

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal

Aleš Nekvinda

Characterization of traces of the weighted Sobolev space $W^{1,p}(\Omega, d_M^\epsilon)$ on M

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 43 (1993), No. 4, 695–711

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/128436>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1993

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

CHARACTERIZATION OF TRACES
OF THE WEIGHTED SOBOLEV SPACE $W^{1,p}(\Omega, d_M^\varepsilon)$ ON M

ALEŠ NEKVINDA, Praha

(Received March 8, 1992)

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper we shall use the following notation. Let $N > 0$, $k \geq 0$ be integers. Let ε, p be real numbers, $1 < p < \infty$. Denote by p' the conjugate exponent, i.e. $p' = \frac{p}{p-1}$. Let Ω be a non-empty, open, bounded subset of \mathbf{R}^N . Let M be a closed subset of $\partial\Omega$ and let $d_M(x)$ be the distance function, $d_M(x) = \text{dist}(x, M)$. For simplicity we shall write $d(x)$ instead of $d_M(x)$. For an integer m , $1 \leq m \leq N$, we set $Q_m = (0, 1)^m$.

Definition 1.1. We shall write $(\Omega, M) \in B(k, N)$ for $1 \leq k \leq N-1$, $N \geq 2$ if and only if there exists a bilipschitz mapping

$$B: Q_N \rightarrow \Omega$$

such that $B(\overline{Q}_k) = M$.

By $C^\infty(\overline{\Omega})$ we denote the set of real functions u defined on $\overline{\Omega}$ such that the derivatives $D^\alpha u$ can be continuously extended to $\overline{\Omega}$ for all multiindices α .

Define the weighted Sobolev space $W^{1,p}(\Omega, d^\varepsilon)$ as the closure of $C^\infty(\overline{\Omega})$ with respect to the norm

$$\|u|W^{1,p}(\Omega, d^\varepsilon)\| = \left(\int_{\Omega} |u(x)|^p d^\varepsilon(x) dx + \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i=1}^N |D_i u(x)|^p d^\varepsilon(x) dx \right)^{1/p},$$

where $D_i u = \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i}$ stands for the generalized derivative of the function u . The space $H^{1,p}(\Omega, d^\varepsilon)$ is the class of all functions locally integrable on Ω , with a finite norm

$$\|u|H^{1,p}(\Omega, d^\varepsilon)\| = \left(\int_{\Omega} |u(x)|^p d^{\varepsilon-p}(x) dx + \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i=1}^N |D_i u(x)|^p d^\varepsilon(x) dx \right)^{1/p}.$$

Now, let $(\Omega, M) \in B(k, N)$. Let $0 < s < 1$. Let us recall the definition of the Slobodeckij space $W^{s,p}(M)$ as the set of all functions u defined on M with a finite norm

$$\|u|W^{s,p}(M)\| = \left(\int_M |u(x)|^p dx + \iint_{M \times M} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^p}{|x - y|^{k+sp}} dx dy \right)^{1/p}.$$

It is well known (see [1] and [4]) that for $\varepsilon \leq k - N$ or $\varepsilon > p + k - N$ the space $W^{1,p}(\Omega, d^\varepsilon)$ is isomorphically and topologically equivalent to the space $H^{1,p}(\Omega, d^\varepsilon)$. The space $H^{1,p}(\Omega, d^\varepsilon)$ has zero traces on M in the case $\varepsilon \leq k - N$ while for $\varepsilon > p + k - N$ the traces on M have no sense in general.

In this paper we show that in the case $k - N < \varepsilon < p + k - N$ the class of traces on M of the space $W^{1,p}(\Omega, d^\varepsilon)$ for $(\Omega, M) \in B(k, N)$ is equal to the space $W^{1-\frac{N-k+\varepsilon}{p},p}(M)$. Section 2 contains the direct trace theorems, in Section 3 we find a corresponding extension operator.

2. DIRECT THEOREMS

Lemma 2.1. *Let $M = \{0\}$, $-N < \varepsilon < p - N$. Then there exists a constant $c > 0$ dependent only on ε, p, N such that for all functions $u \in C^\infty(\overline{Q}_N)$ the inequality*

$$(2.1) \quad |u(0)| \leq c \|u|W^{1,p}(Q_N, d^\varepsilon)\|$$

holds.

P r o o f. Let $u \in C^\infty(\overline{Q}_N)$. For $x \in Q_N$ we have

$$u(x) = u(0) + \int_0^1 \sum_{i=1}^N x_i D_i u(tx) dt,$$

and so

$$|u(0)| \leq |u(x)| + \int_0^1 \sum_{i=1}^N x_i |D_i u(tx)| dt.$$

Multiplying the last inequality by $d^{\varepsilon/p}(x)$ and integrating over Q_N we get

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} |u(0)| \int_{Q_N} d^{\varepsilon/p}(x) dx &\leq \int_{Q_N} |u(x)| d^{\varepsilon/p}(x) dx \\ &+ \int_0^1 \int_{Q_N} \sum_{i=1}^N x_i |D_i u(tx)| d^{\varepsilon/p}(x) dx dt = I_1 + I_2. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\varepsilon/p > -N$, we have $c_1 = \int_{Q_N} d^{\varepsilon/p}(x) dx < \infty$.

