



chris crawford

on interactive storytelling

SECOND EDITION

New
Riders

NRG

chris crawford

on interactive storytelling

SECOND EDITION



New
Riders

Chris Crawford on Interactive Storytelling, Second Edition

Chris Crawford

New Riders

www.newriders.com

To report errors, please send a note to errata@peachpit.com

New Riders is an imprint of Peachpit, a division of Pearson Education.

Copyright © 2013 Chris Crawford

Senior Editor: Karyn Johnson

Development Editor: Robyn Thomas

Production Editor: Cory Borman

Technical Editor: Michael F. Lynch, Ph.D.

Copyeditor: Kelly Kordes Anton

Proofreader: Scout Festa

Composition: Danielle Foster

Indexer: Jack Lewis

Cover design: Aren Howell

Notice of Rights

All rights reserved. No part of this book may be reproduced or transmitted in any form by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or otherwise, without the prior written permission of the publisher. For information on getting permission for reprints and excerpts, contact permissions@peachpit.com.

Notice of Liability

The information in this book is distributed on an “As Is” basis, without warranty. While every precaution has been taken in the preparation of the book, neither the author nor Peachpit shall have any liability to any person or entity with respect to any loss or damage caused or alleged to be caused directly or indirectly by the instructions contained in this book or by the computer software and hardware products described in it.

Trademarks

Many of the designations used by manufacturers and sellers to distinguish their products are claimed as trademarks. Where those designations appear in this book, and Peachpit was aware of a trademark claim, the designations appear as requested by the owner of the trademark. All other product names and services identified throughout this book are used in editorial fashion only and for the benefit of such companies with no intention of infringement of the trademark. No such use, or the use of any trade name, is intended to convey endorsement or other affiliation with this book.

ISBN-13: 978-0-321-86497-0

ISBN-10: 0-321-86497-2

9 8 7 6 5 4 3 2 1

*I dedicate this book to the Storytron development team:
Louis Dargin, Facundo Dominguez, and Laura Mixon,
who pushed the technology of interactive storytelling to new heights.*

Bio

Chris Crawford earned a Master of Science degree in Physics from the University of Missouri in 1975. After teaching physics for several years, he joined Atari as a game designer in 1979. There he created a number of games: *Energy Czar*, an educational simulation about the energy crisis; *Scram*, a nuclear power plant simulation; *Eastern Front (1941)*, a wargame; *Gossip*, a social interaction game; and *Excalibur*, an Arthurian game.

Following the collapse of Atari in 1984, Crawford took up the Macintosh. He created *Balance of Power*, a game about diplomacy; *Patton Versus Rommel*, a wargame; *Trust & Betrayal*, a social interaction game; *Balance of the Planet*, an environmental simulation game; and *Patton Strikes Back*, a wargame. In 1992, Crawford decided to leave game design and concentrate his energies on interactive storytelling, a field that he believed would become important. He created a major technology for interactive storytelling systems, patenting it in 1997. He is now commercializing his technology at his company website at storytron.com.

Crawford has written five published books: *The Art of Computer Game Design*, now recognized as a classic in the field, in 1982; *Balance of Power* in 1986; *The Art of Interactive Design* in 2002; *Chris Crawford on Game Design* in 2003; and *Chris Crawford on Interactive Storytelling* in 2004.

He created the first periodical on game design, the *Journal of Computer Game Design*, in 1987. He founded and served as Chairman of the Computer Game Developers' Conference, now known as the Game Developers' Conference.

Crawford has given hundreds of lectures at conferences and universities around the world, and published dozens of magazine articles and academic papers.

Crawford served as computer system designer and observer for the 1999 and 2002 NASA Leonid MAC airborne missions; he also has done some analysis of the resulting data. He lives in southern Oregon with his wife, 3 dogs, 7 cats, and 16 ducks. You can read more about him on his website at www.erasmatazz.com.

Table of Contents

	Introduction.....	xi
PART I	The Basics.....	1
Chapter 1	Storytelling.....	3
	The History of Storytelling.....	4
	Two Mechanisms of Thinking.....	6
	The Tyranny of the Visual.....	22
	Spatial Thinking.....	24
	Temporal Discontinuity.....	26
	Conclusions.....	26
Chapter 2	Interactivity.....	27
	My Definition of Interactivity.....	28
	Second-Person Insight.....	30
	A Model for Human Understanding.....	32
	Ego Control.....	35
	Degrees of Interactivity.....	37
	So What?.....	42
	Conclusions.....	44
Chapter 3	Interactive Storytelling.....	45
	Extrapolation from Games.....	47
	Interactivized Movies.....	50
	Plot vs. Interactivity.....	50
	Interactive Storytelling Is Unique.....	54

	Individuation	61
	What Can't Be Part of Interactive Storytelling?	62
	Conclusions	64
PART II	Mentalities.	65
Chapter 4	Abstraction.	67
	Justice	68
	Science	70
	Finance	71
	The Moral of These Stories	74
	Playing God	76
	Translating Principle into Instance	78
	Conclusions	80
Chapter 5	Verb Thinking	81
	Verb vs. Noun	82
	Case in Point: Multimedia	88
	Getting Started with Verb Thinking	90
	Conclusions	92
Chapter 6	The Agony of Math	93
	Embracing Mathematics.	94
	Causal Relationships	96
	Modeling as a Metaphor	98
	Conclusions	102
Chapter 7	Two Cultures, No Hits, No Runs	103
	Neurophysiological Basis	105
	History of Games and Stories	106
	Exhortations and Prognostications	108
	Conclusions	111

PART III	Evolutionary Strategies	113
Chapter 8	Branching Trees	115
	Overall Game Structure	118
	The Solution: State Variables	123
	Conclusions	126
Chapter 9	Interactive Fiction	127
	Text Adventures	128
	Graphic Adventures	128
	IF: Son of Text Adventure	129
	Puzzles	130
	A Real Example	131
	Under the Hood	133
	Is It Interactive Storytelling?	138
	The Future of IF	140
	Conclusion	140
Chapter 10	Role-Playing Games	141
	The Verbs	142
	Little Room for Creativity	143
	The Dangers of Overspecialization: Koalas vs. Goats	145
	What About MMORPGs?	147
	The Audience	149
	Conclusions	150
Chapter 11	Video Games	151
	Constipated Stories	152
	Multiple Endings	153
	Branching Trees	154
	Open-Ended Stories	155
	Fully Player-Driven Stories	156
	Cost vs. Storytelling	156
	Conclusions	158

PART IV	Component Technologies	159
Chapter 12	Modeling	161
	The Medium	162
	Basics	163
	Adding More Complexity	166
	Abstracting the Process	169
	Fine Points	172
	Conclusions	175
Chapter 13	Bounded Numbers	177
	Overruns	180
	Bounded Numbers	181
	Bell Curves and People	183
	Technical Details	184
	The Blend Operator	185
	Boolean Calculations	189
	Conclusions	192
Chapter 14	Personality Models	193
	Complete	194
	Concise	194
	Orthogonal	194
	Behaviorally Discriminating	196
	Overspecific	197
	Types of Personality Traits	198
	Expanding on the Three Core Personality Traits	203
	Physical Traits	204
	Moods	204
	Inclination Formulae	206
	Two Exercises	208
	Conclusions	208

Chapter 15	Fate Makes the Storyworld Go 'Round	209
	Listen	210
	Think	213
	Speak.	214
	Scoring	223
	Conclusion.	226
Chapter 16	Verbs and Events	227
	Verb Counts.	228
	Generalized Verb Handling	229
	Sentence Structure.	231
	Plans and Events	232
	HistoryBooks.	233
	Conclusions	244
Chapter 17	Languages	245
	Using Devices to Talk	246
	The Sapir-Whorf Hypothesis	247
	Faking Real Language	248
	Creating a New Language.	258
	Conclusions	267
Chapter 18	Scripting Languages	269
	Desiderata	270
	Sample Script for Text Generation	283
	Conclusions	286
Chapter 19	Engines	287
	Event-Based Engines.	288
	Clock-Based Engines.	288
	Plot-Based Engines	295
	Conclusions	296

Chapter 20	High-Level Structures	297
	Plot Points	298
	Goals	300
	Anticipation	301
	Conclusions	332
PART V	Wrapping Up	309
Chapter 21	Academic Research	311
	Comme il Faut	315
	Prom Week	316
	Release the Kraken!	316
	The IRIS Project	318
	Conclusions	318
Chapter 22	The Future	319
	It Will Be Big	320
	Negative Predictions	321
	Positive Predictions	322
	Conclusions	325
	For Further Reading	327
	Books	327
	Conference Proceedings	330
	Internet	330
	Index	332

Introduction

Interactive storytelling is a young field; first conceived in the late 1980s and first experimented with in the 1990s, it did not attract substantial attention until the new millennium. By 2010 it had become a hot topic. The field's youth has endowed it with a diversity of ideas and a cacophony of discussions. Like the proverbial elephant, everybody perceives it from his or her own vantage point. Moviemakers see it as a form of cinema, video-game people claim it as an extension of their own field, computer scientists think of it as part of the broader field of artificial intelligence, and experts in the art of improv consider it to be the computerization of their skills.

