CHEMICAL COUPLING IN WOOD FIBER AND POLYMER COMPOSITES: A REVIEW OF COUPLING AGENTS AND TREATMENTS¹

John Z. Lu

Graduate Research Assistant

Qinglin Wu[†]

Assistant Professor Louisiana Forest Products Laboratory School of Forestry, Wildlife, and Fisheries Louisiana State University Agricultural Center Baton Rouge, LA 70803-6202

and

Harold S. McNabb, Jr.

Professor Department of Forestry Iowa State University Ames, IA 50011-1021

(Received November 1998)

ABSTRACT

Coupling agents in wood fiber and polymer composites (WFPC) play a very important role in improving the compatibility and adhesion between polar wood fibers and non-polar polymeric matrices. In this paper, we review coupling agents, pretreatment, and mixing technology for wood fiber and polymer currently used in the manufacture of WFPC. So far, over forty coupling agents have been used in production and research. These agents are classified as organic, inorganic, and organic-inorganic groups, among which organic agents are better than inorganic agents because of stronger interfacial adhesion. The most popular coupling agents currently being used include isocyanates, anhydrides, silanes, and anhydride-modified copolymers. Coupling agents are usually coated on the surface of wood fiber, polymer or both by compounding, blending, soaking, spraying, or other methods. Three basic processes suitable for coupling treatment are discussed: directly coating during mixing and fully or partly pretreating before mixing. The pretreatment of wood fiber and polymer by coating or grafting is the preferred method to improve the mechanical properties of WFPC.²

Keywords: Coating, coupling agents, grafting, polymer, thermoplastic matrix, WFPC, wood fiber.

INTRODUCTION

Wood fiber and polymer composites (WFPC) are normally produced by mixing wood fiber with polymer, or by adding wood fiber as filler in a polymer matrix, and pressing or molding under high pressure and temperature. Most polymers, especially thermoplastics, are non-polar (hydrophobic) substances that are not compatible with polar (hydrophilic) wood fibers and, therefore, poor adhesion between polymer and wood fiber in WFPC can result (Geottler 1983; Klason et al. 1984). In order to improve the affinity and adhesion

[†] Member of SWST.

¹ This paper (No. 98-22-0578) is published with the approval of the Director of the Louisiana Agricultural Experiment Station.

² See nomenclature following references.

Wood and Fiber Science, 32(1). 2000, pp. 88–104 © 2000 by the Society of Wood Science and Technology

between wood fibers and thermoplastic matrices in production, chemical coupling agents have been employed (Chun and Woodhams 1984; Woodhams et al. 1984; Dalväg et al. 1985; Schneider and Brebner 1985). Coupling agents are substances that are used in small quantities to treat a surface so that bonding occurs between it and other surfaces, e.g., wood and thermoplastics (Pritchard 1998).

Generally, coupling agents comprise bonding agents and surfactants (surface-active agents), including compatibilizers and dispersing agents (Stepek and Daoust 1983; Radian Corporation 1987; Clint 1998). Bonding agents act as bridges that link wood fibers and thermoplastic polymers by one or more of the following mechanisms: covalent bonding, polymer chain entanglement, and strong secondary interactions as in the case of hydrogen bonding (Raj et al. 1988; Maldas et al. 1989a). Compatibilizers are used to provide compatibility between otherwise immiscible polymers through reduction of the interfacial tension (Pritchard 1998). Some compatibilizers, such as acetic anhydride and methyl isocyanate, are monofunctional reactants. They lower the surface energy of the fiber, and make it non-polar. more similar to the plastic matrix. Some bonding agents, such as maleated polypropylene (MAPP), maleated styrene-ethylene/butylenestyrene (SEBS-MA) and styrene-maleic anhydride (SMA), also act as compatibilizers in WFPC (Oksman and Lindberg 1998; Oksman et al. 1998; Simonsen et al. 1998). Dispersing agents reduce the interfacial energy at the wood fiber-matrix interface to help uniform dispersion of wood fiber in a polymer matrix without aggregation and thereby facilitate the formation of new interfaces (Rosen 1978; Porter 1994). For example, stearic acid and its metallic salts are used to improve the dispersibility of wood fibers in the matrix. In general, compatibilizers and dispersing agents do not form strong adhesion at the fiber-matrix interface (Štepek and Daoust 1983). Thus, a functional distinction between bonding agents, compatibilizers, and dispersing agents should be noticed. In this paper, however, all bonding

agents and surfactants are lumped together as coupling agents for the purpose of the review.

With the development of coupling agents, a number of pretreatment (i.e., fiber coating and graft co-polymerization) and mixing processes for improving mechanical properties of WFPC have been introduced. For example, Youngquist and colleagues (Krzysik et al. 1990; Krzysik and Youngquist 1991) conducted successful experiments on the bonding of airformed wood fiber-polypropylene composites using MAPP as a coupling agent. They developed an excellent coating method to spray the emulsified Epolene E-43 on wood fiber before formation. As a result of these efforts, WFPC have been developed very rapidly during the last decade.

Several review articles on wood polymer composites have been published (e.g., Hamed and Coran 1978; Meyer 1981, 1982, 1984; Rowell and Konkol 1987; Schneider 1994; Youngquist 1995). These reviews cover from chemical modification and treatment of wood and plastics to production technologies and applications for various types of wood polymer composites. However, none of these reviews systematically dealt with coupling agents and treatments currently used in this field. The objective of this work is to provide a state-of-the-art review on coupling agents, pretreatment of wood fiber and polymer, and mixing technology for the manufacture of WFPC. The adhesion mechanism and coupling performance of various coupling agents will be discussed in future publications.

COUPLING AGENTS

Historical account

Bridgeford (1963) invented a method to graft olefinically unsaturated monomers onto wood fiber with a catalyst system containing ferrous cations and hydrogen peroxide to modify the compatibility between wood fiber and thermoplastic polymer. This method was further developed by other researchers (Gulina et al. 1965; Faessinger and Conte 1967; Dimov and Pavlov 1969; Kokta and Valade 1972; Hornof et al. 1976). Meyer (1968) was pos-

| | Coupling agent | Additive ^a | Reference |
|------|---|--|---|
| Orga | anic agents | | |
| 1. | Acrylates Glycidyl methacrylate (GMA) Hydroxyethyl methacrylate (HEMA) | TBPB TBPB | Takase and Shiraishi 1989 Takase and Shiraishi 1989 |
| 2. | Amides and imides N,N'-m-Phenylene bismalcicimide (BMI) | DCP | Xanthos 1983; Sain and Kokta 1994 |
| 3. | Anhydrides Acetic anhydride (AA) Alkyl succinic anhydride (ASA) Succinic anhydride (SA) Phthalic anhydride (PHA) Maleic anhydride (MA) | — BPO, pyridine — BPO or TBPB | Chtourou et al. 1992 Gatenholm et al. 1992, 1993 Rozman et al. 1994 Maldas and Kokta 1989, 1990c Maldas and Kokta 1990d, 1991a, b |
| 4. | Chlorotriazines and derivatives 2-Diallylamino 4,6-dichloro- <i>s</i> -triazine (AACA) 2-Octylamino 4,6-dichloro- <i>s</i> -triazine | BPO BPO | Zadorecki and Flodin 1985 Zadorecki and Flodin 1985 |
| | (OACA) Methacrylic acid, 3-((4,6-dichloro-s-triazine- 2-yl) amino)propyl ester (MAA-CAAPE) | BPO | Zadorecki and Flodin 1985 |
| 5. | Epoxides Butylene oxide (BO) Propylene oxide (PO) | | Rowell et al. 1982 Rowell et al. 1982 |
| 6. | Isocyanates Ethyl isocyanate (EIC) Hexamethylene diisocyanate (HMDIC) | | Raj et al. 1988; Maldas and Kokta 1991b Raj et al. 1988; Maldas and Kokta 1991b; Gatenbolm et al. 1992 |
| | Poly[ethylene(polyphenyl isocayanate)] (PEPPIC) Poly[methylene(polyphenyl isocyanate)] (PMPPIC) Toluene 2.4-dijsocyanate (TDIC) | — DCP — | Selke et al. 1990 Maldas et al. 1989a, b; Maldas and Kokta 1989, 1990a, b, 1991a; Raj et al. 1988 Raj et al. 1988: Kokta et al. 1990a |
| 7. | Organic acids Abietic acid (ABAC) Linoleic acid (LAC) | | Kokta et al. 1990b Kokta et al. 1990b |
| 8. | Monomers Acrylonitrile (AN) Butyl acrylate (BA) Epoxypropyl mechacrylate (EPMA) Methacrylic acid (MAA) Methyl methacrylate (MMA) Styrene Vinyl compounds | Vazo/ γ -ray or CS ₂ /H ₂ O ₂ /Fe ²⁺ or N ₂ /H ₂ O ₂ /(CH ₃) ₂ SO ₄ or K ₂ S ₂ O ₅ /H ₂ O ₂ | Kenaga et al. 1962; Kent et al. 1962; Ramalingam et al. 1963; Meyer 1965, 1981; Ellwood et al. 1972; Maldas et al. 1988; 1989a; Maldas and Kokta 1990d; Daneault et al. 1989; Chen et al. 1995 |
| 9. | Polymers and copolymers Ethyl/vinyl acetate (E/VAC) Maleated polyethylene (MAPE) Maleated polypropylene (MAPP) | DCP or TBPB, xylene | Dalväg et al. 1985 Sanadi et al. 1992 Dalväg et al. 1985; Kishi et al. 1988; Han et al. 1989; Takase and Shiraishi 1989; Myers et al. 1991, 1993; Olsen 1991 |

