Tôhoku Math. J.
40 (1988), 51-75.

CLASSICAL SCHOTTKY GROUPS OF REAL TYPE OF GENUS TWO, I

Dedicated to Professor Tadashi Kuroda on his sixtieth birthday

HIROKI SATO

(Received July 16, 1986)

0. Introduction. With respect to the boundaries of the Schottky spaces many results were obtained by Chuckrow, Marden, Bers, Sato, Rodriguez, and others. Chuckrow [2] studied the boundary groups of the space as limits of sequences of Schottky groups, and Marden [6] showed by studying the boundary groups of the Schottky space and the classical Schottky space that not every Schottky group is classical. On the other hand, Bers [1] and Sato [12], [13] studied the augmented Schottky space obtained by adding points representing compact Riemann surfaces with nodes to the Schottky space. Sato [14] obtained a uniformization theorem of compact Riemann surfaces with nodes. The boundary of the Schottky space consists of the boundary points due to Chuckrow, namely some discrete groups, and the boundary points due to Bers-Sato, namely points representing compact Riemann surfaces with nodes (Rodriguez [11]).

In this paper we will consider a subspace of the classical Schottky space of genus two, which is called the classical Schottky space of real type of genus two, and we will determine the structure of the boundary of the subspace. The space consists of all equivalence classes of marked classical Schottky groups generated by the following Möbius transformations A_1 and A_2: $A_j(z) = (a_jz + b_j)/(c_jz + d_j)$ $(a_j, b_j, c_j, d_j \in \boldsymbol{R}, a_jd_j - b_jc_j \neq 0; j = 1, 2)$. We will divide the space into eight subspaces according to type (see §1). The space of the fourth type corresponds to the Teichmüller space for tori with a hole, with respect to which beautiful results were obtained by Keen [3].

This is the first part of a series of papers entitled "Classical Schottky groups of real type of genus two". In the first part, we will only consider the spaces of the first and fourth types. The first part has the following three aims: (1) to represent the shape of the spaces of the first and fourth types by using the coordinates introduced in Sato [12], [13] (Theorems 1 and 4); (2) to determine fundamental regions for the Schottky

Partly supported by the Grants-in-Aid for Scientific and Co-operative Research, the Ministry of Education, Science and Culture, Japan.

modular group of genus two acting on the above spaces (Theorems 2 and 5); (3) to consider which Riemann surface a point on the closure of the spaces represents. By combining our results (Theorems 1 and 4) with Purzitsky [9, Theorems 2 and 3], we see that the Schottky spaces of the first and fourth types coincide with the classical Schottky spaces of the same types, respectively.

In §1 we will state definitions and divide the Schottky space of real type into eight subspaces according to type. In §2 we will consider automorphisms of the free group on two generators and list properties of the automorphisms in a series of lemmas. In §3 we will represent the shape of the classical Schottky space of the first type by using the coordinates introduced in Sato [12], [13]. In §4 we will determine a fundamental region for the Schottky modular group acting on the space. In §5 we will consider which Riemann surface a point on the closure of the space represents. In §6 we will treat the classical Schottky space of the fourth type. In §7 we will consider the relationship between the Teichmüller space in Keen [3] for tori with a hole and the space of the fourth type.

Thanks are due to the referees for their careful reading and valuable suggestions.

1. Definitions.

1.1. DEFINITION 1.1. Let $C_1, C_{g+1}; \cdots; C_g, C_{2g}$ be a set of $2g$, $g \geqq 1$, mutually disjoint Jordan curves on the Riemann sphere which comprise the boundary of a $2g$-ply connected region ω. Suppose there are g Möbius transformations $A_1, \cdots, A_g$ which have the property that A_j maps C_j onto C_{g+j} and $A_j(\omega) \cap \omega = \varnothing$, $1 \leqq j \leqq g$. Then the g necessarily loxodromic transformations A_j generate a *marked Schottky group* $G = \langle A_1, \cdots, A_g \rangle$ of genus g with ω as a fundamental region. In particular, if all C_j $(j = 1, 2, \cdots, 2g)$ are circles, then we call $A_1, \cdots, A_g$ a *set of classical generator* of G. A *classical Schottky group* is a Schottky group for which there exists some set of classical generators.

DEFINITION 1.2. We say two marked Schottky groups $G = \langle A_1, \cdots, A_g \rangle$ and $\hat{G} = \langle \hat{A}_1, \cdots, \hat{A}_g \rangle$ to be *equivalent* and denote the fact by $G \sim \hat{G}$ if there exists a Möbius transformation T such that $\hat{A}_j = TA_jT^{-1}$ for all $j = 1, 2, \cdots, g$. The *Schottky space* of genus g, denoted by $\mathfrak{S}_g$, is the set of all equivalence classes of marked Schottky groups of genus $g \geqq 1$.

DEFINITION 1.3. The *classical Schottky space*, denoted by $\mathfrak{S}_g^0$, is

defined to consist of all elements of $\mathfrak{S}_g$ for which there exists some set of classical generators. We denote by $\mathfrak{S}_g^{00}$ the set of all equivalence classes of marked Schottky groups $G = \langle A_1, \cdots, A_g \rangle$ of genus g such that $A_1, \cdots, A_g$ is a set of classical generators.

1.2. In this paper, we only consider the spaces $\mathfrak{S}_2$, $\mathfrak{S}_2^0$, and $\mathfrak{S}_2^{00}$ of genus $g = 2$. Let $G = \langle A_1, A_2 \rangle$ be a marked Schottky group. Let λ_j ($|\lambda_j| > 1$), p_j and p_{2+j} ($j = 1, 2$) be the multiplier, the repelling and the attracting fixed points of A_j, respectively. We define t_j by setting $t_j = 1/\lambda_j$ ($j = 1, 2$). Thus $t_j \in D^* = \{z \mid 0 < |z| < 1\}$. We determine a Möbius transformation T by $T(p_1) = 0$, $T(p_3) = \infty$ and $T(p_2) = 1$ and define ρ by $\rho = T(p_4)$. Thus $\rho \in \boldsymbol{C} - \{0, 1\}$.

REMARKS. (1) Let $G_0 = \langle A_{10}, A_{20} \rangle$ be a fixed marked Schottky group. Let C_{10}, C_{30}; C_{20}, C_{40} be mutually disjoint Jordan curves and ω_0 a fundamental region as in Definition 1. Let C_{50} be a Jordan curve in ω_0 such that C_{10} and C_{30} (resp. C_{20} and C_{40}) are in the interior (resp. the exterior) of C_{50}. Then $\Sigma_0 = \{C_{10}, C_{20}, C_{30}, C_{40}; C_{50}\}$ is a standard system of Jordan curves (see [14, p. 558] for the definition).

(2) We can define a mapping ϕ of $\mathfrak{S}_2$ into $D^{*2} \times (\boldsymbol{C} - \{0, 1\})$ by setting $\phi([G]) = (t_1, t_2, \rho)$, where $[G]$ denotes the equivalence class of G, that is, a point in $\mathfrak{S}_2$. We denote by $\mathfrak{S}_2(\Sigma_0)$ the image of $\mathfrak{S}_2$ under the mapping ϕ. We call $\mathfrak{S}_2(\Sigma_0)$ the Schottky space associated with Σ_0. We similarly define the classical Schottky space associated with Σ_0, which is denoted by $\mathfrak{S}_2^0(\Sigma_0)$, and we can similarly define the space $\mathfrak{S}_2^{00}(\Sigma_0)$. Conversely λ_1, λ_2 and p_4 are uniquely determined from a given point $\tau = (t_1, t_2, \rho) \in D^{*2} \times (\boldsymbol{C} - \{0, 1\})$ under the normalization condition $p_1 = 0$, $p_3 = \infty$ and $p_2 = 1$; we define λ_j ($j = 1, 2$) and p_4 by setting $\lambda_j = 1/t_j$ and $p_4 = \rho$, respectively. We determine $A_1(\tau, z)$, $A_2(\tau, z) \in \text{Möb}$ from τ as follows: The multiplier, the repelling and the attracting fixed points of $A_j(\tau, z)$ are λ_j, p_j and p_{2+j}, respectively. Thus we obtain a mapping ψ of $D^{*2} \times (\boldsymbol{C} - \{0, 1\})$ into Möb by setting $\psi(\tau) = \langle A_1(\tau, z), A_2(\tau, z) \rangle$. Then we note that $\psi\phi = \text{id.}$ (see [13, pp. 28–29] for the detail).

DEFINITION 1.4. A Möbius transformation $A(z) = (az + b)/(cz + d)$ is called a *real Möbius transformation* if $a, b, c, d \in \boldsymbol{R}$ *and* $ad - bc \neq 0$. If $G = \langle A_1, \cdots, A_g \rangle$ is a marked (classical) Schottky group such that A_j is a real Möbius transformation for each $j = 1, 2, \cdots, g$, (that is, $\phi([G]) \in \boldsymbol{R}^3$ if $g = 2$), then we call G a marked (classical) Schottky group of *real type.*

In the case of $g = 2$, there are eight kinds of marked (classical) Schottky groups of real type as follows.

DEFINITION 1.5. Let (t_1, t_2, ρ) be the point in $\mathfrak{S}_2(\Sigma_0)$ corresponding to the equivalence class $[G]$ of a marked Schottky group $G = \langle A_1, A_2 \rangle$.

(1) G is of the *first type* (Type I) if $t_1 > 0$, $t_2 > 0$ and $\rho > 0$.
(2) G is of the *second type* (Type II) if $t_1 > 0$, $t_2 < 0$ and $\rho > 0$.
(3) G is of the *third type* (Type III) if $t_1 > 0$, $t_2 < 0$ and $\rho < 0$.
(4) G is of the *fourth type* (Type IV) if $t_1 > 0$, $t_2 > 0$ and $\rho < 0$.
(5) G is of the *fifth type* (Type V) if $t_1 < 0$, $t_2 > 0$ and $\rho > 0$.
(6) G is of the *sixth type* (Type VI) if $t_1 < 0$, $t_2 < 0$ and $\rho > 0$.
(7) G is of the *seventh type* (Type VII) if $t_1 < 0$, $t_2 < 0$ and $\rho < 0$.
(8) G is of the *eighth type* (Type VIII) if $t_1 < 0$, $t_2 > 0$ and $\rho < 0$.

DEFINITION 1.6. For each $k = \text{I, II, } \cdots, \text{VIII}$, we call the set of all equivalence classes of marked Schottky groups of Type k or the set of all points (t_1, t_2, ρ) of Type k the *real Schottky space of Type k*, and denoted it by $R_k\mathfrak{S}_2$.

DEFINITION 1.7. For each $k = \text{I, II, } \cdots, \text{VIII}$, the intersection of $R_k\mathfrak{S}_2$ with $\mathfrak{S}_2^0(\Sigma_0)$ is called the *real classical Schottky space of Type k*, and is denoted by $R_k\mathfrak{S}_2^0$. We denote by $R_k\mathfrak{S}_2^{00}$ the intersection of $R_k\mathfrak{S}_2$ with $\mathfrak{S}_2^{00}(\Sigma_0)$ for each $k = \text{I, II, } \cdots, \text{VIII}$.

2. Automorphisms of a free group on two generators.

2.1. Let $G = \langle A_1, A_2 \rangle$ be a free group on two generators. We will use the following theorem in §§4 and 6.

