

Cloning and analysis of the esterase genes conferring insecticide resistance in the peach-potato aphid, *Myzus persicae* (Sulzer)

Linda M. FIELD,* Martin S. WILLIAMSON, Graham D. MOORES and Alan L. DEVONSHIRE

AFRC Institute of Arable Crops Research, Rothamsted Experimental Station, Harpenden, Herts. AL5 2JQ, U.K.

Full-length cDNA clones encoding the esterases (E4 and FE4) that confer insecticide resistance in the peach-potato aphid [*Myzus persicae* (Sulzer)] were isolated and characterized. The E4 cDNA contained an open reading frame of 1656 nucleotides, coding for a protein of 552 amino acids. The FE4 cDNA shared 99% identity with E4 over this region, the most important difference being a single nucleotide substitution resulting in the FE4 mRNA having an extra 36 nucleotides at the 3' end. The derived amino acid sequences for the N-terminus of E4 and FE4 were identical, with the first 23 residues being characteristic of a signal peptide and the next 40 residues being an exact match to the N-terminal sequence determined by Edman degradation of both purified proteins. The predicted molecular masses of 58.8

and 60.2 kDa for the E4 and FE4 polypeptides were consistent with those previously observed by *in vitro* translation of mRNA. Five potential N-linked glycosylation sites were present in both polypeptides, in accordance with earlier evidence that the native esterases are glycoproteins. Comparison of the aphid esterase protein sequences with other serine hydrolases provided evidence that their activity involves a charge-relay system with a catalytic triad the same as that found in acetylcholinesterase. Restriction mapping and sequencing of cloned genomic DNA showed that the E4 gene is spread over 4.3 kb with six introns and that the previously reported differences between the 3' ends of the E4 and FE4 genes result from single nucleotide substitutions and not gross differences in the DNA sequences.

INTRODUCTION

The peach-potato aphid (*Myzus persicae*) is an economically important pest of agricultural and horticultural crops throughout the world. As such it has been subjected to extensive treatment with many types of insecticide, and resistance has developed widely in glasshouse and field populations [1].

In all cases studied so far, resistant *M. persicae* overcome the toxic effect of insecticides by the increased synthesis of a carboxylesterase capable of hydrolysing and sequestering insecticidal molecules before they reach their target sites [1]. There are two very closely related forms of elevated esterase, E4 and FE4, which have not been found together in one insect; they differ slightly in both their molecular mass (FE4 and E4 are approx. 66 and 65 kDa respectively) and catalytic activity (FE4 is approx. 1.5-fold more active towards some insecticide groups) [2]. The overproduction of esterase protein correlates with high levels of E4 or FE4 mRNA [3], which in turn result from the presence of either amplified E4 or FE4 genes. The unamplified form of esterase enzyme and gene in susceptible aphids is not yet clear [4]. Preliminary restriction mapping of cloned aphid genomic DNA has shown that the amplified E4 and FE4 genes are very similar, probably differing only at the 3' end [5].

Although there are many examples of insecticide resistance arising from increasing esterase activity, the only other case where this has been shown to result from gene amplification is for the B1 esterase of mosquitoes (*Culex quinquefasciatus*) [6]. Duplicate esterase genes have been reported in the fruitfly, *Drosophila melanogaster*, although here there is no link with insecticide resistance [7].

In the present paper we report the cloning of full-length cDNAs and genomic sequences of the amplified E4 and FE4 genes, and their analysis by restriction mapping and sequencing.

The derived amino acid sequences corresponding to E4 and FE4 enzymes are compared with those of other serine hydrolases, including the insect esterases B1 (from *C. quinquefasciatus*), Est-6 (from *D. melanogaster*) and juvenile-hormone esterase (JHE) from the tobacco budworm, *Heliothis virescens*. The amino acid residues of E4/FE4, likely to be involved in the hydrolysis of insecticides, are discussed in the light of proposed models of esterase active sites, especially the catalytic triad of acetylcholinesterase (AChE) which has recently been established by atomic-structure determination [8] and mutagenesis studies [9].

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Aphid material

Two parthenogenic clones of insecticide-resistant aphids were used, 800F and 794J (R₃), containing approx. 60-fold levels of FE4 and E4 proteins respectively. The origins of these clones have been reported elsewhere [10].

Protein purification and N-terminal amino acid sequencing

E4 and FE4 proteins were purified as described previously from R₃ and 800F aphid clones respectively [11]. The first 40 N-terminal amino acids were determined by the AFRC Sequencing Laboratory, Department of Biochemistry, University of Leeds, Leeds, U.K. using automated solid-phase Edman degradation [12] after immobilizing freeze-dried protein on *p*-phenylene diisothiocyanate-derivatized glass beads [13].

Isolation of cDNA clones

Total RNA was extracted from 800F and R₃ aphid clones using guanidine isothiocyanate and purified by centrifugation through

Abbreviations used: AChE, acetylcholinesterase; JHE, juvenile-hormone esterase; SSPE, 0.9 M NaCl/50 mM Na₂HPO₄/5 mM EDTA, pH 7.7.

* To whom correspondence should be sent.

The nucleotide sequence data reported will appear in the EMBL, GenBank and DDBJ Nucleotide Sequence Databases.

