

Chiral Symmetry Breaking in the
Presence of a Confining Interaction


L.S. Celenza, Xiang-Dong Li, and C.M. Shakin*

Department of Physics and Center for Nuclear Theory
Brooklyn College of the City University of New York
Brooklyn, New York 11210

(October, 1996)

*Electronic mail: CASBC@CUNYVM.CUNY.EDU

Submitted to Physical Review C (Subnucleon Aspects of Nuclei/Physics of Hadrons)



Swg642

Abstract

We study chiral symmetry breaking, making use of a generalized Nambu–Jona-Lasinio (NJL) model that includes a description of confinement. The Schwinger-Dyson and Bethe-Salpeter equations are solved for our model of the self-energy of a quark. We show that our analysis is consistent with the Goldstone theorem. That is, the pion has zero mass, if the current quark masses are zero. We use a confining interaction with a (Dirac) matrix structure that leads to simple equations for the self-energy and for a vertex function that serves to sum a ladder of confining interactions. We consider spacelike values of q^2 , and carry out our analysis in a Euclidean momentum space. For timelike q^2 , we use calculational procedures that we have developed in our earlier work in order to exhibit properties of the confining vertex. We find that the strength of the zero-range quark-quark interaction of the NJL model needs to be increased to compensate for the presence of the confining interaction, if we wish to obtain the same value of the momentum-dependent constituent quark mass (at $k^2 = 0$) as the constant value, m_q , obtained in the absence of the confining interaction. For the spacelike values of q^2 considered here, we see that the effects due to the introduction of our model of confinement are small. (However, such effects are very important for timelike q^2 . Their consideration is essential, if we wish to study mesons, such as the rho and omega, in our model.)

I. Introduction

In recent years, we have seen numerous applications of the Nambu–Jona-Lasinio (NJL) model in the study of chiral symmetry breaking and in the description of hadron properties [1]. Usually, confinement is neglected, so that the theory may be applied to study the pion and the "sigma meson". (The sigma meson appears at the threshold of the quark-antiquark continuum, while the rho and omega are in the continuum of the model.) In previous work, we have shown how a confining interaction (a linear potential) introduced in our momentum-space calculations removes the unitarity cut associated with the quark and antiquark going on mass shell. The resulting formalism then only has cuts when hadrons go on mass shell [2]. In our previous work we assumed that the constituent quark mass had a constant value that was obtained from the gap equation, without confinement. In the present work, we have included the confining interaction in the Schwinger-Dyson equation and we, therefore, find a self-energy for the quark that varies with k^2 , the square of the quark momentum.

It is usually thought that confinement is not particularly important for the study of the low-energy hadron spectrum. In this work we wish to put such suggestions on a more quantitative basis and to obtain guidance as to the implementation of a more comprehensive treatment of low-lying mesonic states, including the pseudoscalar octet and the η' . The organization of our work is as follows. In Section II we describe the confining interaction that we use in this work. In Section III, we present equations for the quark self-energy, $\Sigma(k) = B(k^2)k + A(k^2)$. In Section IV, we introduce a vertex function that serves to sum a "ladder" of confining interactions and present results of our calculations of that quantity. In Section V, we discuss the vertex function associated with the entire interaction of the generalized

NJL model. We show that the Goldstone theorem is satisfied, with the zero-mass pion as the Goldstone boson. The calculation of quark-antiquark loop integrals (polarization diagrams) is described in Section VI. Finally, Section VII contains a summary and some conclusions.

II. The NJL Model with a Confining Interaction

For our study we will use the SU(2)-flavor version of our model, where the Lagrangian is

$$\mathcal{L} = \bar{q}(x)(i\partial - m_q^0)q(x) + \frac{G_S}{2} \left[(\bar{q}(x)q(x))^2 + (\bar{q}(x)i\gamma_5\bar{\tau}q(x))^2 \right] + \mathcal{L}_{conf} . \quad (2.1)$$

We will use

$$\mathcal{L}_{conf} = \left[\bar{q}(x)\gamma^\mu q(x) V^C(x-y)\bar{q}(y)\gamma_\mu q(y) - \bar{q}(x)\gamma^\mu\gamma_5 q(x) V^C(x-y)\bar{q}(y)\gamma_\mu\gamma_5 q(y) \right] . \quad (2.2)$$

(The advantages of using this form will be made clear as we proceed.) Thus, the confining interaction is

$$\bar{V}(x-y) = V^C(x-y) \left[\gamma^\mu(1)\gamma_\mu(2) - \gamma^\mu(1)\gamma_5(1)\gamma_\mu(2)\gamma_5(2) \right] . \quad (2.3)$$

We used $V^C(r) = \kappa r \exp(-\mu r)$ in our earlier work, with $\mu = 0.050$ GeV. (The parameter μ is introduced to make the Fourier transform of $V^C(r)$ less singular and, thus, facilitate our numerical calculations.) The Fourier transform of $V^C(r)$ is

$$V^C(\bar{k} - \bar{k}') = -4\pi\kappa \left\{ \frac{2}{[(\bar{k} - \bar{k}')^2 + \mu^2]^2} - \frac{8\mu^2}{[(\bar{k} - \bar{k}')^2 + \mu^2]^3} \right\} . \quad (2.4)$$

However, for calculations in a Euclidean momentum space, that we report upon in this work, it is necessary to treat all the components of the momentum transfer on the same footing.

