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## INTRODUCTION

While most secondary content area teachers recognize the importance of reading and study skills for successful student "learning, many feel ill-prepared to teach these skills. Teachers feel the need to "do something about reading." but they are not sure what this "something" is. When they turn to the professional periodical literature for direction, they find themselves confronted by a mass of undigested material. The result is further confusion rather than clear guidance.
$\therefore$-Hopefully, this publication will help.
This volume is intended for secondary content area classroom teachers and for all those able to help these teachers, including school reading specialists, consultants, and administrators. The booklet is made up of selected portions of articles from recent periodical literature dealing with secondary reading. These articles have been chosen deliberately to meet the practical requirements of content area teachers who want to teach reading, but who are unsure of where to begin and what to do. The five sections within the book are organized to guide teachers through a sequence of steps that can result in the development of successful class,room reading programs. At the same time, the volume is sufficiently flexible that individual sections and articles may be consulted in isolation for assistance with particular topics. Overall, the volume is intended to illustrate that successful reading programs can begin with the efforts of individual teachers who take steps in their own classrooms to teach students how to learn more effectively through reading.

In order to teach reading and stady skills along with contert, it is first necessary to stablish student reading and stady skills status with respect to specific content areakearning tasks. Section one gives direction in how tem assess this status. Assessment will ahmost always reveal a widediversityand range of student reading and study skills needs which must be accomnodated by the instructionad materiads used in the , \&assroom. Section two provides guidance in selectirg content area instructional materials, which accommodate students" reading and study skills needs'and which also cortain the content required to meet content areal learning objectives. The articies in section threc illustrate general clasisroom instructional strategies through which sipdent centered learning environments can be created. Section four fociuses on specific methods for teaching particubar reading and study skills - within the framework of the general instructional strategies outlined in seetion three. The fifth section provides guidance for the development of classroom reading and studyskills programs which coherently integrate the various program edements described in the previous four sections.


 rkill. inventories.

## - INFORMAL,SKILLS ASSESSMENT; OR INDIVIDUALIZED INSTRUCTION

Kenneth M. Ahrendt
Shirley S. Hasclam

- OregonStatel'niversits)

The preassessment of what the pupil brings to the course is essential for successful teaching in either a groupp br an individualized situation. A simple. assessment of whether a student can read, reeds help in reading, or fannot read does not constitu8e an adequate evaluation of a student's strengths and weaknesses if he/she in to attain maximumbenefits from instruction in a particular content area clussroom.

The secondary teacher may not be qualified $t$. give reading instruçtion to students lacking basic skills; there is little justification in "the content, teacher's own field; he is.not a reading specialist Spache (1963) suggests it is the responsibility of the content area teacher to make the mosteffective, use

* of any written materials which might further slydent understanding of the skills, processes, and knowledge related to that particular content.

Only when teachers realize that not all students possess the same skills and abilities will they make adjastmentś in teaching techniques to accommodate the needs of the individuals in their classrooms. Because neither - standardized tests nor the Group Informal Reading Inventory provide sufficient information about a secondary student's readiness for learning the content material of a specific class, each potential teacher at Oregon State University constructs his ofvn evaluation instrument for his own content area. This preassessment or entry behavior measurement follows the sugWeigand (1971). We call it the Informal Skills Inventory.

Adapted from Journal of Reading. 17.(October 1973), 52.57.


The purpose of this inventory is twolind: to, idenifify individual skill weak"unesses and strengths and tor identily the amount of bachgruand information Graw material possessed by the student. In ofder for the secondary teatior theonstruct, administer, and evaluate such an instrunient, lie must first ask , himiself the following questions: I) What knowledge and skills are necessary anf important itf my content area? 2) What all I going to teach? 3) Jow an I going to teach? 4) What prior knowledge or skills must my stydents have if \& they are to profit from my inst ruction?

Each teacher must answer these questions for himself; since his responses reflect his values, they will also determine his, evaluation of student achievement. The Informal Skills Iqventory, designed to assess the readiness level of each student within a particular class, in a unique creation of the teacher. Alhough skills overlap from one discipline to another' as well as within a 1. single subject, the approach or materatiensed is individualistic..

The following th an example of an Informal Skills Inventory written by a business educat major Although her stodents have had sonde prior instruction in bookkeeping, she is about to begin a new unit on "interest," and believes ihat a preassessment of student knowfedge and skills retaned to the topic will guide her in formulating appropriate instruction.
$\therefore$ Using 'Viox's suggestions, the teacher has divided her inventory' into definite segments: Юpurpose of the test; 2) directions toy the teacher, this explanation /s insered so that any teacher in the field, including a substitute, can admini (er the instrument; 3) directions to the students; 4) selections the

- teacher used to tap background knowledge; 5) questions to be answered by the studentsto tes for technical terminology, mathematical problem solving, following Viretions. and spelling: This business education student constructed. the inventory on the decisions she made as a teacher.
- administered it to a class, compiled the results, and had a clear picture of the areas of weakness and strength of the pupils she would be teaching. Based on this information, she then developed directed teaching activities on several levels to accommodat for the individual differences in her, class.


## Skills Inventory

- Purpose of ihe test: To see how accurately students can A) understand . . technical terms related to bookkecping, B) iuse mathematical knowledge to solve problems, C) follow directions, and D) spell correctly.

Directions to teacher:
Part A. Look through the chapter or chapters of the next unit to be studied and pick out words context which you feel might give your students some problems. Prepare, or take from the text, sentences containing, these Wrords and ask student to give a short, written definition of each.

Ahréndt and Haselton


そ $\quad \because$
$\because \because$, •
 for computing iaturest. Then give students.several problems of ligure unting -this method.

Part C'. (iive students directoons for preparingat trial badance. It would be best to duplicatie a eopy of directoons for each student. Also, give cach student artial balance form and ask him tomplete it by following the di, rections you have given. The amounts or ligures to be used lor cach aceount could be written on the board or duplicated with the directions.

* Part D. l.ook through the chapter or chapters to be covered next and piek ouf words you think might he dillicult for students to spell. Write these phonetically and ask students to rewrite them correctly.
! Directions wividents
In our next unit we will be learning about interest what it is, how to figure it, and how to keep records. We will be learning several new business and accounting terms, also. I want to find out how well you: I) understand terms rekating to bookkeeping, 2) comprehend material common to business subjects. 3) follow directions, and 4) spell business terms correctly. This is not a test, and you will not be graded. It is an inventory tio be used to try to get an approximatte idea of your skills at the present time.


## Part 1

Directions: The words underlined in the following sentences are taken from your textbook. From what you read in each sentence, write a short definition for each underlined word. (If you can substitute one word that you think defines or could be substituted for the underlined word, this is fine.)

- 1. The interest on the loan has accrued at the end of six months (accumulated).

2. The price of wheat has greatly depreciated now that so many farmers are growing it (fallem, become less).

- 3. Mary had to gover and retheck all the books to try to reconcile the balances (adjust, settle).
- 4. Mr. Black sent a written requisition stating he wished to see the accounts (demand, request).

5. The subsidiary company sends its.annual reports to be checked by the ' main company office in New York (auxiliary, secondary).
$\star$
Part B
$\because$

Directions: A businessperson who borrows money is usually required to repay the loan plus a charge for interest. This charge will depend upon the amount borrowed (the principal), the length of time the money is used (the

```
4.
Informal Skills .Asses.sment for Individualized Insıruction \(\div 1\)
```

(ime), andthe percent agreed ufent (the rati) fhe tolal paviment or the maturity value of an amount of inolley berrowed will be compulat an
 year in this case. One year is equal 10 360 days. One month wequal wh 10 days. To figure interest for partial years and months, fyllow this example:
 maturity value is to be paid in September 16, 1972.


Divide this total by 30 ( 30 days represents a month): $244+30 w-8$, with a remainder of 4 . If the remainder is less than 15 , these days are drupped. If - the remainder is 15 or more. an extra month will be added.

Maturity value then is equal lo principal $(\$ 300.00) \times$ rate $\left.(4 \%,)^{\prime}\right)=\$ 120 x$ time $(8 / 12)=\$ 8$. Principal + interest $=\$ 308$. (This is the maturity value.)

## Part 8 Problems:

Solve the following interest problems by the preceding formula and direc: $\because$ tions.

1. On May 1. 1972, the Baker Company borrowed $\$ 1.000$ at a raterof $6 \%$. - The loan plus interest is to be repaid on April .30, 1973. What will be the - maturity value of the loan? $(\$ 1,060)$
2. Mark borrowed 5500 on April 16, 1971, at a rate of 5 \%. The loan was to $0^{\circ}$ be repaid with interest on December 29, 1971. What wap the maturity value? (\$516)
3. Rgeers Publishing Company borrowed $\$ 12,000$ on. January 6 of this year at a rate of $6 \%$. They fiave said they will repay the loan with interest in exactly 10 months. What will be the day of repayment, and what will be the amount they repay? (November $6, \$ 12.600$ )
4. Montgomery Services loaned $\$ 15,500$ to Harper Brothers at a rate of $.7 \%$, The loan is a 90 -day loan begun on Junc 11,1972 . What will be the maturity value of the note and on what day will it be repaid? (September 11. + \$15.771.25)
5. Smith and Sons borrowed on May 17, 1972, \$9,000 from the bank at a rate of $8 \%$. They will repay this loan with interest on February 12, 1973. What will be the maturity value? $(\$ 9.540)$


Directions: This'part of the inventory is to determine how well you can follow directions to prepare a trial balance. The form is given below, with di-rections-for completing it. Do your best.
A trial balance is a test of the ledger and may requite corrections. Therefore, it is usually done in pencil (please use a pencil)."



1. The company owner is Henry Chase, Write his name centered on line 1.
2. Write the words, Trial Balance, on line 2 directly under Henry Chase.
3. Write the current date on line 3, directly under Trial Balance.
4. Assets are listed first in the account title section. Assets are placed ron a line with the corresponding balance on the same line in the debit column. Begin writing asset accounts and their balances on line 5 with "Cash" and continue until you have witt en all the assets, and their balances.
5. Liabilities are written after assets. Again, write one liability to a line with its balance on the same line in the credit column. (You should be start-- ing on line 9.)
6. The capital account is written after liabilities. Write Hent Chase, Capital and place the balance in the credit column on the same line.
7. The drawing account is written after the capital account. Write Henry Chase, Drawing, and place the balance in the debit column on the same line.

- The next step after writing down all the accounts and their balances is to total the debit and credit columns separately.

8. Write the word Totals on the $1^{\circ}$ - after the drawing account, but indent it $1 / 2$ inch. Then draw one line $a^{-}$uss both columns andainder the last figure : you have written. (This will be tine drawing account figure.) Add the columns
and write the totals under the debit and credit columns on the same line as , the word Totals. ${ }^{3}$
9. If the two columns are equal, draw 2 lines under the totals across the . debit and credit columns. If they are not equal, you have made an error and need to recheck your work.

Part D.
Directions: Thè following words are written phonetically and you should have no difficulty knowing what each, word is. I want to know if you can spell each word correctly. After each word printed, write the correct spelling as - best you can.

1. ă-kow'tunt
2. accountant
3. $8^{\prime}$-dit
4. audit
5. kăn’-sčld
6. cancelled
7. krön'-o-lŏj-ī-kǎl
8. chronological
9. $\mathrm{sr}^{\circ}$-fer-ing
10. ciphering
11. kð̌-mǐh-ǔn
12. commission
13. ëg-zěmp'-shün
14. exemption

- 8. nēe-gō-shĭ-à-brl '-i-tĭ

8. negotiability
9. prō-pri'è-tèr-shĭp
10. proprietorship
11. ěk'-wॉ-tt
12. equity

- The skills inventory presented here indicates that the content area teacher is able to teach both subject matter and the related reading skills without divorcing one from the other. An analysis of the responses to questions helps the teacher to determine when to use large group, small group, or individualized instruction.

The goal of good teaching is to afford each student with a successful *experience in content area classes so that he develops a positive self-concept about learning. The content area teacher, secure in the knowledge that there are techniques for doing the things reading specialists claim should be done in the secondary classroom, develops'and maintains a positive concept'about teaching.
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- What are the distinguighing characteristics of the four kinds of standardized reading tests identified by the authors?
- Under what circumstances should each kind of test be used?
- What cautions for the use of standardiz freading tests are indicat ed by the test evaluations?
$\stackrel{y}{3}$
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## CONCISE GUIDE

 TO STANDARDIZED SECQNDARY - AND COLLEGE READING TESTSNancy A. Mavrogenes
Carol K. Winkley
Earl Hanson
Richard T. Vacca
$\left(\begin{array}{l}\text { Richard T. Vacca } \\ .\end{array}\right.$

The ira Evaluation of Tests Committee recently published, as part of the Reading Aids Series, Reading Tests for the Secondary Grades: ,A Revien

- and Evaluation (Blanton, Farr, Tuinman, 1972) to help secondary teachers locate appropriate tests for classroom use. Edward Fry's review (1973) of this booklet mentioned the problem he experienced in reviewing the committee's work, the same problem the authors of the booklet had and potential readers will have: test reviews in Buros' Mental Measurements Yearbooks must be consulted before a final choice offtests can bemade. Fry asks, "If the consumer should look in the mmy anywhy, why shou he look in Reading. Tests for the Secondary Grades?" Then he summarizes his reaction to this
$\because \quad$ IRA booklet: "Its chief problem is that it is not comprenensive enough for good teachers and is too hard to read for poor teachers."
Therefore, in order to help all teachers, the concise guide that follows is * offered as a beginning step through the maze of secondary and college reading tests. Hopefully, it will be both comprehensive enough and not too hard to read so that a teacher might gain someinsight as to where to start in using Buros' Mental Meàsurements 'Yearbooks, which always must remain the chief source for information on any test.
$\stackrel{\square}{4}$
Adapted from Journall of Reading. 18 (October 1974), 12. 22.


## Four Types of Tests

The present guide includes four types of tests published in the United States. The first category is Survey Tests. These are group tests which always include measurement of comprehension, ustually include vocabulary, and sometimes include rate. They are used by the classroom teacher to determine the range and the averrage of class' reading ability, to divide the class into groups' for instruction, to aid in selection of appropriate materials, to help identify reading disabilities, and to measure student progress. School administrqors may also use such tests to measpre the effectiveness of instruction, to evaluate new programs or different methods, and to identify pupils at various ability levels. The tests included in this section are those appearing in the ira booklet which reviewed "several of the most commonly" used reading achievement tests currently available for úse with high school students." The authors of the booklet chose the most commonly used tests $\because$ on the basis of an analysis of the research reports from the Eric Clearinghouse on Retfieval of Information and Evaluation on Reading. One: further test', the Burnetr'Reading Sertes, was added on Fry's suggestion.
The second eategory is Analytical Tests, átèrm uṣed by illbert Harris (1970). These are group tests, and most of them are survey tests with some diagnostic subtests. They can be used by the classroom teacher on a re-o medial teacher/to provide a more detailed analysis of a student's reading : difficulty, to find clues to his reading problems, and to find the appropriate level for femedial reading instruction. 'The tests in this, section also were $\}$ taken from the ira booklet and Fry's review; in addition, some of the tests were added because they werfete ensted in Harris' book (1970), in the Bond and Tinker text (1973), and froper thors' experiences:
The third category is Diagnostite ests. These are individual tests (except for parts of Botelts and Silvarali's reading inventoriẹs) and heedpractice or some special traingng to administer. They*are used for a detaiked analysiq of
$\because$ extensive disability. Some of the tests in this section would seem to be at a
$\therefore$ low level for secondary schools and colleges: all or parts of them, however, can be used for cases of seyere disability, and several of them-are" specifically described by Harris or Bond and Tinker as useful with retarded readers at the secondary level. (These remarks could apply to some of the analytical tests, also.) These diagnostic tests were chosen on the basis of their inclusion in Harris' book, from the authors' experiences, and one test (the Wide Range Achievement Test) on the basis of Fry's review.
The fourth and final category is Special Tests. These cover only one aspect of reading or some unusual aspects and are mostly group tests. They; might be useful for, classroom teachers for a very specific or novel purpose. The listing does not-pretend to be complete; it is-tighly representative, and tests were chosen on the basis of uniqueness and utility from the "Guide to


Tests and Measuring Instruments in Reading" compiled by Roger Farr and Edward G. Su hers (Farr, 1969) and from Butos'. Seventh Mental Measurements Yearbook (1972). Many examples exist for some of these special tests; for example; study methods ạnd adult basic education. In these cases, the tests were selected which had the most favorable reviews. In anyn event, an attempt was made in this section to give an idea of the wide variety? of tests available.

