkenes, and the C₉ aromatics $(0.90 \le r^2 \le 0.99)$ (Table 3), we conclude that the observed emissions were from the upgraders, etc. rather than the heavy hauler fleet.

Ozone was not enhanced over the oil sands, and its maximum mixing ratio (31 ppbv) was the same as the average background BL value (31 \pm 1 ppbv) (Table 1, Fig. 9c). In fact O₃ was anti-correlated with NO over the oil sands (Fig. 10) because the very strong NO emissions led to a titration of O₃, a loss process which dominated over O₃ production on this




Fig. 9. As in Fig. 5 but for (a) NO, (b) NO_y, (c) O_3 , (d) CO_2 , (e) CH_4 and (f) CO.

short time-scale. Note that in Fig. 10 virtually all (\sim 95%) of the NO_v is in the form of NO_x.

3.6 CO₂, CH₄ and CO

Carbon dioxide (CO₂) is the leading contributor to the enhanced radiative forcing of the atmosphere, followed by methane (CH₄) (Forster et al., 2007). Compared to the FT, CO2 was relatively depleted in the background BL air during Flight 23 (Table 1, Fig. 9d), consistent with its summertime uptake by terrestrial vegetation (Erickson et al., 1996; Randerson et al., 1997, 1999). By contrast CO₂ showed a clear enhancement over the oil sands, with a maximum mixing ratio of 389 ppmv that is outside the range of values measured during the rest of the flight. Δ^{14} C in CO₂ was depleted in these enhanced samples, indicating a fossil fuel CO₂ influence (not shown). Carbon dioxide correlated with a wide range of compounds associated with the mining industry, including DMS, combustion tracers such as i-butene, ethene, ethyne and NO_v, and industrial tracers such as MEK, 2,3-dimethylbutane, CHCl₃ and 3-ethyltoluene $(0.72 \le r^2 \le r^2$




Fig. 10. High-resolution time series of NO_y (green) and O_3 (blue) over the oil sands during the first half of Flight Leg 7, showing an anti-correlation between O_3 and NO_y in the plumes.

0.82), showing its emissions during many stages of the mining operations.

Methane is long-lived and well-mixed in the remote atmosphere, and its sources include biogenic sources (e.g., wetlands, ruminants, rice agriculture), biomass burning, and fossil fuel mining and burning (Denman et al., 2007). Its mixing ratio varied little in the FT during Flight 23, averaging 1836 ± 10 ppbv (Table 1; Fig. 9e). Unfortunately CH₄ measurements were not available during the background BL run (Leg 9). However CH₄ shows a characteristic north-south gradient (e.g., Simpson et al., 2002), and because Cold Lake is at a similar latitude to Leg 9 and does not appear to have been appreciably impacted by local CH₄ sources (Fig. 9e), the average CH₄ mixing ratio in the BL during the final descent into Cold Lake $(1843 \pm 5 \text{ ppbv})$ is expected to be a reasonable proxy for the local background at this time of year. Methane mixing ratios were clearly enhanced over the oil sands, reaching 1983 ppbv in sample 6. By comparison the maximum CH₄ mixing ratio during the summer phase of ARCTAS (2000 ppbv) was measured in a fresh biomass burning plume. Natural gas is heavily used by the oil sands mining industry (Sect. 1), but the CH₄ results are surprising in that CH₄ did not correlate particularly well with ethane and propane $(0.52 \le r^2 \le 0.57)$, which, like CH₄, are components of natural gas. The composition of commercialgrade natural gas is variable, ranging from 70–95% CH₄, with the remainder primarily ethane (e.g., Xiao et al., 2008; McTaggart-Cowan et al., 2010). Although we are not aware of the composition of the natural gas that is typically used by the oil sands industry, a specs sheet for natural gas from western Canada suggests the following typical composition on a per mole percentage basis: 94.6% CH₄, 2.5% ethane, 0.2% propane, 0.03% n-butane, etc. (http://www.naesb.org/pdf2/ wgq_bps100605w2.pdf). This suggests a relative release rate of 38 moles of CH₄ to 1 mole of ethane, which is more ethane than we observed. The maximum CH₄ mixing ratio over the oil sands (sample 6) represented an excess of 140 ppbv over its local background value of 1843 ppbv. The ethane mixing ratio in sample 6 was 1.106 ppby, representing an excess of 0.325 ppbv. If the CH₄ enhancement were entirely due to natural gas emissions, we would have expected to measure a corresponding ethane excess of 3.7 ppbv. Therefore the measured ethane enhancement was about 10× smaller than would be expected from natural gas emissions, which suggests low natural gas leakage levels from the mining operations. Similarly, hydrocracking operations do not appear likely to explain the observed CH₄ enhancements. The catalytic hydrocracking of Athabasca bitumen vacuum bottoms has been found to give a weight per cent yield of 9.3% CH₄, 10.5% ethane, 15.0% propane and 9.6% C₄H₁₀ (Dehkissia et al., 2004), or relative molar yields of roughly 4:2:2:1 for CH₄:ethane:propane:butanes. Again, this represents much more ethane than we observed, and assuming these hydrocracking yields apply here and are equivalent to emission rates, it does not appear that hydrocracking can be responsible for the high CH₄ excesses that were observed. Instead we suggest that the CH₄ enhancements are likely related to tailings pond emissions. As stated in Sect. 1, the Syncrude Mildred Lake tailings pond became methanogenic in the 1990s and releases up to $10 \,\mathrm{g} \,\mathrm{CH_4} \,\mathrm{m}^{-2} \,\mathrm{d}^{-1}$. Ethane is not produced in anaerobic environments, and tailings pond emissions of CH₄ explain the relatively large CH₄ enhancements compared to ethane as well as the relatively poor correlation between CH₄ and ethane.

