

# THE UNIVERSITY of EDINBURGH

## Edinburgh Research Explorer

# Cis and trans determinants of epigenetic silencing by polycomb repressive complex 2 in Arabidopsis

#### Citation for published version:

Xiao, J, Jin, R, Yu, X, Shen, M, Wagner, J, Pai, A, Song, C, Zhuang, M, Klasfeld, S, He, C, Santos, AM, Helliwell, C, Pruneda-Paz, JL, Kay, SA, Lin, X, Cui, S, Garcia, MF, Clarenz, O, Goodrich, W, Zhang, X, Austin, RS, Bonasio, R & Wagner, D 2017, 'Cis and trans determinants of epigenetic silencing by polycomb repressive complex 2 in Arabidopsis', *Nature Genetics*, vol. 49, pp. 1546-1552. https://doi.org/10.1038/ng.3937

#### **Digital Object Identifier (DOI):**

10.1038/ng.3937

Link: Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer

**Document Version:** Peer reviewed version

Published In: Nature Genetics

#### General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

#### Take down policy

The University of Édinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact openaccess@ed.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



#### 1 Cis- and trans-determinants of epigenetic silencing by Polycomb Repressive Complex 2 in

#### 2 Arabidopsis

- 3
- Jun Xiao<sup>1</sup>, Run Jin<sup>1#</sup>, Xiang Yu<sup>1#</sup>, Max Shen<sup>1</sup>, John Wagner<sup>2</sup>, Armaan Pai<sup>2</sup>, Claire Song<sup>2</sup>, Michael 4
- Zhuang<sup>2</sup>, Samantha Klasfeld<sup>1</sup>, Chongsheng He<sup>3</sup>, Alexandre M. Santos<sup>4</sup>, Chris Helliwell<sup>5</sup>, Jose L Pruneda-Paz<sup>6</sup>, Steve A Kay<sup>7</sup>, Xiaowei Lin<sup>8</sup>, Sujuan Cui<sup>8</sup>, Meilin Fernandez Garcia<sup>9</sup>, Oliver Clarenz<sup>10</sup>, Justin Goodrich<sup>10</sup>, Xiaoyu Zhang<sup>4</sup>, Ryan S. Austin<sup>11,12</sup>, Roberto Bonasio<sup>3</sup> & Doris Wagner<sup>1</sup>\*
- 5 6 7
- 8
- 9 <sup>1</sup>Department of Biology, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA, USA.
- 10 <sup>2</sup>Lab course BIOL425 Fall and Spring 2015, Department of Biology, University of Pennsylvania,
- 11 Philadelphia, PA, USA
- 12 <sup>3</sup>Department of Cell and Developmental Biology, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA, USA
- 13 <sup>4</sup>Department of Plant Biology, University of Georgia, Athens, GA, USA.
- 14 <sup>5</sup>CSIRO Agriculture and Food, Canberra, Australia.
- 15 <sup>6</sup>Division of Biology, University of California San Diego, La Jolla, CA, USA.
- 16 <sup>7</sup> Department of Neurology, Keck School of Medicine, University of Southern California, Los Angeles,
- 17 CA, USA.
- 18 <sup>8</sup>College of Life Science in Hebei Normal University, Hebei, P.R. China
- 19 <sup>9</sup>Department of Biochemistry and Biophysics, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA, USA.
- <sup>10</sup>University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, UK. 20
- <sup>11</sup>Agriculture & Agri-Foods Canada, London, ON, Canada. 21
- 22 <sup>12</sup>Department of Biology, Western University, London, ON, Canada.
- 23
- 24
- 25
- <sup>#</sup>: equal contribution 26
- 27 \*Correspondence should be addressed to D.W. (wagnerdo@sas.upenn.edu)

- 28 Disruption of gene silencing by Polycomb Complexes leads to homeotic transformations
- 29 and altered developmental phase identity in plants<sup>1-5</sup>. Here we define short genomic
- 30 fragments, Polycomb Response Elements (PREs), that direct Polycomb Repressive
- 31 Complex 2 (PRC2) placement at developmental genes regulated by silencing in
- 32 Arabidopsis. We identify transcription factor families that bind to these PREs, co-localize
- 33 with PRC2 on chromatin, physically interact with and recruit PRC2, and are required for
- 34 Polycomb silencing in vivo. Two of the cis sequence motifs enriched in the PREs are
- 35 cognate binding sites for the identified transcription factors and are necessary and
- 36 sufficient for PRE activity. Thus PRC2 recruitment in plants relies in large part on binding
- 37 of trans-acting factors to cis-localized DNA sequence motifs.

- 38 In both the plant and animal kingdoms, Polycomb repression is important for cell identity<sup>2,5-8</sup>. The
- 39 evolutionarily conserved PRC2 complex trimethylates lysine 27 of histone H3 (H3K27me3), an
- 40 epigenetic mark that results in compaction of chromatin and silencing of gene expression at thousands of
- 41  $loci^{1-5,8,9}$ . After its establishment, the repressed chromatin state is mitotically heritable<sup>8,10</sup>. Given that
- 42 PRC2 has no inherent DNA binding specificity, a key question is how the Polycomb epigenetic
- 43 machinery targets loci it silences. In Drosophila, multiple transcription factors (TFs) bind to *cis* regulatory
- 44 regions several hundreds of base pairs in length called PREs and recruit Polycomb complexes<sup>8,9</sup>. Despite
- 45 initial identification of a few such PREs in mammals, recent studies instead implicate promoter proximal
- 46 unmethylated CpG islands in PRC recruitment<sup>7</sup>. Likewise, PREs with inherent silencing ability were
- 47 identified at a handful of loci in Arabidopsis<sup>10-14</sup>, but it is unclear whether this mechanism broadly
- 48 underpins PRC2 recruitment.
- 49
- 50 To elucidate the PRC2 targeting mechanism in Arabidopsis, we identified 132 high-confidence PRC2-
- 51 regulated genes from our own and public genomic datasets<sup>15-17</sup> (Supplementary Fig. 1a and
- 52 Supplementary Tables 1 and 2) and computationally defined 170 candidate PREs (600 bp in length)
- 53 associated with them. We selected five PREs from three loci (the PRC2 targets AGAMOUS (AG) and
- 54 SEPALLATA3 (SEP3)<sup>2,18</sup> and a gene of unknown function (At5g61120 (At5g)) to test their ability to
- 55 recruit PRC2 and direct *de novo* H3K27 trimethylation when randomly integrated into the genome. All
- 56 five candidate plant PREs recruited PRC2 (represented by complex components FIE, EMF2 and MSI1,
- 57 Supplementary Fig. 1b) and gained H3K27me3 as did a previously characterized control PRE
- 58 (PC\_LEC2)<sup>12</sup> (Fig. 1 a, b and Supplementary Fig. 2a,b; n=40 transgenic lines). H3K27me3 is known to
- 59 spread from the site of PRC2 recruitment to adjacent genomic locations<sup>8,9</sup>, this was also observed at the
- 60 PREs (Supplementary Fig. 2a, b). Random unlinked (NC\_1 and NC\_3) and linked (AG locus, NC\_2)
- 61 DNA fragments did not recruit PRC2 and or gain H3K27me3.
- 62

63 In Drosophila, PREs not only recruit Polycomb complexes and become decorated with H3K27me3, they

64 also repress linked genes in a Polycomb dependent manner<sup>8,9</sup>. Likewise, when placed between two

- 65 constitutive promoters, the five candidate PREs significantly (P <0.05, Mann Whitney U-test) silenced
- 66 three independent reporter genes (GFP fluorescence, beta-glucuronidase activity and herbicide
- 67 resistance), as did PC\_LEC2 (Fig. 1c,d, n=15 and Supplementary Fig. 2c,d, n=15 and Supplementary Fig.
- 68 2e, n=60 independent transformants). None of the control DNA fragments had this effect. The ability of
- 69 the PREs to silence active reporters was dependent on PRC2 in all cases (Fig. 1d, and Supplementary Figs.
- 70 2d-e).
- 71

72 To determine which sequence-specific binding proteins associate with the five functional PREs, we

73 performed high-throughput DNA binding assays using a library of 1956 Arabidopsis TFs<sup>19</sup>. Our screen

74 identified 233 PRE-binding TFs (Supplementary Table 3). Fifty-five TFs belonging to 20 families were

75 selected for further characterization on the basis of significant binding to multiple PREs (Supplementary

76 Table 3). Among the 20 PRE-associated TF families, three (sub) families were most significantly

enriched (Fig. 2a and Supplementary Table 3): the C2H2 Zinc finger family<sup>20</sup> (C1-2iD ZnF subfamily, 4

of 6 members identified), the plant specific APETALA2-like family<sup>21</sup> (AP2 subfamily, 2 of 6 members

identified) and the plant specific BASIC PENTACYSTEINE (BPC) family<sup>22</sup> (class I subfamily, 2 of 3

80 members identified).

81

82 In yeast-two-hybrid tests, more than 50 percent of the 55 PRE-interacting transcription factors - including 83 all of the identified C1-2iD Zn-finger, AP2 and class I BPC subfamily transcription factors - physically 84 interacted with at least one PRC2 complex component (Fig. 2b,c and Supplementary Fig. 3a, 85 Supplementary Table 3). We confirmed contact between select members of the transcription factor 86 subfamilies (TOE1, AZF1 and BPC1) and the PRC2 complex (represented by FIE) using bimolecular 87 fluorescence complementation (BiFC) in plant cells (Fig. 2d,e and Supplementary Fig. 3b). No BiFC 88 signal was observed for members of closely related TF subfamilies (Fig. 2d,e). Using plants stably 89 expressing tagged versions of TOE1, AZF1 and BPC1 from their endogenous promoters, we showed that 90 the transcription factors co-immunoprecipitated with the PRC2 complex (FIE) in intact plants (Fig. 2f). 91 Co-immunoprecipitation was also observed in the presence of an endonuclease (Supplementary Fig. 3c). 92 93 In a parallel approach, we identified six motifs enriched in the 170 computationally defined PREs with a de novo motif analysis pipeline<sup>23</sup> (Fig. 3a,b and Supplementary Table 2). Many of these motifs, in 94 95 particular the GA repeat and the telobox, had previously been correlated with Polycomb occupancy in 96 Arabidopsis<sup>15,24-27</sup>. To test the biological roles of the GA repeat and telobox motifs in Polycomb silencing, 97 we mutated both motifs in the AG 2 and the At5g PRE (Fig.1). Mutation of GA repeats and telobox 98 motifs significantly reduced the ability of both PREs to silence an active reporter (Fig. 3c). The residual

99 activity of the mutated PREs suggests the presence of additional cis motifs with a role in PRC2

100 recruitment. The GA repeat and telobox motifs of the AG\_2 and At5g PRE are conserved in species of

101 the *Brassicaceae* (Fig.3d). Addition of two GA repeats and teloboxes to a DNA fragment that does not

102 recruit PRC2 (NC 1; Fig. 1b) resulted in a synthetic PRE (NC+), which significantly silenced an active

103 reporter in a PRC2-dependent manner (Fig. 3c). The data suggest that the GA repeat and telobox motifs

104 are necessary and sufficient for PRE activity.