The Hölder inequality yields

$$(2.3) \quad I_1 \leq \left(\int_{Q_N} dx \right)^{1/p'} \left(\int_{Q_N} |u(x)|^p d^\varepsilon(x) dx \right)^{1/p} \leq \|u\|_{W^{1,p}(Q_N, d^\varepsilon)}.$$

Let us estimate the integral I_2 . By the assumptions there exists α , such that $\varepsilon + N - 1 < \alpha p < p - 1$. We use the substitution $tx = y$ and the Hölder inequality and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &\leq \int_0^1 \int_{(0,t)^N} \sum_{i=1}^N |D_i u(y)| d^{\varepsilon/p}(y) t^{-N-\varepsilon/p} dy dt \\ &\leq \left(\int_0^1 t^{-\alpha p'} dt \right)^{1/p'} \left(\int_0^1 \left[\int_{(0,t)^N} \sum_{i=1}^N |D_i u(y)| d^{\varepsilon/p}(y) t^{\alpha-N-\varepsilon/p} dy \right]^p dt \right)^{1/p}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $-\alpha p' > -1$, we have $(\int_0^1 t^{-\alpha p'} dt)^{1/p'} = c_2 < \infty$.

Using again the Hölder inequality we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (2.4) \quad I_2 &\leq \left(\int_0^1 t^{p\alpha-N-\varepsilon} dt \right)^{1/p} \left(\int_{(0,1)^N} \sum_{i=1}^N |D_i u(y)|^p d^\varepsilon(y) dy \right)^{1/p} \\ &\leq c_3 \|u\|_{W^{1,p}(Q_N, d^\varepsilon)}, \end{aligned}$$

where $c_3 = c_2 (\int_0^1 t^{p\alpha-N-\varepsilon} dt)^{1/p} < \infty$, because $p\alpha - N - \varepsilon > -1$. Now (2.1) follows from (2.2), (2.3) and (2.4). \square

Lemma 2.2. *Let $1 \leq k \leq N - 1$, $k - N < \varepsilon < p + k - N$ and let $M = [0, 1]^k$. Then there exists a unique bounded linear operator*

$$T: W^{1,p}(Q_N, d^\varepsilon) \rightarrow L^p(M)$$

satisfying

$$Tu(x) = u(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k, 0, \dots, 0), \quad x \in Q_N,$$

for every function $u \in C^\infty(\overline{Q}_N)$.

P r o o f. Let $u \in C^\infty(\overline{Q}_N)$. Fix $x_1, \dots, x_k \in (0, 1)$ and define a function v by

$$v(x_{k+1}, \dots, x_N) = u(x), \quad (x_{k+1}, \dots, x_N) \in (0, 1)^{N-k}.$$

Obviously, $v(x_{k+1}, \dots, x_N) \in W^{1,p}(Q_{N-k}, d^\varepsilon)$.

By Lemma 2.1 there exists a constant c dependent only on ε, p, N, k such that

$$\begin{aligned} |u(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k, 0, \dots, 0)|^p &\leq c \left(\int_{Q_{N-k}} |u(x)|^p d^\varepsilon(x) dx_{k+1} \dots dx_N \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_{Q_{N-k}} \sum_{i=k+1}^N |D_i u(x)|^p d^\varepsilon(x) dx_{k+1} \dots dx_N \right). \end{aligned}$$

Integrating over x_1, \dots, x_k we obtain

$$\|u(x_1, \dots, x_k, 0, \dots, 0)\|_{L^p(M)}^p \leq c \|u\|_{W^{1,p}(Q_N, d^\varepsilon)}^p.$$

The operator T is now the unique bounded linear extension of the mapping $u \mapsto u(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k, 0, \dots, 0)$. \square

Using a similar argument we can prove a more general assertion:

Theorem 2.3. *Let $1 \leq k \leq N-1$, $k-N < \varepsilon < p+k-N$ and $(\Omega, M) \in B(k, N)$. Then there exists a unique bounded linear operator*

$$T: W^{1,p}(\Omega, d^\varepsilon) \rightarrow L^p(M)$$

such that

$$Tu = u|_M$$

for all $u \in C^\infty(\bar{\Omega})$.

Now we shall prove that the trace operator is a bounded mapping from $W^{1,p}(\Omega, d^\varepsilon)$ into $W^{1-\frac{N-k+\varepsilon}{p}, p}(M)$ if $(\Omega, M) \in B(k, N)$ and $k-N < \varepsilon < p+k-N$.

The case $k = N-1$.

Lemma 2.4 (see [2]). *Let $-1 < \varepsilon < p-1$ and let a be a real number such that $0 < a < 1$. Then there exists a constant $c > 0$ independent of a , such that for all functions $u \in C^\infty([0, a])$ and $v \in C^\infty([a, 1])$ the inequalities*

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^a \left| \frac{1}{a-x} \int_x^a u(t) dt \right|^p (a-x)^\varepsilon dx &\leq c \int_0^a |u(x)|^p (a-x)^\varepsilon dx, \\ \int_a^1 \left| \frac{1}{x-a} \int_a^x v(t) dt \right|^p (x-a)^\varepsilon dx &\leq c \int_a^1 |v(x)|^p (x-a)^\varepsilon dx \end{aligned}$$

hold.

Lemma 2.5. Let $\Omega = \{(x, y) \in \mathbf{R}^2 : x \in (0, 1), y \in (0, x)\}$, $M = \{(x, x) : 0 \leq x \leq 1\}$ and let $-1 < \varepsilon < p - 1$. Then there exists a constant $c > 0$ such that

$$(2.5) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_0^1 \int_0^t \frac{|u(t, t) - u(\tau, \tau)|^p}{|t - \tau|^{p-\varepsilon}} d\tau dt \\ & \leq c \int_0^1 \int_0^t (|D_1 u(t, \tau)|^p + |D_2 u(t, \tau)|^p)(t - \tau)^\varepsilon d\tau dt \end{aligned}$$

for all $u \in C^\infty(\bar{\Omega})$.