The truth is that interactive storytelling is not an extension of or variation on any of these fields; it must be approached as something new and unique. An appreciation of all these fields (and several more) certainly helps you appreciate the challenges of interactive storytelling, but to use one of these fields as a platform from which to launch your thinking is a grievous mistake. There is no launching point; you must hurl yourself into the raging waters and kick, paddle, and swim through as best you can.

Many fields of study are based on combinations of other, more fundamental fields. A good moviemaker must understand storytelling as well as optics, the human visual system, and camera technology. A good game designer must understand programming, games, and user interface issues. Interactive storytelling is no different; indeed, it stands on the shoulders of many fields, including games, cinema, storytelling, programming, and mathematics. Because interactive storytelling is a new and poorly understood field, this book approaches it from many different angles. It also devotes space to negative viewpoints; you can better understand what interactive storytelling *is* if you appreciate what it *isn't*.

I wrote the first edition of this book in 2004; in the intervening years, everything has changed except the underlying principles. This edition of the book explains those principles with the greater clarity I have learned from eight years of work on interactive storytelling. This second edition also presents more technical details that will surely be superseded within 20 years, by which time I will have prepared a third edition.

A cautionary note: My writing style is unconventional and might set you aback. (Here I express my gratitude to my editor, Robyn Thomas, for reining in my worst excesses, straightening out my tangled prose, and saving me from my misanthropic tendencies.) My objective in writing is not to impress you with my erudition, but to help you understand a difficult subject. I will goad you to think about the material by presenting ideas in their strongest, starkest terms. I will not waste your time with qualifiers and mush-mouthing nothingburger pap. You're smart enough to fill in the exceptions for yourself. If you find yourself in agreement with everything in this book, you haven't been reading closely enough.

I'd rather serve up chili than oatmeal.

My Interactive Storytelling Journey

The starting point for my interactive-storytelling quest came way back in 1983 with the realization that when games finally matured as a medium of artistic expression, they would have to be about people, not things. All other artistic and entertainment media are fundamentally about people, but games were unique in their obsession with things. In games, you chase things, things chase you; you shoot things, and things shoot at you. You search for things, acquire things, navigate things, move things, destroy things—it's always things, things, things and never any dramatically significant interactions with people in games. That, I decided was the fundamental difference between games and other media, and that was the central problem I had to tackle.

What would a game about people look like? I came up with a simple idea for a game based on interpersonal interactions. It was called *Gossip*, and all the player did in the game was talk to people on the telephone about who likes or dislikes whom. There were eight characters on the screen; the player was the one in the upper left (**Figure 1**).

The basic concept of the game was to call up other people and gossip about who feels what about whom. By carefully calibrating your statements to the preferences of your listener, you could build up your popularity.

Sadly, *Gossip* came out not long before Atari collapsed, so it was a commercial failure.



FIGURE 1 *Gossip*, my early game about people

I made my next attempt in 1987 with the design of *Trust & Betrayal*, a strange game that I still consider to be the finest, most creative game I ever designed. By then, I had identified the central problem for games about people to be the difficulty of language. You really can't have much of an interaction with other people if you can't talk to them, so I decided that I had to come up with a language system (Figure 2).




FIGURE 2 *Trust & Betrayal*, my self-professed finest and most creative game




FIGURE 3 *Le Morte D'Arthur*, a game that included a graphics system for presenting individual character faces that could have different emotional expressions

This game's ploy is that it takes place on an alien world where different intelligent species communicate with each other by means of a telepathic language. I created a simple language so I could represent it with a set of 87 icons. This game also saw my first use of an inverse parser, a personality model, the emphasis on verbs, face displays with emotional expressions, and interstitial stories. It, too, was a commercial failure.

But I wasn't about to give up. In 1992, I began working on *Le Morte D'Arthur* (Figure 3), a game about the Arthurian legends. For this game, I developed my first general-purpose interactive storytelling engine. I also came up with a graphics system for presenting individual character faces that could have different emotional expressions.




FIGURE 4 Erasmatron, a system for computer users to create their own storyworlds

Sadly, I couldn't get funding to complete the game.

A few years later, I got funding to develop an interactive storytelling system with which typical computer users could build their own storyworlds. I got carried away and built a huge system, called Erasmatron, that included a scripting language, a development editor, a powerful storytelling engine with advanced linguistic capabilities, and even an editor allowing the user to design unique faces for each character (Figure 4).

There was only one hitch: The system was far too complicated for anybody to use, much less a typical user. We built some interesting storyworlds with it, but they were incomplete and just weren't entertaining. Erasmatron flopped.

In 2007, I recruited Laura Mixon, Louis Dargin, and Facundo Dominguez to build the next generation of my technology, dubbed Storytron (Figure 5). The Storytron technology was a huge leap forward and it pioneered many of the technologies presented in this book. Indeed, the stuff we had working was more powerful than what I describe here.




FIGURE 5 A game created in Storytron

The problem with Storytron was the same problem that killed Erasmatron—it was too complicated. Despite an avid following of determined storyworld authors, nobody was able to build a complete, working, entertaining storyworld. I built one, *Balance of Power 21st Century*. It stank. We gave up on Storytron in 2010.

Since then, I've continued thinking about interactive storytelling, and have carefully digested the many mistakes I made with previous efforts. I am resuming work on the project, with some major new design concepts. With so many failures behind me, how can I go wrong?

CHAPTER 4

Abstraction

THE PROBLEM OF PLOT VERSUS interactivity, discussed in Chapter 3, sometimes takes another form: control versus interactivity.

In its simplest form, the problem is phrased as follows:

If the story is to be truly interactive, then the player must be able to change the story. But, if the player changes the story, then the artist cannot control its development and the player will likely ruin the story.

The solution to the problem is, in a word, abstraction. Let's look at some examples of how abstraction has solved some analogous problems.

Justice

The problem of exercising control over a complex system is an old one, and in every case, we find that the solution has always been to resort to higher levels of abstraction. For example, let's consider the problem of providing justice to society. In small societies, justice can be provided by a single chief. The disputants present their case to the chief, who hears each side and then pronounces judgment. All very simple. But as societies grow, the number of cases grows and the chief—now known as king—finds himself overwhelmed with an impossible caseload. The solution is to delegate his powers of adjudication to lower officials called judges. This solution, however, creates a new problem: How is the chief to maintain control over the judges to ensure justice?

The solution is law. The chief declares the rules under which the judges will operate, and those rules are then applied to all concerned. The solution is not perfect. Judges can still apply the laws unevenly (if they are biased), misinterpret the laws, or even apply the wrong law to a given situation. But disputants who believe they have been shortchanged can appeal to the king in hope of a correct application of the law.

In this manner, the king can continue to exercise control over the society. The king gives up direct control of justice for indirect control. The king's power is exerted through the laws that are applied by the judges. The ultimate power still resides with the king, whose laws control every aspect of daily life. The king may not be looking over the shoulder of each of his subjects, but he still retains indirect control.

Conflicting Laws

The problem with the use of law is not so much the application of law as the formulation of law. The king must bring great insight and wisdom to bear in creating his laws. Here's an example from the first recorded set of laws. Nearly 4000 years ago, Hammurabi of Babylonia promulgated a set of 281 laws. Here are two of them:

- ▶ If anyone steals the property of a temple or of the court, he shall be put to death, and also the one who receives the thing from him shall be put to death.

- ▶ If anyone steals cattle or sheep, or ass, or pig, or goat, if it belong to a god or to the court, the thief shall pay thirtyfold for it; if they belonged to a freed man, he shall pay tenfold; if the thief has nothing with which to pay, he shall be put to death.

These two laws contradict each other; one says that the punishment is death, the other that it is 30 times the value of the stolen item. During the process of compiling 281 laws, Hammurabi forgot about the first law when he wrote the second law. It's important that laws not contradict each other. The larger the body of law, however, the harder it is to be certain that a new law doesn't conflict with an existing law.

All-Encompassing Laws

Another problem in formulating laws is that they must properly address every possible situation in which they might be applied. There's an old, apocryphal story about the early days of the Environmental Protection Act. A provision of the law was that if a company wanted to build a factory in an air pollution administrative district, it had to compensate for any pollution the factory would make by paying another polluting company to install equipment that would reduce its pollution by the same amount that the first business was adding. In other words, if you're going to emit pollution, you've got to do something to cancel it out.

Sounds like a good idea, doesn't it? The law doesn't punish owners of old polluting factories; it requires builders of new factories to install the equipment to reduce pollution in the older factories. No new pollution is added to the air district.

Then one day a businessman in Louisiana decided that he wanted to build a furniture factory. Unfortunately, his factory would emit a small amount of heavy hydrocarbons from the varnish and other coatings necessary to manufacture the furniture. So he searched for another factory in the same air district that he could upgrade. There were no other factories because it was a poor region with no industry—but the law must be obeyed. So when some bright fellow pointed out that trees emit heavy hydrocarbons just like varnish, the businessman had his solution. He bought a large tract of forestland and cut down all the trees. Problem solved.

Obviously, it was not the intent of the U.S. Congress that forests would be cut down in the name of the Environmental Protection Act, but the wording of the law wasn't careful enough to provide for this situation.

This problem of abstracting reality to terms that can be addressed in a law haunts all lawmaking processes. Courts will sometimes annul laws that are so poorly worded that they permit entirely unintended results. It's a tough problem.