Some further ćlarification of criteria for test selectionfollows. First, the term secondary (was defined as seventh grade and above. Second, this entire list is representative only. A look at the 34 -page Index of Titles in Buros' Reading; Tests and Reviews (1968), including almost 2,000 tests, should convince anyone of the impossibility of a complete list of only journal length. Third, most of the brief evaluations in the last column of the guide have been highly condensed from reviews in the Mental Measurements $\dot{Y}$ earbooks. (Fhe latest edition was used, if reviews were found there.). The IRA booklet was used for evaluation of the tests taken from there. If the evaluation column is left blank, no review, was available. Finally, to the writers' knowledge, these tests are still in print. Either a specimen set of each test
was recently secured or the test was listed in the latest Mental Measurements Yearbook.(1972).
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f Teachers sometimes think that you have to have a computer, or at least a statistician, to make local norms. They are wrong. Almost "anyone can quickly learn to make local norms, and you do not need any statistics, computers, or even adding,machines. If you can count and have a piece of paper, you have all you need.
$\because$ There are mañy reasons for making local norms, Standardized tests usually give only natjonal norms and might give only part of the information you want in your school or district. Informal tests written by teachers, criterion referenced tests, and all types of skills tests to measure objectives might not have any norms at all. It is useful to have local norms to see how an individual student ranks in comparison to his peers. It might be important for the teacher, the supervisor, or the child to know that he moved from the fourteenth percentile to, the sixty-fifth percentile after instruction.
A percentile tells how a student compares with a standardization or norm group. A percentage is almost the same as a raw score; it tells only what percent of the total possible items a student got right.
The trouble withraw scores, which are the scores on most teacher-made tests and criterion referenced tests, is that they are determined by the test author alone', If the author says that $\$$ percent is "passing" it is often baset on nothing but the author's subjective judgment. What if the author is wrong, änd it is almost impossible for any child to get 90 percent? Or if any-
$\because$ Adapted from Journal of Reading. 18 (December 1974), 241-243.

- body, without even studying, can get 90 percent of the raw score? Normed tests attempt to eliminate this difficulty by comparing the studeht with his .s peers. In many respects, it can be much fairer to the student than an authority's judgment.
To be really fair you must ask, "With which peers do I compare this student?" Most published standardized tests attempt to use children all
- across the United States from a similar age group. This is one useful comparison, but it has some real-pitfalls. It includes regional differences, class differences, ethnic differences, home influence differences, curriculum differences, differences in school philosophy and emphasis, but these differences are often submerged, by the "majority." If phonics is not stressed or taught much in a local school, why shourd students be penalized by getting low phonics scores in national norms? It is more useful to know that Juan is 1 in the top 20 percent of his classaking a reading test in English than that he is in the bottom half nationally...

If you are convinced that local norms might be useful in measuring $Y$ achievement or progress, here is how you make them. You can use raw scores (the number of questions answered correctly) on either a formal published test or a nonstandardized test such as one a teacher or curriculum committee made up. It will not make any difference if the test is a little too hard to a little too easy fos the group-local norms adjust for that. Y.ou must get a range of scores, ho weyer, so that some students do better than others, and so there are not extremes at the top or bottom of the test score range

Start with an eásy example. We will assume that you have 100 students in your fifth grade, and you want local norms for the fifth grade on a test. You -administer a reading test which has fifty items; the lowest student gets fourteen right and the best student getsforty-nine right, so the range of scores goes from fourteen to forty-nine.
, 1. Sort the answer sheets so they are in order of raw scores: fourteen on the bottom and forty-nine on top. In the middle of the range, a fumber of students might have received the same raw sco re of thirtysix.
2. Label a sheet of graph paper so that along the verticle axis the ines are numbered from 0 to 100.

- 3. Label the columns, with the range of raw scores, in this case from fourteen through forty-nine.

4. To plot dach score on the graph, the first score would be represented by a dot at the intersection of line one and. column fourteen; the second paper (which had a score of sixteen) would be at the intersection of line two - and column sixteen; the thírd paper is plotted on line three, and so on. If you are in a hurry, you caṇ merely plot evèry fifth paper and not lose much accuracy. What you should end $\mu \mathrm{p}$ w̧ith is a group of dots which more or less
form an S-shaped curve on the graph paper. (Seep figure.).


To find the percentile for any student enter graph witḩ his raw score and read percentile off left axis. A raw score of 3,4 places student at 50 th percentile in comparison with the norm group of fifth graders.
5. Draw a curved line, which mathematicians call:"smoothfing the curve," so that it seems to go through the average of dots. It will actually go through some dots, but júst above or below others. Do not bend your quive so that it gqes through every dot. It must be basically smooth without bumps and * sags. Usually it will have a slight " $S$ " shape. This, incidentally, is a yery sound mathematical procedure, doing it graphically is easy while doing it toy formula is very hard. After you have pencibd in your curve, you might look at it from a distance, make' a few adjustments', gẹt rid of ir regulanitiés, año finally ink in the finished curve.
6. Your local norms are ready for use after labeling the vertical colum (1) ' to 100) "Percentiles."


## Use of Nomograph

To find a percentile with a raw score of forty, you go to the column above $\therefore$ | the raw score of forty, see where it hits the curve, then read the percentile across from that pọint, which is eighty-one according to the chart.

This curve on the graph paper is called a nomograph, and for most practical purposes, it is just as accurate as a table of numbers which convert $\cdots$ raw scores into percentiles which are found in the typical test manual.

The trick of this whole thing is not drawing the curve, but in selecting the . norm, group. It should be representative and as big as possible of that represenfative population. If, for example, you have 552 fifth graders, you should puil all the answer sheets in a pile, shuffer them as well as possible,
then randomly draw out 100 papers to plot. You can, of course, make local norms with less than 100 . You will not get quite as stablé a'norm base but, in many instances; it might be much better than national norms for your purposes to see how your own individual children do.

Lócal norms can be made each year or the same graph can be used for several years if you continue to use the same test. They eqn greatly aid the reading teacher, administrators, of chassroom teachers in using and interpreting reading test scores for published standardized tests, criterion -. referenced tests, or locally made tes $\ddagger$ s.


# SELECTING INSTRÜCTIONAL MATERIALS 

1

Selecting appropriate content area instructional materials becomes more complex when student differences in reading and study skill status are revealed 'through assessment. In order to select appropriate instructional, materials, the purpose of these materials must be placed in perspective. The primary emphasis must always be on the content to be leàrned-the information and concepts necessary for students to achieve content area learning objectives. The ... 7hstructional materials through which these content area objectives - are pursued are means to this end. However, there is no disservice done to students if content area objectives are pursued through instructional materials which accommodate the range and diversity of students' reading and study skills development. By providing the 'information required for content area Jearning, while encouraging the development of the reading and study skills necessary to achieve this learning, instructional materials become learning devices," and not simply depositdries for content.

In this section, Daugs explores the concept of multilevel instructional material as it pertains to the teaching of science. Implica-
1 tions can be drawn clearly for other content areas as well. In the second article, Fry describes and rationalizes his, easily used readability formula and, in doing so, provides valuable insights intơ the measurement of reading difficulty.

## 30

$\because$ How can concepts be taught using the multilevel approach?

- How can student learning be evaluated when using the multilevel approach?


WHAT PRICE SUCCEESS:

Donald R. Daugs
University of Victoria

Most sciente curricula are centered around one textbook. Teachers complain that many students Cannot rêad assigned mạterials. The complaint is valid. Marksheffel (1966) reperss that the range between boitom and top reading levels will average six to nine grades at the high school level. In an $\because$ unpublished study, Daugs (1968) indicates that over $\$(\$$ percent of students using seven current junior and senior high textbooks were unable to read at an instructional level as determined by an informal reading inventory:


There are two alternative solutions to the above dilemma. A curriculum: can be designed that requitres no reading or the reading needs of the pupils can be met.
Some elementary science curricula have gone in the dircction of requiring no reading. The iscs materials; Me Now, written for educable mentally retarded eleven to thirteen year olds, are designed so that, "Ideas must be developed without the necessity.for reading" ( $\operatorname{sSCS}$ 1970). "
The concepts of science cannot be developed effectively by auditory stimuli ónly. A good example of this was observed in a high sctiool biology class. A student teacher had introduced the days' lab activity on enzymes.
$\because$ The enzyme of interest was diastase. The student teacher mentioned the
8 Reprinted from Science Education. 55"(4).569-572. Copyright 1971 by John Wiley \& Sons. - Inc. Reprinted with permission of Johñ Wiley \& Sons. Inc. 1
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word once. Late in the lab, period, in circulating around the room, I overheard a number of students talk about the enzyme "distaste." (They had heard diastase once. The students had never seen it written down by the teacher nor in print.) Given limited language development skills, it is not surprising that the "level of scientific literacy" (Daugs 1971b) of our high school graduates is at the distaste level。

The Me Now materials beg the problem by int roducing new vocabulary and toncepts by visual means (writingrocabulary on the byard), but provide no reading or writing experience. An attempt ismation repeat new words verbally.
. A good example of inadequate vocabulafy development was observed by this writer in kiewing a videotape of experimental use of the Me Now materials. Students were ysing Benedicts' solution for a "rsugar tester." The term students were to have used was sugar tester, but the teachèr had mentioned Benedicts' solution. After the activity, the teacher asked the class how to test for sugar. A student repplied, "Put in the benediction."

The teaching of science concepts and vocabulary needs more than a blessing. An obligation exists to meet the communication needs of all pupils. The alternative to no. reading is to supply students with readable textbooks. The reading needs.of all students cannot be ṣerved out of one textbook.

## Multilevel Materials

Administrátors are often hesitant to initiate a multitext approach due to ${ }^{*}$ supposed increased cost. This is not a valid assumption. The slight increase is balanced by student benefit. If a teacher is using one textbook for thirty. students, ten textbooks at each of thrèe reading levels will cost no more.
Publishers' have been hesitant to publish textbooks at different levels of reading difficulty; however, wide ranges of difficulty exist in albscience disciplines when various publishers are considered. At the high school level; biology textbooks are available that treat similar topics ranging in reading difficulty from grade seyentlevel through college level. If textbooks, are chosen at three levels of reading difficulty for a given classroom, the reading needs of the studentsican be better served than if one textbook is used.

Student assignment to reading level should be done by topic, rather than for an entire textbook. Two convenient instruments are available to determine which students are to be assigned to a particular reading level. These instruments are the group informal reading inventory and the cloze procedure.

The group informal reading inventory is used primarily as a quick screening device to determine which students cannot read assigned materials. The inventory is developed by selecting a passage of about 350 words from a given unit in each of the textbooks to be used. Care must be taken to select
passages not predicated on preceding material. Ten questions are developed for each passage. These'questions should be approximately one-third fact recall type questions, one-third vocabulary type questions, and one-third inferential type questions (Marksheffel, 1'966).
Students read the passages directly from the textbooks. The inventory, $r^{2}$ should commence in the text that is closest in reading difficulty to the grade level of the class. After the reading of the passage, the students are given the ten comprehension check questions. If a student can answer 70 percent of the questions, it can be assumed that the student can read the text profitably. If the score is 50 percent or less; the student will befrustrated by the materials (Betts, 1946; Marksheffel, i966). Students scoring under 70 per- $-m$ cent should be given an inyentory for a lower level textbook. Students scoring 70 percent or over should have the opportunity to take an inventory for a text of a higher level of difficulty. The teacher will still have the problem, of deciding what to do with the students not scoring 70 percent on the lowest level of the materials.
The cloze procedure is of relatively recent origin. Cloze readability tesis are made by deleting every fifth word from a passage. The deleted words are replaced by underlined blank spaces of a uniform length. The tests are then mimeographed. For the purpose of student placement with a multilevel textbook approach, cloze tests ate made by unit from passages of 350 or more words from each of the textbooks. Students are instructed to write in each blank the exact word they think was deleted. The only correct response is the exact word deleted (misspellings excepted).
Researchers Bormuth (1967) and Coleman (1966), using the cloze procedure, have adopted the criterion comprehension tevel of 44 percent correct responses as corresponding to the traditional 75 percent comprehension level (Thorndike, 1917; Betts, 1946; Marksheffel, 1966).

Students should be given the cloze test from the textbook closest to the grade level of the class. Those students scoring .44 or more can profitably read that text. They should have the opportunity to attempt to read a text of greater difficulty. Students scoring less than 44 percent should take a cloze test from a lower difficulty textbook,
After students undefstand the testing purpose and procedures for the method selected, students can self test and self place themselves for eaich unit. Using three textbooks and either of the above testing procedures will result in a placement distribution of students, with a few students below the Iowest level of the materials, slightly over one-third at the Jowest level of the materials, slightly under one-third at grade level, and the remainder at the highest level of textbook difficulty (Daugs 1970a). If textbooks are ordered according to these guidelines, with a few extra at each level of difficulty, the reading needs of the students can be better met than if one textbook is used.
$i$
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## Tenching Strategies

Use of the multitextbook approach dielated that teaching strategy incorporate concepts common to all levels of textbooks. Concepts unique to each level of difficulty províde each ability student with his special conntribution to classroom experimentation and discussion-

Existing text 600 ks in all of the science disciplines generally treat the same major concepts, whether written at the seventh grade reading level or the twelfth grade level. They do vary in types of experiments and particulars attributed tọ a type of approach. A good example of this is found in the various versions of escs materials. To use the green, yellow, and blue versions of ascs. biology simultaneously in a classroom would admittedly be a big task; however, it would provide an interesting synthesis of biology. The teacher who indicates this approach is impossible should spend some time observing the'varied activities that go on in the elementary classrooms. The best example of this approach observed by this writerikas in fourteen elementary clássrooms using SRA's The Earth's Atmosphere, in which students use sciepce materials at five levels of difficulty.


