



Published in final edited form as:

Macromolecules. 2008 November 25; 41(22): 8832–8840. doi:10.1021/ma8012674.

Chemorheology of phenylboronate-salicylhydroxamate crosslinked hydrogel networks with a sulfonated polymer backbone

Meredith C. Roberts, Alamelu Mahalingam, Melissa C. Hanson, and Patrick F. Kiser*

University of Utah, Department of Bioengineering and Department of Pharmaceutics and Pharmaceutical Chemistry, 20 S 2030 E Room 108, Salt Lake City, Utah 84112

Abstract

Hydrogel networks crosslinked with polymer-bound phenylboronic acid (PBA) and salicylhydroxamic acid (SHA) demonstrate pH-reversible gel behavior due to the pH-dependent equilibrium of the crosslinking moieties that form the gel network. Furthermore, the pH at which gels behave dynamically can be controlled by use of a polyelectrolyte backbone. Here we report on the frequency-dependent chemorheological characterization of PBA-SHA crosslinked hydrogel networks with a sulfonated polymer backbone. Our results suggest that the anionic nature of the polymers allows reversible crosslinking at neutral pH that an otherwise neutral-backboned PBA-SHA crosslinked network cannot, and that these charge-induced dynamics can be effectively screened by ions in solution. Moreover, moduli-frequency data can effectively be reduced into a single master curve with a neutral-backboned PBA-SHA gel data set as the reference condition.

Keywords

dynamic rheology; chemorheology; phenylboronic acid; salicylhydroxamic acid; reversible hydrogel; labile crosslinks; self-healing; sulfonic acid; polyelectrolyte; master curve analysis

Introduction

Understanding composition-structure-property relationships of macromolecular systems engineered with specific and tunable intermolecular interactions is paramount for the design and development of “smart,” bioresponsive materials with tailored performance. For example, when the structural crosslinks of a hydrogel network are reversible and therefore transient, the resulting network structure is also transient. Consequently, the gel’s elastic property gives in time to a more liquid-like viscous property – by time-dependent changes in crosslink association and dissociation states – yielding variable degrees of a similarly time-dependent viscoelastic behavior. Though most reversibly crosslinked hydrogels under investigation utilize hydrogen bonding or other non-covalent interactions,^{1–6} there is a growing interest in developing reversible covalent chemistries as crosslinking technologies.^{7–9}

Specifically, hydrogel networks crosslinked with borate esters or boronate esters expose the pH-sensitive, labile molecular nature of the coordinate covalent crosslinks through the pH-dependent and self-healing chemorheological behavior of the resultant gels. Boric acid

*Corresponding author: patrick.kiser@utah.edu; Tel (801) 587-3681; Fax (801) 585-5151.

Supporting Information Available: Matlab-based superpositioning function and table of all shift factors determined from the master curve analysis. This information is available free of charge via the Internet at <http://pubs.acs.org>.

(B(OH)₃) commonly functions as a divalent crosslinker to macromolecular polyols, such as guar gum^{10–12} and polyvinyl alcohol,^{13–15} to generate such self-healing networks. These borate-polyol gels demonstrate frequency-dependent rheological properties that translate into measures of the short timescales at which the network crosslinks can relax and subsequently reorganize.^{16–18} Due to the pH-sensitive binding equilibrium of the coordinate covalent bonds, the rheological properties of these crosslinked networks are pH-dependent. The sol-gel transition typically occurs at pH ~8–9, near the pK_a of the boron compound,¹² and the self-healing nature of these gels is evident by the viscous-dominant rheological response (i.e., loss modulus, G'' , dominates storage modulus, G') at low angular frequencies, where the timescale of oscillation is long enough to allow network crosslink reorganization. Further increases in pH, which shifts the crosslink binding equilibrium toward a more associative, or bound, state, results in a shift in this frequency-dependent viscoelastic response toward a more elastic-dominant one (i.e., $G' > G''$) at all angular frequencies and thus experimentally probed timescales.¹²

Similarly, coordinate covalent crosslinking between multivalent, polymer-bound boronic acids (RB(OH)₂) and vicinal diols^{8,9} or acids^{19,20} also reveals pH-dependent and dynamically restructuring networks, though the pH sensitivity can be further adjusted by the selection of the non-boronate crosslinking moiety. For example, salicylhydroxamic acid (SHA) has a higher affinity for phenylboronic acid (PBA) at lower pHs than known diols and thus forms stable complexes as low as pH 5, nearly 4 pH units below the pK_a of the phenylboronic acid used.^{21,22} Furthermore, this PBA-SHA crosslinking chemistry demonstrates a pH-dependent binding equilibrium in which complex formation is almost entirely shifted toward the bound state at neutral pH (to the right in Figure 1) yet shifted more toward the unbound state at mildly acidic pH (to the left in Figure 1).²¹ We have previously synthesized poly(2-hydroxypropylmethacrylamide) (pHPMAm) based hydrogel networks crosslinked with polymer-bound PBA and SHA that demonstrate frequency-dependent rheological properties that change with pH just as the crosslink binding equilibrium shifts with pH – varying from dynamically restructuring and self-healing (i.e., $G'' > G'$ at low angular frequencies) at mildly acidic pH (4.2) to brittle, permanently crosslinked network (i.e., $G' > G''$ across the same angular frequency range) at neutral pH (7.6).²³