106 Prior studies had identified GA repeats as the cognate binding sites of class I BPC transcription factors<sup>28</sup>

and we confirmed binding of the PRC2 interacting class I BPC TF BPC1 to the GA repeat by

108 electrophoretic mobility shift assay (EMSA) (Supplementary Fig. 4a). We conducted a motif-based DNA

109 interaction screen for TFs that bind the telobox. The screen identified members of the PRE-binding and

- 110 PRC2-interacting C1-2iD ZnF TF subfamily (Supplementary Fig. 4b,c). Association of the C1-2iD ZnF
- 111 TF AZF1 with the telobox was verified by EMSA (Fig. 3e). Our findings link sequence motifs important
- 112 for PRE function to recruitment of TFs that physically interact with PRC2.
- 113

114 We next assessed the genome-wide overlap between chromatin occupancy of PRC2 (FIE) and the two TF

families (AZF1 and BPC1) in 30-hour-old plants, a stage when PRC2 function becomes essential for

116 plant development<sup>29</sup>. Significant ( $Q < 10^{-10}$ ) binding peaks of FIE, AZF1 and BPC1 co-localized with each

117 other and with H3K27me3 peaks both globally and at individual loci (Fig. 4a,b and Supplementary Fig.

- 118 5). 23% of all FIE-bound regions overlapped with BPC1 peaks, while 28% overlapped with AZF1 peaks
- (Fig. 4c). This overlap was significantly larger than expected by chance for the peak associated genes
- 120 ( $P < 10^{-307}$  and  $P < 10^{-105}$  (hypergeometric test), respectively). In total, 1804 FIE peaks (35%) overlapped
- 121 with an AZF1 or a BPC1 peak and at 42% of these peaks both TFs were present (Fig. 4d). Cognate
- binding motifs (GA repeat and telobox) of the class I BPC and the C1-2iD TFs were also significantly
- 123 enriched under the FIE binding peaks (Supplementary Fig. 5d). Gene Ontology term enrichment analysis
- 124 links the FIE, AZF1 and BPC1 targets to shoot development, flower patterning and gynoecium
- 125 development (Supplementary Fig. 5e).
- 126
- 127 Characteristic phenotypes of mutants in the PRC2 methyltransferase CLF are upwards curled leaves with
- 128 partial floral identity as well as precocious flowering<sup>2</sup>. Higher order mutants in the class I BPC TFs or the
- 129 C1-2iD C2H2 ZnF TFs do not exhibit these phenotypes<sup>30,31</sup> (Supplementary Fig. 6), suggesting
- 130 combinatorial roles for the two TF families in Polycomb silencing. Two pieces of evidence support this
- 131 idea. Firstly, knockdown of either TF family significantly enhanced the leaf curling and the precocious
- 132 flowering of the hypomorph  $clf^{R}$  mutant<sup>18</sup> (Supplementary Figs. 7, 8). Secondly, simultaneous knockdown
- 133 of both TF families  $(BPC+ZnF^{KD})$  in the wild type triggered upwards leaf curling and precocious
- flowering (Fig. 5a,b). The  $BPC^{KD}clf^R$ ,  $ZnF^{KD}clf^R$  and  $BPC+ZnF^{KD}$  phenotypes were accompanied by a
- 135 significant reduction in PRC2 (FIE) occupancy and in H3K27 trimethylation at Polycomb target loci and
- 136 by significant de-repression of the Polycomb targets (Fig. 5c-e and Supplementary Figs. 7-9). FIE
- 137 occupancy -at peaks with  $Q < 10^{-10}$  in the wild type- was also reduced genome-wide in 30 hr old
- 138 BPC+ZnF<sup>KD</sup> plants (Fig. 5f,g and Supplementary Fig. 10). By contrast, occupancy of BPC1 at a
- 139 Polycomb target locus was not dependent on presence of PRC2 (Supplementary Figs. 11, 12).

- 140
- 141 Finally, we assessed the contribution of AZF1 and BPC1 to PRC2 recruitment by reciprocal gain of
- 142 function tests. Tethering both the AZF1 and the BPC1 TF to an artificial promoter in isolated plant cells
- 143 (protoplasts) triggered levels of FIE recruitment similar to those observed at an endogenous Polycomb
- 144 target locus (Fig. 6a). In addition, overexpression of BPC1 or AZF1 in  $clf^{R}$  plants restored PRC2
- 145 occupancy and H3K27me3 at Polycomb target loci to near wild-type levels and largely rescued the leaf
- 146 curling defect of  $clf^{R}$  (Supplementary Fig. 12). These findings suggest combinatorial roles for class I BPC
- 147 and C1-2iD ZnF TFs in Polycomb silencing and PRC2 recruitment.
- 148
- 149 Here we uncover a PRC2 recruitment strategy in Arabidopsis that is strikingly similar to that in
- 150 Drosophila including roles for GA repeat-binding and Zn-finger TFs in recruitment (Fig. 6b)<sup>7-9</sup>. Further
- 151 support for our findings comes from a recent study of PRC2 recruitment to the *ABI4* locus<sup>32</sup>. Our data
- 152 suggest that a similar logic underpins PRC2 recruitment in species from two kingdoms of life. The plant
- 153 PREs we uncovered may recruit both PRC2 and PRC1, since GA repeat and telobox motifs also link to
- 154 PRC1 occupancy in Arabidopsis<sup>26,27</sup>. Additional PREs besides those we predicted likely exist and may
- act at different stages and in different tissues or conditions. Likewise, additional determinants of PRC2
- 156 recruitment remain unidentified. Their discovery combined with the current data should enable
- 157 computational prediction of PREs for future epigenetic reprograming of cell identity or function to
- 158 enhance plant growth and yield.
- 159
- 160 URLs.
- 161 R packages used:"vioplot" https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/vioplot/index.html; leoss
- 162 smoothing <u>https://stat.ethz.ch/R-manual/R-devel/library/stats/html/loess.html</u>, PCC analysis
- 163 https://stat.ethz.ch/R-manual/R-devel/library/stats/html/cor.html, PCA analysis https://stat.ethz.ch/R-
- 164 <u>manual/R-devel/library/stats/html/prcomp.html</u>.
- 165

#### 166 **METHODS**

- Methods, including statements of data availability and any associated accession codes and references, areavailable in the online version of the paper.
- 169

170 Note: Any Supplementary Information and Source Data files are available in the online version of the paper. 171

#### 172 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

- 173 We thank Un-Sa Lee for help with manuscript preparation, students of BIOL425 lab course (Spring 2015
- and Fall 2015) for the telobox interactome screen, Jia He for help with fluorometric assay of GUS activity

- and Wenhuai Li (Chinese Academy of Sciences) for statistical analyses. Support for the study comes from
- 176 NSF MCB-1243757 and MCB-1614355 to D.W., NSF IOS-1238142 to X.Z., AAFC GRDI-130 to R.S.A.,
- 177 BBSRC award G20266 to J.G., BBSRC award G20266 and DFG fellowship CL 393/1-1 to O.C., NIH
- 178 NRSA 1F31GM112417-01 to M.F.G., NIH R01GM056006 to S.A.K. and J.P.P. and R01GM067837,
- 179 RC2GM092412 to S.A.K., NIH DP2MH107055, MoD 1-FY-15-344, and Searle Scholar Program award
- 180 to R.B.
- 181

### **182 AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

- 183 D.W. and J.X. conceived of the study and J.X. conducted the majority of the experiments. O.C. and J.G.
- 184 generated the CLF ChIP-chip dataset. J.P.P. and S.A.K. conducted the high throughput DNA interactome
- 185 screen. R.A. performed the motif analysis, C.H. contributed to functional PRE analysis and R.J. and M.S.
- 186 to transcription factor/PRC2 interaction tests. A.P., C.S. and M.Z. identified telobox binding TFs under
- 187 the guidance of J.W. Cho.H. and R.B. performed library preps. R.B., X.Y., S.K. X.Z. and A.S. conducted
- bioinformatic analysis. M.F. developed the labeling protocol for EMSA. S.C. and X.L. raised anti-BPC1
- antisera. D.W. wrote the paper with input from all authors.
- 190