## Evaluation

- The need to evaluate student progress and traditional requirements for letter grades dictates that some means be used to measure gains. Experience has shown that students object to different tests being given by difficulty level. Students prefer one test for all. Tests must be readable, i.e., written at a reading leyel comparable to the liowest reading level of the textbooks used in the classroom. Test items should be made up of questions unique to each reading level, questions common to all reading levels, and inferential type questions not found in any of the materials.
Use of a-pretest/postest finds favor with students. When grades are based on total gain, rather than the highest score on a unit test, the students at the lowest levels of material have as great a chance to earn an $A$ as the traditional $A$ student. To alleviate the potential problem of the-better student underachieving on the pretest, it is desirable to set a criterion pretest score at which the student is excused from the unit under study. There is no need for an individual to suffer through a unit if he already comprehends the materiab. These students become your lab assistants or do enrichment ąctivities.
Where do you stand, reading or no reading? If you require any reading, is there only one textbook? What are you doing to the over 50 percent of the students who can't read the assigned materials? Can you afford to take the time needed to allow more of your studentsto succeed? What prices are you willing to pay to allow all of your students the chance to experience success?
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- How can Fry's readability formula be used 10 aid in materials selection?
- What assumpilons underlie readability formulas, and nhey cautions are implied for their use?


The purpose of this article is to present a revision of the Readability Graph together with directions for its use and to present some validity data which compares readability scores on several different formulas.
Readability formulas have been around for many years and a good deal has been written aboutt them. For the reader who wants a more detailed overview of the topic, books, by Klare (9'), Chall (2), and Dale (3) are recommended; Yet, the topic, seems to hold fresth interest for each semester's load of gradduate students-and för some serious reşàrchers. Though readability formulas are used by some teachers; sòme librarians, and some publishers,
$\because$ theit number is ahl too' few: Perhaps the sheer time it takes to apply these. formulas causes them mostly" to languish in term papers and occasional magạziñe articles.

The Readability Graph was girst developed when I was in Uganda and simplicity 'was' a prime prerequisite." The" original versión appeared in print that was read mostly by British readers (6.7), and hence it is not too well known in the United States. Perhaps the fact that it was originally geared to
${ }^{\prime}$ a set of African readers has caused it to be accepted more by the emerging nations.
The Readability Graph presented in this article is aimed at the United States educational scene. The grade level designations are for Ameirica; the simplisity is a need I find universal.

- Adapted from.Journal of Reading. 11 (April 1968), 513-516, 575-578.

Perhaps simplicity may best be measured in printed pages. The DaleChall formula takes about eighteen printed pages while the Readability Graph takes only about two. The SRa formula is relatively simple, but it trequires a plastic gadget which costs several dollars and it has only four difficulty designations.

## Directions for Using the Readability Graph

'1. Select threéone-hundred-word passages from near the beginning, middle, and end ofthe book. Skip all proper nouns.
'2. Count the total number of sentences in each hundred-word passage (estimating to nearest.tenth of a sentence). Average these three numbers.
3. Count the total number of syllables in each hundred-word sample. There is a syllable far each vowel sound; for example: cat (1), black bird (2), continental (4) ¿ Don't ke fooled by word size; for example: polio (3), thirough (1) Endings such as ed, el, or -le usually make a syllable, for example: ready (2), bottle (2). Ffind it convenient to count every syllable over one in each word and add 100 . Average the botal number of syllibles for the three samples.
4.: Plot on the graph the average number of shtences per hundred words and the average number of syllables per hundret words. Most plot points fall nèar the heavy curved line. Perpendicular lines mark off approximate grade level arèas: .

Example
Sentences per 100 words


Syilables per 100 words 122
$\quad 140$ $\begin{array}{r}\frac{129}{.3391} \\ \hline 130\end{array}$

Plotting these averages on the graph we find they fall in the fifth grade area; hence the book is about fifth grade difficulty level. If great variability is encountered, either in sentence length or in the syllable count for the three selections, then randomly select several more passages and ayerage them in before plotting.

How Accurate is the Score?
If you want a nontechnical answer, it is "probably within a grade level."
The problem of validity is difficult. First of all, there are no rigorous standards of just what fourrth grade difficulty is as opposed to fifth grade difficulty. There seems to be some loose sart of agreement between publishers and educators, which is based on experience and perhaps a little
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on test data, as to whalgrade level designations mean. However, even standardized test data are not exacti. Anybody who has used an old reading.test, say the 1957 California Reading Test, on his class, then used the 1965 Stanford Reading Test on exactly the same class at nearly the same, tinie, can tell you that theiclass mean reading score expressed in grade level is quite different. In general, newer tests are more difficult or, in other words, a ninth grade student today reads better than a ninth grade student in former. years.
$\because$ The Dale-Chall is partly validated on teacher and librarian judgments of material difficulty and partly by correlation with other formulas $(2)$ :

Hence the problem of validity is complicated by trying to determine grade level when grade level won't stand still and when subjectivé "judgments" are about as good a standard as can be found. There is a partial way out of this validity dilemma, thowever, and that is by using relative ranking. For example, you can see if a.formula ranks a given group of books in the same order as do other formulas.

You can also determine reading difficulty of the books by looking at the mean comprehension scores of a class who has read the books. In using comprehension scores you run into the problem of equal difficulty of comprehension tests (is the test for Book A easier than the test for-Book B?), but with all its faults, comprehension tests give us a somewhat more objective method of ranking the difficulty of books than just "subjective teacher . jưdgment. The comprehension test metird also gives us a completely. different method than simply comparing Formuta I whth Formula 2.

Grade lèvet designations were determined by simply plotting lots of books which publishers said were third grade readets, fifth grade readers, etc. I then looked for clusters and "smoothed the curve." After some use and correlational studies the grade level areas wereadjusted. The grade level areas didn't come out too even, but that is part of the trouble with working with real data. The fact that there is much less graph space for grades four and five than for grades six andseven is interesting. It may be an in accuracy in 'our data or it may mean that fourth and fifth grade materials don't change in difficulty as much as suth and seventh grade materials and/or students' reading abilities. In any event, other formulas such as Dale-Chall and SRA don't attempt to designate levels only one grade apart. (Dale-Chall gives two grade designations such as 5-6 or 7-8 and SRA givesseven broader designa-

- tions.)


## Results of.Comparison Investigation

The Readability Graph presented in this article ranks books on a hard-toeasy continuum aboyt as well as Dale-Chall and Flesch andsra "formulàs (see Tables 1 and 2). It also seems to give about the same grade level
relative rankingis' of ten books by rlabibability mbthoids andsSTUIEENT COMPRIBIIENION SCORIS

designations (see Tablé 3). The Dale-Chall ranks several books a little hardet than the Readability Graph but perhaps the fact that the Dale-Chall was developed about twenty years ago accounts for this. At least it is hopeful to think that present sixth and ninth graders can read a little better.
The data in, Tables 1,2, and 3 were obtained from the master's thesis of Andrew Kistulentz (8), who was one of my advisees at Rutgers University. The ten books were used in his tenth grade English classes and he sonstructed comprehension tests composed of three parts: true-false.

TABLE 2.
, INTERCORRELATIONS OF FIVE READABILITY METHODS RATINGS AND STUDENT COMPREHENSION ON TEN BOOKS*

| Readability Method $>$ | Fry | $\dot{S R A}$ | Botel | Dale- <br> Chall | Flesch | Student <br> Comp. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | - | . 98. | 78 | . 94 | . 96 | . 93 |
| SRA | . 98 | - | . 81 | . 95 | . 98 | . 90 |
| Botel | . 78 | . 81 | - | :82 | 83 | . 64 |
| Dale-Chall | . 94 | . 95 | . 82 | - | 93 | . 90 |
| Flesch | . 96 | . 98 | . 73 | . 95 | - | . 94 |
| Student Comp. | 83 | :90 | . 64 | . 90 | . 94 | - |

- $\AA$ rank order correlation of 56 is significant at the .05 level and .75 is significant at the .01 level.




## Conctusion

Readability formulas have had a widespread, long-term interest among professionals in the reading business. However, their lack of use in broader educational circles may be due to excessive working time and difficulty in computing some existing formulas. The Readahility Graph is presented as a faster and simpler method of determining readability. If correlates highly with the Dale-Chall, sra, Flesch, and Spacke formulas. My only hope now is that it be widely used poy teachers, librarians, and publishers as one important, objective method of determining readability.
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How can general instructional strategies be developed which will encourage student leqarning? The key lies in actively engaging students? in the learning process. Appropriate instructional materials need to be implemented through appropriate instructional ștrategies, and these strategies must be studenttentered. They must directly in : :volve students in the learning process and, at the same time, provide positive guidance and direction to further independence in learning.

The articl es in this section provide concrete examples of instructional strategies which actively invove students in learning through :• reading. This involvement is achieved through differentiated instruction combined with flexible and varied classroom organization. Earle and Sanders give specific suggestions for individualizing content area reading assignments within the classroom. Hansell develops a rationale and specific steps for prereading activities. Questioning às an instructional tool is reconsidered by Herber and

- Neison, and simulation strategies are advocated as a more realisitic classioom practice Finally, Earle and Morley provide specific direction in how to vary learning tasks to allow greater student and teacher freedom in the classroom.


Any teacher who has spent more than a day or two in the public school classroom knows that students, whether grouped homogeneously or not, represent considerable variation in ability to read required text finaterial. Therange of reading ability and the variety and difficulty of subject matter : text are obstacles which can prevent effective interaction between the student and the text. Those studenits who are fortunate enough to have attained independence may need no special. help. But what about the others? Is it "sink or swim"?

A short informal assessment of reading ability will reveal which students are less than successful in mastering their reading. Even moreimportant are the observations of a sensitive teacher, one who feels that if an assignment is worth giving at all, differential amounts of assistance must be provided for certain individuals and groups within the class.

- Individualizing subject matter assignments is an attempt to get away from regarding a class as a monolithic "they." It means providing enough help to ensure that each ${ }_{f}$ student will successfully master the required reading. It - does not require individual preparation for each student in the class. Nor: doess it mean a different text for each individual. No one means should be singled out and used exclusively. In fact, various techniques may prove useful in different situations and in several combinations.
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## Levels of Sophistication

Not all students will find it possible to answer sophisticated questions requiring the application of meaning from subject matter reading. Some students might well profit frgm questions designed to identify and generalize relationships among particular facts or ideas. By the same token; poorer readers geenerally find it easier to locate and verify answers to specific factual questions, rather than questions requiring interpretation or application.

This suggests the first means of individualizing subject matter reading. assignments. Given an important assignment, match question difficulty to the student ${ }^{\text {th }} \mathrm{s}$ reading ability. Thuss, each student can experience ṭhe satisfaction of mastery at some level of comprehension, while all the essential information is gleaned from the assignment. Postreading classroom discussion can be planned to ensure that the information gained by each can be shared by all.
: Orie note of caution: It is tempting to "pigeonhole" students using this method. We have been greeted (by teachers who thought they were individualizing) with such statements as "These are my literal level kids, these are my interpretation level kids, and these are my application level kids." A permanent.classification such as implied in this statement is not desirable. It may be detrimental to the child's learning, certainly to his continued reading growth in the subject matter classroom. Regarded as one means of adjusting the task to student abilities, however, teacher questioning at different levels. ican ${ }^{\text {reperesent useful and constructive assistance. }}$

## Differential Structuring

One of the most useful techniques for differentiating subject matter reading assignments is to ask questions or give instructions which incorporate varying degrees of structure, according to the needs of different students or groups of students. Structure, in this case; means.guidance built into the question itsetf. For example, a teacher whose'guidañe consists only of "Read Chapter 7 for tomorrow" is really saying to the students, "Some important questions about our subject matter are answered in this reading assignment, but I'm not going to tell you what questions they are. You find the answers, come in tomorrow, and in our discussion I'll let you know what the questions were. If your answers fit my questions, you will be a winner; if not, you lose."

Considerable guidance cafi be provided by a simple question; for example, "Read this assignment to find out such and such." While this at least provides students with some purpose for attacking the reading assignment, some students will have difficulty in locating and verifying such information,
particularly in a lengthy reading assignment. For these students, a somewhat higher level of structure is in order.

Our experience suggests that reacting to alternatives is, in fact, easier than generating alternatives. Therefore, questions can be strüctured with several possible answers, the student's task being to verify one or more'of the alternatives provided. Depending on the student's need for structure, alternatives can be sophisticated statements representing application, generălization, or inference, each to be supported or refuted with evidence from the readding.
On the other hand, several important details can be included in a structured question, with the student being required to verify their literal existence in the text. In some cases, students whe are unable to read well enough to comprehend material in pàragraph and/or sentence form can be supplied with a list of single words to be verified or rejected in the light of a particular subject matter question. Combined "with these techniques, evenmore structure can be provided by giving locational aid in the form of page ? and/or column number.
Sone students who are oyerwhelmed by several pages of reading can succeed when the teacher indicates the paragraph (or even line number) where the in ormation can be found. This approach-like most other elements of individualizing-depends on the difficulty the students are lik ely to have with a given assignment. It is interesting to note that some students who are labeled "nonreaders" have successfully read subject matter assignments when questions included a little more structuré. Structuring a question differentially means providing, within the question itself, enough guidance to enable the student to be more certain of locating, identifying, and verifying essential information contained in a reading assignment.

## Collaboration by Grouping

There is an old saying that "Two heads are better than one." This particular appraach to individualizing, rests on the tenet that, with some reading assignments, three, four, or five heads are better than one. The essential element of collaboration is teamwork-the sharing of information and skills in drader to get the job done. Several forms of grouping allow the sort of team sharing that is the essence of group collaboration.

One is what we could call a "tutor" group, where one person who has a superior'skill in reading can be teamed with one or more students who are not as effective. The tutor, with some direction from the teacher, might read portions of the assignment to the others, clarify directions, react to their answers, and generally provide needed assistance. In some cases, two readers of equal ability might help each other, combining information to ar${ }_{2}$ rive at a larger understanding than either could achieve alone. Another form
of grouping is "ability" grouping, where the class is divided into two or more groups representing different levels of reading performance.

This sort of grouping, while not recommended as permanent, is particu-- larly useful when combined with the technique of questioning at different Ievels of comprehension. Still another form of grouping is "interest" grouping; wherestudents are teamed to complete various tasks representing common interests.

Incidentally, most students, given the choice, will not select a task that appears too easy; rather, they will elect to do that which is both interesting and challenging.

Perhaps the most common form of useful collaboration in the subject matter classroom can be achieved by "random" grouping, In this form of grouping two or more students are teamed on the basis of any random means, such as their seating arrangement in the classroom. As with other, forms of collaboration, the object here is to share skills and information. However, the most important element of random grouping' is that it encourages an interaction among the students. In contrast to the teacher-led classroom discussion, random grouping provides each student time 'and opportunity to" verbalize his findings, support his generalization, and question other students.

Students are sometimes uneasy or even amused by the prospect of collaborative effort. Certainly they have little'opportunity for sueh sharing in many classrooms throughout their public school career! And the teacher. may feel uneasy, perhaps equating group collaboration with cheating or improper teaching. However, two facts /should be made cclear regarding grouping: 1) Students do learn from each other by assisting or challenging their colleagues in active ways; 2) teachers, when freed from the total absorption demanded by the lecture; are able to help, stimulate, and evaluate students in individual ways. If you regard group effort as an integral part of individualized learning, your/ students will catch on very quickly. Collaboration on subject matter assignments is one effective way of improving learning, especially for the less effective reader.