Our lab is interested in synthetically controlling the frequency-dependent viscoelastic response of these PBA-SHA crosslinked hydrogel networks at different pHs such that we may be able to engineer a material's performance using a bottom-up approach. Two methods of synthetic manipulation have been previously applied to modify the pH sensitivity of boronate-diol complexation: 1) adding electron-withdrawing substitutions on the phenyl group to shift the pK_a of the PBA moiety,^{24,25} and 2) locally adjusting the pH of macromolecular boronate systems via the Donnan effect.^{24,26,27} Here, we applied the latter method for manipulating the pH responsiveness of PBA-SHA crosslinked gel viscoelasticity by utilizing an anionic polyelectrolyte backbone. Specifically, polymers comprised of a polysulfonic acid backbone with either PBA or SHA functionality were synthesized and used to evaluate whether the anionic microenvironment would mask the effect of a neutral pH on the PBA-SHA crosslink binding equilibrium and thus frequency-dependent rheological properties (Figure 2). Moreover, salt may be added to counteract the negative charge effect of the anionic polyelectrolyte backbone (Figure 2b).^{28,29} Dynamic moduli were measured as functions of angular frequency for varying pHs, ionic strengths and temperatures; and the dynamic gel rigidity ($G'_{plateau}$), a measure of the material's overall elasticity or strength, and characteristic relaxation time (τ), a chemorheological measure of the lifetime of the dynamic network crosslinks,³⁰ were quantified from the frequency-dependent rheological data. Furthermore, a master curve analysis of all frequency-dependent moduli collected was performed to confirm that the molecular dynamics of polysulfonate-

backboned PBA-SHA crosslinked hydrogel networks are in fact the same in origin as those observed for neutral-backboned gels with similar PBA-SHA crosslinks.

Experimental Section

Materials

N-(3-Aminopropyl)methacrylamide hydrochloride (APMAm) was purchased from Polysciences, Inc. (Warrington, PA). 4-Carboxyphenylboronic acid pinacol ester was purchased from Frontier Scientific, Inc. (Logan, UT). 2-Acrylamido-2-methyl-1-propanesulfonic acid (AMPS) was recrystallized from methanol (dissolved at 60 °C, recrystallized at -20 °C) prior to use in free radical polymerizations. All other chemicals and reagents were purchased from Aldrich (St. Louis, MO) or Acros (Morris Plains, NJ) and used without further purification unless otherwise noted. Dichloromethane (DCM), dimethylformamide (DMF), ethyl acetate and methanol (MeOH) were dried over 4Å molecular sieves. Thin layer chromatography was carried out on silica gel plates (Whatman, Florham Park, NJ). Flash chromatography was performed using silica gel (60Å, 200–400 mesh size, Aldrich, St. Louis, MO). All ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra were acquired on a Varian Mercury 400 MHz spectrometer. ¹H chemical shifts are reported as δ referenced to solvent and coupling constants (*J*) are reported in Hz. Polymer molecular mass distributions were determined in DMF using GPC (GPC 1100, Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA) equipped with an organic column (PLgel mixed-B, Polymer Labs, Amherst, MA), a differential refractive index detector (BI-DNDC, Brookhaven Instruments, Holtsville, NY) and a multi-angle light scattering detector (BI-MwA, Brookhaven Instruments, Holtsville, NY). 2-Hydroxypropylmethacrylamide (HPMAm),³¹ 4-carboxyphenylboronic acid ethyl ester³² and methyl 4-(aminomethyl)salicylate hydrochloride²¹ have been previously reported.

Chemical Synthesis

N-[3-(2-Methyl-acryloylamino)-propyl]-4-amidophenylboronic acid (APMAmPBA) was synthesized using either 4-carboxyphenylboronic acid ethyl ester or 4-carboxyphenylboronic acid pinacol ester. As an example, the following describes the synthesis of the APMAmPBA pinacol ester: diisopropylcarbodiimide (3.67 g, 29.1 mmol) was added by syringe to a 0 °C chilled solution of 4-carboxyphenylboronic acid pinacol ester (6.86 g, 27.70 mmol) and N-hydroxysuccinimide (3.18 g, 27.7 mmol) in dry ethyl acetate (70 mL) under N₂ (g). The reaction was stirred for 30 min at 0 °C and then 3 hr at 25 °C. The solution was filtered to remove white urea precipitate, concentrated and re-dissolved in dry DCM (40 mL). A solution of APMAm (3.96 g, 22.16 mmol) and diisopropylethylamine (DIPEA, 7.23 mL, 44.32 mmol) in dry isopropanol (50 mL) was then added to the DCM solution and the reaction was allowed to stir under N₂ (g) at 25 °C overnight. The solution was then concentrated and purified by silica gel chromatography (99:1 CHCl₃:MeOH; R_f (90:8:2 CHCl₃:MeOH:CH₃COOH) = 0.5 to yield a white solid (7.56 g, 20.32 mmol, 92 %). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.82 (m, 4 H), 7.24 (br, 1 H), 6.80 (br, 1 H), 5.77 (s, 1 H), 5.33 (s, 1 H), 3.47 (m, 2 H), 3.37 (m, 2 H), 1.97 (s, 3 H), 1.73 (m, 2 H), 1.32 (s, 12 H); ¹³C NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 169.09, 168.00, 157.04, 139.69, 136.46, 134.92, 126.09, 120.01, 84.07, 36.17, 35.88, 29.76, 24.84, 18.65; FAB HRMS calcd. for C₂₀H₃₀N₂O₄B₁[M+H]⁺ 373.2299, found 373.2328.

4-[(2-Methyl-acryloylamino)-methyl]-salicylhydroxamic acid (MAAmSHA) was synthesized by the following: DIPEA (2.21 mL, 12.63 mmol) and O-benzotriazole-N,N,N',N'-tetramethyl-uronium-hexafluoro-phosphate (HBTU, 4.79 g, 12.63 mmol) were added to a solution of methacrylic acid (1.10 g, 12.79 mmol) in dried 10:1 DCM:DMF (44 mL) and stirred under N₂ (g) at 25 °C for 3 hr. This reaction solution was then added dropwise to