Therefore, we will use

$$V_E^C(k-k') = -4\pi\kappa \left\{ \frac{2}{(k_E^2 + \mu^2)^2} - \frac{8\mu^2}{(k_E^2 + \mu^2)^3} \right\}, \quad (2.5)$$

where k_E^μ is the momentum transfer in the Euclidean momentum space.

It is useful to write

$$\bar{V}(x-y) = V^C(x-y) \sum_{i=1}^2 O_i(1) O_i(2) \quad (2.6)$$

with $O_1 = \gamma^\mu$ and $O_2 = i\gamma^\mu \gamma_5$. [See Eq. (2.3).] (We will use a bar over a letter to denote a quantity that has Dirac matrix indices.)

For the interaction of Eq. (2.3), the Lagrangian has chiral symmetry, if $m_q^0 = 0$. Indeed, each of the terms in Eq. (2.3) respects chiral symmetry. Our motivation in combining the terms, as in Eq. (2.3), is to achieve a particularly simple form for the self-energy and vertex functions. For example, if we write the self-energy as $\Sigma(k^2) = B(k^2)k + A(k^2)$, we find that $B(k^2) = 0$. Also, if we consider a scalar or pseudoscalar vertex for the confining interaction, $\bar{F}_S(q^2, q \cdot k, k^2)$ or $\bar{F}_P(q^2, q \cdot k, k^2)$, we find that, when Eq. (2.3) is used, $\bar{F}_P(q^2, q \cdot k, k^2) = F_P(q^2, q \cdot k, k^2) \gamma_5 \tau^i$ and $\bar{F}_S(q^2, q \cdot k, k^2) = F_S(q^2, q \cdot k, k^2) \cdot \mathbf{I}$. Here \mathbf{I} denotes the unit matrix in the space of Dirac matrices. That is, the simple structure of the vertex operators of the NJL model is maintained in the presence of confinement, if $\bar{V}(x-y)$ of Eq. (2.3) is used. In the case we need to refer to that interaction, we may call it a $V-A$ form. That designation is in keeping with the current practice, where one speaks of "scalar confinement" or "vector confinement".

We now write the quark propagator as

$$iS(k) = \frac{i}{\not{k} - \Sigma(k) + i\epsilon} , \quad (2.7)$$

with $\Sigma(k) = B(k^2)\not{k} + A(k^2)$. This propagator is represented by a double line in Fig. 1. In Fig. 1a we see the equation for the quark self-energy, $\Sigma(k)$. There, the wavy line is the confining interaction. The filled circle in Fig. 1a denotes the element iG_S , where G_S is defined in Eq. (2.1). In the absence of the first term of Fig. 1a, we would reproduce the gap equation of the standard NJL model. In that case, one has a constant value for the constituent mass, $\Sigma(k) = m_q$, with $m_q \sim 250 - 350$ MeV.

In Fig. 1b, we show the integral equation for the quark propagator, $iS(k)$, given in terms of a massless propagator (single line) and the self-energy, $\Sigma(k)$.

III. The Quark Self-Energy

We write the quark self-energy as $\Sigma(k) = B(k^2)\not{k} + A(k^2)$. From the equation depicted in Fig. 1b, we find that $B(k^2) = 0$ and that $A(k^2)$ satisfies the equation

$$A(k^2) = i \int \frac{d^4k'}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{[8V^C(k-k') + 4n_c n_f G_S]}{k'^2 - A^2(k'^2)} A(k'^2) . \quad (3.1)$$

Note that, if $V^C = 0$, $A(k^2)$ is a constant. In that case, we have

$$m_q = 4n_c n_f G_S i \int \frac{d^4k'}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{m_q}{k'^2 - m_q^2} . \quad (3.2)$$

Thus, since $m_q^0 = 0$ at this point, m_q may be factored out to yield the equation

$$1 = 4n_c n_f G_S i \int \frac{d^4k'}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{1}{k'^2 - m_q^2} . \quad (3.3)$$

Solutions of Eq. (3.1) have been obtained by using a Euclidean momentum space. One may use either a covariant cutoff, where $k_E^2 < \Lambda_E^2$, or the Pauli-Villars regularization procedure, among other possibilities. We have done both kinds of calculations; however, the Pauli-Villars method is to be preferred, since it preserves the symmetries of the theory.