P r o o f. Using the Fubini theorem we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^1 \int_0^t \frac{|u(t, t) - u(\tau, \tau)|^p}{|t - \tau|^{p-\varepsilon}} d\tau dt \\ & \leq 2^{p-1} \left[\int_0^1 \left(\int_0^t \left| \frac{1}{t - \tau} \int_\tau^t D_2 u(t, \xi) d\xi \right|^p (t - \tau)^\varepsilon d\tau \right) dt \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \int_0^1 \left(\int_\tau^1 \left| \frac{1}{t - \tau} \int_\tau^t D_1 u(\xi, \tau) d\xi \right|^p (t - \tau)^\varepsilon dt \right) d\tau \right] \\ & = 2^{p-1} [I_1 + I_2]. \end{aligned}$$

According to Lemma 2.4 we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 & \leq c \int_0^1 \int_0^t |D_2 u(t, \tau)|^p (t - \tau)^\varepsilon d\tau dt, \\ I_2 & \leq c \int_0^1 \int_\tau^1 |D_1 u(t, \tau)|^p (t - \tau)^\varepsilon dt d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

The inequality (2.5) follows. \square

Lemma 2.6. Let $N \geq 2$, $-1 < \varepsilon < p - 1$. Define $A_i(u)$ by

$$A_i(u) = \underbrace{\int_0^1 \int_0^1 \dots \int_0^1}_{(N-2)\text{-fold}} \left(\int_0^1 \int_0^1 |u(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, t, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_{N-1})\right. \\ \left. - u(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, \tau, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_{N-1})|^p \frac{d\tau dt}{|t-\tau|^{p-\varepsilon}} \right) \\ dx_1 dx_2 \dots dx_{i-1} dx_{i+1} \dots dx_{N-1}.$$

Then there exists a positive constant c such that

$$\|u|W^{1-\frac{1+\varepsilon}{p},p}(Q_{N-1})\|^p \leq c \left(\|u|L^p(Q_{N-1})\|^p + \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} A_i(u) \right)$$

for all functions $u \in L^p(Q_{N-1})$ such that $A_i(u) < \infty$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, N-1$.

P r o o f. The proof can proceed in a way similar to that of the proof of Lemma 6.8.10 in [3]. \square

Lemma 2.7. Let $N \geq 2$, $-1 < \varepsilon < p - 1$ and $M = [0, 1]^{N-1}$. Then there exists a constant $c > 0$ such that

$$\|u(x_1, \dots, x_{N-1}, 0)|W^{1-\frac{1+\varepsilon}{p},p}(Q_{N-1})\| \leq c \|u|W^{1,p}(Q_N, d^\varepsilon)\|$$

for all $u \in C^\infty(\overline{Q}_N)$.

P r o o f. Let $u \in C^\infty(\overline{Q}_N)$. Set $v(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{N-1}) = u(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{N-1}, 0)$. According to Lemma 2.6 and Lemma 2.2 we have

$$\|u(x_1, \dots, x_{N-1}, 0)|W^{1-\frac{1+\varepsilon}{p},p}(Q_{N-1})\|^p = \|v|W^{1-\frac{1+\varepsilon}{p},p}(Q_{N-1})\|^p \\ \leq c_1 \left(\|v|L^p(Q_{N-1})\| + \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} A_i(v) \right) \leq c_2 \left(\|u|W^{1,p}(Q_N, d^\varepsilon)\|^p + \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} A_i(v) \right).$$

Obviously, it remains to estimate $A_i(v)$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, N-1$. Fix $i = 1, \dots, N-1$. Set $v_i(x_1, \dots, x_N) = u(x_1, \dots, x_{N-1}, x_i - x_N)$ in the domain $0 \leq x_j \leq 1$ for

$j = 1, \dots, N - 1$ and $0 \leq x_N \leq x_i$. Lemma 2.5 and the direct calculation yield

$$\begin{aligned}
A_i(v) &= 2 \int_0^1 \dots \int_0^1 \left(\int_0^1 \int_0^t |u(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, t, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_{N-1}, 0) \right. \\
&\quad \left. - u(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, \tau, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_{N-1}, 0)|^p \frac{d\tau dt}{|t-\tau|^{p-\varepsilon}} \right) \\
&\quad dx_1 \dots dx_{i-1} dx_{i+1} \dots dx_{N-1} \\
&= 2 \int_0^1 \dots \int_0^1 \left(\int_0^1 \int_0^t |v_i(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, t, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_{N-1}, t) \right. \\
&\quad \left. - v_i(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, \tau, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_{N-1}, \tau)|^p \frac{d\tau dt}{|t-\tau|^{p-\varepsilon}} \right) \\
&\quad dx_1 \dots dx_{i-1} dx_{i+1} \dots dx_{N-1} \\
&\leq 2c \int_0^1 \dots \int_0^1 \left(\int_0^1 \int_0^t (|D_i v_i(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, t, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_{N-1}, \tau)|^p \right. \\
&\quad \left. + |D_N v_i(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, t, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_{N-1}, \tau)|^p)(t-\tau)^\varepsilon d\tau dt \right) \\
&\quad dx_1 \dots dx_{i-1} dx_{i+1} \dots dx_{N-1} \\
&\leq 2^{p+1} c \int_0^1 \dots \int_0^1 \left(\int_0^1 \int_0^t (|D_i u(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, t, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_{N-1}, t-\tau)|^p \right. \\
&\quad \left. + |D_N u(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, t, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_{N-1}, t-\tau)|^p)(t-\tau)^\varepsilon d\tau dt \right) \\
&\quad dx_1 \dots dx_{i-1} dx_{i+1} \dots dx_{N-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

