

Clustered Regularly Interspaced Short Palindromic Repeats Genotyping of Multidrug-Resistant *Salmonella* Heidelberg Strains Isolated From the Poultry Production Chain Across Brazil

Daniel F. M. Monte^{1,2*}, Matthew A. Nethery^{3,4}, Hanna Berman⁵, Shivaramu Keelara⁵, Nilton Lincopan^{6,7}, Paula J. Fedorka-Cray⁵, Rodolphe Barrangou^{3,4} and Mariza Landgraf^{1*}

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Laurent Dufossé, Université de La Réunion, France

Reviewed by:

Nikki W. Shariat, University of Georgia, United States Amal Awad, Mansoura University, Egypt

*Correspondence:

Daniel F. M. Monte monte_dfm@alumni.usp.br Mariza Landgraf landgraf@usp.br

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Food Microbiology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Microbiology

Received: 31 January 2022 Accepted: 25 April 2022 Published: 17 June 2022

Citation:

Monte DFM, Nethery MA, Berman H, Keelara S, Lincopan N, Fedorka-Cray PJ, Barrangou R and Landgraf M (2022) Clustered Regularly Interspaced Short Palindromic Repeats Genotyping of Multidrug-Resistant Salmonella Heidelberg Strains Isolated From the Poultry Production Chain Across Brazil. Front. Microbiol. 13:867278. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2022.867278 ¹ Department of Food and Experimental Nutrition, Faculty of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Food Research Center, University of São Paulo, São Paulo, Brazil, ² Laboratory of Avian Pathology, Department of Pathology, Theriogenology, and One Health, São Paulo State University (FCAV-Unesp), São Paulo, Brazil, ³ Genomic Sciences Graduate Program, North Carolina State University, Raleigh, NC, United States, ⁴ Department of Food, Bioprocessing and Nutrition Sciences, North Carolina State University, Raleigh, NC, United States, ⁵ Department of Population Health and Pathobiology, College of Veterinary Medicine, North Carolina State University, Raleigh, NC, United States, ⁵ Department of Population Health and Pathobiology, Institute of Biomedical Sciences, University of São Paulo, São Paulo, Brazil, ⁷ Department of Clinical Analysis, Faculty of Pharmaceutical Sciences, University of São Paulo, São Paulo, Brazil, ⁷ Department of Clinical Analysis, Faculty of Pharmaceutical Sciences, University of São Paulo, São Paulo, Brazil

Salmonella enterica subsp. enterica serovar Heidelberg has been associated with a broad host range, such as poultry, dairy calves, swine, wild birds, environment, and humans. The continuous evolution of S. Heidelberg raises a public health concern since there is a global dispersal of lineages harboring a wide resistome and virulome on a global scale. Here, we characterized the resistome, phylogenetic structure and clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeats (CRISPR) array composition of 81 S. Heidelberg strains isolated from broiler farms (n = 16), transport and lairage (n = 5), slaughterhouse (n = 22), and retail market (n = 38) of the poultry production chain in Brazil, between 2015 and 2016 using high-resolution approaches including whole-genome sequencing (WGS) and WGS-derived CRISPR genotyping. More than 91% of the S. Heidelberg strains were multidrug-resistant. The total antimicrobial resistance (AMR) gene abundances did not vary significantly across regions and sources suggesting the widespread distribution of antibiotic-resistant strains from farm to market. The highest AMR gene abundance was observed for fosA7, aac(6')-laa, sul2, tet(A), gyrA, and parC for 100% of the isolates, followed by 88.8% for bla_{CMY-2}. The β-lactam resistance was essentially driven by the presence of the plasmid-mediated AmpC (pAmpC) bla_{CMY-2} gene, given the isolates which did not carry this gene were susceptible to cefoxitin (FOX). Most S. Heidelberg strains were classified within international lineages, which were phylogenetically nested with Salmonella strains from European countries; while CRISPR genotyping analysis revealed that the spacer content

1

was overall highly conserved, but distributed into 13 distinct groups. In summary, our findings underscore the potential role of *S*. Heidelberg as a key pathogen disseminated from farm to fork in Brazil and reinforce the importance of CRISPR-based genotyping for salmonellae. Hence, we emphasized the need for continuous mitigation programs to monitor the dissemination of this high-priority pathogen.