First, we note that, if $G_S = 7.91 \text{ GeV}^{-2}$ and $\kappa = 0$, and if we use a Euclidean momentum-space cutoff of $\Lambda_E = 1.0 \text{ GeV}$, we find $m_q = 241 \text{ MeV}$. Once we include a finite value for κ , we no longer obtain a constant mass. That is, $A(k^2)$ depends upon k^2 as shown in Fig. 2. For the result shown in Fig. 2, we put $\kappa = 0.140/8 \text{ GeV}^2 = 0.0175 \text{ GeV}^2$. The factor of (1/8) in our choice for κ serves to convert a value of κ appropriate to scalar confinement to a value appropriate to the interaction given in Eqs. (2.3) and (2.4). A factor of 2 is obtained

since we have two terms in Eq. (2.3) and a factor of 4 arises from the Lorentz character of the interaction.

We now choose G'_S such that $A(0) \approx m_q$, where m_q was the value obtained for $\kappa = 0$. We find that $G'_S = 8.95 \text{ GeV}^{-2}$ yields $A(0) = 239 \text{ MeV}$. Values for $A(k^2)$ for $\kappa = 0.0175 \text{ GeV}^2$ and $G'_S = 8.95 \text{ GeV}^{-2}$ are given in Fig. 2. (We use the notation G'_S when $\kappa \neq 0$.) The k^2 dependence of $A(k^2)$ is not strong. Going from $k^2 = 0$ to $k^2 = -1.0 \text{ GeV}^2$ only increases $A(k^2)$ by 22 percent. (We have $A(k^2) = 293 \text{ MeV}$ when $k^2 = -1 \text{ GeV}^2$.)

IV. The Vertex Function of the Confining Interaction

We now consider a vertex function that sums a "ladder" of confining interactions. The equation for the pseudoscalar vertex is then [2]

$$\bar{F}_P^i(q, k) = \gamma_5 \tau_i + \sum_{j=1}^2 i \int \frac{d^4 k'}{(2\pi)^4} V^C(k-k') O_j(1) S(k'+q/2) \bar{F}_P^i(q, k') S(k'-q/2) O_j(2) \quad (4.1)$$

We define $A_+(q, k') = A((k'+q/2)^2)$ and $A_-(q, k') = A((k'-q/2)^2)$ and make use of the relation

$$\begin{aligned} & \gamma^\mu \left[(k'+q/2 + A_+(q, k')) \gamma_5 (k'-q/2 + A_-(q, k')) \right] \gamma_\mu \\ & - \gamma_5 \gamma^\mu \left[(k'+q/2 + A_+(q, k')) \gamma_5 (k'-q/2 + A_-(q, k')) \right] \gamma_\mu \gamma_5 \\ & = 8[(k'+q/2) \cdot (k'-q/2) - A_+(q, k') A_-(q, k')] \gamma_5 \quad (4.2) \end{aligned}$$

We also define

$$N_P(q, k') = k'^2 - q^2/4 - A_+(q, k') A_-(q, k') \quad , \quad (4.3)$$

so that, if we put

$$\bar{F}_P^i(q, k) = \gamma_5 \tau^i F_P(q, k) \quad , \quad (4.4)$$

we see that the function $F_P(q, k)$ satisfies the integral equation

$$F_P(q, k) = 1 + i \int \frac{d^4 k'}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{8V^C(k-k') N_P(q, k') F_P(q, k')}{D((k'+q/2)^2) D((k'-q/2)^2)} \quad (4.5)$$

In Eq. (4.5), we have used the definition $D(p^2) = p^2 - A^2(p^2)$.

An entirely similar equation may be written for the scalar confining vertex, where we put

$$\bar{F}_S(q, k) = F_S(q, k) \mathbb{I}. \quad \text{Thus,}$$

$$F_S(q, k) = \mathbf{I} + i \int \frac{d^4 k'}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{8V^C(k-k') N_S(q, k') F_S(q, k')}{D((k' + q/2)^2) D((k' - q/2)^2)}, \quad (4.6)$$

with

$$N_S(q, k') = k'^2 - q^2/4 + A_+(q, k') A_-(q, k') \quad (4.7)$$

Note the difference sign for the last term of Eqs. (4.3) and (4.7).

In the past, for $q^2 > 0$, we have solved the equation for $F_S(q, k)$ by completing the k'_0 integral in the complex k'_0 plane [2]. There are two poles in the lower-half plane arising from the quark propagators. For one pole, the quark is on its positive mass shell. For the other pole, the antiquark is on its negative mass shell. [We have also neglected any poles that would arise if we admit energy transfer in $V^C(k-k')$ and we continue to make that approximation for our calculations for timelike $q^2 (q^2 > 0)$.]

