

Chebyshev Approximations for Dawson's Integral

By W. J. Cody*, Kathleen A. Paciorek* and Henry C. Thacher, Jr.**

Abstract. Rational Chebyshev approximations to Dawson's integral are presented in well-conditioned forms for $|x| \leq 2.5$, $2.5 \leq |x| \leq 3.5$, $3.5 \leq |x| \leq 5.0$ and $5.0 \leq |x|$. Maximal relative errors range down to between 2×10^{-20} and 7×10^{-22} .

1. Introduction. Dawson's integral,

$$(1.1) \quad F(x) \equiv e^{-x^2} \int_0^x e^{t^2} dt,$$

appears in a variety of applications including spectroscopy, heat conduction, and electrical oscillations in certain special vacuum tubes. It is closely related to the (modified) complex error function,

$$(1.2) \quad w(z) \equiv e^{-z^2} \operatorname{erfc}(-iz) = e^{-z^2} \left\{ 1 + \frac{2i}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^z e^{t^2} dt \right\} = e^{-z^2} + \frac{2i}{\sqrt{\pi}} F(z).$$

Because of its many applications this latter function has been extensively studied and tabulated. Its more important mathematical properties are included in Gautschi's [1] summary, while Armstrong [2] reviews both its applications and some computational methods. Many schemes for computing $w(z)$ are less effective near the real axis, and algorithms for computation there frequently begin with a value of $F(x)$.

Extensive tabulations of Dawson's integral exist. Perhaps the most accurate is that of Lohmander and Rittsten [3], which gives 10D values for much of the range, and selected values to 20D. More recently, Hummer [4] has published 18D values of the coefficients in the expansion

$$(1.3) \quad F(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{33} a_k T_{2k+1}(x/5), \quad |x| \leq 5,$$

where $T_{2k+1}(s)$ is the Chebyshev polynomial of the first kind of degree $2k + 1$.

In this paper we present a set of nearly-best rational approximations to $F(x)$ for all real x and with relative accuracies up to 22S. These approximations are not only more accurate than Hummer's, but may be made the basis of significantly faster subroutines.

2. Functional Properties. Dawson's integral is a special case of the confluent hypergeometric function:

Received January 9, 1969.

AMS Subject Classifications. Primary 6520, 6525; Secondary 4117, 4140, 3317, 3345.

Key Words and Phrases. Rational Chebyshev approximations, Dawson's integral, complex error function.

* Work performed under the auspices of the U. S. Atomic Energy Commission.

** Work supported in part by the U. S. Atomic Energy Commission and in part by the University of Notre Dame.

$$(2.1) \quad F(z) = {}_1F_1(1; 3/2; -z^2).$$

It follows that Dawson’s integral is an antisymmetric function, and that values for all real x can be obtained from computations valid for $[0, \infty)$.

Differentiating (1.1) we find that for all complex z

$$(2.2) \quad F'(z) = -2zF(z) + 1,$$

while for $k \geq 1$ the derivatives can be shown to obey the recurrence

$$(2.3) \quad F^{(k+1)}(z) + 2zF^{(k)}(z) + 2kF^{(k-1)}(z) = 0.$$

These results allow the computation of the Taylor series expansion about any point at which $F(z)$ is known with sufficient accuracy. In particular, since $F(0) = 0$, the Maclaurin series

$$(2.4) \quad F(z) = z \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k k!}{(2k+1)!} (2z)^{2k}; \quad |z| < \infty$$

is easily obtained.

Although (2.4) converges for all finite z (hence $F(z)$ is an entire function), the ratio of successive terms is only $-2z^2/(2k+3)$. Practical convergence is thus delayed until k becomes greater than $|z^2| - 3/2$, and serious cancellation errors may occur in summing (2.4) for even moderately large z . A more efficient expansion of $F(z)$ in the neighborhood of the origin is the continued fraction

$$(2.5) \quad F(z) = \frac{z}{1+} \frac{2z^2/3}{1-} \frac{4z^2/15}{1+} \frac{6z^2/35}{1-} \dots + \frac{(-1)^{k+1}(2kz^2)/(2k-1)(2k+1)}{1+\dots},$$

which can be obtained either by applying the QD algorithm to (2.4), or as a special case of the continued fraction for $1/{}_1F_1(1; \gamma; x)$ given by Perron [5, p. 123, Eq. (8)]. Thacher [6] shows that (2.5) converges throughout the complex plane, is uniformly more efficient than (2.4) and is numerically stable in the first octant of the complex plane. For large $|z|$, however, convergence is slow, and some 70 convergents are needed to attain 25D accuracy at $z = 6$.