5.7 M CsCl [14]. Polyadenylated [Poly(A)⁺] RNA was selected by oligo(dT)-cellulose chromatography, and double-stranded cDNA was synthesized as described by Gübler and Hoffman [15]. After addition of *Eco*RI adaptors, the cDNAs were ligated into λ ZapII arms (Stratagene), packaged *in vitro* and libraries of approx 4×10^8 plaque-forming units (p.f.u.) plated on *Escherichia coli*, strain XL-1Blue. The libraries were screened with an E4 cDNA, pMp24 [4], in a solution containing $10 \times$ Denhardt's, $2 \times$ SSPE (0.9 M NaCl/50 mM NaH₂PO₄/5 mM EDTA, pH 7.7), 0.5% SDS, 200 μ g/ml herring sperm DNA and 10 ng/ml ³²P-labelled probe. Hybridization was at 60 °C for 16 h, and the filters were washed in $2 \times$ SSPE/0.1% SDS at 65 °C for 2 h. The 800F (FE4) and R₃ (E4) libraries gave 4 and 18 positive signals respectively. The four FE4 clones and four E4 clones, chosen at random, were plaque-purified and their cDNA inserts excised as pBluescript II phagemids using the helper phage VCS-M13 (Stratagene). Full-length cDNAs for FE4 (pFE4.1) and E4 (pE4.3) were identified by restriction-enzyme mapping and partial nucleotide sequencing.

DNA sequencing

Nested deletions of pE4.3 were generated using the ExoIII/Mung Bean deletion protocol (Stratagene) starting at the 5' end. Dideoxy sequence reactions on double-stranded plasmid DNAs were done using the Sequenase Version 2 Sequencing Kit (United States Biochemical Corp.), with M13 forward primer. Overlapping clones were chosen to give the full 5'→3' sequence. The reverse strand of pE4.3 was then sequenced using oligonucleotide primers (16-mers) complementary to regions at approx 300 bp intervals. Oligonucleotide primers were also used to sequence the FE4 cDNA, pFE4.1, in both directions and for limited sequencing of genomic DNA, in one direction only, to locate exon/intron borders (see Figure 3 below). The cDNA and derived amino acid sequences were analysed using GCG software [16].

Isolation and mapping of aphid genomic DNA sequences

Two fragments of genomic DNA, containing E4 sequences, were cloned from R₃ aphids; an 8 kb fragment from the *Eco*RI site in the gene (see Figure 3 below) to another *Eco*RI site downstream [17] and a 0.9 kb *Kpn*I/*Eco*RI fragment from the 5' end of the gene (see Figure 3 below). Likewise, the 4 kb *Eco*RI fragment of FE4 genomic DNA, shown in Figure 3 (below), was cloned from 800F aphids. In each case the target DNA was enriched for the desired fragments [18], before cloning, by digesting with enzymes known not to have sites within these sequences [17], i.e. *Ava*I, *Bam*HI, *Pst*I, *Sal*I and *Xho*I for the *Eco*RI fragments and *Apa*I, *Hind*III, *Pst*I and *Xba*I for the *Kpn*I/*Eco*RI fragment. The DNA was then electrophoresed in 0.8% agarose gels and the appropriate regions of the gel were excised and the DNA electroeluted.

The fragments were ligated into plasmid vectors, cut with the appropriate restriction enzymes; pUC8 was used for the 8 kb and 4 kb fragments and pBluescript for the 0.9 kb fragment. All fragments were cloned in an McrA⁻ strain of *E. coli* (K802), which is essential in this case to prevent degradation of methylated sequences [19]. Positive clones were selected by probing colony blots and plasmid DNAs with either a partial E4 cDNA (pMp24; approx 1 kb; see [4]) or a 0.7 kb *Eco*RI fragment excised from the 5' end of the pE4.3 cDNA and gel-purified. Hybridization and washing conditions were as described for the screening of cDNA libraries. Four positive clones of the 0.9 kb and four of the 8 kb E4 fragments were obtained from screening approx 1000 and 200 transformants respectively, and two of approx 500

colonies screened contained the 4 kb FE4 fragment. Restriction maps of the E4 and FE4 genomic fragments were constructed by single and double digests of the cloned sequences and assessment of fragment sizes on agarose gels stained with ethidium bromide.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

cDNA sequences and derived amino acid sequences of E4 and FE4

The nucleotide sequence of the E4 cDNA and its derived amino acid sequence are given in Figure 1; the corresponding FE4 sequences are only given where they differ from E4. Up to residue 527, the two genes show 99% identity at the DNA level and 98% identity at the amino acid level. There are 20 single nucleotide differences, nine of which change the corresponding amino acid; all are conservative for charge and six are also conservative for polarity. The close similarity of the predicted proteins is also evident from their identical computer-generated hydropathy and surface probability plots and predictions of secondary structure (results not shown). Most of the differences between E4 and FE4 are towards the 3' end of the genes, with seven of the nine amino acid replacements being in the last 100 residues.

The most interesting difference is at position 1959, where there is an A in the E4 sequence and a T in the FE4. This results in a stop codon in E4 which is not present in FE4, and adds an extra 36 bases to the 3' end of the FE4 mRNA before an in-frame stop codon is reached. The FE4 protein therefore contains an additional 12 amino acids with a predicted increase in molecular mass of 1.5 kDa. This is in good agreement with the relative sizes of the polypeptide synthesized *in vitro* from E4 and FE4 mRNAs [3], and with the observed molecular masses of the mature glycosylated FE4 and E4 proteins, which are approx 66 and 65 kDa as judged by SDS/PAGE [2]. In keeping with the glycoprotein nature of the esterases [3] the cDNA sequences show five potential sites of N-linked glycosylation (Figure 1) as predicted by the sequence Asn-Xaa-Ser/Thr, where Xaa is not Pro [20].