For the function $F_P(q^2, q \cdot k, k^2)$, let us consider the case where the quark is on its mass positive shell, so that $k^0 + q^0/2 = E_q(\bar{k} + \bar{q})$. The resulting function is a function of only two variables. In the frame where $\bar{q} = 0$, we define

$$\Gamma_P^{+-}(q^0, |\bar{k}|) = F_P(q^2, q \cdot k, k^2) \Big|_{k^0 + q^0/2 = E_q(\bar{k})} \quad (4.8)$$

Similarly, we consider the case where the antiquark is on its negative mass shell. In that case, we define

$$\Gamma_P^{-+}(q^0, |\bar{k}|) = F_P(q^2, q \cdot k, k^2) \Big|_{k^0 - q^0/2 = E_q(\bar{k})} \quad (4.9)$$

These functions satisfy coupled equations of the form

$$\begin{bmatrix} \Gamma_P^{+-}(q^0, |\vec{k}|) \\ \Gamma_P^{-+}(q^0, |\vec{k}|) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} - 4 \int \frac{d^3k'}{(2\pi)^3} V^C(k-k') \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{q^0 - 2E_q(\vec{k}')} & -\frac{1}{q^0 + 2E_q(\vec{k}')} \\ \frac{1}{q^0 - 2E_q(\vec{k}')} & -\frac{1}{q^0 + 2E_q(\vec{k}')} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \Gamma_P^{+-}(q^0, |\vec{k}'|) \\ \Gamma_P^{-+}(q^0, |\vec{k}'|) \end{bmatrix} . \quad (4.10)$$

We see, however, from the last equation that

$$\Gamma_P^{+-}(q^0, |\vec{k}|) = \Gamma_P^{-+}(q^0, |\vec{k}|) . \quad (4.11)$$

Discarding the superscripts, we have a single integral equation

$$\Gamma_P(q^0, |\vec{k}|) = 1 - 4 \int \frac{d^3k'}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{4E_q(\vec{k}')}{(q^0)^2 - [2E_q(\vec{k}')]^2} V^C(k-k') \Gamma_P(q^0, |\vec{k}'|) . \quad (4.12)$$

In Fig. 4 we present values of $\Gamma_P(q^0, |\vec{k}|)$ for several values of $q^0 \geq 0$. It may be seen from the figure that $\Gamma_P(q^0, |\vec{k}|)$ for large $|\vec{k}|$ is about 0.6 to 0.7. Those values are related to a cutoff placed on the three momenta in the integral equation, $\Lambda_3 = 0.702 \text{ GeV}$ ($|\vec{k}'| \leq \Lambda_3$). However, the integral equation does not need to be regulated, since the integrals converge as $|\vec{k}'| \rightarrow \infty$. If one puts Λ_3 equal to several GeV, the various $\Gamma_P(q^0, |\vec{k}|)$ go rapidly to 1 with increasing $|\vec{k}|$ for all q^0 values considered here. We recall that it is the zeroes of the confining vertex functions for $k_{on}^2 = (q^0/2)^2 - m_q^2$ that remove the $q\bar{q}$ cut in various vacuum polarization diagrams. Such cuts would appear for $q^2 > 4m_q^2$ in the absence of a model for confinement [2]. The zeroes of $\Gamma_P(q^0, |\vec{k}|)$ are indicated in Fig. 4 by small dots. For those curves without such dots, q^0 is too small ($q^0 < 2m_q$) to lead to on-mass-shell quarks in the absence of confinement.

V. The Vertex Function for the Total Interaction and the Goldstone Boson of the Generalized NJL Model

In this Section we discuss a vertex function, $\bar{F}_T(q, k)$, that includes the effects of both the confining interaction and the (zero-range) NJL interaction. The integral equation for that quantity is depicted in Fig. 5. That integral equation reads, in the pion channel,

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{F}_T(q, k) = & \gamma_5 + \sum_{j=1}^2 i \int \frac{d^4 k'}{(2\pi)^4} V^C(k-k') O_j(1) S(q/2 + k') \gamma_5 S(-q/2 + k') O_j(2) \bar{F}_T(q, k') \\ & + n_c n_f i G_S \int \frac{d^4 k'}{(2\pi)^4} \text{Tr} [S(q/2 + k') \gamma_5 S(-q/2 + k') \gamma_5] \bar{F}_T(q, k') . \end{aligned} \quad (5.1)$$

In the last equation, we have already factored out the isospin matrix that appears in the driving term, $\gamma_5 \tau^j$. We then define $\bar{F}_T(q, k) = \gamma_5 F_T(q, k)$ where $F_T(q, k)$ is a scalar function. We have

$$F_T(q, k) = 1 + i \int \frac{d^4 k'}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{[8N_P(q, k') V^C(k-k') - n_c n_f G_S M(q, k')]}{D_+(q, k') D_-(q, k')} F_T(q, k') , \quad (5.2)$$

where we have put $D_+(q, k') \equiv D((q/2 + k')^2)$ and $D_-(q, k') \equiv D((q/2 - k')^2)$. Note that $N_P(q, k)$ was given previously in Eq. (4.3) Here, we have also defined

$$M(q, k) = 4 \left[\frac{q^2}{4} - k^2 + A_+(q, k) A_-(q, k) \right] . \quad (5.3)$$