## Selecting Appropriate Material

In the ideal classroom each student operates with material that is suited to his instructional level. We know, however, that this ideal is rarely the case. Some subject matter simply cannot be presented at low levels of difficulty. In other cases, money is not available to buy published materials. Or a given textbook may be required by those who design the curriculum. The net result is that most content classrooms boast a single textbook, often too difficult for the student. This situation necessitates other methods of individualizing, such, as mentioned in this article. Nonethelesis, when cur-
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riculum-specific materials of easier readability are available, they become another excellent means of providing each student in your class, with the opportunity to master his reading assignment successfully.

## Varying Assignment Length



In classrooms where coverage of the entire course takes precedence over student understanding, there is little opportunity to expect more of some students than others. However, some teachers feel that mastery of fewer understandings is more important than superficial coverage of large amounts of subject matter material. These teachers have found that yet another way to individualize reading assignments is to vary their length, that is, the number of understandings to be gained. Some students can handle lengthy assignments satisfactorily. We know, however, that others are completely overwhelmed by the prospect of ten or twelve pages of text. Hence, they avoid the pain of frustration and failure by refusing to do the assignment at all. For these students, reducing the reading assignment to manageable proportions often gives them more opportunity for success. For example, some may be directed to read only the most important sections of the material, perhaps even a single page. Others may experience' success in selecting a few of the important ideas or descriptive terms. In extreme cases, poor readers could be asked to do no more than verify certain $\cdot$ key words. This particular technique is especially useful in conjunction with the differential structuring of questions.

## :Varying Time Allowed

Many students need more time to complete required reading assignments. They might be more successful if given a few additional minutes (or hours) to complete the task. It is unfortunate that the usual public school organza-tion-the forty-five minute period, the eighteen week semester, and the graded year-makes this sort of basic individual assistance very difficult. Nevertheless, the subject matter teacher can devise means for adjusting the - time factor in reading assignments while retaining the necessary degree of guidance and control. Many sensitive teachers endorse deadlines firmly but not. rigidly; they do not 'regard deadlines as sacrosanct. Sometimes a straightforward question, for example, "Would it be helpful if you had till tomorrow, or fext Monday?" can guide the teacher in his decision. Surely it would do wofders for the student/teacher relationship by communicating the concern and flexibility that is the hallmark of the sensitive teacher. Students who finish an assignment may move on to other tasks, including the task of helping those who need additional guidance. It is important to note that additional time must often be combined with other types of assistance, as suggested in this article.

## Usling Nomprint Media

It is difficult (even dangerous) for reading specialists to suggest publicly replacing printed text with assignments that do not require reading. ${ }^{*}$ However, the underlying premise of this' articleand the major concern' of most subject teachers is that mastery of the subject mater takes prece-: dence over à student's reading development.
In point of fact, the teacher is expected to teach subject matter ideas and skills regardless of students' reading abilities. Even when the śtudent $\ell$ receives separate expert reading instruction, increased reading ability is at long time coming. Therefore, when the student is seyerely handicapped, many important ideas can be communicated though other media, such as pictures, tapes, records, films, and filmstrips. Of course we must face the fact that complete abandonment of required reading preyents the student from-improving his reading ability. He becomes forever dependent an speech alone to gather and assess information in'a given subject area. It therefore seems advisable to use other media as suplement rather than as replacement.
For example, material presented orally can often be accompanied by written questions structured to provide a maximum amount of guidance: Since the questions are in writter form, they will require reading; hence, they represent elements integral to both subject matter mastery and continued reading growth. However, to the degree that reduction or abandonmenti of printed material is necessary to ensurê studeñ success, the technique can be effective in overcoming the obstacles presented by reading assignments.

## Summary

This article has described several techniques for individualizing reading assignments in subject matter classes. The approaches mentioned herein do not represent a comprehensive list of suggestions. Nor are they all guaranteed to be equally practical or equally comfortable to certain : teachers. Experience suggests, however, that the use of these approaches \& has provided many nonreaders with the help they needed to become successful readers-at least to some degree. That alone may be reáson enough to give them a try!
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Teachers' in middle schools, junior high, and high schools are accepting, in increasing numbers, the fact that students cannot read their textbooks independently: "What they really need is to leatn to read!": "He'd be much happier in the third gradè whère he belorigs instead of Mere in sixth grade." These and similar comments are becoming increasingly common. Recognition of the problem has led to attempted solutions in too few classrooms.

It is to the credit of reading teachers at these levels that they have the hope, the desice, the understanding of how a single child learns, and the ambition to take"on the task of teaching each student in school how to read well enough to handle his textbooks independently. Cred must also be given to content area teachers who provide instruction with alternate materials when they discover that some or all of the students in their classes cannot read their texts.

As reading teachers have been saying for years, students can be frustrated, ir ritated, and demeaned by being required to attempt tasks at which they cannot hope to succeed. Surely, an accurate reading level placement of second or third grade means that a student has a sight vocabularỳ of
~o.nly 500 to 800 instantly recognizable words and a critically limited ability to deal with unfamiliar multisyllabic words. However, this real problem is compounded by the belief that standardized reading tests and readability formulas are exact measures and that the results' they yield are so powerful

Adapted from Journal of Reading. 19 (January 1976), 307-310. "
that teachers are unable to overcome a mismatch in these numbers. What is the real difference in ability to deal with a given passage betwéen students who have fourth and sixth grade reading placement according to, standardized tests? We simply don't know:

One way that test publishers have tried to communicate the inability to measure reading ability. exactly is to report scoras in percentile bands

- instead of grade levels. This practice must be commended for its honesty. An interesting by-product of reporting scores by range rather than point is. that the total score becomes virtually useless to teachers. Information about a studént' must be sought from an item analysis of his answers, a task that requires more of a teacher's precious time.

Authors of readability formulas, too, have tried to communicate the inaccuracy of their form of measurement. "What I hope for," said Rudolf Flesch (1952), "aré readers who don't take this formula too seriously. . . " Jeanne Chall (1958) echoed these sentiments when she'said, "the more mechanically a readability formula . . . is used in simplifying material, the smaller the effect on either comprehension or readership.: In other words, both standardized'tests and readability'formulas are rough measures. Their numbers are inexact estimates.
$\therefore$ We can see just how misleading such numbers may be when we compare the performances of college philosophy majors with mechanical engineers in understanding a technical description of the stress factors involved in $\therefore$ const̄ructing a bridge and a passage from ${ }^{\circ}$ A Critique of Pure Reason by
$\because$ Kant. This difference is caused not by the average number of words per sentefice, not by the number of words which are not on a list, not by the number of syllables per hundred words, and not by a student's college board scores, but by the differences in the readers'. background information. The engineering student knows more about phyśical stress and how it will be - described before he begins to read, so he can understand what he reads more completely with less effort. The philosophy student in turn knows more about philosophy and how philosophers construct theirarguments. In short, prior understanding eases reading.

Holmes and Smith (1973) have gone so far as to state that understanding or, meaning identification precedes word recognition. Kenneth Goodman (1973) asserts that readers guess what meanings and words will occur by us-q. ing their unconscious' awareness of their language and external cues such as pictures. Terry Winograd (1972); demonstrated that knowledge of a restricted "world" as well as a knowledge of a logic and grammar were required to allow a computer to assign meaning to written discourse.

If understanding eases the reading task and if identification of meaning precedes word recognition, then all teachers may help students to read texts, articles, or books by helping them understand the content before they deal with the print. Such a strategy puts reading where it belongs, as one im-
portan
portant tool for understanding the world. The problem of content teachers "convincing the students that they know more than they, think they do abo of my subfject.".

## Prereading Activities

There are two general categories of prereading activities which address the problem of increasing understanding prior to dealing with print: teacher centered or student cent ered. Teacher centered prereading activities involve such techniques as introductory lectures, films, filmstrips, or videotapes, structured overviews (Earle, 1973), and teacher posed purposes, for examplg "read to find five ways to produce more food." Student centered prereading activities require the students to think about, discuss, or guess about the content of the selection. Ștudents then read to. test their hypotheses. Student centered prereading activities include such techniques as the ReQuest procedure (Manzo, 1969) and the Content Directed Reading Thinking Activity (Stauffer, 1975).

It is notable that, while teacher centered activities may be presented in texts and teachers ${ }^{2}$ manuals and applied with little teacher preparation, he student centèred prereading activities involve active teacher planning, decision making, and reaction. To plan a Content Directed, Reading-Thinking Aretivity, for example, a teacher must:
2. (Mentally) outline pass sage.
3. Decide how the passage is organized:
4. Design: a key question which will elicit, similar!organization instudents' minds (or use open questions: "How many questions can you think of about this passage?" "What do you guess some answers might be?").
5. Test key question by seeipg jf outline (step 2 ) answers the question.
i: 6i Décide what initial information (tities, graphics, summary, and so forth) will help students to guess about content of.passage.
7. Search for ways others have applied these concepts.
8. Design open ended questions that will encourage applation.

Instead of simply asking students to read a passage, the teacher will ask students to examine the initial information and then ask the key question or "alternative questions. After listing all guesses'on the chalkboard, the teacher will ask sfiudents to read to find if the author included their guesses. Noncontributing students may be asked to select one or more guesses theygan call their own. The teacher then asks students to evaluate their guesses in relation to the passage, clarify the key concepts, and ask;epen ended questions that encourage generalization and application.
Since the student centered activities rely completely on student responses, questions, and guesses, this category seems far more likely to con-.
vince those students who participate that they do indeed know more than they think they do,

If student centered préreading activitres convince students that they al. ready understand the content and if understanding precedes word identification, then student centered prereading attivities are a means for teachers to prevent student frustration caused by reading difficulty and to facilitate the development of content goals.
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The interrogatives "who, what, where, when, why, and how" are standard tools for the inquiring reader. A reader who can apply these interrogatives independently has the necessary skills for selecting pertinent information, for developing concepts by perceiving relationships within and acposs that information, and for synthesizing those concepts with others drawn from previous experiences.
These interrogatives are also basic to questioning, a teacher's móst frequently used instructional tool. Most teachers regularly use questions to guide students' reading of text materials, believing this to be an effective way to help students acquire the information and ideas in the text. Sométeachers

1. are also aware that reading skills are implicit in the application of questions to text materials. These teachers have two objectives in their tuse of ques(fions: 1) to teach the content in the text and 2) to teach the reading skills necessary for answering the questions being asked.

The validity of $\lambda$ hese two objectives seems obvious. However, a careful reflection on what these objectives assume, particularly the second one, raises serious questions about that validity. When one directs students' reading with questions, there is an implicit assumption that students already have the reading skills necessary for a successful response to those questions, If the students do indeed possess those skills, then such iquestioning is perfectly

Adapted from Journal of Reading. 18 (April 1975). Sil2 517.
yalid. But if students in fact do not already have those skills, then directing otheir reading with questions that assume they do is misdirected teaching.
Is if valid to use a teaẹhing procedure which, on the one hand, is designed to ter a skill but, on the other hand, assumes prior possession of that skill in order to perform the required task? This is what happens when a teacher attempts to teach interpretation, for example, by asking interpretive quesfions of stutfery whoneed help in learning how to interpret. If students can 6 tive skills? If they do not possess the skil罗该does asking questions that requinethe use of theskills really teach them the skills?
ysuseems very probable that, fotinstruction in how to read with good comprehension huestioning
Consider what is assumed for students by the science teacher in the following lesson on pollution. The reading selection in the science text - focuses on carban monoxide as a major air pollutaht. The authors have or-: - ganized their information and ideas using the cause-effect pattern of organization. Some of the cause-effect relationships are explicit; others are implicit. To agquire the information' and to perceive the concepts imbedded in, the material, students need to be able to read for cause-effect, an important part of the comprehension process.

Prior to giving the reading assignment, the teacher and students engaged in appropriate activities to prepare for the reading. Assume, then, the teacher knew that his students needed help in learning how to read for cause-effect. His instructional purposes wére 1) to develop students' skills in reading for cause andeffect and 2) to guide iheir learning of the content of the reading selection. To aid in accomplishing those purposes, he gave them the following questions:

1. What percentage of all air pollution is caused by carbon monoxide?
2. Why is carbon monoxide a major pollutant?
3. How does CO poisoning affect a person's body?
4. Where does much of our CO poisoning come from, other than automobile engines?
5. What is the most obvious way to reduce air pollution?
6. How do sociely's priorities relate to air pollution?
7. What have you observed concerning pollution that suggests people wquld rathernot face reality?
Some of these questions are at the literal level; others are at the interpretive, requiring students to perceive cause-effect relationships across information from several places in the text. Still others are at the applied level of comprehension, requiring students to synthesize ideas from the reading' selection with ideas from other sources or experiences.

Aig these good questions? Surely they are. Are they appropriate ques-
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tions? No, they are not, if the intended objectives are to be believed. The questions may be appropriate for students who already know how to read for cause-effect relationships, but they are premature for those who lack the experience and skill. For students who have not learned how to manipulate information and ideas in this fashion, questions that in reality assume possession of that skill do little to develop it. Using questioning to direct students' search for information of ideas assumes at least some competency and independence inthe process essential for the search.

## Questions Are Valuable ..

None of this is to suggest that questioning is poor teaching procedure ${ }_{\text {in }}$ the absolute sense. Speeches have been given, conferences,orgynized, and papers, articles, and books written on questioning as an instructional device. Anyone conversant with education cannot doubt the appropriateness of questioning.
The art and science of ${ }^{\circ}$ questioning is fundamental to good teaching. Wellformed questions can stimulate both critical and creative response from students as they interact with one another or the text material. Questions can be adjusted to the needs of students and their ability to respond, ranging from simple to profound, concrete to abstract. Good questions can reinforce the reading skills that students already have by providing practice on the application of those skills. Manly teachers use study guide questions for that purpose. Good questions, adcompanied by reinforcing feedback on the nature and quafty of the responses, can raise the students' levels of sophistication in their use of the reading skills implicit in those questions. Many teachers provide students with study guide questions to serve as the basis for small group discussions to accomplish that purpose.
But what happens when students do not possess the skills to practice or reinforce? What does one do whè questionsta not seem appropriatéór do the job? It is very likely that there needis to be a procedure that is preliminary to the application of good questioning strategies. This could well be the application of good simulation strategies.

Consider a different approach to the same lesson on carbon monoxide. The teacher guides students throirgh the same appropriate activity to prepare them for reading the text. The purposes for reading are the same. But the manner in which the teacher guides the students is different. The following materials are given to the students and the teacher goes over the directions orally to make certain' they underștand how to perform the task.


Part 1. Direcyions. Hefe are twelve sets of words or phrases and numbers. The words or phfases in each set are separated by a slash. You are to decide if the first word or phrase in a set stands for a possible cause of what the second
word or phrase stands for. The nitimbers at the end of each set tell you the page, paragraph, and lines wherelyou can find the information to help you decide: Work together to make your decisions. Be ready to show the information in the text that supports your decisions:
2- 1. Carbon monoxide/more than 51 percent air pollution (113,1,1-3).

