Citrus Limonoids Induce Apoptosis in Human Neuroblastoma Cells and Have Radical Scavenging Activity¹

Shibu M. Poulose,*[†] Edward D. Harris,**^{2,3} and Bhimanagouda S. Patil*^{†2,3}

*Vegetable and Fruit Improvement Center, Department of Horticultural Sciences, Texas A&M University, College Station, TX 77843; [†]Texas A&M University-Kingsville Citrus Center, Weslaco, TX 78596; and **Department of Biochemistry and Biophysics and Faculty of Nutrition, Texas A&M University, College Station, TX 77843-2128

ABSTRACT Citrus limonoid glucosides, a family of fruit bioactive compounds, were postulated to have free radical-scavenging and apoptosis-inducing properties against certain types of cancers. Four highly purified limonoid glucosides, limoin 17 β D-glucopypranoside (LG), obacunone 17 β D-glucopyranoside (OG), nomilinic acid 17β D-glucopyranoside (NAG), and deacetylnomilinic acid 17β D-glucopyranoside (DNAG) were tested for superoxide radical (O₂)-quenching activity and cytotoxic action against undifferentiated human SH-SY5Y neuroblastoma cells in culture. All 4 scavenged O₂⁻ as measured by inhibition of pyrogallol decomposition in a spectrophotometric assay. Quenching by NAG in particular emulated an equivalent concentration of vitamin C. When added to the medium of SH-SY5Y cells in culture, micromolar amounts of LG and OG, compared with untreated controls, caused a cessation of cell growth and rapid cell death (P < 0.001); NAG and DNAG were better tolerated, but nonetheless toxic as well. Cytotoxicity was related to a concentration- and time-dependent increase in caspase 3/7 activity, suggesting that limonoid glucosides were capable of inducing apoptosis. Arrested cell growth and the induction of apoptosis were confirmed by flow cytometry and DNA fragmentation analysis. Importantly, caspase induction at 12 h correlated with cell survival at 24 h (P = 0.046), suggesting that apoptosis was the primary cause of cell death. We conclude that citrus limonoid glucosides are toxic to SH-SY5Y cancer cells. Cytotoxicity is exerted through apoptosis by an as yet unknown mechanism of induction. Individual limonoid glucosides differ in efficacy as anticancer agents, and this difference may reside in structural variations in the A ring of the limonoid molecule. J. Nutr. 135: 870-877, 2005.

KEY WORDS: • bioactive compounds • antioxidants • apoptosis • radical quenching • cancer cells

Citrus fruits possess a wide variety of bioactive compounds with health-promoting, disease-preventing properties that have been shown to be effective against cancer (1). Unique among these and less studied are the limonoid glucosides, a class of furan-containing triterpenes found mainly in the Rutacaeae and Meliacease families of fruits and that differ from flavonoids in chemical structure. To date, 53 limonoids have been identified and characterized. Although limonoid aglycones are water-insoluble compounds that are responsible for a bitter taste in fruits, the limonoid glucosides are water-soluble and tasteless (2,3). Two enzymes, UDPG-limonoid glycosyl transferase and limonoid D-ring lactone hydrolase, perform the interconversion of free to carbohydrate-bearing moieties (4). The transferase gene has been isolated and cloned (5).

Recent studies demonstrated health benefits and chemopreventive action from limonoid ingestion or treatment. For example, limonoid aglycones or glucosides at micromolar con-

² To whom correspondence should be addressed.

centrations were shown to restrict HIV replication in human mononuclear cells (6,7), act in the capacity of antimalarial and anti-inflammatory agents (8), and inhibit proliferation of breast cancer cells in culture (9). In animal models, these compounds have antineoplastic activity against chemically induced cancers of the colon (10,11), stomach (12), buccal pouch (13–15), and blood (16). Limonoid glucosides taken through the diet reportedly lowered serum cholesterol (17,18). Some, if not all of these properties appear to be associated with the effects on cells and cell growth (19). This report focuses on the mechanism for blocking cancer cell growth.

Reactive oxygen species (ROS)⁴ have been implicated in N an array of inflammatory diseases, including arthritis, retinitis N pigmentosis, coronary artery diseases, and many types of cancers (20,21). Although there is some speculation that citrus limonoids may play a pivotal role in detoxifying ROS or limiting their severity, there is no concrete evidence support-

Manuscript received 28 October 2004. Initial review completed 3 December 2004. Revision accepted 13 January 2005.

¹ Supported by U.S. Department of Agriculture grants 2001-52102-11257 and 2001-34402-10543 and National Institute of Environmental Health Studies grant ES09106.

⁻mail: eharris@tamu.edu; b-patil@tamu.edu.

³ These authors contributed equally to the work.

 $^{^4}$ Abbreviations used: Ac-DEVD-CHO, *N*-acetyl-Asp-Glu-Val-Asp-aldehyde; BAD, background aggregates and debris; CAMP, camptothecin; LG, limonin 17 β D-glucopyranoside; OG, obacunone 17 β D-glucopyranoside; NAG, nomilinic acid 17 β D-glucopyranoside; DNAG, deacetylnomilinic acid 17 β D-glucopyranoside; IC₅₀, 50% inhibitory concentration; IL, interleukin-1; ROS, reactive oxygen species.