We now consider the limit $q \rightarrow 0$. We have $D_+(0, k) = D_-(0, k) = D(k^2)$, $N_P(0, k) = D(k^2)$, and $M(0, k) = -4D(k^2)$. Therefore,

$$F_T(0, k) = 1 + i \int \frac{d^4 k'}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{[8V^C(k-k') + 4n_c n_f G_S]}{D(k'^2)} F_T(0, k') . \quad (5.4)$$

If there is a boundstate at zero energy, the homogeneous version of Eq. (5.4) will have a solution. Thus, we consider

$$F_T(0, k) = 1 + i \int \frac{d^4 k'}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{[8V^C(k-k') + 4n_c n_f G_S]}{D(k'^2)} F_T(0, k') . \quad (5.5)$$

However, the gap equation, was

$$A(k^2) = i \int \frac{d^4 k'}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{[8V^C(k-k') + 4n_c n_f G_S]}{D(k'^2)} A(k'^2) . \quad (5.6)$$

Thus, we see that $F_T(0, k)$ is proportional to $A(k^2)$ and we have a zero-mass state. The pion is the Goldstone boson, as expected.

It is sometimes useful to define the wave function

$$\psi_T(k^2) = n \frac{1}{k^2 - A^2(k^2)} A(k^2) \quad (5.7)$$

where n is a normalization factor.

We have

$$[k^2 - A^2(k^2)] \psi_T(k^2) = i \int \frac{d^4 k'}{(2\pi)^4} [8V^C(k-k') + 4n_c n_f G_S] \psi_T(k'^2) \quad (5.8)$$

VI. Calculation of Polarization Diagrams

In the original NJL model, the quark loop integrals for the scalar-isoscalar and pseudoscalar-isovector channels are [1]

$$-iJ_S(q^2) = (-1)i^2 n_f n_c \int \frac{d^4 k}{(2\pi)^4} \text{Tr}[S(k+q/2)S(k-q/2)] , \quad (6.1)$$

and

$$-iJ_P(q^2) = (-1)i^2 n_f n_c \int \frac{d^4 k}{(2\pi)^4} \text{Tr}[\gamma_5 S(k+q/2)\gamma_5 S(k-q/2)] . \quad (6.2)$$

Here $S(k) = [k - m_q + i\epsilon]^{-1}$, etc. For the generalized model, we have

$$\frac{\hat{J}_S(q^2)}{i} = (-1)i^2 n_f n_c \int \frac{d^4 k}{(2\pi)^4} F_S(q, k) \text{Tr}[S(k+q/2)S(k-q/2)] \quad (6.3)$$

where, now, $S(k) = [k - A(k^2) + i\epsilon]^{-1}$, etc. Also,

$$\frac{\hat{J}_P(q^2)}{i} = (-1)i^2 n_c n_f \int \frac{d^4 k}{(2\pi)^4} F_P(q, k) \text{Tr}[\gamma_5 S(k+q/2)\gamma_5 S(k-q/2)] . \quad (6.4)$$

These simple forms arise in the $V-A$ model of confinement considered here.

We have carried out two kinds of calculations of these vacuum polarization diagrams. For $q^2 \leq 0$, the evaluation may be made by going over to a Euclidean momentum space. The results of such an analysis are given in Figs. 6 and 7. In Fig. 6 we show $1 - G_S J_P(q^2)$ with $G_S = 7.91 \text{ GeV}^{-2}$ [dashed line]. Note that $1 - G_S J_P(0) = 0$. (That relation reflects the zero mass of the pion, since if one wishes to find the pion mass, one considers the solution of $1 - G_S J_P(m_\pi^2) = 0$ [1].)

We also show our result for the case $\kappa = 0.0175 \text{ GeV}^2$ and $G'_S = 8.95 \text{ GeV}^{-2}$ [solid line] in Fig. 6. The figure exhibits the values of $1 - G'_S \hat{J}_P(q^2)$. We again see that $1 - G'_S \hat{J}_P(0) = 0$, which reflects the fact that the confining potential maintains the chiral symmetry of the Lagrangian.

We now consider the scalar-isoscalar channel. In Fig. 7 we show $1 - G_S J_S(q^2)$, with $G_S = 7.91 \text{ GeV}^{-2}$ and $\kappa = 0$, as a dashed line. The solid line represents $1 - G'_S \hat{J}_S(q^2)$ with $G'_S = 8.95 \text{ GeV}^{-2}$ and $\kappa = 0.0175 \text{ GeV}^2$. One sees only a small modification in these curves, since we have arranged to have $A(0)$ equal to the value of m_q found when $\kappa = 0$. Note that, for $\kappa > 0$, the reduction of the values of $\hat{J}_S(q^2)$ is largely compensated by an increased value of G_S .

