

Chunikhin's existence theorem for subgroups of a finite group

C. D. H. Cooper

We give a simplified proof of a general theorem of Chunikhin on existence of subgroups of a finite group. The proof avoids the technical device of "indexials" which Chunikhin set up for this purpose.

1. Introduction

In [2] (and later in [1], pp. 79-100), Chunikhin proves a very general theorem which asserts, for any finite group and any normal series of that group, the existence of a subgroup having a certain relationship with the terms of the normal series. It includes as special cases the existence of a Hall π -subgroup in a π -soluble group and the existence of subgroups of all possible π -orders in a π -supersoluble group. In this paper we give a much more direct proof than the one in [1], avoiding the elaborate machinery of "indexials" which Chunikhin sets up.

Throughout the paper, all groups are assumed to be finite.

THEOREM 1 (Chunikhin [1], pp. 79-100). *Suppose that the group G has a series $1 = G_0 \leq G_1 \leq \dots \leq G_{2n} = G$ such that if $1 \leq i \leq n-1$, $G_{2i} \cong G$ and $G = G_{2i} N_G(G_{2i-1})$. If $0 \leq i \leq n-1$, let θ_i be the set of primes which divide $|G_{2j+1}/G_{2j}|$ for some $j \in \{i, \dots, n-1\}$. Then there exists a subgroup H of G such that if $H_i = H \cap G_i$ for $0 \leq i \leq 2n$,*

$$(1) \quad G_{2i+1} = H_{2i+1} G_{2i} \quad \text{for } 0 \leq i \leq n-1,$$

Received 15 December 1970.

- (2) $H_i \cong H$ for $0 \leq i \leq 2n$,
- (3) H_{2i}/H_{2i-1} is a nilpotent θ_i -group for $1 \leq i \leq n$,
- (4) $H_{2i+1}/H_{2i} \cong G_{2i+1}/G_{2i}$ for $0 \leq i \leq n-1$,
- (5) $|H_{2i}/H_{2i-1}|$ divides $|G_{2i}:G_{2i-1}|$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$,
- (6) H is a θ_0 -group.

2. Some definitions

If π is any set of primes, π' denotes the complement of π in the set of all primes. A π -number is an integer whose only prime divisors are elements of π , and a π -group is a group whose order is a π -number. A Hall π -subgroup, H , of a group G is a π -subgroup of G whose index in G is a π' -number.

A group G is π -supersoluble if each chief factor is either a cyclic group of order p for some $p \in \pi$, or a π' -group. G is π -soluble if each composition factor (chief factor) is either a p -group for some $p \in \pi$ or a π' -group. G is π -separable if each composition factor (chief factor) is a π -group or a π' -group. G is π -decomposable if the order of each composition factor (chief factor) is divisible by at most one prime from π . G is π -partible if the order of each composition factor (chief factor) is a π -number, or is divisible by at most one prime from π .

We are here following Gorenstein [3] in the use of the term " π -separable". Chunikhin uses " π -separable" to refer to what we call π -decomposable. The fact that the above definitions, with the exception of π -supersolubility, can be stated in terms of composition factors or chief factors follows from the fact that every chief factor is a direct product of isomorphic copies of some composition factor.

A π -supersoluble group is clearly π -soluble. A group is π -soluble if and only if it is both π -separable and π -decomposable. π -separability and π -decomposability each imply π -partibility. Subgroups and factor groups of π -supersoluble, π -soluble, π -separable, π -decomposable and π -partible groups are respectively π -supersoluble, π -soluble, π -separable, π -decomposable and π -partible. π -supersoluble and

π -soluble groups are respectively, π_1 -supersoluble and π_1 -soluble for all $\pi_1 \subseteq \pi$. A π -separable group is π' -separable.

3. Preliminary lemmas

LEMMA 1. Suppose $B \leq A \leq G$ and $C \leq G$ such that B permutes with C . Then

- (a) $A \cap BC = B(AC)$,
- (b) $|AC|/|BC|$ divides $|A|/|B|$,
- (c) if $A \leq BC$ and $B \trianglelefteq A$ then $AC/BC \cong A/B$.

Proof. (a) is the modularity law ([4], p. 124).

(b). $|B(AC)| = |B| \cdot |AC|/|BC|$ whence $|AC|/|BC| = |B(AC)|/|B|$ which divides $|A|/|B|$.

(c). $A = A \cap BC = B(AC)$ by (a) whence $A/B \cong AC/(AC) \cap B = AC/BC$.