^{0022-3166/05 \$8.00 © 2005} American Society for Nutritional Sciences.

ing such a role. Studies of their detoxification properties have focused mainly on the induction of glutathione S-transferase activity (11,22,23) and the inhibition of cytochrome P_{450} activity (24), 2 enzymes that are known to work against redox cycling and assist in detoxification. In this report, we provide evidence that specific limonoid glucosides have the capacity to quench superoxide radicals. We also show that a second facet of their action is to induce apoptosis, as measured by cytotoxic action against the neuroblastoma cell line SH-SY5Y. A surprising finding was an inequality in quenching and apoptotic-inducing properties of individual limonoid glucosides, suggesting that chemopreventive properties depend on the arrangement of specific chemical groups in the molecule's structure.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Chemicals. Pyrogallol, ascorbic acid, camptothecin (CAMP), MTT [bromure of 3(4,5-dimethylthiaszol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium], propidium iodide were purchased from Sigma Aldrich. Standard rhodamine, caspase-specific substrate Z-DEVD-R110, and caspase inhibitor Z-DEVD-CHO were obtained from Molecular Probes.

Isolation and purification of limonoid glucosides. The extraction of limonoid glucosides from 4.5 kg of orange seeds followed the basic procedure described by Tian et al. (19). The limonoid glucosides were resolved by HPLC using 10–25% acetonitrile with 0.003% (v:v) phosphoric acid in the mobile phase at a flow rate of 75 mL/min and an applied pressure of 0.13/0.17 (radial/back) Pa. Individual limonoids were tested for purity by analytical HPLC and identified by LC-MS and NMR analysis as previously described (25). Purity exceeded 95% for most with the exception of NAG, which was estimated to be 90%. The limonoid glucosides that were used in the study are shown in **Figure 1**. Stock solutions were prepared at 1 mmol/L in distilled, deionized water.

Cell cultures. SH-SY5Y human neuroblastoma cells (CRL-2266), purchased from the American Type Culture Collection, were cultured in 10% (v:v) fetal bovine serum, 1:1 mixture of Eagle's MEM, Ham's F12 as previously described (26). Cells were grown on 25 cm² falcon culture flasks at 37°C under 5% CO₂. At 70–80% confluence, they were detached from the flasks with Pucks EDTA solution and quantified in a hemocytometer. A set concentration of 15×10^3 cells was subcultured into 12, 24- and 96-well plates for experiments.

Superoxide radical quenching. Assays of O_2^- radical quenching used a modification of the procedure described by Marklund and Marklund (27). A stock solution of mol/L pyrogallol in 0.5 mol/L

HCl was diluted 1:500 with 0.2 mol/L Tris-HCl, pH 7.6; 0.5 mL was used in each assay. Assays were run at room temperature with limonoid glucoside concentrations varying between 0.1 and 10 mmol/L. These adjustments allowed for a more sensitive and biologically relevant measurement of limonoid quenching. Quenchers of O_2^- effectively stop the spontaneous decomposition of pyrogallol, which is seen as a suppressed rate of formation of purpurogallin, an oxidized product. A Hitachi U2001 UV-visible recording spectrophotometer set at 325 nm was used to measure changes in purpurogallin concentration. Rates were determined by the slope of the absorbance curve in the first 2 min of the reaction. Controls containing equal amounts of L-ascorbic acid or 40 U of superoxide dismutase (Sigma) were run concurrently. The 50% inhibitory concentration (IC_{50}) values were computed using the formula described by Stahle et al. (28).

Measurements of cell viability. Cell viability was determined by trypan blue exclusion as measured in a hemocytometer. Cells were seeded in twelve 24-well plates and incubated for 24 h. The media were replaced with media supplemented with 5, 10, or 50 μ mol/L of limonoid glucosides, and incubation was continued for up to 48 h. Controls with no limonoids or equivalent amounts of CAMP, a strong inducer of apoptosis, were assayed concurrently. Total cell counts and viability determinations in triplicate were taken at 12, 24, 36, and 48 h of incubation in the limonoid medium. A second study used basically the same protocol with the exception that the MTT reagent (3[4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl]-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium) was used to assess cell survival (29). Both procedures gave comparable results (**Table 1**).

Assay of caspase 3/7 activity. Upon reaching confluence, SH-SY5Y cells were harvested and cocultured into 24-well culture plates. Individual cultures in triplicate were treated with limonoid glucosides as above. After harvesting, the cells were washed 3 times with PBS buffer and collected by centrifugation (5000 \times g, 2 min, 4°C), and the cell pellet was taken up in 200 μ L of lysis buffer [0.2 mol/L Tris, pH 7.5, 2 mol/L NaCl, 0.02 mol/L EDTA, 0.02% (v:v) Triton-X100]. Aliquots were analyzed for protein (bicinchoninic acid reagent, Pierce); a lysate volume equivalent to 50 μ g of protein was brought to 100 μ L with buffer in a 96-well flat bottom black microtiter plate. An equal volume of caspase substrate (Z-DEVD-R110) was added with mixing and the plate was covered with aluminum foil and set aside at 37°C for 30 min. Rhodamine released by caspase action was followed over a period of 2 h using a Perkin-Elmer LS50B luminescence spectrometer set at 499 nm excitation and 521 nm emission and quantified with a known rhodamine standard. Controls with no limonoid glucosides or with CAMP were assayed concurrently. Other controls consisted of limonoid glucosides or CAMP combined with AC-DEVD-CHO, a caspase 3/7 inhibitor. Caspase activity is expressed as pmol rhodamine/(min $\cdot \mu g$ protein).