The sequences of E4 and FE4 cDNAs predict open reading frames of 1656 and 1692 bases respectively, with an in-frame start (ATG) codon showing good agreement to the consensus eukaryotic initiation codon context [21]. As discussed above, the open reading frames terminate at different stop codons and both are followed by moderately conserved untranslated regions. For E4 there is a putative polyadenylation signal, AATAAA (see Figure 1), at position 1814–1819.

The first 23 amino acids (–23 to –1), which are identical for E4 and FE4 (Figure 1), show all the features of a signal peptide [22], including a short positively charged N-terminal region (a positively charged lysine as part of five polar residues), a central hydrophobic core (residues –17 to –9) and a more polar C-terminus (residues –8 to –1). The Cys and Ala at positions –3 and –1 in E4 and FE4 meet the requirement that these should be small uncharged residues [22] if the cleavage site between the signal sequence and the mature protein is between the Ala and the Ser. This has been confirmed by N-terminal amino acid sequencing of both E4 and FE4 mature proteins, which gave Ser as the first residue. The amino acid sequences of the next 39 residues were identical for both proteins and an exact match for the residues predicted by the nucleotide sequence. This provides good evidence that the cloned sequences correspond to the E4/FE4 proteins. Allowing for the removal of the signal peptide the mature E4 polypeptide will be 529 amino acids and have a molecular mass of 58.8 kDa, and FE4 will be 541 amino acids with a molecular mass of 60.2 kDa.