#### 191 COMPETING FINANCIAL INTERESTS

- 192 The authors declare no competing financial interests.
- 193

194 195	References		
195	1	Förderer A. Thou V & Turck F. The age of multiplexity: Recruitment and interactions of	
190	1.	Polycomb complexes in plants. in <i>Current Opinion in Plant Biology</i> Vol. 29 169-178 (2016).	
198	2.	Goodrich, J. <i>et al.</i> A Polycomb-group gene regulates homeotic gene expression in Arabidopsis.	
199	2	Nature 380, 44-51 (1997).	
200	3.	<b>66</b> , 269-296 (2015).	
202	4.	Pu, L. & Sung, ZR. PcG and trxG in plants - friends or foes. <i>Trends in genetics : TIG</i> <b>31</b> , 252-62	
203		(2015).	
204	5.	Xiao, J. & Wagner, D. Polycomb repression in the regulation of growth and development in	
205		Arabidopsis. Current opinion in plant biology 23, 15-24 (2015).	
206	6.	Lewis, EB. A gene complex controlling segmentation in Drosophila. <i>Nature</i> <b>276</b> , 565-70	
207		(1978).	
208	7.	Blackledge, NP., Rose, NR. & Klose, RJ. Targeting Polycomb systems to regulate gene	
209		expression: modifications to a complex story <i>Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol</i> <b>16</b> 643-9 (2015)	
210	8	Simon J-A & Kingston R -E. Occupying Chromatin: Polycomb Mechanisms for Getting to	
211	0.	Genomic Targets Stopping Transcriptional Traffic and Staving Put <i>Molecular Cell</i> <b>49</b> 808-824	
212		(2013)	
212	9	Kassis I-A & Brown I-L Polycomb group response elements in Drosophila and vertebrates	
213	).	Adv Genet <b>81</b> 83-118 (2013)	
215	10	Sun B <i>et al.</i> Timing mechanism dependent on cell division is invoked by Polycomb eviction in	
215	10.	nlant stem cells. <i>Science</i> <b>343</b> , 1248559 (2014)	
210	11	Lodba M. Marco CF. & Timmermans MC. The ASYMMETRIC LEAVES complex	
217	11.	maintains repression of KNOX homeobox genes via direct recruitment of Polycomb-repressive	
210		complex? Ganas Day 27, 596-601 (2013)	
21)	12	Berger N. Dubreuca B. Roudier F. Dubos C. & Lepiniec I. Transcriptional regulation of	
220	12.	Arabidonsis I EAEV COTVI EDON2 involves RIE a cis-element that regulates trimethylation	
221		of histone H3 at lysine 27 Plant Call <b>23</b> 4065 4078 (2011)	
222	13	Vuan W <i>et al.</i> A cis cold memory element and a trans enigenome reader mediate Polycomb	
223	15.	silencing of ELC by vernalization in Arabidonsis Nat Ganat <b>48</b> , 1527, 1534 (2016)	
224	14	Oüeste LI Song I Gereldo N An H & Dean C Arabidonsis transcriptional repressor	
225	14.	VAL 1 triggers Polycomb silencing at ELC during vernalization Science (New York, NY) 353	
220		ALT Higgers Forycomb shencing at FEC during vernanzation. Science (Ivew Tork, IV.1.) 555, 185.8 (2016)	
227	15	Deng W et al. Arabidonsis Polycomb Repressive Complex 2 binding sites contain putative	
228	13.	CACA factor binding motifs within coding ragions of gapes <i>BMC genemics</i> 14, 503 (2012)	
229	16	Vim S. V. Lee, I. Eshed Williams I. Zilberman D. & Sung Z. P. EME1 and PPC2 cooperate.	
230	10.	to repress key regulators of Arabidonsis development. PLoS Canet 8, a1002512 (2012)	
231	17	Theng X at al. Whole general analysis of historic H2 lyging 27 trimethylation in Arabidonsis	
232	17.	Zhang, A. <i>et al.</i> whole-genome analysis of historie H5 Tysine 27 trimethylation in Arabidopsis. $PL \in S$ <i>Biology</i> <b>5</b> , 1026 (2007)	
233	10	<i>FLOS Diology</i> <b>5</b> , 1020-1055 (2007).	
234	18.	the nelwark group gene CUDI VIEAE DISCOVET 220715 (2012)	
233	10	Dreng de Der L. L. et al. A compare coste accorde fon the functional characterization of	
230	19.	Pruneda-Paz, JL. <i>et al.</i> A genome-scale resource for the functional characterization of	
237	20	Arabidopsis transcription factors. Cell Kep $\delta$ , 622-632 (2014).	
238	20.	Kodaira, KS. <i>et al.</i> Arabidopsis Cys2/His2 zinc-finger proteins AZF1 and AZF2 negatively	
239		regulate abscisic acid-repressive and auxin-inducible genes under abiotic stress conditions. <i>Plant</i>	
240	01	Physiol 157, /42-/56 (2011).	
241	21.	Kim, S., Soltis, PS., Wall, K. & Soltis, DE. Phylogeny and domain evolution in the	
242	~~	APETALA2-like gene family. <i>Mol Biol Evol</i> 23, 107-120 (2006).	
243	22.	Montared, MM. et al. Overlapping and antagonistic activities of BASIC PENTACYSTEINE	
244		genes affect a range of developmental processes in Arabidopsis. <i>Plant J</i> 66, 1020-1031 (2011).	

- 245 23. Winter, C.-M. *et al.* LEAFY target genes reveal floral regulatory logic, cis motifs, and a link to biotic stimulus response. *Developmental cell* **20**, 430-43 (2011).
- 247 24. Molitor, A.-M. *et al.* The Arabidopsis hnRNP-Q Protein LIF2 and the PRC1 subunit LHP1
  248 function in concert to regulate the transcription of stress-responsive genes. *The Plant Cell*,
  249 tpc.00244.2016 (2016).
- 25. Wang, H. *et al.* Arabidopsis Flower and Embryo Developmental Genes are Repressed in
  251 Seedlings by Different Combinations of Polycomb Group Proteins in Association with Distinct
  252 Sets of Cis-regulatory Elements. *PLoS Genetics* 12, 1-25 (2016).
- 253 26. Zhou, Y., Hartwig, B., Velikkakam James, G., Schneeberger, K. & Turck, F. Complementary
  254 activities of TELOMERE REPEAT BINDING proteins and Polycomb Group complexes in
  255 transcriptional regulation of target genes. *The Plant Cell* 28, TPC2015-00787-RA (2015).
- 256 27. Hecker, A. *et al.* The Arabidopsis GAGA-Binding Factor BASIC PENTACYSTEINE6 Recruits
  257 the POLYCOMB-REPRESSIVE COMPLEX1 Component LIKE HETEROCHROMATIN
  258 PROTEIN1 to GAGA DNA Motifs. *Plant physiology* 168, 1013-24 (2015).
- 259 28. Kooiker, M. *et al.* BASIC PENTACYSTEINE1, a GA binding protein that induces
  260 conformational changes in the regulatory region of the homeotic Arabidopsis gene SEEDSTICK.
  261 *Plant Cell* 17, 722-729 (2005).
- 262 29. Bouyer, D. *et al.* Polycomb repressive complex 2 controls the embryo-to-seedling phase
   263 transition. *PLoS Genetics* 7(2011).
- 264 30. Ciftci-Yilmaz, S. & Mittler, R. The zinc finger network of plants. *Cell Mol Life Sci* 65, 1150-1160 (2008).
- Meister, R.-J. *et al.* Definition and interactions of a positive regulatory element of the Arabidopsis
   INNER NO OUTER promoter. *Plant J* 37, 426-438 (2004).
- 32. Mu, Y. *et al.* BASIC PENTACYSTEINE Proteins Repress ABSCISIC ACID INSENSITIVE4
   Expression via Direct Recruitment of the Polycomb-Repressive Complex 2 in Arabidopsis Root
   Development. *Plant Cell Physiol* 58, 607-621 (2017).

#### 272 Figure legends

- 273
- **Figure 1** Identification of *Arabidopsis* DNA fragments with PRE activity.
- 275 (a) Construct to test ability of candidate PREs or control DNA fragments (NC) to recruit PRC2 and
- 276 H3K27me3. Below: region tested by ChIP-qPCR.
- (b) Top: Occupancy of the PRC2 component FIE at fragments tested. Bottom: H3K27me3 accumulation
- 278 relative to H3 at fragments tested. Shown are mean  $\pm$  SEM of three independent ChIP experiments (red
- dots). \*\*, P< 0.01; ns, not significant P>0.05 one-tailed unpaired t test relative to NC\_1. The LEC2 PRE<sup>12</sup>,
- 280 serves as positive control (PC\_LEC2). See also Supplementary Fig. 2a,b.
- 281 (c) Construct to test ability of PREs to silence active reporters. Candidate PREs and control fragments
- were placed between two constitutive promoters (pF3H and pMAS) driving expression of GFP, GUS or an herbicide resistance gene (Bar), respectively.
- (d) GFP intensity visually scored (1 = no reporter expression to 5 = full reporter expression) in 15
- independent transformants in the wild type (WT) (top) or a prc2 mutant (clf-28 swn-7) (bottom). Violin
- 286 plot of GFP intensities of presumptive PREs (left) and negative controls (right): range, median= white
- 287 circle, mean= white line, lower to higher quartile= vertical black line. Black bar: median GFP
- fluorescence of the PRE populations in the wild type background. \*, P<0.05; \*\*, P<0.01; ns, not
- significant (P>0.16) relative to NC\_1, one-tailed Mann-Whitney U test. Similar results were obtained for
- silencing of beta-glucuronidase activity and herbicide resistance (Supplementary Fig. 2c-e).
- 291
- **Figure 2** PRE-binding TFs physically interact with PRC2.
- (a) TF families most enriched as PRE-binding based on high-throughput yeast-one-hybrid assays. Y-axis:
   P-value hypergeometric test. Inset: Most enriched TF subfamilies.
- 295 (**b**, **c**) Interaction between PRE-binding TFs and PRC2 by medium throughput yeast-two-hybrid test for
- all 55 PRE-binding TFs (b) and quantitative ortho-Nitrophenyl-β-galactoside (*ONPG*) assays for
- 297 members of the most enriched TF subfamilies (c). Negative controls: LFY TF and AD alone. Mean ±
- SEM of three independent yeast-two-hybrid experiments (red dots). \*, P<0.05; \*\*, P< 0.01; ns, not
- 299 significant P > 0.05 relative to BD, one-tailed unpaired *t*-test.
- 300 (d, e) Interaction between PRE-binding TFs and the PRC2 complex (represented by the single copy PRC2
   301 component FIE) by bimolecular fluorescence complementation in protoplasts. TFs tested (subfamily):
- 302 TOE1 (AP2), AZF1 (C1-2iD Zn Finger) and BPC1 (class I BPC) and controls: BBM (AP2/ANT), ZAT5
- 303 (C1-2iC Zn Finger), BPC6 (class II BPC) plus LFY. Nuclear fluorescence (d) and quantification (e). Bar
- $304 = 10 \,\mu\text{m}$ . Box and whisker plot with median of three BiFC experiments comprising 150 cells each (red
- 305 line), upper and lower quartile (box) and minima, maxima (whiskers). P-value: one tailed Mann-Whitney
- 306 U test, \*\*\*, P<0.001 relative to controls.
- 307 (f) Co-immunoprecipitation of HA-tagged PRC2 (FIE) after IP of MYC tagged TFs in seedlings. TRB2:
- 308 negative control. % input values represent mean ± SEM of relative IP (normalized to input FIE-HA and
- 309 TF levels) from three experiments. Supplementary Fig. 3 shows co-IP in the presence of an endonuclease.
- 310
- **Figure 3** Cis motifs enriched in plant PREs required for PRE activity.
- 312 (a, b) Sequence logos for position-specific scoring matrices (PSSMs) of motifs identified by *de novo*
- 313 motif analysis (a) and their enrichment (p-values; converted from the Z-scores of the motif enrichment
- 314 calculations using a normal distribution) and frequency (%) in the 170 candidate PREs (b).
- 315 (c) Test of GA repeat and telobox function. Top: PRE Diagram indicating GA repeats and telobox motifs
- 316 mutated in PREs or added to NC\_1. Below: Effect of mutation of GA repeat and telobox motifs in the
- 317 AG\_2 and At5g PREs (AG\_2\_mu or At5g\_mu) or insertion of GA repeat and telobox motifs into the
- 318 negative control fragment  $\overline{NC}_1$  (NC+) on PRE activity in populations of independent primary
- 319 transformants (n=15) in the wild type (WT) or the prc2 mutant (*clf-28*). Violin plot: range, median= white
- 320 circle, mean= white line, lower to higher quartile= vertical black line. \*, p<0.05; \*\*, p<0.01; one-tailed
- 321 Mann-Whitney *U* test.