871



(A) Limonin 17 β-D glucopyranoside (LG)



(C) Nomilinicacid 17 β-D glucopyranoside (NAG)



(B) Obacunone 17 β -D glucopyranoside (OG)



FIGURE 1 Citrus limonoid glucosides showing the A, B, C, and the open D ring.

(D) Deacetylnomilinicacid 17 β -D glucopyranoside

TABLE 1

Summary of the activity of citrus limonoid glucosides on pyrogallol autoxidation, caspase 3/7 induction, and human neuroblastoma cell viability¹

	LG	OG	NAG	DNAG
Inhibition of superoxide radical, ² mmol/L	7.88 ± 0.80*	4.62 ± 0.13*	3.11 ± 0.09	3.53 ± 0.12
Cell death at 24 h, trypan blue exclusion, $4 \ \mu mol/L$	8.36 ± 1.15	8.17 ± 0.88	14.89 ± 0.513	18.40 ± 0.577
	5.14 ± 0.88	4.197 ± 0.66	28.59 ± 2.02	29.53 ± 0.577
Cell death at 24 h, MTT reduction, $4 \mu mol/L$	6.05 ± 0.73	5.54 ± 0.77	22.43 ± 1.57	$\begin{array}{r} 25.53 \pm 1.45 \\ 38.35 \ (4.48) \end{array}$
Reduction in S-phase DNA, 5% (CV)	86.67 (4.75)	82.36 (3.70)	57.46 (4.78)	

¹ Values are means \pm SEM, n = 3.

 2 IC₅₀ values were calculated according to Stathle et al. (28) using linear regression. * Different from NAG, P < 0.005.

³ Concentration for 50% of the caspase activity induced by 10 μ mol/L camptothecin.

⁴ Concentration for 50% cell survival after 24 h compared with untreated.

⁵ S-phase DNA was measured using flow cytometry compared with control.

Flow cytometry analysis. Cells were treated with limonoid glucosides or CAMP and harvested after 24 h. Between 10⁶ and 10⁷ cells in PBS were centrifuged (200 \times g, 6 min, 25°C), and the cell pellet was taken up in 50 μ L of PBS and 450 μ L 70% ethanol, and stored at -20° C until used. Cells were collected by centrifugation (200 \times g, 5 min) decanting the ethanol, and the cell pellet was suspended in 1 mL of 0.1% (v:v) Triton X-100 in PBS, to which was added 200 μ g of DNase-free RNase A and 20 μ L of propidium iodide. After a 15-min incubation at 37°C to destroy RNA, the suspension was filtered through nylon and collected in tubes that fit a Beckton Dickenson FACS Caliber flow cytometer. DNA fluorescence readings were taken with an excitation blue light set at 488 nm and a detector emission of red fluorescence through a 585-nm filter. Pulse width area signals were used to discriminate between G₂ cells and cell doublets. The data were analyzed using ModFitLT software version 3.1 (PMac). Readouts from the cytometer were analyzed for cells in G_1 , S, G_2M phases of the cell cycle. Background aggregates and debris (BAD), a measure of DNA fragmentation associated with apoptosis, was quantified across the different phases.

Statistical analysis. Experimental results represent triplicate determinations for each treatment group and are expressed as means \pm SEM. A one-way ANOVA with comparisons based on Fisher's least square differences was used to determine significance at *P* < 0.005. ANOVA for intergroup comparisons was based on Dunnett's multiple comparison analysis. Analyses were performed using SPSS software, version 11.0. The CV in the flow cytometry analysis was < 6%. Reduced χ^2 goodness of fit was assumed to be 0.8–3.0.

RESULTS

Superoxide quenching activity. The potential for each purified limonoid glucoside to quench free radicals was tested against pyrogallol in a slightly alkaline (pH 7.6) solution. At 5 mmol/L, clearly discernible differences were evident in quenching efficacies (Fig. 2A). LG was the weakest and NAG the strongest O_2^- quencher. NAG showed a quenching activity that approached L-ascorbic acid (vitamin C) and was nearly as effective as superoxide dismutase in slowing the decomposition rate over the 5-min assay period. Focusing on NAG alone, sustained inhibition, i.e., preventing the decomposition rate (slope) from attaining the control rate in 5 min, required a concentration of at least 1 mmol/L (Fig. 2B). Lower concentrations (0.1-0.5 mmol/L) were effective only in the first 1–3 min of the reaction. Neither a change in the pH nor pyrogallol concentration affected the profiles for the different limonoid glucosides.