LEMMA 2. (Schur-Zassenhaus [4], p. 224). If H is a normal Hall π -subgroup of G , G contains a Hall π' -subgroup.

LEMMA 3. Conclusions (4) to (6) of Theorem 1 are consequences of (1) to (3).

Proof. (4) follows from (1) by the second isomorphism theorem.

(5). Suppose $1 \leq i \leq n$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} G_{2i-1}^H &= H_{2i-1}G_{2i-2}^H \text{ by (1),} \\ &= HG_{2i-2}, \end{aligned}$$

since $G_{2i-2} \trianglelefteq G$. Similarly $HG_{2i-1} = HG_{2i-2}$ and so G_{2i-1} is permutable with H . (5) now follows from Lemma 1 (b) on putting $A = G_{2i}$, $B = G_{2i-1}$, $C = H$.

(6). If $0 \leq i \leq n-1$, H_{2i+1}/H_{2i} is a θ_i -group by (4), and if $1 \leq i \leq n$, H_{2i}/H_{2i-1} is a θ_i -group by (3).

Since $\theta_0 \supseteq \theta_1 \supseteq \dots \supseteq \theta_n$, H is a θ_0 -group.

LEMMA 4. If $1 = G_0 \leq G_1 \leq \dots \leq G_{2n} = G$ is a chain of subgroups of

G such that if $1 \leq i \leq n$, $G_{2i} \trianglelefteq G$ and $G = G_{2i} N_G(G_{2i-1})$ and if S is a subgroup of G such that $G_1 \leq S \leq N_G(G_1)$ and $G = G_2 S$, then putting $S_i = S \cap G_i$,

(a) $G_i = G_2 S_i$ for $2 \leq i \leq 2n$, and

(b) $S = S_{2i} N_S(S_{2i-1})$ and $S_{2i} \trianglelefteq S$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$.

Proof. (a). Suppose $i \geq 2$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} G_i &= G_i \cap G_2 S = G_2 (G_i \cap S) \text{ by Lemma 1 (a),} \\ &= G_2 S_i. \end{aligned}$$

(b). If $i \geq 2$,

$$\begin{aligned} S &= S \cap G = S \cap G_{2i} N_G(G_{2i-1}), \\ &= S \cap G_2 S_{2i} N_G(G_{2i-1}) \text{ by (a),} \\ &= S \cap S_{2i} N_G(G_{2i-1}) \text{ since } G_2 \leq G_{2i-1} \leq N_G(G_{2i-1}), \\ &= S_{2i} \left\{ S \cap N_G(G_{2i-1}) \right\} \text{ by Lemma 1 (a),} \\ &= S_{2i} N_S(G_{2i-1}) \leq S_{2i} N_S(S_{2i-1}). \end{aligned}$$

But $S_{2i} N_S(S_{2i-1}) \leq S$ and so $S = S_{2i} N_S(S_{2i-1})$.

If $i = 1$, $S_{2i-1} = S_1 = G_1 \trianglelefteq S$ whence $N_S(S_{2i-1}) = S$.

4. Proof of Theorem 1

We suppose that the theorem is false and throughout this section G is assumed to be a minimal counter-example. We suppose further that the theorem fails for G in respect of the chain $1 = G_0 \leq G_1 \leq \dots \leq G_{2n} = G$, ($n \geq 1$) but holds for every shorter chain. For $0 \leq i \leq n-1$, θ_i denotes the set of primes which divide some $|G_{2j+1}/G_{2j}|$ for $j \geq i$.

LEMMA 5. If $G_1 \leq S \leq N_G(G_1)$ and $G = G_2 S$ then $S = G$.

Proof. Suppose $S < G$. It follows from Lemma 4 (b) and the fact that G is a minimal counter-example that there exist $H \leq S$ such that if

for $0 \leq i \leq n-1$, ϕ_i denotes the set of primes which divide some $|S_{2j+1}/S_{2j}|$ for $j \geq i$, then

- (i) $S_{2i+1} = H_{2i+1}S_{2i}$ for $0 \leq i \leq n-1$,
- (ii) $H_i \trianglelefteq H$ for $0 \leq i \leq 2n$,
- (iii) H_{2i}/H_{2i-1} is a nilpotent ϕ_i -group for $1 \leq i \leq n$,

where H_i is defined to be $H \cap S_i$ for $0 \leq i \leq 2n$.