| TABLE 1 | . Co | ntinued. |
|---------|------|----------|
|---------|------|----------|

| Coupling agent | Additive ^a | Reference |
|--|--|---|
| N,N'-m-Phenylene bismaleicimide modified polypropylene (BPP) | | Sain et al. 1993 |
| Polymethacrylic acid (PMAA) | — | Liang et al. 1994 |
| Polystyrene/polymethacrylic acid (PS-PMAA) | — | Liang et al. 1994 |
| Polyvinyl acetate (PVAC) | | Liang et al. 1994 |
| Mono- and dimethylolmelamine resin (DMM) | CH_2O , CH_3OH (or C_2H_5OH) | Hua et al. 1987 |
| Phenol-formaldehyde resin (PF) | CH ₃ OH or H ₂ O | Coran and Patel 1982; Chtourou et al. 1992; Simonsen and Rials 1992, 1996 |
| Styrene-ethylene-butylene-styrene/maleic anhydride (SEBS-MA) | _ | Gatenholm et al. 1995; Hedenberg and Gatenholm 1995; Oksman et al. 1998 |
| Styrene/maleic anhydride (SMA) | _ | Simonsen et al. 1998 |
| Inorganic agents | | |
| 1. Sodium silicate (Na ₂ SiO ₃) | MA or PMPPIC | Maldas and Kokta 1990a, d |
| Organic-inorganic agents 1. Silanes | | |
| Vinyltri(2-methoxyethoxy) silane (A-172) | CCl ₄ , DCP | Beshay et al. 1985; Maldas et al. 1988, 1989a; Raj et al. 1989, 1990; Kokta et al. 1990c |
| γ-Methacryloxypropyltrimethoxy silane (A-174) | CCl ₄ , DCP, CH ₃ OH | Xanthos 1983; Beshay et al. 1985; Ba- taille et al. 1989; Maldas et al. 1989a; Raj et al. 1988, 1989; Kokta et al. 1990c |
| β-(3.4-Epoxy cyclohexyl)ethyltrimethoxy silane (A-186) | LPO or DTBPO | Kokta et al. 1990c |
| γ-Glycidoxy propyltrimethoxy silane (A-187) | LPO or DTBPO | Kokta et al. 1990c |
| γ-Aminopropyltrimethoxy silane (A-1100) | DCP or BPO, MA, <i>p</i> -xylene | Maldas et al. 1988, 1989a; Bataille et al. 1989; Raj et al. 1989; Kokta et al. 1990c |
| 2. Titanates | | |
| Titanium di(dioctylpyrophosphate)oxyacetate (KR 1388) | CH_2Cl_2 | Dalväg et al. 1985 |

^a BPO benzoyl peroxide, DCP dicumyl peroxide, LPO-lauroyl peroxide, TBPB-tert-butyl peroxide benzonate, DTBPO-di-tert-butyl peroxide.

sibly the first person who suggested using a coupling agent (which he called a crosslinking agent) to improve the mechanical properties of wood-polymer materials. Gaylord (1972) patented maleic anhydride (MA) as a coupling agent to combine cellulose and polyethylene (PE) or polyvinyl chloride (PVC) in the presence of a free radical initiator.

However, little attention had been paid to the applications of coupling agents in WFPC until the 1980s. From 1980 to 1985, a series of patents was issued for the application of isocyanate and MA coupling agents in WFPC (Coran and Patel 1982; Geottler 1983; Nakamura et al. 1983; Woodhams 1984). Xanthos (1983) introduced γ -methacryloxypropyltrimethoxy silane (A-174) and N,N'-m-phenylene dimaleimide (BMI or PDM) as coupling agents to improve the mechanical properties of wood flour and polypropylene composites. Some coupling agents, such as silane A-174 and propylene oxide (PO), were also applied in wood and plastic composites (WPC) to improve their dimensional stability (Rowell et al. 1976; Rowell and Ellis 1978; Schneider and Brebner 1985).

As pioneers in the applications of coupling agents in WFPC, Klason and coworkers made an initial study on using MA as the coupling agent in the cellulose flour and polypropylene (PP) composites (Dalväg et al. 1985). Woodhams et al. (1984) successfully introduced Epolene E-43, a kind of MAPP with low-molecular weight, as a coupling agent in thermomechanical pulp (TMP) and isotactic PP composites. These two articles have been the important references for the research on chemical coupling in WFPC.

The Kokta group in Canada made a number of investigations on isocyanate, alkoxysilane, and anhydride coupling agents. Through their efforts, poly[methylene(polyphenyl isocyanate)] (PMPPIC) has been successfully used as an important coupling agent in melt-blended composites. Kokta (1988) patented PMPPIC for cellulose fiber and PE composites. In Japan, the Shiraishi group focused on the application of MAPP with high-molecular weight (Kishi et al. 1988; Han et al. 1989). In the United States and Sweden, much work has been done on the application of MAPP and other coupling agents in the melt-blending process, such as injection molding, extrusion, and transfer molding (Myers et al. 1990, 1991, 1993; Olsen 1991; Liang et al. 1994; Gatenholm et al. 1995).

Classification and action of coupling agents

Over forty coupling agents have been used in WFPC (Table 1). Coupling agents are classified into organic, inorganic, and organic-inorganic groups. Organic agents include isocyanates, anhydrides, amides, imides, acrylates, chlorotriazines, epoxides, organic acids, monomers, polymers, and copolymers. Only a few inorganic coupling agents, such as silicates, are used in WFPC. Organic-inorganic agents include silanes and titanates.

Organic coupling agents in WFPC normally have bi- or multifunctional groups in their molecular structure. These functional groups, such as (-N=C=O) of isocyanates, $[-(CO)_2O_-]$ of maleic anhydrides, and $(-Cl_-)$ of diclorotriazine derivatives, interact with the polar groups [mainly hydroxyl groups (-OH)] of cellulose and lignin to form covalent or hydrogen bonding (Zadorecki and Flodin 1985; Raj et al. 1988; Maldas et al. 1989a; Raj and Kokta 1991; Chtourou et al. 1992). Alternatively, organic coupling agents can modify the polymer matrix by graft copolymerization, thus resulting in strong adhesion, even crosslinking, at the interface.