- 322 (d) Evolutionarily conservation of GA repeats and telobox motifs in the AG\_2 PRE and At5g\_PRE in
- 323 *Brassicaceae* species. Vertical lines: additional PRE sequences omitted, \*fully conserved nucleotide; : 324 and . partially conserved nucleotide.
- 325 (e) Electrophoretic mobility shift assay (EMSA) to test association of the AZF1 C1-2iD ZnF TF with the
- $\frac{1}{226}$  (e) Electrophotetic mobility shift assay (EMSA) to test association of the AZFT C1-21D ZhF TF with the telobox but not mutant versions thereof. % complex: fraction of shifted DNA (mean ± SEM) from three
- 327 independent EMSA experiments. HIS-TF, unrelated TF control.
- 328
- **Figure 4** ChIPseq analysis to test chromatin occupancy of FIE, H3K27me3, AZF1 and BPC1 in 30-hourold plants.
- (a) Heatmap of background-corrected ChIP enrichment for PRC2 (FIE), H3K27, AZF1 and BPC1.
- 332 Significant peaks ( $Q < 10^{-10}$ ) were centered on FIE peak maxima and rank ordered from highest (top) to
- 333 lowest (bottom) FIE binding peak significance. For each factor and mark, three independent ChIPseq
- experiments were performed and sequenced as were three matched input controls. The highly consistentChIP replicates were normalized by sequencing depth and averaged.
- 336 (b) Browser view of input subtracted ChIPseq signals at AG, LEC2 (PC) and ACT2 (NC\_3) and additional
- FIE-bound loci. Significant peaks ( $Q < 10^{-10}$ ) according to MACS2 are marked by horizontal bars, with
- the black saturation proportional to the Q value (as for the narrowPeak file format by ENCODE). As
- 339 previously reported for seedlings<sup>15</sup> about half of all FIE peaks overlapped with H3K27me3.
- 340 (c) Percent ChIPseq overlap (by row) for the significant ( $Q < 10^{-10}$ ) FIE, AZF1 or BPC1 peaks with other
- 341 significant peaks. Shading indicates strength of overlap.
- 342 (d) Fraction of significant ( $Q < 10^{-10}$ ) FIE peaks that overlap with other significant peaks. 343
- Figure 5 Class I BPC and C1-2iD ZnF TF families are required for Polycomb silencing and PRC2
   recruitment *in planta*.
- 346 (**a**, **b**) Flowering time and leaf curling in double BPC and ZnF family knockdown plants ( $BPC+ZnF^{KD}$ )
- 347 compared to wild type (WT), hypomorph  $clf^{R}$  and null clf-50 mutants. Bar = 1cm. (b) Quantification of
- 348 leaf curling. Box and whisker plot with median (red line; n=15 plants), upper and lower quartile (box) and
- 349 minima, maxima (whiskers). Different letters above bars indicate significantly different groups, p<0.05
- based on Kruskal-Wallis test with Dunn's posthoc test.
- 351 (c-e) PRC2 (FIE) occupancy, H3K27me3/H3 accumulation and gene expression in genotypes described
- 352 in (a) and in  $BPC^{KD}$   $clf^{R}$  or  $ZnF^{KD}$   $clf^{R}$  plants. Negative control loci: ACT2 for ChIP, EIF4 for gene
- 353 expression. Expression in the mutant lines is shown relative to the wild type. Mean  $\pm$  SEM from three
- independent experiments (red dots). Black asterisks- significantly different from WT; grey asterisks –
- significantly different from  $clf^R$ . \* P<0.05; \*\* P< 0.01, ns P>0.05; one-tailed unpaired student t test.
- 356 (**f**, **g**) ChIPseq analysis of FIE occupancy in wild type (WT) and  $BPC+ZnF^{KD}$  plants. For each factor and 357 mark three independent ChIP experiments were sequenced as were three matched input controls. The
- 357 mark, three independent ChIP experiments were sequenced as were three matched input controls. The
- highly consistent ChIP replicates were normalized by sequencing depth and averaged. (f) FIE occupancy
- 359  $(Q < 10^{-10})$  region metaplot in wild type (WT) and  $BPC + ZnF^{KD}$  samples, (g) screenshots of input
- subtracted FIE occupancy. red: previous FIE ChIPseq (Fig. 4), blue: FIE ChIPseq data in WT (center) and in  $BPC+ZnF^{KD}$  (bottom).
- 362
- **Figure 6** Tethering class I BPC and C1-2iD ZnF TF family to the DNA and test of PRC2/FIE recruitment.
- 364 (a) Tethering of BPC1 (BPC-LexA DBD) and AZF1 (ZnF-Gal4 DBD) to an artificial promoter
- 365 (LG\_GUS) and test of FIE recruitment using anti –FIE antibody for ChIP qPCR in protoplasts.
- Endogenous loci tested: *AGAMOUS* (AG\_2, positive control (PC)) and *ACT2* (negative control (NC)).
- 367 Empty vector (EV) and VP16 serve as control tethering vectors. Shown are mean  $\pm$  SEM of three ChIP
- experiments (red dots). \* P<0.05; \*\* P< 0.01; relative to empty vector (EV), one-tailed unpaired t test. (b)
- 369 Model for PRC2 recruitment. See text for details.

**METHODS:** 

#### **371 Plant material and treatment**

- 372 Mutants and transgenic plants previously described: *clf-28*, *clf-28* swn-7<sup>33</sup>; *clf-50*, *clf*<sup>R</sup> (pCLF:CLF-GR
- 373 *clf-50*)<sup>18</sup>; *bpc123*<sup>22</sup> pFIE:FIE-HA *fie-11*<sup>34</sup>; pEMF2:EMF2-3XFLAG *emf2*<sup>35</sup>; pMSI1::GFP-MSI1 *msi1-1*<sup>36</sup>;
- 374 35S::GFP-CLF clf-50<sup>37</sup>. In  $clf^{R}$ , the clf-50 RNA null mutant is partly rescued by 'leaky' nuclear
- 375 translocation of pCLF:CLF-GR in the absence of steroid treatment. clf-50 and  $clf^{R}$  are in the Ws
- accession, pFIE:FIE-HA *fie-11* is in the C24 accession, all other plants are in the Col-0 accession.
- 377

#### 378 **PRE tests**

- To test PRC2 recruitment and H3K27me3 by PREs or control fragments, progeny pools of 40 random T1
- 380 plants were analyzed. This strategy was adopted to minimize outliers caused -for example- by position
- 381 effect. Independent pools tested gave similar results. Control fragments included a known PRE
- 382 (PC\_LEC2) and three random DNA fragments (NC\_1, intron of At1g60200; NC\_2, promoter of AG;
- 383 NC\_3, 3'UTR of Actin 2 (At3g18780)). GFP intensity was scored visually using a dissecting
- 384 fluorescence microscope (Olympus, MVX10). A fluorometric (MUG) assay was used to quantify beta-
- 385 glucuronidase (GUS) activity as previously described<sup>38,39</sup>except that the 4-MU produced was normalized
- 386 over the fresh weight of each plant. GFP and GUS reporter silencing was assayed in independent primary
- 387 transformants (T1 plants). For the herbicide resistance assay, primary transformants were transplanted
- into soil after selection and sprayed with the Basta herbicide (200mg/l) (Bayer Crop Science) 2-3 days
- after transplanting. Survival rate was scored 5-7 days later.
- 390

#### **391** Transgenic plants

- 392 Candidate PRE DNA fragments (~600bp in length) were cloned into pFK205<sup>40</sup> and transformed into wild
- 393 type (Col-0), pMSI1:GFP-MSI1 *msi1-1* or pEMF2:EMF2-3XFLAG *emf2* for chromatin
- immunoprecipitation (ChIP) assays. Plants were selected on 1/2 Murashige and Skoog (MS) medium<sup>41</sup>
- 395 (Sigma) with 40mg/l kanamycin.
- 396
- 397 A dual reporter system (pPRE-dual-rep) was generated containing the FLAVANONE 3-
- 398 HYDROXYLASE regulatory region plus the 35S minimal promoter (pF3H-35S mini) driving expression
- 399 of GFP and beta-glucuronidase and the mannopine synthase promoter (pMAS) driving the BAR gene.
- 400 Candidate PRE or control fragments were cloned into pPRE-dual-rep and transformed into wild type
- 401 (Col-0) or *prc2* mutants (*clf-28*, *clf-28 swn-7/+*). PRE fragments for test of loss- or gain- of GA repeat
- 402 and telobox motifs were synthesized (see Supplementary Table 5) (GenScript Inc. Company, Piscataway,

403 NJ, US) and shuffled into pPRE-dual-rep. Plants were selected on 1/2 MS plates with 25mg/l
404 hygromycin.

405



407 finger) but not in the larger TF family (C1-2i Zn finger) were PCR amplified and inserted into vector

408 pRNAi-GG. Plasmids were introduced into A. *tumefaciens* strain GV3101 and transformed into  $cl_t^R$  and

409 wild-type plants using floral dip<sup>42</sup>. T1 plants were selected on 1/2 MS plates with 40mg/l kanamycin.

410  $BPC^{KD}$  plants were crossed with  $ZnF^{KD}$  plants to generate double knockdown lines.

- 411
- 412 For overexpression of BPC1 or AZF1 in  $cl_j^R$ , the cDNA of BPC1 and AZF1 was cloned into vector
- 413 pGWB12<sup>43</sup>. Plasmids were introduced into A. *tumefaciens* strain GV3101 and transformed into  $clf^{R}$  using
- 414 floral dip<sup>42</sup>. T1 plants were selected on 1/2 MS plates with 40mg/l kanamycin and 20mg/l hygromycin.
- 415

416 For TF CoIP and ChIP, genomic fragments spanning the upstream intergenic region and the coding

- 417 region for each TF were PCR amplified [BPC1 (-3225 to +849 bp), AZF1 (-1628 to +735 bp), TOE1 (-
- 418 8685 to +1353 bp), TRB2 (-1194 to +1227 bp)], cloned into pEG303<sup>44</sup> and transformed into pFIE:FIE-
- 419 HA *fie-11* for CoIP and into wild type (Col-0) for ChIP.
- 420

#### 421 Phenotype quantification and qRT-PCR

422 Plants were grown at 22 in short day conditions (8 hr light/ 16 hr dark, light intensity:  $\sim 140 \ \mu Mol/m^2 s^1$ ).

423 The length and width of the blade of the fifth rosette leaf was measured for > 15 plants at day 20. RNA

424 was extracted from 3- to 7-day-old plants grown in short day condition and quantitative real-time PCR

- 425 was performed as previously described<sup>45</sup>.
- 426

#### 427 Identification of CLF-binding sites using ChIP-chip

428 ChIP was conducted with anti-GFP antibodies (Molecular Probes) in 35S::GFP-CLF *clf-50* plants<sup>37</sup> as

429 previously described<sup>17</sup>. Four independent replicates were performed. Amplification, labeling and

430 microarray hybridization was as reported<sup>46</sup>. Genomic regions enriched for CLF binding sites were

- 431 identified by comparing CLF ChIP-chip to input DNA (4 replicates) using the Tilemap program with the
- 432 Hidden Markov model (HMM) option<sup>47</sup>. Adjacent probes with HMM posterior probabilities of p>0.5 or
- 433 higher were merged into regions by requiring a minimal run of 50 bp and allowing a maximal gap of 200
- 434 bp, and TAIR5 coordinates were converted to TAIR10 coordinates using the "update coordinates.pl"
- 435 script from TAIR. The 3,648 regions enriched for CLF binding are listed in Supplementary Table 1.

