Journal of Experimental & Clinical Cancer Research



Open Access Research

Clinical research of Olanzapine for prevention of chemotherapy-induced nausea and vomiting

Lijun Tan¹, Jiangtao Liu², Xiuli Liu¹, Jie Chen¹, Zhijun Yan¹, Huifen Yang¹ and Daxin Zhang*1

Address: ¹First Department of Oncology, the First Affiliated Hospital of Harbin Medical University, Heilongjiang, 150001, China and ²Department of Otolaryngology, the First Affiliated Hospital of Harbin Medical University, Heilongjiang, 150001, China

Email: Lijun Tan - tlj777@sina.com; Jiangtao Liu - ljt89103@vip.sina.com; Xiuli Liu - liuxiuli928@hotmail.com; Jie Chen - JQHan@hit.edu.com; Zhijun Yan - yanzhijun1023@163.com; Huifen Yang - dfl86359@yahoo.com; Daxin Zhang* - daxinmay@126.com

* Corresponding author

Published: 23 September 2009

Journal of Experimental & Clinical Cancer Research 2009, 28:131 doi:10.1186/1756-9966-28-131

This article is available from: http://www.jeccr.com/content/28/1/131

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Received: 25 May 2009 Accepted: 23 September 2009

© 2009 Tan et al; licensee BioMed Central Ltd.

Abstract

Background: This study was designed to mainly evaluate the activity and safety of olanzapine compared with 5hydroxytryptamine3(5-HT₃) receptor antagonists for prevention of chemotherapy-induced nausea and vomiting(CINV) in patients receiving highly or moderately emetogenic chemotherapy (HEC or MEC). The second goal was to evaluate the impact of olanzapine on quality of life (QoL) of cancer patients during the period of chemotherapy.

Methods: 229 patients receiving highly or moderately emetogenic chemotherapy were randomly assigned to the test group [olanzapine(O) 10 mg p.o. plus azasetron (A) 10 mg i.v. and dexamethasone (D) 10 mg i.v. on day 1; O 10 mg once a day on days 2-5] or the control group (A 10 mg i.v. and D 10 mg i.v. on day 1; D 10 mg i.v. once a day on days 2-5). All the patients filled the observation table of CINV once a day on days I-5, patients were instructed to fill the EORTC QLQ-C30 QoL observation table on day 0 and day 6. The primary endpoint was the complete response (CR) (without nausea and vomiting, no rescue therapy) for the acute period (24 h postchemotherapy), delayed period (days 2-5 poschemotherapy), the whole period (days 1-5 postchemotherapy). The second endpoint was QoL during chemotherapy administration, drug safety and toxicity.

Results: 229 patients were evaluable for efficacy. Compared with control group, complete response for acute nausea and vomiting in test group had no difference (p > 0.05), complete response for delayed nausea and vomiting in patients with highly emetogenic chemotherapy respectively improved 39.21% (69.64% versus 30.43%, p < 0.05), 22.05% (78.57% versus 56.52%, p < 0.05), complete response for delayed nausea and vomiting in patients with moderately emetogenic chemotherapy respectively improved 25.01% (83.07% versus 58.06%, p < 0.05), 13.43% (89.23% versus 75.80%, p < 0.05), complete response for the whole period of nausea and vomiting in patients with highly emetogenic chemotherapy respectively improved 41.38% (69.64% versus 28.26%, p < 0.05), 22.05% (78.57% versus 56.52%, p < 0.05), complete response for the whole period of nausea and vomiting in patients with moderately emetogenic chemotherapy respectively improved 26.62% (83.07% versus 56.45%, p < 0.05), 13.43% (89.23% versus 75.80%, p < 0.05). 214 of 299 patients were evaluable for QoL. Comparing test group with control group in QoL evolution, significant differences were seen in global health status, emotional functioning, social functioning, fatigue, nausea and vomiting, insomnia and appetite loss evolution in favour of the test group (p < 0.01). Both treatments were well tolerated.

Conclusion: Olanzapine can improve the complete response of delayed nausea and vomiting in patients receiving the highly or moderately emetogenic chemotherapy comparing with the standard therapy of antiemesis, as well as improve the QoL of the cancer patients during chemotherapy administration. Olanzapine is a safe and efficient drug for prevention of CINV.