Thus, for large z , expansions about the point at ∞ are desirable. By deforming the path in the integral representation of the (modified) complex error function [1, Eq. 7.1.4], it can be shown that $F(x)$ can be represented as the Cauchy principal value

$$(2.6) \quad F(x) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}} \mathcal{P} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-t^2}}{x-t} dt.$$

Replacing $1/(x-t)$ by the identity

$$(2.7) \quad \frac{1}{x-t} = \frac{1}{x} \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \left(\frac{t}{x}\right)^k + \frac{(t/x)^n}{1-t/x} \right\}$$

and integrating, we have

$$(2.8) \quad F(x) = \frac{1}{2x} \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^{[(n-1)/2]} \frac{\Gamma(k+\frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})} x^{-2k} + \frac{x^{-n}}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})} \mathcal{P} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{t^n e^{-t^2}}{1-t/x} dt \right\},$$

where $[x]$ is the greatest integer contained in x . Equation (2.8) is the familiar

asymptotic series with two different expressions for the remainder, depending on whether n is even or odd. (We are indebted to the referee for pointing out that Stieltjes [7] gives a similar remainder term for n even.) The development of recurrences and converging factors does not seem worthwhile in view of the good accuracy of (2.8) (almost 29D for $x = 8$).

TABLE I. $\epsilon_{2m} = -100 \log_{10} \max \left| \frac{F(x) - F_{\ell m}(x)}{F(x)} \right|$

$0 \leq x \leq 2.5$									
$n \setminus m$	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
0	33	73	124	182	246	316	391	471	
1	126*	185	251	323	399	480	565		
2	209	284	363	447	534	624			
3	259	378	469*	563	660				
4		493	573	677	782	889			
5		593	653	790	903*				
6						1144*			
7							1398		
8								1663*	
9									1939*

$2.5 \leq x \leq 3.5$									
$n \setminus m$	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
0	279	417 [†]	453	596 [†]	626	718	828	868	963
1	384*	450		624	626	792	862		
2		524	724 [†]	727	883	930	1019		
3			727		928				
4				964*	1020				
5					1104*	1245			
6						1338	1551	1574	
7							1574*		
8								1839*	
9									2112*

$3.5 \leq x \leq 5.0$									
$n \setminus m$	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
0	353	481	596	767 [†]	768	865	945	1024	1102
1	507*	652	709	768		925	997		
2		707		847	976	1040	1135		
3			860*	995	1033	1098	1195	1296	
4				1032					
5					1242*				
6						1359			
7							1576*		
8								1751*	
9									1973*

$5.0 \leq x $									
$n \setminus m$	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
0	521	659	783	893	991	1076	1154	1232	1327
1	707*	838	952	1050	1138	1229	1330	1337	
2	858	978	1080	1175	1296	1312	1337		
3	973		1197*	1302	1312				
4	1068			1311					
5					1449*				
6						1571			
7							1685*		
8								1802*	
9									1912*

[†] Nonstandard error curve

* Coefficients for these approximations only are given in Tables II-V.

The continued fraction

$$(2.9) \quad F(x) \sim \frac{x}{2} \left\{ \frac{1}{x^2-1} \frac{1/2}{x^2-1} \frac{2/2}{x^2-1} \dots - \frac{2k/2}{x^2-1} \frac{(2k+1)/2}{1-} \dots \right\}$$

corresponding to (2.8) diverges for all real x , but appropriate convergents can be profitably used for computation. Gautschi [9] has recently observed that it is in fact asymptotic in the sense of Poincaré.