E4	<u>M</u> <u>K</u> <u>N</u> <u>T</u> <u>C</u> <u>G</u> <u>I</u> <u>L</u> <u>L</u> <u>N</u> <u>L</u> <u>F</u> <u>L</u> <u>F</u>	-10	E4	P W R N F P F T P F G P T V E V A G Y E	291
E4	AACATAGGCTTTTTGAAATGAAATACGTCGGAAATTTATAAAGTTGTTTTAT	40	E4	TGCCATGGAGAACTTTCCTTTTACACCGTTTGGTCCAACTGTTGAAGTACGTTGGATATG	940
FE4	40	FE4	940
	-10		291
E4	<u>Y</u> <u>G</u> <u>C</u> <u>F</u> <u>L</u> <u>T</u> <u>C</u> <u>S</u> <u>A</u> S N T P K V Q V H S G	11	E4	K F L P D I P E K L V P H D I P V L I S	311
E4	TCATCGGATGCTTTTGACATGTTCCGGCTTAATACGCTAAGTCCAAAGTCCATTCTG	100	E4	AGAAGTCTTACCCGATATCCAGAAAACTCGTTCCTCATGACATTCCAGTACTGATAA	1000
FE4	100	FE4	1000
	11		311
E4	E I A G G F E Y T Y N G R K I Y S F L G	31	E4	I A Q D E G L I F S T F L G L E N G F N	331
E4	GAGAAATCCGAGTGGATTGAGTATACCTATAATGGTCGAAAAATTTACTCATTCTTAG	160	E4	GTATTGCGCAAGATGAGGCTTATGTTTTCAACATTTCTTGGCTTAGAAAAATGGCTTTA	1060
FE4	160	FE4	1060
	31		331
E4	I P Y A S P P V Q N N R F K E P Q P V Q	51	E4	E L N N N W N E H L P H I L D Y N Y T I	351
E4	GCATACCATATGCAAGTCTCCACTTCAAAATAATCGATTAAAGAACCACACCCGCTAC	220	E4	ATGAAGTTAAACAATAATGGAAAGAACATTACACATATTCTTGATTATAATTACACGA	1120
FE4	220	FE4	1120
	51		351
E4	P W L G V W N A T V P G S A C L G I E F	71	E4	S N E N L R F K T A Q D I K E F Y F G D	371
E4	AGCCTTGGTTGGAGTGGAAACCCACCGTTCCTGGAAGTGCCTCTTTAGCAATAGAGT	280	E4	TTTCAAAGAGAATCTGAGATTCAAAAGTCCCAAGATATAAAAGAGTTTTACTTTCGTC	1180
FE4	280	FE4	1180
	71		371
E4	G S C S K I I G Q E D C L F L N V Y T P	91	E4	K P I S K E T K S N L S K M I S D R S F	391
E4	TTGGATCTGGTTCTAAAATATTGGTCAGGAAGATTGTTTTATTCCTCAATGTTTTATACGC	340	E4	ACAAAACCAATCAAAAAGAAACCAAAAGCAATGTTTCAAAAATGATTTCCAGATAGATCAT	1240
FE4	340	FE4	1240
	91		391
E4	K L F Q E N S A G D L M N V I V H I H C	111	E4	G Y G T S K A A Q H I A A K N T A P V Y	411
E4	CTAAGCTACGACAAGAGAATCCGACAGTGATTTAATGAACGTAATAGTGACACATACATG	400	E4	TTGCATATGGTACAAGTAAAGCCAGCCAGCATATAGCTGCAAAAGATACGGCACCTGTAT	1300
FE4	400	FE4	1300
	111		411
E4	G G Y Y F G E G I L Y G P H Y L L D N N	131	E4	F Y E F G Y S G N Y S Y V A F F D P K S	431
E4	CGCGGGACTACTATTTGGCGAAGGCATATATATGGTCCAGACTATCTTTTGGACAACA	460	E4	ATTCTATGAATTTGGCTACAGCTGCTAATTTATCTTACGTACGCTTTTTCGATCCAAAAT	1360
FE4	460	FE4	1360
	131		431
E4	D F V Y V S I N Y R L G V L G F A S T G	151	E4	Y S R G S S P T H G D E T S Y V L K M D	451
E4	ACGATTTCCGTTAAGTATCGATAAAATACGGTTTGGCGCTATTAGGATTTGCTTCCACCGC	520	E4	CATATTCGCGGGCTTCAAGCCCGACTCATGGCGATGAAACCCAGCTATGTTAAAAAATGC	1420
FE4	520	FE4	1420
	151		451
E4	D G V L T G N N G L K D Q V A A L K W I	171	E4	G F Y V Y D N E E D R K M I K T H V N I	471
E4	CGGATGCTGTTTTCAGAGAAATAACCGATTGAAGACCAAGTAGCACCATTGAAATGCA	580	E4	ATGCTTTCTACGTTTACAGCAATGAAGAGATAGAAAAGATGATCAAAAGTATGGTTAATA	1480
FE4	580	FE4	1480
	171		471
E4	Q Q N I V A F G G D P N S V <u>P</u> <u>I</u> <u>T</u> <u>G</u> <u>M</u> <u>S</u>	191	E4	W A T P I K S G V P D T E N S E I W L P	491
E4	TAGAAAAACATCGTTTCATTCGGTGTGACCCCAACAGCGTCCAGTACACCGGCATGT	640	E4	TTTGGCAACTTTTATCAAACTCGAGTACCAGATCTGAAAATTCAGAAATTTGGTTAC	1540
FE4	640	FE4	1540
	191		491
E4	<u>A</u> <u>G</u> <u>A</u> <u>S</u> <u>S</u> <u>V</u> H N H L I S P M S K G L F N	211	E4	V S K N L A D P F R F T K I T Q Q Q T F	511
E4	CAGCTGGAGCAAGTCTCTTCATAACCATTTGATTTACCAATGTCTAAAGCCTTATTTA	700	E4	CTGTTTCTAAGAATCTAGCAGATCTTTCAGGTCAGTAAAGTACTCAACAACAACAT	1600
FE4	700	FE4	1600
	211		511
E4	R A I I Q S G S A F C H W S T A E N V A	231	E4	E A R E Q S T T G I M N F G V A Y H *	529
E4	ACCGAGCATTATTCAAAGCGGAAGTCTTTTTCATTTGCTACTGCTCAAAAAGCTTG	760	E4	TTGAAGCCAGAGAAACAATCAACCAGGGAATTGAAATTTGGAGTAGCTTACCATTAAA	1660
FE4	760	FE4	1660
	231		531
E4	Q K T K Y I A N L N G C P T <u>N</u> <u>N</u> <u>S</u> <u>V</u> <u>E</u> <u>I</u>	251	E4	TGAATTTTTCGAATTTAATGTGGCACTAGACATAAAAACTGAATATAAATATAAAAA	1720
E4	CTCAAAAAGCAAGTATATTGCAAACTTGATGGGATGTCCAACGAATAATTCAGTAGAAA	820	FE4	A.....T.....C...AT.....G.....	1720
FE4	820		N I L N L N C Q M T *	541
	251			
E4	V E C L R S R P A K A I A K S Y L N F M	271	E4	TAAGTTGCTACATAGATAATAATAGTTTTAAATATATATAAATAAATAATTTAA	1780
E4	TCGTTCAATCCCTTCCTTCCAGACCAAGGCCATAGCAAAATCATATCTAAACTTCA	880	FE4	...T...A.T.G...C.C.....GA.....[]TG.....C	1773
FE4	880			
	271	E4	CTGAGATATAAAAAATAAAACCATTTTGTATAAATAATTTGTTATTATTCGGCC	1836
			FE4	1796

Figure 1 Nucleotide sequences and derived amino acid sequences of aphid esterases E4 and FE4

The nucleotide and amino acid sequences are given in full for E4, and where they differ for FE4 {dots represent the same bases and amino acids and the region in brackets ([]) is a deletion in the FE4 sequence}. Amino acid residues of the signal peptide (-23 to -1) and those surrounding the active-site serine residue (191) are boxed. Potential N-linked glycosylation sites and the putative polyadenylation signal (AATAAA) are underlined.

Table 1 Amino acid sequences surrounding the active-site serine residue (*) in some serine hydrolases

The amino acid sequences from published data are aligned with esterases E4/FE4 (the present study).