Background

Chemotherapy-induced nausea and vomiting is a significant side effect of cancer therapy for many years[1]. CR for acute period and delayed period in the patients receiving highly and moderately emetogenic chemotherapy with the use of 5-HT₃ receptor antagonists plus dexamethasone is respectively 68%-90% and 47%-56%. Despite the use of 5-HT₃ receptor antagonists plus dexamethasone has significantly improved the control of the acute CINV, the complete response for the delayed nausea and vomiting has not significantly improved comparing with the sole use of dexamethasone[2]. Recent studies have demonstrated additional improvement in the control of acute and delayed CINV with the use of two new agents, aprepitant, the first agent available in the new drug class of neruokinin-1 receptor antagonists, and palonosetron, a second-generation 5-HT₃ receptor antagonist [3-5]. Because without of the application of the two new drugs in China, we still have many chance for improvement with the addition or substitution of new agents in current antiemetic regimens.

Olanzapine, an atypical antipsychotic drug, blocks multiple neurotransmitters: serotonin, at 5H2a, 5H2c, 5H3, and 5HT6 receptors, dopamine at D1, D2, D3 and D4 brain receptor, catecholamines at alpha 1 adrenergic receptors, acetylcholine at muscarinic receptors, and histamine at H1 receptors. Just for its action at multiple receptors sites, particularly at the D2 and 5H₃ receptors, which appear to be involved in nausea and vomiting, suggest that it has potential antiemetic properties.

At first some case reports shew that olanzapine was effective in reduction nausea in advanced cancer patients with opioid-induced nausea [6,7]. Another study reported that olanzapine may decrease delayed emesis in 28 cancer patients treated with highly or moderately emetogenic chemotherapy [8]. Then a phase I study made sure the maximum tolerated dose of olanzapine which is 5 mg per day for the 2 days prior to chemotherapy and 10 mg per day for 7 days postchemotherapy[9]. It had safe and effective use for the prevention of delayed emesis in cancer patients receiving moderately to highly emetogenic chemotherapy such as cyclophosphamide, doxorubicin, cisplatin, and/or irinotecan. In a II stage trial of olanzapine[10] in combination with granisetron and dexamethasone for prevention of CINV, the combination therapy proved to be highly effective in controlling acute and delayed CINV in patients receiving highly and moderately emetogenic chemotherapy. CR for acute period, delayed period in ten patients receiving highly emetogenic chemotherapy is respectively 100% and 80%. Results for moderately emetogenic chemotherapy were similar. In order to reduce the side effect of dexamethasone, Navari designed a II stage trial to determine the control of acute and delayed CINV in patients receiving moderately and highly emetogenic chemotherapy with the combined use of palonosetron, olanzapine and dexamehthasone which was given on day 1 only. For the first cycle of chemotherapy, the complete response (no emesis, no rescue) for the acute, delayed and overall period was respectively 100%, 75%, and 75% in 8 patients receiving HEC and 97%, 75%, and 72% in 32 patients receiving MEC. Patients with no nausea for the acute, delayed, and overall period was respectively 100%, 50% and 50% in 8 patients receiving HEC and was 100%,78%, and 78% in 32 patients receiving MEC. The result shew that olanzapine combined with a single dose of dexamethasone and a single dose of palonosetron was very effective in controlling acute and delayed CINV in patients receiving both HEC and MEC.

Based on these data, olanzapine appear to be a safe and effective agent for prevention acute and delayed CINV in spite of a few of patients. At present the antiemetic regimen is the combination of 5-HT₃ receptor antagonist, dexamethasone and/or metoclopramide, diazepam in China. In an attempt to improve the complete remission of the acute and delayed emesis, we preformed a study used with the combination of olanzapine, azasetron and dexamethasone for prevention acute and delayed nausea and vomiting induced by highly or moderately emetogenic chemotherapy.