Keywords: antibiotic resistance, CRISPR, phylogeny, Salmonella Heidelberg, foodborne disease, WGS

INTRODUCTION

Salmonella enterica subsp. *enterica* serovar Heidelberg is most often associated with eggs and poultry (Hennessy et al., 2004; Chittick et al., 2006; Foley et al., 2008; Folster et al., 2012; Jackson et al., 2013). However, this scenario has changed since *Salmonella* Heidelberg has been associated with other sources from a broad host range, such as dairy calves (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention [CDC], 2017), swine (Cabral et al., 2017), wild birds (Liakopoulos et al., 2016a), environmental sources (Antony et al., 2018), human-derived clinical specimens (Abdullah et al., 2021), and outbreaks (Antony et al., 2018), which denotes their importance as a high-priority pathogen.

One of the most important risk factors surrounding foodborne illness is the international food trade that has been circumstantially accompanied by Salmonellae dispersal beyond borders. In this context, there is a global dispersal of multidrugresistant lineages of serovar *S*. Heidelberg, reaching various countries in North America (Andrysiak et al., 2008; Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2014; Public Health Agency of Canada, 2014; Deblais et al., 2018; Cox et al., 2021), South America (Kipper et al., 2021), Europe (Liakopoulos et al., 2016b; Campos et al., 2018), and Asia (Wu et al., 2013). Therefore, the simultaneous increase and extended protraction of *S*. Heidelberg in many parts of the world have favored their genetic acquisition of virulence and antimicrobial resistance (AMR) genes through horizontal gene transfer (HGT), which has ultimately led to one of the most pressing global concerns.

Owing to their importance as a key poultry producer globally, Brazil quickly became the hotspot of S. Heidelberg and urgent actions were needed from the food safety authorities to mitigate this pathogen in order to reduce the economic losses in the poultry sector. In this context, most investigations to detect Salmonella enterica serovars in the poultry sector still had important methodological gaps, since the food industry focuses especially on Salmonella Typhimurium and Salmonella Enteritidis, which demonstrate the need for a combined approach between classical microbiology and high-resolution methods such as whole-genome sequencing (WGS) and clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeats (CRISPR) genotyping (Barrangou and Dudley, 2016; Thompson et al., 2018; Yousfi et al., 2020). Indeed, the use of these high-throughput sequencing analyses exemplifies a useful means, not only for identifying Salmonella serovars but also to trace back the origin of the contamination conferring a substantial aid in decision-making to the poultry sector. In this regard, we demonstrated the usefulness of WGS-based identification in our previous study for genotyping rare Salmonella enterica serovars isolated from food and related sources (Monte et al., 2021). This previous survey demonstrated that the CRISPR arrays were highly conserved, and this genomic inspection provides high-resolution genotyping of *Salmonella* serovars. Hence, we performed a genomic study by combining WGS and CRISPR genotyping to characterize *S*. Heidelberg isolates from different sources at broiler farms, slaughterhouses, transport, lairages, and retail markets in Brazil.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Salmonella Heidelberg Strains and Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing

A total of 79 non-duplicate Salmonella enterica subsp. enterica serovar Heidelberg from our collection that included isolates obtained from broiler farms (n = 16), transport and lairage (n = 5), slaughterhouses (n = 22), and retail markets (n = 38) in Brazil between 2015 and 2016 were used in this study (refer **Table 1**). We also included two S. Heidelberg strains (SH159 and SSc139) from our previous work (Monte et al., 2019) for comparative purposes, totalizing eighty-one isolates. The Salmonella isolation was performed according to the International Organization for Standardization (Anonymous, 2007, 2017). These isolates were serotyped on the basis of somatic O, phase 1, and phase 2 of H flagellar antigens by agglutination tests with antisera as specified in the Kauffmann–White–Le Minor scheme (Grimont and Weil, 2007; Guibourdenche et al., 2010).