Now if $i \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} G_{2i+1} &= G_2 S_{2i+1} \text{ by Lemma 4 (a)} \\ &= G_2 H_{2i+1} S_{2i} \text{ by (i)} \\ &= G_2 S_{2i} H_{2i+1} \\ &= G_{2i} H_{2i+1} \text{ by Lemma 4 (a)}. \end{aligned}$$

If $i = 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} G_{2i+1} &= G_1 = S_1 = H_1 S_0 \text{ by (i)}, \\ &= H_1. \end{aligned}$$

Thus (1) holds for G .

If $0 \leq j \leq n-1$ it follows from Lemma 1 (b) that $|S_{2j+1}/S_{2j}|$ divides $|G_{2j+1}/G_{2j}|$ and so $\phi_i \subseteq \theta_i$ for $0 \leq i \leq n-1$. Finally, $H \cap S_i = H \cap G_i$ for $0 \leq i \leq 2n$ and so from (ii), (iii) it follows that (2) and (3) hold for G . Hence by Lemma 3, the theorem holds for G , a contradiction. Hence $S = G$.

LEMMA 6. *If $G_1 \trianglelefteq G$ then $G_1 = 1$.*

Proof. Suppose that $G_1 \neq 1$. Using the symbol " $\bar{}$ " to denote images of subgroups of G in G/G_1 , we have by the assumptions on the G_i , $\bar{G}_{2i} \trianglelefteq \bar{G}$ and $\bar{G} = \bar{G}_{2i} \bar{N}_G(\overline{G_{2i-1}}) = \bar{G}_{2i} \bar{N}_{\bar{G}}(\bar{G}_{2i-1})$. Hence by the minimality of G , there is a subgroup H of G such that $G_1 \leq H$ and such that if for $0 \leq i \leq n-1$, α_i denotes the set of primes which divide

some $|\overline{G}_{2j+1}/\overline{G}_{2j}|$ for $j \geq i$,

- (i) $\overline{G}_{2i+1} = \overline{H}_{2i+1}\overline{G}_{2i}$ for $0 \leq i \leq n-1$,
- (ii) $\overline{H}_i \trianglelefteq \overline{H}$ for $0 \leq i \leq 2n$,
- (iii) $\overline{H}_{2i}/\overline{H}_{2i-1}$ is a nilpotent α_i -group for $1 \leq i \leq n$,

where H_i is defined to be $H \cap G_i$ for $0 \leq i \leq 2n$.

If $i \geq 1$, it follows from (i) that $G_{2i+1} = H_{2i+1}G_{2i}$. Moreover $G_1 \leq H$ and so $G_1 = H_1 = H_1G_0$. Thus (1) holds for G . From (ii), $H_i \trianglelefteq H$ for $i \geq 1$, and clearly $H_0 \leq H$. Hence (2) holds for G . If $j \geq 1$, $\overline{G}_{2j+1}/\overline{G}_{2j} \cong G_{2j+1}/G_{2j}$. Moreover $\overline{G}_1/\overline{G}_0$ is trivial. Hence if $0 \leq i \leq n-1$, $\alpha_i \subseteq \theta_i$. If $i \geq 1$, $\overline{H}_{2i}/\overline{H}_{2i-1} \cong H_{2i}/H_{2i-1}$ and so by (iii), H_{2i}/H_{2i-1} is a nilpotent θ_i -group and so (3) holds for G . Thus, by Lemma 3, the theorem holds for G , a contradiction. Hence $G_1 = 1$.

LEMMA 7. *If $G_1 = 1$ and G_2 is nilpotent then $G_2 = 1$.*

Proof. Suppose that $G_2 \neq 1$. Using the symbol " $\overline{}$ " to denote images of subgroups of G in G/G_2 , then by the assumptions on the G_i , $\overline{G}_{2i} \trianglelefteq \overline{G}$ and $\overline{G} = \overline{G}_{2i}\overline{N_G(G_{2i-1})} = \overline{G}_{2i}\overline{N_G(G_{2i-1})}$. Hence by the minimality of G , there is a subgroup K of G such that $G_2 \leq K$ and such that if for $0 \leq i \leq n-1$, α_i denotes the set of primes which divide some $|\overline{G}_{2j+1}/\overline{G}_{2j}|$ for $j \geq i$,

- (i) $\overline{G}_{2i+1} = \overline{K}_{2i+1}\overline{G}_{2i}$ for $0 \leq i \leq n-1$,
- (ii) $\overline{K}_i \trianglelefteq \overline{K}$ for $0 \leq i \leq 2n$,
- (iii) $\overline{K}_{2i}/\overline{K}_{2i-1}$ is a nilpotent α_i -group for $1 \leq i \leq n$,
- (iv) \overline{K} is an α_0 -group,

where K_i is defined to be $K \cap G_i$ for $0 \leq i \leq 2n$.