Methods

Patient selection

The adult patients with a pathological diagnosis of malignant disease or previously treated by chemotherapy were enrolled onto the study and received either moderately emetogenic (oxaliplatin, carboplatin, epirubicin, adriamycin) or highly emetogenic (cisplatin, dacarbazine) chemotherapy. Patients were required to have adequate bone marrow (absolute neutrophil count ≥ 1,500/ul, HB \geq 10 g/L, platelet count \geq 80,000/ul), renal (serum creatinine $\leq 1.5 \text{ mg/dl}$) and liver (serum bilirubin $\leq 1.5 \text{ mg/dl}$) functions, normal cardiac function, ECOG performance status ≤ 2 , no nausea in the 24 h prior to beginning olanzapine or chemotherapy, no severe cognitive compromise, no known history of CNS disease (e.g., uncontrolled brain metastases, seizure disorder), no antipsychotic disease, no concurrent abdominal radiotherapy, no know hypersensitivity to olanzapine, no history of uncontrolled diabetes mellitus, no concurrent medical disease. All patients gave written informed consent to participate in the trial.

Study design and antiemetic treatment

All eligible patients were randomized into test group and control group according to the random digits table. On the day of chemotherapy, day 1, the test group patients received the antiemetic regimen consist of olanzapine 10 mg p.o., azasetron 10 mg, i.v. and dexamethasone 10 mg i.v., the control group patients received a standard pre-

treatment antiemetic regimen consist of azasetron 10 mg, i.v. and dexamethasone 10 mg, i.v. Day 2-5, the test group patients received olanzapine 10 mg p.o., the control group patients received dexamethasone 10 mg, i.v.. Patients were permitted to take other antiemetic therapy for nausea and/or emesis based on clinical circumstances.

Study endpoints

The primary endpoint was CR, the second endpoint was QoL, drug safety and toxicity. CINV was graded by CTCAE V 3.0, QoL was evaluated according to EORTC QLQ-C30.

Assessment procedures

All of the enrolled patients whose data such as age, sex, height, weight should be recorded underwent a complete physical examination, laboratory assessment (i.e. blood analysis, liver function, renal function, blood glucose, blood lipids) before chemotherapy. At days 1-5 postchemotherapy patients used the observation table of CINV to record the response of the patients (mainly recorded the degree of CINV, as well as whether to take the remedial treatment to relieve nausea and vomiting), at same time patients were instructed to fill the EORTC QLQ-C30 QoL observation table on day 0 and day 6.

Statistical analyses

Statistical analyses were carried out using SPSS14.0. The percentage of patients with complete response for acute period, delayed period and the overall period (0-120 h postchemotherapy) was calculated separately in test group and control group, as well as every level of nausea and vomiting. The X² test was utilized to analyze complete response. The Wilcoxon-signed rank test was used to compare QoL data before and after chemotherapy. Student's ttest was used to compare parametric QoL data postchemotherapy between groups. The Mann-Whitney U test was performed to compare non-parametric QoL data postchemotherapy between groups. P-value of < 0.05 was considered as statistically significant.

Results

Patients characteristics

From January 2008 to August 2008,229 patients were randomly enrolled onto the study. All patients were evaluable for efficacy and toxicity. Groups were comparable regarding age, sex and drug which distribution were balanced (p > 0.05) (Table 1). All patients received chemotherapy. There were 108 patients in test group and 106 patients in control group who took part in filling QoL assessment.

Primary efficacy analysis

Both of test group and control group had showed better efficacy on controlling CINV. Comparison of drug efficacy was shown in Table 2. Compared with control group, complete response for acute period in test group with highly or moderately emetogenic chemotherapy had no difference (p > 0.05), complete response for delayed nausea and vomiting in patients with highly emetogenic chemotherapy respectively improved 39.21%(69.64% versus 30.43%, p < 0.05), 22.05% (78.57% versus 56.52%, p < 0.05), complete response for delayed nausea and vomiting in patients with moderately emetogenic chemotherapy respectively improved 25.01%(83.07% 58.06%, p < 0.05), 13.43% (89.23%) versus 75.80%, p < 0.05), complete response for the whole period of nausea and vomiting in patients with highly emetogenic chemotherapy respectively improved 41.38% (69.64% versus 28.26%, p < 0.05), 22.05% (78.57% versus 56.52%, p < 0.05), complete response for the whole period of nausea and vomiting in patients with moderately emetogenic chemotherapy respectively improved 26.62% (83.07% versus 56.45%, p < 0.05), 13.43% (89.23% versus 75.80%, p < 0.05). Age was significantly correlated with acute, delayed and the whole period nausea in the level of 0.01.