Inorganic coupling agents possibly act as dispersing agents to counteract the surface polarity of wood fiber and improve the compatibility between wood fiber and polymer (Dalväg et al. 1985; Maldas and Kokta 1990a, b). Organic-inorganic agents are hybrid compounds in structure. For example, titanates usually contain a titanium center and an organic part surrounding this inorganic atom. The functionality of the organic part in these agents determines their coupling effectiveness in WFPC. Organic-inorganic coupling agents are between organic and inorganic agents in function.

Anhydrides such as MA, AA, SA, and PHA are popular coupling agents in WFPC. AA, SA, and PHA have two functional groups, i.e., carboxylate groups (-COO-), which can link wood fiber through esterification or hydrogen bonding. But MA is an α , β -unsaturated carbonyl compound, containing one carbon-carbon double bond (C=C) and two carboxylate groups (-COO-). This conjugated structure greatly increases the graft reactivity of the carbon-carbon double bond on the heterocyclic ring with the polymer matrix through the conjugate addition under a radical initiator (Morrison and Boyd 1992), resulting in crosslinking or strong adhesion at the interface. However, the molecular chain of MA is much shorter than that of polymer matrix and wood fibers. This discrete nature makes MA not so effective to improve the interfacial adhesion (Maldas et al. 1988; Maldas and Kokta 1990d). Accordingly, MA is usually used to modify the polymer matrix by graft copoly-



FtG. 1. Comparison of coupling effectiveness for different isocyanate coupling agents in PVC and CTMP (aspen) composites (plot made with test data published by Kokta et al. 1990a). Coupling agent used was: 1— PMPPIC, 2—TDIC, 3—HMDIC, 4—EIC, and 5—no coupling agent (control). Concentration of coupling agents was 0.5% based on weight of the polymer matrix.

merization. The formed copolymers, e.g., MAPE, MAPP, SEBS-MA, and SMA, are used as coupling agents (Raj et al. 1990; Olsen 1991; Sanadi et al. 1992; Sain et al. 1993; Hedenberg and Gatenholm 1995; Oksman et al. 1998; Simonsen et al. 1998).

Isocyanate links wood fiber through the urethane structure (or a carbamate), which is more stable to hydrolysis than esterification (John 1982; Maldas and Kokta 1990c). Due to the difference in molecular structure, the reactivity of isocyanate decreases in the following order: PMPPIC, TDIC, HMDIC, EIC (Kokta et al. 1990a). The delocalized π -electrons of the benzene rings in PMPPIC and TDIC lead to the stronger interaction with PS and other polymer matrices compared with HMDIC and EIC without π -electrons. Moreover, the cellulose phase and the polymer phase (PS or PVC) are continuously linked by PMPPIC at the interface, while the discrete nature of TDIC, HMDIC, and EIC makes them inferior in this respect (Maldas et al. 1988). A comparison of the performance of these coupling agents is shown in Fig. 1. As shown, composites with PMPPIC as a coupling agent had the highest tensile strength, compared with those made with other types of coupling agents. Thus, PMPPIC is the best coupling agent in these isocyanates, while TDIC has better coupling effectiveness than HMDIC and EIC.

Silanes, represented as $R-Si(OR')_3$, have better performance in organic-inorganic coupling agents recently used in WFPC, because the attachment of silanes to hydroxy groups of cellulose or lignin is accomplished either directly to the alkoxy group (-OR') attached to silicon or via its hydrolyzed products (i.e., silanol) by the hydrogen bonds or ether linkage (Kokta et al. 1990c). The functional group (R-) in silanes also influences the coupling action. Silane A-172 and A-174 both contain a vinyl group; silane A-186 and A-187, an epoxy group; while silane A-1100, an amino group. When in contact with PVC, polar methacryloxy groups in silane A-174 form a polar chain that is more hydrophilic than that of A-172, resulting in poor adhesion. But for other matrices, the α , β -unsaturated carbonyl structure of acrylic groups in A-174 may help form strong adhesion, even crosslinking, at the interface. Silane A-186 and A-187 with an epoxy group link cellulose and lignin by ether linkages, whereas NH₂ groups of A-1100 offer mostly hydrogen bonding, which is a weaker force (Kokta et al. 1990c).

Dichlorotriazines and derivatives have multifunctional groups in their molecular structure. These groups have different functions in the coupling reaction (Zadorecki and Flodin 1985). On the heterocyclic ring, the reactive chlorines react with the hydroxyl group (-OH) of wood fiber and give rise to the ether linkage between the cellulose phase and the coupling agent. The electronegative nitrogen may link the hydroxyl group through hydrogen bonding. On the alkyl chain, the carbon-carbon double bonds (C=C) form covalent bonds with the polymer matrix by grafting. At the same time, the electronegative nitrogen in the amino groups and oxygen in the carboxylate groups also link the cellulose phase through hydrogen bonding.

Some thermosetting resin adhesives, such as phenol-formaldehyde resin (PF) and mono- or

dimethylolmelamine resin (DMM), have been introduced as a bonding agent in WFPC (Coran and Patel 1982; Hua et al. 1987; Simonsen and Rials 1992, 1996). PF and DMM resins can crosslink wood fibers with the methylene (-CH₂-) linkage resulting from the condensation reaction between their reactive methylol groups (-CH₂OH) and the hydroxyl groups (-OH) of wood fiber. Although these methylol groups can not react with the thermoplastic matrix, PF and DMM improve the interfacial adhesion through molecular entanglement with the matrix (Simonsen and Rials 1992, 1996).

Similar to MA, acrylic acids and methacrylates (e.g., MAA, MMA, EPMA, and GMA) also contain the α , β -unsaturated carbonyl structure, which may lead to crosslinking or strong interfacial adhesion. Organic acids such as abietic acid (ABAC) and linoleic acid (LAC) contain dienes and carboxylate groups in their molecular structure, which are helpful to form strong adhesion in the interfacial region. In addition, the reactive allylic group (- CH_{2}) in LAC might graft to the polymer matrix (Kokta et al. 1990b). Lacking chemical bonding at the interface, KR 138S and Na₂SiO₃ perform poorly in WFPC (Dalväg et al. 1985; Maldas and Kokta 1990a, b). Na₂SiO₃ is usually required to mix with organic coupling agents.

PRETREATMENT OF WOOD FIBER AND POLYMER

Pretreatment of wood fiber and polymer with coupling agents is extensively applied before mixing to improve the mechanical properties of WFPC. There are two pretreatment methods: 1) coating coupling agents on wood fiber, and 2) modifying wood fiber and polymer by graft co-polymerization (Maldas et al. 1988, 1989a).

Coating treatment

The compatibility between wood fiber and polymer is enhanced by coating wood fibers with coupling agents. This process can either cause the polar hydroxyl groups (-OH) of wood fibers to react with coupling agents (such as PMPPIC) which have a linear molecular structure similar to the polymer matrix, or create a chemical interaction between coupling agents (such as MA) and the matrix (Maldas et al. 1989a; Kokta et al. 1990a).

Four kinds of coating methods have been used in WFPC production: compounding, blending, soaking, and spraying. The compounding method mixes coupling agents at high temperature with wood fibers and polymers in an extruder (Dalväg et al. 1985; Myers et al. 1991). This method is mostly used in the melt-blending process. For the blending method, a coupling agent is coated on the surface of wood fiber, polymer or both in a roll mill or a magnetic stirrer at low or high temperature (Maldas et al. 1988). For the soaking method, wood fiber (such as cellulose fiber) can be first impregnated in the form of sheets of paper with a coupling agent solution containing initiators or other additives. Then the impregnated paper is removed from the solution and placed between two pieces of polymer release film for molding (Zadorecki and Flodin 1985; Sanadi et al. 1992). In the spraying process, coupling agents are emulsified and sprayed on to the surface of wood fibers (Krzysik et al. 1990; Krzysik and Youngquist 1991). Both blending and spraying are suitable for the precoating of wood fiber and polymer before mixing. Spraying and soaking are better than compounding and blending for coating processes because coupling agents are distributed at the interface more evenly and efficiently in the former two cases. However, it is difficult to accurately control the impregnating amount of coupling agents for the soaking method.