a 0 °C chilled solution of methyl 4-(aminomethyl)salicylate hydrochloride (2.40 g, 13.25 mmol) in dried DCM (16 mL), followed by the addition of DIPEA (4.8 mL, 27.50 mmol). The reaction was stirred at 25 °C overnight before being washed with water (3×) and concentrated *in vacuo*. This crude reaction (3.2 g) was then dissolved in DMF (12 mL) and DBU (4.1 g, 26.97 mmol) and 50% aqueous hydroxylamine (4.56 mL, 69.09 mmol) were added. The reaction was stirred under N₂ (g) at 25 °C for 24 hr. The solution was then concentrated under high vacuum and purified by silica gel chromatography (95:5 CHCl₃:MeOH; R_f (90:10 CHCl₃:MeOH) = 0.2 to yield a pale orange solid (3.06 g, 12.24 mmol, 97%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ 8.51 (m, 1 H), 7.61 (d, 1 H, *J* = 8.2 Hz), 6.76 (s, 1 H), 6.72 (d, 1 H, *J* = 7.8 Hz), 5.72 (s, 1 H), 5.37 (s, 1 H), 4.27 (d, 2 H, *J* = 5.9 Hz), 1.88 (s, 3 H), 1.59 (br, 1 H); ¹³C NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ 167.57, 166.19, 159.49, 145.72, 139.76, 126.98, 119.50, 117.45, 115.44, 112.41, 41.98, 18.69; FAB HRMS calcd. for C₁₂H₁₅N₂O₄[M+H]⁺ 251.1032, found 251.1025.

Polymer Synthesis

Polymers were synthesized using methods similar to those previously described.²³ Briefly, free radical polymerizations were performed using 95:5 molar ratios of AMPS with APMAmPBA or MAAmSHA. Polymerizations were performed using 25 wt% monomers with 2,2'-azobisisobutyronitrile (AIBN, 0.6 mol%) in DMF (75 wt%) at 65 °C for 24 hours under nitrogen atmosphere. Polymers were precipitated in acetone before being dissolved in water, centrifuged (3 times at 3000 rpm for 90 min) in ultrafiltration tubes (Amicon Ultra - 10,000 MWCO, Millipore) and lyophilized. Actual molar feed ratios of polymers were determined in DMSO-d₆ by ¹H NMR (Mercury 400 MHz spectrometer, Varian). Molecular weight distributions were measured by GPC in HPLC-grade DMF.

Gel Preparation

Polymers were individually dissolved in 25 mM phosphate buffer of varying pH (pH 7.2–8.0) at 50 mg/mL or in 25 mM pH 4.2 acetate buffer at 100 mg/mL. To vary ionic strength, different volumes of 5 M NaCl in water were added to dissolved polymers prior to pH and final concentration adjustment. The pH of each solution was carefully adjusted using tracked volumes of 0.1–5 M NaOH before final concentrations were determined. Ionic strength was calculated as:

$$I_s = 1/2 \sum c_i z_i^2 \quad (1)$$

where c_i is the concentration and z_i the charge number of ionic species i .

Gels were formed by simultaneously pipetting equal volumes of the prepared PBA- and SHA-functionalized polymer solutions at equal polymer concentrations and ionic strengths directly onto the rheometer's Peltier plate.

Dynamic rheology

Dynamic rheology was performed using methods similar to previously described.²³ Briefly, a steel 20-mm diameter, 4° cone-shaped geometry on a stress-controlled rheometer (AR550, TA Instruments) was utilized for all experiments. First, oscillatory time sweeps at small amplitude oscillatory stress (1–5 Pa), small angular frequency (0.1 rad/s) conditions were performed at 25 °C until gel formation was stabilized and/or complete. Second, on the first of each new gel condition (i.e., pH, ionic strength), oscillatory stress sweeps (1–100 Pa oscillatory stress, 0.1 rad/s angular frequency) were performed in order to determine the linear viscoelastic range from which an oscillatory stress will be chosen and applied to subsequent experiments. Finally, oscillatory frequency sweeps were performed at a

controlled, small amplitude oscillatory stress (ranging from 1–5 Pa) over a multi-log range of angular frequencies (as wide as 0.001–100 rad/s, depending on the gel's crossover frequency characteristics) at 25 °C and then 37 °C following a 2-minute temperature equilibration. Dynamic gel rigidity ($G'_{plateau}$) was calculated as the average G' from the plateau region of each oscillatory frequency sweep. The characteristic relaxation time, τ , was then calculated as:

$$\tau = 2\pi / \omega_c \quad (2)$$

where ω_c is the crossover frequency and is determined as the angular frequency at which $G' = G''$. All experiments were performed on triplicate gel samples unless otherwise stated.

Data is represented as the mean (\pm s.e.m.). For evaluating the effects of temperature on the rheological properties of repeatedly tested samples, paired two-tailed t-tests were performed. All other statistical analyses were performed by either two-tailed unpaired, unequal variance t-tests (for comparison of two data sets) or one-way ANOVA (for comparison of more than two data sets).

Master curve analysis

Master curve analysis was performed on the mean values of all $G'(\omega)$ and $G''(\omega)$ data sets with reference to the mean $G'(\omega)$ and $G''(\omega)$ curves for the neutral backbone pHPMAm-based PBA-SHA gels of similar composition (50 mg/mL, 5 mol% functionality) at pH 7.6, 25 °C and $I_s = 57$ mM. Superpositioning was performed using Matlab. Specifically, a user-defined function (see Supplementary Information) was written to find the horizontal (a) and vertical (b) shift factors that minimized the sum of the mean squared residuals between the reference and shifted data curves. Interpolation, as well as extrapolation, was performed on the reference curve to provide enhanced curve fitting. The function simultaneously fits both the $G'(\omega)$ and $G''(\omega)$ curves and concurrently calculates a and b for the best fit.

Furthermore, a weighting factor was applied such that the G'' residuals were of similar magnitude as the G' residuals, giving each curve equal importance in determining the optimal superimposition.