The same thing applies to interactive storytelling: If your algorithm doesn't consider all the possibilities, you'll get a nasty screwup.

Science

Let us now turn to the biggest, most complex system of all: reality. Our efforts to understand reality have yielded an increasingly complex intellectual system: science. At first, science was a great mass of disconnected tidbits of information—essentially, random fragments of truth that people had noticed over the generations. The big step forward was the realization that different tidbits of information could be connected together to form a more coherent, albeit more abstract, whole.

For example, people had long been aware that some stars in the sky moved in relation to the other stars. Some moved faster and some moved slower, and they all seemed to move along the same path. Ptolemy assembled these disparate facts into a coherent whole and suggested that these objects (the planets) circled around the earth. This was an abstraction; nobody could actually see the evidence directly. But this more abstract view of the solar system tied together a great many observations and, therefore, provided a better explanation.

That trick—finding an abstraction that ties together simpler truths—has been the basic strategy of science ever since. Chemistry stumbled forward, building up a mass of knowledge about how various chemicals reacted with each other, until the idea of atoms forming molecules took hold and explained it all in a more powerful, more unifying, and more abstract fashion. With the development of the theory of quantum mechanics, scientists were able to explain the mechanics of chemical reactions, thereby obtaining a more broadly encompassing view of chemistry—at the expense of abstractions that are more difficult for mere humans to understand. With the development of biochemistry, chemistry and biology were merged at a

fundamental level that gave us insights into the genetic processes that govern all living systems—but again, the price we paid was greater abstraction.

Meanwhile the physicists continued their search for the fundamental laws of the universe. Isaac Newton unified all motion under three simple laws. James Clerk Maxwell unified electric theory with magnetic theory and light to demonstrate that all light is an electromagnetic wave. Einstein unified electricity with magnetism by showing that magnetism is a relativistic effect of electricity. Chemistry was further unified when physicists showed that all atoms are combinations of electrons, protons, and neutrons. In probing the nature of these three particles, physicists discovered a whole zoo of new particles—and then reduced them further by showing them to be composed of yet more fundamental (and even weirder and more abstract) particles called quarks. Meanwhile, theoreticians struggled to reduce the universe to its most fundamental constants and equations, making slow and jerky progress. The end result of all these labors is a highly abstract system of ideas that explain the workings of the universe—but this system is so abstract that it lies beyond the reach of all but a few specialists.

Mathematics followed a similar course. Starting with simple counting combined with simple addition and subtraction, people developed the ideas of multiplication and division, and arithmetic was born. Algebra, a more abstract approach to mathematics, came next. Then, in the 17th century, mathematics exploded with analytic geometry, calculus, probability, and so forth. Nowadays, of course, mathematics has reached levels of abstraction utterly beyond everybody but the specialists.

In science, we see the same process that we saw in justice: As our knowledge expanded, we had to resort to ever more abstract ideas to stay on top of all that knowledge.

Finance

The earliest economic interactions were direct barter: I'll give you my goat for ten bushels of your wheat. Because such transactions are as explicit and direct as possible, they were easy to evaluate and police. One party examines the goat and the other party examines the wheat. They each see what they're getting and there is no further cause for interaction after the exchange is made. Thus, the earliest forms of financial interaction were direct, explicit, and utterly devoid of abstraction.

This was sometimes clumsy: What if you were willing to sell only five bushels of wheat? I couldn't sell you half a goat. This problem was solved by stepping up to a higher level of abstraction: the use of precious metals. I'll sell you my goat for three shekels of silver. A shekel, by the way, was originally a unit of weight, not a coin.

Problems then arose when people cheated by trying to pass off these precursors to coins that really didn't contain as much precious metal as they were supposed to contain. This required a new jump to a higher level of abstraction: the standardized coin. This was a chunk of precious metal that was manufactured by the state and guaranteed to be of pure metal and the correct weight. The proof of the value of the coin was the face of the king who issued it: "King So_And_So the Great guarantees that this coin is trustworthy."

Note how coinage moved the economy to a higher level of abstraction. At that point, all fiscal accounts were kept in the otherwise arbitrary units of coinage. A rich man might be worth 10,000 sesterces. What does that mean? It's an even more abstract concept.

Coinage worked well for a long time, until another new problem arose in the Middle Ages. Traders were traveling over longer distances, but the roads weren't particularly safe. Traveling across Europe carrying a few pounds of gold was an open invitation to highway robbery. Merchants needed a safe way to handle their transactions. Thus was born a new and even higher level of abstraction: the bill of exchange.

This was nothing more than a note saying "Joe the Merchant authorizes Fred the Banker to transfer 50 ducats from Joe's account to the account of Charlie the Merchant." In other words, the bill of exchange was basically the same thing as an endorsed check. This meant that merchants could carry around pieces of paper rather than coins, making them robber-proof.

This was an immensely important leap in abstraction because, for the first time, the concept of value was divorced from a tangible object. Instead of transferring wealth through tangible intermediaries such as precious metals, wealth could now be transferred through a piece of paper. This represented a higher level of abstraction of the concept of wealth.

These bills of exchange stimulated the European economy and pretty soon they were flying all over Europe. Keeping track of them was becoming quite a hassle.

If a bill of exchange bounced (due to insufficient funds), it was a huge hassle to track down the deadbeat and get your money.

Banks solved this problem by issuing generic bills of exchange. These were notes from a bank promising that the bank itself would pay the bearer a standard amount of coin. There were notes for one ducat, five ducats, ten ducats, and so forth. You gave your coins to the bank, and they gave you bank notes equivalent in value (after bank charges) to your coins. Then you paid other merchants with the bank notes. The merchants didn't have to trust you; they had only to trust the bank that issued the note.

Of course, there was even more abstraction involved in this solution: Now the value existed in a bank that didn't actually have the money. The prudent banker loaned out most of its money to get interest, and kept only a small amount in reserve to handle payments. So now you had to think in terms of value that resided in a bank and value that consisted of all the bank's assets, most of which weren't even coins.

This worked well, but there was still a problem: Sometimes banks would go bankrupt and the people holding all the banknotes from that bank lost their money. So then governments stepped in and established national banks, which were guaranteed not to go bankrupt. The banknotes issued by those national banks are what we now call paper currency. If you read your dollar bill carefully, you'll see that it's really a "Federal Reserve Note"—a banknote from the Federal Reserve Bank.

This idea of a national bank pushed the abstraction up another notch. As you might guess, a new problem arose: Those paper notes could be stolen just like the coins. So people learned to keep most of their money in banks and use banknotes only for "walking around money." This pushed the abstraction even further: Now your wealth wasn't measured by the banknotes in your possession, but by a number in the bank's records for your account. You could have a million dollars in the bank but only a hundred dollars in your pocket. So now money had become *really* abstract—just a number stored in a book somewhere.

The next step in the process was the credit card. This is nothing more than a piece of plastic with your account number on it. You charge something to your credit card, and your signature constitutes a promise to pay that amount. The bank that issued your credit card pays the merchant and deducts that amount from your credit card account.

Let's trace the levels of abstraction at work here: Your credit card points to your credit card account, which you pay off with money from your regular bank account. Your regular bank account contains a number that represents the amount of money that you have deposited with the bank. The money represents some amount of precious metal—well, it used to do that—which in turn represents a concept called wealth. Whew! That's a lot of indirection!

Thus, as economies grew larger and more complex, the financial system underlying them grew more and more abstract.

The Moral of These Stories

Over and over we see the same idea: To grow intellectually, and to understand and cope with more complex problems, we always move to higher levels of abstraction. This can be summarized in a simple lesson.

Lesson 16

When you can't work through a problem, go over its head.

Recall that in Chapter 3, "Interactive Storytelling," Lesson 13 resolves the dilemma of control versus interactivity: "There is no conflict between process-driven narrative and interactivity." The solution to the dilemma is to exert control at a higher level of abstraction. As with all the examples, that abstraction will be more difficult to understand, but it will extend our intellectual reach. Many storytellers, locked in the traditions of conventional storytelling, will be unable (and perhaps unwilling) to grasp such abstractions and will reject the whole concept. No matter—there will always be plenty of room in this world for traditional stories. But interactive storytelling demands that we move to a higher level of abstraction.

To understand the abstractions presented in this book, you must first let go of the very notion of plot. A plot is a fixed sequence of events that communicates some larger message about the human condition. In interactive storytelling, we replace the plot with a web of possibilities that comprise the same truth. Since this concept confuses most people, let's look at a number of examples at different levels.

Let's use the classic movie *Star Wars: A New Hope* as our starting point. Here is the direct representation (sequence) of the story:

1. Luke Skywalker leaves home, meets Obi-Wan, travels with him to Mos Eisley spaceport, and flies away in a spaceship. But their ship is captured by the bad guys; they fool the bad guys, rescue Princess Leia, and escape from the Death Star. The bad guys attack the last bastion of the rebels, and Luke helps to attack the Death Star. Luke destroys the Death Star and gets rewarded by the princess.

Now let's look at the same story in a more abstract fashion:

2. A young man ventures out into the world, makes new friends, and experiences many adventures. He learns much and triumphs over adversity, winning the admiration of a pretty girl.

Now let's make it even more abstract:

3. A boy confronts the challenge of growing up to become a man. He faces many difficulties, but ultimately triumphs over adversity and establishes his manhood.