THEOREM A (Neumann [6]). *Then group Φ_2 of automorphisms of G has the following presentation*:

$$\Phi_2 = \langle N_1, N_2, N_3 \mid (N_2N_1N_2N_3)^2 = 1,\ N_3^{-1}N_2N_3N_2N_1N_3N_1N_2N_1 = 1,\ N_1N_3N_1N_3 = N_3N_1N_3N_1 \rangle ,$$

where

$$N_1\colon (A_1, A_2) \mapsto (A_1, A_2^{-1}) , \quad N_2\colon (A_1, A_2) \mapsto (A_2, A_1)$$

and

$$N_3\colon (A_1, A_2) \mapsto (A_1, A_1A_2) .$$

Let $G = \langle A_1, A_2 \rangle$ be a marked Schottky group. Then $S = \Omega(G)/G$ is a compact Riemann surface of genus 2, where $\Omega(G)$ is the region of discontinuity of G. The homeomorphisms of the Riemann surface S induced by the elements of Φ_2 include orientation preserving as well as orientation reversing ones. The mapping N_3 preserves orientation, while N_1 and N_2 reverse orientation.

2.2. Let E be the space of marked free groups on two generators. By defining N_j $(j = 1, 2, 3)$ as in Theorem A for each $G = \langle A_1, A_2 \rangle \in E$, we can regard N_j as automorphisms of E. If $G = \langle A_1, A_2 \rangle$ and $\hat{G} = \langle \hat{A}_1, \hat{A}_2 \rangle$ are equivalent marked free groups on two generators, then $N_j(\langle A_1, A_2 \rangle)$ is equivalent to $N_j(\langle \hat{A}_1, \hat{A}_2 \rangle)$ for each $j = 1, 2, 3$. Therefore we can regard N_j $(j = 1, 2, 3)$ as automorphisms of the space of all equivalence classes of marked free groups on two generators.

DEFINITION 2.1. Let ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 be automorphisms of $G = \langle A_1, A_2 \rangle$. We say ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 are equivalent if $\phi_1(G)$ is equivalent to $\phi_2(G)$, and denote this by $\phi_1 \sim \phi_2$.

DEFINITION 2.2. Let $G = \langle A_1, A_2 \rangle$ be a marked Schottky group and Φ_2 the group of automorphisms of G. The *modular group* of the Schottky space of genus 2 or the *Schottky modular group* of genus 2, which is denoted by $\mathrm{Mod}(\mathfrak{S}_2)$, is the set of all equivalence classes of orientation preserving automorphisms in Φ_2. We denote by $[\Phi_2]$ the set of all equivalence classes of automorphisms in Φ_2.

Let (t_1, t_2, ρ) be the point in $\mathfrak{S}_2(\Sigma_0)$ corresponding to a marked Schottky group $G = \langle A_1, A_2 \rangle$. Let $(t_1(j), t_2(j), \rho(j))$ be the images of (t_1, t_2, ρ) under the mappings N_j $(j = 1, 2, 3)$, that is, $(t_1(1), t_2(1), \rho(1))$, $(t_1(2), t_2(2), \rho(2))$ and $(t_1(3), t_2(3), \rho(3))$ are the points in $\mathfrak{S}_2(\Sigma_0)$ corresponding to marked Schottky groups $\langle A_1, A_2^{-1} \rangle$, $\langle A_2, A_1 \rangle$ and $\langle A_1, A_1A_2 \rangle$, respectively. Let p and q be two solutions of the equation

$$t_1(1 - t_2)z^2 - (\rho - t_2 - \rho t_1 t_2 + t_1)z + \rho(1 - t_2) = 0 .$$

We set $X = \rho - t_2 - \rho t_1 t_2 + t_1$ and $Y = \rho - t_2 + \rho t_1 t_2 - t_1$. Then by easy calculation, we have the following.

LEMMA 2.1. (1) $t_1(1) = t_1$, $t_2(1) = t_2$ *and* $\rho(1) = 1/\rho$.
(2) $t_1(2) = t_2$, $t_2(2) = t_1$ *and* $\rho(2) = \rho$.
(3) $t_1(3) = t_1$, $t_2(3)^{1/2} + 1/t_2(3)^{1/2} = Y/(t_1^{1/2}t_2^{1/2}(\rho - 1))$,

and for Type I

$$\rho(3)^{1/2} + 1/\rho(3)^{1/2} = \begin{cases} X/(\rho^{1/2}t_1^{1/2}(1 - t_2)) & \text{if } p > 0 \text{ and } q > 0 \\ -X/(\rho^{1/2}t_1^{1/2}(1 - t_2)) & \text{if } p < 0 \text{ and } q < 0 , \end{cases}$$

and for Type IV,

$$(-\rho(3))^{1/2} + 1/(-\rho(3))^{1/2} = (X^2 - 4t_1\rho(1 - t_2))^{1/2}/(-\rho)^{1/2}t_1^{1/2}(1 - t_2) .$$

2.3. Let $A(z) = (az + b)/(cz + d)$, $ad - bc = 1$, be a loxodromic transformation. We denote by $\lambda(A)$ ($|\lambda(A)| > 1$), $p(A)$ and $q(A)$ the multiplier, the repelling and the attracting fixed points of A, respectively. We define $t(A)$ and $\rho(A)$ by $t(A) = 1/\lambda(A)$ and $\rho(A) = q(A)/p(A)$, respectively, if $p(A) \neq 0$. Let (t_1, t_2, ρ) be the point in $\mathfrak{S}_2(\Sigma_0)$ corresponding to a marked Schottky group $G = \langle A_1, A_2 \rangle$. We can set

$$A_1(z) = z/t_1$$

and

$$A_2(z) = ((\rho - t_2)z + \rho(t_2 - 1))/((1 - t_2)z + (t_2\rho - 1)) .$$

We note that $t_j = t(A_j)$ ($j = 1, 2$) and $\rho = \rho(A_2)$. We denote by $\boldsymbol{Z}$ the set of all integers. We easily see the following lemmas.

LEMMA 2.2. *$\langle A_1^{-1}, A_2^{-1} \rangle$ is equivalent to $\langle A_1, A_2 \rangle$.*

PROOF. We set $T(z) = \rho/z$. Then $TA_1^{-1}T^{-1} = A_1$ and $TA_2^{-1}T^{-1} = A_2$. q.e.d.

LEMMA 2.3. *If $l + m = n$, $l, m, n \in \boldsymbol{Z}$, then $\langle A_1, A_1^n A_2 \rangle$ is equivalent to $\langle A_1, A_1^m A_2 A_1^l \rangle$.*

LEMMA 2.4. *Let t_1 be a real number with $0 < t_1 < 1$. Assume that $1/t_1^k < \rho < 1/t_1^{k+1}$ for an integer k. Let $m, n \in \boldsymbol{Z}$. Then*

(1) *$\rho(A_1^n A_2) > \rho(A_1^m A_2)$ if $n > m$;*

(2) *$t(A_1^n A_2) < t(A_1^m A_2)$ if $|n + k| > |m + k|$ and*
$t(A_1^n A_2) = t(A_1^m A_2)$ if $|n + k| = |m + k|$.

LEMMA 2.5. *Let t_1 be a real number with $0 < t_1 < 1$. Assume that $-1/t_1^{k+1} < \rho < -1/t_1^k$ for an integer k. Let $m, n \in \boldsymbol{Z}$. Then*

(1) *$\rho(A_1^n A_2) < \rho(A_1^m A_2) < 0$ if $n > m$;*

(2) *$t(A_1^n A_2) < t(A_1^m A_2)$ if $|n + k| > |m + k|$ and*
$t(A_1^n A_2) = t(A_1^m A_2)$ if $|n + k| = |m + k|$.

We define $\tau(A)^2$ by $\tau(A)^2 = t(A) + (1/t(A)) + 2$ for a Möbius transformation $A(z)$. By noting that

$$\tau(A_1^n A_2)^2 - \tau(A_1^n A_2^{-1}) = \frac{\rho + 1}{\rho - 1} \frac{(1 - t_1^{2n})(1 - t_2^n)}{t_1^n t_2} ,$$

we have the following lemmas:

LEMMA 2.6. (1) *Let $\rho > 1$ and let n be an integer.*

(i) *If $n > 0$, then $t(A_1^n A_2) < t(A_1^n A_2^{-1})$ and $\rho(A_1^n A_2) > \rho(A_1^n A_2^{-1})$.*

(ii) *If $n < 0$, then $t(A_1^n A_2) > t(A_1^n A_2^{-1})$ and $\rho(A_1^n A_2) > \rho(A_1^n A_2^{-1})$.*

(2) *Let $0 < \rho < 1$ and let n be an integer.*

(i) *If* $n > 0$, *then* $t(A_1^n A_2) > t(A_1^n A_2^{-1})$ *and* $\rho(A_1^n A_2) < \rho(A_1^n A_2^{-1})$.
(ii) *If* $n < 0$, *then* $t(A_1^n A_2) < t(A_1^n A_2^{-1})$ *and* $\rho(A_1^n A_2) < \rho(A_1^n A_2^{-1})$.

LEMMA 2.7. (1) *Let* $\rho < -1$ *and let* n *be an integer.*
(i) *If* $n > 0$, *then* $t(A_1^n A_2) < t(A_1^n A_2^{-1})$ *and* $\rho(A_1^n A_2) < \rho(A_1^n A_2^{-1})$.
(ii) *If* $n < 0$, *then* $t(A_1^n A_2) > t(A_1^n A_2^{-1})$ *and* $\rho(A_1^n A_2) < \rho(A_1^n A_2^{-1})$.
(2) *Let* $-1 < \rho < 0$ *and let* n *be an integer.*
(i) *If* $n > 0$, *then* $t(A_1^n A_2) > t(A_1^n A_2^{-1})$ *and* $\rho(A_1^n A_2) > \rho(A_1^n A_2^{-1})$.
(ii) *If* $n < 0$, *then* $t(A_1^n A_2) < t(A_1^n A_2^{-1})$ *and* $\rho(A_1^n A_2) > \rho(A_1^n A_2^{-1})$.
(3) *Let* $\rho = -1$ *and let* n *be an integer. Then* $t(A_1^n A_2) = t(A_1^n A_2^{-1})$ *and* $\rho(A_1^n A_2) = \rho(A_1^n A_2^{-1})$.

LEMMA 2.8. *Let* $l, m, n \in \boldsymbol{Z}$. *Then*
(1) $t(A_1^n A_2^{-1}) = t(A_1^{-n} A_2)$ *and* $\rho(A_1^n A_2^{-1})\rho(A_1^{-n} A_2) = 1$.
(2) $t(A_1^n A_2) = t(A_1^{-n} A_2^{-1})$ *and* $\rho(A_1^n A_2)\rho(A_1^{-n} A_2^{-1}) = 1$.
(3) $t(A_1^n A_2^m) = t(A_2^m A_1^n)$ *and* $\rho(A_1^n A_2^m) = \rho(A_2^m A_1^n)$.
(4) $t(A_1^n A_2^m A_1^l) = t(A_1^{n+l} A_2^m)$ *and* $\rho(A_1^n A_2^m A_1^l) = \rho(A_1^{n+l} A_2^m)$.

3. The first type——The domain of existence.

3.1. In this section we will determine the shape of the real classical Schottky space $R_{\mathrm{I}}\mathfrak{S}_2^0$ of type I in $\boldsymbol{R}^3$. Throughout this section let

$$A_1(z) = z/t_1$$

and

$$A_2(z) = ((\rho - t_2)z + \rho(t_2 - 1))/((1 - t_2)z + (\rho t_2 - 1)) ,$$

where $0 < t_1 < 1$, $0 < t_2 < 1$ and $\rho > 0$. The following proposition is fundamental in this section.