Hydrolase	Sequence	Reference
Serine proteinases, subtilisin family		
Subtilisin BPN	AYNGTSMASPHV	25
Serine proteinases, trypsin family		
Bovine trypsin	SCQGDSDGGPVVC	25
<i>Drosophila</i> trypsin-like	ACQGDSDGGPLVS	25
Hornet trypsin-like	ACHGDSDGGPLVA	25
Serine esterases		
<i>Torpedo</i> AChE	TIFGESAGGASV	8
Human AChE	TLFGESAGAASV	26
<i>Drosophila</i> AChE	TLFGESAGSSSV	27
Mosquito AChE	TLFGESAGGSSV	28
Rat carboxylesterase	TIFGESAGGVSV	29
Mouse carboxylesterase	TIFGESGGISV	30
Rabbit carboxylesterase	TIFGESAGGQSV	31
<i>Drosophila</i> Est-6	LLVGHSAAGASV	24
<i>Heliothis</i> JHE	TIAGQSAGASAA	23
Mosquito B1 Est	TLAGHSAGAASV	6
E4/FE4	TITGMSAGASSV	The present study

Comparison of E4/FE4 proteins with other serine hydrolases

Of the best ten alignments to a translation of the E4 cDNA [16], nine were to serine hydrolases, including mammalian cholinesterases (EC 3.1.1.8), AChEs (EC 3.1.1.7), other mammalian carboxylesterases (EC 3.1.1.1) and the three insect esterases: JHE (EC 3.1.1.59) from *H. virescens* [23], the amplified B1 esterase (EC 3.1.1.1) from mosquito [6] and *Drosophila* Est-6 (EC 3.1.1.1) [24]. The similarities between these sequences were confined to the N-terminal halves of the proteins. In addition, there was similarity to thyroglobulin, as reported previously for other carboxylesterases [23,24].

The similarity between E4/FE4 and other serine hydrolases suggests that a comparison of conserved residues might implicate those involved in the catalytic activity of E4/FE4. Table 1 shows the amino acid residues surrounding the active-site serine residue in the published sequences of some serine hydrolases aligned with the corresponding serine in E4 and FE4 (where all 12 residues are identical). Considerable similarity is seen between the aphid esterases and AChEs (eight out of 12 identical residues), mammalian carboxylesterases (seven or eight out of 12) and the insect esterases (eight out of 12 for JHE and B1, but only six for Est-6).

Although the active-site serine residue is well established in serine hydrolases, the role of other amino acid residues in catalysis is less certain. Both the 'trypsin' and 'subtilisin' families of serine proteinases have a triad of precisely orientated active-site amino acid residues (His⁵⁷, Asp¹⁰² and Ser¹⁹⁵ for the trypsin family, and Asp³², His⁶⁴ and Ser²²¹ in subtilisin) which stabilize the catalytic transition state and have been designated as the 'charge-relay' system. The role of these three residues has been confirmed by site-directed mutagenesis [32].

The elucidation of the three-dimensional structure of AChE from *Torpedo californica* has established unequivocally that in this case the catalytic triad consists of Ser²⁰⁰, Glu³²⁷ and His⁴⁴⁰, with Glu replacing Asp, and having the opposite 'handedness' to the serine proteinases [8]. The Glu and His residues are highly

conserved in other AChEs, and recently Schafferman et al. [9] provided biochemical evidence (site-directed mutagenesis) for the involvement of the corresponding residues, Ser²⁰³, Glu³²⁷ and His⁴⁴⁷, in catalysis by human AChE. It has been proposed that the catalytic function of mammalian carboxylesterases also involves a His residue [29,30].

As far as insect esterases are concerned, the two AChEs from *Drosophila* [27] and mosquito [28] contain Ser, Glu and His residues corresponding to those of *Torpedo* and human AChE, and it seems likely that they function in the same way. However, it has been proposed that the charge-relay system in *Drosophila* Est-6 involves an Asp¹⁶⁰ and Ser¹⁸⁸ [33] with either an Arg on the N-terminal side of the Ser or an unspecified His on the carboxy side [34]. For JHE, site-directed mutagenesis has recently been used to predict Ser²⁰¹, Glu³³² and His⁴⁴⁷ as the catalytic triad analogous to that of AChE [35].

So where do E4 and FE4 fit into the various models of catalytic function? Figure 2 shows an alignment of E4 amino acid residues with those of *Torpedo* AChE, *Drosophila* Est-6 and *Heliothis* JHE. E4 shows conservation of residues Ser¹⁹¹, Glu³¹⁶ and His⁴⁴⁰ corresponding to those purported to be involved in catalysis by AChE and JHE, and it is also apparent that E4 as well as *Torpedo* AChE and JHE, have conserved residues corresponding to the proposed catalytic Asp¹⁶⁰ of Est-6. The three-dimensional structure of *Torpedo* AChE ([8]; analysed by R. Taylor, personal communication) indicates that for AChE the equivalent Asp¹⁷² along with Arg⁴⁴ and Glu⁹² are not located in the catalytic 'gorge' but are associated with a cavity, approx. 2.0–2.5 nm (20–25Å) away from the catalytic serine, and that within this cavity Glu⁹² is salt-bridged with Arg⁴⁴, and Asp¹⁷² with another Arg, Arg¹⁴⁹, which is also conserved in the esterases shown in Figure 2. This cavity is the only characteristic feature of the three-dimensional structure that is conserved across several cholinesterases and is probably important for structural reasons.

Thus it seems likely that E4 and FE4, like *Torpedo* AChE, have residues Arg⁴³, Glu⁸¹, Arg¹⁴¹ and Asp¹⁶³ conserved for structural reasons and that it is the Ser¹⁹¹, Glu³¹⁶ and His⁴⁴⁰ of E4 and FE4 that function as the catalytic triad analogous to that of AChE and JHE.