We note that the dashed curve will intersect the q^2 axis at $q^2 = (2m_q)^2$, since the mass of the sigma meson is given by $m_\sigma = 2m_q$ in this model when $\kappa = 0$ [1]. There is no such low-mass scalar meson in the data tables. However, we have shown that the scalar-isoscalar quark-antiquark states are very strongly coupled to the two-pion continuum [3]. We have also found that confinement eliminates a low-mass sigma from the spectrum. On the other hand, for $q^2 < 0$, the theory behaves as if there was a sigma meson with $m_\sigma \sim 540 \text{ MeV}$ [3]. Since nuclear structure studies involve the exchange of spacelike mesons, the presence of an effective low-mass spacelike scalar is a desirable feature of the theory, since such a meson plays an important role in understanding the nucleon-nucleon force and the properties of nuclear matter.

VII. Discussion

Work that has some relation to ours was carried out by Gross and Milana [4]. These authors obtained coupled equations for meson wave functions, in the presence of a confining interaction, by performing integrals in the complex k'_0 plane and picking up the poles of the quark propagators, as was done in our work when q^2 was timelike. However, the formalism used in Ref. [4] does not yield a zero-mass pion unless the confining potential satisfies a constraint. (The constraint used is a relativistic generalization of the relation $V^C(r) = 0$, for $r = 0$.)

The appropriate Lorentz transformation properties of the confinement interaction is a matter of some uncertainty. Scalar confinement has been popular, since it provides a good representation of spin-orbit effects in heavy quark systems. However, a number of authors have suggested that better results may be obtained with vector confinement. In particular, Münz [5] has noted that the Salpeter equation used for the study of meson structure is unstable for scalar confinement [6], while vector confinement works well. Studies of Swanson and Isgur [7] and of Adam Szczepaniak [8] also suggest the importance of vector confinement. Further, Resag and Münz have used vector confinement and have only kept the term involving γ_0 [9]. In addition, Münz has used equal amounts of scalar and vector confinement, again keeping only the γ_0 term of the vector-confinement interaction [5].

In the work reported here, we have shown that the V - A form of the confining interaction yields simple equations for the quark self-energy and for the pseudoscalar vertex function. Thus, we could carry out our analysis without solving coupled, nonlinear equations for $A(k^2)$ and $B(k^2)$. We could also deal with a single scalar function $F_P(q, k)$, rather than with four

functions that characterize the pseudoscalar vertex in the general case. On the other hand, we do not recommend the $V-A$ form for the study of meson spectra. We believe that vector confinement provides a more satisfactory model and also allows us to write a Lagrangian with chiral symmetry. We hope to complete a study of vector confinement in the future. The equations that will be studied are more complicated than those considered in the present study. We anticipate that, for vector confinement, we will again need to increase the value of G_S to compensate for the confining interaction and that modifications of the size found in this work will be found for the quark self-energy and polarization diagrams. Results of some preliminary calculations for the case of vector confinement are reported in the Appendix.

Appendix

In this Appendix, we wish to study the self-energy, $\Sigma(k) = B(k^2)\not{k} + A(k^2)$, and the vertex function, $\bar{F}_T(q, k)$, for pure vector confinement. In this case we find the coupled nonlinear equations

$$A(k^2) = i \int \frac{d^4 k'}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{[4V^C(k-k') + 4n_c n_f G_S]}{k'^2 [1 - B(k')]^2 - A^2(k'^2)} A(k'^2) \quad (\text{A1})$$

and

$$k^2 B(k^2) = -i \int \frac{d^4 k'}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{2(k \cdot k') [1 - B(k'^2)] V^C(k-k')}{k'^2 [1 - B(k')]^2 - A^2(k'^2)} \quad (\text{A2})$$

The pseudoscalar vertex function is more complicated than in the V - A model, since there are now four scalar functions of three variables to be calculated. We may write, in the general case,

$$\bar{F}_T(q, k) = \gamma_5 [a_1(k, q) + \not{k} a_2(k, q) + \not{q} a_3(k, q) + \not{q} \not{k} a_4(k, q)] \quad (\text{A3})$$

with $a_1(k, q) = a_1(k^2, k \cdot q, q^2)$, etc. However, $\bar{F}_T(q, k)$ is simpler, if we consider the case $q = 0$:

$$\bar{F}_T(0, k) = \gamma_5 [a_1(k^2) + \not{k} a_2(k^2)] \quad (\text{A4})$$

where $a_1(k^2) = a_1(0, k)$, etc.