The substitution $s = t - \tau$ gives

$$A_i(v) \leq 2^{p+1} c \|u|W^{1,p}(Q_N, d^\varepsilon)\|^p, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, N - 1,$$

which completes the proof. \square

As an immediate consequence we have

Theorem 2.8. Let $N \geq 2$, $-1 < \varepsilon < p - 1$ and $(\Omega, M) \in B(N - 1, N)$. Then there exists a unique bounded linear operator

$$T: W^{1,p}(\Omega, d^\varepsilon) \rightarrow W^{1-\frac{1+\varepsilon}{p}, p}(M)$$

such that

$$Tu = u|_M$$

for all $u \in C^\infty(\overline{Q}_N)$.

The case $1 \leq k \leq N - 2$.

Lemma 2.9. Let $K, L, s > 0$. Then there exists $c > 0$ such that

$$\frac{c}{r^{1+s}} \leq \int_0^L \int_0^L \frac{1}{(r + |\varrho_1 - \varrho_2|)^{2+s}} d\varrho_1 d\varrho_2$$

for every $r \in (0, K]$.

P r o o f. Obviously,

$$(2.6) \quad \int_0^L \int_0^L \frac{d\varrho_1 d\varrho_2}{(r + |\varrho_1 - \varrho_2|)^{2+s}} = 2 \int_0^L \int_{\varrho_2}^L \frac{d\varrho_1 d\varrho_2}{(r + \varrho_1 - \varrho_2)^{2+s}} = 2I.$$

Direct calculation yields

$$I = \frac{1}{1+s} \frac{L}{r^{1+s}} + \frac{1}{s(s+1)} \left(\frac{1}{(r+L)^s} - \frac{1}{r^s} \right).$$

By the Lagrange Mean Value Theorem there exists $\xi \in (r, r+L)$ such that

$$I = \frac{L}{1+s} \left(\frac{1}{r^{1+s}} - \frac{\xi^{s-1}}{r^s(r+L)^s} \right).$$

If $s \leq 1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} I &\geq \frac{L}{1+s} \left(\frac{1}{r^{1+s}} - \frac{r^{s-1}}{r^s(r+L)^s} \right) = \frac{L}{1+s} \frac{1}{r^{1+s}} \left(1 - \left(\frac{r}{r+L} \right)^s \right) \\ &\geq \frac{L}{1+s} \left(1 - \left(\frac{K}{K+L} \right)^s \right) \frac{1}{r^{1+s}}. \end{aligned}$$

For $s \geq 1$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} I &\geq \frac{L}{1+s} \left(\frac{1}{r^{1+s}} - \frac{(r+L)^{s-1}}{r^s(r+L)^s} \right) = \frac{L}{1+s} \frac{1}{r^{1+s}} \left(1 - \frac{r}{r+L} \right) \\ &\geq \frac{L}{1+s} \left(1 - \frac{K}{K+L} \right) \frac{1}{r^{1+s}}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, (2.6) holds with $c = 2 \min \left(\frac{L}{1+s} \left(1 - \left(\frac{K}{K+L} \right)^s \right), \frac{L}{1+s} \left(1 - \frac{K}{K+L} \right) \right)$. \square

Lemma 2.10. Let $N \geq 2$, $k - N < \varepsilon < p + k - N$ and $M = [0, 1]^k$. Then there exists a unique bounded linear operator

$$T: W^{1,p}(Q_N, d^\varepsilon) \rightarrow W^{1-\frac{N-k+\varepsilon}{p},p}(M)$$

such that

$$Tu = u|_M$$

for all functions $u \in C^\infty(\overline{Q}_N)$.

P r o o f. Let $u \in C^\infty(\overline{Q}_N)$. We introduce general cylindrical coordinates (x', r, ϱ) , $x' = (x_1, \dots, x_k)$, $\varrho = (\varrho_1, \dots, \varrho_{N-k-1})$, by

$$x_1 = x_1,$$

...

$$x_k = x_k,$$

$$x_{k+1} = r \cos \varrho_1,$$

$$x_{k+2} = r \sin \varrho_1 \cos \varrho_2,$$

...

$$x_N = r \sin \varrho_1 \dots \sin \varrho_{N-k-2} \cos \varrho_{N-k-1}.$$

Let $\Omega' = \{(x', r, \varrho) : x' \in (0, 1)^k, r \in (0, 1), \varrho \in (\frac{\pi}{6}, \frac{\pi}{3})^{N-k-1}\}$. Obviously $M = \{(x', r, \varrho') \in \overline{\Omega'} : r = 0\}$. Let Ω be the set of points on \mathbb{R}^N whose cylindrical coordinates (x', r, ϱ) belong to Ω' . Then $\Omega \subset Q_N$, $M \subset \overline{\Omega}$, $d(x) = r$ and there exist two constants $c_1, c_2 > 0$ such that

$$(2.7) \quad c_1 r^{N-k-1} \leq J(x', r, \varrho) \leq c_2 r^{N-k-1}, \quad (x', r, \varrho) \in \Omega',$$

where $J(x', r, \varrho)$ is the Jacobian of the mapping $(x', r, \varrho) \mapsto x$. Define a function v by

$$v(x', r, \varrho) = u(x).$$

It is easy to see that $v \in C^\infty(\overline{\Omega'})$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_i}(x', r, \varrho) \right| &= \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i}(x) \right| \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2, \dots, k, \\ \left| \frac{\partial v}{\partial r}(x', r, \varrho) \right| &\leq \sum_{j=k+1}^N \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j}(x) \right|, \\ \left| \frac{\partial v}{\partial \varrho_j}(x', r, \varrho) \right| &\leq r \sum_{i=k+1}^N \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i}(x) \right| \quad \text{for } j = 1, \dots, N-k-1. \end{aligned}$$