TABLE II. $F(x) \approx x \frac{\sum_{j=0}^n p_j x^{2j}}{\sum_{j=0}^n q_j x^{2j}} \quad |x| \leq 2.5$

n	j	p_j				q_j					
1	0	1.145				1.085					
	1	-8.426				1.000					
3	0	4.76800	8			4.76791	2				
	1	-4.30297	8			2.75055	1				
	2	1.36584	5			6.90076	0				
	3	-3.56642	4			1.00000	0				
5	0	1.08326	55887	3		1.08326	55877	2			
	1	-1.28405	83227	9		5.93771	27693	5			
	2	4.19672	97228	0		1.48943	55724	2			
	3	-1.51982	15242	2		2.19728	33183	3			
	4	1.67795	11618	9		1.99422	33636	4			
	5	-2.38594	56569	6		1.00000	00000	0			
6	0	2.31569	75201	341		2.31569	75201	425			
	1	-2.91794	64300	780		1.25200	37031	851			
	2	9.66963	98191	665		3.13846	20138	163			
	3	-4.35011	60207	595		4.74470	98440	662			
	4	5.46161	22556	699		4.66849	06545	115			
	5	-8.54106	81195	954		2.93919	95612	556			
	6	2.09468	35103	886		1.00000	00000	000			
8	0	1.73971	38358	72305	762	1.73971	38358	72305	803		
	1	-2.35903	54309	49378	422	9.23905	68081	99581	718		
	2	7.94595	11256	26974	712	2.31472	94223	70433	794		
	3	-4.49438	95997	95344	826	3.59959	82595	90670	414		
	4	6.26435	22480	53304	262	3.83498	04512	71685	588		
	5	-1.64294	23044	87861	388	2.90022	12938	95164	274		
	6	1.10415	15859	64697	196	1.54480	44953	25198	331		
	7	-1.23806	01126	69044	439	5.42357	27435	06117	292		
	8	1.70141	56251	64813	150	1.00000	00000	00000	000		
9	0	5.83917	15512	36746	64696	3	5.83917	15512	36746	64671	7
	1	-8.10874	57862	86504	21438	5	3.08190	64555	29180	7C960	1
	2	2.74522	24075	69207	53304	4	7.72014	13077	99080	38383	4
	3	-1.66059	10282	27997	89467	4	1.21117	71647	64931	95888	2
	4	2.37774	44786	51142	48955	9	1.32000	94110	32992	82644	7
	5	-7.23343	62824	52533	46646	8	1.04469	18186	92075	69871	5
	6	5.42029	78360	01654	65492	4	6.06500	93218	89635	57172	5
	7	-7.87402	38311	16328	77922	8	2.52420	27853	26999	70863	5
	8	2.44411	40962	76240	45913	0	6.96419	57634	28417	04830	2
	9	-1.57085	62593	09369	50290	0	1.00000	00000	00000	00000	0

3. Generation of the Approximations. The approximation forms and corresponding intervals used are

$$\begin{aligned}
 F_{lm}(x) &= xR_{lm}(x^2), & |x| \leq 2.5 \\
 (3.1) \quad &= \frac{1}{x} R_{lm}(1/x^2), & 2.5 \leq |x| \leq 3.5; 3.5 \leq |x| \leq 5.0 \\
 &= \frac{1}{2x} \left[1 + \frac{1}{x^2} R(1/x^2) \right], & 5.0 \leq |x|
 \end{aligned}$$

where the $R_{lm}(z)$ are rational functions of degree l in the numerator and m in the

TABLE III. $F(x) \approx \frac{1}{x} \left\{ \alpha_0 + \frac{\beta_0}{\alpha_1 + x^2} + \dots + \frac{\beta_{n-1}}{\alpha_n + x^2} \right\}$, $2.5 \leq |x| \leq 3.5$