Results and Discussion

Free radical polymerization of AMPS monomer with 5 mol% APMAmPBA or MAAMSHA monomer generated highly water-soluble multivalent PBA- and SHA-functionalized anionic polymers of $M_w \sim 300$ –400 kD (Figure 2a, Table 1). When these polymers are individually dissolved (at 50 mg/mL) in weakly buffered (25 mM) phosphate solutions, carefully titrated to pH 7.6 (final $I_s = 102$ mM) and then mixed 1:1, self-healing gels that dynamically restructure result. This observation is dramatically different from our previous observations of neutral pHPMAm-based PBA-SHA networks, which demonstrated brittle, more permanently crosslinked network behavior at similar pH yet dynamic restructuring capabilities at pH < 6. However, when 100–300 mM NaCl (final $I_s = 208$ –415 mM) is added to the pAMPS-based polymer solutions prior to mixing, networks that are more densely and permanently crosslinked result, much like the pHPMAm-backboned PBA-SHA networks at pH 7.6. These qualitative observations suggest that the negative charge of the pAMPS polymer backbone at low ionic strength is generating an acidic microenvironment in the vicinity of the PBA-SHA moieties due to a large Donnan ratio (i.e., $[H^+]_{inside}/[H^+]_{outside}$). This shifts the binding equilibrium to the left, causing the PBA-SHA crosslinks to function dynamically at pH 7.6 much like our previously reported neutral-backboned PBA-SHA networks at pH ~ 4 .²³ When Na^+ is added, the Donnan ratio decreases and therefore the pH in the vicinity of the PBA-SHA crosslinks is closer to the bulk solution's neutral pH; at this

pH, the equilibrium constant for the association of PBA and SHA is large and the gel becomes more highly crosslinked (Figure 2b).

Effect of Ionic Strength on Frequency-Dependent Moduli

Quantitative evaluation of the polysulfonate-backed PBA-SHA crosslinked gels at varying ionic strengths by dynamic rheology further supports the hypothesis that the negatively-charged polysulfonate backbone affects the dynamics of the viscoelastic PBA-SHA crosslinked networks at neutral pH. As can be seen in Figure 3a, the moduli-frequency curves for polysulfonate-backed PBA-SHA gels (50 mg/mL) at pH 7.6 are highly dependent on the ionic strength of the gel composition. Whereas polysulfonate-backed gels at low ionic strength ($I_s \sim 100$ mM) revealed viscous-dominant gel behavior at $\omega < 0.09$ rad/s (i.e., $G'' > G'$), gels with a 1–4 fold increase in ionic strength demonstrated a dramatic shift in the frequency response such that viscous-dominant gel behavior only occurs at approximately one order of magnitude lower angular frequencies (i.e., $\omega < 0.006$ and 0.01 rad/s for I_s of 415 and 208 mM, respectively). Alternatively, PBA-SHA gels comprised of a neutral pHPMAM polymer backbone were insensitive to changes in ionic strength, demonstrating minimal shifts in their frequency-dependent moduli with $G'' > G'$ at $\omega < 0.005$ rad/s for both $I_s = 57$ or 275 mM conditions (Figure 3b).

When we consider the dynamic gel rigidity, $G'_{plateau}$ (Figure 4a), and characteristic relaxation time, τ (Figure 4b), for these networks, we find that both $G'_{plateau}$ and τ significantly increase by at least one order of magnitude with a 1–4 fold increase in ionic strength ($p = 0.044$ and 0.006 , respectively). When a similar comparison of ionic strength was performed on neutral pHPMAM-backed PBA-SHA gels at similar pH and polymer concentration, we found that both $G'_{plateau}$ and τ were unaffected by ionic strength ($p = 0.112$ and 0.401 , respectively; Figure 4). These results suggest that the negatively-charged polymer backbone, in the absence of salt (i.e., at low ionic strength), dramatically alters the network crosslink binding equilibrium, thereby generating minimally crosslinked networks that are capable of reorganizing on short timescales. In the presence of salt (i.e., at increased ionic strength), the effect of the anionic polymers on the crosslink binding equilibrium is nullified, rendering more densely crosslinked and much less transient networks at this neutral pH. Moreover, these chemorheological properties are very similar to those of salt-insensitive, neutral pHPMAM-based gels of otherwise comparable composition. We hypothesize that these effects result from a Donnan equilibrium where the polyanionic backbone concentrates protons local to the PBA-SHA crosslinks under low salt conditions; under high salt, sodium ions replace the protons, resulting in a locally increased pH.

It should be noted that we attempted to compare these experimental determinations of $G'_{plateau}$ and τ with those mathematically determined using a best-fit Maxwell model with one relaxation time and plateau modulus. This Maxwell model is a commonly used tool for describing many viscoelastic materials, including borate ester crosslinked gels,^{18, 33} and predicting their relaxation behavior. However, despite the qualitatively model-consistent rheological behavior of the gels described here (i.e., a single $G'_{plateau}$ and a single inflection in G''), the simple Maxwell model provided poor fits, particularly in terms of ω_c , for all gel compositions tested and thus was found to be insufficient to describe the relaxation dynamics of these polysulfonate-backed PBA-SHA crosslinked hydrogel networks (data not shown). Until a more sophisticated model can be determined, the presentation of the mean (with statistical error) plateau modulus and characteristic relaxation time for triplicate samples that were experimentally tested was deemed a better method of evaluating the gel's chemorheological properties.