PROPOSITION 3.1. *Fix* t_1 *with* $0 < t_1 < 1$.
(1) *Let* $1 < \rho < 1/t_1$. *If* $t_2^{1/2} = (1 - t_1^{1/2}\rho^{1/2})/(\rho^{1/2} - t_1^{1/2})$, *then* $A_1 A_2^{-1}$ *is a parabolic transformation whose fixed point is* $\rho^{1/2}t_1^{-1/2}$. *Furthermore* $G = \langle A_1, A_2 \rangle$ *is a discontinuous group and the region bounded by the following four circles* C_1, C_2, C_3 *and* C_4 *is a fundamental region for* G:
C_1: $|z| = \rho^{1/2}t_1^{1/2}$,
C_2: $|z - \{(1 + \rho)/2 + \rho^{1/2}t_1^{1/2}\}/2| = \{(1 + \rho)/2 - \rho^{1/2}t_1^{1/2}\}/2$,
C_3: $|z| = \rho^{1/2}t_1^{-1/2}$,
C_4: $|z - \{\rho^{1/2}t_1^{-1/2} + (\rho + t_2)/(t_2 + 1)\}/2| = \{\rho^{1/2}t_1^{-1/2} - (\rho + t_2)/(t_2 + 1)\}/2$.
(2) *Let* $t_1 < \rho < 1$. *If*

$$t_1^{1/2} = (\rho^{1/2} - t_1^{1/2})/(1 - t_1^{1/2}\rho^{1/2}) ,$$

then $A_1 A_2$ *is a parabolic transformation whose fixed point is* $\rho^{1/2}t_1^{-1/2}$. *Furthermore* $G = \langle A_1, A_2 \rangle$ *is a discontinuous group and the region*

bounded by the following four circles C_1, C_2, C_3 and C_4 is a fundamental region for G:

C_1: $|z| = \rho^{1/2}t_1^{1/2}$,

C_2: $|z - \{\rho^{1/2}t_1^{-1/2} + (1+\rho)/2\}/2| = \{\rho^{1/2}t_1^{-1/2} - (1+\rho)/2\}/2$,

C_3: $|z| = \rho^{1/2}t_1^{-1/2}$,

C_4: $|z - \{(\rho + t_2)/(t_2 + 1) + \rho^{1/2}t_1^{1/2}\}/2| = \{(\rho + t_2)/(t_2 + 1) - \rho^{1/2}t_1^{1/2}\}/2$.

This proposition is proved by straightforward computations.

For the sake of simplicity, we introduce the following notation:

$$t_{2,n}(t_1, \rho) = (1 - t_1^{n/2}\rho^{1/2})/(\rho^{1/2} - t_1^{n/2})$$

for each integer n.

PROPOSITION 3.2. *Fix t_1 with $0 < t_1 < 1$.*

(1) *Let $1/t_1^{n-1} < \rho < 1/t_1^n$ for a positive integer n. Then the intersection point of two curves*

$$K^-(n)\colon t_2^{1/2} = -t_{2,n-1}(t_1, \rho) \quad \text{and} \quad K^+(n)\colon t_2^{1/2} = t_{2,n}(t_1, \rho)$$

is $P(n) := (t_1, t_{2,n}(t_1), \rho_n(t_1))$, where

$$t_{2,n}(t_1)^{1/2} = \frac{t_1^{(n-1)/2}\{(1 - t_1^n)^{1/2} - t_1^{1/2}(1 - t_1^{n-1})^{1/2}\}}{(1 - t_1^{n-1})^{1/2} + (1 - t_1^n)^{1/2}}$$

and

$$\rho_n(t_1)^{1/2} = \frac{t_1^{(2n-1)/2} + 1 + \{(1 - t_1^{n-1})(1 - t_1^n)\}^{1/2}}{t_1^{(n-1)/2}(t_1^{1/2} + 1)}\,.$$

(2) *Let $t_1^n < \rho < t_1^{n-1}$ for a positive integer n. Then the intersection point of two curves*

$$K^-(-n)\colon t_2^{1/2} = -t_{2,n-1}(t_1, \rho)^{-1} \quad \text{and} \quad K^+(-n)\colon t_2^{1/2} = t_{2,n}(t_1, \rho)^{-1}$$

is $P(-n) := (t_1, t_{2,-n}(t_1), \rho_{-n}(t_1))$, where

$$t_{2,-n}(t_1)^{1/2} = \frac{(1 - t_1^n)^{1/2} - (1 - t_1^{n-1})^{1/2}}{t_1^{(n-1)/2}\{t_1^{1/2}(1 - t_1^{n-1})^{1/2} + (1 - t_1^n)^{1/2}\}}$$

and

$$\rho_{-n}(t_1)^{1/2} = \frac{t_1^{(2n-1)/2} + 1 - \{(1 - t_1^{n-1})(1 - t_1^n)\}^{1/2}}{t_1^{(n-1)/2}(t_1^{1/2} + 1)}\,.$$

We have this proposition by elementary calculations.

REMARKS. (1) $t_{2,n}(t_1) = t_{2,-n}(t_1)$, $\rho_n(t_1)\rho_{-n}(t_1) = 1$ and $P(1) = P(-1)$ (cf. Lemma 2.8).

(2) $\{\rho_n(t_1)\}$ and $\{t_{2,n}(t_1)\}$ $(n = 1, 2, 3, \cdots)$ are monotone increasing and decreasing sequences, respectively.

(3) Both $\{\rho_{-n}(t_1)\}$ and $\{t_{2,-n}(t_1)\}$ $(n = 1, 2, 3, \cdots)$ are monotone decreasing sequences.

PROPOSITION 3.3. *Fix* t_1 *with* $0 < t_1 < 1$.

(1) *Let* $1/t_1^{n-1} < \rho < \rho_n(t_1)$ $(n = 2, 3, \cdots)$. *If* $t_2^{1/2} = -t_{2,n-1}(t_1, \rho)$, *then* $A_1^{n-1}A_2^{-1}$ *is parabolic.*

(2) *Let* $\rho_n(t_1) < \rho < 1/t_1^n$ $(n = 1, 2, \cdots)$. *If* $t_2^{1/2} = t_{2,n}(t_1, \rho)$, *then* $A_1^nA_2^{-1}$ *is parabolic.*

(3) *Let* $\rho_{-n}(t_1) < \rho < t_1^{n-1}$ $(n = 2, 3, \cdots)$. *If* $t_2^{1/2} = -t_{2,n-1}(t_1, \rho)^{-1}$, *then* $A_1^{n-1}A_2$ *is parabolic.*

(4) *Let* $t_1^n < \rho < \rho_{-n}(t_1)$ $(n = 1, 2, \cdots)$. *If* $t_2^{1/2} = t_{2,n}(t_1, \rho)^{-1}$, *then* $A_1^nA_2$ *is parabolic.*

3.2. We introduce the following sets in $\boldsymbol{R}^3$. For the sake of simplicity, we write τ for a point $(t_1, t_2, \rho) \in \boldsymbol{R}^3$ in the definitions. We set

$$H(n) = \{\tau \mid t_2 = 0,\ t_1^{-(n-1)} < \rho < t_1^{-n},\ 0 < t_1 < 1\} \quad (n \geqq 1)\ ,$$

$$H(-n) = \{\tau \mid t_2 = 0,\ t_1^{n} < \rho < t_1^{n-1},\ 0 < t_1 < 1\} \quad (n \geqq 1)\ ,$$

$$H(1, n) = \{\tau \mid t_1 = 0,\ t_2^{-(n-1)} < \rho < t_2^{-n},\ 0 < t_2 < 1\} \quad (n \geqq 1)\ ,$$

$$H(-1, -n) = \{\tau \mid t_1 = 0,\ t_2^{n} < \rho < t_2^{n-1},\ 0 < t_2 < 1\} \quad (n \geqq 1)\ ,$$

$$F^-(n) = \{\tau \mid t_2^{1/2} = -t_{2,n-1}(t_1, \rho),\ t_1^{-(n-1)} < \rho < \rho_n(t_1),\ 0 < t_1 < 1\} \quad (n \geqq 2)\ ,$$

$$F^-(-n) = \{\tau \mid t_2^{1/2} = -t_{2,n-1}(t_1, \rho)^{-1},\ \rho_n(t_1) < \rho < t_1^{n-1},\ 0 < t_1 < 1\} \quad (n \geqq 2)\ ,$$

$$F^+(n) = \{\tau \mid t_2^{1/2} = t_{2,n}(t_1, \rho),\ \rho_n(t_1) < \rho < t_1^{-n},\ 0 < t_1 < 1\} \quad (n \geqq 1)\ ,$$

$$F^+(-n) = \{\tau \mid t_2^{1/2} = t_{2,n}(t_1, \rho)^{-1},\ t_1^{n} < \rho < \rho_{-n}(t_1),\ 0 < t_1 < 1\} \quad (n \geqq 1)\ ,$$

$$F_0 = \{\tau \mid 0 < t_1 < 1,\ 0 < t_2 < 1,\ \rho = 1\}\ .$$

3.3. We now inductively introduce many surfaces from $F^+(n)$ and $F^-(n)$. For $n_0 = 1, 2, 3, \cdots$ and $m_0 = 2, 3, \cdots$, we let

$$F^+(1, n_0) = N_2(F^+(n_0)) \quad (\text{resp. } F^+(-1, -n_0) = N_2(F^+(-n_0)))\ ,$$

and

$$F^-(1, m_0) = N_2(F^-(m_0)) \quad (\text{resp. } F^-(-1, -m_0) = N_2(F^-(-n_0)))\ ,$$

respectively, where N_2 is the automorphism defined in §2.

For $n = 2, 3, \cdots$, $n_0 = 1, 2, \cdots$ and $m_0 = 2, 3, \cdots$, we let

$$F^+(n, n_0) = N_3^{n-1}(F^+(1, n_0))\ , \quad F^+(-n, -n_0) = N_3^{-(n-1)}(F^+(-1, -n_0)),$$

$$F^-(n, m_0) = N_3^{n-1}(F^-(1, m_0)) \quad \text{and} \quad F^-(-n, -m_0) = N_3^{-(n-1)}(F^-(-1, -m_0))\ ,$$

where N_3 is the automorphism defined in §2.

Inductively, we now define: For $n = 2, 3, \cdots$, we let

$$F^+(1, n_k, \cdots, n_1, n_0) = N_2(F^+(n_k, \cdots, n_1, n_0)) ,$$
$$F^+(n, n_k, \cdots, n_1, n_0) = N_3^{n-1}(F^+(1, n_k, \cdots, n_1, n_0))$$
$$\text{for} \quad n_j \geqq 1 \ (0 \leqq j \leqq k) ;$$
$$F^-(1, n_k, \cdots, n_1, n_0) = N_2(F^-(n_k, \cdots, n_1, n_0)) ,$$
$$F^-(n, n_k, \cdots, n_1, n_0) = N_3^{n-1}(F^-(1, n_k, \cdots, n_1, n_0))$$
$$\text{for} \quad n_0 \geqq 2 \quad \text{and} \quad n_j \geqq 1 \ (1 \leqq j \leqq k) ;$$
$$F^+(-1, -n_k, \cdots, -n_1, -n_0) = N_2(F^+(-n_k, \cdots, -n_1, -n_0))$$
$$F^+(-n, -n_k, \cdots, -n_1, -n_0) = N_3^{-(n-1)}(F^+(-1, -n_k, \cdots, -n_1, -n_0))$$
$$\text{for} \quad n_j \geqq 1 \ (0 \leqq j \leqq k) ;$$
$$F^-(-1, -n_k, \cdots, -n_1, -n_0) = N_2(F^-(-n_k, \cdots, -n_1, -n_0))$$
$$F^-(-n, -n_k, \cdots, -n_1, -n_0) = N_3^{-(n-1)}(F^-(-1, -n_k, \cdots, -n_1, -n_0))$$
$$\text{for} \quad n_0 \geqq 2 \quad \text{and} \quad n_j \geqq 1 \ (1 \leqq j \leqq k) .$$

REMARKS. (1) $F^+(n, 1) = F^+(n)$ and $F^+(-n, -1) = F^+(-n)$ for $n = 1, 2, \cdots$.

(2) $F^-(n, 1) = F^-(n)$ and $F^-(-n, -1) = F^-(n)$ for $n = 2, 3, \cdots$.