These inequalities and (2.7) yield

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.8) \quad I(v) &:= \int_{\Omega'} |v(x', r, \varrho)|^p r^{N-k-1} r^\varepsilon dx' dr d\varrho \\
&\quad + \int_{\Omega'} \left(\sum_{i=1}^k \left| \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_i}(x', r, \varrho) \right|^p + \left| \frac{\partial v}{\partial r}(x', r, \varrho) \right|^p \right) r^{N-k-1} r^\varepsilon dx' dr d\varrho \\
&\quad + \int_{\Omega'} \sum_{i=1}^{N-k-1} \left| \frac{\partial v}{\partial \varrho_i}(x', r, \varrho) \right|^p r^{N-k-1} r^{\varepsilon-p} dx' dr d\varrho \\
&\leq c_3 \|u|W^{1,p}(Q_N, d^\varepsilon)\|^p.
\end{aligned}$$

Set $\varepsilon_1 = \varepsilon - N - k - 1$ and define the anisotropic weighted space $V^{1,p}(\Omega', r^{\varepsilon_1})$ as the closure of $C^\infty(\overline{\Omega'})$ with respect to the norm $\| \cdot |V| \| = (I(\cdot))^{1/p}$. Obviously

$$(2.9) \quad V^{1,p}(\Omega', r^{\varepsilon_1}) \hookrightarrow W^{1,p}(\Omega', r^{\varepsilon_1}).$$

Putting $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_1$ in Theorem 2.8 we obtain the existence of a constant $c_4 > 0$ such that

$$(2.10) \quad c_4 \|v|W^{1,p}(\Omega', r^{\varepsilon_1})\|^p$$

$$\geq \int_{(0,1)^k} \int_{(0,1)^k} \int_{(\frac{\pi}{6}, \frac{\pi}{3})^{N-k-1}} \int_{(\frac{\pi}{6}, \frac{\pi}{3})^{N-k-1}} \frac{|v(x', 0, \varrho) - v(y', 0, \psi)|^p}{(|x' - y'| + |\varrho - \psi|)^{N+p-2-\varepsilon_1}} d\varrho d\psi dx' dy'.$$

Denote the right-hand side of (2.10) by I_1 . Since $v(x', 0, \varrho) = u(x_1, \dots, x_k, 0, \dots, 0) = u(x', 0)$, we can write

$$(2.11) \quad I_1 = \int_{(0,1)^k} \int_{(0,1)^k} |u(x', 0) - u(y', 0)|^p J(|x' - y'|) dx' dy',$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
J(|x' - y'|) &\geq c_5 \int_{(\frac{\pi}{6}, \frac{\pi}{3})^{N-k-1}} \int_{(\frac{\pi}{6}, \frac{\pi}{3})^{N-k-1}} \frac{d\varrho_1 \dots d\varrho_{N-k-1} d\psi_1 \dots d\psi_{N-k-1}}{(|x' - y'| + |\varrho_1 - \psi_2| + \dots + |\varrho_{N-k-1} - \psi_{N-k-1}|)^{N+p-2-\varepsilon_1}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Using $(N - k - 1)$ -times Lemma 2.9 we arrive at the estimate

$$(2.12) \quad J(|x' - y'|) \geq \frac{c_6}{|x' - y'|^{p+k-1-\varepsilon_1}} = \frac{c_6}{|x' - y'|^{p+2k-N-\varepsilon}}.$$

From (2.11) and (2.12) we obtain

$$I_1 \geq c_6 \int_{(0,1)^k} \int_{(0,1)^k} \frac{|u(x', 0) - u(y', 0)|^p}{|x' - y'|^{p+2k-N-\epsilon}} dx' dy'.$$

The estimates (2.8), (2.9), (2.10) and (2.12) imply the assertion of the lemma. \square

Again, it is not difficult to prove the following more general statement:

Theorem 2.11. *Let $N \geq 2$, $k - N < \epsilon < p + k - N$ and let $(\Omega, M) \in B(k, N)$. Then there exists a unique bounded linear operator*

$$T: W^{1,p}(\Omega, d^\epsilon) \rightarrow W^{1-\frac{N-k+\epsilon}{p}, p}(M)$$

such that

$$Tu = u|_M$$

for all $u \in C^\infty(\bar{\Omega})$.