Minimum inhibitory concentrations (MICs) were determined by broth microdilution using Sensititre[®] Gram-Negative Plates (Trek Diagnostic Systems, OH), such as 14 antimicrobials: cefoxitin (FOX), ceftriaxone (AXO), amoxicillin/clavulanic acid 2:1 ratio (AUG2), ceftiofur (XNL), ampicillin (AMP), nalidixic acid (NAL), ciprofloxacin (CIP), chloramphenicol (CHL), tetracycline (TET), gentamicin (GEN), sulfisoxazole (FIS), trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole (SXT), streptomycin (STR), and azithromycin (AZI). MIC values were interpreted according to the guidelines of the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) (Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute [CLSI], 2021) and the National Antimicrobial Resistance Monitoring System (US Food and Drug Administration [FDA], 2015). Multidrug resistance was defined as resistant to three or more classes of antimicrobials (Magiorakos et al., 2012).

Genomic Analysis

All S. Heidelberg isolates (n = 81) underwent DNA extraction performed by using a commercial kit (QiAmp tissue, Qiagen,

TABLE 1 | Features of S. Heidelberg strains (n = 81) isolated from different sources.

Strain ID	Location*/year	Origin	Source	Resistance profile	Resistance genes	Sequence type
SH018 GCA_002270265.1	SP/2016	Farm	Broiler chicken	FOX-TET-AXO-AUG2-CIP-NAL- XNL-AMP	bla _{CMY–2} , fosA7, sul2, tet(A), aac(6')-laa, gyrA:p.S83F, parC:p.T57S	ST15
SH019 GCA_002260805.1	SP/2016	Farm	Broiler chicken	FOX-TET-AXO-AUG2-CIP-NAL- XNL-AMP	bla _{CMY–2} , fosA7, sul2, tet(A), aac(6′)-laa, gyrA:p.S83F, parC:p.T57S	ST15
SH10211124 GCA_006332685.1	SC/2016	Farm	Broiler chicken	TET-CIP-NAL	fosA7, sul2, tet(A), aac(6′)-laa, gyrA:p.S83F, parC:p.T57S	ST15
SH10227492 GCA_006291695.1	SC/2016	Farm	Broiler chicken	TET-CIP-NAL-STR	fosA7, sul2, tet(A), aac(6′)-laa, gyrA:p.S83F, parC:p.T57S	ST15
SH10230633 GCA_004161895.1	MS/2016	Farm	Broiler chicken	FOX-TET-AXO-AUG2-CIP-NAL- XNL-AMP	bla _{CMY–2} , fosA7, sul2, tet(A), aac(6′)-laa, aph(3′)-la, gyrA:p.S83F, parC:p.T57S	ST15
SH10190712 GCA_011157915.1	PR/2016	Farm	Broiler chicken	FOX-TET-AXO-AUG2-CIP-NAL- XNL-AMP	bla _{CMY–2} , fosA7, sul2, tet(A), aac(6′)-laa, gyrA:p.S83F, parC:p.T57S	ST15