Another aspect of three-dimensional structure is the formation of disulphide bridges, and here we can again compare E4/FE4 with other esterases. *Torpedo* AChE has bonds Cys⁶⁷–Cys⁹⁴, Cys²⁵⁴–Cys²⁶⁵ and Cys⁴⁰²–Cys⁵²¹ with an unpaired Cys²³¹ and a Cys⁵⁷² involved in intersubunit bonding [36]. By analogy E4/FE4 could have pairings between Cys⁶⁶ and Cys⁸³ and between Cys²⁴³ and Cys²⁵⁴, with Cys²²² being unpaired (see Figure 1). There are no other residues to constitute a third disulphide bond, and this is comparable with other mammalian carboxylesterases where only four cysteines are present [26–28]. *Drosophila* Est-6 [7] and JHE [23] have six cysteine residues, and the mosquito B1 esterase has ten [6], but there is no evidence for specific pairings to generate disulphide bonds.

Genomic organization of E4 and FE4 genes

Maps of the E4 and FE4 genes showing the relative positions and sizes of exons and introns are shown in Figure 3. The E4 coding sequences are interrupted by six introns and spread over 4.3 kb, and the FE4 gene is identical from the *Eco*RI site at the 5' end of the cloned fragment. The *Hind*III and *Bgl*II restriction sites present in FE4, but not E4, are the result of single nucleotide substitutions and not gross differences between the two genes. This is contrary to the previous suggestion that there might be a rearrangement within the gene caused by the chromosome translocation present in aphid clones with amplified E4 genes [6].

E4	- - - SNTPKVOVH - SGEIAGGFEYTYNGRKIY SFLGIPYASPPVQNNRFKEPQPV - QPWLGVWNAI	60
Jhe	WQETNSRSVVAHLNSGIIRGVPRSDGIKFASFLGVPYAKQPVGELRFKLEPL - EPWDNINLAT	64
Est6	- - - - - SDTDDPLLVLPGGKLRGRDNGSYSYESTPYAEPPITGDLRFEAPEPYKQKWSDFDIAI	59
Ache	- - - DDHSELLVNTKSGKVMGTRVPLVSSHISAFLLGIPFAEPPVGNMRFRRPEP - KKPWSGVWNAI	61
E4	VPGSACGL - - - - - GIE - - FGSGSKIIG - - - QEDCLFLN VYTP - - KLPQENSAGDLMNVIVHIHGGG	113
Jhe	NEGPIQCF - - - - - QTD - - VLYGRLLMAASEMS EACIYANIHVFPWQSLPRVVRGTTPLRPILVFIHGGG	122
Est6	KTPVACL - - - - - QWDQFTPGANKLVG - - - EEDCLT VSVYKP - - - - - KNSKRNSFPVVAHIHGGG	110
Ache	TYPNNCQQYVDEQFPFGSGSEMWNPNREMS EDCLYLNIWVPS - - - PRPKSSTT - - - VMVWIVGGG	119
E4	YVFGEG - - ILYGPHYLLDNNDFVYVYSIN YRLGVLF GFAST - GDGVL TGNGLKDCVAALKWIQNI	175
Jhe	FVFGSGHEDLHGPEYLVTKNVIY - ITFN YRLNVFGFLSM - NTTKIPGNAGLRDQVTLRWRVORNA	185
Est6	FVFGAAWO - - NGHENVMREGKFTLVKISYRLGPLGFVST - GDRDLP GNYGLKDCRLALKWIQNI	172
Ache	FVSGSSTLDVYNGKYLAAYTEEVLVYLSL SYRFGAFGLALHGSOEAPGNVGLLDCRMALQWVHONI	184
E4	VAFGGDPNSVTITGMSAAGASVHNHLIS PMSKGLFNRAITLCSGSAFCHVSTAENV - - AOKTKYIA	238
Jhe	KNFGGDPSSDITTAGCSAGASAAHLLTLSKATEGLFKRAILMSGTGMSYFFTTSPLFAAYISKOLL	250
Est6	ASFGGEPONVLLVGHSAAGASVHLQMLREDFGQLARAAFSFSGNALDPWVIQKGA - RGRAFELGR	236
Ache	OFFGGDPKTVTIFGESAGGASVGMHILSPGSRDLFRRAITLCSGSPNCPWASVSVAEGRRAVELG	249
E4	NLMGCPTNNSVEIIVECLRSRPAKAI AKSYLNFMPWRNFPFTPFQPTVE - - VAGYEKFLPDIPEKL	301
Jhe	QILGNORDGSEIHRQLIDLPAEKLINEANAVLIE - - QIGLTFPIVESPLPGVTTIIDDP EIL	313
Est6	NVGCESAEDSSTSLKCKLKS KPASELVLTAVRKFLIFSYVPFAPFSPLLEPSDAP - DAIITODPRDV	300
Ache	RNLNCLNSDEELIHCLREKKPOELIDVEWNVLPFDSIFRFSFVPIV D - - - - - GEFFPTSLESM	308
E4	VPH - - - - DIPVLLISIAQDEGLIFSTFLGLEN - - - GFNELNNWNEHLPHILDYNYTISNENLRF	358
Jhe	IAEGRGNVPLLI GFTSSECETFRNRLNFD - - - LVKKIQDNPTIIPPKLLF - - - MTPPELLM	371
Est6	IKSGKFGQVWAVSYVTEGGYNAALLLKERKSGIVIDDLNERWLELAPYLLFYRDTKTKKDM -	364
Ache	LNSGNFKKTOILLGVNKDEGSSFFLLYGAPGFSKDSISKISREDFMSGVKLSVPHANDLGLDAVTL	373
E4	KTAODIKEFYFGDKPISKETKSNLSKMSIDRSFGYGTSKAAQHI AAKNTAPVYFYEFYFGYSGNYSY	423
Jhe	ELAKTIERKYNYNG - TISITD - - - NFVKSCSDGFYEPALKLAOKRAETGGAPLYLYRFFAYEGONSI	432
Est6	DYSRKIKOEYIGNORFDIESYSELQRLFTDILFKNSTQESLDLHRKYGKSPAYAYVYDNPAEKG -	428
Ache	QYTD - - - - - WMDDNNGIKNRDGLDDIVGDHNCPLMHFVNKYTKFNGTYLYLYFNHHRASNLV	431
E4	VAFDDPKSYSRGSSTPHGDETSYVLK M - - - - DGFVYVDNEEDRKMIKTMVNIWA - - - TFIKSGV	480
Jhe	IKKVMGLNH - - - EGVGHI EDLTYVFKV - NSMSEALHASPSENDVKMKNLMTGYFL - - - NFIKCSO	490
Est6	IAOVLANRTDYDFGTVHGDDYFLIFEN - FVRDVEMRPDEQIISRNFINMLADFASSDNGSLKYGE	492
Ache	WPEWM - - - - - GVIHGYEIEFVFGPLPVKELNYTAE EEAALSRRIMHYWATFAKTGNPNEPHSO	488
E4	PDTENS - - - - - EIWLPVSKNLADPFRFTKITQOQTFEAREQSTTGMNFGVAYH - - - - -	529
Jhe	PTCEDNNSLEWVPANNGMOYEDI VSPTIIRSKEFASRQD - - - DIIEFFDSFTSRSPLE	545
Est6	CDFKDSVGS EKF - - - - QLLAIYIDGCONROHVEFP - - - - -	523
Ache	- ESKWPLFTTKEOKFIDLNTEPMKVHQRLLRVOMCVFWNGFLPKLLNATETIDEAE - - -	542