n	j	α_j			β_j						
1	0	4.99160		(-C1)	2.51472		(-O1)				
	1	-1.96079		(OO)							
4	0	5.00652	75443	7	(-O1)	2.14221	96577	8	(-O1)		
	1	-4.91605	36574	1	(OO)	3.73902	76351	2	(O1)		
	2	4.07068	10166	7	(OO)	1.38216	34118	2	(O1)		
	3	-1.26817	90159	8	(O1)	8.87619	38676	4	(O1)		
	4	3.47393	74258	6	(OO)						
5	0	5.01401	06611	704	(-C1)	1.88975	53014	354	(-O1)		
	1	-7.44990	50579	364	(OO)	7.02049	80729	194	(O1)		
	2	7.50778	16490	106	(OO)	4.18218	06337	830	(O1)		
	3	-2.66290	01073	842	(O1)	3.73430	84728	334	(O1)		
	4	3.09840	87863	402	(O1)	1.25993	23546	764	(O3)		
	5	-4.08473	91212	716	(O1)						
7	0	5.00236	89608	86678	82	(-O1)	2.26064	66607	43091	60	(-O1)
	1	-5.97678	08682	34888	63	(OO)	1.15840	29255	18881	28	(O2)
	2	1.52644	09962	36985	89	(O1)	7.29177	55641	55315	00	(O1)
	3	-8.89106	47974	78123	30	(OO)	1.12461	66202	45754	35	(O2)
	4	-7.57931	91808	93692	74	(-O2)	7.21193	21760	02290	59	(OO)
	5	-4.00000	89364	35497	21	(O1)	1.24486	78826	22516	16	(O3)
	6	2.93365	74739	54485	30	(O1)	-6.73106	06974	48133	14	(-O1)
	7	-1.50695	65118	71605	55	(OO)					
8	0	4.99753	72322	38672	65701	(-O1)	2.82505	12959	56025	34507	(-O1)
	1	5.14905	19894	61839	18332	(OO)	-1.71845	97911	60867	73018	(O2)
	2	6.76056	09265	22734	73204	(OO)	2.85094	29523	41035	37104	(O2)
	3	5.31365	22629	36985	87395	(OO)	5.71551	83515	55917	20071	(O1)
	4	-1.46536	07407	01534	12337	(O1)	2.09472	56189	26938	46157	(O2)
	5	4.70341	81870	14092	00108	(OO)	-2.57668	08798	49772	32129	(OO)
	6	9.69230	82777	47642	74334	(O1)	1.05565	30121	09847	04166	(O4)
	7	-1.07998	24592	49835	68179	(O2)	4.65888	43814	36620	82502	(-O1)
	8	-1.66279	86292	29032	28978	(OO)					
9	0	5.00260	18362	20279	67838	339(-O1)	2.06522	69153	96421	05009	383(-O1)
	1	-1.73717	17784	36727	91148	539(O1)	9.51190	92396	03814	58746	503(O2)
	2	4.65842	08794	00152	95572	731(O1)	1.70641	26974	52362	27356	448(O2)
	3	-7.33080	08989	64028	70749	508(OO)	3.02890	11061	01226	63923	423(O2)
	4	4.56604	25072	51633	10121	912(OO)	4.06209	74221	89356	89922	236(O1)
	5	-2.27571	82952	50758	91337	450(O1)	4.53642	11110	25777	27152	835(O2)
	6	1.25808	70373	89512	51884	757(O1)	-7.08465	68667	65730	00364	345(OO)
	7	2.61935	63126	88259	92834	528(O1)	1.10067	08103	45155	32890	922(O3)
	8	-3.79258	97727	10428	80785	664(O1)	1.82180	09331	35144	78378	375(OO)
	9	-1.70953	80470	08554	94930	087(OO)					

denominator. Experiences with other choices of intervals and forms closely paralleled previous experiences in approximating

$$(3.2) \quad \text{Ei}(v) = - \int_{-\infty}^v \frac{e^t}{t} dt$$

[8], including the existence of “barriers” and entire counter-diagonals of cases in the Walsh array with nonstandard error curves. Aside from the form in the first interval, the final choice of forms and intervals was achieved by analogy with the $Ei(x)$ case.