SH10201911 GCA_011519745.1	SC/2016	Farm	Broiler chicken	TET-CIP-NAL	fosA7, sul2, tet(A), aac(6′)-laa, gyrA:p.S83F, parC:p.T57S	ST15
SH10206799 GCA_011520545.1	SC/2016	Farm	Broiler chicken	TET-CIP-NAL	fosA7, sul2, tet(A), aac(6′)-laa, gyrA:p.S83F, parC:p.T57S	ST15
SH10225532 GCA_007640935.1	SC/2016	Farm	Broiler chicken	TET-CIP-NAL	fosA7, sul2, tet(A), aac(6′)-laa, gyrA:p.S83F, parC:p.T57S	ST15
STy012 GCA_011606045.1	SP/2015	Farm	Broiler chicken	TET-CIP-NAL	fosA7, sul2, tet(A), aac(6′)-laa, gyrA:p.S83F, parC:p.T57S	ST15
SI015 GCA_011598585.1	SP/2015	Farm	Broiler chicken	TET-CIP-NAL	fosA7, sul2, tet(A), aac(6′)-laa, gyrA:p.S83F, parC:p.T57S	ST15
SH134 GCA_011158435.1	SP/2016	Farm	Chicken cage after cleaning	FOX-TET-AXO-AUG2-CIP-NAL- XNL-AMP	bla _{CMY–2} , fosA7, sul2, tet(A), aac(6′)-laa, gyrA:p.S83F, parC:p.T57S	ST15
SH159 GCA_011157595.1	MG/2016	Farm	Chicken cage after cleaning	FOX-TET-AXO-AUG2-CIP-NAL- XNL-AMP	bla _{CMY–2} , fosA7, sul2, tet(A), aac(6′)-laa, gyrA:p.S83F, parC:p.T57S	ST15
SH415 GCA_006332505.1	SC/2016	Farm	Chicken cage after cleaning	FOX-TET-AXO-AUG2-CIP-NAL- XNL-AMP	bla _{CMY–2} , fosA7, sul2, tet(A), aac(6′)-laa, gyrA:p.S83F, parC:p.T57S	ST15
SH434 GCA_006291935.1	SC/2016	Farm	Chicken cage after cleaning	FOX-TET-AXO-AUG2-CIP-NAL- XNL-AMP	bla _{CMY–2} , fosA7, sul2, tet(A), aac(6′)-laa, gyrA:p.S83F, parC:p.T57S	ST15
SH715 GCA_003874535.1	SP/2016	Farm	Chicken cage after cleaning	TET-CIP-GEN-NAL-STR	fosA7, aac(3)-Vla, aadA1, sul2, tet(A), aac(6')-laa, gyrA:p.S83F, parC:p.T57S	ST15
SH264 GCA_010933975.1	PR/2016	Transport and lairage	Truck after cleaning	FOX-TET-AXO-AUG2-CIP-NAL- XNL-AMP	bla _{CMY–2} , fosA7, sul2, tet(A), aac(6′)-laa, gyrA:p.S83F, parC:p.T57S	ST15
SH265 GCA_010884255.1	PR/2016	Transport and lairage	Truck after cleaning	FOX-TET-AXO-AUG2-CIP-NAL- XNL-AMP	bla _{CMY–2} , fosA7, sul2, tet(A), aac(6′)-laa, gyrA:p.S83F, parC:p.T57S	ST15
SH414 GCA_003877275.1	SC/2016	Transport and lairage	Truck after cleaning	FOX-TET-AXO-AUG2-CIP-NAL- XNL-AMP-STR	bla _{CMY–2} , fosA7, sul2, tet(A), aac(6′)-laa, gyrA:p.S83F, parC:p.T57S	ST15