- 2. Autombbile engines $/ 80$ percent carbon monoxide emissions ( 113,1 ,
( $6-7$ ). . $\quad 3$
- 3. Incomplete combustion/significant percent of CO emissions ( 113,1 , 4-7).
चु女 4: Carbon monóxide/danger, illness, death (113,2,1-3).
- 5. One gallongasoline/three pounds $\mathrm{CO}(113,2,6)$.
- 6. Mild CO poisoning/highway accidents ( $114,1,1-2$ ).
- 7. Faulty exhaususystems/poisoned people $(114$, L,9-11).
- 8. CO pagofing/oxygen starvation (114,2,1-2).
:- 9. Hemoglobin and $\mathrm{CO} /$ strong chemical bond ( 114,2 ).
- 10. Heavy smoking/permanent combination of hemoglobin and CO (115, $0,4-6$ ).
- 11. Intialing $\mathrm{CO} /$ trouble with hearing ( $115,1,9-11$ ).
- 12. Reduction in traffic/obvious remedy ( $115,1,1$ )

After part one is completed by students and discussed, they do part two. Again, the teacher goes over the directions to insure understandiog of the task.

Part 11. Directions: Read each of the following statements. Check those you believe to berreäsoniable. Think about the work you. did in Part I of this guide as well as other ideas you have about pollution.

- 1. People will risk their lives to have what they want.
$\therefore$ 2. What a persqn wants is not always what he needs.
$\therefore \quad \because$ Good replaces bad more easily than badreplaces good.
-4. What you can't see won't hurt you.
- 5. A surphus can cause a shof tage.
** Now, what is the difference between the two lessons and the procedures they represent? The obvious difference is that one is based on a set of questions while the other is based on a set of words and phrases and a set of statements. But within that obvious difference is an important distinction and the potential for simulation.

You will note that the materials for the second procedure really present , possible answers to the questions posed in the first procedure. But the teacher asked those questions;of himself rather than of his students. As a skilled reader of science matorial, he was able to discern the cause-effect relationships and to expressithem by a series of related words or phrases as well as by a set of sentences. He then took these, his own answers to his own questions, and presented them to his students as a series of-alternatives for them to consider. Their task was to take those "answers" and determine if the information in the text dr ideas from their store of knowledge and
experience either supported or denied their validity or reasonableness. Students discussed the alternatives among themselves in small-groups and later with the teacher. In those discussions the question that was constantly - reised in reference to, decisions about the validity, of alternatives was - "What's your evidencé?" Students respönded to thaf question by identifying information from the text or ideas from the eir experience to justify their decisions. Thus, they went through a simulation of the process the teacher went - through when he created those alternatives in the first placie.
-As a skilled reader, the teacher could perceive relationships within the information and could state those relationships. On the other hand; when students are nat skilled readers; they may experience great difficulty doing." what the teacher cian do well. But when asked to find support for statements that do express possible relationships across information in the text, they can locate that information. In finding, support for the statements, the students̊ deal with the text in almost the same way the teacher did in creat; ing the statements. They develop a feeling for the skill the teacher had to apply when creating the statements.

Simulation can be defined as an artificial representation of a real experience; a contrived series of activities which, when taken together, approximate the experience or the process that ultimately is to be applied independently. As it pertains to the process of comprèhension in reading, simulation would be to cont rive a sct of activities which approximate what one does when one comprehends independently. In our example, the activity approximates reading for cause and effect, providing a representation of that experience. Repeated over time, experiences of this type give students a. - feeling for'processes which arę part of reading comprehension.

## Independent Questioning

With that feeling as the base and the reference point, and with the confidence that comes from success, students then can respond more readily to questions that require the application of the skill in order to produce their own answers. The teacher can explain that the process is almost the same:

- they still look for relationships and they still think about what ideas those relationships represent; but now they develop their own expressions of those relationships. With the previous simulated experience providing a pattern to follow, they are in a much better position to produce such answers than if they had not had that experience.

The principle operating here is that it is easier to recognize information and ideas than it is to produce them. Using that principle as applied to the difference between responses to statements and responses to questions, one can establish an instructional. sequence that moves students along a continuum of indeperidence.

1. The teacher prepares statements for students' reactions. References are added to indicate where students might look in the text to deterimine if there is information to support the statements (page, column, paragraph, if -necessary).
2. The teacher prepares statements for students' reactions. No references are given.
3. The teacher prepares questions for students to answer. References are added to indicate where students might loqk in the text to find information which, when combined, might answer the question.
4. The teacher prepares questions for students to answer. No references are given.
5. Students survey the material, raise their own questions and answer them.
6. 'Students produce statements of meanings, concepts, and ideas as they sead.

Within each of these steps in the sequence one can accommodate a range of ability and achievement by the sophistication of the statement or question. Steps I through 4 are teacher-directed; steps 5 and 6 are student-directed.
Earlier it was stated that using questions to guidestudents' reading in order to develop reading skills is really based on the assumption that students already have the skill; otherwise they would not be able to answer, the question. To be sure, the simulation as represented in steps 1 and 2 in the above sequence also makes some assumptions, but not nearly so many. Students identify, they do not produce, the valid responses. The assumption is that when they encounter the information in the text they will see the connection between that information and the statements. If they do not, it may be because the statement is too abstract. So you make it more concretes; adjusting statements just as you would adjust questions.

The next time you gride:students' reading, ask yourself the questions you would normally ask thentiversions that deal both with the content of the selection and the comprehension process essential to understanding that content. Then give the students your answers as a series of alternative statements to respond to. Depending on your students' achievement levels, yoou may want to provide references for them as šuggested above. Mjkê certain the statements aren't too sophisticated for them. However, do notbe afraid to have the students think beyond the literal level of comprehension. Then be ready for responses and for justifications you may not have thought of yourself. These will come if, after students have responded to the statements and are discussing them with you, you keep asking the all-important question, "What's your evidence?" .
And where does all of this happen? Right in the regular content area
classroom, of course. And who does it? The regular classroom teacher. How? As indicated above. When? As often as it seems profitable; as - consistently as time and logic will allow. Why? Because students need the help; they need to be shown how to do what their teachers require them to do. What? We said, "Because. . . ."
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Educational commentary of
Educational commentary of the 1970 s reflects an inten rn for increased freedom with responsibility in the classroom. This , least revisited) professipnal consciousness has pervaded the "syst tcluding those of us who tach the language arts. Implementing such weady philosophical goals as "freedom with responsibility" has proved onerous. Too often we flit from one promising innovation to another, adopting with little reservation, oftên rejecting with disappointment. Thus, many of us conclude that organizational schemes and sets of materials do not, by themselves, humanize and individualize instruction in reading and English. Only teachers do. In the hànds of an unwilling or threatened teacher the most efficient classroom plan becomes chaos; the most promising materials turn stale.

The open classroom concept, regarded by many as a direct route to independence for students, often tends to arouse student interest, improve the climate for learning, release the teacher for small group and individual guidance, and generally promote the uneven growth we must expect in a classroom characterized by true individualization.
enfortunately, many of us are hesitant to "open up" our classrooms. After all, we were successful learners in more traditional learning environments, and many of uspre successful teachers in the same system. We have' seen the open classroom, particularly at the middle school and secondary levels, fail miserably, the victim of extreme teacher discomfort and students

Adapted from Journal of Reading. 18 (November 1974), 131-135.
. who were unable to accept alarge measure of responsibility. So, fearing the unknown, we stay with the familiar. .

Qur classes meet for forty-five minutes each day. We are responsible for the usual Engliftrcurriculum--literature, composition, and the other language skills, including reading. With such a vast curriculum, we f̣ind it inviting to allot twelve to sixteen weeks to the Miudy of literature and smaller periods of time to each of the other language areas. We know that language skills should be taught in an interrelated fashion, but it never seems to work for us. We schredule a single topic per day along with a simgle class activity or homework assignment. On occasion we try administering diagnostic or pretests, but a few of the kids always pass them. Then, not knowing how to proceed, we stop giving pretests. We become a classic case of the power of affect over cognition. We know what we should do, but we are afraid to change. We are not satisfied with our teaching, but we are jfraid to "go off the deep end."
To bridge the gap between the classroom in which no options are allowed and one which offers a maximum of freedom is the basis for the half-open classroom. The underlying assumption is that instruction can be humanized and individualized a step at a time, obviating the need for an overnight "moth-to-butterfly" transformation. Increased freedom with responsibility, can be achieved by the gradual adjustment of three elements: 1) time, 2) task, and 3) student movement. By slowly increasing options within any given element, the alert teacher can reduce an awesome goal to one of manageable proportions.

## Time Options

While the teacher experiments with time options, he continues to prescribe both learning tasks and student movement. However, he begins to offer students alternatives in sequence and deadline. The first step is to allow students some freedom of sequence, that is, to complete prescribed tasks in any order they choose. For example, "I've listed here on the board several things. They must be completed this period, but you can do them in any order. I'll be available for help if you need me. Any questions? Bègin." Although this is indeed a meager beginning, most students appreciate a measure of freedom that does not tax an underdeveloped sense of responsibility. As for the teacher he is now free to answer questions and help individual students make decisions, without that sinking feeling of no control.

The next step is to extend the sequencing responsibility from a single class period to longer periods of time, with carefully described tasks listed on the chalk board or assignment sheets. Reading assignments formerly made on a daily basis, for example, can be extended to a complete novel or group of short stories, with additional structure provided by study guides or other

aids. The teacher as diagnostician is freed from the whole class presentation to monitor the progress of all students, encourage individuals who are "dawdling, and quietly aid those tho are having difficulty. Self-correcting guide questions or quizzes can provide students with conftant feedback throughout the various tasks, allowing the teacher more time to spend with those students who display less ability or responsibility. After each period of independent sequencing, the scheduled whole-class presentation or discussion deals with the substance of the learning tasks as well as the degree of comfort and responsibility exhibited by the students and the teacher.
As independent sequencing becomes manageable, it becomes evident that some students need more time than others to accomplish a given task or series of tasks. The teacher may now feel free to enforce deadlines firmly, but not rigidly. In his individual consultation throughout the working time, time limits can be quietly extended or reduced on an individual basimas in individuals orgroaps of students finish the prescribed tasks, small group dis"غussions can be scheduled, "tuneout" time allowed, or other tasks suggested, such as choices of writing assignments or the task of helping peers who need additional time and guidance.

After experimenting continually over several weeks, we find that students become more responsible and more comfortable with time options. With increased confidence, arbitrary deadlines can become teacher-student decisions. Perhaps time restrictions may be removed altogether, with the excep'tion of those the students impose on themselves. We find that students can - increase their self-direction and initiative, if we feel free enough to let them.

## Task Options

Learning tasks are determined by whatever instructional materials we have available, combined with "pet" assignments which seem to work so well for us. Dividing these.tasks into "required" and "optional" categories adds another dimension to our system of controlled options: Hence, we may prescribe the following tasks (allowing time options as described above):
\% 1."Read "The Secret Life of Walter Mitty" (p. 123 f.). Remember our class discussion on "daydreams." Be sure to use the study guide as an aid; copies are in the folder on my desk.
2. Complete your next level in SR'A Reading for Understanding. Bé sure to chart your results. If you have any difficulty remembering the procedures, check with me. .
3. Do lesson fourteen in your spelling book (pp. 32-33). Put the completed assignment in the box on my desk.
4. From where you sit, select one apple in the bowl on the front table. Describe it in writing so a friend could identify it.

Now we begin to offer combinations of prescriptions and options. For example, "You must complete any three of these tasks." Or, "You must complete the first lwo tasks and either three or four.". Another'small step is to split one or more tasks into optional halves. For example: a) Read Walter Mitty and complete the Study Guide. b) Read the article on heart transplants in Newsweek (Oct. 14, 1972) and answer the guide questions.

Such minimal freedoms can be expanded easily as both teacher and students develop. For example, both time and task options can be seen in the following short story unit: Assuming we are to concentrate on the concepts of plot, character, and theme, we devise three lists of short stories representing each of the three concept yreas. Students are instructed to complete' study guides or quizzes concerning stories they choose from each of the three groups, then participate in teacher or student-led discussions. Another possible option in this unit could involve leading a discussion, or writing a-short paper concerning ploy, character, or theme of one of the stories read. The element of choice here is centered in reading matter, a choice which, no doubt, we all desire. Various levels of sophistication can be represented, with some stories labeled "challenging" or "easier to read,".and differentiated writing assignments devised. Incidentally, given the option, most students will not continue to select tasks that appear too easy; they will elect to do that which is both interesting and challenging.
As both teacher and students gain confidence in handing controlled options of time and task, some students leap ahead on assignments; others lag behind. This is to be expected as individuals become involved in a learning sequence. But classroom reality prompts us to form some semblance of. order. and indeed classroom or group interaction necessitates some common ground of subject matter. One possible solution is to formulate fewer requirements and increase the options for those students lagging behind. For students soaring ahead, the teacher can suggest a list of "quest" activities, where the student defines his own learning tasks and the length of time he plans to spend. Such questing can supplement tasks being completed by the rest of the class, or constitute a self-directed project stemming entirely from a student's particular interest. A list of "quest" activities/projects related to certain assignments can eventually be developed by the teacher and students. Such projects, games, reports, stories, and poems can be accumulated to provide even more options to stimulate future student interest.

## Movement Options

Classroom space is perhaps the more sensitive element in individualized Uearning. Which kids go where, when? Everyone from parents to janitors has definite ideas about the right way to arrange the furniture and the students. Herét too, prescriptions can give way gradually to options. One step is to let

64
The Half-Open Claøroom: Controlled Options in Reading
the arrangement of furniture and students foflow the tasks, rather than to fit the tasks to a permanent physiçal organization. For example, if recording and/or listening is an expected task, a closet or corner of the room can be set aside for that purpose. If free reading is an option, another corner may become the library. If student tutoring or group effort is prescribed or offered, some desks must be arranged to accommodate this activity. If newspaper or magazine production is elexted the teacher's desk can be used as a center of writing-editing activity plus individual student-teacher conferences.

With both students and teachers becoming comfortable with the movement necesṣitated by individuality of time and task, we can approach the difficult job of grouping to correct specific skill deficiencies, usfing a system of pretests and related prescriptions, such as Tactics in Reading Power III. The Reading Spectrum, or other available materials.. With the lockstep" broken and individual learning begun, one prescribed task ctar be listed as: "Take the pretest on $\qquad$ Have it checked by me or someone else who has already mastered it. If you pass it, record the results on the class chart and move on to another task. If not, sign up with me for small group work with others who need to improve that skill."

Student movement within the classroom is only a small part of the total picture of movement options; however, students come first in terms of ease and comfort. Options can be expanded, if school rules permit, to choices by students to spend time in the library, study hall, commons/cafeteria, or a particular subject/department resource center. The teacher, of course, distinguishes among student movement essential to learning tasks, "neutral" movement that is a matter of individual preference, and movement which actually detracts from learning. He makes it clear that increased freedom always means increased responsibility. Most students quickly grasp the connection between the two factors, and cooperate to solve problems that might jeopardize freedom gained.

1. We are tempted often to impose rigidity in the classroom, rather than run the risk of creating havoc. The optimum balance between freedom and responsibility is a tenuous thing. Change is necessary to achieve this balance - and to maintain it; change that is consistent and permanent, not flashy and fleeting. However, change does not require specific instructional materials, specially designed physical facilities, or a particular type of administrative organization. It does not depend on teacher aides or team teaching. Nor does it preclude them. For students, change means individual growth that
 and by fulfilling the basic need to accomplish things and feel good about yourself.