Cell viability. Each limonoid glucoside was tested for its effect on SH-SY5Y cell survival. Viability was measured at 12-h intervals. The limonoid glucosides were present at a final concentration of 5, 10, or 50 μ mol/L. Depending on concen-

tration, growth inhibition and cytotoxicity became apparent as early as 12 h after exposure and intensified for up to 48 h (Fig. 3). The lethal potential was not uniform, however, among the different limonoid glucosides. LG and OG, for example, were more effective (P < 0.001) in stopping cell growth and initiating killing than an equivalent concentration of NAG or DNAG. Untreated cells grew steadily and had >60% survivability after 36 h and ~50% at 48 h, whereas survival for cells treated with 50 μ mol/L of any of the limonoid glucosides was <5% at 48 h.

Using MTT reduction to gauge cell survival, it was apparent that viability changed very little over the first 12 h, and there was little change in cell numbers from 12 to 24 h (Fig. 4). Cellular DNA content, however, dropped sharply between 24 and 36 h after exposure to the limonoids. As little as 1 μ mol/L OG or NAG gave a maximal survival time of 36 h. In contrast, 1 μ mol/L DNAG changed cell numbers



FIGURE 2 Superoxide radical quenching potential of citrus limonoid glucosides in vitro. (*A*) Test of limonoids at 5 mmol/L; vitamin C and superoxide dismutase (40 U) were positive controls. (*B*) Quenching by NAG alone. Values are means \pm SEM, n = 3.



only slightly between 24 and 36 h. Higher concentrations of DNAG never reached the potency of OG or NAG. Cells treated with CAMP underwent a rapid decline in cellular DNA before 12 h, but at 36 h, there was no difference between cells surviving from CAMP or 1 μ mol/L LG or OG (P < 0.001). A striking observation, however, was in cells that had been treated with Ac-DVED-CHO before the addition of LG (**Fig. 5**). Even at 50 μ mol/L LG, cell death was markedly suppressed for up to 48 h. Because Ac-DVED-CHO is a potent inhibitor of caspase activity, there was a clear indication that toxic action of limonoid glucosides was being exerted through an apoptosis-inducing mechanism.

Test of apoptosis. If apoptosis caused cell death, it should be possible to observe apoptotic changes in the cells before a



rapid death rate sets in. Cells were tested for caspase 3/7 activity and DNA fragmentation at or before 24 h. Cytosolic extracts from cells treated for 12 h, matched in protein content, were assayed for caspase 3/7 activity. At 10 μ mol/L, all of the limonoid glucosides induced caspase 3/7 activity (**Fig. 6**A). Induction was seen as early as 6 h and, for LG and OG, peaked at 12 h. LG and OG, however, had less caspase activity at 24 h than at 12 h. In contrast, the caspase activity in cells treated with NAG and DNAG, although lower than LG and OG at 12 h, continue to increase beyond 24 h. Untreated cells showed very little change.

Camptothecin, however, caused a strong rise in caspase activity at 12 h, but like LG and OG, showed a decline at 24 h. Induction of caspase activity was a function of the concentration of limonoid glucosides in the medium (Fig. 6B). As little



FIGURE 4 Viability of human neuroblastoma cells treated with limonoid glucosides or CAMP. Viability as determined by MTT reduction. Untreated cells were used as a control. Values are mean \pm SEM, n = 3.



FIGURE 5 Survivability of human neuroblastoma cells treated with LG alone or LG + Ac-DEVD-CHO, a caspase inhibitor. Values, based on MTT reduction, are means \pm SEM, n = 3.



FIGURE 6 Induction of caspase activity in human neuroblastoma cells by limonoid glucosides. (A) Time-dependent change in caspase 3/7 activity in cells treated with 10 µmol/L limonoid glucosides or CAMP. (B) Limonoid concentration-dependent change in caspase 3/7 activity at 12 h. Values are mean \pm SEM, n = 3. *Different from control, *P* < 0.001.

as 1 μ mol/L brought about a noticeable increment over basal caspase activity in 12 h; 5 μ mol/L brought about a significant difference (P < 0.001). It is apparent that LG and OG, the most effective suppressors of cell growth, were also the strongest inducers of caspase 3/7 activity. In fact, taking the values for cells treated with 10 μ mol/L of individual limonoid glucosides, the 12-h caspase activity correlated with 24-h cell survival numbers (r = -0.954, P = 0.046). When the limonoid glucoside concentrations were 50 μ mol/L, the correlation was stronger (r = -0.998, P = 0.002). The data, therefore, confirmed the earlier study, which suggested that lethal effects were manifested through apoptosis.

Flow cytometry. To gain additional insights into the effects of limonoid glucosides, treated human neuroblastoma cells were analyzed by flow cytometry (Fig. 7). The toma cells were analyzed by flow cytometry (Fig. 7). The analysis revealed that both treated and control cells were mainly in the G_1 and G_2M phases with lesser numbers in the S phase subpopulation. Limonoid glucosides LG and OG treatment lowered the population of S phase cells by OG treatment lowered the population of S phase cells by >80% after 24 h compared with 57 and 38% for NAG and DNAG, respectively (Table 1). The position marked BAD in Fig. 7 shows univariate DNA, a marker of DNA degradation. BAD was higher for cells treated with LG and CAMP than for control or NAG-treated cells. The concentration of BAD between the G_1 and G_2 phases was determined to be 35.4% for CAMP, 31.3% for LG, and 30.9% for OG, compared with 8.6% for control, 17.5% for NAG, and 90.9% for or 00, compared with 8.6% for control, 17.5% for NAG, and 11.1% for DNAG. Cell numbers at the G_0/G_1 phase for all treatment groups were the same. Overall, the results provided supporting evidence that limonoid glucosides are able to arrest cells at the G_1/S phase of the cell cycle, which is the consistent with an interruption in cell division and a predilection toward apoptotic change.