Carbon monoxide (CO) is a potentially toxic gas that is also a precursor to photochemical smog. The maximum CO mixing ratio over the oil sands (144 ppbv in sample 5, or 48% greater than the local background of 97 ± 1 ppbv) was comparable to mixing ratios measured at other times during the flight (Table 1; Fig. 9f) and is not considered a large enhancement. For example Baker et al. (2008) found typical summertime CO mixing ratios of 300 ± 66 ppbv in US cities, and CO mixing ratios measured near fresh biomass burning plumes during ARCTAS exceeded 1900 ppbv (not shown). Interestingly, CO correlated most strongly with the suite of C₄-C₉ alkanes, C₆-C₈ aromatics and C₅-C₆ cycloalkanes $(0.85 \le r^2 \le 0.97)$ that were associated with direct emissions from the oil sands and/or diluent (Sect. 3.2). It also showed good correlations with CHCl₃ ($r^2 = 0.84$) and the C₉ aromatics $(0.66 < r^2 < 0.83)$. Carbon monoxide is an urban/industrial combustion tracer and these results suggest that relatively low levels of CO are emitted throughout the mining operations.

4 Conclusions

Mixing ratios of 84 trace gases were measured in boundary layer air over oil sands surface mining operations in northern Alberta on 10 July 2008 aboard the NASA DC-8 research aircraft as part of the ARCTAS mission. Compared to local background air, 15 of these compounds showed no statisti-

cal enhancements over the oil sands (propyne, MTBE, furan, CFC-11, CFC-12, CFC-113, CFC-114, CCl₄, CH₃CCl₃, ethyl chloride, CHBr₃, CH₂Br₂, CHBrCl₂, CHBr₂Cl and O₃). As is to be expected with high NO levels, O₃ was anticorrelated with NO and it appears that depletion of O₃ by NO dominated over O₃ production on this short time-scale. Another 9 compounds showed minimal enhancements over the oil sands (HFC-134a, HCFC-141b, 1,2-dichloroethane, H-1211, H-1301, H-2402, CH₃Br, CH₃I, CH₃Cl).

Carbon monoxide showed a clear enhancement (up to 48%) and strong correlations with many other compounds, but its maximum mixing ratio of the flight was not over the oil sands. By contrast the remaining 59 compounds showed greater mixing ratios over the oil sands than at any other time during the flight, and in some cases than at any other time during the entire mission. The maximum enhancements were $1.1-397 \times$ the background BL values, most notably nheptane (397×), SO₂ (382×), NO (319×), *n*-octane (242×) and methylcyclohexane (113×). Based on their mutual correlations, the elevated trace gases fell into two groups. The first group includes CO and species associated with direct evaporative emissions from the oil sands themselves and/or from the diluent used to lower the viscosity of the recovered bitumen (C₄-C₉ alkanes, C₅-C₆ cycloalkanes, C₆-C₈ aromatics). By contrast to the n-alkanes, the enhancements of aromatics such as benzene were relatively small, especially compared to values that have been measured downstream at petrochemical refineries. The second group also includes CO in addition to a wide variety of species associated with emissions from the mining effort, for example coke combustion (e.g., SO₂) and upgrading (e.g., NO, NO₂, NO_y). The maximum SO₂ and NO levels over the oil sands were greater than at any other time during the summer phase of ARCTAS, and even though northern Alberta is a rural environment, the SO₂ and NO_y levels were comparable to those measured in the world's megacities. The CO enhancements were generally small suggesting that the upgraders emit low levels of CO, which is to be expected for high temperature combustion where the carbon is converted mostly to CO₂ (i.e., efficient combustion). Although the oil sands industry is a major user of natural gas, a strong natural gas signal was not evident in the data suggesting low natural gas leakage levels and high efficiency combustion associated with the upgraders. Instead, the elevated CH₄ levels are consistent with methanogenic tailings pond emissions. Like CO, the butanes also fell into both groups and appear to have multiple sources from oil sands mining.

Isoprene, a biogenic tracer, was enhanced during the oil sands boundary layer run but with a maximum over a vegetated area south of the major mining operations. By contrast, the monoterpenes α -pinene and β -pinene (also biogenic tracers) were most enhanced in the oil sands plumes and showed good correlations with many industrial species associated with the mining effort including C_2Cl_4 and SO_2 . The possibility of pinene emissions directly associated with

the mining operations requires further study. Carbonyl sulphide and CH₃Cl were also notable in that they failed to be drawn down over the surface mining sites, most likely because of the removal of their vegetation and soil sinks, respectively.

These measurements represent the only independent characterization of trace gas emissions from oil sands mining operations of which we are aware. Although the absolute mixing ratios of many VOCs were relatively modest compared to major petrochemical facilities that have been studied, they are significantly enhanced above background levels. The high reactivity of most of these gases, combined with significant emissions of NO_x and SO_2 in what would otherwise be a relatively pristine area, mean that they do have the potential to form O_3 and acid conditions downwind of this activity. Further study of such potential effects is required. For example modeling and a multi-day day ground-based grid study near the mining sites would help to more completely characterize the trace gases that are emitted from and impacted by the Alberta oil sands industry.

Acknowledgements. We thank the ARCTAS crew and science team for their hard work throughout the mission, and we gratefully acknowledge helpful discussions with many of our colleagues, especially Jim Crawford (NASA Langley) and Joost de Gouw (NOAA/ESRL). We also thank David Spink (Fort McKay IRC) for many helpful comments on the manuscript. This research was funded by NASA grant NNX09AB22G.

Edited by: R. Holzinger

References

- Alboudwarej, H., Felix, J., Taylor, S., Badry, R., Bremner, C., Brough, B., Skeates, C., Baker, A., Palmer, D., Pattison, K., Beshry, M., Krawchuk, P., Brown, G., Calvo, R., Cañas Triana, J. A., Hathcock, R., Koerner, K. Hughes, T., Kundu, D., de Cárdenas, J. L., and West, C.: Highlighting heavy oil, Oilfield Rev., 34–53, 2006.
- Apel, E. C., Calvert, J. G., Gilpin, T. M., Fehsenfeld, F. C., Parrish,
 D. D., and Lonneman, W. A.: The Nonmethane Hydrocarbon
 Intercomparison Experiment (NOMHICE): Task 3, J. Geophys.
 Res., 104(21), 26069–26086, 1999.
- Apel, E. C., Calvert, J. G., Gilpin, T. M., Fehsenfeld, F., and Lonneman, W. A.: Nonmethane Hydrocarbon Intercomparison Experiment (NOMHICE): Task 4, ambient air, J. Geophys. Res., 108(D9), 4300, doi:10.1029/2002JD002936, 2003.
- Atkinson, R.: Gas-phase tropospheric chemistry of organic compounds, J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data, Monograph, 2, 1–216, 1994.
- Atkinson, R.: Atmospheric chemistry of VOCs and NO_x , Atmos. Environ., 34, 2063–2101, 2000.
- Atkinson, R. and Arey, J.: Atmospheric degradation of volatile organic compounds, Chem. Rev., 103, 4605–4638, 2003.
- Atkinson, R., Arey, J., Hoover, S., and Preston, K.: Atmospheric chemistry of gasoline-related emissions: Formulation of pollutants of potential concern, Draft for public comment, California Environmental Protection Agency, 2005.