The results of further classification for CINV were shown in Table 3. Test group and control group had achieved better efficacy without of acute nausea and vomiting prior to level 3 and delayed acute nausea and vomiting prior to level 4. Complete response for level 1 acute nausea, level 3 delayed nausea and vomiting were 100% in test group, but there were no statistically difference compared with control group (p > 0.05). The efficacy for level 2 acute or delayed nausea and vomiting in test group were superior to control group (p < 0.05).

Secondary efficacy parameters

There were 214 patients whose QoL data could be evaluated. The QLQ-C30 responses were scored and analyzed according to algorithms in a scoring manual supplied by the EORTC Study Group on Quality of life. An increased score for a functional domain and global QoL scale represents an improvement of functioning, an decreased score for a symptom scale represents an improvement of symptomatic problem. After chemotherapy an improvement in global health status, emotional functioning, cognitive functioning, pain, dyspnoea, insomnia, appetite loss were seen in test group, but no difference in cognitive functioning, dyspnoea and appetite loss were seen (p > 0.05). After chemotherapy an improvement in pain and dyspnoea were seen in the control group, but no difference in pain was seen (p > 0.05). Comparing test group and control group in QoL evolution, significant differences were seen in global health status, emotional functioning, social functioning, fatigue, nausea and vomiting, insomnia and appetite loss evolution in favour of test group (p < 0.01).

All the enrolled patients had completed the study. 73% of patients in test group had sleepiness during chemotherapy, but after chemotherapy weight, blood lipoid and

Table 1: characteristics of patients in two groups

	Test group	Control group		
Number of patients	121	108		
Age range (mean standard deviation)				
male	40-73(54 ± 9.23)	41-74(54.5 ± 10.33)		
female	27-68(48.25 ± 12.70)	18-67(49.58 ± 12.12)		
Gender	,	,		
Male	72 (59.50%)	65 (60.20%)		
Female	49 (40.50%)	43 (39.80%)		
Drug	,	,		
Cisplain(75 mg/m²)	56 (46.30%)	44 (40.70%)		
Oxaliplatin(85 mg/m²)	27 (22.30%)	26 (24.10%)		
Epirubicin(90 mg/m²)	19 (15.7%) [´]	22 (20.4%)		
Carboplatin(AUC 5)	9 (7.40%)	4 (3.7%)		
Adriamycin(50 mg/m ²)	10 (8.3%)	10 (9.3%)		
Dacarbazine(200 mg/m²)	0 `	2(1.9%)		
Cancer type		, ,		
Lung	39	15		
Stomach	9	12		
Breast	23	31		
Ovarian	10	2		
Lymphoma	12	10		
Oesophageal	5	6		
Colorectal	16	14		
Oropharyngeal	3	0		
Teratoma	4	0		
Gingival	0	3		
Thymus	0	4		
Cervical	0	4		
Laryngeal	0	2		
Malignant melanoma	0	3		
Glioblastoma	0	2		

blood glucose did not change significantly. Fatigue, headache, dry mouth, diarrhea were common adverse events in two groups but did not result in level 3 or 4 toxicity, which could be tolerated by two groups patients. Most patients in control group had disturbed sleep during chemotherapy which could be relieved by oral estazolam.

Discussion

Although 5-HT_3 receptor antagonists have been particularly effectively for the acute CINV [11-13], they have not

effective against the delayed CINV in patients receiving highly or moderately emetogenic chemotherapy [14]. They have the same efficacy as dexamethation for prevention of the delayed CINV [2], so this study compared olanzapine regimen with the standard therapy regimen to evaluate their effect for CINV in patients receiving highly or moderately emetogenic chemotherapy. In the present study, the effect of two regimens were similar to the acute nausea and vomiting, but the olanzapine regimen protected more than two-thirds of patients from emesis after

Table 2: Complete response of CINV

	Complete response (%)											
	AN		AV		DN		DV		NC		VC	
	Н	М	н	М	н	М	н	М	н	М	Н	М
G	94.64	98.46	91.07	96.92	69.64	83.07	78.57	89.23	69.64	83.07	78.57	89.23
CG	86.96	93.54	89.13	96.77	30.43	58.06	56.52	75.80	28.26	56.45	56.52	75.80
P value	> 0.05		> 0.05		< 0.05		< 0.05		< 0.05		< 0.05	