It is at these higher levels of abstraction that we design storyworlds. Instead of thinking about Luke Skywalker, we think about a young man—any young man. Instead of thinking about space combat, we think about adventures—many different kinds of adventures. Instead of thinking about blowing up the Death Star, we think about triumphing over adversity.

We don't assemble storyworlds event by event. Instead, our high-level design requires us to construct storyworlds concept by concept. There aren't many variations of "rescue Princess Leia," but there are millions of versions of "faces many difficulties." Put another way, there is only one version of the first story, but there are thousands of versions of the second story and millions of versions of the third story. For now, the third level of the story is too abstract. But, if you think in terms of the second level, then you can design a storyworld that can generate thousands of different stories. Remember, there's no such thing as an interactive story; if it's already a story, then it has been nailed down and you can't interact with it. Instead, we're pursuing the concept of interactive *storytelling*, which springs from a storyworld—and a storyworld is what you create, not a story.

As long as you think in terms of a strict sequence of events (a plot), then you'll never understand the concept of interactive storytelling. Instead, you must think in terms of an entire dramatic universe of potential stories: a storyworld.



Can you be more specific?

Sure. Consider these sentences from different stories:

“You look magnificent, darling!”

“I don’t think I’ve ever seen anybody as beautiful as you.”

“You’re such a dashing fellow!”

“Ooh! You’re so strong and brave!”

I’m sure that you’ve read thousands of variations on these sentences, all of them cleverer than these. They all boil down to this single statement:

“I compliment you.”



Yuck! The abstract version is cold, lifeless, and utterly mechanical. You can’t seriously propose that we present something like this to our audience!

No, I’m not proposing that we present “something like this” to our audience. Instead, we have to use one of several strategies for presenting the idea behind it. Internally, our interactive storytelling engine will still be thinking in terms of “I compliment you,” but the presentation of that idea can be dressed up into a more palatable form. (I explain how to do this in Chapter 18, “Scripting Languages.”)

Playing God

The same concept of resorting to a higher level of abstraction provides a partial solution to the knotty problem of free will versus determinism discussed in Chapter 3. This solution embraces physics and rationalizes faith. It says that God is omnipotent with respect to process, not data. That is, God controls the universe through its laws, but not through the details. God does not dictate the position and velocity of every electron and proton in the universe; instead, he

merely declares, “Let there be physics” and then allows the clockwork of the universe to run according to his laws. In an indirect way, we could say that he does control everything that happens in the universe, but it is abstract control. God determines the principles under which the universe operates, but grants us free will to choose as we wish within that universe. He even works a little randomness—in the form of quantum mechanics—into the system to ensure that we aren’t automatons responding robot-like to our environments. The important point is this: God is an abstract designer!

The king who formulates laws is controlling his kingdom in a similar way. He doesn’t wander through the kingdom, ordering people to reap this crop, milk those cows, or build that house. Instead, he creates a set of rules constraining their behavior. His rule can be benevolent or harsh, but it is always abstract and indirect.

And the same resolution works with the apparent conflict between plot and interactivity. If you are a data-intensive designer, then you are a deterministic one. Like some Bible-thumping fundamentalist, you insist that every single word you write be obeyed literally by the characters in the story. The fundamentalist focuses all his or her beliefs in the explicit data of the Bible rather than the abstract processes behind it.

But if you are a process-intensive designer like God, then the characters in your universe can have free will within the confines of your laws of “dramatic physics.” You must abandon the self-indulgence of direct control and instead rely on indirect, abstract control. That is, instead of specifying the data of the plotline, you must specify the processes of the dramatic conflict. Instead of defining who does what to whom, you must define how people can do different things to each other.



This is too esoteric, too indirect to allow the richness of tone that a good story requires.

True, but consider what a story really communicates. A story is an instance that communicates a principle. Moby Dick is not about a whale; it is about obsession. Luke Skywalker never really existed; the truths about growing up and facing the challenges of manhood are the movie’s real messages. Stories are false at the direct level yet true at the abstract level. The instances they relate never happened, but the abstract principles they embody are the truth that we appreciate. They are false in their data but true in their process.

Given this, consider the nature of the communication between storyteller and audience. The storyteller seeks to communicate some truth, some principle of the human condition. Rather than communicate the truth itself, he creates a particular set of circumstances that instantiate the truth he seeks to communicate. This instantiation is what he communicates to his audience. The audience then interprets the story; it figures out the higher, more abstract principles from the story's details. Note, however, the circumlocution of this process. The storyteller seeks to communicate some truth of the human condition; the audience seeks to learn the same. Instead of just telling the principle, the storyteller translates the principle into an instantiation, then communicates the instantiation; the audience then translates the instantiation back into a principle. This is truly a roundabout way to get the job done.

Interactive storytelling alters this process in two important ways. First, the process of translating principle into instance is delegated to the computer. The storyteller retains full artistic control, but now she must exercise that control at a more abstract level. The basic process of translating principle into instance is retained, but is now performed by the computer in partnership with the player. This, of course, entails considerable effort in algorithm creation. The second difference is that, because the story is generated in direct response to the player's actions, the resultant story is customized to the needs and interests of the player. Thereby, the story more than makes up for any loss in polish with its greater emotional involvement.

Translating Principle into Instance

The key to applying abstraction is the process of converting principle into instance. The author must be able to express a principle in a form the computer can process, then provide algorithms that translate that principle into instances that are specific to the context. Here's a very simple example:

Principle: Women dislike unwanted attentions.

First draft articulation: "Unwanted attentions" are actions of a romantic nature by a man to a woman that do not naturally flow from previous events. Women react to unwanted attentions by rejecting the man.

Second draft: "Unwanted attentions" comprise any form of touch with sexual or romantic connotations, or overt expressions of sexual desire. A woman's

rejection of unwanted attentions is proportional to the degree of inappropriateness of the unwanted attentions.

Third draft: The verbs that can constitute unwanted attentions are *kiss*, any form of the verb *touch*, and any instance of *declare*, *inquire*, or *demand* taking as a secondary verb any verb with sexual content. The verbs that can be used to express rejection are *declare* (dislike), *declare* (shock), *state imperative* (desist), and *strike* (with hand).

This example serves only to show, in a general way, how to go about solving the problem. Details of how to implement this kind of approach appear in later chapters.



This is great theory, but in practice, the act of reducing storytelling to grand principles is beyond human intellectual ability. Nobody could ever handle so deeply intellectual a process.

This process-intensive style of storytelling is done all the time—and by amateurs, no less. Here’s Grandpa taking little Annie up to bed:

“Tell me a story, Grandpa!” she asks.

“OK,” he replies. “Once upon a time there was a pretty little girl who had a pony...”

“Was it a white pony?” Annie interrupts.

“Oh, my, yes, it was as white as snow. It was so white that the sunlight reflected off its coat dazzled the eye. And the little girl and the pony would go riding along the beach...”

“Did they go riding in the mountains, too?”

“Why yes, as a matter of fact, they did. After riding along the beach, they would ride up the green canyons, jumping over the brush and ducking under tree branches, until they came to the very top of the mountains. And there they would play at jumping over boulders...”

“I don’t like to jump.”

“Well then, instead of jumping, she would let her pony graze in the rich deep grass on the mountain’s summit while she sat in the sun...”

And so the story goes on. Note that Grandpa does not respond to Annie’s interruptions with, “Shuddup, kid, you’re messing up my carefully prepared plot!” He wants those interruptions; his storytelling thrives on them. Grandpa does not enter the room with a carefully planned and polished plot, all set to dazzle Annie. Grandpa knows basic principles of storytelling, and then he makes up the story as he goes along—in response to Annie’s needs and interests. The story is the joint creation of Grandpa and Annie. It is their very special story, just for Annie and Grandpa, and no other story will ever be the same. Because it is their very special story, it means more and has more emotional power than any high-tech Hollywood extravaganza. Yes, it lacks the careful plotting, the intricate development, and the glorious special effects of the Hollywood product. But its roughness is more than compensated for by its customization. Sure, Annie likes *The Lion King*—but she treasures *Annie and the White Pony*.

Everybody understands the basic principles of storytelling. Everybody tells stories many times a day. Everybody knows how to translate the basic principles of storytelling into specific stories. If an amateur storytelling Grandpa can pull that off, why can’t we big-shot professionals do the same?

Conclusions

Abstraction is the means by which we can transcend distracting arguments over plot versus interactivity. Instead of thinking about a specific instance (a plot), we must learn to think in terms of something more abstract. I refuse to resort to the pseudointellectual term “metaplot.” Instead, “storyworld” describes the same concept more clearly. A storyworld is a complete, closed dramatic universe of ideas about a specific theme. “The Human Condition” is the largest storyworld of all. “Romance” is a smaller storyworld; “Tragic Romance” is an even smaller storyworld. For now, we shall have to confine our efforts to tiny storyworlds that address narrow themes.

Each storyworld contains within it myriad possible plotlines. Just as the variable x can hold an infinity of possible numbers, or a law can address a passel of possible actions, or a scientific law can describe zillions of possible physical actions, or a bank account can hold many different amounts of money, a storyworld contains implicit within it the possibility of many different plots.