3. INVERSE THEOREMS

Let $N \geq 2$ and let k be fixed, $1 \leq k \leq N - 1$. For $x \in (x_1, \dots, x_N) \in \mathbf{R}^N$ we shall write $x = (x', x'')$, $x' = (x_1, \dots, x_k)$, $x'' = (x_{k+1}, \dots, x_N)$. Let Φ be a function of $C^\infty(\mathbf{R}^k)$ such that $\Phi(x') = 0$ for $|x'| \geq 1$, $\Phi(x') > 0$ for $|x'| < 1$ and $\int_{|x'| \leq 1} \Phi(x') dx' = 1$. We define an operator R by

$$(3.1) \quad Ru(x', x'') = |x''|^{-k} \int_{|x'-t'| \leq |x''|} \Phi\left(\frac{x' - t'}{|x''|}\right) u(t') dt', \quad u \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbf{R}^k).$$

Lemma 3.1. *Let $k - N < \epsilon < p + k - N$ and $K > 0$. Let $Q^k = (-K, K)^k$, $D = \{x \in \mathbf{R}^N : x = (x', x''), x' \in Q^k, |x''| \leq K - \max_{i=1, \dots, k} |x_i|, x_j \geq 0 \text{ for } k+1, \dots, N\}$ and $M = \overline{Q^k}$.*

Then the operator R is a bounded linear mapping of $W^{1-\frac{N-k+\epsilon}{p}, p}(Q^k)$ in $W^{1,p}(D, d^\epsilon)$.

P r o o f. The linearity of the operator R is obvious. Now, we shall follow the idea of the proof of Lemma 6.9.1 in [3]. Without loss of generality we may assume $K = 1$. From (3.1) we get

$$(3.2) \quad D_i Ru(x', x'') = \frac{1}{|x''|^{k+1}} \int_{|x'-t'| < |x''|} D_i \Phi\left(\frac{x' - t'}{|x''|}\right) u(t') dt', \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, k,$$

$$(3.3) \quad D_i R u(x', x'') = \frac{1}{|x''|^{k+1}} \int_{|x'-t'| \leq |x''|} \left(-\frac{k x_i}{|x''|} \Phi\left(\frac{x' - t'}{|x''|}\right) - \sum_{j=1}^k D_j \Phi\left(\frac{x' - t'}{|x''|}\right) \frac{(x_j - t_j)x_i}{|x''|^2} \right) u(t') dt',$$

$$i = k + 1, \dots, N.$$

If we take $u \equiv 1$ in (3.1) we get $R u(x', x'') = 1$ and from (3.2) and (3.3) we obtain

$$0 = \frac{1}{|x''|^{k+1}} \int_{|x'-t'| \leq |x''|} D_i \Phi\left(\frac{x' - t'}{|x''|}\right) dt', \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, k,$$

$$0 = \frac{1}{|x''|^{k+1}} \int_{|x'-t'| \leq |x''|} \left(-\frac{k x_i}{|x''|} \Phi\left(\frac{x' - t'}{|x''|}\right) - \sum_{j=1}^k D_j \Phi\left(\frac{x' - t'}{|x''|}\right) \frac{(x_j - t_j)x_i}{|x''|^2} \right) dt',$$

$$i = k + 1, \dots, N.$$

Thus, (3.2) and (3.3) can be rewritten in the following way:

$$D_i R u(x', x'') = \frac{1}{|x''|^{k+1}} \int_{|x'-t'| \leq |x''|} D_i \Phi\left(\frac{x' - t'}{|x''|}\right) (u(t') - u(x')) dt',$$

$$i = 1, \dots, k,$$

$$D_i R u(x', x'') = \frac{1}{|x''|^{k+1}} \int_{|x'-t'| \leq |x''|} \left(-\frac{k x_i}{|x''|} \Phi\left(\frac{x' - t'}{|x''|}\right) - \sum_{j=1}^k D_j \Phi\left(\frac{x' - t'}{|x''|}\right) \frac{(x_j - t_j)x_i}{|x''|^2} \right) (u(t') - u(x')) dt',$$

$$i = k + 1, \dots, N.$$

We shall estimate $R u$ and $D_i R u$ in the norm of $L^p(D, d^\varepsilon)$. Note that $d(x) = |x''|$ for $x \in D$.

Let us estimate $I_0 = \|R u\|_{L^p(D, d^\varepsilon)}$:

We put $b(x') = 1 - \max_{i=1, \dots, k} |x_i|$. According to (3.1), we have

$$I_0 = \int_{Q^k} \int_{|x''| < b(x')} \left| \frac{1}{|x''|^k} \int_{|x'-t'| \leq |x''|} \Phi\left(\frac{x' - t'}{|x''|}\right) u(t') dt' \right|^p |x''|^\varepsilon dx'' dx'.$$

Using the substitution $s' = \frac{x' - t'}{|x''|}$, the Hölder inequality, the spherical coordinates for x'' and the Fubini theorem we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} I_0 &= \int_{Q^k} \left(\int_{|x''| < b(x')} \left| \int_{|s'| \leq 1} \Phi(s') u(x' - s' |x''|) ds' \right|^p |x''|^\epsilon dx'' \right) dx' \\ &\leq c_1 \int_{Q^k} \int_{0 < r < b(x')} \int_{|s'| \leq 1} |u(x' - s' r)|^p ds' r^{N-k-1+\epsilon} dr dx' \\ &= c_1 \int_{|s'| \leq 1} \int_0^1 \int_{(r-1, 1-r)^k} |u(x' - s' r)|^p dx' r^{N-k-1+\epsilon} dr ds'. \end{aligned}$$

Now we use the substitution $y' = x' - s' r$. Obviously, $|y'| - |s'| r \leq |y' + s' r| = |x'| \leq 1 - r$, and so $|y'| \leq 1 - r(1 - |s'|) \leq 1$.

Increasing the integration domain we obtain

$$I_0 \leq c_1 \int_{|s'| \leq 1} \int_0^1 \int_{|y'| \leq 1} |u(y')|^p dy' r^{N-k-1+\epsilon} dr ds' \leq c_2 \|u\|_{L^p(Q^k)}^p,$$

where $c_2 = c_1 \int_{|s'| \leq 1} ds' \int_0^1 r^{N-k-1+\epsilon} dr$.