- Backer, W. S.: Contamination of drinking water by methyl tertiarybutyl ether (MTBE) and its effect on plasma enzymes, Sci. Res. Essays, 5(14), 1809–1812, 2010.
- Baker, A. K., Beyersdorf, A. J., Doezema, L. A., Katzenstein, A., Meinardi, S., Simpson, I. J., Blake, D. R., and Rowland, F. S.: Measurements of nonmethane hydrocarbons in 28 United States cities, Atmos. Environ., 42, 170–182, 2008.
- Barletta, B., Meinardi, S., Simpson, I. J., Khwaja, H. A., Blake, D. R., and Rowland, F. S.: Mixing ratios of volatile organic compounds (VOCs) in the atmosphere of Karachi, Pakistan, Atmos. Environ., 36, 3429–3443, 2002.
- Barletta, B., Meinardi, S., Rowland, F. S., Chan, C.-Y., Wang, X., Zou, S., Chan, L. Y., and Blake, D. R.: Volatile organic compounds in 43 Chinese cities, Atmos. Environ., 39, 5979–5990, 2005.
- Barletta, B., Meinardi, S., Simpson, I. J., Atlas, E. L., Beyersdorf,
 A. J., Baker, A. K., Blake, N. J., Yang, M., Midyett, J. R., Novak,
 B. J., McKeachie, R. J., Fuelberg, H. E., Sachse, G. W., Avery,
 M. A., Campos, T., Weinheimer, A. J., Rowland, F. S., and Blake,
 D. R.: Characterization of volatile organic compounds (VOCs) in Asian and north American pollution plumes during INTEX-B: identification of specific Chinese air mass tracers, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9, 5371–5388, doi:10.5194/acp-9-5371-2009, 2009.
- Benkovitz, C. M., Scholtz, M. T., Pacyna, J., Tarrasón, L., Dignon, J., Voldner, E. C., Spiro, P. A., Logan J. A., and Graedel, T. E.: Global gridded inventories of anthropogenic emissions of sulphur and nitrogen, J. Geophys. Res., 101(D22), 29239–29253, 1996.
- Blake, D. R. and Rowland, F. S.: Continuing world-wide increase in tropospheric methane, 1978–1987, Science, 239, 1129–1131, 1988
- Blake, D. R. and Rowland, F. S.: Urban leakage of liquefied petroleum gas and its impacts on Mexico City air quality, Science, 269, 953–956, 1995.
- Blake, N. J., Blake, D. R., Sive, B. C., Chen, T.-Y., Rowland, F. S., Collins Jr., J. E., Sachse, G. W., and Anderson, B. E.: Biomass burning emissions and vertical distribution of atmospheric methyl halides and other reduced carbon gases in the South Atlantic region, J. Geophys. Res., 101(D19), 24151–24164, 1996.
- Blake, N. J., Blake, D. R., Simpson, I. J., Meinardi, S., Swanson, A. L., Lopez, J. P., Katzenstein, A. S., Barletta, B., Shirai, T., Atlas, E., Sachse, G., Avery, M., Vay, S., Fuelberg, H. E., Kiley, C. M., Kita, K., and Rowland, F. S.: NMHCs and halocarbons in Asian continental outflow during the Transport and Chemical Evolution over the Pacific (TRACE-P) field campaign: Comparison with PEM-West B, J. Geophys. Res., 108(D20), 8806, doi:10.1029/2002JD003367, 2003.
- Blake, N. J., Campbell, J. E., Vay, S. A., Fuelberg, H. E., Huey, L. G., Sachse, G., Meinardi, S., Beyersdorf, A., Baker, A., Barletta, B., Midyett, J., Doezema, L., Kamboures, M., McAdams, J., Novak, B., Rowland, F. S., and Blake, D. R.: Carbonyl sulfide (OCS): Large-scale distributions over North America during INTEX-NA and relationship to CO₂, J. Geophys. Res., 113, D09S90, doi:10.1029/2007JD009163, 2008.
- Borbon, A., Fontaine, H., Veillerot, M., Locoge, N., Galloo, J. C., and Guillermo, R.: An investigation into the traffic-related fraction of isoprene at an urban location, Atmos. Environ., 35, 3749–3760, 2001.