Index

Numbers

3D graphics, 90, 107. *see also* graphics

A

abstraction

- Comme il Faut system, 315
- computer modeling and, 163–166, 169–171
- constructing storyworlds, 76–78
- finance and, 71–74
- higher levels of, 74–76
- justice and, 68–70
- science and, 70–71
- translating principle into instance, 78–80

academic research

- difficulties in, 311–312
- drama managers and, 312–314
- goal-seeking NPCs and, 314
- interactive storytelling from, 321–322
- IRIS project, 318
- limitations of CiF system, 316–318
- Prom Week* and, 316

Acceptable scripts, storytelling engines and, 293–294

accordance personality variable, 199

addition

- bounded numbers and, 185, 189
- converting Boolean OR to, 167–168, 170–171
- multiplication vs., 173–174

Adventure (game), 128, 250

adventures, text. *see* text adventures

Affection_Disdain variable, modeling and, 166–168

agency. *see* interactivity

Aha! insights, 15

Alexander the Great, 85

algorithmic design

- for anticipation factor, 302–304
- Boolean vs. numeric, 178–179
- bounded numbers in, 181–183
- function analyzer and, 279–281
- overruns in, 180–181
- scoring performance and, 225–226

All of Me (movie), 104, 205

all-encompassing laws, 69–70

anatomy drawing, 94–96

AND (Boolean operator)

- converting to numeric multiplication, 167–168, 170–171
- function of, 190
- OR vs., 173–174

Angry_Fearful variable, 205

anticipation of reactions

- example of, 301
- inference engines and, 305–306
- problem of, 301–302
- solution challenges, 303–304
- solution for, 302–303
- tree analysis and, 306–308
- verb options for, 304–305

applause, for player's performance, 223, 224–225

Apple Inc., 250–251

arcade games, 89–90. *see also* video games

Aristotle, 21

Aroused_Disgusted variable, 205

artificial intelligence (AI) techniques

- involving goal-seeking NPCs, 314
- linguistic interpretation and, 252

artistic community

- cultivate logical thinking, 109–110
- learn programming, 111
- pattern-based thinking and, 105
- scientific community vs., 103–104
- second-person insight in, 30–31
- standardize terminology, 110–111

Ascetic_Sensual variable, 203

assembly language

- for computer programs, 137
- difficulties of, 317

associative memory, 32–34

Audience variable, in verb data structure, 230

audiences

- ego control and, 35–36
- plot interaction and, 52
- for role-playing games, 149
- second-person insight and, 30–31, 34–35

audio, for *Façade* storyworld, 255

Austen, Jane, 57

- authorial control
 - interactivity vs., 50–54
 - MMORPGs and, 147–148
- B**
- babel fish puzzle, 130–131
- backstory, in HistoryBooks, 234–235
- Balance of Power* (game), 256, 257
- BASIC programming language
 - concepts taught with, 137
 - interactivity speed with, 38–39
- behavior(s)
 - Fate monitoring, 212–213, 214
 - Inform 7 and, 136
 - modeling. *see* modeling behavior
- behaviorally discriminating personality models, 196–197
- bell curves, distribution of human qualities and, 183–184
- Berne's Transactional Analysis, 315
- bill of exchange, 72–73
- biochemistry, 70–71
- bits, algorithmic design and, 178–179
- blend operator
 - inclination formulae and, 206–207
 - one-factor use of, 188
 - two-factor use of, 189
 - for weighting factors, 185–188
- Bless the Child* (movie), 62
- Blue Lacuna* (interactive fiction), 139
- Bobrow, Daniel, 248
- Boolean bias of Inform 7, 138
- Boolean calculations
 - changing to numeric, 167–168
 - for event-based engines, 288
 - features of, 189–192
 - limitations of, 316–317
 - numeric vs., 177–179
 - of relevant variables, 170–171
 - in scripting languages, 271
- bottom-up engines
 - anticipation factor in, 301–308
 - goals and, 300
 - limitations of, 297
 - plot points and, 298–300
- bounded numbers
 - bell curves and, 183–184
 - blend operator with, 185–189
 - computer implementation of, 184–185
 - in modeling algorithms, 181–183
 - scripting languages and, 271
- brain function
 - environmental knowledge and, 5
 - language and, 5–6
 - mental module interaction in, 7–9
 - social reasoning and, 5
 - thinking mechanisms and, 6–7
 - in visual-spatial module, 4–5
- branching trees
 - dialogue trees and, 118–119
 - for event-based engines, 288
 - foldback schemes in, 121–122
 - geometric growth of, 115–117
 - interactive fiction and, 139
 - maze trees and, 120–121
 - with state variables, 123–125
 - text formulation and, 256–258
 - in video game stories, 154–155
- bytes, algorithmic design and, 178–179
- C**
- C# programming language, 137, 271
- Cameron, Andy, 51
- canned audio, for *Façade* (storyworld), 255
- capabilities of Inform 7, 135–137
- Carmack, John, 52
- causal relationships, mathematics and, 96–97
- CD-ROMs, multimedia craze and, 88–90
- Chaplin, Charlie, 21
- characters
 - event-based engines and, 288
 - Fate monitoring, 212–213
 - in interactive storytelling, 324
 - reactions of. *see* anticipation of reactions
 - in scripting languages, 272
- Chaste_Licentious variable, 203
- chemistry, 70–71
- Choice of Games, 183
- choices
 - interactivity and, 40–42
 - in stories, 20
- CiF. *see* Comme il Faut (CiF) system
- Clausewitz, Carl von, 40
- clock-based engines
 - Acceptable/Desirable scripts and, 293–294
 - overview of, 288–289
 - Plan execution by, 290–291
 - Plans and, 290
 - real-time vs. turn-sequenced, 289
 - Role execution by, 292–293
 - Roles and, 291–292
- coinage, abstraction and, 72

colored text, scripted languages and, 272
 Comme il Faut (CiF) system
 features of, 315
 limitations of, 316–318
 Prom Week (game) and, 316
 communication of gossip. *see* gossip
 competitive advantage, of interactivity, 42–43
 completeness, of personality models, 194
 computer graphics. *see* graphics
 computer modeling for interactive storytelling. *see*
 modeling behavior
 computer programming
 assembly language for, 137
 for bounded numbers, 184–185
 high-level architecture for, 138
 noun prejudice in, 86
 noun-verb relationships in, 82–83, 84
 scripting languages and. *see* scripting languages
 vertical code layout in, 279–281
 conciseness
 of personality models, 194
 through orthogonality, 194–196
 conflict
 Fate monitoring, 212
 role of, 18–19
 conflicting laws, justice and, 68–69
 Consequences, Plan execution and, 290
 consequences, player behavior and, 220–223
 constipated stories, video games and, 152–153
 conversation
 computers and, 245–246. *see also* language(s)
 interactivity in, 28–30
 cosmetic factors. *see also* graphics
 in games vs. stories, 48
 in interactive storytelling, 323
 cost, of video game stories, 156–158
 Crawford's First Law of Software Design, 42, 54,
 90, 313, 322
The Creation of Adam (artwork), 36
 creative options, 63
 creativity, role-playing and, 143–145
 credit cards, abstraction and, 73–74
 cultural knowledge, storytelling and, 9–10
 curiosity, verb thinking and, 91–92
 cut scenes
 development of, 106
 inclusion of video in, 107
 Cyc project, 248

D

da Vinci, Leonardo, 94–95
 Daglow, Don, 141
 data capacity, 86–87
 data structure, verb, 229–230
 data types, as objects, 270
 decision making
 balanced choices for, 55
 frequent but minor, 55–57
 significant, 54–55
 unsatisfying outcomes and, 58–59
 defaults, fully functional, 275–276
 deities, environmental knowledge and, 7–8
 denial, lies and, 241
 depth, of interactivity, 39–40
 Desirable scripts, storytelling engines and,
 293–294
 determinism, free will vs., 53–54
 development environments
 Inform 7 and, 133–138
 for text adventures, 129
 dialogue trees, 118–119
 dictionaries, for interactive fiction, 132
 digital cameras, speed and, 39
 digital storytelling, 44
 directed graphs
 for event-based engines, 288
 features of, 123–125
 DirObject(s)
 creating languages and, 266
 handling verbs and, 230
 with intent to harm, 240
 NPC relationship to, 239
 reaction to Events and, 291
 Role requirement for, 292
 sentence structure and, 231
 disabling information, HistoryBooks and, 234
 division, bounded numbers and, 185
 divisiveness, Two Cultures and, 104
 Dominguez, Facundo, 281–282
Doom (game), 52, 107, 223
Dragon's Lair (game), 89–90, 219
 drama managers
 limitations of, 312–314
 story development and, 210
 dramatic conflict, Fate monitoring, 212
 dramatic time, 26
 Dramaturgical Analysis, 315
 dropping the fourth wall, Fate and, 218
 dullness, monitoring of, 211
Dungeons & Dragons (game), 141