Only the teacher, however, can lead the way when he feels free to change.



The range of specificieading and study skills needed for suceessful content area learning is wide and varied, and so are the methods "required to teach these skills. The specific skills taught and the instructional methods adopled will be in diregt response to student skills needs as revealed through assessment, and will be undertaken within the Tramework of the general instructional strategies outlined in the previous section.

A comprehensive treatment of all the possible reading and study. skills involvedin content area learning and the specific methods for teaching each, one would go far beyond the scope of this volume. However, the three articles which follow reppesent the three most common areas of skills instruction needed by situdents word identification, comprehensiơn, and organization skills. In the first article. Bortnick and Lopardo illustrate how the cloze procedure can be used effectively to teach word identification through contextual analysis. In the second article; Harker rationalizes and outlines a method for teaching comprehension. Finally, Putnam shows how students can be led through an instructional sequerice that will result in greater independence in organizing and retaining information read. All three articles describe methods which, like the general strategies outlined in the previous section, actively involve students in the learning process.
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- Why to the chose pancedure appnopriate for traching comemit ilues:
- What stepe can be taken to mapt thechair provicidure to teach cuntrat idurs"


AN INSTRUCTIONAL APPLICATION OF-THE CLOZE PROCEDURE

- Robert Bortunick

U'niversitry of California at Sunta Barbara
GenevieveS. Lopardo $\wedge_{1}$
(hicago:State l/niversits

It is generally agreed that the contextual cue is a powerful word recognition strategy for both the beginning reader and the more advanced reader. Moreover, it is a strategy that is most often employed by the efficient adult - reader. Thus, instructional programs in reading at all levels should provide for systematic instruction in this word recognition strategy.

The cloze procedure lends itself to instruction in the use of contextual cues as a reading strategy. It can also be effectively used to teach other aspects of the reading program, including word analysis, vocabulary defelopment, and knowledge of the structure of the English language.

## How to Use It

Cloze type mater can be used in a variety of ways to teach the use of context. One activity will be described but it "can be adapted in a variety of ways for different instructional purposes and for students with different levels of reading ability. Using a rañdom cloze pasṣage, every fifth word deleted and replaced with a blank of standard length, the activity proceeds as follows.

As a group activity, or on a one-to-one basis or small group activity, the teacherinstructs students to read silently an entire cloze passage which has been specifically prepared for them. Reading the cloze passage in its entirety will help the students to make maximum use of redundant information and

[^2]contextual cues throughout the passage when they later attempt to fill in the cloze blanks. Reading ${ }^{\text {ath }}$ hrough the cloze passage also fosters the habit of reading the material before and after the deletion. Students need to bè taught that if they are going to make use of context as a word recognition strategy, it is imporyant they read up to the unknown word and beyond it for additionahcues:

After the cloze pässage has been silently read, it can be read aloud, sentence by sentence, "either by theqeacher or a student. Students can then suggest words which might fit into the blanks. All semantically and syntactically acceptable responses are taken but students are asked to offer reasons for their choices. Offering the reason is one of the most important aspects of the instruction since it encourages an understanding of the structure of the language and provides the teacher with a considerable amount of information on the instructional needs of students. For example, the student who replaces a noun in a verb slot or a present tense verb in a past tense verb slot is ineed of particular instruction. Through examination of student responses, the teacher becomes sensitized to students' peculiar instructional needs.

Through discussion and direct instfuction, the teacher helps the students decide on acceptable responses and to eliminate unacceptable ones. Reasons for acceptance limination are taught and/or discussed. It is not necessary to discuss every item in a passage. The teacher may pick certain deletions for discussion which suit the purposes of the lesson and students.

The cloze passage with possible correct responses is then compared with the original, unmutilated passage. In this comparison, discussion and teacher guidance will focus on whether meaning is affected by the acceptance of certain responses. For example, it can be pointed out that the insertion of the word automobile for car does little or nothing to change the meaning of a passage. On the other hand, the substitution of the noun bike for book, although syntactically acceptable, most probably would affect the meaning of the passage. In using the cloze procedure to teach context, the teacher repeatedly points out the cues which immediately surround the blank as well as cues which may appear at the beginning, middle, or end of thié passage.

## Further Benefits

Other kinds of informátion can be pointed out in the comparison of the cloze and origiral passages, depending upon the purnse of the instritithes

- Certain words (noun, adjective markers) in the immediate environty of the deletion cue the reader.
- The position of words in a sentence gives certain cues: a deletion that is the first or last word in a sentence limits the possibilities of choice.
- The redundancy of language within the passage cues the reader: often a deletion at the beginning of the passage is clarified by later redundant information in the passage.

The teacher should lead students to understand that activities utilizing cloze passages involve strategies that will be of value to them in their independent reading. Reading strategies (such'as those described above) need to be carefully delineated for students. Moreover, students will need many opportunities to apply and practice these strategies. Simply having students complete cloze passages does not teach the strategy but gives practice in what has already been taught.

After the comparison and discupssion of the cloze and original passages, students can independently follow the same procedures on a different passage. Passages of different levels and length can be prepared to meet the reading needs of a wide rangê of students.

After the teacher sets the purpose for the particular cloze passage, the activity can be summarized in terms of directions to the students as follows.

- Read through the entiré clooze passage silently.
- Reread the cloze passage, writing in words you think fit the blanks.
- If you can, try to offer your reasons for your choices for these blanks - (teacher selects certain items). "It sounds right" is a good reason in many cases.
- Compare your choices with the original passage. :
- Be prepared to discuss,both passages.

The preceding instructional procedure can be varied by the use of different types of cloze passages to focus on different aspects of reading instruction. Sóme éxamples follow.

- Prepare cloze passages deleting certain lexical items"(nouns, verbs, adjectives) to focus instruction on the syntactic constraints of the language.
- Prepare cloze passayes deleting parts of words (for instance, delete all of the word except for initfal and final phoneme, inflectional ending, or prefix) to focus instruction on word analysis strategies. In a recent study, the authors prepared such a passage to study the reader's utilization of particular linguistic cues in word recognition.
- Prepare cloze passgen with only the first or last woìd of a sentence deleted as another means of fogiusing instruction on the synt actic constraints of 'the language.
- Prepare cloze passages deleting items for which students must supplyo synonyms to focus instruction on vocabulary (meaning) development.
- Prepare cloze passages over different content areas or aythors to focus
instruction os differeaces in language structure otstyle.
- Prepare cloze passages in which items containing certain phonemegrapheme correspondences are deleted (for instance, all words deleted contain'the short a vowel sound, consonant cluster $d r$. or whatever) to rocus instruction on this particular type of word analysis strategy.
As is appärent, the teacher must prepare all of the passages for the various saggested activities. Whereas this may be considered a disadvantage relative to the teacher's time, it has the advantage of insuring the preparatrion of materials which are peculiar to particular "students' needs. Furthermore, if ingtruction using the cloze procedure is to be effective, the tegeher must take äh active, directive role in instruction.

The cloze procedure is a useful one for the classroom teacher. Although this article bas been concerned with its instructional applicatidns, the - procedure is also useful in ascertaining the readability of material and in evaluating student performance. In terms of the latter, the authors have been engaged in the developmént of a silent reading inventory based on recent cloze and criterion reference research' (Bormuth, 1971, 1972). The in- $\circ$ ventory represents an effort to provide the teacher with a progedure by . Which student performance may be interpretèd and evaluated.

While a plethora of discussion on the cloze procedure exisps in, the fitera-- ture, only.a small portion relates to insteretional application; only a few paragraphs (Schell, 1972) hąve been directed toward the how of teaching with the procedure. This article has attempted to bridge the gap between re- . seafch findings and their application in the asssroom.
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- What ratignale is pres ented for adopling a lask analysis approach to teaching
compreh ension? comprehension?
- What procedure is suggested to teach comprehension as a problem solving process? .


[^3]One perplexing, yet common, problem facing the secondary teacher is the student who responds to reading assignments by stafing, "I read it but I don't understand it." What is the most effectived method- of teaching comprehension at the secondary level?

The answer to this question rests on an underlying notion as to what constitutes comprehension. While the exact nature of comprehension remains a matter of continuing controversy, a working understanding for teaching purposes is possible.

Comprehension is essentially a problem solving process. The student is expected to understand the reading selection for some particular purpose. To achieve this understanding, he must undertake a problem solving task. In performing this task the student's cognitive skills and abilities are mobilized in a manner unique to the particular comprehension task at hand.

Thus, the nature of the comprehension task determines the method for solving it. And since no two comprehension tasks are identical, the methods of solution differ.

For this reason a task analysis approach to the teaching of comprehension is appropriate. Rather than teaching specific comprehension skills in a yacuum, as is so often the case, a more realistic practice involves analysis of particular comprehension tasks as a means to solution. As students grow in

[^4]ability to analyze and solve comprehension problems, their ability to use the necessary comprehension skills develops concomitantly.

## Method Illustrated

Three examples employing different kinds of comprehension tasks illustrate how the task analysis approach to teaching comprehension may be used in the classroom with reading material from different content areas.

Often the simplest kind of comprehension task requires literal understanding. Here students are expected to comprehend what is stated explicitly in a passage. Such a passage might contain sentences like the fol: lowing:

Against the back of the shelf stood a row of strange lóoking bottles-small round bottles of red glass, clear botlles containing a mysterious amber hued liquid, bottles of a peculiar hourglass shape, some bottles ṣquat and opaque, and still others having bright green contents and standing tall and cylindrical on the shelf:
Students might be asked, "How many different shapes do the bottles described have?" In order to answer this question, one must analyze and solve a specific task to provide the particular information required. This process of analysis and solution may be approximated by the following sequence of questions and answers, initially stimulated by the teacher, but later conducted independently in the mind of the comprehending student:

- How many bottles are there? (It doesn't say; it says only that there is a row of them.)
- How are the bottles described? (They are of different colors and different shapes.)
- Is the shape of each bottle described? (No, sometimes only the çolor of the bottle is described.)
$\because \cdot$
- Ignoring the colors then, what different skapes afe describibed? (Round ones, hourglass shaped ones, squat ones, and tall and cylindriéal ones.)
Solution: There are four different shapes of bottles described. $\therefore$.


## Implicit Understanding



A second kind of comprehension task involves inferential understanding. Here students must grasp what is revealed implicitly "rather than what is stated explicitly. An example of an inferential comprebension task can be found in a reading selection containing a sentence such as the following:

- His first pitching experience was when he played ball on the rooftops of apartment buildings in New York as a boy.
Students may be asked "Do you think that the person referred to in this

Woes it say whether they wert wealthy (No Fifterefore a literal sola-

Where did heplay ball? (On the rooftops ơfartartment buildings.)
L

$\therefore \quad$ - Would he have played' somewhere else if be j was from a wealthy home? $\because \therefore$ Probably he would have, in hişownyard perhaps or in the kind of park that you sometimes see in wealthy neighbourhoods.)

- Solution: He didn't grow up inn wealthy family

Assessing Accuracy
Another kind of comprehension task demands critical understanding. Here students must make judgments concerning the material read, based on their backgrounds and experiences. For example, students might read a statement such as the following:

The reasons for the outbreak of World War I have never been accounted for accurately.

A critical understanding of this statement demands that students assess its accuracy. Questions which might be asked include:

- Is the author of this statement a recognized authority?
- What support docs the author provide for his statement?
- Where is the statement made in a popular article, in a scholarly journal, in an advertisement for a new book?
$\bullet$ Is this a recent statement?
- Do other authorities agree with this statement?
- Where can I go to gain further information regarding the accuracy of this statement?
The manner and extent to which these questions can be answered will depend on the different backgrounds and experiences students bring to the critical comprehension task. Generally, however, questions such as these en courage student development in critical comprehension.
The first step in employing the task analysis approach is the teachers ${ }^{2}$ analysis of the comprehension task to determine the sequence of steps necessary to solve it. Once these steps have been determined, they must be actively taught to students to demonstrate how this approach will result in the desired understanding." $\because$
To assume that students are able to master the process of comprehension
on their owni is an all too prevalent fallacy. Students must actually be shown how to perform comprehension tasks. When the comprehension process has. been illustrated, transfer of learning gained through the solution of particular tasks can be encouraged. In this way, independence in comprehension is developed. To further this independence, teachers can modify their questioning strategies by. requiring students to formulate a steadily increasing proportion of their own questions and by demanding solutions to increasingly complex tasks.


## Conclusions

The task analysis approach to teaching comprehension is based on the idea that comprehension results from a dynamic cognitive.process and not from the rigid application of aset of predetermined skills. To gomprehend effectively, students must be taught how to analyze the particular comprehension tasks confronting them in order to dertermine the specific thinking processes necessary-for their solutions. Since comprehension tasks differ, the manner by which they are analyzed and solved will also differ. This is particularly true in the secondary grades, where the varietyof comprehension tasks confronting students is extended by reading in the various content areas.

For this reason, teachers are obliged to teach students a generalized approach to comprehension which, in turn, can be applied to a wide variety of particular tasks. Once this generalized approach has been taught through exercises similar to the ones illustrated here, students' independence in comprehension will develop and their understanding of specific reading assignments will increase.

W. John Harker

- What is the instructional sequence suggesied for teaching students how to organize and retrieve information derived from reading?


One of the most useful study skills a secopdary school student needs is the ability to read a section or chapter in a text and to express the main ideas in concise statements or in a good study outline. The ability to do thís is almost essential for learning content material, organizing it to see relakionships, and retrieving it for review and exams. Teachers constantly tell students to do this; it is more helpful to show them how. The following procedure has been effective in teaching them how to express main ideas.

Select a section of five or six paragraphs from the social studies or science textbook. (Other texts can be used but these two usually lend themselves best to the procedure initially.) After students have read it, present them with three statements and ask them to select the one which best expresses the main idea of the section.

Statement, I has nothing to do with the text, and is completely irrelevant; I call it my "way-out" item.

Statement II is related to the text but is composed of minor details.
Statement III is the best expression of the main idea you can compose.
Students are delighted to eradicate Statement I quickly, thus narrowing the choice to two items. If they select Statement II, it is easy to show why it is incorrectedetails vs. main idea.

Adapted from Journal of Reading. 18 (October 1974), 41-43.
select the correct statement, increase the difficulty of selection: Presient three statements again, but this time eradicate the irrelevant one.

Statement I contains minor details.
Statement $\amalg$ is the main idea expressed poorly.
Statemer" ${ }^{11}$ is the main idea expressed well.
The order $q$ he statements would, of course, be varied.
Having tearned from the first set of statements that minor details are not acceptable, Statement I is eradicated first. Again, the task is quickly reduced to a choice of two. If the incorrect one is selected, it is easy to teach the reasons why: one is more encompassing, or it includes only the main - point.

After repeating this format several times and using different sections of the test, the student can then be asked to compose his own main idea for a selected section. The dength of the section read can be increased gradually, so that several main ideas are needed.
Having gone thoough the above procedures, the task no longer looms like an insurmountable obstacle. Instead of groping wildly for anything, the $x$ student now knows it should be as complete as possible without including minor details. First attempts to do this do not result in perfection, but they are far superior to the results achieved when siudents are simply told to express the main idea.