Monte et al.

(Continued)

Strain ID	Location*/year	Origin	Source	Resistance profile	Resistance genes	Sequence type
SH433 GCA_006332565.1	SC/2016	Transport and lairage	Truck after cleaning	FOX-TET-AXO-AUG2-CIP-NAL- XNL-AMP	bla _{CMY–2} , fosA7, sul2, tet(A), aac(6′)-laa, gyrA:p.S83F, parC:p.T57S	ST15
SH435 GCA_006291875.1	SC/2016	Transport and lairage	Truck after cleaning	FOX-TET-AXO-AUG2-CIP-NAL- XNL-AMP	bla _{CMY–2} , fosA7, sul2, tet(A), aac(6')-laa, gyrA:p.S83F, parC:p.T57S	ST15
SH122 GCA_011616265.1	SP/2016	Slaughterhouse	Chicken carcass	FOX-TET-AXO-AUG2-CIP-NAL- XNL-AMP	bla _{CMY–2} , fosA7, sul2, tet(A), aac(6')-laa, gyrA:p.S83F, parC:p.T57S	ST15
SH125 GCA_011544755.1	SP/2016	Slaughterhouse	Chicken carcass	FOX-TET-AXO-AUG2-CIP-NAL- XNL-AMP-STR	bla _{CMY–2} , fosA7, sul2, tet(A), aac(6′)-laa, gyrA:p.S83F, parC:p.T57S	ST15
SH128 GCA_010956115.1	SP/2016	Slaughterhouse	Chicken carcass	FOX-TET-AXO-AUG2-CIP-NAL- XNL-AMP	bla _{CMY–2} , fosA7, sul2, tet(A), aac(6')-laa, gyrA:p.S83F, parC:p.T57S	ST15
SH129 GCA_011591705.1	SP/2016	Slaughterhouse	Chicken carcass	FOX-TET-AXO-AUG2-CIP-NAL- XNL-AMP	bla _{CMY–2} , fosA7, sul2, tet(A), aac(6′)-laa, gyrA:p.S83F, parC:p.T57S	ST15
SH258 GCA_011533705.1	PR/2016	Slaughterhouse	Chicken carcass	FOX-TET-AXO-AUG2-CIP-NAL- XNL-AMP	bla _{CMY–2} , fosA7, sul2, tet(A), aac(6')-laa, gyrA:p.S83F, parC:p.T57S	ST15
SH266 GCA_011157875.1	PR/2016	Slaughterhouse	Chicken carcass	FOX-TET-AXO-AUG2-CIP-NAL- XNL-AMP	bla _{CMY–2} , fosA7, sul2, tet(A), aac(6′)-laa, gyrA:p.S83F, parC:p.T57S	ST15
SH283 GCA_011516545.1	SP/2016	Slaughterhouse	Chicken carcass	FOX-TET-AXO-AUG2-CIP-NAL- XNL-AMP	bla _{CMY–2} , fosA7, sul2, tet(A), aac(6')-laa, gyrA:p.S83F, parC:p.T57S	ST15
SH284 GCA_010005265.1	SP/2016	Slaughterhouse	Chicken carcass	FOX-TET-AXO-AUG2-CIP-NAL- XNL-AMP	bla _{CMY–2} , fosA7, sul2, tet(A), aac(6')-laa, gyrA:p.S83F, parC:p.T57S	ST15
SH285 GCA_006291795.1	SP/2016	Slaughterhouse	Chicken carcass	FOX-TET-AXO-AUG2-CIP-NAL- XNL-AMP	bla _{CMY–2} , fosA7, sul2, tet(A), aac(6')-laa, gyrA:p.S83F, parC:p.T57S	ST15
SSc148 GCA_003877035.1	DF/2016	Slaughterhouse	Chicken carcass	FOX-TET-AXO-AUG2-CIP-NAL- XNL-AMP	bla _{CMY–2} , fosA7, sul2, tet(A), aac(6')-laa, gyrA:p.S83F, parC:p.T57S	ST15
SSc155 GCA_006209245.1	DF/2016	Slaughterhouse	Chicken carcass	FOX-TET-AXO-AUG2-CIP-NAL- XNL-AMP	bla _{CMY–2} , fosA7, sul2, tet(A), aac(6')-laa, gyrA:p.S83F, parC:p.T57S	ST15
SH268 GCA_010979095.1	PR/2016	Slaughterhouse	Chicken carcass after chiller	FOX-TET-AXO-AUG2-CIP-NAL- XNL-AMP	bla _{CMY–2} , fosA7, sul2, tet(A), aac(6')-laa, gyrA:p.S83F, parC:p.T57S	ST15
SH269 GCA_011157135.1	PR/2016	Slaughterhouse	Chicken carcass after chiller	FOX-TET-AXO-AUG2-CIP-NAL- XNL-AMP	bla _{CMY–2} , fosA7, sul2, tet(A), aac(6′)-laa, gyrA:p.S83F, parC:p.T57S	ST15
SH270 GCA_010977655.1	PR/2016	Slaughterhouse	Chicken carcass after chiller	FOX-TET-AXO-AUG2-CIP-NAL- XNL-AMP	bla _{CMY–2} , fosA7, sul2, tet(A), aac(6')-laa, gyrA:p.S83F, parC:p.T57S	ST15
SH1 GCA_011149295.1	SP/2016	Slaughterhouse	Mechanically recovered chicken meat	FOX-TET-AXO-AUG2-CIP-NAL- XNL-AMP	bla _{CMY–2} , fosA7, sul2, tet(A), aac(6')-laa, gyrA:p.S83F, parC:p.T57S	ST15
SH131 GCA_006211165.1	SP/2016	Slaughterhouse	Mechanically recovered chicken meat	FOX-TET-AXO-AUG2-CIP-NAL- XNL-AMP	bla _{CMY–2} , fosA7, sul2, tet(A), aac(6')-laa, gyrA:p.S83F, parC:p.T57S	ST15
SH296 GCA_006292135.1	SP/2016	Slaughterhouse	Mechanically recovered	FOX-TET-AXO-AUG2-CIP-NAL- XNL-AMP-STR	bla _{CMY–2} , fosA7, sul2, tet(A), aac(6′)-laa, gyrA:p.S83F, parC:p.T57S	ST15

(Continued)

TABLE 1 | (Continued)