3.4. We have the following Lemmas 3.1, 3.2, 3.3 and 3.4 by Proposition 2.1 and straightforward calculations.

LEMMA 3.1. *Let N_1 be the automorphism defined in* §2.

(1) $N_1(F^+(n_k, \cdots, n_1, n_0)) = F^+(-n_k, \cdots, -n_1, -n_0)$ *for* $n_j = \pm 1, \pm 2, \cdots \ (0 \leqq j \leqq k)$.

(2) $N_1(F^-(n_k, \cdots, n_1, n_0)) = F^-(-n_k, \cdots, -n_1, -n_0)$ *for* $n_0 = \pm 2, \pm 3, \cdots$ *and* $n_j = \pm 1, \pm 2, \cdots \ (1 \leqq j \leqq k)$.

(3) $N_1(F_0) = F_0$.

LEMMA 3.2. *Let N_3 be the automorphism defined in* §2.

(1) $N_3(F^+(n_0)) = F^+(n_0 + 1)$ *for* $n_0 \geqq 1$,
$N_3(F^+(n_k, n_{k-1}, \cdots, n_0)) = F^+(n_k + 1, n_{k-1}, \cdots, n_0)$ *for* $n_k \geqq 1$, $n_j \geqq 2 \ (0 \leqq j \leqq k-1)$, $k \geqq 1$.

(2) $N_3(F^+(-n_k, -n_{k-1}, \cdots, -n_0)) = F^+(-n_k + 1, -n_{k-1}, \cdots, -n_0)$ *for* $n_j \geqq 2 \ (0 \leqq j \leqq k)$.

(3) $N_3(F^-(n_0)) = F^-(n_0 + 1)$ *for* $n_0 \geqq 2$,
$N_3(F^-(n_k, n_{k-1}, \cdots, n_0)) = F^-(n_k + 1, n_{k-1}, \cdots, n_0)$ *for* $n_k \geqq 1$, $n_j \geqq 2 \ (0 \leqq j \leqq k-1)$, $k \geqq 1$.

(4) $N_3(F^-(-n_0)) = F^-(-n_0 + 1)$ *for* $n_0 \geqq 3$,
$N_3(F^-(-n_k, -n_{k-1}, \cdots, -n_0)) = F^-(-n_k + 1, -n_{k-1}, \cdots, -n_0)$ *for* $n_j \geqq 2 \ (0 \leqq j \leqq k)$, $k \geqq 1$.

LEMMA 3.3. *Let N_3 be automorphism defined in* §2.

(1) $N_3^{-1}(F^+(1)) = \{(t_1, 1, 1) | 0 < t_1 < 1\}$.
(2) $N_3^{-1}(F^-(2)) = \{(t_1, 1, 1) | 0 < t_1 < 1\}$.
(3) $N_3(F^+(-1)) = \{(t_1, 1, 1) | 0 < t_1 < 1\}$.
(4) $N_3(F^-(-2)) = \{(t_1, 1, 1) | 0 < t_1 < 1\}$.
(5) $N_3(F_0) = \{(t_1, 0, 1/t_1) | 0 < t_1 < 1\}$.
(6) $N_3^{-1}(F_0) = \{(t_1, 0, t_1) | 0 < t_1 < 1\}$.

LEMMA 3.4. *Let N_3 be the automorphism defined in* §2.
(1) $N_3(F^+(-1, -2)) = F^+(1, 2)$,
$N_3(F^+(-1, -n_0)) = F^+(1, 2, n_0 - 1)$ $(n_0 \geqq 3)$.
(2) $N_3(F^+(-1, -2, -n_{k-2}, \cdots, -n_0)) = F^+(1, n_{k-2} + 1, n_{k-3}, \cdots, n_0)$,
$N_3(F^+(-1, -n_{k-1}, -n_{k-2}, \cdots, -n_0)) = F^+(1, 2, n_{k-1} - 1, n_{k-2}, \cdots, n_0)$
$(n_{k-1} \geqq 3)$
(3) $N_3(F^-(-1, -2)) = \{(t_1, 1, 1) | 0 < t_1 < 1\}$,
$N_3(F^-(-1, -n_0)) = F^-(1, 2, n_0 - 1)$ $(n_0 \geqq 3)$.
(4) $N_3(F^-(-1, -2, -n_{k-2}, \cdots, -n_0)) = F^-(1, n_{k-2} + 1, n_{k-3}, \cdots, n_0)$,
$N_3(F^-(-1, -n_{k-1}, -n_{k-2}, \cdots, -n_0)) = F^-(1, 2, n_{k-1} - 1, n_{k-2}, \cdots, n_0)$
for $n_{k-1} \geqq 3$ $(k \geqq 2)$.

3.5. We denote by $M(1)$ (resp. $M(-1)$) the three-dimensional manifolds bounded by $H(1)$, $H(1, 1)$, $F^+(1)$ and F_0 (resp. $H(-1)$, $H(-1, -1)$, $F^+(-1)$ and F_0), and denote by $M(n)$ $(n = \pm 2, \pm 3, \cdots)$ the three-dimensional manifolds bounded by three surfaces $H(n)$, $F^+(n)$ and $F^-(n)$. Then we easily see the following lemmas.

LEMMA 3.5. *If $(t_1, t_2, \rho) \in R_I\mathfrak{S}_2^{00}$, then $1 < \rho < 1/t_1$ or $t_1 < \rho < 1$ for each $0 < t_1 < 1$.*

LEMMA 3.6. *Let $(t_1, t_2, \rho) \in R_I\mathfrak{S}_2^{00}$. If $0 < t_1' \leqq t_1$ and $0 < t_2' \leqq t_2$, then $(t_1', t_2', \rho) \in R_I\mathfrak{S}_2^{00}$.*

From Proposition 3.1 and Lemmas 3.5 and 3.6, we have the following.

PROPOSITION 3.4. $R_I\mathfrak{S}_2^{00} = M(1) \cup M(-1)$.

3.6. We denote by $\boldsymbol{R}_I^3$ the set $\{(t_1, t_2, \rho) \in \boldsymbol{R}^3 | 0 < t_1 < 1,\ 0 < t_2 < 1,\ \rho > 0\}$. We denote by $M(n_k, n_{k-1}, \cdots, n_0)$ (resp. $M(-n_k, -n_{k-1}, -n_0)$) the three-dimensional manifolds in $\boldsymbol{R}_I^3$ bounded by $F^+(n_k, n_{k-1}, \cdots, n_0)$ and $F^-(n_k, n_{k-1}, \cdots, n_0)$ (resp. $F^+(-n_k, -n_{k-1}, \cdots, -n_0)$ and $F^-(-n_k, -n_{k-1}, \cdots, -n_0)$) for $n_k \geqq 1$ and $n_j \geqq 2$ $(0 \leqq j \leqq k - 1)$.

REMARK. *$M(n) = M(n, 1)$ and $M(-n) = M(-n, -1)$ for $n \geqq 2$.*

The following proposition follows from Lemmas 3.1, 3.2, 3.3 and 3.4.

PROPOSITION 3.5. *Let N_j $(j = 1, 2, 3)$ be the automorphisms defined*

in §2. *Then for either* $n_k \geqq 1$, $n_j \geqq 2$ $(0 \leqq j \leqq k-1)$, *or* $n_k \leqq -1$, $n_j \leqq -2$ $(0 \leqq j \leqq k-1)$,

(1) (i) $N_1(M(1)) = M(-1)$, $N_1(M(-1)) = M(1)$,

(ii) $N_1(M(n_k, n_{k-1}, \cdots, n_0)) = M(-n_k, -n_{k-1}, \cdots, -n_0)$ $(k \geqq 1)$;

(2) $N_2(M(n_k, n_{k-1}, \cdots, n_0)) = \begin{cases} M(\pm 1, n_k, n_{k-1}, \cdots, n_0) & \text{if } n_k \neq \pm 1 \\ M(n_{k-1}, n_{k-2}, \cdots, n_0) & \text{if } n_k = \pm 1, \end{cases}$

(3) (i) $N_3(M(n_k, n_{k-1}, \cdots, n_0)) = M(n_k + 1, n_{k-1}, \cdots, n_0)$ *if* $n_k \geqq 1$ *or* $n_k \leqq -2$,

(ii) $N_3(M(-1, -2)) = M(1, 2)$,

$N_3(M(-1, -n_0)) = M(1, 2, n_0 - 1)$ *for* $n_0 \geqq 3$,

(iii) $N_3(M(-1, -2, -n_{k-2}, \cdots, -n_0)) = M(1, n_{k-2} + 1, n_{k-3}, \cdots, n_0)$ *for* $n_j \geqq 2$ $(0 \leqq j \leqq k-2)$, $k \geqq 2$,

$N_3(M(-1, -n_{k-1}, -n_{k-2}, \cdots, -n_0)) = M(1, 2, n_{k-1}-1, n_{k-2}, \cdots, n_0)$ *for* $n_{k-1} \geqq 3$, $n_j \geqq 2$ $(0 \leqq j \leqq k-2)$, $k \geqq 2$.

3.7. Noting that $R_{\mathrm{I}}\mathfrak{S}_2^0 = \cup\phi(\mathfrak{S}_2^{00}) = \cup\phi(M(1) \cup M(-1))$, where ϕ runs through $\mathrm{Mod}(\mathfrak{S}_2)$, we have the following theorem from Proposition 3.5 and Theorem A.

THEOREM 1.

$$R_{\mathrm{I}}\mathfrak{S}_2^0 = \bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty} \bigcup_{\substack{n_k \geqq 1 \\ n_j \geqq 2 (0 \leqq j \leqq k-1)}} (M(n_k, n_{k-1}, \cdots, n_0) \cup M(-n_k, -n_{k-1}, \cdots, -n_0)) .$$

PROBLEM. *It is well-known that the classical Schottky space does not coincide with the Schottky space* (*Marden* [6], *Zarrow* [16]). *Thus we could ask*: *Does the real classical Stottky space* $R_k\mathfrak{S}_2^0$ *of the k-th type* (k = I, II, ···, VIII) *coincide with the real Schottky space* $R_k\mathfrak{S}_2$ *of the k-th type*?

From the above theorem and Purzitsky [9, Theorem 3], the answer to the problem is affirmative for the first type.

COROLLARY 1. $R_{\mathrm{I}}\mathfrak{S}_2^0 = R_{\mathrm{I}}\mathfrak{S}_2$.

REMARK. An example due to Zarrow [16, p. 721] shows that the answer to the problem is negative for the second type. However, recently, we showed that the example constructed by Zarrow turns out to be a classical Schottky group (cf. [16]). We have reasons to believe that $R_{\mathrm{II}}\mathfrak{S}_2^0$, $R_{\mathrm{V}}\mathfrak{S}_2^0$ and $R_{\mathrm{VII}}\mathfrak{S}_2^0$ coincide with $R_{\mathrm{II}}\mathfrak{S}_2$, $R_{\mathrm{V}}\mathfrak{S}_2$ and $R_{\mathrm{VII}}\mathfrak{S}_2$, respectively (cf. [15]).

From Theorem 1, Corollary 1 to Theorem 1 and remarks after Proposition 3.2 we have the following.

COROLLARY 2. *Let* $G = \langle A_1, A_2 \rangle$ *be a purely loxodromic discrete group*

such that $t_1 > 0$, $t_2 > 0$ *and* $\rho > 0$, *where* (t_1, t_2, ρ) *is the point in* $D^{*2} \times (\boldsymbol{C} - \{0, 1\})$ *corresponding to* $\langle A_1, A_2 \rangle$. *Then the following valids.*

(1) *If* $t_1 \geqq c_1$ *and* $t_2 \geqq c_2$ *for some positive constants* c_1 *and* c_2, *then* $1/c \leqq \rho \leqq c$ *for a positive constant* c *with* $c > 1$ *depending on* c_1 *and* c_2.