- Burstyn, I., Senthilselvan, A., Kim, H.-M., Cherry, N. M., Pietroniro, E., and Waldner, C.: Industrial sources influence air concentrations of hydrogen sulfide and sulfur dioxide in rural areas of western Canada, J. Air Waste Manage., 57(10), 1241–1250, 2007.
- Butler, J. H., Montzka, S. A., Clarke, A. D., Lobert, J. M., and Elkins, J. W.: Growth and distribution of halons in the atmosphere, J. Geophys. Res., 103(D1), 1503–1511, 1998.
- Butler, J. H., King, D. B., and Lobert, J. M.: Oceanic distributions and emissions of short-lived halocarbons, Global Biogeochem. Cy., 21, GB1023, doi:10.1029/2006GB002732, 2007.
- Buzcu, B. and Fraser, M. P.: Source identification and apportionment of volatile organic compounds in Houston, TX, Atmos. Environ., 40, 2385–2400, 2006.
- Campbell, J. E., Carmichael, G. R., Chai, T., Mena-Carrasco, M., Tang, Y., Blake, D. R., Blake, N. J., Vay, S. A., Collatz, G. J., Baker, I., Berry, J. A., Montzka, S. A., Sweeney, C., Schnoor, J. L., and Stanier, C. O.: Photosynthetic control of atmospheric carbonyl sulfide during the growing season, Science, 322, 1085– 1088, 2008.
- Cetin, E., Odabasi, M., and Seyfioglu, R.: Ambient volatile organic compound (VOC) concentrations around a petrochemical complex and a petroleum refinery, Sci. Total Environ., 312(1–30), 103–112, 2003.
- Choi, Y., Elliott, S., Simpson, I. J., Blake, D. R., Colman, J. J., Dubey, M. K., Meinardi, S., Rowland, F. S., Shirai, T., and Smith, F. A.: Survey of whole air data from the second airborne biomass burning and lightning experiment using principal component analysis, J. Geophys. Res., 108(D5), 4163, doi:10.1029/2002JD002841, 2003.
- Colman, J. J., Swanson, A. L., Meinardi, S., Sive, B. C., Blake, D. R., and Rowland, F. S.: Description of the analysis of a wide range of volatile organic compounds in whole air samples collected during PEM-Tropics A and B, Anal. Chem., 73, 3723–3731, 2001.
- Clerbaux, C., Cunnold, D. M., Anderson, J., Engel, A., Fraser, P. F., Mahieu, E., Manning, A., Miller, J., Montzka, S. A., Nassar, R., Prinn, R., Reimann, S., Rinsland, C. P., Simmonds, P., Verdonik, D., Weiss, R., Wuebbles, D., and Yokouchi, Y.: Long-lived compounds, Scientific Assessment of Ozone Depletion: 2006 Global Ozone Research and Monitoring Project, Report no. 50, Chapter 1, World Meteorological Organization, Geneva, 2007.
- de Foy, B., Krotkov, N. A., Bei, N., Herndon, S. C., Huey, L. G., Martínez, A.-P., Ruiz-Suárez, L. G., Wood, E. C., Zavala, M., and Molina, L. T.: Hit from both sides: tracking industrial and volcanic plumes in Mexico City with surface measurements and OMI SO₂ retrievals during the MILAGRO field campaign, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9, 9599–9617, doi:10.5194/acp-9-9599-2009, 2009.
- de Gouw, J. A., Te Lintel Hekkert, S., Mellqvist, J., Warneke, C., Atlas, E. L., Fehsenfeld, F. C. Fried, A., Frost, G. J., Harren, F. J. M., Holloway, J. S., Lefer, B., Lueb, R., Meagher, J. F., Parrish, D. D., Patel, M., Pope, L., Richter, D., Rivera, C., Ryerson, T. B., Samuelsson, J., Walega, J., Washenfelder, R. A., Weibring, P., and Zhu, X.: Airborne measurements of ethene from industrial sources using Laser Photo-Acoustic Spectroscopy, Environ. Sci. Technol., 43(7), 2437–2442, 2009.
- Dehkissia, S., Larachi, F., and Chornet, E.: Catalytic (Mo) upgrading of Athabasca bitumen vacuum bottoms via two-step hydroc-

- racking and enhancement of Mo-heavy oil interaction, Fuel, 83, 1323–1331, 2004.
- Denman, K. L., Brasseur, G., Chidthaisong, A., Ciais, P., Cox, P.
 M., Dickinson, R. E., Hauglustaine, D., Heinze, C., Holland, E., Jacob, D., Lohmann, U., Ramachandran, S., da Silva Dias, P. L., Wofsy, S. C., and Zhang, X.: Couplings between changes in the climate system and biogeochemistry, in: Climate Change 2007: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, edited by: Solomon, S., Qin, D., Manning, M., Chen, Z., Marquis, M., Averyt, K. B., Tignor, M., and Miller, H. L., Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK and New York, NY, USA, 2007.
- Derwent, R. G., Simmonds, P. G., Greally, B. R., O'Doherty, S., McCulloch, A., Manning, A., Reimann, S., Folini, D., and Vollmer, M. K.: The phase-in and phase-out of European emissions of HCFC-141b and HCFC-142b under the Montreal Protocol: Evidence from observations at Mace Head, Ireland and Jungfraujoch, Switzerland from 1994 to 2004, Atmos. Environ., 41, 757–767, 2007.
- Ehhalt, D. H. and Prather, M.: Atmospheric chemistry and greenhouse gases, in Climate Change 2001: The Scientific Basis, 245–287, Cambridge Univ. Press, New York, 2001.
- Erickson, D. J., Rasch, P. J., Tans, P. P., Friedlingstein, P., Ciais, P., Maier-Reimer, E., Six, K., Fischer, C. A., and Walters, S.: The seasonal cycle of atmospheric CO₂: A study based on the NCAR Community Climate Model (CCM2), J. Geophys. Res., 101(D10), 15079–15097, 1996.
- Folberth, G. A., Hauglustaine, D. A., Lathière, J., and Brocheton, F.: Interactive chemistry in the Laboratoire de Mtorologie Dynamique general circulation model: model description and impact analysis of biogenic hydrocarbons on tropospheric chemistry, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 6, 2273–2319, doi:10.5194/acp-6-2273-2006, 2006.
- Forster, P., Ramaswamy, V., Artaxo, P., Berntsen, T., Betts, R., Fahey, D. W., Haywood, J., Lean, J., Lowe, D. C., Myhre, G., Nganga, J., Prinn, R., Raga, G., Schulz, M., and van Dorland, R.: Changes in Atmospheric Constituents and in Radiative Forcing, in: Climate Change 2007: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, edited by: Solomon, S., Qin, D., Manning, M., Chen, Z., Marquis, M., Averyt, K. B., Tignor, M., and Miller, H. L., Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK and New York, NY, USA, 2007.
- Fraser, P. J., Oram, D. E., Reeves, C. E., Penkett, S. A., and Mc-Culloch, A.: Southern hemispheric halon trends (1978–1998) and global halon emissions, J. Geophys. Res., 104(D13), 15985–16000, 1999.
- Fried, A., Diskin, G., Weibring, P., Richter, D., Walega, J. G., Sachse, G., Slate, T., Rana, M., and Podolske, J.: Tunable infrared laser instruments for airborne atmospheric studies, Appl. Phys. B, 92(3), 409–417, 2008.
- Fuelberg, H. E., Harrigan, D. L., and Sessions, W.: A meteorological overview of the ARCTAS 2008 mission, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10, 817–842, doi:10.5194/acp-10-817-2010, 2010.
- Fuentes, J. D., Lerdau, M., Atkinson, R., Baldocchi, D., Bottenheim, J. W., Ciccioli, P., Lamb, B., Geron, C., Gu, L., Guenther, A., Sharkey, T. D., and Stockwell, W.: Biogenic hydrocarbons in the atmospheric boundary layer: A review, B. Am. Meteorol.