Graft co-polymerization

During graft co-polymerization, coupling agents either crosslink part of the polymer matrix to the wood surface to form a non-polar copolymer or modify the polarity of the polymer matrix by grafting it with polar monomers to form a graft copolymer. This results in the improvement of the interfacial adhesion. Recently, several graft methods have been used in WFPC: 1) xanthation, 2) radiation, 3) maleation, 4) methacrylate graft co-polymerization, 5) acetylation, and 6) others.

In the xanthation process, wood fibers are first kept under carbon disulfide (CS_2) vapor in a peroxide-ferrous-ion initiation system for a certain period of time. Xanthated fibers are then mixed with monomers, such as styrene, butyl acrylate, or epoxy compounds, to form graft copolymers (Maldas et al. 1988, 1989a; Maldas and Kokta 1990d; Daneault et al. 1989). This method has been widely used for pretreating wood fiber in WFPC.

Another conventional method of grafting monomers on to wood cells is by using highenergy radiation sources (such as beta (β) and gamma (γ) rays) with or without a free radical catalyst. For example, the polymerization of vinyl or styrene monomers with wood components was generated by using Cobalt-60 (⁶⁰Co) gamma radiation (Kenaga et al. 1962; Kent et al. 1962; Ramalingam et al. 1963; Meyer 1965, 1981, 1984; Ellwood et al. 1972). Usually, at least 500,000 to 1,000,000 curies of Cobalt-60 are required for a production source (Meyer 1981).

In the maleation method, MA is used to modify the polymer matrix in the presence of a free radical initiator. It is then grafted on to wood fibers by a succinic half-ester bridge (Gaylord 1972; Chun and Woodhams 1984; De Vito et al. 1984; Kishi et al. 1988; Maldas and Kokta 1990d). Besides the graft application of MA in the PS matrix (Maldas and Kokta 1990d, 1991b), MA can modify PE, PP and SEBS to form graft copolymers (Maldas et al. 1989b; Gatenholm et al. 1992). Recently, maleic-anhydride-modified polypropylene or maleated polypropylene (MAPP) is a popular coupling agent for WFPC (Gaylord 1972; Chun and Woodhams 1984; Olsen 1991; Maldas and Kokta 1994). As mentioned before, two kinds of MAPP are used in WFPC. One is the MAPP with a high-molecular weight $(M_w > 30,000)$ (Kishi et al. 1988; Han et al. 1989; Takase and Shiraishi 1989), such as

63H, 13H, and Hercoprime G (Olsen 1991; Gatenholm et al. 1992). The other type, such as Epolene E-43 (or 47L) and 15L, has a lowmolecular weight ($M_W < 20,000$) (Woodhams et al. 1984; Olsen 1991; Myers et al. 1990, 1991, 1993). Maleated polymers are usually coated on to wood fiber before mixing.

The acid number, which represents the amount of functionality in a coupling agent, and molecular weight are two important properties influencing the coupling effectiveness of MAPP in WFPC (Olsen 1991). Generally, MAPP with a high-molecular weight and high acid number effectively improves the mechanical properties of WFPC. It was suggested that the Epolene E-43 probably acts as a dispersing agent instead of a true coupling agent in meltblending formation because of its low-molecular weight (Wegner et al. 1992). Krzysik and coworkers (Krzysik et al. 1990; Krzysik and Youngquist 1991), however, reported that Epolene E-43 greatly improved the bonding of air-formed wood fiber and propylene composites.

Methacrylates can be used in graft reactions. For example, GMA and HEMA have been used to modify wood fiber and polymer (Maldas et al. 1989a; Takase and Shiraishi 1989). In a previous study, RGP was pretreated with an acetylating agent containing AA before mixing with MAPP (Kishi et al. 1988). For WPC, some epoxides [e.g., propylene oxide (PO) or butylene oxide (BO)] are grafted onto the cell wall before the impregnation of MMA into the cell lumen (Rowell et al. 1982). Other coupling agents, such as BMI (or PDM) and SA, are also applied in the graft copolymerization for PP matrix and TMP (Rozman et al. 1994; Sain and Kokta 1994).

MIXING TECHNOLOGY

Mixing processes

Based on the coating and grafting methods in WFPC, coupling treatments are generally divided into three basic processes (Fig. 2). Coupling agents can be directly coated on wood fiber and polymer during mixing



FIG. 2. Three basic coupling treatments in WFPC: A) directly coating during mixing, B) and C) pretreating before mixing. In (B) wood fiber, polymer, or both is coated or grafted with a coupling agent, but in (C) only part of fiber and polymer is pretreated by a coupling agent, then mixed with untreated fiber and polymer.

(Woodhams et al. 1984; Takase and Shiraishi 1989; Maldas et al. 1989a; Myers et al. 1991). This process (one-step process) is quite simple and cheap (Fig. 2a). In the two-step process, coating or grafting is carried out before mixing (Fig. 2b, c). Coupling agents are coated or grafted on the surface of wood fiber, polymer or both before mixing in the second process (Maldas et al. 1988; Krzysik et al. 1990; Maldas and Kokta 1990d; Krzysik and Youngquist 1991). In the third process, part of the polymer and wood fiber furnish is treated with a coupling agent, then mixed with untreated wood fiber and polymer (Maldas et al. 1989b; Kokta et al. 1990a). In the two-step process, the resulting mixtures are usually ground to mesh size 20 for melt-blending formation (Maldas et al. 1988, 1989b; Maldas and Kokta 1989, 1990a). All three processes are suitable for melt-blended composites. The second process (Fig. 2b) is preferred for air-formed composites.

It has been suggested that a two-step process is better than a one-step process (Štepek and Daoust 1983). In the former case, less coupling agent and less mixing time are required to obtain good adhesion between wood fibers and polymers. Moreover, the two-step process helps increase the interface area (De Ruvo and Alfthan 1978; Maldas et al. 1989a), thus resulting in improving the mechanical properties of WFPC.

Mixing ratios

Coupling agents usually account for 2-8%by weight of wood fibers for melt-blending formation (wood fiber-to-matrix weight ratio is 50:50); and 1-4% for air-forming processes (wood fiber-to-matrix weight ratio is 70:30) (Maldas et al. 1989a, b; Krzysik et al. 1990; Krzysik and Youngquist 1991; Myers et al. 1991, 1993). Accordingly, a coupling agent accounts for only 1-3% of the total weight of a composite in WFPC. The mixing ratios of coupling agents, wood fibers, and thermoplastic polymers optimum to the mechanical properties of WFPC are shown in Table 2.

The concentration of coupling agents determines the coupling effectiveness in the composite. Generally, mechanical properties increase with increased concentration of a coupling agent (e.g., PMPPIC, MA, PHA, and MAPP) up to a certain limit, and then decline or level off at higher concentrations (Fig. 3). The reason that higher coupling agent concentrations result in lower mechanical properties of the composite possibly lies in 1) the formation of different by-products, 2) increase in concentration of unreacting or ungrafting coupling agents, and 3) interference with coupling reaction (John 1982; Beshay et al. 1985; Maldas et al. 1989a; Maldas and Kokta 1990d, 1991a, b). Consequently, an excess of a coupling agent is detrimental to the coupling reaction and may act as an inhibitor rather than a promoter of adhesion.

| Reference | |
|---|--|
| Dalväg et al. 1985 | |
| Maldas et al. 1989a | |
| Myers et al. 1991, 1993 | |
| Krzysik et al. 1990; Krzysik and Youngquist 1991 | |
| Takase and Shiraishi 1989 | |
| Maldas et al. 1989b | |
| | |
| Maldas and Kokta 1989, 1990c | |
| Kokta et al. 1990a | |
| Liang et al. 1994 | |
| Raj et al. 1989 | |
| | |

TABLE 2. Optimum ratios of coupling agent, polymer and wood fiber in WFPC.^a

Wood fiber

WF

30%

30%

WF

50%

50%

35%

20-30%

CTMP (aspen)

CTMP (hemlock)

RGP (radiata pine)

CTMP (75% black spruce

+ 20% balsam + 5%

70% or 85%

aspen) 20-30%

CTMP (aspen)

CTMP (aspen)

TMP (aspen)

75% 25%4% HDPE CTMP (aspen) PMPPIC 130-160°C Carver press Raj et al. 1989 70% 30% 7% (RT) ^a RT—room temperature, PP—polypropylene, PS—polystyrene, PVC—polyvinyl chloride, HDPE—high density polypropylene, WF—wood flour, TMP—thermomechanical pulp, CTMP—chemithermomechanical pulp, RGP-refiner ground pulp, A-172-vinyltri(2-methoxyethoxy) silane, A-174-y-methacryloxy propyltrimethoxy silane. MAPP-maleated polypropylene, PHA-phthalic anhydride, PMAA-polymethacrylic acid.

