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#### Abstract

We present closed-form expressions for the average bit error probability (ABEP) of BPSK, QPSK and $M$-QAM of an amplify-and-forward average power scaling dual-hop relay transmission, over non-identical Nakagami- $m$ fading channels, with integer values of $m$. Additionally, we evaluate in closedform the ABEP under sufficiently large signal-to-noise ratio for the source-relay link, valid for arbitrary $m$. Numerical and simulation results show the validity of the proposed mathematical analysis and point out the effect of the two hops unbalanced fading conditions on the error performance.
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## I. Introduction

IN recent years, wireless relaying techniques have attracted a lot of research interest due to their possible exploit in cellular, ad-hoc networks and military communications [1]. In relay networks, intermediate nodes are used to relay signals between the source and the destination terminal.

Amplify-and-forward (AF) is one of the two main schemes for relaying [2]. AF relays without performing any decoding, retransmit a scaled replica of the received signal. Literature on AF relaying schemes assumes two different power constraints at the relay: fixed-gain [2] also called "average power scaling" (APS) in [3] and instantaneous power scaling [3].

The performance analysis of multihop wireless networks operating under different fading conditions has been an important field of research in the past few years. See for example, [2]-[10]. In [3], Mheidat and Uysal have investigated the impact of receive diversity on the performance of a relayassisted network in which the relay is operating under the AFAPS constraint. In [2], [5], Hasna and Alouini have studied the average bit error probability (ABEP) of dual-hop systems with AF relaying over Rayleigh and Nakagami- $m$ fading channels. In [4], Adinoyi and Yanikomeroglu have analyzed the error performance of a decode-and-forward (DF) based multi-antenna relay network in the presence of Nakagami-m fading. In [6] and [7] Karagiannidis et al. have studied the performance bounds of AF multihop transmissions over nonidentically distributed Nakagami- $m$ fading channels. In [9] Ikki and Ahmed have presented a tight lower bound for the performance of an AF multi-relay network over non-identical

[^0]Nakagami- $m$ fading channels, especially in the medium and high signal-to-noise (SNR) region.

In this letter, we present closed-form expressions for the ABEP of an AF-APS dual-hop relay link in non-identical Nakagami- $m$ fading channels (which is the real situation in practical wireless relaying systems) with integer fading parameters. To the best of authors' knowledge, no exact closedform ABEP expressions for the non-identical Nakagami- $m$ AF-APS relaying are reported. Moreover, we derive a closedform formula for the error performance under sufficiently large SNR for the source-relay link, valid for arbitrary values of $m$.

## II. Dual-Hop Relay Model

Consider a wireless communication system, where a source terminal $S$ communicates with a destination terminal $D$ using a relay $R$ [2]. Let the modulated signal transmitted by $S$ during the first time slot denoted as $x$. The received signal at $R$ is given by [2]

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{r}=\sqrt{E_{S R}} \alpha_{1} x+n_{r} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha_{1}$ is the fading amplitude of the $S-R$ link. $n_{r}$ is an additive white Gaussian noise (AWGN) component with single sided power spectral density $N_{0}$. In the second time slot, the relay multiplies the received signal by a gain factor $G$ and then retransmits to $D$. The received signal at $D$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{d}=\sqrt{E_{R D}} \alpha_{2} G\left(\sqrt{E_{S R}} \alpha_{1} x+n_{r}\right)+n_{d} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha_{2}$ is the fading amplitude of the $R-D$ link and $n_{d}$ is the AWGN component with power $N_{0}$ at the input of $D$. $E_{S R}$ and $E_{R D}$ represent the average energies available at $R$ and $D$, taking into consideration of possibly different path loss and shadowing effects in $S-R$ and $R-D$ links [3]. When $R$ operates under APS constraint, $G^{2}=1 /\left(E_{S R}+N_{0}\right)$. The instantaneous end-to-end SNR at $D, \gamma_{\mathrm{eq}}$, is given by [2]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{\mathrm{eq}}=\frac{\left(E_{S R} / N_{0}\right)\left(E_{R D} / N_{0}\right) \alpha_{1}^{2} \alpha_{2}^{2}}{1+E_{S R} / N_{0}+\left(E_{R D} / N_{0}\right) \alpha_{2}^{2}} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and (3) can be reexpressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{\mathrm{eq}}=\frac{\gamma_{1} \gamma_{2}}{C+\gamma_{2}} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C=1+\left(E_{S R} / N_{0}\right)$ and $\gamma_{1}=\alpha_{1}^{2} E_{S R} / N_{0}, \gamma_{2}=$ $\alpha_{2}^{2} E_{R D} / N_{0}$ denote the instantaneous SNRs of the $S-R$ and $R-D$ hops respectively. Since the hops are subject to nonidentical Nakagami fading, we model $\alpha_{1}$ and $\alpha_{2}$ according to Nakagami- $m$ distribution with fading severity parameters $m_{1}$ and $m_{2}$, respectively, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{\alpha_{i}}(\alpha)=\frac{2 m_{i}^{m_{i}} \alpha^{2 m_{i}-1} e^{-m_{i} \alpha^{2}}}{\Gamma\left(m_{i}\right)} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $i=1,2$ and $\Gamma(z)=\int_{0}^{\infty} t^{z-1} e^{-t} d t$ is the gamma function. In the probability density functions (pdfs) of $\alpha_{1}$ and $\alpha_{2}$, without loss of generality, we have set $E\left\{\alpha_{1}^{2}\right\}$ and $E\left\{\alpha_{2}^{2}\right\}$ to unity. Since $\alpha_{i}$ is modeled as a Nakagami-m random variable (RV), the instantaneous SNR $\gamma_{i}$ is a gamma distributed RV with pdf given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{\gamma_{i}}(\gamma)=\frac{m_{i}^{m_{i}} \gamma^{m_{i}-1} e^{-m_{i} \gamma / \Omega_{i}}}{\Omega_{i}^{m_{i}} \Gamma\left(m_{i}\right)} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Omega_{1}=E_{S R} / N_{0}$ and $\Omega_{2}=E_{R D} / N_{0}$.