#### 437 Identification of candidate PREs

438 Putative PRE-containing regions were identified based on the following two conservative criteria. First, we compared previously published genome-wide distribution of H3K27me3, EMF1 and FIE<sup>15-17</sup> with that 439 440 of CLF generated in this study. EMF1 is a putative PRC1 component that frequently co-localizes with 441 PRC2<sup>16</sup>. A candidate PRE-containing region was required to overlap with at least 3 of the 4 datasets (to 442 account for potential false negative results in these dataset and the redundant contribution of other PRC 443 components). A total of 1,504 regions were identified and assigned to 851 Arabidopsis genes based on 444 previously described criteria<sup>23</sup>. Second, we required target genes associated with these putative PREs be expressed in a highly tissue-specific manner or be de-repressed in prc2 mutants (*clf swn* and *fie*)<sup>15,33</sup>. For 445 the former, we analyzed previously published transcription profiles in different tissues<sup>48</sup>, and defined 446 447 tissue-specifically expressed genes as those with expression levels higher than 5x the baseline levels. 448 Since all ChIP data (FIE, CLF, EMF1, H3K27me3) was from vegetative development, baseline was 449 defined as the mean expression in samples ATGE 7; ATGE 87; ATGE 12; ATGE\_26; ATGE\_1; 450 ATGE 19; ATGE 15; ATGE 13; ATGE 20; ATGE 21; ATGE 14; ATGE 17; ATGE 18; ATGE 91; ATGE 5; ATGE 16; ATGE 11; ATGE 10 from AtGen Express<sup>48</sup>. PRE linked genes de-repressed in *clf* 451 swn or fie were defined as in<sup>15,33</sup>. The combined expression filters resulted in identification of 132 high-452 453 confidence PRC2-regulated genes. 170 candidate PREs were associated with the 132 PRC2-regulated 454 genes. Five of the candidate PREs were selected for in planta PRE tests.

455

#### 456 Motif prediction and mapping

*De novo* motif prediction was performed as previously published with minor changes<sup>23,49-51</sup>. Briefly, we 457 458 applied the motif prediction pipeline to a subset of the candidate PREs (those bound by CLF, EMF2 and marked by H3K27me3, 70 PREs) to enhance prediction performance and lower false positive rates<sup>52</sup>. 459 460 Motifs were subsequently tested for enrichment within the entire PRE set. Motif width was set from 6-461 16bp when applicable. The background set consisted of 600bp genic terminal regions from the TAIR10 genome<sup>15</sup>. Motif enrichment was calculated using a non-parametric deterministic sampling as described 462 previously  $(Z \ge 3; p < 0.027)^{23}$ . Highly degenerate motifs were filtered from the results using an 463 464 information quality statistic (IO > 20) defined as:

$$IQ_L = \sum_{L} N_{L,A} \log_2(\frac{F_{L,A}}{P_A})$$

where  $N_{L,A}$  is the count of residue type A at position L,  $F_{L,A}$  is the frequency of occurrence of the residue of type A at position L in the PSSM, and  $P_A$  is the background frequency expected for residue A.

- 468 Predicted PSSMs were aligned by position of maximum average site-wise Euclidean distance and
- 469 hierarchically clustered in the R statistical programming environment. A representative consensus PSSM
- 470 was then chosen from the PSSMs in each clade, along with a merged candidate, by maximizing for

471 significance score and frequency within target genes. A functional-depth cutoff was used in mapping

472 PSSMs to PREs and to genomic and ChIP data sequence sets (GAGA: 0.62, CTCC: 0.42 and CCG: 0.8

473 and 0 for telobox, CAA repeats and G-box). When mapping GAGA motif occurrences, overlapping and

area nearby matches were merged into a common region using the BEDTools merge function with a maximum

- 475 distance between features of  $8bp^{53}$ .
- 476

#### 477 Yeast-two-hybrid tests

- 478 EMF2, CLF, MSI1, and FIE were cloned into pDEST32 (Clontech) and introduced into yeast strain
- 479 AH109 (MAT a). Full-length clones were used except for EMF2 and CLF. EMF2C is a better interactor
- 480 in yeast, while CLFN overcomes the growth defects caused by full-length CLF<sup>54</sup>. The 55 PRE-interacting
- 481 TFs were cloned into pDEST22 (Clontech) and transfected into yeast strain Y187 (MAT  $\alpha$ ).
- 482 Protein–protein interactions were tested after mating as described in the Matchmaker protocol (Clontech).
- 483 Interaction strength was quantified for a subset of TFs using ortho-nitrophenyl-β-D-galactopyranoside
- 484 (ONPG) assays<sup>55</sup> for nine independent colonies for each interaction pair in three pools. In addition,
- 485 different TF fragments (AZF1-N: 1-90 aa; AZF1-M: 91-194 aa; AZF1-C: 195-245 aa; BPC1-N: 1-140 aa;
- 486 BPC1-C: 141-283 aa; TOE1-N: 1-151 aa; TOE1-M: 152-310 aa; TOE1-C: 311-464 aa) were cloned into
- 487 pDEST22 and co-transformed with EMF2C or CLFN into yeast strain AH109 followed by scoring of
- 488 yeast growth.
- 489

#### 490 Bimolecular Fluorescence Complementation (BiFC) assays

- 491 FIE and all TFs were cloned into pUC-SPV-NE<sup>GW</sup> and pUC-SPV-CE<sup>GW</sup> constructed by shuffling the split
- 492 Venus-Gateway cassette from pDEST-VYNE/CE(R)<sup>GW</sup> vectors<sup>56</sup> into pUC18. BiFC assays in
- 493 Arabidopsis protoplasts were conducted and visualized as previously described<sup>57,58</sup>. For each experiment,
- 494 fluorescence was compared in protoplast populations prepared and transfected at the same time. Three
- independent BiFC experiments were performed for each combination of factors tested with at least150
- 496 protoplasts scored per replicate. Representative images were taken with a confocal microscope with the
- 497 same gain (Leica, LCS SL).
- 498
- 499 **CoIP**
- 500 Co-IP was performed as described<sup>59</sup> with some modifications. Myc-gBPC1, Myc-gAZF1, Myc-gTOE1 or
- 501 Myc-gTRB2 (TELOMER REPEAT BINDING 2 protein, negative control) were transformed into

- 502 pFIE:FIE-HA *fie-11* plants. Three-day-old double transgenic seedlings were harvested after growth in
- 503 long day conditions. Tissue was ground in protein extraction buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl pH8.0, 150 mM
- 504 NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 10% Glycerol, 0.2% Triton X-100, 1 mM PMSF, 1×Protease inhibitor (Roche)),
- 505 filtered, and centrifuged. The supernatant was incubated with anti-Myc antibody (C3965 or 05-724,
- 506 Sigma) coupled to protein A Dynabeads (ThermoFisher) overnight at 4 degrees. Beads were washed four
- 507 times with wash buffer, and bound proteins eluted with elution buffer containing 2% SDS for
- 508 immunoblotting with HA-HRP conjugated antibody (3F10, Roche). In some reactions Benzonase
- 509 endonuclease (E1014, Sigma) was added into the protein sample (20 U) and incubated on ice for 1hr prior
- 510 to immunoprecipitation. Full length gen images for Western analyses after co-IP and for protein
- abundance in BiFC experiments are sown in Supplementary Figure S13.
- 512

#### 513 ChIP

514 ChIP was performed as previously described<sup>60</sup>, with minor modifications. Extraction buffer I (0.4M

- 515 Sucrose, 10mM Tris-HCl pH8.0, 10mM MgCl2, 5mM b-ME, 1mM PMSF, 1X Protease inhibitor
- 516 (Roche)) and II (0.25M Sucrose, 10mM Tris-HCl pH8.0, 10mM MgCl2, 1% Triton X-100, 5mM b-ME,
- 517 1mM PMSF, 1X Protease inhibitor (Roche)) were used for protein extraction. The following antibodies
- that had previously been used for ChIP in Arabidopsis were employed: anti-GFP (A6455, Thermo
- 519 Fisher)<sup>61</sup> for pMSI1:GFP-MSI1 *msi1-1* ChIP, anti-HA (12CA5, Roche)<sup>61</sup> for pFIE:FIE-HA *fie-11* ChIP,
- 520 anti- H3K27me3 (07-449, Millipore)<sup>33,62</sup>, anti-H3 (07-690, Millipore)<sup>62</sup>, anti-FLAG (F3165, Sigma)<sup>63</sup> for
- 521 pEMF2:EMF2-3XFLAG *emf2* ChIP and anti-Myc (C3956, Sigma)<sup>64</sup> for gAZF1-Myc and gBPC1-Myc
- 522 ChIP. Anti-FIE<sup>34</sup> antiserum was first used for ChIP here and showed much reduced occupancy in *prc2*
- 523 mutants (Fig. 5c). The anti-BPC1 antiserum was generated in rabbits using full length recombinant BPC1
- 524 protein and gave ChIP signal specifically in the wild type (Supplementary Fig. 11). Throughout
- 525 H3K27me3 was normalized over H3 to control for nucleosome density, all other ChIP reactions are
- 526 shown as % input. For most ChIP experiments, representative transgenic lines were used, alternatively
- 527 pools of 40 independent T1 progeny (or more) were used.
- 528

#### 529 ChIP-seq in germinating embryos

- 530 For H3K27me3, FIE, AZF1 and BPC1 ChIPseq, ChIP was performed on germinating embryos (30 hrs
- after imbibition) as described above, but eluted into a smaller volume (15ul in total), using the Qiagen
- 532 MinElute PCR purification kit (Cat. No. 28004). Three independent ChIP and input reactions were
- 533 sequenced. ChIP-DNA and input (after dilution to 0.1~1 ng) were amplified using the SeqPlex DNA
- 534 Amplification kit (Sigma, SEQXE-10RXN) according to the manufacturer's instructions with the
- 535 following modifications: a first linear PCR was followed by a second round of amplification (<10 cycles).