Effect of pH

Given the strong dependence of these polysulfonate-backed PBA-SHA crosslinked gels on ionic strength at pH 7.6, it is likely that similar gels may also show finely pH-dependent rheological properties (i.e., in the neutral pH range) depending on the ionic strength. In fact, we found that at low ionic strength (final $I_s = 102\text{--}127\text{ mM}$), 50 mg/mL of mixed polymer solutions do not gel at pH 7.2, form slowly self-healing gels at pH 7.6 and generate more brittle, permanently crosslinked networks at pH 8.0. However, when the polysulfonate-backed polymers were exposed to higher ionic strengths (final $I_s = 415\text{--}456\text{ mM}$), strong gels now formed at pH 7.2 and no difference was observed in the gel behaviors at pH 7.2 and pH 7.6 (pH 8.0 was not tested at higher ionic strengths), similar to pHPMAM-based gels at pH > 6 (data not shown). Quantitatively, both $G'_{plateau}$ and τ significantly increased with pH at low ionic strength ($p = 0.007$ and 0.041 , respectively) but were unaffected by pH at high ionic strength ($p = 0.440$ and 0.252 , respectively; Figure 5). These results suggest that the polysulfonate-backed PBA-SHA networks under high salt conditions behave more like pHPMAM-backed networks at neutral pH, whereas the polysulfonate-backed PBA-SHA networks under low salt conditions are more sensitive to pH in the neutral pH range and thus behave more like the dynamic pHPMAM-backed networks at mildly acidic pH. Moreover, it should be noted that polysulfonate-backed PBA-SHA samples tested at pH 4.2 did not gel at any salt concentration tested ($[NaCl] \leq 1\text{ M}$, corresponding to final $I_s \leq 1.2\text{ M}$), whereas pHPMAM-backed samples formed weakly crosslinked gels at similar pH regardless of ionic strength (data not shown). Note that at this low pH, the PBA-SHA crosslinks are highly transient and so even with the p(HPMAM)-backbone PBA-SHA case gels form just above the critical gel point. Thus, it is likely that the polysulfonated PBA-SHA system is still below this gel point, potentially due to differences in chain conformation, and may require a slight increase in pH at high ionic strength to reach the gel point.

Effect of Temperature

Up to now, we have discussed the chemorheological properties of the polysulfonate-backed PBA-SHA crosslinked networks at ambient temperature (i.e., $25\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$). However, due to the exothermic nature of the coordinate covalent PBA-SHA crosslinks, we wanted to evaluate the effect of a slight increase in temperature from ambient to body temperature on both dynamic gel rigidity and the characteristic relaxation time. As shown in Figure 6a, $G'_{plateau}$ tended to decrease at the slightly elevated temperature for polysulfonate-backed PBA-SHA networks at low ionic strength but was less impacted by temperature when exposed to higher ionic strengths. Due to relatively large sample variance, this trend at low ionic strength wasn't always statistically significant, as paired t-tests only determined a statistically significant decrease in $G'_{plateau}$ for the pH 8.0, $I_s = 127\text{ mM}$ samples ($p = 0.033$, data not shown). However, this temperature dependence of $G'_{plateau}$ is consistent with our previous findings,²³ is related to the bond enthalpy³⁴ and initial degree of crosslinking, and is indicative of a dynamically crosslinked network that transitions from crosslinks that are few and transient (i.e., at low ionic strength) to those that are more dense and permanent (i.e., at high ionic strength). Furthermore, τ also tended to decrease with increased temperature but at all pHs and ionic strengths tested (Figure 6b, pH 7.6 data shown); this decrease in τ is due to the temperature induced shift in the binding equilibrium of the PBA-SHA crosslinks. However, this trend was also found to be statistically significant for only some data sets – p-values for pH 7.6, $I_s = 102, 208$ and 415 mM were $0.087, 0.002$ and 0.054 , respectively; p-values for pH 7.2, $I_s = 456\text{ mM}$ and pH 8.0, $I_s = 127\text{ mM}$ were both 0.033 .

Master Curve Analysis

Thus far, we have considered the influence of a negatively-charged polymer backbone, as well as the specific effects of further manipulations in ionic strength, pH and temperature, on the dynamic chemorheological properties of polysulfonate-backed PBA-SHA crosslinked hydrogels. To better understand these effects, we have considered the data from each experimental condition separately. However, given that the dynamics of these hydrogel networks are a direct result of the condition-specific shifts in the relaxation characteristics of the transient crosslinks or their density, it is likely that we can compile all data sets into a single master curve of the relaxation response of PBA-SHA crosslinked gels in general. By reducing variables using vertical and horizontal shift factors, we may superimpose frequency-moduli data. This principle of reducing variables to describe a common relaxation mechanism in viscoelastic polymer systems originated with Leaderman,³⁵ Tobolsky and Andrews,³⁶ and Williams, Landel and Ferry³⁷ with the use of time-temperature superimposition. Since then, master curve analysis by superimposition has been applied to temperature^{2, 15, 17, 18, 38} as well as other relationships, including pH,^{17, 18} polymer concentration,^{2, 38} molecular weight,³⁹ ionic strength,³⁸ competition experiments,² and various combinations of these parameters.^{38, 39}

We thus performed a master curve analysis on the mean values of all data sets collected from polysulfonate-backed PBA-SHA crosslinked gels under varying conditions (i.e., ionic strength, pH, temperature) after choosing a neutral pHPMAM-backed PBA-SHA crosslinked gel of similar composition (50 mg/mL, 5 mol% functionality, pH 7.6, $I_s = 57$ mM, 25 °C) as the reference condition. Horizontal, a , and vertical, b , shift factors were determined for each condition utilizing a Matlab-based, user-defined function that minimized the sum of the mean squared residuals between the reference and shifted $G'(\omega)$ and $G''(\omega)$ curves simultaneously. One set of shift factors (a and b) was then determined for each gel condition, such that:

$$a = a_I \bullet a_{pH} \bullet a_T \quad (3)$$

$$b = b_I \bullet b_{pH} \bullet b_T \quad (4)$$

where a_I and b_I , a_{pH} and b_{pH} , and a_T and b_T are undetermined shift factor components corresponding to ionic strength, pH and temperature, respectively. Superimposition of polysulfonate-backed PBA-SHA crosslinked gels to a reference polyneutral backed PBA-SHA gel was successful for all data sets, including those which varied in ionic strength ($I_s = 102$ – 456 mM), temperature (25 and 37 °C) and pH (7.2–8.0). For clarity sake, the following figures demonstrate our master curve analysis for gels that varied in ionic strength and temperature at pH 7.6 only (the same pH as the reference gel). For a table of all determined shift factors, see the Supplementary Information.