## III. Error Analysis

Traditionally the ABEP is computed by determining the pdf of $\gamma_{\mathrm{eq}}$ and then averaging the conditional BEP in AWGN, $P_{b}(e \mid \gamma)$, over this pdf. Mathematically, $P_{b}(e)$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{b}(e)=\int_{0}^{\infty} p(e \mid \gamma) p_{\gamma_{\mathrm{eq}}}(\gamma) d \gamma \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that for several Gray bit-mapped constellations employed in practical systems, $P_{b}(e \mid \gamma)$ is in the form of $Q(\sqrt{\beta \gamma})$ with $Q(x)$ being the Gaussian $Q$-function defined as $Q(x)=$ $(1 / \sqrt{2 \pi}) \int_{x}^{\infty} e^{-t^{2} / 2} d t$ and $\beta$ is a constant (BPSK: $P_{b}(e \mid \gamma)=$ $Q(\sqrt{2 \gamma})$, QPSK: $P_{b}(e \mid \gamma)=Q(\sqrt{\gamma})$ and in the case of square/rectangular $M$-QAM, $P_{b}(e \mid \gamma)$ can be written as a finite weighted sum of $Q(\sqrt{\beta \gamma})$ terms [11]).

To evaluate the integral in (7), we invoke the technique described in [10]. That is, after introducing a new RV with standard Normal distribution, $P_{b}(e)=\int_{0}^{\infty} Q(\sqrt{\beta \gamma}) p_{\gamma_{\mathrm{eq}}}(\gamma) d \gamma$ can be reexpressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{b}(e)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} \int_{0}^{\infty} F_{\gamma_{\mathrm{cq}}}\left(t^{2} / \beta\right) e^{-t^{2} / 2} d t \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Fortunately, Tsiftsis et al. in [8] derived the cumulative distribution function of $\gamma_{\mathrm{eq}}, F_{\gamma_{\mathrm{eq}}}(\gamma)$, valid for integer $m_{1}$ and $m_{2}$. Using [8, eq. 18] $F_{\gamma_{\text {eq }}}(\gamma)$ can be written as ${ }^{1}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F_{\gamma_{\mathrm{eq}}}(\gamma)=1- \sum_{i=0}^{m_{1}-1} \sum_{j=0}^{i} \Upsilon(i, j) e^{-m_{1} \gamma / \Omega_{1}} \\
& \gamma^{\frac{2 i+m_{2}-j}{2}} K_{m_{2}-j}\left(2 \sqrt{\frac{m_{1} m_{2} C \gamma}{\Omega_{1} \Omega_{2}}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Upsilon(i, j)=\frac{2\binom{i}{j}}{\Gamma\left(m_{2}\right) i!}\left(\frac{m_{1}}{\Omega_{1}}\right)^{\frac{2 i+m_{2}-j}{2}}\left(\frac{C m_{2}}{\Omega_{2}}\right)^{\frac{m_{2}+j}{2}} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