Coating and

mixing temperature^c

145-225°C

(70-75°C)

215°C

170°C

(200°C)

175°C

175°C

145-150°C

180-200°C

(175°C)

RT

(200°C)

Fabrication method

injection molding

injection molding

air-forming, hot press

Carver press

hot press

molding

Carver press

transfer molding

Carver press

Coupling agent^b

A-172, A-174

MAPP (E-43)

MAPP (E-43)

MAPP

6%

4%

5%

1-4%

MAPP

PMPPIC

5%

8%

PHA

10%

1-5%

PMAA

PMPPIC

and PMPPIC-poly[methylene(polyphenyl isocyanate)].

^b By weight of wood fiber.

Polymer

12-15% or 27-30%

PP

70%

70%

50%

PP

PP

PP

50%

PS201

PS201

65%

PVC

70-80%

PS685D

70-80%

PS685D

^c Values in the parentheses are coating temperature.



FIG. 3. Influence of concentration of coupling agents on the mechanical properties of WFPC: (a) tensile strength and (b) fracture energy (plots made with test data published by Han et al. 1989; Maldas et al. 1989a; Maldas and Kokta 1990c; Kokta et al. 1990a). Concentration of coupling agents was based on weight of the composite. Concentration of MA was 1.85 weight percent of MAPP. Composite type: 1. PP:RGP (radiata) = 50%:50%, 2. PS201: CTMP (aspen) = 70%:30%, 3. PVC:CTMP (aspen) = 70%:30%, and 4. PS525:CTMP (aspen) = 65%: 35%.

Additives

Initiators are usually required with coupling agents during the coupling treatment, especially in graft copolymerization. The most widely used initiators are organic peroxides, including dicumyl peroxide (DCP), benzoyl peroxide (BPO), lauroyl peroxide (LPO), tertbutyl peroxy benzonate (TBPB), and di-tertbutyl peroxide (DTBPO) (Table 1). DCP is usually used with BMI, MAPP, PMPPIC, and silanes; and BPO with MA, SA, silane A-1100, and chlorotriazines. TBPB is used as a free radical initiator of MA and acrylates. LPO and DTBPO can be used in the silane coupling agents. In graft reactions, the concentration of peroxide is usually between 0.5–1% by weight. Excess peroxide may adversely affect the mechanical properties of the composite because molecular chain scission of the polymer and cellulose occurs when peroxide is too abundant (Maldas and Kokta 1991a). DCP has also been found to be a better initiator for MA compared with BPO because the free radicals of DCP have superior thermal stability that leads to better graft performance (Maldas and Kokta 1991c). The free-radical initiator, 2,2'azobisisobutyronitrile (also called Vazo), is usually combined with gamma radiation for graft reaction of styrene and vinyl monomers (Meyer 1981).

Organic solvents may be required with certain coupling agents. For example, carbon tetrachloride (CCl₄) is used in silanes A-172 and A-174 (Maldas et al. 1989a; Maldas and Kokta 1990c), while methylene dichloride (CH₂Cl₂) is a solvent of titanate coupling agents such as KR 138S (Dalväg et al. 1985). Other solvents include xylene, pyridine, methanol, and ethanol (Xanthos 1983; Hau et al. 1987; Han et al. 1989; Myers et al. 1990, 1991; Gatenholm et al. 1993; Simonsen and Rials 1996).

During the coupling treatment, antioxidants, stabilizers, plasticizers, and other processing aids are also added to the blends to improve the physical and mechanical properties of a composite. For example, alumina trihydrate [Al(OH)₃], magnesium oxide (MgO), boric acid (H_3BO_3), or borax ($Na_2B_4O_7$) provides flame retardation to the composite (Kishi et al. 1988; Han et al. 1989; Maldas and Kokta 1991a; Sain et al. 1993). The addition of magnesium oxide and boron compounds can protect wood fiber from thermal decomposition and degradation during high-temperature composite processing (Han et al. 1989; Sain et al. 1993). In addition, adding a moderate amount of MgO can improve the performance of MA because MgO reacts with water and the acid group to yield carboxylate ions (-COO-). Concurrently, Mg²⁺ interacts with two carboxylate ions as a crosslinking agent and yields ionomer systems (Han et al. 1989). Organic additives primarily used in coupling treatments are dioctyl phthalte (DOP), barium acetate (BaAc), Irganox-1010, Ionol, mono- and diglycerides of fatty acids (GMS), and distearyl thiodipropionate (DSTP) (Han et al. 1989; Krzysik et al. 1990; Myers et al. 1991).

Mixing conditions

Mixing conditions, i.e. temperature, time, and rotation speed, directly influence the coating quality and coupling agent performance (Takase and Shiraishi 1989; Maldas and Kokta 1990d; Chen et al. 1995). Usually, mixing temperature is controlled at less than 200°C for most coupling treatments to avoid decomposition and degradation of wood fibers and some thermoplastic matrices (Woodhams et al. 1984; Maldas et al. 1989a; Takase and Shiraishi 1989; Myers et al. 1993). For refiner ground pulp (RGP) and PP composites, the optimum mixing conditions are 10 minutes under a mixing temperature of 180°C and a rotation speed of 50 rpm (Takase and Shiraishi 1989). Maldas and Kokta (1990d) reported that the maximum improvement in mechanical properties of chemithermomechanical pulp (CTMP) and PS composites was achieved when the mixing time was 15 min at 175°C. For melt-blended composites, the blends are required to re-mix 5-10 times (about 6-8 min) during compounding to achieve a better distribution of coupling agents at the interface, when directly mixing coupling agents with polymer and wood fiber (Maldas et al. 1989a; Maldas and Kokta 1990a, b, c). Rotation speed has similar influence on the coupling effectiveness as does mixing time. It was reported that moderate mixing speeds were preferred for better fiber length distribution and coupling effectiveness (Takase and Shiraishi 1989).

CONCLUSIONS

Coupling agents in WFPC play a very important role in improving compatibility and adhesion between polar wood fibers and nonpolar polymer matrices. So far, more than forty coupling agents have been used in production and research. Organic coupling agents are better than inorganic coupling agents, because stronger adhesion is produced at the interface. Although a number of coupling agents are used or have been tested in production and research, the most popular are isocyanates, anhydrides, silanes, and anhydride-modified copolymers, such as PMPPIC and MAPP.

Coupling agents are usually coated on the surface of wood fiber, polymer or both by compounding, blending, soaking, spraying, or other coating methods. There are three basic mixing processes in production and research. Coupling agents can be directly mixed with wood fiber and polymer in the melt-blending formation, such as injection molding, extrusion, and transfer molding. They can also be coated or grafted on the surface of wood fiber, polymer or both. Then the pretreated and untreated wood fiber and polymer are kneaded. Usually, pretreatment of wood fiber and polymer by coating or grafting helps enhance the mechanical properties of WFPC.

Some of the important considerations in choosing coupling treatments are concentration and chemical structure of coupling agents, choice of wood fiber and matrix (e.g., shape, size, and species), ratio of wood fiber to total matrix weight, formation methods, and enduse requirements of the finished product. Future publications in this series will discuss the adhesion mechanism and coupling performance of different coupling agents.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors wish to thank the Louisiana Forest Products Laboratory (LFPL), School of Forestry, Wildlife, and Fisheries, Louisiana State University Agricultural Center for funding this research. We acknowledge Dr. Norwin E. Linnartz, Dr. Paul Y. Burns, Dr. W. Ramsay Smith, Dr. John R. Collier, Dr. Floyd G. Manwiller, Dr. Douglas D. Stokke, Dr. Surya Mallapragada, and two anonymous reviewers for their helpful suggestions during the preparation of this manuscript.