536 After primer removal, qPCR was performed to test amplification of different genomic regions. Linearly 537 amplified DNA from input chromatin and pull-downs was converted to libraries for sequencing by 538 performing end-repair followed by A-tailing and ligation of universal adapters (all enzymes by 539 Enzymatics, MA). Libraries were amplified to 50–100 nM using custom dual indexing primers and 540 sequenced with an Illumina NextSeq500 at a depth of > 15 million reads per sample for pull-downs and >541 30 million reads per sample for inputs. We mapped reads to the TAIR10 genome release v31 using 542 bowtie2<sup>65</sup>. Alignment files were converted to 1-bp resolution bigwig files and normalized by 10 million 543 reads sequenced (RP10M) using custom scripts. All ChIPseq replicates were highly similar to each other 544 (see below). Bigwigs from the ChIPseq replicates were averaged using WiggleTools<sup>66</sup>. Significant peaks 545 were identified with MACS2 version 2.1.1.20160309 using relevant input controls (C24 for FIE, Col for 546 AZF1 and BPC1, total H3 pull-down for H3K27me3). Default MACS2 settings were used for FIE, AZF1, and BPC1, and the "--broad" option was used for H3K27me3. Only peaks with O-value  $< 10^{-10}$  were 547 548 considered. 549 Significant peaks were mapped to genes as previously described<sup>23</sup> using the HOMER<sup>67</sup> script 550 551 annotatePeaks. Gene Ontology (GO) enrichment analysis was performed using AgriGO<sup>68</sup> combined with

- 552 manual curation to remove redundant terms.
- 553

For FIE ChIPseq in WT and double TF subfamily (class I BPC and C1-2iD ZnF) knock-down lines, anti-FIE<sup>34</sup> antiserum was used. ChIP-DNA and input libraries (three replicates each) were generated using the ThruPLEX DNA-seq kit (RUBICON GENOMICS, cat. R400406). Libraries were sequenced at a depth of > 15 million reads per sample for pull-downs and > 30 million reads per sample for inputs. Replicate comparison and significant peak identification was as described above using default MACS2 settings. Only peaks with  $Q < 10^{-10}$  were considered.

560

To assess the change in FIE binding in *BPC* +  $ZnF^{KD}$  mutants relative to the wild type (WT), normalized reads mapping to WT FIE peaks (Fig. 5f) were extracted from WT and *BPC* +  $ZnF^{KD}$  FIE ChIP datasets using bedtools and plotted [log<sub>2</sub>(RPM)] in a scatterplot. Reads increased or decreased in *BPC* +  $ZnF^{KD}$ were indicated (P-value <0.01 and 2-fold change). For the region metaplot, detected FIE peaks were quantified using the normalized and input-subtracted number of reads in each 10 bp window (FIE peak signal) in WT and *BPC* +  $ZnF^{KD}$ . The average FIE peak signals in the window of +/- 1000 bp around peak centers were plotted with the "loess" smoothing function in R with span = 0.2.

- 569 ChIP replicate concordance was assessed by computing pairwise Pearson Correlation Coefficients (PCC)
- 570 of the RP10M normalized read counts in each 10 kb window for all ChIPseq datasets using the "cor"
- 571 function with method "pearson" in R. PCC was > 0.95 for all ChIPseq replicates. Principal component
- analysis (PCA) was performed on the pairwise PCC matrix using the "prcomp" function in R and
- 573 converted to a scatterplot. Significant regions for all ChIPseq experiments performed in 30-hr-old plants
- 574 (germinating embryos) are listed in Supplementary Table 4.
- 575

#### 576 EMSA

- 577 A 60 bp fragment of the AG\_2 PRE containing two GA repeats or one telobox, as well as versions thereof
- 578 with motif substitutions were labeled with Cy5-dCTP (GE Healthcare Life Sciences) by end-repair with
- 579 Klenow Fragment  $(3' \rightarrow 5' \text{ exo-})$  (NEB). For EMSA and other oligonucleotide sequences see

580 Supplementary Table 5. Briefly, complementary single strand DNA probes were synthesized (IDT) that,

- 581 when annealed, gave rise to a two nucleotide 3' overhang (with the last annealed nucleotide being a G).
- 582 After Cy5 labeling, the probe was purified using Illustra MicroSpin G-25 columns (GE Healthcare Life
- 583 Sciences).
- 584
- 585 Full-length *BPC1*, *AZF1* cDNAs were cloned into pET32a and transformed into in *E. coli* (BL21). Protein
- 586 was isolated as previous described<sup>69</sup>. For binding assays, a 20  $\mu$ L reaction containing 2  $\mu$ L of the protein
- 587 extract, 3 μL of 1 pg/uL probe and 2 μL of 10× binding buffer (0.1 M Tris-HCI at pH 7.5, 0.5 M NaCl, 10
- 588 mM DTT, 10 mM EDTA, 50% glycerol, 0.5 mg/mL poly(dI-dC),1 mg/mL BSA) was used. For the AZF1
- 589 Zn-finger TF, the binding reaction was supplemented with 1mM CaCl2 and 0.1 mM ZnCl2. Free and
- 590 bound probes were separated on a 6% PAGE gel in 0.5× TBE at 100 V for 60 min. The gel was scanned
- by a Typhoon scanner (Typhoon 9410 variable mode imager, Amersham) at BP 670 with a gain of 600.
- 592 Shifted and unshifted probes were quantified using Image J. Full length EMSA gel images are provided in
- 593 Supplementary Figure S13.
- 594

#### 595 Tethering assay

- 596 BPC1 and AZF1 were cloned into LexA\_DBD and Gal4\_DBD vectors, respectively, as effectors<sup>70</sup>. The
- 597 reporter contained 2 repeats each of the LexA and Gal4 binding sites with a 35S minimal promoter
- 598 driving  $\beta$ -glucuronidase (GUS)<sup>70</sup>. 35S: LUC (firefly luciferase) was included to monitor transfection
- 699 efficiency. Empty Gal4\_DBD or Gal4\_DBD\_VP16 and LexA\_DBD\_VP16 served as controls. 16 hrs
- after transfection,  $2 \times 10^5$  protoplasts were used for ChIP (anti-FIE antiserum)<sup>34</sup>.
- 601

#### 602 Y1H screen

- 603 Functionally defined PREs (AG, SEP3, At5g) were cloned as 300bp DNA fragments into a modified
- 604 pLacZi vector (Clontech) carrying gLUC instead of LacZ as reporter gene and integrated into the genome
- of yeast strain YM4271. A robotic Y1H screen was carried out against 1956 Arabidopsis TFs as
- 606 previously described but using luciferase activity as readout<sup>19</sup>. The identified PRE-interacting TFs were
- 607 further filtered on binding to multiple PRE fragments and binding strength.
- 608
- 609 For identification of telobox motif binding transcription factors, a telobox motif was inserted into a 30 bp
- 610 region from a negative control fragment (NC\_3; At3g18780 Actin2; Chr3: 6,476,550-6,476,579). Three
- 611 copies of the 30 bp NC\_3 region with telobox motif were inserted into bait vector pAbAi (Clontech),
- 612 followed by integration into the genome of yeast strain Y1HGold (Clontech). Y1H screening against a TF
- 613 library with about 1400 transcription factors<sup>71</sup> was carried out according to the Matchmaker® Gold Yeast
- 614 One-Hybrid Library Screening System manual (Clontech), using Aureobasidin A resistance to select for
- 615 binding.
- 616

#### 617 **Phylogenetic analyses**

- 618 For phylogenetic trees, amino acid sequence alignment and generation of a neighbor-Joining (NJ)
- 619 phylogenetic tree was performed using MEGA<sup>72</sup> with default settings. Phylogenetic shadowing was
- 620 performed essentially as previously described<sup>61</sup>, except that conserved regions were aligned using Clustal
- 621 Omega (EMBL-EBI).
- 622

#### 623 Statistical analysis:

- 624 Statistical tests performed, sample size and P-values are indicated in each figure legend. The investigator
- 625 was not blinded to the group allocations during the experiment and variation was not estimated within
- 626 each group of data. Dependent variables were continuous and all data points analyzed were independent.
- 627 Throughout, the Kolmogorov–Smirnov<sup>73</sup> test was used to assess whether the data were normally
- 628 distributed. For normally distributed data an unpaired one tailed *t*-test was used. In all other cases non-
- 629 parametric tests were employed for two group comparisons (Mann-Whitney *U*-test<sup>74</sup>) and for multiple
- 630 group comparison (Kruskal–Wallis test<sup>75</sup> combined with the Dunn's posthoc<sup>76</sup> test). Variances in some of
- 631 the groups compared differ for biological reasons. Rejecting the null hypothesis based on these tests for
- this type of data implies that one group stochastically dominates a second group, that is to say if a value X
- 633 is randomly chosen from one group, and a value Y is randomly chosen from another, then the probability
- of X>Y is greater than the probability of Y>X. The sample size was chosen based on prior studies that

- 635 showed significant effects using similar samples sizes, for example see<sup>57</sup>. P-values for all tests
- 636 performed, as well as additional statistical parameters are listed in Supplementary Table 6.
- 637

#### 638 Data availability

- 639 ChIPchip (CLF) and ChIPseq (all others) data were deposited in the Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO)
- under series numbers GSE7065 (CLF) and GSE7063 (input), GSE84483 (FIE, H3K27me3, AZF1 and
- 641 BPC1) and GSE95562 (FIE in wild type and in  $BPC+ZnF^{KD}$ ).
- 642

#### 643 Code availability

- Motif prediction programs are available from their individual websites: Meme v4.9.1, Weeder v1.4.2,
- 645 AlignAce v4.0, MotifSampler v3.2 and Bioprospector v2004. Motif enrichment and downstream analysis
- 646 used the "Cistome"<sup>77</sup> pipeline, which is freely available as a web-based.
- 647

#### 648 Methods only references

- 649 33. Lafos, M. *et al.* Dynamic regulation of H3K27 trimethylation during Arabidopsis differentiation.
   650 *PLoS genetics* 7, e1002040 (2011).
- 4. Wood, C.-C. *et al.* The Arabidopsis thaliana vernalization response requires a polycomb-like
  protein complex that also includes VERNALIZATION INSENSITIVE 3. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S*A 103, 14631-14636 (2006).
- Kim, S.-Y., Zhu, T. & Sung, Z.-R. Epigenetic regulation of gene programs by EMF1 and EMF2
  in Arabidopsis. *Plant Physiol* 152, 516-528 (2010).
- Alexandre, C., Moller-Steinbach, Y., Schonrock, N., Gruissem, W. & Hennig, L. Arabidopsis
  MSI1 is required for negative regulation of the response to drought stress. *Mol Plant* 2, 675-687 (2009).
- Schubert, D. *et al.* Silencing by plant Polycomb-group genes requires dispersed trimethylation of
  histone H3 at lysine 27. *EMBO J* 25, 4638-4649 (2006).
- Maghuly, F. *et al.* Stress regulated expression of the GUS-marker gene (uidA) under the control
  of plant calmodulin and viral 35S promoters in a model fruit tree rootstock: Prunus incisa x
  serrula. *J Biotechnol* 135, 105-16 (2008).
- Blazquez, M. Quantitative GUS Activity Assay of Plant Extracts. *CSH Protoc* 2007, pdb
   prot4690 (2007).
- 66640.Mathieu, J., Yant, L.-J., Murdter, F., Kuttner, F. & Schmid, M. Repression of flowering by the667miR172 target SMZ. PLoS Biol 7, e1000148 (2009).
- Murashige, T. & Skoog, F. A Revised Medium for Rapid Growth and Bio Assays with Tobacco
  Tissue Cultures. *Physiologia Plantarum* 15, 473-497 (1962).
- 670 42. Clough, S.-J. & Bent, A.-F. Floral dip: a simplified method for Agrobacterium-mediated transformation of Arabidopsis thaliana. *Plant J* 16, 735-743 (1998).
- 43. Nakagawa, T. *et al.* Development of series of gateway binary vectors, pGWBs, for realizing
  efficient construction of fusion genes for plant transformation. *J Biosci Bioeng* 104, 34-41 (2007).
- 44. Earley, K.-W. *et al.* Gateway-compatible vectors for plant functional genomics and proteomics. *Plant J* 45, 616-629 (2006).
- 45. Yamaguchi, A. *et al.* The microRNA-regulated SBP-Box transcription factor SPL3 is a direct upstream activator of LEAFY, FRUITFULL, and APETALA1. *Dev Cell* 17, 268-278 (2009).