- Soc., 81(7), 1537–1575, 2000.
- Gilman, J. B., Kuster, W. C., Goldan, P. D., Herndon, S. C., Zahniser, M. S., Tucker, S. C., Brewer, W. A., Lerner, B. M., Williams, E. J., Harley, R. A., Fehsenfeld, F. C., Warneke, C., and de Gouw, J. A.: Measurements of volatile organic compounds during the 2006 TexAQS/GoMACCS campaign: Industrial influences, regional characteristics, and diurnal dependencies of the OH reactivity, J. Geophys. Res., 114, D00F06, doi:10.1029/2008JD011525, 2009.
- Gondwe, M., Krol, M., Gieskes, W., Klaassen, W., and de Baar, H.: The contribution of ocean-leaving DMS to the global atmospheric burdens of DMS, MSA, SO₂, and NSS SO₄⁼, Global Biogeochem. Cy., 17(2), 1056, doi:10.1029/2002GB001937, 2003.
- Guenther, A., Geron, C., Pierce, T., Lamb, B., Harley, P., and Fall, R.: Natural emissions of non-methane volatile organic compounds, carbon monoxide, and oxides of nitrogen from North America, Atmos. Environ., 34, 2205–2230, 2000.
- Guenther, A., Karl, T., Harley, P., Wiedinmyer, C., Palmer, P. I., and Geron, C.: Estimates of global terrestrial isoprene emissions using MEGAN (Model of Emissions of Gases and Aerosols from Nature), Atmos. Chem. Phys., 6, 3181–3210, doi:10.5194/acp-6-3181-2006, 2006.
- Holopainen, J. K. and Gershenzon, J.: Multiple stress factors and the emission of plant VOCs, Trends Plant Sci., 15(3), 176–184, 2010.
- Holowenko, F. M., MacKinnon, M. D., and Fedorak, P. M.: Methanogens and sulfate-reducing bacteria in oil sands fine tailings waste, Can. J. Microbiol., 46(10), 927–937, 2000.
- Horowitz, L. W., Walters, S., Mauzerall, D. L., Emmons, L. K., Rasch, P. J., Granier, C., Tie, X., Lamarque, J.-F., Schultz, M. G., Tyndall, G. S., Orlando, J. J., and Brasseur, G. P.: A global simulation of tropospheric ozone and related tracers: Description and evaluation of MOZART, version 2, J. Geophys. Res., 108(D24), 4784, doi:10.1029/2002JD002853, 2003.
- Hurst, D. F., Lin, J. C., Romashkin, P. A., Daube, B. C., Gerbig, C., Matross, D. M., Wofsy, S. C., Hall, B. D., and Elkins, J. W.: Continuing global significance of emissions of Montreal Protocolrestricted halocarbons in the USA and Canada, J. Geophys. Res., 111, D15302, doi:10.1029/2005JD006785, 2006.
- Jacob, D. J., Field, B. D., Jin, E. M., Bey, I., Li, Q., Logan, J. A., Yantosca, R. M., and Singh, H. B.: Atmospheric budget of acetone, J. Geophys. Res., 107(D10), 4100, doi:10.1029/2001JD000694, 2002.
- Jacob, D. J., Field, B. D., Li, Q., Blake, D. R., de Gouw, J., Warneke, C., Hansel, A., Wisthaler, A., Singh, H. B., and Guenther, A.: Global budget of methanol: Constraints from atmospheric observations, J. Geophys. Res., 110, D08303, doi:10.1029/2004JD005172, 2005.
- Jacob, D. J., Crawford, J. H., Maring, H., Clarke, A. D., Dibb, J. E., Emmons, L. K., Ferrare, R. A., Hostetler, C. A., Russell, P. B., Singh, H. B., Thompson, A. M., Shaw, G. E., McCauley, E., Pederson, J. R., and Fisher, J. A.: The Arctic Research of the Composition of the Troposphere from Aircraft and Satellites (ARC-TAS) mission: design, execution, and first results, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10, 5191–5212, doi:10.5194/acp-10-5191-2010, 2010.
- Jordan, C., Fitz, E., Hagan, T., Sive, B., Frinak, E., Haase, K., Cottrell, L., Buckley, S., and Talbot, R.: Long-term study of VOCs measured with PTR-MS at a rural site in New Hampshire with urban influences, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9, 4677–4697,