REFERENCES

- BATAILLE, P., L. RICARD, AND S. SAPIEHA. 1989. Effects of cellulose fibers in polypropylene composites. Polym. Comp. 10(2):103–108.
- BESHAY, A. D., B. V. KOKTA, AND C. DANEAULT. 1985. Use of wood fibers in thermoplastic composites II: Polyethylene. Polym. Comp. 6(4):261–271.
- BRIDGEFORD, D. J. 1963. US Patent 3,083,118.
- CHEN, M.-J., J. J. MEISTER, D. W. GUNNELLS, AND D. J. GARDNER. 1995. A process for coupling wood to thermoplastic using graft copolymers. Adv. Polym. Technol. 14(2):97–109.
- CHTOUROU, H., B. RIEDL, AND A. AIT-KADI. 1992. Reinforcement of recycled polyolefins with wood fibers. J. Reinf. Plast. Comp. 11:372–394.
- CHUN, I., AND R. T. WOODHAMS. 1984. Use of processing aids and coupling agents in mica-reinforced polypropylene. Polym. Comp. 5(4):250–257.
- CLINT, J. H. 1998. Surfactants: Applications in plastics. Pages 604–612 in G. Pritchard, ed. Plastic additives: An A-Z reference. Chapman and Hall, New York, NY.
- CORAN, A. Y., AND R. PATEL. 1982. US Patent 4,323,625.
- DALVÄG, H., C. KLASON, AND H.-E. STRÖMVALL. 1985. The efficiency of cellulosic fillers in common thermoplastics, part II. Filling with processing aids and coupling agents. Int. J. Polym. Mater. 11:9–38.
- DANEAULT, C., B. V. KOKTA, AND D. MALDAS. 1989. The xanthate method of grafting. XII. Effect of operating conditions on the grafting of vinyl monomers onto wood pulp. J. Appl. Polym. Sci. 38:841–848.
- DE RUVO, A., AND E. ALFTHAN. 1978. Shifts in glass transition temperatures of synthetic polymers filled with microcrystalline cellulose. Polymer 19(8):872–874.
- DE VITO, G., N. LANZETTA, G. MAGLIO, M. MALINCONICO, P. MUSTO, AND R. PALUMBO. 1984. Functionalization of an amorphous ethylene-propylene copolymer by free radical initiated grafting of unsaturated molecules. J. Polym. Sci. 22:1335–1347.
- DIMOV, K., AND P. PAVLOV. 1969. Grafting with acrylonitrile with the redox system cellulose xanthogenatehydrogen peroxide. J. Polym. Sci. 7:2775–2792.
- ELLWOOD, E. L., R. C. GILMORE, AND A. J. STAMM. 1972. Dimensional stabilization of wood with vinyl monomers. Wood Sci. 4(3):137–141.
- FAESSINGER, R. W., AND J. S. CONTE. 1967. US Patent 3,359,224.
- GATENHOLM, P. J. FELIX, C. KLASON, AND J. KUBÁT. 1992. Cellulose-polymer composites with improved properties. Pages 75–82 in J. C. Salamone, and J. S. Riffle, eds. Contemporary topics in polymer science: Advances in new materials, vol. 7. Plenum Press, New York, NY.
- _____, ____, AND _____. 1993. Methods for improvement of properties of cellulose-polymer composites. Pages 20–24 in M. P. Wolcott, ed. Wood fiber/ polymer composites: Fundamental concepts, processes,

- and materials options. Proc. 1st Wood Fiber-Plastic Composite Conference, Madison, WI.
- —, P. HENDENBERG, AND C. KLASON. 1995. Recycling of mixed plastics using cellulosic reinforcement. Pages 367–377 in C. P. Rader, S. D. Baldwin, D. D. Cornell, G. D. Salder, and R. F. Stockel, eds. Plastics, rubber, and paper recycling: A pragmatic approach. ACS Symposium Series 609. American Chemical Society, Washington, DC. Chapter 30.
- GAYLORD, N. 1972. US Patent 3,645,939.
- GEOTTLER, L. A. 1983. US Patent 4,376,144.
- GULINA, A. A., R. M. LIVSHITS, AND Z. A. ROGOVIN. 1965. Synthesis of cellulose-olyacrylonitrile graft copolymers in the presence of the oxidation-reduction system cellulose Fe²⁺-H₂O₂. II. Investigation of the effect of initiation conditions on the polymerization coefficient of polyacrylonitrile and the degree of conversion of cellulose. Vysokomol. Soyed. 7(9):1529–1534.
- HAMED, P., AND A. Y. CORAN. 1978. Reinforcement of polymers through short cellulose fibers. Pages 29–50 *in* R. B. Seymour, ed. Additives for plastics, vol. I. State of the art. Academic Press, New York, NY.
- HAN, G.-S., H. ICHINOSE, S. TAKASE, AND N. SHIRAISHI. 1989. Composites of wood and polypropylene III. Mokuzai Gakkaishi 35(12):1100–1104.
- HEDENBERG, P., AND P. GATENHOLM. 1995. Conversion of plastic/cellulose waste into composites. I. Model of interphase. J. Appl. Polym. Sci. 56:641–651.
- HORNOF, V., B. V. KOKTA, AND J. L. VALADE. 1976. The xanthate method of grafting. IV. Grafting of acrylonitrile onto high-yield pulp. J. Appl. Polym. Sci. 20:1543– 1554.
- HUA, L., P. ZADORECKI, AND P. FLODIN. 1987. Cellulose fiber-polyester composites with reduced water sensitivity. I. Chemical treatment and mechanical properties. Polym. Comp. 8(3):199–202.
- JOHN, W. E. 1982. Isocyanate as wood binders: A review. J. Adhes. 15:59–67.
- KENAGA, D. L., J. P. FENNESSEY, AND V. I. STANNETT. 1962. Radiation grafting of vinyl monomers to wood. Forest Prod. J. 12(4):161–168.
- KENT, J. A., A. WINSTON, AND W. R. BOYLE. 1962. Preparation of wood-plastic combinations using gamma radiation to induce polymerization: Effects of gamma radiation on wood. Annual Report ORO-600, U.S. Atomic Energy Commission, Washington, DC, Oct. 31–Nov. 1, 1961.
- KISHI, H., M. YOSHIOKA, A. YAMANOI, AND N. SHIRAISHI. 1988. Composites of wood and polypropylenes I. Mokuzai Gakkaishi 34(2):133–139.
- KLASON, C., J. KUBÁT, AND H.-E. STRÖMVALL. 1984. The efficiency of cellulosic fillers in common thermoplastics, part I. Filling without processing aids or coupling agents. Int. J. Polym. Mater. 10:159–187.
- KOKTA, B. V. 1988. US Patent 4,791,020.
- —, AND J. L. VALADE. 1972. Effect of acrylonitrile

on grafting of styrene with the redox system cellulose xanthate-hydrogen peroxide. TAPPI 55(3):366–369.

, D. MALDAS, C. DANEAULT, AND P. BÉLAND. 1990a. Composites of polyvinyl chloride-wood fibers. I. Effect of isocyanate as a bonding agent. Polym.-Plast. Technol. Eng. 29(1&2):87–118.

ites of poly(vinyl chloride) and wood fibers, part II: Effect of chemical treatment. Polym. Comp. 11(2):84– 89.

ites of polyvinyl chloride-wood fibers. III: Effect of silane as coupling agent. J. Vinyl Technol. 12(3):146– 153.

KRZYSIK, A. M., AND J. A. YOUNGQUIST. 1991. Bonding of air-formed wood fiber/polypropylene fiber composites. Int. J. Adhes. Adhesives 11(4):235–240.