E

economics, verb thinking and, 82–83, 85
 editing, menu-driven, 276–277
 ego control, interactivity and, 35–36
 Einstein, Albert, 71
 Eliza computer program, 248–250
 empathy, second-person insight and, 31–32
 enabling information, HistoryBooks and, 234
 Energetic_Tired variable, 205
 engines, storytelling
 bottom-up, 297
 clock-based, 288–294
 event-based, 288
 plot-based, 295–296
 entertainers, second-person insight and, 31
 environmental knowledge module
 function of, 5
 pattern-based thinking and, 7
 social reasoning and, 7–8
 environmental manipulation, Fate and, 214–215
 Environmental Protection Act, 69–70
 equations, modeling behavior and, 163–166
 error(s)
 handling, scripting and, 278–279
 round-off, 179
 ESP-based (extra sensory perception-based)
 language, 258–259
 eucalyptol, 145
 Europe, interactive storytelling in, 323–324
 evaluation, of player's performance, 223–224
 event-based engines, 288
 Events
 Consequences for/reactions to, 290–291
 HistoryBooks and. *see* HistoryBooks
 in interactive storytelling, 232
 recording, 235–236
 scripting languages and, 272
Excalibur (game), 106
 Exclusive-OR (Boolean operator), 190
 Execution_Time variable, in verb data structure, 231
 exponentiation, weighting factors and, 172–173
 extra sensory perception-based (ESP-based)
 language, 258–259

F

Façade (storyworld)
 facial expression in, 254
 limitations of, 255–256
 linguistic system for, 252–253
 overview of, 251

 real-time play in, 64, 289, 296
 tight constraints of, 253–254
 facial expression, *Façade* storyworld and, 254
 factory work, verb thinking and, 82–83, 84
 Fairmath, 183
 FALSE Boolean flag
 handling verbs and, 230
 indicating lies, 240
 Fate
 drama manager comparison, 313–314
 dropping the fourth wall, 218
 environmental manipulation and, 214–215
 goal injection/personality shifting and, 215
 interstitial stories and, 216–217
 listening function of, 210–213
 overview of, 209–210
 plot points and, 215–216
 reacting to Events, 290, 291
 scoring by, 223–226
 thinking function of, 213–214
 feature bloat, 41
Final Fantasy (movie), 21–22
 finance, abstraction and, 71–74
 first-person personality traits, 198–199
 five factor personality model, 200
 floppy disks, 88, 90
 flow control
 branches for, 274
 loops and, 273–274
 standardized sequencing for, 275
 foldback schemes
 branching trees and, 121–122
 with state variables, 123–125
 text formulation and, 256–258
 FORTRAN programming language, 137
 free will, determinism vs., 53–54
 Freitag, Rosa, 64
 fully functional defaults, in scripting process,
 275–276
 fully player-driven stories, video games and, 156
 function analyzer, in scripting process, 279–281
 functional significance, of choices, 41
 future, of interactive storytelling, 320–325

G

games. *see* video games
 Gardner, Martin, 15
 gender bias, in styles of thinking, 105, 322–323
 Generous_Greedy variable, 203
 geometric growth, of branching trees, 115–117

Gilligan's Island (TV show), 212
 Glassner, Dr. Andrew, 51
 goals
 injection by Fate, 215
 seeking, AI methods for, 314
 in storyworlds, 300
Godzilla, 48
 Goffman's Dramaturgical Analysis, 315
The Gold Rush (movie), 21
 gossip
 anticipating reactions to, 301–304
 communication of, 236–237
 grapevine and, 242–244
 initiation of, 237
 intent to harm in, 240–241
 lies and, 239–240
 mechanism for, 238–239
 for misdirection/denial, 241
 motivation for, 238
 recording Events and, 235–236
 secrets and, 241–242
 solution challenges, 303–304
 verb choice and, 304–305
Gossip (game), 308
 grapevine, in interactive storytelling, 242–244
 graphic adventures, 128–129
 graphical user interfaces (GUIs), as verbs, 227
 graphics
 3D, 90, 107
 development of, 22–23
 in games vs. stories, 48
 interactive storytelling and, 323
 Griffith, D.W., 99
 gross trust, Fate monitoring, 211
 groups, storytelling engines and, 294
Guns & Butter (game), 106

H

Hamlet, 190–192, 224
 Hammurabi of Babylonia, 68–69
 hand-eye coordination, 48–49
 Happy_Sad variable, 205
 headline generator system, 256–258
 headline list, gossip and, 236
 history, of storytelling, 4–6
 HistoryBooks
 backstory in, 234–235
 extra information in, 235
 gossip systems in. *see* gossip

 looping behavior in, 233–234
 moods in, 233
 moving Plans into, 290
The Hitchhiker's Guide to the Galaxy (game), 130
The Hollow (book), 49
 Honest_False variable, for behavior modeling, 202
Huckleberry Finn (book), 25
 human qualities
 bell curves illustrating, 183–184
 Boolean expression of, 191–192
 Fate shifting, 215
 AND vs. OR for, 173–174
 quantifying with numbers, 99–102, 163–166
 types of, 198–199
 weighting factors for, 172–173, 185–189
 human understanding, model for, 32–35
 humanities community
 cultivate logical thinking, 109–110
 learn programming, 111
 pattern-based thinking and, 105
 scientific community vs., 103–104
 standardize terminology, 110–111
 Humble_Proud variable, 203

I

I_Know Boolean flag
 gossip and, 239
 lies and, 240
 in recording Events, 235–236
 ICIDS (International Conference on Interactive Digital Storytelling), 323
 iconic languages
 semantic volume in, 264–265
 SympolTalk, 262–264
 for *Trust & Betrayal*, 258–262
 id Software, 107
 IF. *see* interactive fiction (IF)
 IF statements, in scripting languages, 274
 if-then statements, 5, 7
 image, role of in stories, 22–23
 inclination formulae
 anticipating reactions and, 304
 determining story progression, 213
 exercises for, 208
 with personality models, 206–207
 independent developers, interactive storytelling and, 323
 individuation, importance of, 61–62
 IndObject, 244, 266

- inference engines
 anticipation factor and, 305–306
 lies requiring, 241
 plot-based engines and, 295
- Inform 7
 capabilities of, 135–137
 language of, 133–135
 uncanny valley problem and, 265
 weaknesses of, 137–138
- Ingold, Jon, 131
- initiation, of gossip, 237
- input, for Inform 7, 136
- instantiation, of higher principles, 78–80
- Integrating Research in Interactive Storytelling (IRIS) project, 318, 324
- intensifiers, text formulation and, 257
- interactive fiction (IF)
 Adventure program and, 250
 beginning of, 129–130
 development environments for, 133–138
 example of, 131–133
 future of, 140
 graphic adventures and, 128–129
 limitations of, 139–140
 text adventures and, 128
- interactive storytelling
 abstraction and, 74–78
 academic research into. *see* academic research
 branching trees and. *see* branching trees
 creative options in, 63
 decision-making in, 54–57
 different angles in, 59–60
 employing mathematics for, 94–96, 99–102
 free will vs. determinism and, 53–54
 future of, 320–325
 generalized verb handling in, 229–231
 gossip systems and. *see* gossip
 grapevine in, 242–244
 HistoryBooks and. *see* HistoryBooks
 immersion in, 224–225
 individuation in, 61–62
 interactive fiction vs., 139–140
 language and. *see* language(s)
 lies in, 239–241
 in MMORPGs, 147–148
 modeling for. *see* modeling behavior
 other media for, 98–99
 Plans/Events in, 232
 plot vs., 50–52
 principle into instance in, 78–80
 scripting languages for. *see* scripting languages
 secrets in, 241–242
 social reasoning and, 57–58
 Third Option and, 62–63
 three cultures of, 108
 tragedy in, 223–224
 turn-sequenced play in, 63–64
 unsatisfying outcomes in, 58–59
 verb counts in, 228–229
 video game comparison, 47–50
 women and, 322–323
 WordSockets in, 231–232
- Interactive Storytelling for Video Games* (Lebowitz & Klug), 29, 152–158
- interactivity
 competitive advantage of, 42–43
 definition of, 28, 210, 245
 depth of, 39–40
 ego control in, 35–36
 human understanding and, 32–35
 movies incorporating, 50
 power of, 43–44
 process-driven narrative and, 53–54
 quality of, 28–30
 revolutionary nature of, 43
 richness of choices in, 40–42
 second-person insight in, 30–32
 speed of, 37–39
 verb thinking and, 87–88
- interactivized movies, 50
- International Conference on Interactive Digital Storytelling (ICIDS), 323
- Internet, speed and, 39
- interstitial stories, Fate and, 216–217
- intuitive thinking. *see* pattern-based thinking
- inverse parsers, language construction and, 260–262
- iPhone, Siri for, 250–251
- IRIS (Integrating Research in Interactive Storytelling) project, 318, 324
- J**
- JavaScript programming language, 137, 184–185, 276
- Jurassic Park* (movie), 18, 20, 44, 48
- justice
 all-encompassing laws and, 69–70
 conflicting laws and, 68–69
 maintaining, 68

K

“Kill ’em if they stray” technique, 220–223
King Lear (play), 223
 Klug, Chris, 152
 koalas, overspecialization in, 145–147
Koyaanisqatsi (movie), 17, 246