(2) *If* $t_1 \geqq c_1$ *for some positive constant* c_1 *and if* $\rho \geqq c$ *or* $\rho \leqq 1/c$ *for some constant* $c > 1$, *then* $0 < t_2 \leqq c_2$ *for a constant* c_2 $(0 < c_2 < 1)$ *depending on* c_1 *and* c.

4. The first type—Fundamental regions.

4.1. In this section we will determine fundamental regions for $[\Phi_2]$ and $\mathrm{Mod}(\mathfrak{S}_2)$ acting on $R_{\mathrm{I}}\mathfrak{S}_2^0$. Throughout this section let N_j $(j = 1, 2, 3)$ be the automorphisms defined in §2.

We set

$$T_{2,n}(t_1, \rho) = (1 - \rho t_1^{(2n-1)/2})/(\rho - t_1^{(2n-1)/2})$$
$$\text{for} \quad 1/t_1^{n-1} \leqq \rho \leqq 1/t_1^n\,, \quad 0 < t_1 < 1 \quad (n = 1, 2, \cdots)$$

and

$$T_{2,-n}(t_1, \rho) = (\rho - t_1^{(2n-1)/2})/(1 - \rho t_1^{(2n-1)/2})$$
$$\text{for} \quad t_1^n \leqq \rho \leqq t_1^{n-1}\,, \quad 0 < t_1 < 1 \quad (n = 1, 2, \cdots)\,.$$

We have the following proposition by straightforward computations.

PROPOSITION 4.1. *Fix* t_1 *with* $0 < t_1 < 1$.

(1) *Let* $1/t_1^{n-1} \leqq \rho \leqq 1/t_1^n$ $(n = 1, 2, \cdots)$. *If* $t_2 = T_{2,n}(t_1, \rho)$, *then* $\langle A_1, A_2 \rangle$ *is equal to* $\langle A_1, A_1^{2n-1}A_2 \rangle$ *as marked groups. The points* $P(n) = (t_1, t_{2,n}(t_1), \rho_n(t_1))$ *defined in* §3 *and* $(t_1, 0, t_1^{-(2n-1)/2})$ *lie on the curve* $t_2 = T_{2,n}(t_1, \rho)$.

(2) *Let* $t_1^n \leqq \rho \leqq t_1^{n-1}$ $(n = 1, 2, \cdots)$. *If* $t_2 = T_{2,-n}(t_1, \rho)$, *then* $\langle A_1, A_2 \rangle$ *is equal to* $\langle A_1, A_1^{2n-1}A_2 \rangle$ *as marked groups. The points* $P(-n) = (t_1, t_{2,-n}(t_1), \rho_{-n}(t_1))$ *defined in* §3 *and* $(t_1, 0, t_1^{2n-1})$ *lie on the curve* $t_2 = T_{2,-n}(t_1, \rho)$.

By Lemma 3.1 (3), the set of invariant points in $R_{\mathrm{I}}\mathfrak{S}_2$ under the mapping N_1 is the surface F_0 defined in §2. By Lemma 2.1 (2) we easily see the following.

PROPOSITION 4.2. *The set of invariant points in* $R_{\mathrm{I}}\mathfrak{S}_2$ *under the mapping* N_2 *is the surface*

$$\{(t_1, t_2, \rho) \in R_{\mathrm{I}}\mathfrak{S}_2 \,|\, t_1 = t_2,\ 0 < t_1 < 1,\ \rho > 0\}\,.$$

We introduce the following sets in $\boldsymbol{R}_{\mathrm{I}}^3$: For $n = 1, 2, \cdots$, we set

$$L(n) = \{(t_1, t_2, \rho) \in \boldsymbol{R}_{\mathrm{I}}^3 \,|\, t_2 = T_{2,n}(t_1, \rho),\ \rho_n(t_1) \leqq \rho < 1/t_1^n,\ 0 < t_1 < 1\}$$

and

$$L(-n) = \{(t_1, t_2, \rho) \in \boldsymbol{R}_1^3 \mid t_2 = T_{2,-n}(t_1, \rho),\ t_1^n \leqq \rho \leqq \rho_{-n}(t_1),\ 0 < t_1 < 1\}\ ,$$

where $\boldsymbol{R}_1^3$ is as defined in §3.6. Then we easily see the following by Lemma 2.1 (1) and (3).

PROPOSITION 4.3. (1) $N_1(L(n)) = L(-n)$ *for* $n = \pm 1, \pm 2, \cdots$.
(2) $N_3(L(n)) = L(n+1)$ *for* $n = 1, 2, \cdots$ *or* $n = -2, -3, \cdots$.
(3) $N_3(L(-1)) = L(1)$.

REMARK. For a constant c, we set

$$D_c = \{(t_1, t_2, \rho) \in R_1\mathfrak{S}_2 \mid 1 < \rho < 1/t_1,\ 0 < t_1 < 1,\ t_2 = c\}\ .$$

Then the limit as $c \to 1$ of the images of D_c under N_3 is the set $F^-(2)$ defined in §3.

4.2. Now we will determine fundamental regions for $[\Phi_2]$ and $\mathrm{Mod}(\mathfrak{S}_2)$ acting on $R_1\mathfrak{S}_2$. We set

$$S = N_1N_3N_1 \quad \text{and} \quad T = N_1N_2\ .$$

Then S and T are elements in $\mathrm{Mod}(\mathfrak{S}_2)$. We easily see that $T^2 \sim 1$ and $(TS)^3 = 1$, where $T^2 \sim 1$ means that T^2 is equivalent to the identity mapping (see Definition 2.1). We introduce $\rho(t_1, t_2)$ for $0 < t_1 < 1$ and $0 < t_2 < 1$ as follows:

$$\rho(t_1, t_2) = (1 + t_1^{1/2}t_2)/(t_1^{1/2} + t_2)\ .$$

REMARK. $T_{2,1}(t_1, \rho(t_1, t_2)) = t_2$ and $\rho(t_1, T_{2,1}(t_1, \rho)) = \rho$.

THEOREM 2. *Set*

$$F_1([\Phi_2]) = \{(t_1, t_2, \rho) \in R_1\mathfrak{S}_2 \mid 1 < \rho < \rho(t_1, t_2),\ 0 < t_2 < t_1,\ 0 < t_1 < 1\}$$

$$F_1(\mathrm{Mod}(\mathfrak{S}_2)) = F_1([\Phi_2]) \cup N_1(F_1([\Phi_2]))$$
$$= \{(t_1, t_2, \rho) \in R_1\mathfrak{S}_2 \mid \rho(t_1, t_2)^{-1} < \rho < \rho(t_1, t_2),\ \rho \neq 1,\ 0 < t_2 < t_1,\ 0 < t_1 < 1\}\ .$$

Then $F_1([\Phi_2])$ *and* $F_1(\mathrm{Mod}(\mathfrak{S}_2))$ *are fundamental regions for* $[\Phi_2]$ *and* $\mathrm{Mod}(\mathfrak{S}_2)$ *acting on* $R_1\mathfrak{S}_2$, *respectively.*

We obtain the proof by combining Theorem 3 and Proposition 4.4 which follow.

4.3. LEMMA 4.1. $N_1^2 = 1$, $N_2^2 = 1$, $N_1N_2 \sim N_2N_1$, $N_1N_3N_1N_3 \sim 1$ *and* $S \sim N_3^{-1}$.

This lemma and the following lemma come from straightforward calculations.

LEMMA 4.2. $N_1N_3^nN_1 = S^n$, $N_1N_3^nN_2 = S^nT$, $N_2N_3^nN_1 \sim TS^n$ *and* $N_2N_3^nN_2 \sim TS^nT$ *for each integer* n.

Let $A, B, C, \cdots$ be distinct Möbius transformations. A word W in $A, B, C, \cdots$ is a finite sequence

$$f_1 f_2 f_3 \cdots f_{m-1} f_m ,$$

where each of the $f_k (k = 1, 2, \cdots, m)$ is one of the transformations $A, B, C, \cdots, A^{-1}, B^{-1}, C^{-1}, \cdots$. The length $L(W)$ of W is the integer m. For convenience we introduce the empty word 1 of length zero. If we wish to exhibit the transformations involved in W, then we write $W(A, B, C, \cdots)$.

By Theorem A in §2, an element ψ in $\mathrm{Mod}(\mathfrak{S}_2)$ is represented in one of the following seven ways: (1) $\psi = W(N_1) = N_1^{2n}$, $n \in \boldsymbol{Z}$; (2) $\psi = W(N_2) = N_2^{2n}$, $n \in \boldsymbol{Z}$; (3) $\psi = W(N_3) = N_3^n$, $n \in \boldsymbol{Z}$; (4) $\psi = W(N_1, N_2)$ where $L(W(N_1, N_2))$ is even and $\psi \neq W(N_1), W(N_2)$; (5) $\psi = W(N_1, N_3)$ where the number of $N_1^{\pm 1}$ in $W(N_1, N_3)$ is even and $\psi \neq W(N_1), W(N_3)$; (6) $\psi = (N_2, N_3)$ where the number of $N_2^{\pm 1}$ in $W(N_2, N_3)$ is even and $\psi \neq W(N_2), W(N_3)$; (7) $\psi = W(N_1, N_2, N_3)$, where the sum of the number of $N_1^{\pm 1}$ and $N_2^{\pm 1}$ in $W(N_1, N_2, N_3)$ is even and $W(N_1, N_2, N_3)$ is neither of (1) through (6) above.

THEOREM 3. *The Schottky modular group* $\mathrm{Mod}(\mathfrak{S}_2)$ *is generated by* S *and* T, *that is,*

$$\mathrm{Mod}(\mathfrak{S}_2) = \langle T, S \mid T^2 \sim 1, (TS)^3 = 1 \rangle .$$

PROOF. We will show that $\psi \in \mathrm{Mod}(\mathfrak{S}_2)$ is represented by T and S in each of the above seven cases.

Case (1). Since $\psi = N_1^{2n}$ and $N_1^2 = 1$, we have $\psi = 1$.

Case (2). For the same reason as in Case (1), $\psi = 1$.

Case (3). Since $N_3 \sim S^{-1}$, we have $\psi = W(N_3) = N_3^n = S^{-n}$.

Case (4). From Lemma 4.1, we see that $\psi = N_1 N_2 = T$, $\psi = N_2 N_1 \sim T$, or $\psi = 1$.

Case (5). In this case we have four subcases: (i) $\psi = N_1 N_3^{n_1} N_1 \cdots N_1 N_3^{n_k} N_1$, (ii) $\psi = N_1 N_3^{n_1} N_1 \cdots N_1 N_3^{n_k}$, (iii) $\psi = N_3^{n_1} N_1 \cdots N_1 N_3^{n_k} N_1$, (iv) $\psi = N_3^{n_1} N_1 \cdots N_1 N_3^{n_k}$. Since the number of N_1 is even, we have $\psi = W(N_1, N_3) \sim S^m$ for some integer m in each case by Lemmas 4.1 and 4.2.

Case (6). Similarly as in Case (5), we have four subcases:

(i) $\psi = N_2 N_3^{n_1} N_2 \cdots N_2 N_3^{n_k} N_2 \sim (TS^{n_1}T)S^{-n_2}(TS^{n_3}T) \cdots S^{n_{k-1}}(TS^{n_k}T)$,

(ii) $\psi = N_2 N_3^{n_1} N_2 \cdots N_3^{n_k} \sim (TS^{n_1}T)S^{-n_2} \cdots (TS^{n_{k-1}}T)S^{-n_k}$,

(iii) $\psi = N_3^{n_1} N_2 \cdots N_2 N_3^{n_k} N_2 \sim S^{-n_1}(TS^{n_2}T)S^{-n_2} \cdots (TS^{n_k}T)$, and

(iv) $\psi = N_3^{n_1} N_2 N_3^{n_2} \cdots N_2 N_3^{n_k} \sim S^{-n_1}(TS^{n_2}T)S^{-n_3} \cdots (TS^{n_{k-1}}T)S^{-n_k}$.