DNA fragmentation. As a final analysis, the potential for limonoid glucosides to induce DNA breakage as a sequel to or concomitant with the induction of apoptosis was determined.



FIGURE 7 Flow cytometry analysis of human neuroblastoma cells treated with 10 µmol/L limonoids for 24 h.

An equal amount of cellular DNA isolated for measurements of apoptosis using the method of Herrmann et al. (30) was applied to the lanes of a 1% agarose electrophoresis gel. As expected, untreated cells had high-molecular-weight DNA, most of which was intact as shown by heavier ethidium bromide staining of slower moving components (Fig. 8). In contrast, cells treated with LG, OG, or CAMP had little highmolecular-weight DNA, but instead a spectrum of fragments that were present in a familiar ladder pattern typical of endonuclease cleaved DNA. Fragmentation of DNA was less evident in cells treated with NAG and DNAG. These data support the flow cytometry results and provide direct evidence for DNA degradation as a consequence of limonoid action on the cells.

DISCUSSION

Controlled diets and epidemiologic studies have supported the conclusion that a high intake of fruit and vegetables lowers the risk of many degenerative diseases including cancer (31). In citrus fruits, the bioactive compounds implicated include carotenoids, vitamin C, folic acid, flavonoids, and the limonoid glucosides whose bioactivity is believed to reside in antioxidant and apoptosis-inducing properties. Concrete evidence supporting those conclusions for limonoid glucosides, however, is lacking.

Two important facets of limonoid glucoside action tested in this study were the ability to quench O_2^- radicals and to induce apoptotic changes in neuroblastoma cells in culture. Quenching, as detected by an in vitro spectrophotometric assay, was evident when millimolar levels of the limonoid glucosides were present. Based on the IC₅₀ values reported in Table 1, NAG was 1.5-2.5 times more effective in quenching efficacy than LG or OG. The assay performed with these compounds was designed to measure the scavenging of superoxide anions generated de novo. Action against the hydroxyl radical, the more reactive of the oxygen-centered radicals (20), was not evaluated. Quenching was seen only in the early stages of the reaction; for LG, OG and DNAG, it did not persist beyond the first 2 or 3 min. NAG, however, showed sustained activity over the 5-min period and was gauged to be nearly as effective as an equivalent concentration of vitamin C. What can be de-



FIGURE 8 Fragmentation analysis of human neuroblastoma cell DNA after 24 h of treatment with limonoid glucosides. A 1% agarose gel was used with detection based on ethidium bromide staining. MR, 100-1000 bp molecular weight ladder.

duced from the in vitro assay is that limonoid glucosides have the capacity to interact with O_2^- , but that their action in situ may depend on a reducing agent to recycle inactive to active forms of the molecule.

Purified limonoid glucosides tested in this study appeared to be both cytostatic and cytotoxic to undifferentiated human neuroblastoma cells in culture. Cytostasis was inferred on the basis of flow cytometry evidence, which showed a strong reduction in the number of S phase cells, suggesting an arrest of cell division at the G_1/\bar{S} interface. All of these events occurred at micromolar concentrations, showing that neuroblastoma cells are highly sensitive to their action. Tian et al. (19) showed earlier that OG was superior to NAG in causing the death of MCF-7 breast cancer cells in culture. Moreover, the aglycones of NAG and LG were as effective as the glucosides in the killing action, suggesting that the glucoside appendage on the molecule is not important for toxic effects. The previous study, however, ruled out apoptosis as a primary mechanism of action because of the relatively high amounts (100 mg/L)that were required to induce an apoptotic response (19). In the present study, we demonstrated that limonoid glucosides in amounts as low as $1-5 \ \mu \text{mol/L} (0.6-3.0 \ \text{mg/L})$ induced caspase activity and fragmented DNA in SH-SY5Y cells. Why MCF-7 cells do not show a similar heightened as sensitivity against limonoid glucosides is not clear at this time.

Apoptosis can be induced in SH-SY5Y cells by a number α external factors and conditions such as tumor necrosis ctor- α (32), amyloid-B-peptides (33), UV radiation (34), of external factors and conditions such as tumor necrosis factor- α (32), amyloid-B-peptides (33), UV radiation (34), and ceramide (35). In contrast, retinoic acid, prostaglandin E_2 , leptin, guanosine, interleukin (IL)-1 α , and IL-6 appear to protect SH-SY5Y cells against oxidants and neurotoxins (36-39), as do flavones such as lutoelin, apigenin, and epigallocatechin (40-43). The antioxidant and protective properties of limonoid glucosides appear similar to those of 5 flavonoids. In terms of their cancer killing potential, it is of important to heed the observation that limonoid glucosides have little effect on cancer cell lines HL-60, NCI-SNU-1, have little effect on cancer cell lines HL-60, NCI-SNU-1, HeLa, SCOV-3, and HepG2 (19). Further, we found that S Chinese hamster ovary cells remain fully viable under con- 및 ditions in which SH-SY5Y cells succumbed to the limonoid @ treatment (Shibu et al., unpublished observations). The 🗓 data, therefore, suggest that induction of apoptosis by li- 9 monoid glucosides may depend on cell type or differentia- N tion state and that neuroblastoma cells meet these criteria \geq for reasons not yet clarified.