Strain ID	Location*/year	Origin	Source	Resistance profile	Resistance genes	Sequence type
SH297 GCA_003877075.1	SP/2016	Slaughterhouse	Mechanically recovered chicken meat	FOX-TET-AXO-AUG2-CIP-NAL- XNL-AMP	bla _{CMY–2} , fosA7, sul2, tet(A), aac(6')-laa, gyrA:p.S83F, parC:p.T57S	ST15
SH697 GCA_003874475.1	SC/2016	Slaughterhouse	Mechanically recovered chicken meat	FOX-TET-AXO-AUG2-CIP-NAL- XNL-AMP	bla _{CMY–2} , fosA7, sul2, tet(A), aac(6′)-laa, gyrA:p.S83F, parC:p.T57S	ST15
SH700 GCA_006291975.1	SC/2016	Slaughterhouse	Mechanically recovered chicken meat	FOX-TET-AXO-AUG2-CIP-NAL- XNL-AMP	bla _{CMY–2} , fosA7, sul2, tet(A), aac(6′)-laa, gyrA:p.S83F, parC:p.T57S	ST15
SH712 GCA_006210745.1	SP/2016	Slaughterhouse	Mechanically recovered chicken meat	FOX-TET-AXO-AUG2-CIP-NAL- XNL-AMP	bla _{CMY–2} , fosA7, sul2, tet(A), aac(6′)-laa, gyrA:p.S83F, parC:p.T57S	ST15
SH164 GCA_010875785.1	SP/2016	Slaughterhouse	Viscera	FOX-TET-AXO-AUG2-CIP-NAL- XNL-AMP	bla _{CMY–2} , fosA7, sul2, tet(A), aac(6′)-laa, gyrA:p.S83F, parC:p.T57S	ST15
SH118 GCA_011163895.1	SP/2016	Retail market	Chicken breast	FOX-TET-AXO-AUG2-CIP-NAL- XNL-AMP	bla _{CMY–2} , fosA7, sul2, tet(A), aac(6′)-laa, gyrA:p.S83F, parC:p.T57S	ST15
SH276 GCA_011571185.1	SP/2016	Retail market	Salted chicken breast	TET-CIP-NAL	fosA7, sul2, tet(A), aac(6')-laa, gyrA:p.S83F, parC:p.T57S	ST15
SH405 GCA_006332425.1	SC/2016	Retail market	Chicken breast fillet	FOX-TET-AXO-AUG2-CIP-NAL- XNL-AMP	bla _{CMY–2} , fosA7, sul2, tet(A), aac(6′)-laa, gyrA:p.S83F, parC:p.T57S	ST15
SH410 GCA_006209405.1	SC/2016	Retail market	Chicken breast fillet	FOX-TET-AXO-AUG2-CIP-NAL- XNL-AMP	bla _{CMY–2} , fosA7, sul2, tet(A), aac(6')-laa, gyrA:p.S83F, parC:p.T57S	ST15
SH694 GCA_006291675.1	SC/2016	Retail market	Chicken breast fillet	FOX-TET-AXO-AUG2-CIP-NAL- XNL-AMP	bla _{CMY–2} , fosA7, sul2, tet(A), aac(6′)-laa, gyrA:p.S83F, parC:p.T57S	ST15
SH120 GCA_011590585.1	SP/2016	Retail market	Chicken thigh and drumstick	FOX-TET-AXO-AUG2-CIP-NAL- XNL-AMP	bla _{CMY–2} , fosA7, sul2, tet(A), aac(6′)-laa, gyrA:p.S83F, parC:p.T57S	ST15
SH286 GCA_006291895.1	SP/2016	Retail market	Chicken thigh and drumstick	FOX-TET-AXO-AUG2-CIP-NAL- XNL-AMP	bla _{CMY–2} , fosA7, sul2, tet(A), aac(6′)-laa, gyrA:p.S83F, parC:p.T57S	ST15
SH411 GCA_006209285.1	SC/2016	Retail market	Chicken thigh and drumstick	FOX-TET-AXO-AUG2-CIP-NAL- XNL-AMP	bla _{CMY–2} , fosA7, sul2, tet(A), aac(6′)-laa, gyrA:p.S83F, parC:p.T57S	ST15
SH692 GCA_006211665.1	SC/2016	Retail market	Chicken thigh and drumstick	FOX-TET-AXO-AUG2-CIP-NAL- XNL-AMP	bla _{CMY–2} , fosA7, sul2, tet(A), aac(6′)-laa, gyrA:p.S83F, parC:p.T57S	ST15
SH121 GCA_010946195.1	SP/2016	Retail market	Chicken fillet sassami	FOX-TET-AXO-AUG2-CIP-NAL- XNL-AMP	bla _{CMY–2} , fosA7, sul2, tet(A), aac(6′)-laa, Inu(G), gyrA:p.S83F, parC:p.T57S	ST15
SH127 GCA_011146395.1	SP/2016	Retail market	Chicken fillet sassami	FOX-TET-AXO-AUG2-CIP-NAL- XNL-AMP	bla _{CMY-2} , fosA7, sul2, tet(A), aac(6')-laa, Inu(G), gyrA:p.S83F, parC:p.T57S	ST15
SH135 GCA_011146615.1	SP/2016	Retail market	Whole chicken	FOX-TET-AXO-AUG2-CIP-NAL- XNL-AMP-STR	bla _{CMY-2} , fosA7, sul2, tet(A), aac(6')-laa, gyrA:p.S83F, parC:p.T57S	ST15
SH427 GCA_003877155.1	SC/2016	Retail market	Whole chicken	FOX-TET-AXO-AUG2-CIP-NAL- XNL-AMP-STR	bla _{CMY–2} , fosA7, sul2, tet(A), aac(6')-laa, gyrA:p.S83F, parC:p.T57S	ST15
SH138 GCA_010980075.1	SC/2016	Retail market	Leg quarter	FOX-TET-AXO-AUG2-CIP-NAL- XNL-AMP-STR	bla _{CMY-2} , fosA7, sul2, tet(A), aac(6')-laa, gyrA:p.S83F, parC:p.T57S	ST15