Case (7). We have the following four subcases:

(i)$_k$ $\psi = U_1 N_3^{n_1} U_2 N_3^{n_2} U_3 \cdots U_k N_3^{n_k} U_{k+1}$,

(ii)$_k$ $\psi = U_1 N_3^{n_1} U_2 N_3^{n_2} U_3 \cdots U_k N_3^{n_k}$,

(iii)$_k$ $\psi = N_3^{n_1} U_1 N_3^{n_2} U_2 \cdots U_{k-1} N_3^{n_k} U_k$, and

$(\mathrm{iv})_k$ $\psi = N_3^{n_1} U_1 N_3^{n_2} U_2 \cdots U_k N_3^{n_{k+1}}$,

where U_i $(i = 1, 2, \cdots, k+1)$ are words $W_i(N_1, N_2)$ in N_1 and N_2 such that $\sum_{i=1}^{k} L(W_i(N_1, N_2))$ is even.

By induction we will show that $\psi = W(N_1, N_2, N_3)$ is represented by S and T. First we note that $N_1N_2 \sim N_2N_1$. For $k = 1$,

$(\mathrm{i})_1$ $\psi = U_1 N_3^{n_1} U_2$. There are three possibilities: $\psi = N_1 N_3^{n_1} N_2 = S^{n_1} T$; $\psi = N_2 N_3^{n_1} N_1 \sim T S^{n_1}$; $\psi \sim N_1 N_2 N_3^{n_1} N_1 N_2 \sim T S^{-n_1} T$.

$(\mathrm{ii})_1$ $\psi = U_1 N_3^{n_1} \sim N_1 N_2 N_3^{n_1} \sim T S^{-n_1}$.

$(\mathrm{iii})_1$ $\psi = N_3^{n_1} U_2 \sim N_3^{n_1} N_1 N_2 \sim S^{-n_1} T$.

$(\mathrm{iv})_1$ $\psi = N_3^{n_1} U_1 N_3^{n_2} \sim N_3^{n_1} N_1 N_2 N_3^{n_2} = S^{-n_1} T S^{-n_2}$.

We assume that in each of $(\mathrm{i})_j$ through $(\mathrm{iv})_j$ $(j = 1, 2, \cdots, k)$ ψ is represented by S and T, that is, $\psi = W_{j,l}(S, T)$ $(j = 1, 2, \cdots, k;\ l = 1, 2, 3, 4)$, where the latter numbers 1, 2, 3, 4 correspond to i, ii, iii, iv, respectively. Then we will show that in each of $(\mathrm{i})_{k+1}$ through $(\mathrm{iv})_{k+1}$, ψ is represented by S and T.

$(\mathrm{i})_{k+1}$

$$\psi = \begin{cases} (U_1 N_3^{n_1} U_2 \cdots U_k N_3^{n_k} U_{k+1})(N_3^{n_{k+1}} U_{k+2}) & \text{for } U_{k+2} = N_1N_2 \text{ or } N_2N_1 \\ (U_1 N_3^{n_1} U_2 \cdots U_k N_3^{n_k} U_{k+1,1})(U_{k+1,2} N_3^{n_{k+1}} U_{k+2}) & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where $U_{k+1,1} U_{k+1,2} = U_{k+1}$ and $L(U_{k+1,2})$ is odd. In the first case $\psi = W_{k,1}(S, T) W_{1,3}(S, T)$ and in the second case $\psi = W_{k,1}(S, T) W_{1,1}(S, T)$.

$(\mathrm{ii})_{k+1}$

$$\psi = \begin{cases} (U_1 N_3^{n_1} U_2 \cdots U_k N_3^{n_k})(U_{k+1} N_3^{n_{k+1}}) & \text{for } U_{k+1} = N_1N_2 \text{ or } N_2N_1. \\ (U_1 N_3^{n_1} U_2 \cdots U_k N_3^{n_k} U_{k+1,1})(U_{k+1,2} N_3^{n_{k+1}}) & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where $U_{k+1,1} U_{k+1,2} = U_{k+1}$, and $L(U_{k+1,1}) = 1$ and $L(U_{k+1,2}) = 2$. In the first case $\psi = W_{k,2}(S, T) W_{1,2}(S, T)$ and in the second case $\psi = W_{k,1}(S, T) W_{1,2}(S, T)$.

$(\mathrm{iii})_{k+1}$

$$\psi = \begin{cases} (N_3^{n_1} U_1 \cdots U_{k-1} N_3^{n_k} U_k)(N_3^{n_{k+1}} U_{k+1}) & \text{for } U_{k+1} = N_1N_2 \text{ or } N_2N_1 \\ (N_3^{n_1} U_1 \cdots U_{k-1} N_3^{n_k} U_{k,1})(U_{k,2} N_3^{n_{k+1}} U_{k+1}) & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where $U_{k,1} U_{k,2} = U_k$ and $L(U_{k,2})$ is odd. In the first case $\psi = W_{k,3}(S, T) W_{1,3}(S, T)$ and in the second case $\psi = W_{k,3}(S, T) W_{1,1}(S, T)$.

$(\mathrm{iv})_{k+1}$

$$\psi = \begin{cases} (N_3^{n_1} U_1 \cdots U_k N_3^{n_{k+1}})(U_{k+1} N_3^{n_{k+2}}) & \text{for } U_{k+1} = N_1N_2 \text{ or } N_2N_1 \\ (N_3^{n_1} U_1 \cdots U_k N_3^{n_{k+1}} U_{k+1}) N_3^{n_{k+2}} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

In the first case $\psi = W_{k,4}(S, T) W_{1,2}(S, T)$ and in the second case $\psi = W_{k,3}(S, T) S^{-n_{k+2}}$. Our proof is now complete.

4.4. The boundary of $F_1(\mathrm{Mod}(\mathfrak{S}_2))$ consists of the following seven surfaces B_1 through B_7: We recall the terminology $\rho(t_1, t_2)$ introduced in §4.2 and we set

$$B_1 = \{(t_1, t_2, \rho) \in \boldsymbol{R}^3 \mid \rho = \rho(t_1, t_2),\ 0 < t_2 \leqq t_1,\ 0 < t_1 < 1\},$$
$$B_2 = \{(t_1, t_2, \rho) \in \boldsymbol{R}^3 \mid \rho = \rho(t_1, t_2)^{-1},\ 0 < t_2 \leqq t_1,\ 0 < t_1 < 1\},$$
$$B_3 = \{(t_1, t_2, \rho) \in \boldsymbol{R}^3 \mid 1 < \rho \leqq \rho(t_1, t_2),\ t_2 = t_1,\ 0 < t_1 < 1\},$$
$$B_4 = \{(t_1, t_2, \rho) \in \boldsymbol{R}^3 \mid \rho(t_1, t_2)^{-1} \leqq \rho < 1,\ t_2 = t_1,\ 0 < t_1 < 1\},$$
$$B_5 = \{(t_1, t_2, \rho) \in \boldsymbol{R}^3 \mid 0 < t_2 \leqq t_1,\ 0 < t_1 < 1,\ \rho = 1\},$$
$$B_6 = \{(t_1, t_2, \rho) \in \boldsymbol{R}^3 \mid 1 < \rho \leqq t_1^{-1/2},\ 0 < t_1 < 1,\ t_2 = 0\},$$

and

$$B_7 = \{(t_1, t_2, \rho) \in \boldsymbol{R}^3 \mid t_1^{1/2} \leqq \rho < 1,\ 0 < t_1 < 1,\ t_2 = 0\}.$$

REMARKS. (1) B_1, B_2, B_3 and B_4 are in the interior of $R_1\mathfrak{S}_2$.
(2) B_5, B_6 and B_7 are on the boundary of $R_1\mathfrak{S}_2$.

We easily see the following by Lemma 2.1.

PROPOSITION 4.4. (1) $S(B_1) = B_2$ *and* $T(B_3) = B_4$.
(2) $S(B_6) = B_7$.
(3) $T(B_5) = \{(t_1, t_2, \rho) \in \boldsymbol{R}^3 \mid t_1 \leqq t_2 < 1,\ 0 < t_1 < 1,\ \rho = 1\}$.

5. The first type—Riemann surfaces.

5.1. The boundary of the Schottky space consists of the following two kinds of points (cf. Rodriguez [11]):

(1) marked Möbius transformation groups which are limits of marked Schottky groups;

(2) points which represent Riemann surfaces with nodes.

For (1), every boundary group G is discrete (Chuckrow [2]) and either G is a cusp or $\Omega(G) = \emptyset$ (Rodriguez [11]), where $\Omega(G)$ is the region of discontinuity of G. For (2), Bers [1] studied compact Riemann surfaces with non-dividing nodes, and Sato [12], [13] studied Riemann surfaces with non-dividing nodes and dividing nodes corresponding to points on the augmented Schottky space. Furthermore Rodriguez [10], [11] studied relationship between Schottky-type groups and Riemann surfaces with nodes and cusps. In this section we will consider the following problem: Which Riemann surface does a point on the closure of $R_1\mathfrak{S}_2$ represent?

DEFINITION 5.1. A Riemann surface with nodes is a complex space each point P of which has a neighborhood isomorphic either to a disk $|z| < 1$ in $\boldsymbol{C}$ (with P corresponding to $z = 0$) or to the set $|z| < 1$,

$|w| < 1$, $zw = 0$ in C^2 (with P corresponding to $z = w = 0$). In the latter case, P is called a *node*.

PROPOSITION 5.1. *A point in $\mathfrak{S}_2(\Sigma_0)$ represents a compact Riemann surface of genus two without nodes.*

This proposition is well-known.

PROPOSITION 5.2 (cf. Sato [12]). *A point on the surface $F_0 = \{(t_1, t_2, 1) | 0 < t_1 < 1, 0 < t_2 < 1\}$ represents a compact Riemann surface of genus two with one dividing node.*

PROPOSITION 5.3 (cf. [12]). *A point on the surfaces $H(n)$, $H(-n)$, $H(1, n)$ and $H(-1, -n)$ defined in §3.2 represents a compact Riemann surface of genus two with one non-dividing node.*

PROPOSITION 5.4 (cf. [12]). *A point on the set $\{(t_1, 0, 1) | 0 < t_1 < 1\}$ and $\{(0, t_2, 1) | 0 < t_2 < 1\}$ represents a compact Riemann surface of genus two with one dividing node and one non-dividing node.*

PROPOSITION 5.5 (cf. [12]). *A point on the set $\{(0, 0, \rho) | 0 < \rho, \rho \neq 1\}$ represents a compact Riemann surface of genus two with two non-dividing nodes.*

PROPOSITION 5.6 (cf. [12]). *A point $(0, 0, 1)$ represents a compact Riemann surface of genus two with one dividing node and two non-dividing nodes.*

5.2. Throughout this section, let $p > 1$ and $c > 0$. We set

$$\hat{A}(z) = \{(pc + 1)z - p^2c\}/\{cz - (pc - 1)\} .$$

Then we have the following Lemmas 5.1, 5.2 and Corollary by straightforward computations.

LEMMA 5.1. *$\hat{A}$ is a parabolic transformation whose fixed point is $z = p$.*

LEMMA 5.2. *Let $x > 0$.* (1) *The minimum value of $A(x)/x$ is $((pc + 1)/(pc - 1))^2$, which is attained at the point $x = p(pc - 1)/(pc + 1)$.*
(2) *$\hat{A}(p(pc - 1)/(pc + 1)) = p(pc + 1)/(pc - 1)$.*

COROLLARY. *Set*

$$C_2: |z - p^2c/(pc + 1)| = p/(pc + 1)$$

and

$$C_4: |z - p^2c/(pc - 1)| = p/(pc - 1) .$$

Then the circle C_2 touches the circle C_4 at the point $z = p$, and $\hat{A}(C_2) = C_4$.