To estimate $I_i = \|D_i Ru\|_{L^p(D, d^\epsilon)}^p$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$ we proceed in the same way as in the previous case. We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} I_i &\leq c_3 \int_{Q^k} \int_{0 < r < b(x')} \int_{|s'| \leq 1} \frac{|u(x' - s' r) - u(x')|^p}{r^p} r^{N-k-1+\epsilon} ds' dr dx' \\ &\leq c_3 \int_{Q^k} \int_{|t' - x'| \leq b(x')} \frac{|u(t') - u(x')|^p}{|t' - x'|^{p+2k-N-\epsilon}} \int_{|t' - x'|}^{b(x')} \frac{|t' - x'|^{p+2k-N-\epsilon}}{r^{p+2k-N-\epsilon-1}} dr dt' dx'. \end{aligned}$$

Direct calculation yields

$$\int_{|t' - x'|}^{b(x')} \frac{|t' - x'|^{p+2k-N-\epsilon}}{r^{p+2k-N-\epsilon-1}} dr \leq \frac{1}{p+2k-N-\epsilon}.$$

Since $\{t' : |x' - t'| \leq 1 - \max_{i=1,\dots,k} |x_i|\} \subset Q^k$, we have

$$I_i \leq c_4 \int_{Q^k} \int_{Q^k} \frac{|u(t') - u(x')|^p}{|t' - x'|^{p+2k-N-\epsilon}} dt' dx' \leq c_4 \|u\|_{W^{1-\frac{N-k-\epsilon}{p}, p}(Q^k)}^p,$$

which completes the proof. \square

Lemma 3.2. Let $G \subset \mathbf{R}^k$ have a Lipschitz boundary, i.e. $G \in C^{0,1}$ in the sense of Definition 5.5.6 in [3], $s \in \mathbf{R}$, $0 < s < 1$. Then there exists a bounded linear operator

$$S: W^{s,p}(G) \rightarrow W^{s,p}(\mathbf{R}^k)$$

such that

$$Su = u \quad \text{on } \Omega.$$

P r o o f. We shall write $x = (x', x_k)$ where $x' = (x_1, \dots, x_{k-1})$. Let $Q_k^- = \{x = (x', x_k), x' \in Q_{k-1}, -1 < x_k < 0\}$. Define an extension operator S_0 in the following way:

$$(S_0 u)(x', x_k) = \begin{cases} u(x', x_k) & \text{for } x \in Q_k \\ u(x', -x_k) & \text{for } x \in Q_k^-. \end{cases}$$

It is not difficult to prove that S_0 is a bounded linear operator from $W^{s,p}(Q_k)$ into $W^{s,p}(Q_k \cup Q_k^-)$.

Using the local description of ∂G , the corresponding partition of unity and the standard technique we obtain the assertion of the lemma. \square

Lemma 3.3. Let $N \geq 2$, $k - N < \varepsilon < p + k - N$ and let $M = [0, 1]^k$. Then there exists a bounded linear operator

$$R_0: W^{1-\frac{N-k+\varepsilon}{p},p}(M) \rightarrow W^{1,p}(Q_N, d^\varepsilon)$$

such that

$$T(R_0 u) = u.$$

P r o o f. Take K sufficiently large so that $D \supset Q_N$ where D is the domain defined in Lemma 3.1. Set $Q^k = [-K, K]^k$. Let S be the operator from Lemma 3.2 and R the operator from Lemma 3.1 (with $G = Q^k$ and $s = 1 - \frac{N-k+\varepsilon}{p}$). Let $u \in W^{1-\frac{N-k+\varepsilon}{p},p}(M)$. Obviously,

$$\|RSu|W^{1,p}(Q_N, d^\varepsilon)\| \leq c_1 \|u|W^{1-\frac{N-k+\varepsilon}{p},p}(M)\|.$$

It remains to prove that $T(RSu) = u$. Note that $Su \in L^p(Q^k)$ and $Su = u$ on M . Put

$$I(x'') = \int_M |(RSu)(x', x'') - u(x')|^p dx'.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} I(x'') &= \int_M \left| \int_{|s'|<1} \Phi(s') ((Su)(x' - s'|x''|) - u(x')) ds' \right|^p dx' \\ &\leq c_2 \int_{|s'|<1} \int_M |(Su)(x' - s'|x''|) - (Su)(x')|^p dx' ds'. \end{aligned}$$

The p -mean continuity of Su yields

$$\lim_{|x''| \rightarrow 0} I(x'') = 0.$$

By virtue of the trivial imbedding

$$L^p(M) \hookrightarrow L^1(M)$$

we have

$$(3.4) \quad \lim_{|x''| \rightarrow 0} \|(RSu)(x', x'') - u(x')\|_{L^1(M)} = 0.$$

Since $RSu \in W^{1,p}(D, d^\epsilon)$, by Theorem 2.11 the trace of RSu on M exists. Denote this trace by v . We shall prove that

$$\lim_{|x''| \rightarrow 0} \|(RSu)(x', x'') - v(x'')\|_{L^1(M)} = 0.$$

Let $u_n \in C^\infty(\overline{Q}_N)$, $u_n \rightarrow RSu$ in $W^{1,p}(Q_N, d^\epsilon)$. We can write

$$\begin{aligned} (3.5) \quad \int_M |(RSu)(x', x'') - v(x')| dx' &\leq \int_M |(RSu)(x', x'') - (RSu_n)(x', x'')| dx' \\ &+ \int_M |(RSu_n)(x', x'') - u_n(x', 0)| dx' + \int_M |u_n(x', 0) - v(x')| dx' \\ &= J_1(n, x'') + J_2(n, x'') + J_3(n). \end{aligned}$$