If $j \geq 1$, $\overline{G}_{2j+1}/\overline{G}_{2j} \cong G_{2j+1}/G_{2j}$, and so $\alpha_j = \theta_j$. Since $\overline{G}_1/\overline{G}_0$ is trivial, $\alpha_0 = \alpha_1 = \theta_1$. Thus by (iv), K/G_2 is a θ_1 -group.

Since G_2 is nilpotent it contains a unique Hall θ'_1 -subgroup, M . M is characteristic in G_2 and hence normal in G . G_2/M is a θ_1 -group and so K/M is a θ_1 -group. By Lemma 2, there exists a Hall θ_1 -subgroup H of K . Thus $K = MH$ and $M \cap H = 1$.

If $i \geq 2$, then since $M \leq G_2$, we have by Lemma 1 (a) that $K_i = K \cap G_i = MH \cap G_i = M(H \cap G_i) = MH_i$ where H_i is defined to be $H \cap G_i$ for $0 \leq i \leq 2n$. If $i \geq 1$ we have from (i) that $G_{2i+1} = K_{2i+1}G_{2i} = MH_{2i+1}G_{2i} = H_{2i+1}G_{2i}$. Moreover G_1 and H_1 are trivial, so $G_1 = H_1G_0$. Thus (1) holds for G .

If $i \geq 2$, it follows from (ii) that $K_i \trianglelefteq K$ and so $MH_i \trianglelefteq MH$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} H_i^H &\leq MH_i \cap H = H_i(M \cap H) \text{ by Lemma 1 (a),} \\ &= H_i. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $H_i \trianglelefteq H$. Moreover $H_2 = G_2 \trianglelefteq H$ and $H_1 = G_1 = 1 \trianglelefteq H$. Thus (2) holds for G .

If $i \geq 2$, $\overline{K}_{2i}/\overline{K}_{2i-1} \cong K_{2i}/K_{2i-1} = MH_{2i}/MH_{2i-1} \cong H_{2i}/H_{2i-1}$ and so by (iii), H_{2i}/H_{2i-1} is a nilpotent α_i -group and so a nilpotent θ_i -group. Since $H_1 = 1$, $H_2/H_1 \cong H_2$ and is nilpotent since G_2 is nilpotent. Since K/M is a θ_1 -group, so is H_2 . Hence (3) holds for G and so by Lemma 3, the theorem holds for G , a contradiction. Hence $G_2 = 1$.

Proof of Theorem 1. We obtain our ultimate contradiction through an interplay of Lemmas 5, 6 and 7. Taking $S = N_G(G_1)$ in Lemma 5 we conclude that $G_1 \trianglelefteq G$. Hence by Lemma 6, $G_1 = 1$. Thus if S is any subgroup of G such that $G = G_2^S$, then by Lemma 5, $S = G$. Hence G_2

is contained in the Frattini subgroup of G , whence it is nilpotent. By Lemma 7, $G_2 = 1$. Since the theorem holds for G in respect of the shorter chain $1 = G_2 \leq G_3 \leq \dots \leq G_{2n} = G$, it must hold in respect of the original chain, a contradiction.

5. Consequences of Theorem 1

THEOREM 2. *Suppose that*

$$1 = G_0 < G_2 < G_4 < \dots < G_{2n} = G$$

is a normal series for G . If for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$, the factor G_{2i}/G_{2i-2} contains a single conjugacy class of Hall π -subgroups and if G/G_{2n-2} contains a Hall π -subgroup then G contains a Hall π -subgroup. If these Hall π -subgroups are soluble, G contains a soluble Hall π -subgroup.

Proof. For $1 \leq i \leq n$, choose G_{2i-1} so that G_{2i-1}/G_{2i-2} is a Hall π -subgroup of G_{2i}/G_{2i-2} . If $1 \leq i \leq n-1$, all Hall π -subgroups of G_{2i}/G_{2i-2} are conjugate whence $G/G_{2i-2} = G_{2i}/G_{2i-2}$, $N_{G/G_{2i-2}}(G_{2i-1}/G_{2i-2})$ and so $G = G_{2i} N_G(G_{2i-1})$. By Theorem 1 there exists a subgroup H of G having properties (1) to (6).

$$\begin{aligned} |G : H| &= \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{|G_{2i-1} : G_{2i-2}|}{|H_{2i-1} : H_{2i-2}|} \times \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{|G_{2i} : G_{2i-1}|}{|H_{2i} : H_{2i-1}|} \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{|G_{2i} : G_{2i-1}|}{|H_{2i} : H_{2i-1}|} \text{ by (4),} \end{aligned}$$

which divides $\prod_{i=1}^n |G_{2i} : G_{2i-1}|$ and so is a π' -number. Since for $0 \leq i \leq n-1$, G_{2i+1}/G_{2i} is a π -group, $\theta_i \subseteq \pi$ for all i . In particular $\theta_0 \subseteq \pi$. H is a θ_0 -group by (6) and so a π -group. Hence it is a Hall π -subgroup of G .