678 46. Zhang, X. et al. Genome-wide high-resolution mapping and functional analysis of DNA 679 methylation in arabidopsis. Cell 126, 1189-1201 (2006). 680 47. Ji, H. & Wong, W.-H. TileMap: create chromosomal map of tiling array hybridizations. 681 Bioinformatics 21, 3629-3636 (2005). 682 Schmid, M. et al. A gene expression map of Arabidopsis thaliana development. Nat Genet 37, 48. 683 501-506 (2005). 684 49. Bailey, T.-L. & Elkan, C. The value of prior knowledge in discovering motifs with MEME. Proc 685 Int Conf Intell Syst Mol Biol 3, 21-29 (1995). Hughes, J.-D., Estep, P.-W., Tavazoie, S. & Church, G.-M. Computational identification of cis-686 50. 687 regulatory elements associated with groups of functionally related genes in Saccharomyces 688 cerevisiae. J Mol Biol 296, 1205-1214 (2000). 689 51. Pavesi, G., Mauri, G. & Pesole, G. An algorithm for finding signals of unknown length in DNA 690 sequences. Bioinformatics 17 Suppl 1, S207-14 (2001). 691 Hu, J., Li, B. & Kihara, D. Limitations and potentials of current motif discovery algorithms. 52. 692 Nucleic Acids Res 33, 4899-913 (2005). 693 Quinlan, A.-R. & Hall, I.-M. BEDTools: A flexible suite of utilities for comparing genomic 53. 694 features. Bioinformatics 26, 841-842 (2010). 695 Chanvivattana, Y. et al. Interaction of Polycomb-group proteins controlling flowering in 54. 696 Arabidopsis. Development 131, 5263-5276 (2004). 697 55. Wang, H. et al. Yeast two-hybrid system demonstrates that estrogen receptor dimerization is 698 ligand-dependent in vivo. J Biol Chem 270, 23322-23329 (1995). 699 Gehl, C., Waadt, R., Kudla, J., Mendel, R.-R. & Hansch, R. New GATEWAY vectors for high 56. 700 throughput analyses of protein-protein interactions by bimolecular fluorescence 701 complementation. Mol Plant 2, 1051-1058 (2009). 702 57. Wu, M.-F. et al. Auxin-regulated chromatin switch directs acquisition of flower primordium 703 founder fate. Elife 4, e09269 (2015). 704 58. Yoo, S.-D., Cho, Y.-H. & Sheen, J. Arabidopsis mesophyll protoplasts: a versatile cell system for 705 transient gene expression analysis. Nat Protoc 2, 1565-1572 (2007). 706 59. Xiao, J. et al. O-GlcNAc-mediated interaction between VER2 and TaGRP2 elicits TaVRN1 707 mRNA accumulation during vernalization in winter wheat. Nat Commun 5, 4572 (2014). 708 60. Yamaguchi, N. et al. PROTOCOLS: Chromatin Immunoprecipitation from Arabidopsis Tissues. 709 Arabidopsis Book 12, e0170 (2014). 710 Yamaguchi, N. et al. A molecular framework for auxin-mediated initiation of flower primordia. 61. 711 Dev Cell 24, 271-282 (2013). 712 Aichinger, E. et al. CHD3 proteins and polycomb group proteins antagonistically determine cell 62. 713 identity in Arabidopsis. PLoS Genet 5, e1000605 (2009). 714 63. Yamaguchi, N. et al. Gibberellin acts positively then negatively to control onset of flower 715 formation in Arabidopsis. Science 344, 638-41 (2014). 716 Li, K. et al. DELLA-mediated PIF degradation contributes to coordination of light and gibberellin 64. 717 signalling in Arabidopsis. Nat Commun 7, 11868 (2016). 718 Langmead, B. & Salzberg, S.-L. Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. Nat Methods 9, 357-65. 719 359 (2012). 720 Zerbino, D.-R., Johnson, N., Juettemann, T., Wilder, S.-P. & Flicek, P. WiggleTools: Parallel 66. 721 processing of large collections of genome-wide datasets for visualization and statistical analysis. 722 Bioinformatics 30, 1008-1009 (2014). 723 Heinz, S. et al. Simple combinations of lineage-determining transcription factors prime cis-67. 724 regulatory elements required for macrophage and B cell identities. Mol Cell 38, 576-89 (2010). 725 Du, Z., Zhou, X., Ling, Y., Zhang, Z. & Su, Z. agriGO: a GO analysis toolkit for the agricultural 68. 726 community. Nucleic Acids Res 38, W64-70 (2010).

727	69.	Zhang, B., Wang, L., Zeng, L., Zhang, C. & Ma, H. Arabidopsis TOE proteins convey a
728		photoperiodic signal to antagonize CONSTANS and regulate flowering time. Genes Dev 29, 975-
729		987 (2015).
730	70.	Tiwari, SB., Hagen, G. & Guilfoyle, TJ. Aux/IAA proteins contain a potent transcriptional
731		repression domain. The Plant cell 16, 533-43 (2004).
732	71.	Castrillo, G. et al. Speeding cis-trans regulation discovery by phylogenomic analyses coupled
733		with screenings of an arrayed library of Arabidopsis transcription factors. PLoS One 6, e21524
734		(2011).
735	72.	Tamura, K. et al. MEGA5: molecular evolutionary genetics analysis using maximum likelihood,
736		evolutionary distance, and maximum parsimony methods. Mol Biol Evol 28, 2731-9 (2011).
737	73.	Massey, F.J. The Kolmogorov-Smirnov Test for Goodness of Fit. Journal of the American
738		<i>Statistical Association</i> <b>46</b> , 68–78 (1951).
739	74.	Mann, HB. & Whitney, DR. On a Test of Whether one of Two Random Variables is
740		Stochastically Larger than the Other. Annals of Mathematical Statistics 18, 50-60 (1947).
741	75.	Kruskal, WH. & Wallis, WA. Use of Ranks in One-Criterion Variance Analysis. Journal of
742		the American Statistical Association 47, 583-621 (1952).
743	76.	Dunn, O.J. Multiple comparisons using rank sums. <i>Technometrics</i> 6, 241–252 (1964).
744	77.	Austin, RS. et al. New BAR Tools for Mining Expression Data and Exploring Cis-Elements in
745		Arabidopsis thaliana. Plant J (2016).
746		















## High confidence PRC2 targets and candidate PREs.

(a) Flowchart for identification of candidate Arabidopsis PREs. We identified 1504 genomic regions marked by at least 3 of the following: H3K27me3, FIE, CLF or EMF1<sup>15-17</sup> (CLF ChIP-chip data: GSE7065) and linked these to 851 genes as previously described<sup>23</sup>. 132 of the 851 genes were significantly upregulated in *prc2* mutants<sup>15,33</sup> or strongly developmentally regulated<sup>48</sup> and thus considered high confidence PRC2 regulated genes. 170 candidate PREs were associated with the 132 genes. From the 170 PREs we selected 5 associated with 3 genes for test of PRE activity. See methods for additional details.

(b) Composition of the PRC2 complexes in the Arabidopsis sporophyte (diploid generation). Complex components: one of two SET domain methyltransferases (CURLY LEAF (CLF) or SWINGER (SWN)), one of two VEFS domain proteins (EMBRYONIC FLOWER2 (EMF2) or VERNALIZATION2 (VRN2)), a WD40 domain protein that can recognize H3K27me3 (FERTILIZATION INPENDPENT ENDOSPERM (FIE)) and a histone binding protein (MSI1)<sup>3</sup>.



#### Supplementary Figure 2

#### Test of PRE activity.

(a) Construct to test ability of candidate PREs or control DNA fragments (NC) to recruit PRC2 and H3K27me3. Below: regions tested: PRE or a distal site (Dist).

(b) H3K27me3/H3 abundance (top) and occupancy of PRC2 components MSI1 (middle) and EMF2 (bottom) assessed by ChIP-qPCR. Mean ± SEM from three experiments (red dots). Black asterisks- significantly different relative to NC\_1; grey asterisks – significantly different occupancy at the PREs relative to the distal site (Dist). \* P<0.05; \*\* P< 0.01, ns P>0.05; one-tailed unpaired student *t* test.

(c) Construct to test PRE-mediated reporter silencing.

(d) Fluorometric assay of beta-glucuronidase (GUS) activity of 15 independent transformants in the wild type (WT) (top) or a *PRC2* mutant (*clf-28*) (bottom). Violin plot of GUS activity in PREs (left) or negative controls (right): range, median= white circle, mean= white line, lower to higher quartile= vertical black line. Black bar: median GUS activity of all PRE populations in the wild-type background. \* P<0.05; \*\* P<0.01; \*\*\* P<0.001; ns, not significant (P>0.25) relative to NC\_1, one-tailed Mann-Whitney *U* test.

(e) Herbicide resistance (survival rate) conferred by the *BAR* gene product in n=60 independent T1 plants in the wild-type (top) or in the *prc2* (*clf-28*) mutant (bottom) background. Box and whisker plot with median (red line), upper and lower quartile (box) and minima, maxima (whiskers). P -value (one-tailed Mann–Whitney *U* test): ns, not significant; P> 0.07; \* P<0.05; \*\* P<0.01; \*\*\* P<0.001 relative to NC\_1.



#### Physical interaction of PRE-binding transcription factors with PRC2.

(a) Domains of TFs important for interaction with PRC2. Yeast-two-hybrid interaction tests using EMF2 and CLF as bait and AZF1, BPC1 and TOE1 full-length proteins (FL), N-terminal domains (N), middle regions (M), or C-terminal domains (C) as prey. Known protein motifs<sup>20,21,78</sup> are shown below. NA = Not applicable. No single known protein motif is responsible for the TF/PRC2 interactions. a.a.: amino acid.