The vertex function for the total interaction satisfies the equation

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{F}_T(0, k) = \gamma_5 + i \int \frac{d^4 k'}{(2\pi)^4} V^C(k-k') \gamma^\mu S(k') \bar{F}_T(0, k') S(k') \gamma_\mu \\ + n_c n_f i G_S \int \frac{d^4 k'}{(2\pi)^4} \text{Tr} [S(k') \bar{F}_T(0, k') S(k') \gamma_5] \quad (\text{A5}) \end{aligned}$$

We now make use of Eq. (A4) and find that

$$a_1(k^2) = 1 + i \int \frac{d^4 k'}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{[4V^C(k-k') + 4n_c n_f G_S] a_1(k'^2)}{k'^2 [1 - B(k'^2)]^2 - A^2(k'^2)} \quad (\text{A6})$$

and

$$k^2 a_2(k^2) = -i \int \frac{d^4 k'}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{2(k \cdot k')(k - k') a_2(k'^2)}{k'^2 [1 - B(k'^2)]^2 - A^2(k'^2)} \quad (\text{A7})$$

Now, let us ask if Eq. (A5) has a homogeneous solution. We write Eq. (A6), with the "driving term" removed, as

$$a_1(k^2) = i \int \frac{d^4 k'}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{[4V^C(k-k') + 4n_c n_f G_S] a_1(k'^2)}{k'^2 [1 - B(k'^2)]^2 - A^2(k'^2)} \quad (\text{A8})$$

Now consider Eq. (A7) and (A8). We see that comparison to Eq. (A1) shows that $a_1(k^2) \sim A(k^2)$. Further, we may put $a_2(k^2) = 0$, since Eq. (A7) is homogeneous. Thus, in the chiral limit, the vertex for $q = 0$ is $\bar{F}_T^i(0, k) = \gamma_5 \tau^i F_T(k^2)$, where $F_T(k^2)$ is proportional to $A(k^2)$. Since there is no driving term, $F_T(k^2)$ is related to the pion wave function

$$\psi_T(k^2) = \eta \frac{1}{k^2 [1 - B(k^2)]^2 - A(k^2)} A(k^2) \quad , \quad (\text{A9})$$

where η is a normalization constant. [Recall Eq. (4.7).] We remark that

$$\left\{ k^2 [1 - B(k^2)]^2 - A^2(k^2) \right\} \psi_T(k^2) = i \int \frac{d^4 k'}{(2\pi)^4} [4V^C(k-k') + 4n_c n_f G_S] \psi_T(k'^2) \quad (\text{A10})$$

in the case of vector confinement.

In Fig. 9 we show values for $A(k^2)$ and $B(k^2)$ obtained when solving Eqs. (A1) and (A2). We have used $\kappa = 0.20/4 \text{ GeV}^2$, $G_S = 8.516 \text{ GeV}^{-2}$ and have included a finite value of the current quark mass, $m_q^0 = 5.5 \text{ MeV}$, in one case. (Note that $B(k^2)$ is dimensionless.)

Acknowledgement

This work was supported in part by a grant from the National Science Foundation and by the PSC-CUNY Faculty Research Program of the City University of New York.

References

- [1] For reviews of the NJL model and various applications see
S.P. Klevansky, Rev. Mod. Phys. 64, 649 (1992); U. Vogl and W. Weise, Prog. Part.
Nucl. Phys. 27, 195 (1991).
- [2] L.S. Celenza, C.M. Shakin, Wei-Dong Sun, J. Szweda, and Xiquan Zhu, Phys. Rev.
D51, 3638 (1995).
L.S. Celenza, C.M. Shakin, Wei-Dong Sun, J. Szweda, and Xiquan Zhu, Ann. Phys.
(N.Y.) 241, 1 (1995).
- [3] L.S. Celenza, C.M. Shakin, Wei-Dong Sun, J. Szweda, and Xiquan Zhu, Intl. J. Mod.
Phys. E2, 603 (1993); L.S. Celenza, C.M. Shakin, and J. Szweda, Intl. J. Mod. Phys.
E2, 437 (1993).
- [4] F. Gross and J. Milana, Phys. Rev. D43, 2401 (1991); *ibid* D45, 969 (1992).
- [5] C.R. Münz, Two-photon decays of mesons in a relativistic quark model, hep-ph/9601206
(1996).
- [6] J. Parramore and J. Piekarewicz, Nucl. Phys. A585, 705 (1995).
- [7] Eric Swanson, private communication.
- [8] A. Szczepaniak, private communication.
- [9] J. Resag and C.R. Münz, Nucl. Phys. A590, 735 (1995).