Figure 2 Alignment of the E4-derived amino acid sequence with other serine esterases

The E4 sequence is aligned with the published sequences for juvenile-hormone esterase (Jhe) from *Heliothis virescens* [23], esterase 6 (Est-6) from *Drosophila melanogaster* [24] and acetylcholinesterase (Ache) from *Torpedo californica* [8]. Residues conserved in at least three of the sequences are boxed, and the active-site serine residue is marked with an asterisk.




Figure 3 Restriction maps and location of introns in E4 and FE4 genomic sequences

The cloned genomic DNAs were mapped by single and double restriction digests. Limited sequencing in one direction, for the regions indicated \leftrightarrow , was used to locate exon/intron borders; exons 1-7 are indicated by solid bars. Abbreviations for restriction enzymes: B, *Bgl*II; C, *Cla*I; E, *Eco*RI; H, *Hind*III; K, *Kpn*I; M, *Msp*I; and S, *Sma*I.

The strong similarities between the E4 and FE4 genes suggest that before amplification they were allelic. It is known by restriction mapping that the flanking DNA of many aphid clones is the same for all FE4 types and for all E4 types, but that the two types differ (L. M. Field, A. L. Devonshire and N. Javed, unpublished work). It is not yet clear whether the differences result from point mutations or from different stretches of DNA flanking the two genes. Current work should provide information on the evolution of the amplified aphid esterase genes and the corresponding esterase gene present in susceptible aphids.

It has previously been reported that an *MspI* site at the 5' end of E4 and FE4 genes and the *SmaI* (*MspI*) site present in both, contain 5-methylcytosine (as a CpG) in aphids with expressed amplified esterase genes, but that this methylation is absent in aphids with unexpressed amplified genes [10]. It is now clear from the sequence data that there are in fact two *MspI* sites in the second exon of E4 and FE4 (as shown in Figure 3) that are not resolved by restriction mapping, and that these must both be methylated in the expressed genes. The methylated *SmaI* site lies in exon 5 very close to the intron border. If or how these sites might affect expression of the aphid esterase genes remains unclear and we are currently cloning and sequencing upstream regions of the E4/FE4 genes to identify any other methylation sites that might affect the binding of regulatory proteins.

We thank Mary Stribley for rearing the aphid clones, D. Pappin (AFRC Sequencing Laboratory) for sequencing the esterase proteins and R. Taylor (ICI) for providing an insight of the three-dimensional structure of AChE. The Resistance Group at Rothamsted is a member of the European Network for Insect Genetics in Medicine and Agriculture (ENIGMA).