AN: acute nausea, AV: acute vomiting, DN: delayed nausea, DV: delayed vomiting, NC: nausea of whole period of chemotherapy, VC: vomiting of whole period of chemotherapy, TG: test group, CG: control group, H: highly emetogenic chemotherapy, M: moderately emetogenic chemotherapy

Table 3: Complete response of CINV in different grade

	Complete response (%)									
	AN		AV		DN		DV			
	LI	L2	LI	L2	LI	L2	L3	LI	L2	L3
-G	96.70	97.52	97.52	99.17	90.08	94.21	100	93.39	96.70	100
CG	100	87.04	97.22	91.66	82.40	62.96	99.07	89.81	76.85	99.07
o value	> 0.05	< 0.05	> 0.05	< 0.05	> 0.05	< 0.05	> 0.05	> 0.05	< 0.05	> 0.05

Definition of nausea according to CTCAE V 3.0

- L1: Loss of appetite without alteration in eating habits
- L2: Oral intake decreased without significant weight loss, dehydration or malnutrition; IV fluids, indicated < 24 hrs.
- L3: inadequate oral caloric and/or fluid intake, IV fluids, tube feedings, or TPN indicated ≥ 24 hrs
- L4: Life-threatening consequences
- L5: Death

Definition of nausea according to CTCAE V 3.0

- LI: I episode in 24 hrs
- L2: 2-5 episodes in 24 hrs; IV fluids indicated < 24 hrs
- L3: > = 6 episodes in 24 hrs; IV fluids, or TPN indicated > = 24 hrs
- L4: Life-threatening consequences
- L5: Death

they received highly or moderately emetogenic chemotherapy and enabled them to avoid the use of rescue therapy during 2-4 days after chemotherapy, whereas treatment of control group with the currently available standard therapy protected approximately half of patients. The superiority of olanzapine for control of delayed nausea and vomiting caused by highly emetogenic chemotherapy is more than its roles on delayed nausea and vomiting caused by moderately emetogenic chemotherapy. In the assessments of complete response over the period after chemotherapy, the olanzapine regimen provided a substantial improvement of 41 and 26 percent points and 22 and 13 percent points over standard therapy in the prevention of nausea and vomiting after highly and moderately emetogenic chemotherapy, this represented a clearly meaningful benefit.

Recent studies demonstrated that the acute emesis is mainly associated with serotonin, so 5-HT₃ receptor antagonists have a dramatically effect on the acute emesis in many trials, but delayed emesis seems to differ in its pathogenic mechanism from acute emesis because drugs that are so effective in preventing the acute emesis are less effective in the delayed period such as 5-HT₃ receptor antagonist. Olanzapine blocks multiple neurotransmitters which are known mediators of CINV. Olanzapine appears to have activity in control acute and delayed nausea and vomiting.

According to CTCAE V3.0, level 1 of nausea means loss of appetite without alteration in eating habits, level 2 means oral intake decreased without significant weight loss, dehydration or malnutrition; IV fluids, indicated < 24 hrs, level 3 means inadequate oral caloric and/or fluid intake,

IV fluids, tube feedings, or TPN indicated > = 24 hrs. Level 1 of vomiting means 1 episode in 24 hrs, level 2 means 2-5 episodes in 24 hrs; IV fluids indicated < 24 hrs, level 3 means > = 6 episodes in 24 hrs; IV fluids, or TPN indicated > = 24 hrs. This study of further classification for nausea and vomiting showed that olanzapine regimen had statistical difference in controlling level 2 acute or delayed nausea and vomiting from standard therapy regimen for CINV opposite to controlling level 1 nausea and vomiting. This meant that olanzapine could relieve the degree of acute or delayed nausea and vomiting and improve the efficacy of its antiemetic role.