The difference in the efficacy of the different types of $\frac{\overline{\alpha}}{\overline{\beta}}$ limonoid glucosides was seen in a number of experiments reported here. The data that show these differences are summarized in Table 1. It is clear that LG and OG induced cell death at one-fourth the level of NAG and DNAG. Caspase induction was also achieved at a lower level of LG and OG than NAG and DNAG. Quenching, however, favored NAG. A careful inspection of the structures (Fig. 1) shows that OG and LG share the same structural features as NAG and DNAG with the exception of sealed A ring with no carboxyl group in the molecules of LG and OG. Miller et al. (14) speculated earlier that the A ring as opposed to the D, is more decisive in the biological activity of limonoid glucosides. Our data extend that suggestion by showing that superior quenching and apoptosis-inducing potential may also be associated with the A-ring configuration.

Future research endeavors can now turn to the mechanism of induction of apoptosis to better explain the underlying mechanism of the action of limonoid glucosides on SH-SY5Y

cells. By activating 2 proteases, mu-calpain and caspase 12, Ca^{2+} was strongly implicated in the induction of apoptosis and the regulation of the apoptotic signaling pathway (44). Mitochondria regulate apoptosis in vertebrates by releasing cytochrome c, an inducer of caspase 3; Bcl-2 regulates cytochrome c release (45). The activation of the c-jun N-terminal kinase signaling pathway was also shown to be an early event in the induction of apoptosis in neuronal cells (34). c-Jun and Bcl-2, are targets of the phosphorylation reaction that modulates an apoptotic response. No data are available that connect limonoid glucosides with these internal events. Another consideration is the synergistic action by which one limonoid promotes the action of another. Tian et al. (19) found that mixtures of limonoid glucosides had greater killing and apoptosis-inducing potential than any pure compound tested singularly. Moreover, Mertens-Talcott et al. (46) recently showed that ellagic acid significantly potentiated the action of quercetin in stimulating caspase activity in MOLT-4 human leukemia cells in culture. The 2 fruit polyphenols in combination had stronger anticarcinogenic effects than either one alone. These important observations suggest that limonoid glucosides could act in tandem or work with flavones to promote a more powerful action. Synergism rather than individual action may better explain the health-promoting effects in nutrition.

In conclusion, the data in this report clearly support limonoid glucosides at micromolar concentrations as being lethal to neuroblastoma cells in culture. Because not all cancer cells succumb to their effects, limonoid glucoside may target specific cell types, which makes it imperative to identify the vulnerable site(s) in cells that underlie their mechanism of action.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We acknowledge the expertise of Roger Smith, who performed the flow cytometry assays, We also thank John Mullet for providing the instrumentation for fluorometric analysis and G. K. Jayaprakasha for determining the purity of the bioactive compounds through NMR.

LITERATURE CITED

1. Miller, E. G., Taylor, S. E., Berry, C. W., Zimmerman, J. A. & Hasegawa, S. (2000) Citrus limonoids: increasing importance as anticancer agents. In: Citrus Limonoids—Functional Chemicals in Agriculture and Foods (Berhow, M. A., Hasegawa, S. & Manners, G. D., eds.), pp. 132–144. American Chemical Society (Symposia series 758), Washington, DC.

2. Hasegawa, S. & Miyake, M. (1996) Biochemistry and biological functions of citrus limonoids. Food Rev. Int. 12: 413-435.

3. Hasegawa, S., Bennet, R. D., Herman, Z., Fong, C. H. & Ou, P. (1989) Limonoid glucosides in citrus. Phytochemistry 28: 1717–1724.

4. Hasegawa, S., Ou, P., Fong, C. H., Herman, Z., Coggins, C. W., Jr. & Atkin, D. R. (1991) Changes in the limonoate A-ring lactone and limonin 17- β -D-glucopyranoside content of navel oranges during fruit growth and maturation. J. Agric. Food Chem. 39: 262–265.

5. Kita, M., Hirata, Y., Moriguchi, T., Endo-Inagaki, T., Matsumoto, R., Hasegawa, S., Suhayda, C. G. & Omura, M. (2000) Molecular cloning and characterization of a novel gene encoding limonoid UDP-glucosyltransferase in citrus. FEBS Lett. 469: 173–178.

6. Battinelli, L., Menqoni, F., Lichtner, M., Maqzzanti, G., Saija, A., Mastroianni, C. M. & Vullo, V. (2003) Effect of limonin and nomilin on HIV-1 replication on infected human mononuclear cells. Plant Med. 69: 910–913.