REFERENCES

- Devonshire, A. L. (1989) in *Aphids, Their Biology, Natural Enemies and Control* (Minks, A. K. and Harrewijn, P. eds.), pp. 123–139, Elsevier, Amsterdam
- Devonshire, A. L. (1989) *Pestic. Sci.* **26**, 375–382
- Devonshire, A. L., Searle, L. M. and Moores, G. D. (1986) *Insect Biochem.* **16**, 659–665
- Field, L. M., Devonshire, A. L. and Forde, B. G. (1988) *Biochem. J.* **251**, 309–312
- Field, L. M. and Devonshire, A. L. (1991) in *Resistance '91: Achievements and Developments in Combating Pesticide Resistance* (Denholm, I., Devonshire, A. L. and Hollomon, D. W., eds.), pp. 240–250, Elsevier Applied Science, London and New York
- Mouches, C., Pauplin, Y., Agarwal, M., Lemieux, L., Herzog, M., Abadon, M., Beyssat-Arnaouty, V., Hyrien, O., Robert De Saint Vincent, B., Georghiou, G. P. and Pasteur, N. (1990) *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **87**, 2574–2578
- Collet, C., Nielsen, K. M., Russell, R. J., Karl, M., Oakeshott, J. G. and Richmond, R. C. (1990) *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **7**, 9–28
- Sussman, J. L., Harel, M., Frolow, F., Oefner, C., Goldman, A., Toker, L. and Silman, I. (1991) *Science* **253**, 872–879
- Schafferman, A., Kronman, C., Flashner, Y., Leitner, M., Grosfeld, H., Ordentlich, A., Gozes, Y., Cohen, S., Ariel, N., Barak, D., Harel, M., Silman, I., Sussman, J. L. and Velan, B. (1992) *J. Biol. Chem.* **267**, 17640–17648
- Field, L. M., Devonshire, A. L., French-Constant, R. H. and Forde, B. G. (1989) *FEBS Lett.* **243**, 323–327
- Devonshire, A. L. and Moores, G. D. (1982) *Pestic. Biochem. Physiol.* **18**, 235–246
- Wachter, E., Machleidt, W., Hofner, H. and Otto, J. (1973) *FEBS Lett.* **35**, 97–102
- Laursen, R. A. (1971) *Eur. J. Biochem.* **20**, 89–102
- Chirgwin, J. M., Przybyla, A. E., MacDonald, R. J. and Rutter, W. J. (1979) *Biochemistry* **18**, 5294–5299
- Gübler, U. and Hoffman, B. J. (1983) *Gene* **25**, 263–269
- Devereux, J., Haerberli, P. and Smithies, O. (1984) *Nucleic Acids Res.* **12**, 387–395
- Field, L. M. (1989) PhD Thesis, Council for National Academic Awards
- Nicholls, R. D., Hill, A. V. S., Clegg, J. B. and Higgs, D. R. (1985) *Nucleic Acids Res.* **13**, 7569–7577
- Raleigh, E. A., Murray, N. E., Revel, H., Blumenthal, R. M., Westaway, D., Reith, A. D., Rigby, P. W. J., Elhai, J. and Hanahan, D. (1988) *Nucleic Acids Res.* **16**, 1563–1575
- Bause, E. (1983) *Biochem. J.* **209**, 331–336
- Kozak, M. (1984) *Nucleic Acids Res.* **12**, 857–872
- von Heijne, G. and Abrahmsén, L. (1989) *FEBS Lett.* **244**, 439–446
- Hanzlik, T. N., Abdel-Aal, Y. A. I., Harshman, L. G. and Hammock, B. D. (1989) *J. Biol. Chem.* **264**, 12419–12425
- Oakeshott, J. G., Collet, C., Phillis, R. W., Nielsen, K. M., Russell, R. J., Chambers, G. K., Ross, V. and Richmond, R. C. (1987) *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **84**, 3359–3363
- Dayhoff, M. O. (1978) in *Atlas of Protein Sequence and Structure* (Dayhoff, M. O., ed.), vol. 5, suppl. 3, pp. 73–93, National Biomedical Research Foundation, Washington
- Soreq, H. and Prody, C. A. (1989) in *Computer-Assisted Modelling of Receptor–Ligand Interactions: Theoretical Aspects and Applications to Drug Design*, (Rein, R. and Golombeck, A., eds.), pp. 347–359, Alan R. Liss, New York
- Hall, L. M. C. and Spierer, P. (1986) *EMBO J.* **5**, 2949–2954
- Hall, L. M. C. and Malcolm, C. A. (1991) *Cellular and Molecular Neurobiology* **11**, 131–141
- Long, R. M., Satoh, H., Martin, B. M., Kimura, S., Gonzalez, F. J. and Pohl, L. R. (1988) *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* **156**, 866–873
- Ovnic, M., Tepperman, K., Medda, S., Elliott, R. W., Stephenson, D. A., Grant, S. G. and Ganschow, R. E. (1991) *Genomics* **9**, 344–354
- Korza, G. and Ozols, J. (1988) *J. Biol. Chem.* **263**, 3486–3495
- Carter, P. and Wells, J. A. (1988) *Nature (London)* **332**, 564–568
- Cooke, P. H. and Oakeshott, J. G. (1989) *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **86**, 1426–1430
- Myers, M., Richmond, R. C. and Oakeshott, J. G. (1988) *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **5**, 113–119
- Ward, V. K., Bonning, B. C., Huang, T., Shiotsuki, T., Griffith, V. N. and Hammock, B. D. (1992) *Int. J. Biochem.* **24**, 1933–1941
- MacPhee-Quigley, K., Vedvick, T. S., Taylor, P. and Taylor, S. S. (1986) *J. Biol. Chem.* **261**, 13565–13570