(b) Detection of TF abundance in plant cells co-transfected with FIE-NE and used for the BiFC analyses in Fig. 2d, e. Above: mean ± SEM of TF levels relative to those of histone H3 from three independent BiFC experiments.

(c) Co-IP of Myc-tagged TFs and PRC2 in the presence or absence of the benzonase endonuclease<sup>79</sup>. TOE1, AZF1 and BPC1 co-immunoprecipitate with PRC2 (HA-tagged FIE) in the absence and presence of the nuclease. Above: FIE co-IP in the presence relative to absence of benzonase, mean ± SEM from two independent co-IP experiments. The TRB2 sequence-specific binding protein serves as negative control. For expression levels of all 4 Myc-tagged proteins see Fig. 2f.

- 78. Wanke, D. *et al.* Alanine zipper-like coiled-coil domains are necessary for homotypic dimerization of plant GAGA-factors in the nucleus and nucleolus. *PLoS One* **6**, e16070 (2011).
- 79. Fiil, B.-K., Qiu, J.-L., Petersen, K., Petersen, M. & Mundy, J. Coimmunoprecipitation (co-IP) of Nuclear Proteins and Chromatin Immunoprecipitation (ChIP) from Arabidopsis. *CSH Protoc* **2008**, pdb prot5049 (2008).



#### GA repeat and telobox motifs are bound by class I BPC and C1-2iD Zn Finger transcription factors.

(a) Electrophoretic mobility shift assay to test association of BPC1 with the GA repeats or with mutated versions thereof. WT, M1 and M2 were also used as cold competitors. Class I BPC TFs are known to oligomerize<sup>22,28,78</sup>. This, combined with the presence of two GA repeats in the tested DNA fragment, may explain why multiple shifted bands are observed when the protein is complexed with the DNA. Below: fraction of shifted DNA (% complex). Mean ± SEM of three EMSA experiments.

**(b)** Motif-based yeast one hybrid screen identified members of the C1-2iD family of Zn-finger TFs as telobox (**AAACCCTA**) binding transcription factors. The screen preferentially identified C2H2 ZnF proteins, in particular those of the C1-2iD subfamily (AZF1 and ZAT6).

(c) Confirmation of telobox interactome screen. Ten-fold serial dilutions of the yeast strains containing the bait DNA (b) integrated into the genome and plasmids containing the C1-2iD Zn-finger TFs indicated were plated on growth media (left) or on selection media (containing 600ng/ml aureobasidin A fungicide; right). See Supplementary Fig. 7 for a phylogenetic tree of ZnF TFs. The thin white line indicates where the plate image was cut.

78. Wanke, D. *et al.* Alanine zipper-like coiled-coil domains are necessary for homotypic dimerization of plant GAGA-factors in the nucleus and nucleolus. *PLoS One* **6**, e16070 (2011).



(c) Peak size distribution.

(d) Enrichment (p-values, converted from the Z-scores of the motif enrichment calculations using a normal distribution) and frequency (%) of PRE cis motifs under FIE-bound peaks. NE: not enriched (p>0.5).

(e) Functional classification of PRC2 (FIE), C1-2iD ZnF (AZF1) and class I BPC (BPC1) peak associated genes. Enrichment of Gene Ontology terms (FDR<10<sup>-5</sup> in at least one of the datasets) for the genes associated with FIE, BPC1 and AZF1 peaks (Q<10<sup>-10</sup>). The majority of the significant BPC1 and AZF1 target gene Gene Ontology terms are also significant Gene Ontology terms of FIE targets. Enriched GO terms include regulation of transcription, postembryonic development (reproductive development, shoot development, gynoecium development) and hormone response.



(a) class I BPC knockdown in the wild type (WT) does not cause leaf curling. Top: Representative images, bar = 1cm. Bottom: quantification of phenotypes. Box and whisker plot with median (red line, n=15 independent lines), upper and lower quartile (box) and minima, maxima (whiskers). Different letters above bars indicate significantly different groups, P<0.05 based on Kruskal-Wallis test with Dunn's posthoc test.

(**b**) Control knock-down (*GFP<sup>KD</sup>*) in the hypomorph *clf*<sup>R</sup> mutant does not enhance *clf*<sup>R</sup> leaf curling. Top: Representative images, bar = 1cm. Bottom: quantification of phenotypes. Box and whisker plot with median (red line), upper and lower quartile (box) and minima, maxima (whiskers). Different letters above bars indicate significantly different groups, P<0.05 based on Kruskal-Wallis test with Dunn's posthoc test.



#### Supplementary Figure 7

#### RNAi-mediated knockdown of class I BPC and C1-2iD ZnF TF families.

(**a**, **b**) Class I BPC TF family knockdown by RNAi ( $BPC^{KD}$ ; a) or C1-2iD C2H2 Zn-finger TF knockdown ( $ZnF^{KD}$ ; b) was assayed in independent transgenic lines in the hypomorph  $clf^R$  mutant background. Expression of all genes tested is shown relative to that in  $clf^R$  (mean ± SEM) of one experiment for 3 independent lines. In each case, strong knockdown of the targeted TF family is observed (class I BPC and AZF1, AZF3, ZAT6), with more minor effects on distantly related BPC or ZnF TFs (BPC6, At2g26940, At3g46080). The translation initiation factor EIF4 served as qRT-PCR control.

(c) Simultaneous knockdown of Class I and C1-2iD C2H2 Zn TFs by RNAi (*BPC + ZnF<sup>KD</sup>*) in the wild type tested as described in (a, b) in 2 independent lines.

(**d**, **e**) Phylogenetic tree of the BBR-BPC family of TFs (see also<sup>22</sup>) (d) and of select C2H2 Zinc finger TFs (see also<sup>20</sup>) plus a subset of additional C1-2i C2H2 ZnF proteins in Arabidopsis (e). Branch length is indicated. Light green shading highlights the class I BPC TFs and light purple shading the C1-2iD Zn-finger proteins targeted for knockdown. Triangles point to the genes tested by qRT-PCR in (a-c) or used as controls in BiFC (Fig. 2d,e; BPC6 and ZAT5). Triangle color indicates RNAi targets (green) or distantly related genes (red). Note that the primer pair employed for class I BPCt qRT-PCR amplifies all 3 genes in that clade.



(d) De-repression of Polycomb target gene expression (*AG*, *SEP3*, *LEC2*) in the genotypes in (b). Expression relative to the parental line (*clf*<sup>R</sup>) is shown. The housekeeping gene *EIF4* served as control.

(**e**) The abundance of the *CLF* mRNA relative to the wild type as measured by qRT-PCR. CLF levels are unchanged in the *BPC<sup>KD</sup>clf*<sup>R</sup> and the *ZnF<sup>KD</sup>clf*<sup>R</sup> plants shown in (b) compared to the parental line (WT). Shown are mean  $\pm$  SEM of one experiment for three independent transgenic lines (d,e).



(b) Quantification of the phenotypes in (a). Box and whisker plot with median (red line, n=15 independent lines), upper and lower quartile (box) and minima, maxima (whiskers). Different letters above bars indicate significantly different groups (p<0.05) based on Kruskal-Wallis test with Dunn's posthoc test.

(c) Misexpression of polycomb target genes in the genotypes listed in (a). Expression in the mutant lines is show relative to that of the wild type. Mean  $\pm$  SEM from three experiments (red dots). \* P<0.05; \*\* P< 0.01, ns P>0.05; relative to the wild type, one-tailed unpaired *t* test. The two independent *BPC<sup>KD</sup> ZnF<sup>KD</sup>* TF family knockdown lines are not significant different from each other, ns P>0.05; one-tailed unpaired *t* test.

2



(b) Comparison of FIE ChIPseq reads from wild-type (WT) and *BPC+ZnF*<sup>KD</sup> plants. Shown are ChIPseq reads mapping to the WT-FIE peak regions. Regions with significantly (P-value <0.01 and 2-fold change) increased and decreased read density in *BPC+ ZnF*<sup>KD</sup> are indicated by blue and red color, respectively.

(c) Heatmap of RPM normalized read counts of FIE ChIPseq at the targets tested by qRT-PCR in Figure 5.

(d) Percent overlap table (by row) of 170 candidate PREs with significant (Q < 10<sup>-10</sup>) ChIPseq peaks for FIE in the wild type background (from Figure 5), and FIE and H3K27me3 (from Figure 4). Shading highlights strength of overlap.

(**e**) Piechart for the overlap between significant (Q<10<sup>-10</sup>) FIE, TF (BPC1/AZF1) and H3K27me3 peaks identified by ChIPseq in 30-hr-old plants and the 170 computationally defined candidate PREs from the vegetative phase of development.



PC\_LEC2), a control locus (NC\_1) or a PRE reporter (Arti-AG\_2). Shown are mean  $\pm$  SEM of three experiments (red dots). \*\* P< 0.01, relative to *bpc123* mutant, one-tailed unpaired *t* test. ns, no significant difference (P>0.08) between BPC1 binding in Col and *clf-28*, one-tailed unpaired *t* test.



#### Supplementary Figure 12

#### Gain-of BPC1 or AZF1 function rescues a hypomorph prc2 mutant.

(a) ChIP using antiserum against class I BPC proteins (see Supplementary Fig. 11) to test BPC1 occupancy in the wild type (WT), the hypomorph *clf*<sup>R</sup> (*prc2*) mutant and 35S:BPC1 *clf*<sup>R</sup>. Binding was assayed at endogenous PREs (AG\_2, PC\_LEC2) or an endogenous control region (NC\_ACT2). Shown is the mean ± SEM of two independent TF overexpression lines.

**(b)** ChIP using antiserum against FIE (PRC2; left) or H3K27me3/H3 (right) in the wild type (WT), *clf*<sup>R</sup>, 35S:BPC1 *clf*<sup>R</sup> and 35S:AZF1 *clf*<sup>R</sup>. Shown is the mean ± SEM of two independent TF overexpression lines.

(c, d) Leaf curling in wild type (WT), two independent lines (L1 and L2) of 35S:BPC1 *clf*<sup>R</sup> and of 35S:AZF1 *clf*<sup>R</sup> and the hypomorph *clf*<sup>R</sup> mutant. (c) Representative images. Bar = 1cm. (d) Quantification of leaf curling in the genotypes shown in (c). Box and whisker plot with median (red line, n=15 independent lines), upper and lower quartile (box) and minima, maxima (whiskers). Different letters above bars indicate significantly different groups, p<0.05 based on Kruskal-Wallis test with Dunn's posthoc test.



Right (top): Image for GA repeat EMSA; (below): Quantification of proteins in protoplasts used for BiFC.