7. Sunthitikawinsakul, A., Kongkathip, N., Kongkathip, B., Phonnakhu, S., Daly, J. W., Spande, T. F., Minit, Y., Napaswat, C. Kasisit, J. & Yoosook, C. (2003) Anti HIV-1 limonoid: first isolation from *Clausena excavata*. Phytother. Res: 17: 1101–1103.

8. Bray, D. H., Warhurst, C. C., Connolly, J. D., O'Neill, M. J. & Phillipson, J. D. (1990) Plants as sources of antimalarial drugs. Part 7. Activity of some species of Meliaceae plants and their constituent limonoids. Phytother. Res. 4: 29–35.

9. So, F. V., Guthrie, N., Chambers, A. F., Moussa, M. & Carroll, K. K. (1996) Inhibition of breast cancer cell proliferation and delay of mammary tumorigenesis by flavonoids and citrus juices. Nutr. Cancer 25: 167–181.

10. Tanaka, T., Kohno, H., Tsukio, Y., Honjo, S., Tanino, M., Miyake, M. & Wada, K. (2000) Citrus limonoids obacunone and limonin inhibit azoxymethane-induced colon carcinogenesis in rats. Biofactors 13: 213–218.

11. Tanaka, T., Maeda, M., Kohno, H., Murakami, M., Kagami, S., Miyake, M. & Wada, K. (2001) Inhibition of azoxymethane-induced colon carcinogenesis in male F344 rats by the citrus limonoids obacunone and limonin. Carcinogenesis 22: 193–198.

12. Lam, L.K.T. & Hasegawa, S. (1989) Inhibition of benzo[a]pyrene-induced forestomach neoplasia in mice by citrus limonoids. Nutr. Cancer 12: 43-47.

13. Miller, E. G., Gonzales-Sanders, A. P., Couvillon, A. M., Wright, J. M., Hasegawa, S. & Lam, L.K.T. (1992) Inhibition of hamster buccal pouch carcinogenesis by limonin 17 β -D-glucopyranoside. Nutr. Cancer 17: 1–7.

14. Miller, E. G., Fanous, R., Rivera, H. F., Binnie, W. H., Hasegawa, S. & Lam, L.K.T. (1989) The effect of citrus limonoids on hamster buccal pouch carcinogenesis. Carcinogenesis 10: 1535–1537.

15. Miller, E. G., Porter, J. L., Binnie, W. H., Guo I. Y. & Hasegawa, S. (2004) Further studies on the anticancer activity of citrus limonoids. J. Agric. Food Chem. 52: 4908–4912.

16. Pettit, G. R., Barton, D.H.R., Herald, C. L., Polonsky, J., Schmidt, J. M. & Connolly, J. D. (1983) Evaluation of limonoids against the murine P388 lymphocytic leukemia cell line. J. Nat. Prod. 46: 379–390.

17. Kurowska, E. M. & Manthey, J. A. (2004) Hydrolipidemic effects and absorption of citrus polymethoxylated flavones in hamsters with diet-induced hypercholesterolemia. J. Agric. Food Chem. 52: 2879–2886.

18. Kurowska, E. M. & Manthey, J. A., Casaschi, A. & Theriault, A. G. (2004) Modulation of HepG2 cell net apolipoprotein B secretion by the citrus polymethoxyflavone, tangeretin. Lipids 39: 143–151.

19. Tian, Q., Miller, E. G., Hassan, A., Tang, L. & Patil, B. S. (2001) Differential inhibition of human cancer cell proliferation by citrus limonoids. Nutr. Cancer 40: 180–184.

20. Halliwell, B. (1991) Reactive oxygen species in living systems: source biochemistry and role in human disease. Am. J. Med. 9: 14–22.

21. Loo, G. (2003) Redox-sensitive mechanisms of phytochemical-mediated inhibition of cancer cell proliferation. J. Nutr. Biochem. 14: 64–73.

22. Lam, L.K.T., Li, Y. & Hasegawa, S. (1989) Effects of citrus limonoids on glutathione S-transferase in mice. J. Agric. Food Chem. 37: 878–880.

23. Hensley, K., Mou, S., Pye, Q. N., Dixon, R. A., Summner, L. W. & Floyd, R. A. (2004) Chemical versus pharmacological actions of nutraceutical phytochemicals: antioxidant and anti-inflammatory modalities. Curr. Top. Nutraceut. Res. 2: 13–25.

24. Kelly, C., Jewell, C. & O'Brien, N. M. (2003) The effect of dietary supplementation with the citrus limonoids, limonin and nomilin on xenobiotic metabolizing enzymes in the liver and small intestine of the rat. Nutr. Res. 23: 681-690.

25. Tian, Q. & Ding, X. (2000) Screening for limonoid glucosides in *Citrus tangerina* (Tanaka) by high-performance liquid chromatography-electrospray ionization mass spectrometry. J. Chromatogr. A. 874: 13–19.

26. Reddy, M.C.M., Majumdar, S. & Harris, E. D. (2000) Evidence for a Menkes-like protein with a nuclear targeting sequence. Biochem. J. 350: 855-863.

27. Marklund, S. & Marklund, G. (1974) Involvement of the superoxide anion radical in the autoxidation of pyrogallol and a convenient assay for superoxide dismutase. Eur. J. Biochem. 47: 469–474.