, _____, G. E. MYERS, I. S. CHAHYADI, AND P. C. KOLOSICK. 1990. Wood-polymer bonding in extruded and nonwoven web composites panels. Pages 183–189 *in* A. H. Conner, A. W. Christiansen, G. E. Myers, B. H. River, C. B. Vick, and H. N. Spelter, eds. Wood adhesives 1990. Symp. of USDA Forest Service, Forest Products Laboratory and the Forest Products Research Society, Madison, WI.

LIANG, B.-H., L. MOTT, S. M. SHALER, AND G. T. CANEBA. 1994. Properties of transfer-molded wood-fiber/polystyrene composites. Wood Fiber Sci. 26(3):382–389.

MALDAS, D., AND B. V. KOKTA. 1989. Improving adhesion of wood fiber with polystyrene by the chemical treatment of fiber with a coupling agent and the influence on the mechanical properties of composites. J. Adhes. Sci. Technol. 3(7):529–539.

dride as a coupling agent on the mechanical behavior of wood fiber-polystyrene composites. J. Appl. Polym. Sci. 41:185–194.

AND — . 1990d. Influence of polar monomers on the performance of wood fiber reinforced polystyrene composites. I. Evaluation of critical conditions. Int. J. Polym. Mater. 14(3–4):165–189.

——, AND ——, 1991a. Surface modification of wood fibers using maleic anhydride and isocyanate as coating components and their performance in polystyrene composites. J. Adhes. Sci. Technol. 5(9):727–740.

, AND , 1991b. Influence of maleic anhydride as a coupling agent on the performance of wood fiber-polystyrene composites. Polym. Eng. Sci. 31(18): 1351–1357.

- _____, AND _____. 1991c. Influence of organic peroxide on the performance of maleic anhydride coated cellulose fiber-filled thermoplastic composites. Polym. J. 23(10):1163–1171.
- _____, AND _____. 1994. An investigation of the interfacial adhesion between reclaimed newspaper and recycled polypropylene composites through the investigation of their mechanical properties. J. Adhes. Sci. Technol. 8(12):1439–1451.
- ———, ———, AND C. DANEAULT. 1989a. Influence of coupling agents and treatments on the mechanical properties of cellulose fiber-polystyrene composites. J. Appl. Polym. Sci. 37:751–775.

ites of polystyrene: Effect of different wood species on mechanical properties. J. Appl. Polym. Sci. 38:413– 439.

- , , , R. G. RAJ, AND C. DANEAULT. 1988. Improvement of the mechanical properties of sawdust wood fiber-polystyrene composites by chemical treatment. Polymer 29:1255–1265.
- MEYER, J. A. 1965. Treatment of wood-polymer systems using catalyst-heat techniques. Forest Prod. J. 15(9): 362–364.
- . 1968. Crosslinking affects sanding properties of wood-plastic. Forest Prod. J. 18(5):89.
- ———. 1981. Wood-polymer materials: State of the art. Wood Sci. 14(2):49–54.
- *in* R. M. Rowell, ed. The chemistry of solid wood, ACS Advances in Chemistry Series 207. American Chemical Society, Washington, DC.
- MORRISON, R. T., AND R. N. BOYD. 1992. Organic chemistry, 6th ed. Prentice-Hall, Inc., Englewood Cliffs, NJ. Pp. 971–985.
- MYERS, G. E., I. S. CHAHYADI, C. A. COBERLY, AND D. S. ERMER. 1991. Wood flour/polypropylene composites: Influence of maleated polypropylene and process and composition variables on mechanical properties. Int. J. Polym. Mater. 15:21–44.
- —, —, C. GONZALEZ, AND C. A. COBERLY. 1993. Wood flour and polypropylene or high-density polyethylene composites: Influence of maleated polypropylene concentration and extrusion temperature on properties. Pages 49–56 in M. P. Wolcott, ed. Wood fiber/polymer composites: Fundamental concepts, processes, and materials options. Proc. 1st Wood Fiber-Plastic Composite Conference, Madison, WI.
- P. C. KOLOSICK, I. S. CHAHYADI, C. A. COBERLY, J. A. KOUTSKY, AND D. S. ERMER. 1990. Extruded woodflour polypropylene composites: Effect of a maleated polypropylene coupling agent on filler-matrix bonding and properties. Pages 67–76 in D. F. Caulfield, J. D.

Passaretti, and S. F. Sobczynski, eds. Materials interactions relevant to the pulp, paper, and wood industries, vol. 197. Materials Research Society, Pittsburgh, PA.

- NAKAMURA, T., M. OKAMURA, Y. MORIGUCHI, AND T. HAY-ASE. 1983. US Patent 4,404,437.
- OKSMAN, K., AND H. LINDBERG. 1998. Influence of thermoplastics elastomers on adhesion in polyethylenewood flour composites. J. Appl. Polym. Sci. 68:1845– 1855.
- , _____, AND A. HOLMGREN. 1998. The nature and location of SEBS-MA compatibilizer in polyethylenewood flour composites. J. Appl. Polym. Sci. 69:201– 209.
- OLSEN, D. J. 1991. Effectiveness of maleated polypropylenes as coupling agents for wood flour/polypropylene composites. Pages 1886–1891 in ANTEC, Proc. 49th Annual Technical Conference, Montreal, Canada, May 5–9, 1991. Society of Plastics Engineers, Brookfield, CT.
- PORTER, M. R. 1994. Handbook of surfactants. Blackie Academic & Professional, Glasgow G64 2NZ, UK. P. 80.
- PRITCHARD, G. 1998. Quick reference guide. Page 12 in G. Pritchard, ed. Plastics additives: An A–Z reference, Chapman and Hall, New York, NY.
- RADIAN CORPORATION. 1987. Chemical additives for the plastics industry: Properties, applications and toxicologies. Noyes Data Corporation, Park Ridge, NJ. Pp. 55– 59.
- RAJ, R. G., AND B. V. KOKTA. 1991. Improving the mechanical properties of HDPE-wood fiber composites with additives/coupling agents. Pages 1883–1885 in ANTEC, Proc. 49th Annual Technical Conference, Montreal, Canada, May 5–9, 1991. Society of Plastics Engineers, Brookfield, CT.
- , ____, D. MALDAS, AND C. DANEAULT. 1988. Use of wood fibers in thermoplastic composites: VI. Isocyanate as a bonding agent for polyethylene-wood fiber composites. Polym. Comp. 9(6):404–411.
- fibers in thermoplastics. VII. The effect of coupling agents in polyethylene-wood fiber composites. J. Appl. Polym. Sci. 37:1089--1103.
- , _____, G. GROULEAU, AND C. DANEAULT. 1990. The influence of coupling agents on mechanical properties of composites containing cellulosic fillers. Polym. Plast. Technol. Eng. 29(4):339–353.
- RAMALINGAM, K. V., G. N. WEREZAK, AND J. W. HODGINS. 1963. Radiation-induced graft polymerization of styrene in wood. J. Polym. Sci. Part C 2:153–167.
- ROSEN, M. J. 1978. Surfactants and interfacial phenomena. John Wiley & Sons, New York, NY. P. 266.
- ROWELL, R. M., AND W. D. ELLIS. 1978. Determination of dimensional stability of wood using the water-soak method. Wood Fiber 10:104–111.
- —, AND P. KONKOL, 1987. Treatments that enhance

physical properties of wood. U.S. Forest Products Laboratory General Technical Report FPL-GTR-55. 12 pp.