## Study Outlines

A similar proceuure can be applied to teach students how to read and make good study outlines. Again, select several paragraphs from a social studies or science text which lend themselves to a natural unit. Present the student with a partial outline which indicates the number of main items, the at title of each, the number of supporting ideas under each, but not the supporting idea itself.

1. Statement A.? B.?
II. Statement A.?
ili. Statement A.? B.? C. $0<-$
The student now has a partial structure. He knows there are three main ideas, and how hany details he should find to support each one. Note that Sectioh. II hat only one supporting idea. This is perfectly-legitimate, despite
I. ?A. Statement B: Statement
II. ?A. Statement
III. ?.A. Statement B. Statement C. Statement

$$
+7
$$

The final step, of course, would be for the student to make the complete outline. If this still presents a problem, give him a skeletal outline indicating the number of main ideas and the number of details required
Experience with these procedures in many classrooms has led me to特位eve that results are well worth the time required to teach them. Why do they work?

1. It reduces a seeminglympossible task to one with which the student can cope.
2. It provides an opportunity for mastery at each step.
3. The discussion and reasons for why an incorrect statement was chosen . are more important than the fact that it was wrong.
4. When the teacher presents a good statement, it provides a model for students to emulate.
5. When the student sees a partially completed outline there is a psychological urge to finish it.
6. By presenting main statements of the outline first, the teacher is moving from the general to the specific - from wholes to parts.
7. A partial structure provides a psychological crutch to be used only as . Jong as needed.

Although some commercial materials supply workbook pages using partial structures, the process is most effective when done with students' own textbooks bocause it then has direct application.
?


In successful reading programs, the whole is greater than the sum of the parts. No description of the various components of reading programs and the stages in their development can take the place of - examples-of successful total programs. The purpose of this section is to provide such examples.

To begin, Niles provides an excellent perspective on the often debated question of the value of behavioral objectives in program development. Starting with a clear statement of the dangers implicit in the adoption of behavioral objectives, she goes on to show how these dangers can be avoided when behayioral objectives are properly conceived and implemented. Next, Frankel describes the range of motivation and reading ability facing the typical content - arealteacher, and how she overcame these obstacles in her social studies classroom. Application of her illustrations to teaching in othèr content areas can be clearly drawn. Finally, a schoolwide project is outlined in the DECA program which, while ignoring many of the tenets of traditional education, has succeeded by involving students in applying reading to tasks of interest and relevance in their lives:

Each of these articles demonstrates that reading program success is built infoeting the real needs of students as these needs exist in the school learning situation. These needs can be revealed by initial assessment as described in the first section of the volume. Recognizing this, we can return to the beginning, to the development and selection of assessment measures. It is now up to you-with your students, in your classroom, in your school.

- What major advantages in program development can result from properly conceived and implemented behavioral objectives?


# BEHAVIO'RAL OBJECTIVES AND THE TEACHING OF READING 

- Olive Stafford Niles

Connecticut State Department of Education

When the history of education in the 1970 s is written, it, may well refer to this decade as the age of accountability. Educatots are being told to make up their minds what they aretrying to accomplish and then pigive they are doing it.

There are dangers for reading instruction in this situation, but there are also positive values.

All good teachers have always been accountable. They have believed that if a child failed to learn it was át least partly the teacher's fault. But this was a kind of personal accountability. The new accountability is often (in fact, usually) imposed from outside though, hopefully, with the advice and consent of the teachers whom it affects. It is a public matter, and it is based on a kind of statement which is new to some teachers. This statement is known, variously, as a behavioral objective, a performance objective, or an instructional.objective.

Behavioral objectives have two major characteristics which must be kept clearly* in mind in any evaluation of the effect they may have on reading instruction:

- I. Behavioral objectives are always stated in terms of the Jearner's behavior, not the teacher's activity,

2. They describe pupil performance that is measurable or at least objectively observable.

Ädapted from Journal of Reading. 17 (November 1972), 104 H10.

An emphasis on behavioral objectives may have negative effects on curriculum building and on the teaching of reading. In the first place, almost everyone who views this trend with alarm points to the fact that it is very much easier to write and implement behavioral objectives which are concerned with the mechanical aspects of learning than it is to write and im$\$_{\text {plement objectives concerned with the deeper, and probably more im- }}$ portant; parts of the reading act. One writer (Kirkton;1971) quotes from a Danny Kaye song in the movie, Hans Christian Andersen:

Inchworm inchworm
〒. Measuring the marigolds
Seems to me
Youdstop to see
How beautiful they are.
Such thoughts illustrate the fear that a major concern for observable, measurable responses will take precedence over those things-the beauty-of the marigolds-that can't be reduced to directly measurable, or even observable, terms; that as we try to produce objective proof that learhing is taking place, the danger of trivialization multiplies. This kind of emphasis, these critics maintain, will result in a deemphasis on the humanistic goals of education:

Hew would you write a behavioral objective, they ask, related to the development of an attitude of open-mindedness about literature, or the imaginative experience we hope the little child will have with poetry, or the fascination with words which should be a major goal of vocabulary teaching? These things, say the critics, cannot be measured except in peripheral and debatable ways. Perhaps another way of saying the same thing is that emphasis on behavioral objectives will tend to make educators lose sight of long range goals and focus on the precise and immediate. The assumption is that the precise and immediate are of less value than the long range and relatively intangible.

## Other Dangers

Another kind of danger is that teaching might become so completely o oriented to the achievement of behavioristic goals that teachers would simply be substituting teaching to the goals for teaching to. the test, a view of education which is usually deplored. Whether this is a real danger depends on what the goals are. Bloom's cognitive taxonomy (1956) specifies six levels,' ranging from memorization to evaluation (memorize, comprehend, apply, analyze, synthesize, evaluate) and Kraphwohl's affective taxonomy (1964) has, correspondingly, five levels from mere receiving and attending to characterization by a value system (receiving-attending, responding-reacting, valuing-internalizing, organizing-resolving conflicting values, character.ization by a value system). As one goes from one level to another in either of

Olive Stafford Niles

> these taxonomies, it becomes increasingly difficult to specify behavioral objectives-hence the trap into which the unwary are often bet rayed of over-
> emphasizing the first one or two levels and underemphasizing or omitting the others. To avoid the trap, the writer of objectives needs not only skill and imaginationn but also a deep understanding of reading as a process. Otherwise, it is probably true that teachers of reading could become locked into a framework of low level objectives which could have only a bad effeçt upon the whole program.

A related danger is that a reading curriculum writen in terms of behavioral objectives could be a very narrow curriculum, mostly, or, entirely oriented to skills. It might alsobe a veryos estrictive curriculum with no room left for the child to learn to set his own goals. Neither of these conditions. needs to develop, but both are distinct and dangerous possibilities, and the child who has not learned to set goals for himself has been deprived of one of the most important aspects of his education.

Pefhaps thë most serious threat is that emphasis on behävioralıobjectivées. may result in fragmentation of the teaching of reading. Sucqess in reading does not come Irom the application of a series of skills separated and in isolation but rather is an application of combined and symthesized skills. Em-- phasis on precise, measurable goals tends in exactly the opposite direction: toward the analysis of the reading process into small parts, each of which can be clearly defined, taught, and measured. It is quite possible that these - .pieces might never get put back togèther into any kind of whole: Teachers could find themelves producing readers who can divide words into syllables with great accuracy or analyze a piéce of writing for its main ideas but who cannot read in any global Q r important sense.

Many persons also feel that eaching to behavioral objectives will lead to interminable testing. If teachers are always being asked to prove that they are accomplishing what they say they intend to accomplish-the bâsic con-- cept of accountability aren't they going to have to test every time they turn $\therefore \quad$ around? Furthermore, do they have tests that are adequate? These are very basic and important questions to which reference will be made later in this paper. It is obvious that accountability has to be based on evaluation of somesort but perhaps not the kind of evaluation these objectors have in mind.

## Advantages Possible

There is a positive side of the picture. It probably goes without saying that no one can tell whether he has arrived anywhere unless he has a clearly. marked goal he will recognize when he gets there. Behavioral goals are very clearly marked. They have some other advantages as well.
First, cürriculum, in reading or anything else, which is built in terms of be- : havioral objectives has the potential to be student-centered rather than sub-
ject-centered thince these objectives must be written in terms of what a student is expecied to be or do as a result of instruction, they force attention away from subject matter and onto the student. This is a big plus. It makes teache think about their priorities-not in vague, general terms but in exact terms. Why are they teaching this particular skill? What will students be able to do of they acquire the skill which they couldn't "to without'it? We have spent too much time analyzing the skills in the ceadifíg process and not enough time finding out what the possession of these skills does for students.

- Related to this idea is the fact that structuring the curriculu havioral objectives aiso has the potential for increasing the amount of diagnostic teaching in the schools. When goals are clearly defined, it is much easier to carry out the day by day probing process which is the essefte of diagnostic-prescriptive teaching. Behavioral goals force the teacher into a situation, in which evaluation is natural and constant and where individual *: children's needs are much more sharply seon. One student, for exadity is 'approaching an objective related to the use of context clues to dyy word meaning; another student is still completely ignoring contexthy ${ }^{\text {ct }}$. The implications for teaching are sharply drawni.
Diagnostic teaching, of course, implies more, individualization of instruc4 tion, and this could be a very important outcome of the focus on behavioral objectives. As the teacher becomes more aware of how children measure up in comparison with quite precise goals, he will be less likely to teach to the whole class and much more inclined to single out individual children or small groups. who need specific kinds of help tip put them on the road to the goals: Individualization in the past, what the e has been of it whas tended to focus mostly on the element of time-all fhildren doing the same work but"at different rates. Behavioral objectives have the potential to create a situation in which some students don't do the work at all-if they have already reached the objective-while other students may have several options of ways to reach the objective. If the focus is on the end product, if teachers are really willing and able to provide alternative ways to reach the goal, then they have the setting for a much more meaningful kind of individualization than has been known in the past.


## Freedom from Rigidity

'A related point is that through the use of behaviorabobjéctives teachers have the opportunity to free themselves from much of the rigidity of present practices in the teaching of reading. Supervisors have been afraid taplet teachers experiment too much with procedures such as individualized readinggand the experience approach, knowing that with the very limited backgifound in the teaching of reading which most teachers have, they migh well dovelop a very lopsided and incomplete kind of program for the childfen in Their chargezathis is probably the major reason why basal reading systems

still control most of the teacheng of reading in this country. These systems
 $\because$ havióral objectives hadáotsame potential far structure and security but leaves the teachers free to decide how they will reach the objectiveś, including the $\psi$ se of a basal system as one of their options. The procedures may be flexible, the time element, fluid; groupingunuch less rigid; use of varied materials, much more common, Guariculumbed on thoughtfully stated objectives could, potentially, aty a d ree of freedom which it does not now have in most schools.
tet Teachers would also hav
tion in which it would be easier to show a child the kind of progress he is making-possibly the most important key to motivation. it has been said that if a teacher gave each learner a copy of the objectives, he might not have to do much else. Though this is probably an overstatement, it does point to a very important concept which has been neglected. Too often, the assumption has been made that as long as teáchers. t.w. know what the goals are, it doeśn't matter whether pupils know them. Teachers are discovering this is not true-that the more the pupils know about where they are going and why, the better. Behavioral objectives can be used to make these goals very clear $\emptyset$ @ the pupil himself.
Many teachers may be willing to do a better job of teaching reading but they cannot do much more than they are doing right now because they don't ' know'clearly what-reading is and what the teaching of it involves. If'they are

* asked to read something (it doesn't matter what) and then to tell wactly
- what they were doing as they read, even some.experienced teachers cannol produce any clear analysis. They do not understand what the process. invqlves. This is an understanding they must have (at least in a pragmatic sense), before they can teach it-reading is not asingle global act though in the mature reader it seems so and his responses are so integrated and efficient the ata analyze it and see each part of it for what it is. .
Good statements of behaxioral objectizes help teachers to understand what makes up the reading process. These teachers begin to ask: "How do you teach children to do this or that?" not "How do you teach reading?" They begin to get down to specifics and practical procedures. They areeless frustrated and negative. Even teachers of content areas in secondary schools begin to see that, tho they may not know much about teaching reading, they can pick qut some things they see as particularly important in the reading of their own subject and learn how to zere in on these things, without undertaking the whole job at once.
Another plus for behavioral objectives is the fact that the public can understand them. A goal like this is essentially meaningless; to most. nonteachers: "To help children became more critical in their reading." Buf if it is restated as follows, and particulady if, the public has an example in the

form of a test exercise or a reading to which children are expected to respond successfully, teachers begite $\%$ communicate: "Given an editorial from the local newspaper, the student will be able to tell which statements are statements of fact. and which are statements of opinion." This kind of goal makes sense to the man on the grreet who begrudges the use of his tax dollars to pay for something he does not understand.


## Developing Objective

The most persistent of the objections to the use of behavioral objectives (to the whole concept of accountability in its present sense) is, as has been indicated, the fear that it willidead to em $\beta$ hasis on mechanical, trivial detail because learning of this detaill is so easily measurable. It is true that accountability is married to measurement, and the state of measurement in the field of reading is unstatisfactory. There are some very important results of a good reading program which are not measurable or even observable, at least not immediately. They may never be measurable except through the pupil's . self-assessment of whe is going origh his mind and heart. But it seems very unwise to reject a whole procedune because there are some parts of it which do not work or, at least, not yet.

Teachers tend to think of measurement in terms of paper-and-pencil tests, forgetting that there are other, though not so precise, ways of telling what has happened t. pils as a a result of instruction. Objectives relating to the more advanced $\sqrt{\text { evels }}$ of cognitive functioning, as well as to the affective domain, may have to be more open. They may have to specify a list of acceptable types of behavior as indications that learning has taken place. Checklists and attitude scales may be of use. Guided observation of the pupil's activities can surely be of help.
The way the objectives are stated is important here. Compare, for example, these two:

1. The student will lable to read ten exames of the use of figurative lane guage and name each example.
2. The student will be able, from a. set of ten examples, of metabithor, to generate a definition of metaphor.
1.This first statement is on an identification level only; the second, on the level of synthesis:

Or this:
After the student has read selections from Thoreau and. Kantor's Andersonville Trial and has discussed Rousseau's Social Contract in class, he will be able to write a critical essay in which he discusses the right of a citizen to freedom of conscience. In writing the essay, he will be able to support his arguments by direct reference to his readings.
Or 4*:
Having read severá stories about sharing with others, the child is observed to share something that he values with some other child in the class.

Objectives such as these are measurable-- objectively so. It is obvious that teachers have to learn to measure internal growth (attitudes, interests, feelings) by the external symptoms they can observe, and so far they have not done a good job. Granted, some of these measurements may not be purely objective. It is certainly too soon to say that these things are not measurable and that, therefore, a curriculum built on behavioral objectives must of necessity be both incomplete and mechanistic.