- doi:10.5194/acp-9-4677-2009, 2009.
- Kabir, E. and Kim, K.-H.: An on-line analysis of 7 odorous volatile organic compounds in the ambient air surrounding a large industrial complex, Atmos. Environ., 44, 3492–3502, 2010.
- Karl, T., Apel, E., Hodzic, A., Riemer, D. D., Blake, D. R., and Wiedinmyer, C.: Emissions of volatile organic compounds inferred from airborne flux measurements over a megacity, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9, 271–285, doi:10.5194/acp-9-271-2009, 2009a.
- Karl, T., Guenther, A., Turnipseed, A., Tyndall, G., Artaxo, P., and Martin, S.: Rapid formation of isoprene photo-oxidation products observed in Amazonia, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9, 7753–7767, doi:10.5194/acp-9-7753-2009, 2009b.
- Katzenstein, A. S., Doezema, L. A., Simpson, I. J., Blake, D. R., and Rowland, F. S.: Extensive regional atmospheric hydrocarbon pollution in the southwestern United States, P. Natl. Acad. Sci., 100, 11975–11979, 2003.
- Kesselmeier, J. and Staudt, M., Biogenic volatile organic compounds (VOC): An overview on emission, physiology and ecology, J. Atmos. Chem., 33, 23–88, 1999.
- Kettle, A. J., Kuhn, U., von Hobe, M., Kesselmeier, J., and Andreae, M. O.: Global budget of atmospheric carbonyl sulfide: Temporal and spatial variations of the dominant sources and sinks, J. Geophys. Res., 107(D22), 4658, doi:10.1029/2002JD002187, 2002.
- Kim, S., Huey, L. G., Stickel, R. E., Tanner, D. J., Crawford, J. H., Olson, J. R., Chen, G., Brune, W. H., Ren, X., Lesher, R., Wooldridge, P. J., Bertram, T. H., Perring, A., Cohen, R. C., Lefer, B. L., Shetter, R. E., Avery, M., Diskin, G., and Sokolik, I.: Measurement of HO₂NO₂ in the free troposphere during the Intercontinental Chemical Transport Experiment-North America 2004, J. Geophys. Res., 112, D12S01, doi:10.1029/2006JD007676, 2007.
- Kindzierski, W. B. and Ranganathan, H. K. S.: Indoor and outdoor SO₂ in a community near oil sand extraction and production facilities in northern Alberta, J. Environ. Eng. Sci., 5, S121–S129, 2006.
- Ko, M. K. W., Poulet, G., Blake, D. R., Boucher, O., Burkholder, J. H., Chin, M., Cox, R. A., George, C., Graf, H.-F., Holton, J. R., Jacob, D. J., Law, J. S., Lawrence, M. G., Midgley, P. M., Seakins, P. W., Shallcross, D. E., Strahan, S. E., Wuebbles, D. J., and Yokouchi, Y.: Very short-lived halogen and sulfur substances, Scientific Assessment of Ozone Depletion: 2002 Global Ozone Research and Monitoring Project, Report no. 47, Chapter 2, World Meteorological Organization, Geneva, 2003.
- Lu, N. and Rice, R. W.: Demand drivers and price supports for bioethanol use as fuel in the United States: A brief review, For. Prod. J., 60(2), 126–132, 2010.
- McTaggart-Cowan, G. P., Rogak, S. N., Munshi, S. R., Hill, P. G., and Bushe, W. K.: The influence of fuel composition on a heavy-duty, natural-gas direct-injection engine, Fuel, 89, 752–759, 2010.
- Meinardi, S., Simpson, I. J., Blake, N. J., Blake, D. R., and Rowland, F. S.: Dimethyl disulfide (DMDS) and dimethyl sulfide (DMS) emissions from biomass burning in Australia, Geophys. Res. Lett., 30(9), 1454, doi:10.1029/2003GL016967, 2003.
- Millet, D. B. and Goldstein, A. H.: Evidence of continuing methylchloroform emissions from the United States, Geophys. Res. Lett., 31, L17101, doi:10.1029/2004GL020166, 2004.
- Mintz, R. and McWhinney, R. D.: Characterization of volatile organic compound emission sources in Fort Saskatchewan, Alberta

- using principal component analysis, J. Atmos. Chem., 60(1), 83–101, 2008.
- Montzka, S. A., Trainer, M., Goldan, P. D., Kuster, W. C., and Fehsenfeld, F. C.: Isoprene and its oxidation products, methyl vinyl ketone and methacrolein, in the rural troposphere, J. Geophys. Res., 98(D1), 1101–1111, 1993.
- Montzka, S. A., Fraser, P. J., Butler, J., Cunnold, D., Daniel, J., Derwent, D., Connell, P., Lal, S., McCulloch, A., Oram, D., Reeves, C., Sanhueza, E., Steele, P., Velders, J. G. M., Weiss, R. F., and Zander, R.: Controlled substances and other source gases, Chapter 1, in Scientific Assessment of Ozone Depletion: 2002, Global Ozone Res. and Monitor. Proj., Vol. No. 47, World Meteorol. Org., Geneva, Switzerland, 2003.
- Montzka, S. A., Calvert, P., Hall, B. D., Elkins, J. W., Conway, T. J., Tans, P. P., and Sweeney, C.: On the global distribution, seasonality, and budget of atmospheric carbonyl sulfide (COS) and some similarities to CO₂, J. Geophys. Res., 112, D09302, doi:10.1029/2006JD007665, 2007.
- Montzka, S. A., Hall, B. D., and Elkins, J. W.: Accelerated increases observed for hydrochlorofluorocarbons since 2004 in the global atmosphere, Geophys. Res. Lett., 36, L03804, doi:10.1029/2008GL036475, 2009.
- Niinemets, Ü.: Mild versus severe stress and BVOCs: thresholds, priming and consequences, Trends Plant Sci., 15(3), 145–153, 2010.
- Nowak, J. B., Davis, D. D., Chen, G., Eisele, F. L., Mauldin III, R. L., Tanner, D. J., Cantrell, C., Kosciuch, E., Bandy, A., Thornton, D., and Clark, A.: Airborne observations of DMSO, DMS, and OH at marine tropical latitudes, Geophys. Res. Lett., 28(11), 2201–2204, 2001.
- O'Doherty, S., Cunnold, D. M., Manning, A., B. R. Miller, B. R., Wang, R. H. J., Krummel, P. B., Fraser, P. J., Simmonds, P. G., McCulloch, A., Weiss, R. F., Salameh, P., Porter, L. W., Prinn, R. G., Huang, J., Sturrock, G., Ryall, D., Derwent, R. G., and Montzka, S. A.: Rapid growth of hydrofluorocarbon 134a and hydrochlorofluorocarbons 141b, 142b and 22 from Advanced Global Atmospheric Gases Experiment (AGAGE) observations at Cape Grim, Tasmania, and Mace Head, Ireland, J. Geophys. Res., 109, D06310, doi:10.1029/2003JD004277, 2004.
- Paatero, P.: Least squares formation of robust non-negative factor analysis, Chemometr. Intell. Lab., 37, 15–35, 1997.
- Parrish, D. D., Kuster, W., C., Shao, M., Yokouchi, Y., Kondo, Y., Goldan, P. D., de Gouw, J. A., Koike, M., and Shirai, T.: Comparison of air pollutant emissions among mega-cities, Atmos. Environ., 43(40), 6435–6441, 2009.
- Penner, T. J. and Foght, J. M.: Mature fine tailings from oil sands processing harbour diverse methanogenic communities, Can. J. Microbiol., 56(6), 459–470, 2010.
- Räisänen, T., Ryyppö, A., and Kellomäki, S.: Impact of timber felling on the ambient monoterpene concentration of a Scots pine (Pinus sylvestris L.) forest, Atmos. Environ., 42(28), 6759–6766, 2008.
- Randerson, J. T., Thompson, M. V., Conway, T. J., Fung, I. Y., and Field, C. B.: The contribution of terrestrial sources and sinks to trends in the seasonal cycle of atmospheric carbon dioxide, Global Biogeochem. Cy., 11, 535–560, 1997.
- Randerson, J. T., Field, C. B., Fung, I. Y., and Tans, P. P.: Increases in early season ecosystem uptake explain recent changes in the seasonal cycle of atmospheric at high northern latitudes, Geo-