L

language module
 function of, 5–6
 mental module interaction and, 7–9
 sequential thinking and, 7
 storytelling and, 9–15
 language(s)
Adventure (game) and, 250
 computers and, 245–246, 247–248
 creating, 266–267
 Eliza program, 248–250
 ESP-based, 258–259
Façade storyworld, 251–256
 headline generator system and, 256–258
 of Inform 7, 133–135, 136
 inverse parsers, 260–262
 noun prejudice in, 85
 Sappho scripting language, 277
 scripting. *see* scripting languages
 semantic volume and, 264–265
 Siri language user interface, 250–251
 SympolTalk, 262–264
 Laurel, Brenda, 209–210, 312
 laws
 all-encompassing, 69–70
 conflicting, 68–69
Le Morte D’Arthur (game), 298
 Lebowitz, Josiah, 152
 lies
 intent to harm in, 240–241
 in interactive storytelling, 239–241
 for misdirection/denial, 241
 listening functions
 of Fate, 210–213
 in interactivity, 28–30
 prevention of Two Cultures, 108–109
The Little Mermaid (book), 229
 logical plot points, 299
 logical thinking. *see* sequential thinking
 loops
 controlling, 273–274
 in HistoryBooks, 233–234
 Loquacious_Taciturn variable, 203

Lord of the Flies (book), 254
The Lord of the Rings (book/movie), 17, 18, 49,
 169–171

M

Macbeth, 20
 MacWrite, 228
Make It Good (Ingold), 131, 139–140
 market image
 role-playing games and, 149
 video games and, 321
 Martin, Steve, 104, 205
 massively multiplayer online role-playing games
 (MMORPGs), 147–148
 Mateas, Michael, 64, 255
 mathematics. *see also* numeric calculations
 abstraction and, 71
 causal relationships and, 96–97
 Inform 7 and, 137
 for interactive storytelling, 94–96
 as metaphorical, 98–99
 quantifying human qualities, 99–102, 163–166
The Matrix (movie), 20, 92
 Maxwell, James Clerk, 71
 maze trees, 120–121
 mechanism, for gossip, 238–239
 Meehan, James R., 295
 mental modules
 development of, 105
 environmental knowledge, 5
 interaction of, 7–9
 language, 5–6
 social reasoning, 5
 thinking mechanisms and, 6–7
 visual-spatial, 4–5
 menu-driven editing, 276–277
 metaphors, mathematical modeling as, 98–102
 Michelangelo, 36, 60, 94–95
 military science, verb thinking and, 82–83, 84,
 85–86
 misdirection, lies and, 241
Mixed Emotions (storyworld), 64
 MMORPGs (massively multiplayer online role-
 playing games), 147–148
 modeling behavior
 abstract thinking in, 163–166
 adding complexity to, 166–168
 addition vs. multiplication in, 173–174
 Boolean calculations for, 189–192
 bounded numbers for, 181–183
 computer as medium for, 162

- interactive storytelling and, 161
 - steps to follow for, 169–171
 - weighting factors in, 172–173
- modularization, scripting process and, 283
- Momentous_Trivial variable
 - headline list and, 236
 - rabble-rousing plot points and, 300
 - sharing gossip and, 239
 - for verbs, 229
- moods
 - HistoryBooks and, 233
 - personality traits and, 204–205
- motivation, for gossip, 238
- Motivational Analysis, 315
- movies
 - earliest years of, 98–99
 - interaction and, 29–30
 - language and, 246
- Ms. Pac-Man* (game), 322
- multimedia craze, software development and, 88–90
- multiple ending stories, video games and, 153–154
- multiplication
 - addition vs., 173–174
 - bounded numbers and, 185
 - converting Boolean AND to, 167–168, 170–171
- music, scoring performance and, 223
- Myst* (interactive fiction), 129

N

- Name variable, for verb data structure, 229
- Napoleon, 85–86
- narrativity, interactivity vs., 50–52
- national banks, abstraction and, 73–74
- The National Enquirer* (tabloid), 256
- negative predictions, 321–322
- Newton, Isaac, 71, 85
- Nice_Nasty variable, for modeling, 201
- non-player characters (NPCs)
 - canned audio and, 255
 - goal-seeking, 314
 - sharing gossip, 238–239
- NOT (Boolean operator), 190
- nouns
 - bias toward, 85–88
 - as complement to verbs, 82–83
 - verbs vs., 82–83
- NPCs. *see* non-player characters (NPCs)
- numbers
 - indicating verb value, 229
 - in scripting languages, 271

- numeric calculations. *see also* mathematics
 - Boolean vs., 191–192
 - bounded numbers in, 181–185
 - changing Boolean to, 167–168
 - for event-based engines, 288
 - inclination formulae as, 206–207
 - Inform 7 and, 137
 - for interactive storytelling, 177–179
 - modeling behavior and, 170–171
 - overruns and, 180–181

O

- objects, data types as, 270
- The Odyssey* (poem), 25, 142–143, 223
- one-factor use of blend operator, 188
- opportunistic plot points, 299–300
- optical disk games, 89–90
- Option(s)
 - acceptability/desirability of, 293–294
 - creative, 63
 - for Roles, 292–293
 - Third, 62–63
- OR (Boolean operator)
 - AND (Boolean) vs., 173–174
 - converting to numeric addition, 167–168, 170–171
 - function of, 190
- orthogonality, of personality models, 194–196
- output, with Inform 7, 136
- overruns, algorithmic design and, 180–181
- overspecialization, role-playing games and, 145–147
- overview variables
 - description of, 210–211
 - determining story progression, 213–214
 - plot points based on, 216
 - scoring algorithms and, 225
- The Ox-Bow Incident* (book), 254

P


- Pac-Man* (game), 123–125, 223
- parameter prompts, in scripting process, 278
- parsers, inverse, 260–262
- Pascal programming language, 137
- pattern-based thinking
 - in arts/humanities, 104
 - cultivating logical thinking, 109–110
 - development of, 105
 - features of, 6–7
- people, role of, 17–18

- personality models
 - additional traits for, 203–204
 - behaviorally discriminating, 196–197
 - as complete/concise, 194
 - exercises for, 208
 - five factor, 200
 - inclination formulae with, 206–207
 - moods and, 204–205
 - as orthogonal, 194–196
 - overspecific, 197
 - physical traits for, 204
 - three core traits for, 201–202
 - types of traits in, 198–199
 - personality shifts, Fate and, 215
 - personality traits. *see* human qualities
 - PHP programming language, 137
 - Phrontisterion conferences, 324
 - physical intervention, by Fate, 214–215
 - physical traits, behavior modeling and, 204
 - physics, verb thinking and, 82–83, 84, 85
 - Pilot programming language, 137
 - Plans
 - aborting, 294
 - execution of, 290–291
 - in interactive storytelling, 232
 - for storytelling engines, 290
 - player behavior, correcting, 220–223
 - player skills dependency, MMORPGs and, 147–148
 - player-driven stories, video games and, 156
 - the Playwright, 209–210
 - plot
 - enforcement of, 219
 - higher abstraction and, 74–75
 - interactivity vs., 50–54
 - plot points
 - definition of, 298
 - Fate and, 215–216
 - logical, 299
 - opportunistic, 299–300
 - rabble-raising, 300
 - timed, 298–299
 - plot-based engines, 295–296, 297
 - Poetics* (Aristotle), 21
 - poison, for run-time errors, 279
 - Polyclitus, 36
 - predictions, for interactive storytelling, 320–325
 - preferred player behavior, 222–223
 - Preparation_Time variable, for verb data structure, 231
 - Pride and Prejudice* (Austin), 57
 - principle, translating into instance, 78–80
 - print stories, 106
 - process-driven narrative
 - abstract control and, 76–78
 - interactivity and, 53–54
 - processing capacity, video games and, 86–87
 - Prom Week* (game), 255, 316
 - prompts, parameter, 278
 - props
 - IndObjects and, 266
 - Inform 7 and, 136
 - in scripting process, 271
 - Ptolemy, 70
 - puzzles
 - babel fish, 130–131
 - in games vs. stories, 49
 - role of, 19–20
- Q**
- quantifiers, scripting process and, 284
- R**
- rabble-raising plot points, 300
 - reactions
 - anticipation of, 301–304
 - interaction vs., 29
 - verb choice and, 304–305
 - reality
 - language reflecting, 247–248
 - modeling and, 161
 - real-time play
 - clock-based engines and, 289
 - in traditional storytelling, 63–64
 - reasonable player behavior, 222–223
 - recorded video, early, 107
 - recursion, 302
 - Reiss' Motivation Analysis, 315
 - religion, social reasoning and, 7–8
 - repeated behavior, in HistoryBooks, 233–234
 - representational art, visual-spatial modules and, 8, 9
 - requirement script, of Roles, 291–292
 - resource management skills, 49–50
 - Return of the Jedi* (movie), 254
 - revolutionary nature, of interactivity, 43
 - The Rise of the Image, the Fall of the Word* (book), 22
 - robots, goal-seeking, 314
 - role-playing games (RPGs)
 - audience and, 149
 - creativity/change in, 143–145