Dexamethasone is effective as monotherapy and in combination with 5-HT₃ receptor antagonist to prevent acute and delayed nausea and vomiting in patients receiving a chemotherapeutic regimens used for treatment of different cancers. However, one must be aware of potential toxic effects of dexamethasone. In a recent survey, moderate-to-severe side-effects noted for patients receiving dexamethasone for prophylaxis against delayed CINV included insomnia (45%), gastrointestinal symptoms (27%), agitation (25%), increased appetite (18%), weight gain (17%), rash (15%), depression on cessation of treatment (7%), hiccups (7%) and oral candidiasis (3%)[15]. In order to try one' best to relieve the side-effects of dexamethasone, olanzapine was separately used to prevent the delayed nausea and vomiting comparing with dexamethasone for delayed nausea and vomiting in patients receiving highly or moderately chemotherapy in this study. Olanzapine in combination with 5-HT₃ receptor antagonist and dexamethasone was shown to be superior to 5-HT₃ receptor antagonist and dexamethasone in controlling the acute and delayed CINV in patients receiving highly or moderately emetogenic chemotherapy, specifically for the delayed nausea and vomiting. The severe toxic effects of olanzapine was not seen in this clinical study. The most frequent side-effect was sleepiness which could effectively relieve insomnia and agitation caused by dexamethasone.

The diagnosis of cancer is a life-altering experience for anyone. Some cancer patients could have inevitable emotions that can interfere with medical care, family, diet, sleep, exercise. The more common diagnosed psychiatric conditions are depression, anxiety, adjustment disorders, delirium. Often, patients have mixed states or combinations of symptoms, such as depression and anxiety. Olanzapine is an atypical antipsychotic drug, some studies have demonstrated the antidepressant efficacy of olanzapine [16,17]. In this study, whether the use of olanzapine for five days could result in the improvement of QoL because of its antipsychotic effects, which need to further study for no relevant studies to be reported. But we observed olanzapine not only elevated the complete response for CINV, specially for the delayed nausea and vomiting but also improved the emotion, sleep, appetite of the cancer patients compared with the standard therapy regimen of antiemesis. Improvement of the cancer patients QoL during chemotherapy can make the patients more confidence for treatment which can make the patients complete the whole treatment. This will result in the improvement of the clinical efficacy.

Conclusion

In summary, this study demonstrated that olanzapine has obtained the better efficacy on being safely used for preventing the CINV. Olanzapine can improve the complete response of delayed nausea and vomiting in patients receiving the highly or moderately emetogenic chemotherapy comparing with the standard therapy of antiemesis, as well as improve the QoL of the cancer patients during chemotherapy. Olanzapine is a safe and efficient drug for prevention of CINV. Further study should be done to compare the efficacy of olanzapine with aprepitant or palonosetron on prevention of CINV through large sample study.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

LT designed and carried out this study, drafted the manuscript. DZ conceived of the study, JL participated in its design and modified the manuscript. XL, JC, ZY and HY provided the patients for study. JP, JL and YR helped with the clinical observation. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank other staffs working in the first department of oncology, the first affiliated hospital of Harbin medical university for they supported our work.

References

- 1. Grunberg SM, Osoba D, Hesketh PJ, Gralla RJ, Borjeson S, Rapoport BL, du Bois A, Tonato M: **Evaluation of new antiemetic agents** and definition of antineoplastic agent emetogenicity-An update. Support Care Cancer 2005, **13**:80-84.
- Geling O, Eichler HG: Should 5-hyroxytryptamine-3 receptor antagonists be administered beyond 24 hours after chemotherapy to prevent delayed emesis? Systematic re-evaluation of clinical evidence and drug cost implications. J Clin Oncol 2005, 23:1289-1294.
- Musso M, Scalone R, Bonanno V, Crescimanno A, Polizzi V, Porretto F, Bianchini C, Perrone T: Palonosetron (Aloxi) and dexamethasone for the prevention of acute and delayed nausea and vomiting in patients receiving multiple-day chemotherapy. Support Care Cancer 2009, 17:205-209.
- 4. Hesketh PJ, Grunberg SM, Gralla RJ, Warr DG, Roila F, de Wit R, Chawla SP, Carides AD, lanus J, Elmer ME, Evans JK, Beck K, Reines S, Horgan KJ, Aprepitant protocol 052 study group: The oral neurokinin-I antagonist aprepitant for the prevention of chemotherapy-induced nausea and vomiting: a multinational, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial in patients receiving high- dose cisplatin- the Aprepitant Protocol 052 Study Group. I Clin Oncol 2003, 21:4112-4119.
- col 052 Study Group. J Clin Oncol 2003, 21:4112-4119.