As can be seen in Figure 7, raw $G'(\omega)$ data (Figure 7a) can effectively be shifted into a single master $G'(\omega)$ curve (Figure 7b). Furthermore, the same shift factors effectively superimpose the terminal region of the corresponding raw $G''(\omega)$ curves (Figure 8); this good superpositioning in the terminal region of the master $G''(\omega)$ curve corresponds to the longest crosslink-associated relaxation time, whereas poor superpositioning at higher frequencies is due to interference by short-time relaxation processes that correlate to a global motion in the polymer chains.^{2, 17, 18}

Not only are we successful in generating a master curve of the relaxation response of polysulfonate-backed PBA-SHA crosslinked gels relative to a neutral pHPMAM-backed PBA-SHA crosslinked gel (at 25 °C) reference condition, but we can elicit

unsurprising yet remarkable trends in the condition-specific shift factors determined as a function of ionic strength and temperature. As can be seen in Figures 9 and 10, both horizontal (a) and vertical (b) shift factors of data sets at 25 °C asymptotically approach the value of 1, demonstrating that moduli-frequency curves from polysulfonate-backboned PBA-SHA crosslinked gels at elevated ionic strength required minimal shifting to superimpose with the pHPMAm-based gel reference curve. However, as ionic strength decreased, the extent of the leftward horizontal shift (indicated by $a < 1$) and upward vertical shift (indicated by $b > 1$) dramatically increased, thus describing the extensive requirements of superimposing curves from gels that are increasingly dynamic and weak with the highly brittle and stronger reference gel. Moreover, moduli-frequency data sets at 37 °C required even more exaggerated horizontal shift factors than those at 25 °C for all ionic strengths tested. This result was expected given the exothermic nature of the PBA-SHA crosslinking chemistry and the chosen pHPMAm-based gel reference at 25 °C. If the reference condition were chosen at 37 °C, we would expect the polysulfonate-backboned PBA-SHA crosslinked gel curves at 37 °C to asymptote at 1 and corresponding curves at 25 °C to require rightward shifting (i.e., $a > 1$) for high ionic strength conditions. As for the vertical shift factors at the elevated temperature, more extensive shifting was required at low ionic strength, due to a reduced crosslink density and subsequently lower $G'_{plateau}$ of the weak gels, yet a minimal affect was observed at higher ionic strength, where $G'_{plateau}$ was also found to be unaffected. This master curve analysis leads us to the conclusion that it is not the mechanism of relaxation in these polysulfonate-backboned PBA-SHA crosslinked gels that is affected by the presence of a negatively charged polymer backbone, as superimposition would not be possible with reference to the neutral pHPMAm-based gels. Rather, it is the relaxation rate of the PBA-SHA crosslinks comprising the gel networks that is affected by the anionic backbone of the polysulfonate-backboned PBA-SHA crosslinked gels, as well as ionic strength, temperature and pH. This interpretation is consistent with other research groups performing similar master curve analyses on other reversibly crosslinked polymeric networks, including noncovalent hyaluronic acid hydrogels³⁸ and metal-ligand associatively crosslinked organogels.⁴⁰

Conclusion

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first quantitative rheological study of reversible gels with specialized, pH-dependent coordinate covalent crosslinks manipulated by a Donnan effect. Specifically, we have demonstrated the ability to manipulate the pH dependence of dynamically crosslinked PBA-SHA hydrogel networks by the incorporation of a negatively-charged polymer backbone. At low ionic strength, these polysulfonate-backboned PBA-SHA crosslinked networks reveal frequency-dependent chemorheological properties that are highly sensitive to pH changes in the neutral pH range. However, at higher ionic strengths, the PBA-SHA networks become more permanently crosslinked and pH independent in this neutral pH range. Moreover, the relaxation response of polysulfonate-backboned PBA-SHA crosslinked gels is similar to that of neutral-backboned PBA-SHA gels, as was demonstrated by the effective reduction of all moduli-frequency data into a single master curve with reference to a pHPMAm-backboned gel of comparable composition. This particular reversible gel system has the advantages that it is synthetically flexible compared to the borate-polyol systems and compositional changes in the polymers can readily provide a wide range of tuned properties. This work suggests that these materials may be engineered to fit the design needs of “smart,” bioresponsive biomedical applications in which specific responses to natural or unnatural cues is required.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by NIH-NIAID R21 AI62445-01.