- MMORPGs and, 147–148
 - overspecialization in, 145–147
 - overview of, 141–142
 - verbs in, 142–143
 - Roles, in clock-based engines, 291–292
 - Romeo and Juliet* (play), 59, 224
 - round-off errors, 179
 - run-time errors, 278–279
- S**
- Sapir, Edward, 247–248
 - Sapir-Whorf hypothesis, 247–248
 - Sappho scripting language
 - editing process with, 277
 - run-time errors and, 279
 - story recording/playback in, 282
 - vertical code layout in, 280–281
 - Saving Private Ryan* (movie), 22
 - science
 - abstraction and, 70–71
 - sequential thinking and, 8, 104–105
 - scientific community
 - cultivating intuitive thinking, 109–110
 - terminology standardized for, 110–111
 - scoring
 - algorithms for, 225–226
 - by Fate, 223–224
 - feedback and, 224–225
 - scripting languages
 - colored type in, 272
 - computer programming for, 269–270
 - flow control in, 272–275
 - fully functional defaults in, 275–276
 - function analyzer for, 279–281
 - limiting data types in, 270–272
 - menu-driven editing in, 276–277
 - modularization in, 283
 - parameter prompting in, 278
 - run-time errors and, 278–279
 - sample script, 283–285
 - story recording/playback, 281–282
 - second-person insight
 - facets of, 31–32
 - human understanding and, 32–35
 - in interactive storytelling, 30–31
 - second-person personality traits, 198–199
 - Secret Boolean flag, 241
 - secrets
 - calculating betrayal of, 304
 - in interactive storytelling, 241–242
 - verb choice and, 305
 - semantic volume, in iconic languages, 264–265
 - sentence structure, verbs and, 231–232
 - sequence control, in scripting process, 275
 - sequential thinking
 - cultivating intuitive thinking, 109–110
 - development of, 105
 - features of, 6–7
 - science and, 8, 104
 - The Seventh Guest* (game), 107
 - Shakespeare, William, 224
 - Show, C.P., 103
 - Shrek* (movie), 21–22
 - simplicity of themes, 48
 - The Sims* (game), 156
 - Siri language user interface, 250–251
 - Smart_Stupid variable, 204
 - Smith, Adam, 85
 - social acceptability of violence, Fate and, 211
 - social conflict, role of, 18–19
 - social reasoning module
 - environmental knowledge and, 7–8
 - function of, 5
 - interactivity and, 40, 57–58, 323
 - pattern-based thinking and, 7
 - storytelling and, 8, 9
 - women and, 322–323
 - Socrates, 190
 - software development
 - of Fate. *see* Fate
 - multimedia craze and, 88–90
 - space, Inform 7 and, 135
 - spatial thinking
 - storytelling and, 24–26
 - video games and, 322
 - speaking functions
 - dropping the fourth wall, 218
 - environmental manipulation, 214–215
 - goal injection/shifting personalities, 215
 - in interactivity, 28–30
 - interstitial stories, 216–217
 - plot enforcement, 219
 - plot points, 215–216
 - spectacle, in stories, 20–22
 - speed, interactivity and, 37–39
 - Spielberg, Steven, 18
 - spying, storytelling engines and, 294
 - stages
 - with Inform 7, 135
 - in scripting languages, 271
 - storytelling and, 24–26
 - standardized sequencing, in interactive storytelling, 275

- Star Trek* (movie), 25–26, 246
 - Star Wars* (movie), 18, 20, 24, 25, 48, 49, 50, 254
 - Stern, Andrew, 64
 - stories
 - choices in, 20
 - conflict in, 18–19
 - constipated, 152–153
 - cost of adding, 156–158
 - interstitial, 213–214
 - multiple ending, 153–154
 - open-ended, 155–156
 - player-driven, 156
 - puzzles in, 19–20
 - recording/playback of, 281–282
 - role of people in, 17–18
 - spatial thinking in, 24–26
 - spectacle in, 20–22
 - structure of, 16–17
 - temporal discontinuity in, 26
 - video games adding, 106–107, 151–152
 - visual thinking in, 22–23
 - storytelling
 - development of, 9–15
 - engines. *see* engines, storytelling
 - history of, 4–6
 - purpose of, 3–4
 - social reasoning and, 8, 9
 - traditional elements of, 62–64
 - Storytron* (storyworld), 265, 296
 - storyworlds
 - anticipation in, 301–308
 - exploration of verb set in, 211–212
 - goals in, 300
 - plot points in, 298–300
 - scripting for. *see* scripting languages
 - structure
 - Inform 7 and, 138
 - sentence, 231–232
 - of stories, 16–17
 - structured memory, 32–34
 - Subject(s)
 - creating languages and, 266
 - handling verbs and, 230
 - NPC relationship to, 239
 - reactions of, 291
 - reactions to, 302
 - sentence structure and, 231
 - subtraction, bounded numbers and, 185
 - supporting characters, in storyworlds, 300
 - sylogisms, mathematics and, 96–97
 - symmetric language, 266
 - symmetry, creating languages and, 266
 - SympolTalk, 262–264
- T**
- TaleSpin* (story-generation program), 295
 - teachers, second-person insight in, 32, 34
 - temporal discontinuity, 26
 - The Terminator* (movie), 48, 49
 - text
 - colored for scripted languages, 272
 - handling capabilities of Inform 7, 136
 - label for verbs, 229
 - sample script for generation of, 283–285
 - text adventures
 - development of IF, 129–130
 - example of, 131–133
 - features of, 128
 - as puzzles, 130–131
 - thinking functions
 - of Fate, 213–214
 - in interactivity, 28–30
 - as pattern-based/sequential, 6–7
 - shifting to verb thinking, 90–92
 - Third Option, in interactive storytelling, 62–63
 - third-person personality traits, 198–199, 307–308
 - three cultures, in interactive storytelling, 108
 - time
 - dramatic vs. physical, 26
 - with Inform 7, 135
 - time frame, for emergence of interactive
 - storytelling, 324–325
 - timed plot points, 215–216, 298–299
 - Tomlin, Lily, 104
 - traditional storytelling
 - creative options and, 63
 - real-time play in, 63–64
 - Third Option in, 62–63
 - tragedy, in interactive storytelling, 223–224
 - Transactional Analysis, 315
 - tree analysis, anticipation and, 306–308
 - TRUE Boolean flag
 - gossip and, 239
 - in handling verbs, 230
 - lies and, 240
 - recording Events and, 236
 - Trust & Betrayal* (game), 106, 216–217, 258–262
 - truth, translation into instance, 78
 - turn-sequenced play
 - clock-based engines and, 289
 - with Inform 7, 135
 - in interactive storytelling, 63–64

- Two Cultures* (Snow), 103
- Two Cultures problem
 divisiveness of, 103–104
 games/stories and, 106–108
 neurophysiological basis of, 105
 prevention of, 108–111
- two-factor use of blend operator, 189
- U**
- uncanny valley problem, 265
- user interface languages
 Sapir-Whorf hypothesis and, 246
 Siri as, 250–251
- V**
- variables
 Boolean, 189–192
 deciding on/naming, 170–171
 modeling behavior and, 163–166
 overruns and, 180–181
 overview, 210–211, 213–214, 216, 225
 personality types as, 198–199
 in verb data structure, 229–231
 weighting factors for, 172–173, 185–189
- verb(s)
 anticipation factor and, 304–305
 complementing nouns, 82–83
 counts, 228–229
 creating languages and, 266, 272
 designing personality models and, 196–197
 for *Façade* (storyworld), 252–253, 255
 generalized handling of, 229–231
 intent to harm and, 240
 in interactive storytelling, 57–58
 inverse parsers and, 260–262
 noun prejudice and, 85–88
 nouns vs., 82–83
 role-playing games and, 142–143
 Roles attached to, 291–292
 WordSockets for, 231–232
- verb-based thinking
 adapting to, 90–92
 importance of, 227
- vertical code layout, function analyzer and,
 279–281
- video games
 adding stories to, 106–107, 151–152
 branching tree approach to, 154–155
 compared to interactive storytelling, 47–50
 constipated stories and, 152–153
 cost of stories in, 156–158
 definition of, 47, 48
 fully player-driven stories for, 156
 market image of, 320–321
 multimedia craze and, 89–90
 multiple ending stories for, 153–154
 noun prejudice and, 86–87
 open-ended stories for, 155–156
 plot vs. interactivity in, 53–54
 video-generated facial expression, in *Façade*
 (storyworld), 254
 violence
 Fate monitoring, 211
 in games vs. stories, 48
 role of, 19
 VisiCalc spreadsheet, speed and, 37–38
- visual-spatial modules
 function of, 4–5
 pattern-based thinking and, 7
 storytelling and, 22–26
 visual art/writing and, 8, 9
- W**
- weaknesses, of Inform 7, 137–138
- The Wealth of Nations* (Smith), 85
- weighting factors
 blend operator for, 185–189
 in modeling behavior, 172–173
- Weizenbaum, Joseph, 248
- Whorf, Benjamin, 247–248
- Willful_Pliant variable, for behavior modeling,
 202
- Wing Commander* (game), 106
- Witnesses variable, for verb data structure,
 230–231
- witnesses, to Events, 290–291
- Wolfenstein 3D* (game), 107
- women, interactive storytelling and, 322–323
- WordSockets, for verbs, 231–232
- writing, visual-spatial modules and, 8, 9. *see also*
 scripting languages
- Y**
- Yar's Revenge* (game), 106
- yin-yang relationships, verb thinking and, 82–83
- Z**
- Zork* (game), 128



WATCH READ CREATE

Unlimited online access to all Peachpit, Adobe Press, Apple Training, and New Riders videos and books, as well as content from other leading publishers including: O'Reilly Media, Focal Press, Sams, Que, Total Training, John Wiley & Sons, Course Technology PTR, Class on Demand, VTC, and more.

No time commitment or contract required!
Sign up for one month or a year.
All for \$19.99 a month

SIGN UP TODAY
peachpit.com/creativeedge

**creative
edge**