In (9) $K_{\nu}(\cdot)$ is the $\nu$-th order modified Bessel function of the second kind. Substituting (9) into (8) and using [12, eq. 2.16.8.4] $P_{b}(e)$ can be computed in closed-form as

$$
\begin{align*}
& P_{b}(e)=\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} \sum_{i=0}^{m_{1}-1} \sum_{j=0}^{i} \frac{0.25 \Upsilon(i, j) \vartheta^{\lambda_{1}} \Gamma\left(i+\frac{1}{2}\right)}{\left(\beta\left(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{m_{1}}{\Omega_{1} \beta}\right)\right)^{\lambda_{2}}}  \tag{11}\\
& \cdot \frac{1}{\left(\frac{m_{1} m_{2} C}{\Omega_{1} \Omega_{2} \beta}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \Gamma\left(2 \lambda_{2}-i+\frac{1}{2}\right) \Psi\left(2 \lambda_{2}-i+\frac{1}{2}, 2 \lambda_{1} ; \vartheta\right)
\end{align*}
$$

[^1]where $\lambda_{1}=\frac{m_{2}-j+1}{2}, \lambda_{2}=\frac{2 i+m_{2}-j}{2}, \vartheta=\frac{2 m_{1} m_{2} C}{\left(2 m_{1}+\Omega_{1} \beta\right) \Omega_{2}}$ and $\Psi(a, b ; z)$ is the Tricomi confluent hypergeometric function [13, p. 504]. Note that to arrive at (11) we have employed the well known relationship between the Whittaker function and $\Psi(a, b ; z)[13$, p. 505].

In the special case of Rayleigh fading, a closed-form for $P_{b}(e)$ can be obtained setting $m_{1}=m_{2}=1$, in (11) and after some manipulations as

$$
\begin{align*}
P_{b}(e) & =\frac{1}{2}-\xi \int_{0}^{\infty} t e^{-\left(\frac{2+\Omega_{1}}{2 \Omega_{1}}\right) t^{2}} K_{1}\left(2 \sqrt{\frac{C}{\Omega_{1} \Omega_{2}}} t\right) d t  \tag{12}\\
& =\frac{1}{2}\left(1-\frac{\ell}{\sqrt{1+\left(2 / \Omega_{1} \beta\right)}} e^{\ell}\left[K_{1}(\ell)-K_{0}(\ell)\right]\right)
\end{align*}
$$

where $\xi=\sqrt{\frac{2 C}{\pi \Omega_{1} \Omega_{2} \beta}}$ and $\ell=\frac{C}{\left(2+\Omega_{1} \beta\right) \Omega_{2}}$. Note, that (12) can be also derived from (7) and [2, eq. 9], pointing out the validity and the generality of our approach.

## A. ABEP under Sufficiently Large SNR for $S-R$ Link and

 Arbitrary $m$In order to derive the ABEP for arbitrary $m$, we assume sufficiently large SNR for the $S-R$ hop [3], i.e., $E_{S R} / N_{0}>$ $E_{R D} / N_{0}$. Under this assumption, the end-to-end SNR is [3]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{\mathrm{eq}}=\frac{E_{R D}}{N_{0}} \alpha_{1}^{2} \alpha_{2}^{2} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

A squared Nakagami RV is gamma distributed. Let two independent RVs $X$ and $Y$ be gamma distributed, i.e., $X \sim$ $\mathcal{G}\left(a_{X}, b_{X}\right)$ and $Y \sim \mathcal{G}\left(a_{Y}, b_{Y}\right)$. The pdf of the product $Z$ of $X$ and $Y$ is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
p_{Z}(z) & =\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{t} p_{X}(t) p_{Y}\left(\frac{z}{t}\right) d t  \tag{14}\\
& =\frac{2}{\Gamma\left(a_{X}\right) \Gamma\left(a_{Y}\right)}\left(b_{X} b_{Y}\right)^{-\frac{a_{X}+a_{Y}}{2}} z\left(\frac{a_{X}+a_{Y}}{2}-1\right) \\
& \times K_{a_{Y}-a_{X}}\left(2 \sqrt{\frac{z}{b_{X} b_{Y}}}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, the pdf of $\omega_{\text {eq }}$ can be expressed as