 5. Poli-Bigelli S, Rodrigues-Pereira J, Carides AD, Julie Ma G, Eldridge K, Hipple A, Evans JK, Horgan KJ, Lawson F, Aprepitant Protocol 054 Study Group: Addition of the neurokinin I receptor antagonist aprepitant to standard antiemetic therapy improves control of chemotherapy-induced nausea and vomiting. Results from a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial in Latin America. Cancer 2003, 97:3090-3098.
- Srivastava M, Brito-Dellan N, Davis MP, Leach M, Lagman R: Olanzapine as an antiemetic in refractory nausea and vomiting in advanced cancer. J Pain Symptom Manage 2003, 25:578-582.
- Passik SD, Lundberg J, Kirsh KL, Theobald D, Donaghy K, Holtsclaw E, Cooper M, Dugan W: A pilot exploration of the antiemetic activity of olanzapine for the relief of nausea in patients with advanced cancer and pain. J Pain Symptom Manage 2002, 23:526-532.
- 8. Passik SD, Kirsh KL, Theobald DE, Dicherson P, Trowbridge R, Gray D, Beaver M, Comparet J, Brown J: A retrospective chart review of the use of olanzapine for the prevention of delayed emesis in cancer patients. J Pain Symptom Manage 2003, 25:485-488.
- Passik SD, Navari RM, Jung SH, Nagy C, Vinsor J, Kirsh KL, Loehrer P: A phase I trial of olanzapine (Zyprexa) for the prevention of delayed emesis in cancer patients: a Hoosier Oncology Group study. Cancer Invest 2004, 22:383-388.
- Navari RM, Einhorn LH, Passik SD, Loehrer PJ Sr, Johnson C, Mayer ML, McClean J, Vinson J, Pletcher W: A phase II trial of olanzapine for the prevention of chemptherapy-induced nausea and vomiting: a Hoosier Oncology Group study. Support Care Cancer 2005, 13:529-534.
- Herrestedt J, koeller JM, Roilla F, Hesketh PJ, Warr D, Rittenberg C, Dicato M: Acute emesis: moderately emetogenic chemotherapy. Support Care Cancer 2005, 13:97-103.
- Kris MG, Hesketh PJ, Herrstedt J, Rittenberg C, Einhorn LH, Grunberg S, Koeller J, Olver I, Borjeson S, Ballatori E: Consensus proposals for the prevention of acute and delayed vomiting and nausea following high-emetic-risk chemotherapy. Support Care Cancer 2005, 13:85-96.
- American Society of Clinical Oncology, Kris MG, Hesketh PJ, Somerfield MR, Feyer P, Clark-Snow R, Koeller JM, Morrow GR, Chinnery LW, Chesney MJ, Gralla RJ, Grunberg SM: American Society of clinical oncology guideline for antiemetics in oncology: update 2006. J Clin Oncol 2006, 24:2932-2947.
- Roila F, Warr D, Clarck-Snow RA, Tonato M, Gralla RJ, Einhorn LH, Herrstedt J: Delayed emesis: moderately emetogenic chemotherapy. Support Care Cancer 2005, 13:104-108.

- Vardy J, Chiew KS, Galica J, Pond GR, Tannock IF: Side effects associated with the use of dexamethasone for prophylaxis of delayed emesis after moderately emetogenic chemotherapy. Br J Cancer 2006, 94:1011-1015.
- Dube S, Tollefson GD, Thase ME, Briggs SD, Van Campen LE, Case M, Tohen M: Onset of antidepressant effect of olanzapine and olanzapine/fluoxetine combination in bipolar depression. Bipolar Disord 2007, 9:618-627.
- Corya SA, Williamson D, Sanger TM, Briggs SD, Case M, Tollefson G: A randomized, double-blind, comparison of olanzapine/ fluoxetine combination, olanzapine, fluoxetine, and venlafaxine in treatment-resistant depression. Depress Anxiety 2006, 23:364-372.

Publish with **Bio Med Central** and every scientist can read your work free of charge

"BioMed Central will be the most significant development for disseminating the results of biomedical research in our lifetime."

Sir Paul Nurse, Cancer Research UK

Your research papers will be:

- available free of charge to the entire biomedical community
- \bullet peer reviewed and published immediately upon acceptance
- cited in PubMed and archived on PubMed Central
- \bullet yours you keep the copyright

Submit your manuscript here: http://www.biomedcentral.com/info/publishing_adv.asp