- phys. Res. Lett., 26(17), 2765-2768, 1999.
- Ras, M. R., Marcé, R. M., and Borrull, F.: Characterization of ozone precursor volatile organic compounds in urban atmospheres and around the petrochemical industry in the Tarragona region, Sci. Total Environ., 407(14), 4312–4319, 2009.
- Redeker, K. R., Wang, N. Y., Low, J. C., McMillan, A., Tyler, S. C., and Cicerone, R. J., Emissions of methyl halides and methane from rice paddies, Science, 290, 966–969, doi:10.1126/science.290.5493.966, 2000.
- Reimann, S., Calanca, P., and Hofer, P.: The anthropogenic contribution to isoprene concentrations in a rural atmosphere, Atmos. Environ., 34(1), 109–115, 2000.
- Rogers, M. A. and Koons, C. B.: Generation of light hydrocarbons and establishment of normal paraffin preferences in crude oils, Adv. Chem., 103(3), 67–80, 1971.
- Russo, R. S., Zhou, Y., White, M. L., Mao, H., Talbot, R., and Sive, B. C.: Multi-year (2004–2008) record of nonmethane hydrocarbons and halocarbons in New England: seasonal variations and regional sources, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10, 4909–4929, doi:10.5194/acp-10-4909-2010, 2010.
- Ryerson, T. B., Trainer, M., Angevine, W. M., Brock, C. A., Dissly,
 R. W., Fehsenfeld, F. C., Frost, G. J., Goldan, P. D., Holloway,
 J. S., Hübler, G., Jakoubek, R. O., Kuster, W. C., Neuman, J.
 A., Nicks Jr., D. K., Parrish, D. D., Roberts, J. M., Sueper, D.
 T., Atlas, E. L., Donnelly, S. G., Flocke, F., Fried, A., Potter, W. T., Schauffler, S., Stroud, V., Weinheimer, A. J., Wert,
 B. P., Wiedinmyer, C., Alvarez, R. J., Banta, R. M., Darby, L.
 S., and Senff, C. J.: Effect of petrochemical industrial emissions of reactive alkenes and NO_x on tropospheric ozone formation in Houston, Texas, J. Geophys. Res., 108(D8), 4249, doi:10.1029/2002JD003070, 2003.
- Schade, G. W. and Goldstein, A. H.: Increase of monoterpene emissions from a pine plantation as a result of mechanical disturbances, Geophys. Res. Lett., 30(7), 1380, doi:10.1029/2002GL016138, 2003.
- Sharkey, T. D., Wiberley, A. E., and Donohue, A. R.: Isoprene emission from plants: Why and how, Annal. Botany, 101, 5–18, 2008.
- Siddique, T., Fedorak, P. M., and Foght, J. M.: Biodegradation of short-chain *n*-alkanes in oil sands tailings under methanogenic conditions, Environ. Sci. Technol., 40, 5459–5464, 2006.
- Siddique, T., Fedorak, P. M., MacKinnon, M. D., and Foght, J. M.: Metabolism of BTEX and naphtha compounds to methane in oil sands tailings, Environ. Sci. Technol., 41, 2350–2356, 2007.
- Siddique, T., Gupta, R., Fedorak, P. M., MacKinnon, M. D., and Foght, J. M.: A first approximation kinetic model to predict methane generation from an oil sands tailings settling basin, Chemosphere, 72, 1573–1580, 2008.
- Simpson, I. J., Blake, D. R., Rowland, F. S., and Chen, T.-Y.: Implications of the recent fluctuations in the growth rate of tropospheric methane, Geophys. Res. Lett., 29(10), 672–675, 2002.
- Simpson, I. J., Wang, T., Guo, H., Kwok, Y. H., Flocke, F., Atlas, E., Meinardi, S., Rowland, F. S., and Blake, D. R.: Longterm amospheric measurements of C₁–C₅ alkyl nitrates in the Pearl River Delta region of southeast China, Atmos. Environ., 40, 1619–1632, 2006.
- Sive, B. C.: Atmospheric nonmethane hydrocarbons: Analytical methods and estimated hydroxyl radical concentrations, Ph.D Thesis, University of California, Irvine, CA, 1998.
- Sive, B. C., Varner, R. K., Mao, H., Blake, D. R., Wingenter, O.