Figure Captions

- Fig. 1 (a) The figure shows the contributions to the quark self-energy, $\Sigma(k)$. Here the double line represents the quark propagator, $iS(k') = i[k - \Sigma(k') + i\epsilon]^{-1}$ and the wavy line is the confining interaction. (As a diagrammatic element, the wavy line introduces $-iV^C(k - k')$ when evaluating the diagram. The filled circle denotes a factor of iG_S .)
- (b) The equation for the quark propagator is given in terms of the self-energy, $\Sigma(k)$, seen in Fig. 1a. The single line is the propagator of a massless quark in this figure.
- Fig. 2 Values of $A(k^2)$ are shown for $k^2 \leq 0$. The calculations are made in Euclidean momentum space with a cutoff such that $k_E^2 \leq \Lambda_E^2$, with $\Lambda_E = 1$ GeV. Similar results may be obtained with the regularization procedure of Pauli and Villars.
- Fig. 3 The integral equation for a vertex function of the confining interaction. The filled triangular area denotes the vertex functions. [We may write integral equations for either $F_S(q, k)$ or $F_P(q, k)$.] Here the wavy line is the confining interaction $V^C(k - k')$. The resulting equation is solved with either the quark on its positive mass shell or the antiquark on its negative mass shell. [See the text.] The double line denotes the propagator $iS(k + q/2) = i[k + q/2 - A_+(q, k)]^{-1}$ or $iS(k - q/2) = i[k - q/2 - A_-(q, k)]^{-1}$.
- Fig. 4 The function $\Gamma_S(q^0, |\vec{k}|)$ is shown for $\kappa = 0.0175$ GeV² and various values of q^0 . Note that $\Gamma_S(q^0, |\vec{k}_{on}|) = 0$, when $\vec{k}_{on}^2 = (q^0/2)^2 - m_q^2$. Starting at the lowest curve and moving higher, we have $q^0 = 0.7$ GeV, $q^0 = 0.6$ GeV,

$q^0 = 0.5 \text{ GeV}$, $q^0 = 0.4 \text{ GeV}$, $q^0 = 0.3 \text{ GeV}$, $q^0 = 0.2 \text{ GeV}$, and $q^0 = 0.0 \text{ GeV}$. (The values for $q^0 = 0.1 \text{ GeV}$ are quite close to those for $q^0 = 0.0 \text{ GeV}$.) The results are for a constant mass, $m_q = 260 \text{ MeV}$. If m_q is replaced by $A(k^2)$ only some small changes are observed near $|\vec{k}| = 0$. A cutoff on the three-momenta has been used ($|\vec{k}'| < \Lambda_3$), with $\Lambda_3 = 0.702 \text{ GeV}$. [See the text.]

Fig. 5 The equation satisfied by the pseudoscalar-isovector vertex of the total interaction, $F_T(q, k)$, is shown as a crosshatched triangular area. The wavy line is the confining interaction, $V^C(k - k')$, and the filled circle represents a factor of iG_S . The driving term for this equation $\gamma_5 \tau^j$. [See Eqs. (5.1) and (5.2).]

Fig. 6 Values of $1 - G_S J_P(q^2)$ [dashed curve] and $1 - G'_S \hat{J}_P(q^2)$ [solid line] are shown for spacelike q^2 . Here $G_S = 7.91 \text{ GeV}^{-2}$ when $\kappa = 0$. For $\kappa = 0.0175 \text{ GeV}^2$, we use $G'_S = 8.95 \text{ GeV}^{-2}$. We see that $1 - G_S J_P(0) = 0$ and $1 - G'_S \hat{J}_P(0) = 0$, indicating that massless pions are found in both calculations.

Fig. 7 The functions $1 - G_S J_S(q^2)$ [dashed line] and $1 - G'_S \hat{J}_S(q^2)$ [solid line] are shown. (See caption of Fig. 6.)

Fig. 8 (a) The loop integral of the NJL model without confinement, $-iJ(q^2)$, is shown. Here the quark propagator is $iS(k) = i[k - m_q + i\epsilon]^{-1}$.

(b) The loop integral, $-iJ(q^2)$, of the generalized model. The shaded triangular area is the confining vertex and the double line denotes the quark propagator, $iS(k) = i[k - A(k^2) + i\epsilon]^{-1}$.

- (c) Various diagrams that would appear in a perturbative expansion of the confining interaction.

Fig. 9 Solutions of the coupled equations for $A(k^2)$ and $B(k^2)$ are shown. [See Eqs. (A1) and (A2).] The dotted line represents $B(k^2)$, which is dimensionless. The solid line represents $A(k^2)$ for $m_q^0 = 0$, while the dashed line shows the result for $A(k^2)$ when $m_q^0 = 5.5$ MeV. Here we use vector confinement with $\kappa = 0.20/4$ GeV² and $G_S = 8.516$ GeV⁻². (For this calculation we have used a Pauli-Villars regularization procedure.)

$$-i \Sigma(k) = k \dots \text{[wavy line]} \dots k + \text{[self-energy diagram with } k' \text{ and } k \text{]} \dots k$$

(a)

$$\text{[solid line]} = \text{[solid line]} + \text{[self-energy diagram with } \Sigma \text{]} \text{[solid line]}$$

(b)

FIG. 1




FIG. 2




FIG.3




FIG. 4




FIG. 5




FIG. 6







FIG. 7

$$-iJ(q^2) = q \cdots q$$


(a)

$$-i\hat{J}(q^2) =$$


(b)

$$=$$


(c)

FIG. 8




FIG-9