References

1. Breedveld V, Nowak AP, Sato J, Deming TJ, Pine DJ. *Macromolecules*. 2004; 37:3943–3953.
2. Charlot A, Auzely-Velty R. *Macromolecules*. 2007; 40:9555–9563.
3. Nowak AP, Breedveld V, Pakstis L, Ozbas B, Pine DJ, Pochan D, Deming TJ. *Nature*. 2002; 417:424–428. [PubMed: 12024209]
4. Petka WA, Harden JL, McGrath KP, Wirtz D, Tirrell DA. *Science*. 1998; 281:389–392. [PubMed: 9665877]
5. Sijbesma RP, Beijer FH, Brunsveld L, Folmer BJB, Hirschberg JHKK, Lange RFM, Lowe JKL, Meijer EW. *Science*. 1997; 278:1601–1604. [PubMed: 9374454]
6. Yokoi H, Kinoshita T, Zhang S. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2005; 102:8414–8419. [PubMed: 15939888]
7. Boeseken J. *Advances in Carbohydrate Chemistry*. 1949; 4:189–210.
8. Lorand JP, Edwards JO. *Journal of Organic Chemistry*. 1959; 24:769–774.
9. Sugihara JM, Bowman CM. *Journal of the American Chemical Society*. 1958; 80:2443–2446.
10. Bucci S, Gallino G, Lockhart TP. *Polymer Preprints*. 1991; 32:457–458.
11. Pezron E, Ricard A, Lafuma F, Audebert R. *Macromolecules*. 1988; 21:1121–1125.
12. Power DJ, Rodd AB, Paterson L, Boger DV. *Journal of Rheology*. 1998; 42:1021–1037.
13. Robb ID, Smeulders JBAF. *Polymer*. 1997; 38:2165–2169.
14. Lin HL, Liu YF, Yu TL, Liu WH, Rwei SP. *Polymer*. 2005; 46:5541–5549.
15. Schultz RK, Myers RR. *Macromolecules*. 1969; 2:281–285.
16. Goodwin, JW.; Hughes, RW. *Rheology for Chemists: An Introduction*. Royal Society of Chemistry; Cambridge, United Kingdom: 2000.
17. Pezron E, Ricard A, Leibler L. *Journal of Polymer Science, Part B: Polymer Physics*. 1990; 28:2445–2461.
18. Kesavan S, Prud'homme RK. *Macromolecules*. 1992; 25:2026–2032.
19. Djanashvili K, Frullano L, Peters JA. *Chemistry*. 2005; 11:4010–4018. [PubMed: 15838860]
20. Zhao J, Davidson MG, Mahon MF, Kociok-Kohn G, James TD. *Journal of the American Chemical Society*. 2004; 126:16179–16186. [PubMed: 15584754]
21. Stolowitz ML, Ahlem C, Hughes KA, Kaiser RJ, Kesicki EA, Li G, Lund KP, Torkelson SM, Wiley JP. *Bioconjugate Chemistry*. 2001; 12:229–239. [PubMed: 11312684]
22. Wiley JP, Hughes KA, Kaiser RJ, Kesicki EA, Lund KP, Stolowitz ML. *Bioconjugate Chemistry*. 2001; 12:240–250. [PubMed: 11312685]
23. Roberts MC, Hanson MC, Massey AP, Karren EA, Kiser PF. *Advanced Materials*. 2007; 19:2503–2507.
24. Winblade ND, Nikolic ID, Hoffman AS, Hubbell JA. *Biomacromolecules*. 2000; 1:523–533. [PubMed: 11710177]
25. Yan J, Springsteen G, Deeter S, Wang B. *Tetrahedron*. 2004; 60:11205–11209.
26. Hisamitsu I, Kataoka K, Okano T, Sakurai Y. *Pharmaceutical Research*. 1997; 14:289–293. [PubMed: 9098868]
27. Matsumoto A, Yoshida R, Kataoka K. *Biomacromolecules*. 2004; 5:1038–1045. [PubMed: 15132698]
28. Ricka J, Tanaka T. *Macromolecules*. 1984; 17:2916–2921.
29. Eichenbaum GM, Kiser PF, Simon SA, Needham D. *Macromolecules*. 1998; 31:5084–5093. [PubMed: 9680449]
30. Yanovsky, YG. *Polymer Rheology: Theory and Practice*. Chapman & Hall; London, UK: 1993.
31. Kopecek J, Bazilova H. *European Polymer Journal*. 1973; 9:7–14.

32. Haber, S.; Meudt, A.; Scherer, S.; Vollmueller, F. Process for preparing substituted phenylboronic acids. 2000–107821, 1046640, 20000412. 2000.
33. Koike A, Nemoto N, Inoue T, Osaki K. *Macromolecules*. 1995; 28:2339–2344.
34. Franse, MWCP. Dissertation. Delft University of Technology; Delft, The Netherlands: 2002. A rheological and structural study of polymer networks.
35. Leaderman, H. *Elastic and Creep Properties of Filamentous Materials and other High Polymers*. Textile Foundation; Washington D.C: 1943.
36. Tobolsky AV, Andrews RD. *Journal of Chemical Physics*. 1945; 13:3–27.
37. Williams ML, Landel RF, Ferry JD. *Journal of the American Chemical Society*. 1955; 77:3701–3707.
38. Gibbs DA, Merrill EW, Smith KA, Balazs EA. *Biopolymers*. 1968; 6:777–791. [PubMed: 5654612]
39. Calciu-Rusu D, Rothfuss E, Eckelt J, Haase T, Dick HB, Wolf BA. *Biomacromolecules*. 2007; 8:1287–1292. [PubMed: 17355119]
40. Yount WC, Loveless DM, Craig SL. *Journal of the American Chemical Society*. 2005; 127:14488–14496. [PubMed: 16218645]





Figure 1. Scheme of reversible coordinate covalent phenylboronate-salicylhydroxamate (PBA-SHA) chemistry. When the PBA (upper left) and SHA (lower left) moieties are bound to water-soluble polymers (P_1 and P_2), the multivalent, binary polymer system will crosslink when mixed to form a hydrogel network under a range of pH conditions.

**Figure 2.**

The reversibility of phenylboronate-salicylhydroxamate crosslinked hydrogel networks can be manipulated using an anionic polymer backbone and changes in ionic strength. **a)** Linear water-soluble polymers containing either phenylboronic acid (p(AMPS-APMAmPBA), left) or salicylhydroxamic acid (p(AMPS-MAAmSHA), right) were synthesized with a polysulfonate (poly(2-acrylamido-2-methyl-1-propanesulfonic acid), or pAMPS) backbone. The polymers were free radical polymerized at a 95:5 molar feed ratio (AMPS:APMAmPBA or AMPS:MAAmSHA). **b)** When the two different polymers (each represented in either blue or black with yellow) are mixed under aqueous, low salt conditions at neutral pH (left panel), dynamically restructuring hydrogel networks form. These gel dynamics occur because the anionic polymer backbone induces a locally acidic microenvironment due to the Donnan effect, thereby shifting the crosslinking reaction between PBA and SHA moieties toward the unbound state (see Figure 1). When the polymers are mixed in the presence of salt (right panel), Na⁺ ions (red circles) competitively displace the protons and subsequently increase the pH adjacent to the negatively charged polymer backbone, causing the pH experienced by the crosslinks to be closer to the bulk solution pH. This generates more permanent PBA-SHA crosslinks and subsequently non-reversible networks.




Figure 3.

Frequency-dependent viscoelastic behavior of PBA-SHA crosslinked hydrogel networks formed at pH 7.6 and varying ionic strengths. Storage (G') and loss (G'') moduli versus angular frequency for PBA-SHA crosslinked polymer networks with either an anionic (pAMPS, **a**) or neutral (pHPMAM, **b**) polymer backbone. Note the leftward and upward shift in frequency-dependent viscoelasticity of the polysulfonate-backed gel networks by increasing ionic strength (I_s , **a**), whereas the frequency-dependent viscoelasticity of neutral-backed gels was minimally affected by ionic strength (**b**). Mean ($n = 3$).