TABLE IV. $F(x) = \frac{1}{x}(\alpha_0 + \frac{\beta_0}{\alpha_1 + x^2} + \dots + \frac{\beta_{n-1}}{\alpha_n + x^2})$, $3.5 \leq |x| \leq 5.0$

n	j	α_j		β_j									
1	0	5.00221	90	(-01)	2.40464	13	(-01)						
	1	-1.99531	75	(00)									
3	0	5.00009	65199	(-01)	2.49246	32421	(-01)						
	1	-1.58539	35006	(00)	-5.37142	72981	(-01)						
	2	-1.03850	24821	(01)	1.77617	78077	(01)						
	3	-4.10832	33770	(00)									
5	0	5.00001	53840	8193	(-01)	2.49811	16284	5499	(-01)				
	1	-1.53672	06927	1915	(00)	-6.53419	35986	0764	(-01)				
	2	-1.77068	69371	7670	(01)	2.30866	41097	6332	(02)				
	3	7.49584	01627	8357	(00)	-2.29875	84192	8600	(00)				
	4	4.02187	49020	5698	(01)	2.53388	00696	3558	(03)				
	5	-5.93915	91850	0315	(01)								
7	0	4.99999	90270	50535	94	(-01)	2.50011	45961	18389	42	(-01)		
	1	-1.49838	04203	66907	23	(00)	-1.48715	81178	71947	48	(00)		
	2	-4.98544	80298	66076	69	(00)	3.30707	72467	61143	70	(01)		
	3	5.06460	15374	22307	72	(00)	1.46515	16778	31092	86	(02)		
	4	-1.50507	70349	66919	57	(01)	7.51701	27774	40669	33	(01)		
	5	-9.16804	87981	35517	10	(00)	2.56105	72234	22263	53	(01)		
	6	-2.66167	67489	63992	81	(01)	2.87776	12297	31873	57	(02)		
	7	4.76405	64527	32287	81	(00)							
8	0	5.00000	24559	63383	2639	(-01)	2.49955	21697	80615	1194	(-01)		
	1	-1.51367	66880	71179	3746	(00)	-9.52887	96162	11405	9108	(-01)		
	2	-1.64140	11434	80851	0959	(01)	2.82166	88221	34411	2618	(02)		
	3	1.25225	24765	67802	1319	(01)	2.12179	97847	65171	2123	(01)		
	4	-3.07219	08168	86247	9422	(01)	6.12148	21935	89774	4415	(02)		
	5	1.28215	21658	43074	6296	(01)	-1.19322	18919	12575	0535	(01)		
	6	4.84015	36834	58465	7257	(00)	3.42841	52809	32221	0445	(02)		
	7	-2.73122	93682	83313	8394	(01)	3.88492	00485	30068	5817	(00)		
	8	-5.44601	26093	27636	7554	(00)							
9	0	4.99999	81092	48588	24981	0	(-01)	2.50041	49236	99223	81760	6	(-01)
	1	-1.48432	34182	33439	65307	5	(00)	-2.31251	57538	51451	43070	0	(00)
	2	7.50964	45983	89196	12289	4	(00)	-6.88024	95250	45122	54535	0	(01)
	3	-3.35044	14982	05924	49071	5	(01)	1.24018	50000	99171	63022	7	(03)
	4	2.69790	58673	54676	49968	7	(01)	-9.18871	38529	32158	73406	3	(00)
	5	4.84507	26508	14914	52130	0	(01)	3.48817	75882	22863	53588	2	(03)
	6	-6.68407	24033	76967	56837	9	(01)	1.40238	37312	61493	85227	7	(01)
	7	-7.36315	66912	68305	26753	7	(00)	9.98607	19803	94520	81913	3	(01)
	8	-1.86647	12333	84938	52581	7	(01)	4.47820	90802	59717	49851	5	(01)
	9	-4.55169	50325	50948	15111	5	(00)						

With a simple change of variable in (1.1) we have

$$(3.3) \quad e^{z^2} F(z) = \int_0^{\sqrt{z}} \frac{e^y}{2\sqrt{y}} dy.$$

Comparison of (3.2) and (3.3), ignoring the difference in the lower limit of integration, indicates a similarity of the integrals involved provided $v = \sqrt{z}$, i.e., $v^2 = z$. The approximating forms and intervals for $Ei(x)$ were

$$E_{lm}(x) = \frac{e^x}{x} R_{lm}(1/x), \quad 6 \leq x \leq 12; 12 \leq x \leq 24$$

$$= \frac{e^x}{x} \left[1 + \frac{1}{x} R_{lm}(1/x) \right], \quad 24 \leq x.$$

Replacing x by x^2 everywhere in (3.4), except in the $1/x$ factor out front (because of the relationship of the denominators of the integrands in (3.2) and (3.3)), we arrive at the forms and approximate intervals of (3.1).