$$
\begin{align*}
p_{\omega_{\mathrm{eq}}}(\omega)=\frac{2}{\Gamma\left(m_{1}\right) \Gamma\left(m_{2}\right)} & \left(\frac{m_{1} m_{2}}{\Omega_{2}}\right)^{\frac{m_{1}+m_{2}}{2}} \omega^{\frac{m_{1}+m_{2}}{2}-1}  \tag{15}\\
& \times K_{m_{2}-m_{1}}\left(2 \sqrt{\frac{m_{1} m_{2}}{\Omega_{2}} \omega}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Making the substitution $t^{2}=\omega$ and using the formula $Q(x)=$ $0.5 \operatorname{erfc}(x / \sqrt{2})$, the ABEP is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
P_{b}(e) & =\frac{2\left(\frac{m_{1} m_{2}}{\Omega_{2}}\right)^{\frac{m_{1}+m_{2}}{2}}}{\Gamma\left(m_{1}\right) \Gamma\left(m_{2}\right)} \int_{0}^{\infty} t^{m_{1}+m_{2}-1}  \tag{16}\\
& \times \operatorname{erfc}\left(\sqrt{\frac{\beta}{2}} t\right) K_{m_{2}-m_{1}}\left(2 \sqrt{\frac{m_{1} m_{2}}{\Omega_{2}}} t\right)
\end{align*}
$$

The involved integral in (16) can be evaluated using [12, 2.16.59.1] and the ABEP is expressed as shown in (17) where ${ }_{2} F_{2}(a, b ; c, d ; z)$ is a generalized hypergeometric function [12]. Note that $\Psi(\cdot, \cdot ; z)$ and ${ }_{2} F_{2}(a, b ; c, d ; z)$ can be evaluated using popular symbolic software such as MAPLE, MATHEMATICA and MATLAB.

$$
\begin{align*}
P_{b}(e)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma\left(m_{1}\right) \Gamma\left(m_{2}\right)} & {\left[\frac{2^{m_{1}-1} \Gamma\left(m_{2}-m_{1}\right) \Gamma\left(0.5+m_{1}\right)}{m_{1}\left(m_{1} m_{2} / \Omega_{2} \beta\right)^{-m_{1}} F_{2}\left(m_{1}, 0.5+m_{1} ; 1+m_{1}, 1+m_{1}-m_{2} ; \frac{2 m_{1} m_{2}}{\Omega_{2} \beta}\right)}\right.}  \tag{17}\\
& \left.+\frac{2^{m_{2}-1} \Gamma\left(m_{1}-m_{2}\right) \Gamma\left(0.5+m_{2}\right)}{m_{2}\left(m_{1} m_{2} / \Omega_{2} \beta\right)^{-m_{2}}}{ }_{2} F_{2}\left(m_{2}, 0.5+m_{2} ; 1+m_{2}, 1+m_{2}-m_{1} ; \frac{2 m_{1} m_{2}}{\Omega_{2} \beta}\right)\right]
\end{align*}
$$



Fig. 1. Simulated and theoretical ABEP of the dual-hop relay link.


Fig. 2. Comparison of simulated and theoretical ABEP. $\Omega_{1}=35 \mathrm{~dB}$.

## IV. Numerical and Simulation Results

Fig. 1 shows the ABEP of 4-QAM against the average SNR per hop, different values of $m$ and $E_{S R} / N_{0}=E_{R D} / N_{0}$. It should be noted, that although AF relaying will decrease the complexity at the relay, the destination needs to have channel state information knowledge of both the $S-R$ and the $R-D$ links. For comparison, the Rayleigh faded relay performance is also plotted. Observe that all the numerical results (the curves) are in exact agreement with the simulated ABEP results. With $S-R$ link subject to Rayleigh fading, no significant improvement in ABEP can be obtained for $m>3$ in the $R$ $D$ link. However, improved fading severity conditions in both
links lower the ABEP significantly and the achieved diversity order is increased. As noticed from Fig. 1 for an ABEP equal to $10^{-5}$, when fading severity changes from $m_{1}=m_{2}=2$ to $m_{1}=3, m_{2}=4$, a SNR gain of 8 dB can be achieved. Fig. 2 illustrates the ABEP of the dual-hop relay link when the average SNR of the $S-R$ link is 35 dB [3]. Again as expected, the error performance improves for large $m$ values in both hops. Finally, simulations were performed to check the validity of (17). As it is evident from Fig. 2 they perfectly match with the analytical ABEP.

## V. Conclusion

We have derived closed-form ABEP expressions for several modulation schemes of an AF-APS dual-hop relay link operating in independent and non-identical Nakagami-m fading channels. This analysis is useful to investigate the performance of AF-APS relaying subject to different fading conditions both for source to relay and relay to destination links.
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