Figure 4.

Effect of ionic strength on viscoelastic gel behavior. Dynamic gel rigidity ($G'_{plateau}$, **a**) and characteristic relaxation time (τ , **b**) versus ionic strength for PBA-SHA crosslinked gels comprised of either anionic/polysulfonate (pAMPS-based gels, open symbols) or neutral (pHPMAm-based gels, closed symbols) polymer backbones at pH 7.6. Both $G'_{plateau}$ and τ significantly increased with ionic strength for polysulfonate-backed PBA-SHA polymer networks ($p < 0.05$), whereas $G'_{plateau}$ and τ for neutral-backed PBA-SHA polymer networks were unaffected by ionic strength. Mean \pm s.e.m ($n = 3$).




Figure 5. Effect of small pH changes on viscoelastic gel behavior. Dynamic gel rigidity ($G'_{plateau}$, **a**) and characteristic relaxation time (τ , **b**) versus pH for polysulfonate-backboned PBA-SHA crosslinked gels with 0 mM ($I_s = 102\text{--}127$ mM) or 300 mM ($I_s = 415\text{--}456$ mM) salt added. Both $G'_{plateau}$ and τ significantly increased with small increases in pH (pH 7.2–8.0) for polysulfonate-backboned PBA-SHA networks at low salt conditions (0 mM salt added; $p < 0.05$), whereas those for similar gels with 300 mM salt added were unaffected by pH in this neutral pH range. Note samples at pH 7.2 with no salt added did not form gels. Mean \pm s.e.m (n = 3).




Figure 6. Effect of temperature on viscoelastic gel behavior. Dynamic gel rigidity ($G'_{plateau}$, **a**) and characteristic relaxation time (τ , **b**) for pH 7.6 polysulfonate-backboned PBA-SHA crosslinked gels of varying ionic strengths at 25 °C (grey bars) and 37 °C (black bars). Gel rigidity tended to decrease with increased temperature at lower ionic strengths, whereas characteristic relaxation time tended to decrease at all ionic strengths tested. Mean + s.e.m (n = 3).




Figure 7.

Master curve analysis of the elastic modulus, G' , for polysulfonate-backed PBA-SHA crosslinked gels. Raw (a) and reduced (b) G' (ω) curves for polysulfonate-backed PBA-SHA crosslinked gels at pH 7.6 and varying ionic strength (I_s , 102–415 mM), and temperature (25–37 °C). Reduced curves were obtained using horizontal and vertical shift factors plotted in Figures 9 and 10, respectively. Neutral pHPMAm-backed PBA-SHA crosslinked gels of similar polymer concentration (50 mg/mL), degree of functionality (5 mol%) and pH (pH 7.6) at $I_s = 57$ mM and 25 °C were used as the reference condition. The mean moduli of triplicate samples were used for both the reference curve and shifted curves.




Figure 8.

Master curve analysis of the viscous modulus, G'' , for polysulfonate-backed PBA-SHA crosslinked gels. Raw (a) and reduced (b) G'' (ω) curves for polysulfonate-backed PBA-SHA crosslinked gels at pH 7.6 and varying ionic strength (I_s , 102–415 mM), and temperature (25–37 °C). Reduced curves were obtained using horizontal and vertical shift factors plotted in Figures 9 and 10, respectively. Neutral pHPMAm-backed gels of similar polymer concentration (50 mg/mL), degree of functionality (5 mol%) and pH (pH 7.6) at $I_s = 57$ mM and 25 °C were used as the reference condition. The mean moduli of triplicate samples were used for both the reference curve and shifted curves. Note that good superposition can be observed in the terminal region, corresponding to the crosslink-associated relaxation time, whereas the poor superposition at higher frequencies is due to interference by short-time processes that correspond to polymer chain motion.




Figure 9. Effect of ionic strength on the horizontal shift factor, a , used to obtain the master curves for polysulfonate-backboned PBA-SHA crosslinked gels at pH 7.6 in Figures 7b and 8b. Neutral pHPMAm-backboned PBA-SHA crosslinked gels of similar polymer concentration (50 mg/mL), degree of functionality (5 mol%) and pH (pH 7.6) at $I_s = 57$ mM and 25 °C were used as the reference condition. Note that for data sets at 25 °C, in which $a = a_{pH}$, increasing ionic strength causes a to approach the minimally shifted value of 1.




Figure 10. Effect of ionic strength on the vertical shift factor, b , used to obtain the master curves for polysulfonate-backed PBA-SHA crosslinked gels at pH 7.6 in Figures 7b and 8b. Neutral pHPMAm-backed PBA-SHA crosslinked gels of similar polymer concentration (50 mg/mL), degree of functionality (5 mol%) and pH (pH 7.6) at $I_s = 57$ mM and 25 °C were used as the reference condition. Note that as ionic strength increases, b approaches the minimally shifted value of 1 for both 25 and 37 °C data sets.

Table 1

Polymer compositions and molecular weight distributions.

Polymer	Theoretical Mole Ratio (Actual Mole Ratio ^d) (mol%)			Molecular Weight ^b (kD)	
	AMPS	APMAmPBA	MAAmSHA	Mw	Mn
p(AMPS ₉₅ -APMAmPBA ₅)	95 (95.0)	5 (5.0)	--	339	272
p(AMPS ₉₅ -MAAmSHA ₅)	95 (96.6)	--	5 (3.4)	391	330

AMPS: 2-acrylamido-2-methyl-1-propanesulfonic acid; APMAmPBA: N-[3-(2-methyl-acryloylamino)propyl]-4-amidophenylboronic acid, pinacol ester; MAAmSHA: 4-[(2-methyl-acryloylamino)-methyl]-salicylhydroxamic acid.

^a Actual molar ratio was determined by ¹H NMR.

^b Mw and Mn were determined by GPC-MALS with refractive index detection and are represented as means of triplicate experiments.