Let A_1 and A_2 be the Möbius transformations as in §3.1. Then

$$A_1^{-1}A_2(z) = \{t_1(\rho - t_2)z + t_1\rho(t_2 - 1)\}/\{(1 - t_2)z + \rho t_2 - 1\} .$$

LEMMA 5.3. *Let* $t_2^{1/2} = (\rho^{1/2}t_1^{1/2} - 1)/(\rho^{1/2} - t_1^{1/2})$ $(1 < \rho \leqq \rho_2(t_1))$, *where* $\rho_2(t_2)$ *is as defined in* §3.1. *Then* (1) $A_1^{-1}A_2$ *is a parabolic transformation whose fixed point is* $t_1^{1/2}\rho^{1/2}$.

(2) *The minimum value of* $A_1^{-1}A_2(x)/x$ *for* $x > 0$ *is*

$$(t_1^{1/2}(\rho - 2t_1^{1/2}\rho^{1/2} + 1)/(2\rho^{1/2} - \rho t_1^{1/2} - t_1^{1/2}))^2$$

which is attained at $x = \rho^{1/2}(2\rho^{1/2} - \rho t_1^{1/2} - t_1^{1/2})/(\rho - 2t_1^{1/2}\rho^{1/2} + 1)$.

PROOF. This follows from Lemmas 5.1 and 5.2 by substituting $t_1^{1/2}\rho^{1/2}$ and $(1 - t_1)/t_1^{1/2}(\rho^{1/2}t_1^{1/2} - 1)(\rho^{1/2} - t_1^{1/2})$ for p and c, respectively. q.e.d.

LEMMA 5.4. *Let* p *and* c *be as in the proof of Lemma* 5.3. *Set*

$$\varepsilon = (p/(1 + t_1))\{(pc - 1)/(pc + 1) - t_1(pc + 1)/(pc - 1)\} .$$

Then (1) $A_1(p(pc - 1)/(pc + 1) - \varepsilon) = p(pc + 1)/(pc - 1) + \varepsilon$.

(2) $\varepsilon = 0$ *if and only if*

$$\rho^{1/2} = \{t_1^{3/2} + 1 + (1 - t_1)(1 + t_1)^{1/2}\}/t_1^{1/2}(t_1^{1/2} + 1) .$$

This lemma comes from straightforward computations.

COROLLARY. *Set*

$$C_1\colon |z| = p/(pc + 1) - \varepsilon$$

and

$$C_3\colon |z| = p/(pc - 1) + \varepsilon ,$$

where ε *is as in Lemma* 5.4. *Then* $A_1(C_1) = C_3$.

LEMMA 5.5. *Let* $G = \langle A_1, A_2\rangle$ *be a marked discontinuous group. Let* $\phi(G)$ *be the image of* G *under a mapping* ϕ *in* $\mathrm{Mod}(\mathfrak{S}_2)$. *Then* $\phi(G)$ *is a marked discontinuous group and represents the same Riemann surface as* G *does, that is,* $\Omega(\phi(G))/\phi(G)$ *is conformally equivalent to* $\Omega(G)/G$.

This lemma is well-known.

PROPOSITION 5.7. *A point on the surfaces* $F^+(1) - P(1)$, $F^+(-1) - P(-1)$ *and* $F^-(2) - P(2)$ *represents a Riemann surface of genus one with two punctures, where* $P(1)$, $P(-1)$ *and* $P(2)$ *are the sets defined in* §3.1.

PROOF. This proposition for $F^+(1) - P(1)$ and $F^+(-1) - P(-1)$ follows from Proposition 3.1. Therefore we will only prove it for $F^-(2) - P(2)$.

First we consider a marked group $\langle A_1, A_1^{-1}A_2\rangle$. Let C_1, C_2, C_3 and C_4 be the circles as in corollaries to Lemmas 5.2 and 5.4, where p and

c are as in the proof of Lemma 5.3, and ε is as in Lemma 5.4. Then by corollaries to Lemmas 5.2 and 5.4 we see that the region bounded by the four circles is a fundamental region for $\langle A_1, A_1^{-1}A_2\rangle$, and that the group $\langle A_1, A_1^{-1}A_2\rangle$ represents a Riemann surface of genus one with two punctures.

Next we consider a marked group $\langle A_1, A_2\rangle$. We easily see that $\langle A_1, A_2\rangle = N_3(\langle A_1, A_1^{-1}A_2\rangle)$. Hence by $N_3 \in \mathrm{Mod}(\mathfrak{S}_2)$, Lemma 5.5 and the first part of this proof, $\langle A_1, A_2\rangle$ represents a Riemann surface of genus one with two punctures. q.e.d.

From Proposition 5.7 and Lemma 5.5, we have the following:

COROLLARY. *Let $P(n)$ $(n = \pm 1, \pm 2, \cdots)$ be the sets defined in* §3.1. *Then a point on the surfaces $F^+(n_k, n_{k-1}, \cdots, n_0) - P(n_k)$ and $F^-(n_k, n_{k-1}, \cdots, n_0) - P(n_k)$ represents a Riemann surface of genus one with two punctures.*

PROPOSITION 5.8. *A point on the set $P(n)$ $(n = \pm 2, \pm 3, \cdots)$ defined in* §3.1 *represents a Riemann surface of genus zero with four punctures.*

PROOF. We only consider this proposition for $n = 2$, since the other cases follow from the case for $n = 2$ and Lemma 5.5. By Proposition 3.2,

$$\rho_2(t_1) = (t_1^{3/2} + 1 + (1 - t_1)(1 + t_1)^{1/2})/t_1^{1/2}(t_1^{1/2} + 1)$$

for $n = 2$. We easily see that both $A_1^{-1}A_2$ and $A_1^{-2}A_2$ are parabolic. Let C_1, C_2, C_3 and C_4 be the circles in the proof of Proposition 5.7 and let ε be the number in Lemma 5.4. Then by Lemma 5.4 we see that $\varepsilon = 0$. Therefore the circle C_2 touches the circles C_1 and C_4, and the circle C_3 touches the circle C_4. Hence $\langle A_1, A_1^{-1}A_2\rangle$ represents a Riemann surface of genus zero with four punctures. By Lemma 5.5, $\langle A_1, A_2\rangle$ represents the same Riemann surface as $\langle A_1, A_1^{-1}A_2\rangle$ does. Our proof is now complete.

5.3. We will consider which Riemann surfaces the other boundary points of $R_1\mathfrak{S}_2$ represent. In our coordinates (t_1, t_2, ρ), it is natural to consider for the problem as follows:

(1) A point $(t_1, 1, 1)$ $(0 < t_1 < 1)$ or $(1, t_2, 1)$ $(0 < t_2 < 1)$ represents a Riemann surface of genus one with two punctures and one dividing node.

(2) The points $(0, 1, 1)$ and $(1, 0, 1)$ represent Riemann surfaces of genus one with two punctures, one dividing node and one non-dividing node.

(3) The point $(1, 1, 1)$ represents a Riemann surface of genus zero with four punctures and one dividing node.

(4) A point $(t_1, 0, 1/t_1)$ $(0 < t_1 < 1)$ or $(0, t_2, 1/t_2)$ $(0 < t_2 < 1)$ represents a Riemann surface of genus one with one puncture.

6. The real classical Schottky space of the fourth type.

6.1. In this section we will determine the shape of the real classical Schottky space of the fourth type $R_{\mathrm{IV}}\mathfrak{S}_2^0$ and fundamental regions for $[\Phi_2]$ and $\mathrm{Mod}(\mathfrak{S}_2)$ acting on $R_{\mathrm{IV}}\mathfrak{S}_2^0$, and consider the relationship between points on the closure of $R_{\mathrm{IV}}\mathfrak{S}_2^0$ and Riemann surfaces. We denote by $\boldsymbol{R}_{\mathrm{IV}}^3$ the set $\{(t_1, t_2, \rho) \in \boldsymbol{R}^3 \mid 0 < t_1 < 1, 0 < t_2 < 1, \rho < 0\}$.

PROPOSITION 6.1. *Let* (t_1, t_2, ρ) *correspond to* $G = \langle A_1, A_2 \rangle$. *If*

$$(*) \qquad 2t_1^{1/2}t_2^{1/2}(1 - \rho) = (-\rho)^{1/2}(1 - t_1)(1 - t_2) ,$$

then $A_1A_2A_1^{-1}A_2^{-1}$ *is a parabolic transformation. Furthermore* G *is a discontinuous group and the union of two open sets bounded by the four circles* C_j: $|z - a_j| = r_j$ $(j = 1, 2, 3, 4)$ *is a fundamental region for* G, *where*

$$a_1 = -\rho(1 + \rho)(1 - t_2)^2(1 + t_1)/4(1 - \rho t_2)(t_2 - \rho) ,$$
$$r_1 = -\rho(1 + t_1)(1 - t_2^2)(1 - \rho)/4(1 - \rho t_2)(t_2 - \rho) ,$$
$$a_2 = \rho(1 + t_1)^2(t_2 - 1)/4t_1(t_2 - \rho) ,$$
$$r_2 = \rho(1 - t_1^2)(t_2 - 1)/4t_1(t_2 - \rho) ,$$
$$a_3 = a_1/t_1 , \quad r_3 = r_1/t_1 , \quad a_4 = \rho(1 + t_1)^2(1 - t_2)/4t_1(1 - \rho t_2) ,$$
$$r_4 = \rho(1 - t_1^2)(t_2 - 1)/4t_1(1 - \rho t_2) .$$

For given $0 < t_1 < 1$ and $\rho < 0$, we write $t_2^*(t_1, \rho)$ for t_2 $(0 < t_2 < 1)$ satisfying the above equation $(*)$. As in the proofs of Lemmas 3.5 and 3.6 and Proposition 3.4, we have the following.

PROPOSITION 6.2.

$$R_{\mathrm{IV}}\mathfrak{S}_2^{00} = \{(t_1, t_2, \rho) \in \boldsymbol{R}_{\mathrm{IV}}^3 \mid 0 < t_2 < t_2^*(t_1, \rho), 0 < t_1 < 1, \rho < 0\} .$$

THEOREM B (Nielsen [8]). *Let* $G = \langle A_1, A_2 \rangle$ *and let* $\tilde{A}_1$ *and* $\tilde{A}_2$ *be the images of* A_1 *and* A_2 *under any element of* Φ_2. *Then* $\tilde{A}_2^{-1}\tilde{A}_1^{-1}\tilde{A}_2\tilde{A}_1 = U(A_2^{-1}A_1^{-1}A_2A_1)^{\pm 1}U^{-1}$, *where* U *is a word in* A_1 *and* A_2.

From Theorem B, we have the following.

PROPOSITION 6.3. $R_{\mathrm{IV}}\mathfrak{S}_2^0 = R_{\mathrm{IV}}\mathfrak{S}_2^{00}$.

The following theorem follows from Proposition 6.3 and a result of Purzitsky [9, Theorem 2].

THEOREM 4. $R_{\mathrm{IV}}\mathfrak{S}_2 = R_{\mathrm{IV}}\mathfrak{S}_2^0 = R_{\mathrm{IV}}\mathfrak{S}_2^{00}$.

6.2. Throughout this section let N_j $(j = 1, 2, 3)$ be the automorphisms

defined in §2.1. The following Propositions 6.4 through 6.7 follow from Lemma 2.1.

PROPOSITION 6.4. *The set of invariant points in* $\boldsymbol{R}^3_{\mathrm{IV}}$ *under the mapping* N_1 *is* $\{(t_1, t_2, \rho) \in \boldsymbol{R}^3_{\mathrm{IV}} \mid 0 < t_1 < 1, 0 < t_2 < 1, \rho = -1\}$.