Set $\sigma_{N-k} = \frac{1}{N-k} s_{N-k}$ where s_{N-k} is the $(N-k-1)$ -dimensional Hausdorff measure of the $(N-k)$ -dimensional unit sphere. For simplicity we write f_n instead of $RSu - RSu_n$. From the definition of the operator RS we have $f_n(x', x'_1) = f_n(x', x'_2)$ for $|x'_1| = |x'_2|$. Thus, we can rewrite the integral $J_1(u, x'')$ in the following way:

$$\begin{aligned} (3.6) \quad J_1(u, x'') &= \int_M |f_n(x', x'')| dx' \\ &= \frac{1}{\sigma_{N-k} |x''|^{N-k-1}} \int_M \int_{|y''|=|x''|} |f_n(x', y'')| dx' dy''. \end{aligned}$$

Put

$$M(|x''|) = \{y'': |y''| < |x''|, y_i > 0 \text{ for } i = k+1, \dots, N\}.$$

Since the traces of $W^{1,1}(\Omega)$ are in $L^1(\partial\Omega)$, there exists a constant $c_3 > 0$ independent of $|x''|$ and such that

$$\begin{aligned} J_1(u, x'') &\leq \frac{c_3}{\sigma_{N-k}|x''|^{N-k-1}} \int_M \int_{M(|x''|)} \left(|f_n(x', y'')| \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{i=1}^N |D_i f_n(x', y'')| \right) dx' dy''. \end{aligned}$$

We use (3.5), (3.6), the Hölder inequality and the general cylindrical coordinates to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} J_1(n, x'') &\leq c_4 \int_M \int_{M(|x''|)} \left((|f_n(x', y'')|^p \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{i=1}^N |D_i f_n(x', y'')|^p) |y''|^\epsilon dx' dy'' \right)^{1/p} \cdot \left(\int_0^{|x''|} r^{N-k-1-\frac{\epsilon p'}{p}} dr \right)^{1/p'} \\ &\leq c_5 |x''|^{1-\frac{N-k+\epsilon}{p}} \|f_n|W^{1,p}(Q_N, d^\epsilon)\|^p. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$(3.7) \quad J_1(n, x'') \leq c_5 |x''|^{1-\frac{N-k+\epsilon}{p}} \|RSu - RSu_n|W^{1,p}(Q_N, d^\epsilon)\|.$$

Note that evidently $1 - \frac{N-k+\epsilon}{p} > 0$.

Let $\delta > 0$ be a fixed real number. Then for every n there exists $r(n) > 0$ such that

$$(3.8) \quad J_2(n, x'') = \int_M |(RSu_n)(x', x'') - u_n(x', 0)| dx' < \delta/3 \quad \text{for } |x''| < r(n).$$

The proof is analogous to the estimate of $I(x'')$.

Finally, from the trivial imbedding

$$W^{1,p}(Q_N, d^\epsilon) \hookrightarrow W^{1,1}(Q_N)$$

and from Lemma 2.2 we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} J_3(n) = 0.$$

Now, fix n_0 such that $J_3(n_0) < \delta/3$. From (3.8) we can find a corresponding number $r(n_0)$ such that $J_2(n_0, x'') < \delta/3$ for $|x''| < r(n_0)$. According to (3.7) there exists a number $r_1 > 0$ such that

$$J_1(n_0, x'') < \delta/3 \quad \text{for} \quad |x''| < r_1.$$

Let $r = \min(r_1, r(n_0))$.

The estimate (3.5) implies

$$J(x'') < \delta \quad \text{for} \quad |x''| < r.$$

Therefore,

$$(3.9) \quad \lim_{|x''| \rightarrow 0} J(x'') = 0.$$

By (3.4) and (3.9) we have $u = v$ in $L^1(M)$. Setting $R_0 = RS$ we complete the proof. \square

Theorem 3.4. *Let $N \geq 2$, $1 \leq k \leq N - 1$, $\varepsilon \in \mathbf{R}$, $k - N < \varepsilon < p + k - N$ and let $(\Omega, M) \in B(k, N)$. Then there exists a bounded linear operator*

$$R: W^{1-\frac{N-k+\varepsilon}{p}, p}(M) \rightarrow W^{1,p}(\Omega, d^\varepsilon)$$

such that

$$T(Ru) = u.$$

P r o o f. The theorem is an easy consequence of Lemma 3.3. \square

References

- [1] Edmunds D. E., Kufner A., Rákosník J.: Embeddings of Sobolev spaces with weights of power type, Z. Anal. Anwend. 4 (1985), 25–34.
- [2] Opic B., Kufner A.: Hardy-type inequalities, Pitman Research Notes Math. Series 219,, Longman Scientific and Technical, 1990.
- [3] Kufner A., Fučík S., John O.: Function Spaces, Academia, Prague, 1977.
- [4] Rákosník J.: On embeddings and traces in Sobolev spaces with weights of power type, Approximation and Functional Spaces, Banach Center Publication, 22, PWN – Polish Scientific Publishers, Warsaw, 1989, pp. 331–339.

Author's address: Faculty of Civil Engineering, Czech Technical University, Thákurova 7, 166 29 Praha 6, Czech Republic.