## How Do You See It?品

There are those who feel that accountability as expressed in behavioral $\because$ objectives will rob the teacher of his creativity and deprive him of personal ${ }^{5}$ responsibility for his program. They see a reading program built around behavioral objectives as mainly concerned with trivia; dominated by a constant pressure to test, test, test; oriented to skills with little regard for children's attitudes, tastes, and feelings about reading (children will learn to read but won't can what fhey read or, indeed, whether they read at all); a piecemeal kind of instruction in which the attainment of fragmented goals is the thing. never mind what they add up to or even whether they add up at all. $\therefore$ There are others who see that accountability as expressed in beh avioral objectives may be a strong force to move teachers in the direttion of a. student-centered curriculum; teaching procedures which are diagnogtic ance: flexible; greater freedom for the teacher to teach as he wants to butwitfoutig: losing a sense of structure and continuity; heightened motivation formethe. children who can clearly and frequently sed thay they are atriving getis they can understand; more ready acceptance particulan bresecondry. : teachers, of their responsibilities in the reading program because they ean s see its parts and visualize how they can fit into the scheme witholf being overwhelmed; a good way to "sell" the program to the public who mpsl support it with dollars because, if teachers describe what ahey are doifg in of and specific terms, they have a chance of convincing the non educato thato they do know where they are going and they do haveay of knowing , whether they got there.
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Within the four wallsfors the social studies classroom thirty-eight seventh graders sat, stretched, laughed, and yawned. According to standardized tests, their reading levels ranged from grades three through eleven. Interests varied to include the gamut: who was going with whom in the class, the fate of the Americàn Indian ${ }^{\text {and }}$ and Kung Fu. This diverse group was met with one sturdy, seventh grade history text and a classroom library un-. toyched by teenage hands.
To meet the needst this diverse group; I coupled social studies content with critical reading and commúnication skills. I expétind my definition of reading, tọ mean not merely the ability to decode the ed wort, but the ability to understand and evaluate all forms of pinatif and secondary resources. Reading would also inclưe the ability to apply the comprehended finformation through a variety of media from the printed word to graphics to *dramatics. I developed multilevel lessons with enough flexibility for each student to grasp the content at his own level of reading ability from remedial to gifted. I also designed involvement and success into each unit to meet the affective goal of having each student develop positive feelings toward himself. To put this theory ińto practical classioom use, I developed five approaches calling for total student involyement.



## Projects

The success of student-made games, led me to use the approach for a combined values, social studies, and reding project later in the year. I assigned the project as follows: Students could choose any social studies toppic to work with. They were required to write a question and answer N mental in its form of graphic communication, would indicate the student's values and written instrucfions would tell how to play the game.
For example, the boys who designed the game "All Junkies Are Dopes" put a positive value.on not using drugs. This, then, was the goal and finish of the game. Along the route to a drug-free life, however, a player might land on a'square saying, "Busted for marijuana gossession. Go back five'spaces." - O'ther sqquares required the player to know the answer to a question about drug., , found in the question booklet, before advancing.
A wide range of values was encouraged. "You and Me: The Marriage Game" made getting married the end-goal. The researched questions included facts about international customs of marriage. In the "Women's

- Liberation Game" a player would win when she attained her equal rights before the law. In this game, getting married might move a player back! Here, research centered around the Equal Rights Amendment.
This was a multilevel assignmen While the "Watergate Game" required comprehensive reading and research skills and using magazines and newspapers, the "Survival Game: The Game of Gangs" involved simple recording of fine's own kpowledge of the neigh borthook

Students read enthusiasticalld aboyt their topics and employed critical thinking skills in formulating their questions. Students playing each other's games needed to read instruction booklets in order to play, encouraging students to communicate clearly ando playérs to read accurately. Students madde value judgments based on conclusions derived from their research.

Consumer education was our next problem. As a springboard, I gave each student copies of food labels. I asked them to critically read the labels and identify unnecessary or misleading information. Using the inquüry approach, we compiled a listof facts wa felt werénecessary for the consumer to know when byying a food item (weight, ingredients, pricè, and so forth).

I had students apply what they had tearned by designing two can labels One can would be the ideal can and the other, the imperfect can. The labels. were drawn on paper strips, then rolled and stapled to logk like real cans. For example, "Friskies" wast.priced at 20 e per çan and contained meat by-
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products, while "Riskies": was priced at two cans for ' 53 e and sontained cereal.

## Teams

- The next part of the unit involved teamwork. I divided the students into small firms whose function was to market one item. The conditions were: 1) There must be a market for the item within the school community, and 2) the item must not have an adverse effect on the environment.

Condition one was satisfied through marketing research. For oxample, would the bicycle firm have greater success selling a three-speed, five-speed, or ten-speed bike? Students compiled a list of questions relevant to their product. The questions became part of a group questionnaire used to poll their schoolmates during lunch break. In this way, the most popular modelof television, motorcycle, car, or eveñ bug spray could be determined.
Condition two was satisfied by writing an environmental impact study of the product. Little information was available on this topic so each firm coopdratively wrote how they ideally would want their product to affect the , environment. Students became aware that a firm hadfagreater responsibility to the public than merely selling a pppular product.
$\therefore \quad$ / Conmunication was my emphasis as -students. designed ads for their - /prodfucts. We discussegtreal magazine ads in terms of their effectiyeness in frelling the product and fot violating consumer rights. Students desigmed magazirte ads fortheir own products, illustrati-them and using persuasive writing techniques. That night's homework wo watch and analyze televition commercials. This brought us into a discussion of mass media and led " each firm to dramatize commercials for their oth products. The class evaluated the resulting commercials according to the standards they had determined

The climactic ending to this unit.was two neighborhood field trips, visited a billboard manufacturing company and Lawry's Foods headquarters, Students voluntarily bwought tists of questions and thoroughly , quizzed the guides. One student, exen brought a tape recorder so we could further analyze all we had learned back in the classroom laboratory. This approach to consumèr education brought reading and social studies into a
8. real world context for the students.

## Drama Use



Drama was a multipurpose classrogn tool. It brought us together as class. It allowed sơmeclas's membes to succeed where they had ney fr done. se befote. It allowed students to cormmunicate through a new mediun ${ }^{7}$ and practiee their speech and language skills, Values were examined through drama with role playing qctivities. I gave the students a situation, they im-

84
Reading Skills Through Social Studies Content and Siudent Involvement

provised the rest: You are being asked to join a gidng. How will you and the gang members respond? Action. You are taking a test. Your best friend signals you for some answers. What do you do". Action. In the middle of the action I might'ask the actors to switch roles to see the situation from the opposite viewpoint. I participated, often taking the role of student, and students play'my role as teacher, parant, or friend. Students were soon bringing in their own real or created situations to act out. Improvising their "reactions, students examined their own behaviors and those of their peers' in a realistic setting. The popularity of this activity made it a reward for good work during tharest of the week
Values were also considered-in'our social studies content areas. In a unit. on the penal system, drama was' used as a valuès monitor and a feedback system for me to determine what had been leatrned. Aftesreading an article on prisons, students discussed their feelings in gfotss. They reported back to class their conceptions of the videal penal systemby acting out the life of a prisoner in this setting. This sort of approzech to subject matter resulted in a high degree of student interaction and involyement.

On the last day of school students took home their original books and games. Here were tangible results of the achievements they had made during the year and some good review material to use over the summer to polish

$\qquad$
*


Happening upon a school program that seemsiontwithextremely well is: always a delight. Invariably it marks the presence of of orer more alert, enera getic teachers. Add administrators, who not only of er full support to the

- program, but who speak openly of expanding and adapting programs like it. in the rest of the school, and delight turns to enthusiasm. When students themselves volunteer excitemefit about the prbgram and seek wider public understanding anje support of the impact is beautiful!

Would you belieye, further, that some of the mosi enthusiastic students involved figure they would be dropouts if it were not for the program, and that they refresent groups that most high schools have pegged euphemis-- tically as "average and below"?

Instead of dropping out, members of the Newark High School chapter of .dECA (Distributive Education Clubs of Ámerica) talk proudly of their school program, one that takes some of them from their home base in Delaware to Los Angons, Baltimore, San Antonio, New. York. They converse as easily and as often about addressing the local Rotarians or the Chamber of Commerce or the Kiwanis or Optimists as they do abotit such projects as the publicity campaign they are running for the balketbalt game they are sponsoring between a faculty teamand a team of disc jockeys, from a nearby radio station.


What they and their teachers have to say atotit reading, either directly or Reprinted from Journal of Reading. 15 (Fëbruary 197a; 335-34 I. ;
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indirectly, probably needs to he heard by any reading instructor who seeks, rapport with students furned off by traditional programs.

## What They Read .

"The deCA program is an action program," Robert Richmond declares. Three years in his present job゙ at Newark High, he heads a team of three teachers who serve as advisors to the club. "Itspurpose is to train kids for careers in marketing, especially retailing. One, of the primary goals, or needs, is to equip them with the tools of self-expression."
The frequent and almost interchangeable use of goals and needs in the conversations of Richmond and his colleagues, George Webber and Robert Parsons, is a direct clue to an underlying principle of the program. It is highly task-oriented and geared step-by-step to specific needs. Its use of reading activity, then, is almost predictable.
"Although we serve 2,000 students, we have no reading program as such in the school," Principal Nelson Freidly reports. "There is a bit of reading instruction carried out through some English classes, but we haven't yet been able to coordinate other classes very closely with less traditional programs like deca."

Richmond and his team know more than anyone else what the DECA students read and don't read, why and why not, and how effectively.
."They read committee assignments and repotts all the time. They have to. It keeps the club actively going."

Jewel Duke, school librarian, notes that the DECA students request and use an inordinate number of manuals, reference works, and other technical . materials-almost always tasks that are tied in with club projects.
The student handbook distributed nationally by DECA, Inc., is clearly organized into short subsections and written in direct, uncamplicated style." Printed on heavy stock and loosely color coded by major sections, it is attractive but functional. The good"deca student refers to jit regularly.
"Suppose a boy is put in charge of an awards banquet," \&Richmond "explains. "He hás to know what to do and how to do it so he finds material of how to preparefor a banquet and reads it."
What kinds of reading material are most helpful and most heeded by students in the program? "Newspapers, advertisements, how-to's, booklets on car̃eers and voçations, diréctions of various kinds. Articles on grooming did personality problems or development. Specific guides on how to get
 own to read, if has to be realistic, the vocabulary simple and direct. Minibooks would be wonderfül. Most of these kids haven't finished a piece of ${ }_{2}$ book-length fiction in their lives." .
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With all the pragetuatism hehind such observations, the three teachers make little or no usctiv texthooks. There are texthouks in the dee a room, and they are used, hut rarely on a classwide basis. Rather, they are considered to be pote tial resource material should a student need to go to some passage within texthook for some specific purpose, probably in line with a project or activity.
aron program's subecess could be incorporated into certing programs or adapted to them. While student':are evaluated in the program and grades are mandatory in the school, gr/ ples offer little or no photivation to the students. Bersonal motivation is fouty in the comptition built into the deca structure itself. That competition fan take place among students in a chapter; among.

- chapters; andon local, 星估e, and national levels. The fact that the Newark chapler has been numbet one in state competition four years in a row and . number two in the nation is volunteered carly in interviews of club members, Wachers, and Principal reidly.

Eighteen different"pljects underway within'the program offer students a variety of interests and provide a wide arena for creativity and problemsolving. Signs scattered argand the elassroom in which meetings are held spell out some of the exaphases and,elements of success: "Today we're number two. Tomorrow is up to you." "Say I'll try' not 'I can' 't'." "You Are the Key Person in deci." The club's national trophy stands tall at front and center day and night: Certificates of achicwement hang from walls.

The extension of program beyond school walls and hours is a great source of pride and a training ground for responsibility ${ }_{-}$dinners with local business representatives, trips to state and national competitions, moncy-raising activities in the community. The group contracted with the nearby University of Delaware to clean up the university stadium after home football games for a price, naturally. In carrying through such club projects, the members who actually show up to do the work are rewarded with points that accrue to the individual's credit. Then, when individual members need funds for special Dec'a events to finance a trip to competition in Los Angeles, for instance they share in the groups "profits" to the extent of their individual efforts, as measured by the total points each has earned.

Constant emphasis on self-help as well as on mutual support and cooperation pays.off not only in individual feelings of woith and involvement, but also in unusually strong esprit de corps. Furthermore idthe obscured the. lines, "bet ween student and graduate to a great extent, astmany" the "alumni" of. -athe program, return not ou. to formal club events like the annuat breakfasi. for the installation of officers (held at a restaurant, not in the school

cafeteria) but also simply to offer advice, counsel, and encouragement informally.

## - The. Teachers Involved

"Our priority," Richmond reports, "is the individual student with a problom." The penchant is apparently contagious, for Junior Michael Ilenderson, while presiding over a recent club meeting, accompanied each new task assignment to individual members with the same question, "Do you know what to do?" If the response was hestitant, Mike turned to whichever other student officer happened to be overseer for the particular project being assigned and offered no question, but a confident "You know what to do. Help her."
"In a program like this," one of the teachers remarked, "with the kids
tre trying to reach, you simply don't have enough time to worry about teaching all thecessary skills as skills not even reading skills. We hope that reading skilis will take care of themselves if the motivation and belief are high enough'among the students andif there is enough support from us and from their peers."

- Arguing that there can be no student failure in the progran and the course that surgounds it because the program's chief purpose is to serve as an exploratory experience for the yudents, letting them decide whether salesmanship is "their thing," thesuachers tend to forget about grading as
* much as they can. "How can we fail a student if the program is exploratory"? Either he learns that the program is for him, or learns that it isn't. Eitherr way;'he has learned:".
"Pay your club dues and you're"passed," is the way one student put it. "We really don't care about grades never have. We're in this for other reasons."
- 

One of the "other reasons," most of the students agree, is the easy and extensive rapport between themsetves and the club" advisors. Those teachers find themselves working as often as not in guidance functions, or as advocates in behall of one or another student, as liaison with tocal businesses in which students ar ployed parttime. They write reports on clubactivities or student achiof, Thent. They are side by side with students cleaning un friex university stadium or performing in one or another of the mahy gither money-raising projects.
"We kind of bend the rules, if we have ig" see the teachers as twenty-four hounproforsio alswho believe in their ${ }_{y}$ program and their, students. The three teachers, who among them are -1 pronsible for more than 200 students, (understand the full fignificance of $*$
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## - How Do You Knoug II Works!

With a program so flexiAle and varied, many wonder how anyone can vouch for the program"s "success." It is impossible to count the number of dropouts who did not drop out of sehool becaluse the program "kept them in." Whese grades are played down by teachers and students alike, it is ridiculous to point to As and Bs and Cs. If no one fails "who hats paid his dues" in this exploratory program, one cannot even resort to the usual pass/fail criterion.

The teachers involved point the respect the program has among local business persons, many of whom hire" drea students, to work during afternoon hours. (The typical mea student at Newark has completed his formal school day by noontime. ' Whey point to placentent and employment records of progrdit alumni, and to continuing support and participation in. the program by its graduates: They point to trophies and scores and cer- . tifcates gathered in compëtition with other clubss evaluated objectively by .mpartial judges. When pressed, they conjecturte a program dropout rate of. less than 5 percent in sidy years.
7. As for transler of reading habits from project-centered materials to wider uses and interests, there is 䏟s assurance. "There is some transfer, certainly," Mrs. Duke believes. Treve read nfore of any assignments in other saclusses than I used to,"-altowed one student.
1f there was any doubt in the local publes inind about the success of the program, for several months a huge billboard alongside the main hightway. into town faced every incoming motorist: "?
"A community to be proud of Heme of tho Newark Chapter of the Distributive Education Clubs of America, Inc."

Paid for by the Newark deca chapter, it was a sign that all could read. .
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