If the Hall π -subgroups of the factors of G are soluble, G_{2i-1}/G_{2i-2} , and hence by (4) H_{2i-1}/H_{2i-2} is soluble for $1 \leq i \leq n$.

Since, by (3), H_{2i}/H_{2i-1} is nilpotent for $1 \leq i \leq n$, H is soluble.*

COROLLARY. *The theorem holds if $1 = G_0 < G_2 < \dots < G_{2n} = G$ is a composition series.*

Proof. If A is a direct product of isomorphic copies of B , then A has a single conjugacy class of Hall π -subgroups if and only if B has. Since each chief factor of G is a direct product of isomorphic copies of some composition factor, the assumptions on the composition factors carry over to the chief factors.

THEOREM 3 ([1], Theorem 3.9.1). *If G is π -partible then it contains a Hall π -subgroup. If for some $\pi_1 \subseteq \pi$, G is π_1 -decomposable and $(\pi - \pi_1)$ -separable then it contains a π_1 -soluble Hall π -subgroup.*

Proof. Let $1 = G_0 < G_2 < G_4 < \dots < G_{2n} = G$ be a chief series for G . If ρ is the set of prime divisors for some chief factor then by the π -partibility of G ,

- (i) $\rho \subseteq \pi$, or
- (ii) $\rho \subseteq \pi'$, or
- (iii) $\rho \cap \pi = \{p\}$ for some prime p .

In case (i) the factor is a π -group and so has a unique Hall π -subgroup (namely itself). In case (ii) the factor is a π' -group and so has a unique Hall π -subgroup (namely the trivial subgroup). In case (iii) the factor has a single conjugacy class of Hall π -subgroups (namely the Sylow p -groups). Hence by Theorem 2, there exists a Hall π -subgroup H of G satisfying (1) to (6) of Theorem 1.

Suppose that G is π_1 -decomposable and $(\pi - \pi_1)$ -separable. If ρ is the set of prime divisors of $|G_{2i}/G_{2i-2}|$, $\rho \subseteq \pi'_1$ or $\rho \cap \pi_1 = \{p\}$ by π_1 -decomposability. If $\rho \cap \pi_1 = \{p\}$ then by $(\pi - \pi_1)$ -separability, $\rho \cap (\pi - \pi_1) = \emptyset$ that is $\rho \cap \pi = \rho \cap \pi_1$. Let τ be the set of prime divisors of $|H_{2i-1}/H_{2i-2}|$. Then by (4), $\tau \subseteq \rho$ and by (6), $\tau \subseteq \theta_0 = \pi$. Hence $\tau \subseteq \rho \cap \pi$. Thus either $\tau \subseteq \rho \subseteq \pi'$ or

* In fact by (5) and (6), each H_{2i}/H_{2i-1} is trivial.

$\tau \subseteq \rho \cap \pi = \rho \cap \pi_1 = \{p\}$. Finally for $1 \leq i \leq n$, $H_{2^i}/H_{2^{i-1}}$ is, by (5), a π' -group.* Hence H is π_1 -soluble.

References

- [1] S.A. Chunikhin, *Subgroups of finite groups* (Translated from the Russian and edited by Elizabeth Rowlinson. Wolters-Noordhoff, Groningen, 1969).
- [2] S.A. Čunihin, "A general test for the existence of subgroups in finite groups" (Russian), *Mat. Sb. (N.S.)* 55 (97) (1961), 101-124. English translation, *Amer. Math. Soc. Transl.* (2) 45 (1965), 79-104.
- [3] Daniel Gorenstein, *Finite groups* (Harper and Row, New York, Evanston, London, 1968).
- [4] Marshall Hall, Jr, *The theory of groups* (The Macmillan Company, New York, 1959).

School of Mathematics,
Macquarie University,
North Ryde, NSW.

* In fact, since H is a π -group, $H_{2^i}/H_{2^{i-1}}$ is trivial.