- , D. I. GUTZMER, I. B. SACHS, AND R. E. KINNEY. 1976. Effects of alkylene oxide treatments on dimensional stability of wood. Wood Sci. 9(1):51–54.
- ———, R. MOISUK, AND J. A. MEYER. 1982. Wood-polymer composites: cell wall grafting with alkylene oxides and lumen treatments with methyl methacrylate. Wood Sci. 15(2):90–96.
- ROZMAN, H. D., W. B. BANKS, AND M. L. LAWTHER. 1994. Improvements of fiberboard properties through fiber activation and subsequent copolymerization with vinyl monomer, J. Appl. Polym. Sci. 54:191–200.
- SAIN, M. M., AND B. V. KOKTA. 1994. Polyolefin-wood filler composites. I. Performance of phenylene bismaleimide-modified wood fiber in polypropylene composite. J. Appl. Polym. Sci. 54:1545–1559.
- ____, ____, AND D. MALDAS. 1993. Effect of reactive additives on the performance of cellulose fiber-filled polypropylene composites. J. Adhes. Sci. Technol. 7(1): 49-61.
- SANADI, A. R., R. M. ROWELL, AND R. A. YOUNG. 1992. Estimation of fiber-matrix interfacial shear strengths in lignocellulosic-thermoplastic composites. Pages 81–92 *in* R. M. Rowell, T. L. Laufenberg, and J. K. Rowell, eds. Materials interactions relevant to recycling of wood-based materials, vol. 266. Materials Research Society, Pittsburgh, PA.
- SCHNEIDER, M. H. 1994. Wood polymer composites. Wood Fiber Sci. 26(1):142–151.
- —, AND K. I. BREBNER. 1985. Wood-polymer combinations: The chemical modification of wood by alkoxysilane coupling agents. Wood Sci. Technol. 19(1): 67–73.
- SELKE, S. E., K. NIEMAN, J. CHILDRESS, M. KEL, AND R. BIMPSON. 1990. Use of eastern hardwoods in wood fiber/plastic composites. Pages 35–42 in Advanced technology applications to eastern hardwood utilization. Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI.
- SIMONSEN, J., AND T. RIALS. 1992. Enhancing the interfacial bond strength of lignocellulosic fiber dispersed in synthetic polymer matrices. Pages 105–111 in R. M. Rowell, T. L. Laufenberg, and J. K. Rowell, eds. Materials interactions relevant to recycling of wood-based materials, vol. 266. Materials Research Society, Pittsburgh, PA.
- , R. JACOBSEN, AND R. ROWELL. 1998. Wood-fiber reinforcement of styrcne-maleic anhydride copolymers.
 J. Appl. Polym. Sci. 68:1567–1573.
- ŠTEPEK, J., AND H. DAOUST. 1983. Additives for plastics. Polymer/properties and applications 5. Springer-Verlag, New York, NY. P. 84.
- TAKASE, S., AND N. SHIRAISHI. 1989. Studies on compos-

ites from wood and polypropylene. II. J. Appl. Polym. Sci. 37:645-659.

- WEGNER, T. H., J. A. YOUNGQUIST, AND R. M. ROWELL. 1992. Opportunities for composites from recycled wood based resources. Pages 3–15 *in* R. M. Rowell, T. L. Laufenberg, and J. K. Rowell, eds. Materials interactions relevant to recycling of wood-based materials, vol. 266. Materials Research Society, Pittsburgh, PA.
 WOODHAMS, R. T. 1984. US Patent 4,442,243.
- , G. THOMAS, AND D. K. RODGERS. 1984. Wood fibers as reinforcing fillers for polyolefins. Polym. Eng. Sci. 24(15):1166–1171.
- XANTHOS, M. 1983. Processing conditions and coupling agent effects in polypropylene/wood flour composites. Plast. Rubber Process. Appl. 3(3):223–228.
- YOUNGQUIST, J. A. 1995. Unlikely partners? The marriage of wood and non-wood materials. Forest Prod. J. 45(10):25–30.
- ZADORECKI, P., AND P. FLODIN. 1985. Surface modification of cellulose fibers. II. The effect of cellulose fiber treatment on the performance of cellulose-polyester composites. J. Appl. Polym. Sci. 30:3971–3983.

NOMENCLATURE

| AA | acetic anhydride |
|-----------------|---|
| AACA | 2-diallylamino 4,6-dichloro-s-triazine |
| ABAC | abietic acid |
| AN | acrylonitrile |
| ASA | alkyl succinic anhydride |
| BA | butyl acrylate |
| BaAc | barium acetate |
| BMI (or PDM) | N,N'- <i>m</i> -phenylene bismaleicimide |
| BO | butylene oxide |
| BPO | benzoyl peroxide |
| BPP | N,N'-m-phenylene bismalcicimide modified polypropylene |
| 60 C O | Colbat-60 gamma radiation |
| CTMP | chemithermomechanical pulp |
| DCP | dicumyl peroxide |
| DMM | mono- and dimethylolmelamine resin |
| DOP | dioctyl phthalate |
| DSTP | distearyl thiodipropionate |
| DTBPO | di-tert-butyl peroxide |
| EIC | ethyl isocyanate |
| EPMA | epoxypropyl methacrylate |
| Epolene E-43 (o | r |
| 47L) | maleated polypropylene with low-molecular weight ($M_w = 10,000$) |
| E/VAC | ethyl/vinyl acetate |
| GMA | glycidyl methacrylate |
| GMS | mono- and diglycerides of fatty acids |
| 13H | maleated polypropylene with high-molecular weight ($M_w = 31,900$) |
| 63H | maleated polypropylene with high-molecular weight ($M_w = 40,000$) |
| HDPE | high-density polyethelene |
| HEMA | hydroxyethyl methacrylate |
| Hercoprime G | maleated polypropylene with high-molecular weight ($M_w = 39,000$) |
| HMDIC | hexamethylene diisocyanate |
| Ionol | a butylated hydroxy toluene |
| Irganox-1010 | a tertiary butyl hydroxyhydrocinnamate |
| KR 138S | titanium di(dioctylpyrophosphates)oxyacetate |
| 15L | maleated polypropylene with low-molecular weight ($M_w = 14,600$) |
| 47L | maleated polypropylene with low-molecular weight ($M_w = 10,000$) |
| LAC | linoleic acid |
| LPO | lauroyl peroxide |
| MA | maleic anhydride |
| MAA | methacrylic acid |
| MAA-CAAPE | methacrylic acid, 3-((4,6-dichloro-s-triazine-2-yl)amino)propyl ester |
| MAPE | maleated polyethylene (or maleic anhydride-modified-polyethylene) |

| MAPP | maleated polypropylene (or maleic anhydride-modified-polypropylene) |
|---------------|---|
| MMA | methy) methacrylate |
| Mw | average molecular weight (or average molar mass) |
| OACA | 2-octylamino 4,6-dichloro-s-triazine |
| PDM | see BMI |
| PE | polyethylene |
| PEPPIC | poly[ethylene(polyphenyl isocyanate)] |
| PF | phenol-formaldehyde resin |
| PHA | phthalic anhydride |
| PMAA | polymethacrylic acid |
| PMPPIC | poly[methylene(polyphenyl isocyanate)] |
| PO | propylene oxide |
| PP | polypropylene |
| PS | polystyrene |
| PS201 | high-heat crystal polystyrene |
| PS525 | high-impact polystyrene |
| PS685D | general-purpose and high-heat polystyrene |
| PS-PMAA | polystyrene/polymethacrylic acid |
| PVAC | polyvinyl acetate |
| PVC | polyvinyl chloride |
| RGP | refiner ground pulp |
| RT | room temperature |
| SA | succinic anhydride |
| SEBS | styrene-ethylene-butylene-styrene copolymer |
| SEBS-MA | styrene-ethylene-butylene-styrene/maleic anhydride |
| Silane A-172 | vinyltri(2-methoxyethoxy) silane |
| Silanc A-174 | γ -methacryloxypropyltrimethoxy silane |
| Silane A-186 | β-(3,4-epoxy cyclohexyl)ethyltrimethoxy silane |
| Silane A-187 | γ-glycidoxy propyltrimethoxy silane |
| Silane A-1100 | γ-aminopropyltrimethoxy silane |
| SMA | styrene/maleic anhydride |
| ТВРВ | tert-butyl peroxy benzonate |
| TDIC | toluene 2,4-diisocyanate |
| TMP | thermomechanical pulp |
| Vazo | 2,2'-azobisisobutyronitrile |
| WF | wood flour |
| WFPC | wood fiber and polymer composites |
| WPC | wood plastic composites |