TABLE V. $F(x) = \frac{1}{2x}(1 + x^{-2}[\alpha_0 + \frac{\beta_0}{\alpha_1 + x^2} + \dots + \frac{\beta_{n-1}}{\alpha_n + x^2}])$, $5.0 \leq |x|$

n	j	α_j			β_j		
1	0	5.00038	1123	(-01)	7.44938	7745	(-01)
	1	-2.74862	7766	(00)			
3	0	5.00000	00167 450	(-01)	7.49999	19056 701	(-01)
	1	-2.50017	11668 562	(00)	-2.48787	65880 441	(00)
	2	-4.67312	02214 124	(00)	-4.12544	06560 831	(00)
	3	-1.11952	16423 662	(01)			
5	0	5.00000	00000 87358 0	(-01)	7.49999	99263 58122 3	(-01)
	1	-2.50000	27830 30495 0	(00)	-2.49963	00606 78980 2	(00)
	2	-4.51057	82777 83269 5	(00)	-6.58834	68001 31477 4	(00)
	3	-7.82636	28103 36344 1	(00)	-6.89636	11433 76130 9	(-01)
	4	-4.05239	81738 80339 4	(01)	5.20416	17289 69394 6	(02)
	5	4.12716	33274 69802 1	(00)			
7	0	5.00000	00000 00600 406	(-01)	7.49999	99991 99070 733	(-01)
	1	-2.50000	00485 79511 590	(00)	-2.49998	93551 22795 503	(00)
	2	-4.50052	01819 65761 489	(00)	-6.96290	12664 80073 481	(00)
	3	-6.72908	69322 16828 475	(00)	-8.06883	04211 17507 663	(00)
	4	-1.60863	57366 82904 117	(01)	2.34427	60077 33044 876	(01)
	5	-1.71955	24711 37642 621	(01)	2.30134	88351 50799 040	(01)
	6	-3.57614	99688 64747 149	(01)	2.63095	17219 54352 167	(02)
	7	-6.03624	87799 36117 815	(00)			
8	0	4.99999	99999 99950 09314	(-01)	7.50000	00000 81665 93551	(-01)
	1	-2.49999	99938 94251 97124	(00)	-2.50000	16639 46111 86828	(00)
	2	-4.49989	76775 67821 31861	(00)	-7.00937	73348 38185 24718	(00)
	3	-6.42407	78694 83867 90346	(00)	-1.60030	12838 36633 52091	(01)
	4	-5.74412	67565 44925 85234	(00)	-2.23978	04989 32484 55641	(01)
	5	-2.73184	46770 62325 14516	(01)	2.02858	16509 18903 12954	(02)
	6	-8.36640	14630 09488 18251	(00)	-7.72379	65289 21189 27712	(00)
	7	2.37388	67308 46445 74897	(01)	2.31971	95624 54408 63755	(03)
	8	-7.07853	08236 53407 93384	(01)			
9	0	5.00000	00000 00004 88400 1	(-01)	7.49999	99999 90270 92188 5	(-01)
	1	-2.50000	00008 89558 34951 8	(00)	-2.49999	97010 41844 64568 4	(00)
	2	-4.50002	29300 03555 85708 4	(00)	-6.99732	73504 15472 47160 7	(00)
	3	-6.52828	72752 69807 41589 8	(00)	-1.22097	01055 89348 38708 5	(01)
	4	-1.13867	36573 60661 02576 6	(01)	1.97325	36569 23161 83530 7	(01)
	5	-5.99085	54041 82220 02197 2	(00)	2.38210	24693 55645 47888 9	(02)
	6	-2.88301	99246 70561 05853 6	(01)	6.11539	67148 01158 46173 1	(01)
	7	-2.23787	66902 87518 86675 4	(01)	5.04198	95874 24657 52861 1	(01)
	8	-3.84043	83247 74544 53429 6	(01)	2.69382	30041 72388 16428 1	(02)
	9	-8.11753	64755 84326 85797 2	(00)			

The approximations were computed using standard versions of the Remes algorithm for rational Chebyshev approximation [10], [11] in 25S arithmetic on a CDC 3600. Function values were computed as needed using the continued fractions (2.5) and (2.9), and Taylor series expansions about $x = 5$ and $x = 7$ derived

with the aid of (2.2) and (2.3). All expansion coefficients used were derived in 40S arithmetic. The final master function routines in 25S arithmetic were extensively checked by comparing calculations based on two different methods wherever possible, and by direct comparison against calculations in 40S arithmetic. These checks indicated an accuracy of from 23S to 25S in our master routine.