- W., and Talbot, R., A large terrestrial source of methyl iodide, Geophys. Res. Lett., 34, L17808, doi:10.1029/2007GL030528, 2007.
- Sprengnether, M., Demerjian, K. L., Donahue, N. M., and Anderson, J. G.: Product analysis of the OH oxidation of isoprene and 1,3-butadiene in the presence of NO, J. Geophys. Res., 107(D15), 4268, doi:10.1029/2001JD000716, 2002.
- Stroud, C., Roberts, J. M., Goldan, P. D., Kuster, W. C., Murphy, P. C., Williams, E. J., Hereid, D., Parrish, D., Sueper, D., Trainer, M., Fehsenfeld, F. C., Apel, E. C., Riemer, D., Wert, B., Henry, B., Fried, A., Martinez-Harder, M., Brune, W. H., Li, G., Xie, H., and Young, V. L.: Isoprene and its oxidation products, methacrolein and methylvinyl ketone, at an urban forested site during the 1999 Southern Oxidants Study, J. Geophys. Res., 106, 8035–8046, 2001.
- Talbot, R., Mao, H., Scheuer, E., Dibb, J., Avery, M., Browell, E., Sachse, G., Vay, S., Blake, D., Huey, G., and Fuelberg, H.: Factors influencing the large-scale distribution of Hg° in the Mexico City area and over the North Pacific, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 8, 2103–2114, doi:10.5194/acp-8-2103-2008, 2008.
- Thurston, G. D. and Spengler, J. D.: A quantitative assessment of source contributions to inhalable particulate matter pollution in metropolitan Boston, Atmos. Environ., 19(1), 9–25, 1985.
- Timoney, K. P. and Lee, P.: Does the Alberta tar sands industry pollute? The scientific evidence, Open Conservation Biol. J., 3, 65–81, 2009.
- Vay, S. A., Anderson, B. E., Conway, T. J., Sachse, G. W., Collins, J. E., Blake, D. R., and Westberg, D. J.: Airborne observations of the tropospheric CO₂ distribution and its controlling factors over the South Pacific Basin, J. Geophys. Res., 104(D5), 5663–5676, 1999
- Vay, S. A., Woo, J. H., Anderson, B. E., Thornhill, K. L., Blake, D. R., Westberg, D. J., Kiley, C. M., Avery, M. A., Sachse, G. W., Streets, D. G., Tsutsumi, Y., and Nolf, S. R.: The influence of regional-scale anthropogenic emissions on CO₂ distributions over the western North Pacific, J. Geophys. Res., 108(D20), 8801, doi:10.1029/2002JD003094, 2003.
- Warneke, C., McKeen, S. A., de Gouw, J. A., Goldan, P. D, Kuster, W. C., Holloway, J. S., Williams, E. J., Lerner, B. M., Parrish, D. D., Trainer, M., Fehsenfeld, F. C., Kato, S., Atlas, E. L., Baker, A., and Blake, D. R.: Determination of urban volatile organic compound emission ratios and comparison with an emissions database, J. Geophys. Res., 112, D10S47, doi:10.1029/2006JD007930, 2007.
- Warneke, C., de Gouw, J. A., Del Negro, L., Brioude, J., McKeen, S., Stark, H., Kuster, W. C., Goldan, P. D., Trainer, M., Fehsenfeld, F. C., Wiedinmyer, C., Guenther, A. B., Hansel, A., Wisthaler, A., Atlas, E., Holloway, J. S., Ryerson, T. B., Peischl, J., Huey, L. G., and Case Hanks, A. T.: Biogenic emission measurement and inventories determination of biogenic emissions in the eastern United States and Texas and comparison with biogenic emission inventories, J. Geophys. Res., 115, D00F18, doi:10.1029/2009JD012445, 2010.

- Watson, J. G., Chow, J. C., and Fujita, E. M.: Review of volatile organic compound source apportionment by chemical mass balance, Atmos. Environ., 35(9), 1567–1584, 2001.
- Watts. S. F.: The mass budgets of carbonyl sulfide, dimethyl sulfide, carbon disulfide and hydrogen sulfide, Atmos. Environ., 34, 761– 779, 2000.
- Weinheimer, A. J., Walega, J. G., Ridley, B. A., Gary, B. L., Blake, D. R., Blake, N. J., Rowland, F. S., Sachse, G. W., Anderson, B. E., and Collins, J. E.: Meridional distributions of NO_x, NO_y, and other species in the lower stratosphere and upper troposphere during AASE II, Geophys. Res. Lett., 21, 2583–2586, 1994.
- White, M. L., Russo, R. S., Zhou, Y., Mao, H., Varner, R. K., Ambrose, J., Veres, P., Wingenter, O. W., Haase, K., Stutz, J., Talbot, R., and Sive, B. C.: Volatile organic compounds in northern New England marine and continental environments during the ICARTT 2004 campaign, J. Geophys. Res., 113, D08S90, doi:10.1029/2007jd009161, 2008.
- White, M. L., Russo, R. S., Zhou, Y., Ambrose, J. L., Haase, K., Frinak, E. K., Varner, R. K., Wingenter, O. W., Mao, H., Talbot, R., and Sive, B. C.: Are biogenic emissions a significant source of summertime atmospheric toluene in the rural Northeastern United States?, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9, 81–92, doi:10.5194/acp-9-81-2009, 2009.
- White, M. L., Zhou, Y., Russo, R. S., Mao, H., Talbot, R., Varner, R. K., and Sive, B. C.: Carbonyl sulfide exchange in a temperate loblolly pine forest grown under ambient and elevated CO₂, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10, 547–561, doi:10.5194/acp-10-547-2010, 2010.
- Xiao, Y., Logan, J. A., Jacob, D. J., Hudman, R. C., Yantosca, R., and Blake, D. R.: Global budget of ethane and regional constraints on U.S. sources, J. Geophys. Res., 113, D21306, doi:10.1029/2007JD009415, 2008.
- Yokouchi, Y., Osada, K., Wada, M., Hasebe, F., Agama, M., Murakami, R., Mukai, H., Nojiri, Y., Inuzuka, Y., Toom-Sauntry, D., and Fraser, P.: Global distribution and seasonal concentration change of methyl iodide in the atmosphere, J. Geophys. Res., 113, D18311, doi:10.1029/2008JD009861, 2008.
- Zhang, Y. L., Guo, H., Wang, X. M., Simpson, I. J., Barletta, B., Blake, D. R., Meinardi, S., Rowland, F. S., Cheng, H. R., Saunders, S. M., and Lam, S. H. M.: Emission patterns and spatiotemporal variations of halocarbons in the Pearl River Delta region, southern China, J. Geophys. Res., 115, D15309, doi:10.1029/2009JD013726, 2010.