PROPOSITION 6.5. *The set of invariant points in* $R_{\mathrm{IV}}\mathfrak{S}_2$ *under the mapping* N_2 *is* $\{(t_1, t_2, \rho) \in R_{\mathrm{IV}}\mathfrak{S}_2 \mid \rho < 0, t_2 = t_1, 0 < t_1 < 1\}$.

We set $\rho^*(t_1, t_2) = (1 - t_1^{1/2}t_2)/(t_2 - t_1^{1/2})$ for $0 < t_1 < 1$ and $0 < t_2 < 1$.

PROPOSITION 6.6. *The set of invariant points in* $R_{\mathrm{IV}}\mathfrak{S}_2$ *under the mapping* N_3 *is* $B_8 = \{(t_1, t_2, \rho) \in R_{\mathrm{IV}}\mathfrak{S}_2 \mid \rho = \rho^*(t_1, t_2), \rho < 0, 0 < t_1 < 1, 0 < t_2 < 1\}$.

PROPOSITION 6.7. *The set of invariant points in* $R_{\mathrm{IV}}\mathfrak{S}_2$ *under the mapping* $(A_1, A_2) \to (A_1, A_1^{-1}A_2)$ *is* $B_9 = \{(t_1, t_2, \rho) \in R_{\mathrm{IV}}\mathfrak{S}_2 \mid \rho = \rho^*(t_1, t_2)^{-1}, \rho < 0, 0 < t_1 < 1, 0 < t_2 < 1\}$.

Now we will determine fundamental regions for $[\Phi_2]$ and $\mathrm{Mod}(\mathfrak{S}_2)$ acting on $R_{\mathrm{IV}}\mathfrak{S}_2$. As in §4, we set $S = N_1N_3N_1$ and $T = N_1N_2$. We set

$$B_{10} = \{(t_1, t_2, \rho) \in R_{\mathrm{IV}}\mathfrak{S}_2 \mid -1 < \rho < \rho^*(t_1, t_2)^{-1}, t_2 = t_1, 0 < t_1 < 1\} .$$

and

$$B_{11} = \{(t_1, t_2, \rho) \in R_{\mathrm{IV}}\mathfrak{S}_2 \mid \rho^*(t_1, t_2) < \rho < -1, t_2 = t_1, 0 < t_1 < 1\} .$$

PROPOSITION 6.8. $S(B_9) = B_8$ *and* $T(B_{10}) = B_{11}$.

From Proposition 6.8, we have the following.

THEOREM 5. *Set*

$$F_{\mathrm{IV}}(\mathrm{Mod}(\mathfrak{S}_2)) = \{(t_1, t_2, \rho) \in R_{\mathrm{IV}}\mathfrak{S}_2 \mid \rho^*(t_1, t_2) < \rho < \rho^*(t_1, t_2)^{-1}, \\ t_2 < t_1, 0 < t_2 < t_2^*(t_1, \rho), 0 < t_1 < 1\}$$

and

$$F_{\mathrm{IV}}([\Phi_2]) = \{(t_1, t_2, \rho) \in R_{\mathrm{IV}}\mathfrak{S}_2 \mid \rho^*(t_1, t_2) < \rho < -1, t_2 < t_1 , \\ 0 < t_2 < t_2^*(t_1, \rho), 0 < t_1 < 1\} ,$$

respectively. Then $F_{\mathrm{IV}}(\mathrm{Mod}(\mathfrak{S}_2))$ *and* $F_{\mathrm{IV}}([\Phi_2])$ *are fundamental regions for* $\mathrm{Mod}(\mathfrak{S}_2)$ *and* $[\Phi_2]$, *respectively, acting on* $R_{\mathrm{IV}}\mathfrak{S}_2$.

6.3. Finally, we will consider the following problem: Which Riemann surface does a point on the closure of $R_{\mathrm{IV}}\mathfrak{S}_2$ represent?

PROPOSITION 6.9. *A point in* $R_{\mathrm{IV}}\mathfrak{S}_2$ *represents a compact Riemann surface of genus two without nodes.*

This proposition is well-known.

PROPOSITION 6.10. *A point in the set* $\{(t_1, t_2, \rho) \in \boldsymbol{R}^3_{\mathrm{IV}} \mid t_2 = t_2^*(t_1, \rho), 0 < t_1 < 1, \rho < 0\}$ *represents two Riemann surfaces each of which is of genus one with one puncture.*

This proposition comes from Proposition 6.1.

PROPOSITION 6.11 (cf. [12]). *A point on the sets* $\{(t_1, t_2, \rho) \in \boldsymbol{R}^3 \mid t_1 = 0, 0 < t_2 < 1, \rho < 0\}$ *or* $\{(t_1, t_2, \rho) \in \boldsymbol{R}^3 \mid t_2 = 0, 0 < t_1 < 1, \rho < 0\}$ *represents a compact Riemann surface of genus two with one non-dividing node.*

PROPOSITION 6.12 (cf. [12]). *A point on the set* $\{(t_1, t_2, \rho) \in \boldsymbol{R}^3 \mid t_1 = t_2 = 0, \rho < 0\}$ *represents a compact Riemann surface of genus two with two non-dividing nodes.*

7. Trace moduli. In this section we will consider the relationship between Keen's results in [3] and our results in §6. In [3] keen sets $x =$ trace A_1, $y =$ trace A_2, $z =$ trace A_1A_2, and $k =$ trace $A_2^{-1}A_1^{-1}A_2A_1$. Then the equation $k = x^2 + y^2 + z^2 - xyz - 2$ is always satisfied. Keen considered Fuchsian groups representing Riemann surfaces of genus one with one hole or one puncture. In our terminology, she considered the real Schottky groups of the fourth type.

THEOREM C (Keen [3]). (1) *The moduli space for tori with a hole is the three-dimensional manifold M described by the equations* $x^2 + y^2 + z^2 - xyz - 2 - k = 0$, $x > 2$, $y > 2$, $z > 2$, $k < -2$.

(2) *The intersection of M with the half spaces* $x \leqq y$, $y \leqq z$, $z \leqq xy/2$ *is a fundamental region with respect to the action of* Φ_2 *on M. The intersection of M with the half spaces* $x \leqq y$, $y \leqq z$, $xy \geqq 2z$, $xz \geqq 2y$ *is a fundamental region with respect to the action of* $\mathrm{Mod}(\mathfrak{S}_2)$ *on M.*

Let us represent the equation $x^2 + y^2 + z^2 - xyz = 0$, and the inequalities $x > 2$, $y > 2$ and $z > 2$ in our coordinates (t_1, t_2, ρ). We easily see that $x^2 = t_1 + 1/t_1 + 2$, $y^2 = t_2 + 1/t_2 + 2$ and $z^2 = (t_1t_2\rho - t_2 + \rho - t_1)^2/(t_1t_2(\rho - 1)^2)$.

It is now straightforward to verify the following three propositions. We recall the notation $\rho^*(t_1, t_2) = (1 - t_1^{1/2}t_2)/(t_2 - t_1^{1/2})$ and $t_2 = t_2^*(t_1, \rho)$ introduced in §6. We set $\rho^{**}(t_1, t_2) = (1 - t_1t_2^{1/2})/(t_1 - t_2^{1/2})$.

PROPOSITION 7.1. (1) $2z \leqq xy$ *if and only if* $\rho \geqq -1$.
(2) $2y \leqq xz$ *if and only if* $\rho \leqq (t_2 - t_1)/(1 - t_1t_2)$.
(3) $x \leqq y$ *if and only if* $t_1 \geqq t_2$.
(4) $x \leqq z$ *if and only if* $\rho \leqq \rho^{**}(t_1, t_2)^{-1}$.
(5) $y \leqq z$ *if and only if* $\rho \leqq \rho^*(t_1, t_2)^{-1}$.
(6) $z > y(x - 1)$ *if and only if* $\rho > \rho^*(t_1, t_2)$.

(7) $x^2 + y^2 + z^2 - xyz = 0$ *if and only if* $t_2 = t_2^*(t_1, \rho)$.

Let N_j $(j = 1, 2, 3)$ be the automorphisms defined in §2. We set $S = N_1N_3N_1$ and $T = N_1N_2$.

PROPOSITION 7.2. *The images of the sets* $\{(x, y, z) \in \boldsymbol{R}^3 | xz = 2y\}$ *and* $\{(x, y, z) \in \boldsymbol{R}^3 | y = z\}$ *under the mapping* S *are* $\{(x, y, z) \in \boldsymbol{R}^3 | xy = 2z\}$ *and* $\{(x, y, z) \in \boldsymbol{R}^3 | z = y(x - 1)\}$, *respectively.*

PROPOSITION 7.3. *The image of the set* $\{(x, y, z) \in \boldsymbol{R}^3 | x^2 + y^2 + z^2 - xyz = 0, 2z < xy, y < z\}$ *under the mapping* T *is* $\{(x, y, z) \in \boldsymbol{R}^3 | x^2 + y^2 + z^2 - xyz = 0, 2z > xy, z > y(x - 1)\}$.

From Theorem C and Propositions 7.2 and 7.3, we have the following.

PROPOSITION 7.4. *The three-dimensional manifold*

$$\{(x, y, z) \in \boldsymbol{R}^3 | y < z, z > y(x - 1), x < y\} \cap M$$

is a fundamental region for $\mathrm{Mod}(\mathfrak{S}_2)$ *acting on* M.

REMARK. The above fundamental region coincides with the set $F_{\mathrm{IV}}(\mathrm{Mod}(\mathfrak{S}_2))$ in §6.

REFERENCES

[1] L. BERS, Automorphic forms for Schottky groups, Advances in Math. 16 (1975), 332–361.

[2] V. CHUCKROW, On Schottky groups with applications to Kleinian groups, Ann. of Math. 88 (1969), 47–61.

[3] L. KEEN, On fundamental domains and the Teichmüller modular group, in Contributions to Analysis (L. V. Ahlfors, ed.), 185–194, Academic Press, New York-London, 1974.

[4] L. KEEN, A modular group and Riemann surfaces of genus 2, Math. Z. 142 (1975), 205–219.

[5] W. MAGNUS, A. KARASS AND D. SOLITAR, Combinatorial Group Theory, Interscience, New York-London, 1966.

[6] A. MARDEN, Schottky groups and circles, in Contributions to Analysis (L. V. Ahlfors, ed.), 273–278, Academic Press, New York-London, 1974.

[7] B. H. NEUMANN, Die Automorphismengruppe der freien Gruppen, Math. Ann. 107 (1932), 367–386.

[8] J. NIELSEN, Die Isomorphismen der allgemeinen unendlichen Gruppe mit zwei Erzeugenden, Math. Ann. 78 (1918), 385–397.

[9] N. PURZITSKY, Real two-dimensional representation of two-generator free groups, Math. Z. 127 (1972), 95–104.

[10] R. RODRIGUEZ, On Schottky-type groups with applications to Riemann surfaces with nodes I, Complex Variables 1 (1983), 279–291.

[11] R. RODRIGUEZ, On Schottky-type groups with applications to Riemann surfaces with nodes II, Complex Variables 1 (1983), 293–310.

[12] H. SATO, On augmented Schottky spaces and automorphic forms, I, Nagoya Math. J. 75 (1979), 151–175.

[13] H. SATO, Introduction of new coordinates to the Schottky space—The general case—, J. Math. Soc. Japan 35 (1983), 23–35.

[14] H. SATO, Augmented Schottky spaces and a uniformization of Riemann surfaces, Tôhoku Math. J. 35 (1983), 557-572.
[15] H. SATO, Classical Schottky groups of real type of genus two, II, in preparation.
[16] H. SATO, On a paper of Zarrow, Duke Math. J., to appear.
[17] R. ZARROW, Classical and non-classical Schottky groups, Duke Math. J. 42 (1975), 717-724.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
FACULTY OF SCIENCE
SHIZUOKA UNIVERSITY
SHIZUOKA, 422
JAPAN