4. Results. Table I lists the values of

$$\varepsilon_{lm} = -100 \log_{10} \max \left| \frac{F(x) - F_{lm}(x)}{F(x)} \right|,$$

where the maximum is taken over the appropriate interval, for the initial segments of the various L_∞ Walsh arrays. Tables II-V present coefficients for selected approximations along the main diagonals of these arrays. Each approximation listed, with the coefficients just as they appear here, was tested against the master function routines with 5000 pseudo-random arguments. In all cases the maximal error agreed in magnitude and location with the values given by the Remes algorithm.

In all intervals except the first the approximations were found to be slightly ill-conditioned when expressed as ratios of polynomials. For these intervals the approximations are presented here as well-conditioned J -fractions:

$$R_{nn}(1/x^2) = \alpha_0 + \frac{\beta_0}{\alpha_1 + x^2} + \cdots + \frac{\beta_{n-1}}{\alpha_n + x^2}.$$

The coefficients are all presented to an accuracy slightly greater than that warranted by the maximal errors in the approximations. Reasonable additional rounding will not seriously affect the overall accuracy of the approximations. Subroutines based on these coefficients and achieving essentially machine accuracy have been written for the CDC 3600 and the IBM System/360 at Argonne National Laboratory.

Argonne National Laboratory
Argonne, Illinois 60439

1. W. GAUTSCHI, "Error function and Fresnel integrals," Chapter 7 in *Handbook of Mathematical Functions, with Formulas, Graphs, and Mathematical Tables*, M. Abramowitz and I. A. Stegun (Editors), Nat. Bur. Standards Appl. Math. Series, 55, Superintendent of Documents, U. S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D. C., 1964; 3rd printing, with corrections, 1965, pp. 295-329. MR 29 #4914; MR 31 #1400.
2. B. H. ARMSTRONG, "Spectrum line profiles, the Voigt function," *J. Quant. Spectrosc. Radiat. Transfer*, v. 7, 1967, pp. 61-88.
3. B. LOHMÄNDER & S. RITTSTEN, "Table of the function $y = e^{-x^2} \cdot \int_0^x e^{t^2} dt$," *Kungl. Fysiogr. Sällsk. i. Lund Förh.*, v. 28, 1958, pp. 45-52. MR 20 #1427.
4. D. G. HUMMER, "Expansion of Dawson's function in a series of Chebyshev polynomials," *Math. Comp.*, v. 18, 1964, pp. 317-319. MR 29 #2967.
5. O. PERRON, *Die Lehre von den Kettenbrüchen*, Vol. II, 3rd ed., B. G. Teubner, Stuttgart, 1957. MR 19, 25.
6. H. C. THACHER, JR., "Computation of the complex error function by continued fractions," *Blanch Anniversary Volume*, B. Mond (Editor), Aerospace Research Laboratories, Washington, D. C., 1967, pp. 315-337. MR 35 #1423.
7. T. J. STIELTJES, *Oeuvres Complètes*, Vol. II, Noordhoff, Groningen, 1918, pp. 59-68.
8. W. J. CODY & H. C. THACHER, JR., "Chebyshev approximations for the exponential integral $Ei(x)$," *Math. Comp.*, v. 23, 1969, pp. 289-303.
9. W. GAUTSCHI, *Personal Communication*.
10. W. J. CODY & J. STOER, "Rational Chebyshev approximations using interpolation," *Numer. Math.*, v. 9, 1966, pp. 177-188.
11. W. J. CODY, W. FRASER & J. F. HART, "Rational Chebyshev approximations using linear equations," *Numer. Math.*, v. 12, 1968, pp. 242-251.