28. Stahle, E. L., Schloss, L., Sundqvist, V. A., Brytting, M., Hokeberg, I., Cox, S., Wahren, B. & Linde, A. (1998) Solid phase ELISA for determination of the virus dose dependent sensitivity of human ctyomagalovirus to antiviral drugs in vitro. Antiviral Res. 40: 105–112.

29. Hansen, M. B., Nielsen, S. E. & Berg, K. (1989) Re-examination and further development of a precise and rapid dye method for measuring cell growth/cell kill. J. Immunol. Methods 119: 203–210.

30. Herrmann, M., Lorenz, H.-M., Voll, R., Grunke, M., Woith, W. & Kalden, J. R. (1994) A rapid and simple method for the isolation of apoptotic DNA fragments. Nucleic Acids Res. 22: 5506–5507.

31. Silalahi, J. (2002) Anticancer and health protective properties of citrus fruit components. Asia Pac. J. Clin. Nutr. 11: 79–84.

32. Deng, M., Zhao, J. Y., Ju, X. D., Tu, P. F., Jiang, Y. & Li, Z. B. (2004) Protective effect of tubuloside B on TNF-alpha-induced apoptosis in neuronal cells. Acta Pharmacol. Sin. 25: 1276–1284.

33. Ikonen, M., Liu, B, Hashimoto, Y., Ma, L., Lee, K. W., Niikura, T., Nishimoto, I. & Cohen, P. (2003) Interaction between the Alzheimer's survival peptide humanin and insulin-like growth factor binding protein regulates cell survival and apoptosis. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 100: 13042–13047.

Berglund, C. M., Radesater, A. C., Persson, M. A. & Budd Haeberlein,
S. L. (2004) UV-induced apoptosis in SH-SY5Y cells: contribution to apoptosis
by JNK signaling and cytochrome c. J. Neurosci. Res. 78: 580–589.

35. Lovat, P. E., Di Sano, F., Corazzari, M., Fazi, B., Donnorso, R. P., Pearson, A. D., Hall, A. G., Redfern, C. P. & Piacentini, M. (2004) Gangliosides link the acidic sphingomyelinase-mediated induction of ceramide to 12-lipoxygenase-dependent apoptosis of neuroblastoma in response to fenretinide. J. Natl. Cancer Inst. 96: 1288–1299.

36. Kweon, S. M., Lee, Z. W., Yi, S. J., Kim, Y. M., Han, J. A., Paik, S. G. & Ha, S. S. (2004) Protective role of tissue transglutaminase in the cell death induced by TNF-alpha in SH-SY5Y neuroblastoma cells. J. Biochem. Mol. Biol. 37: 185–191.

37. Lee, E. O., Shin, Y. J. & Chong, Y. H. (2004) Mechanisms involved in prostaglandin E₂-mediated neuroprotection against TNF-alpha: possible involvement of multiple signal transduction and beta-catenin/T-cell factor. J. Neuroimmunol. 155: 21–31.

 Russo, V. C., Metaxas, S., Kobayashi, K., Harris, M. & Werther, G. A. (2004) Antiapoptotic effects of leptin in human neuroblastoma cells. Endocrinology 145: 4103–4112.

39. Pettifer, K. M., Kleywegt, S., Bau, C. J., Ramsbottom, J. D., Vertes, E., Ciccarelli, R., Caciagli, F., Werstiuk, E. S. & Rathbone, M. P. (2004) Guanosine protects SH-SY5Y neuroblastoma cells against β -amyloid-induced apoptosis. NeuroReport 15: 833–836.

40. Bissonnette, C. J., Klegeris, A., McGeer, P. L. & McGeer, E. G. (2004) Interleukin 1 alpha and interleukin 6 protect human neuronal SH-SY5Y cells from oxidative damage. Neurosci. Lett. 361: 40–43.

41. Kang, S. S., Lee, J. Y., Choi, Y. K., Kim, G. S. & Han, B. H. (2004) Neuroprotective effects of flavones on hydrogen peroxide-induced apoptosis in SH-SY5Y neuroblastoma cells. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 15: 2261–2264.

42. Jeong, J. H., Kim, H. J., Lee, T. J., Kim, M. K., Park, E. S. & Choi, B. S.

(2004) Epigallocatechin 3-gallate attenuates neuronal damage induced by 3-hydroxykynurenine. Toxicology 195: 53–60.

43. Zhang, Y. & Zhao, B. (2003) Green tea polyphenols enhance sodium nitroprusside-induced neurotoxicity in human neuroblastoma SH-SY5Y cells. J. Neurochem. 86: 1189–1200.

44. Sergeev, I. N. (2004) Genistein induces Ca2+-mediated, calpain/caspase-12-dependent apoptosis in breast cancer cells. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 321: 462–467.

45. Green, D. & Kroemer, G. (1998) The central executioners of apoptosis: caspases or mitochondria? Trends Cell. Biol. 8: 267–271.

46. Mertens-Talcott, S. U., Talcott, S. T. & Percival, S. S. (2003) Low concentrations of quercetin and ellagic acid synergistically influence proliferation, cytotoxicity and apoptosis in MOLT-4 human leukemia cells. J. Nutr. 133: 2669–2674.