(Continued)

TABLE 1 | (Continued)

Strain ID	Location*/year	Origin	Source	Resistance profile	Resistance genes	Sequence type
SH158 GCA_010902135.1	MG/2016	Retail market	Fiesta boneless	FOX-TET-AXO-AUG2-CIP-NAL- XNL-AMP-STR	bla _{CMY–2} , fosA7, aadA1, aadA2, aac(6')-laa, cmlA1, dfrA12, sul2, sul3, tet(A), qacL, gyrA:p.S83F, parC:p.T57S	ST15
SH287 GCA_004158845.1	SP/2016	Retail market	Chicken skin	FOX-TET-AXO-AUG2-CIP-NAL- XNL-AMP-STR	bla _{CMY–2} , fosA7, sul2, tet(A), aac(6′)-laa, gyrA:p.S83F, parC:p.T57S	ST15
SH289 GCA_004159315.1	SP/2016	Retail market	Seasoned chicken fillet	FOX-TET-AXO-AUG2-CIP-NAL- XNL-AMP	bla _{CMY–2} , fosA7, sul2, tet(A), aac(6')-laa, gyrA:p.S83F, parC:p.T57S	ST15
SH403 GCA_006292115.1	SC/2016	Retail market	Seasoned chicken fillet	FOX-TET-AXO-AUG2-CIP-NAL- XNL-AMP	bla _{CMY–2} , fosA7, sul2, tet(A), aac(6′)-laa, gyrA:p.S83F, parC:p.T57S	ST15
SH290 GCA_006332625.1	SP/2016	Retail market	Chicken liver	FOX-TET-AXO-AUG2-CIP-NAL- XNL-AMP	bla _{CMY–2} , fosA7, sul2, tet(A), aac(6′)-laa, gyrA:p.S83F, parC:p.T57S	ST15
SH402 GCA_006332585.1	SC/2016	Retail market	Chicken liver	FOX-TET-AXO-AUG2-CIP-NAL- XNL-AMP	bla _{CMY–2} , fosA7, sul2, tet(A), aac(6′)-laa, gyrA:p.S83F,parC:p.T57S	ST15
SH408 GCA_006291855.1	SC/2016	Retail market	Chicken liver	FOX-TET-AXO-AUG2-CIP-NAL- XNL-AMP	bla _{CMY–2} , fosA7, sul2, tet(A), aac(6′)-laa, gyrA:p.S83F, parC:p.T57S	ST15
SH422 GCA_006291955.1	SC/2016	Retail market	Chicken liver	FOX-TET-AXO-AUG2-CIP-NAL- XNL-AMP	bla _{CMY–2} , fosA7, sul2, tet(A), aac(6′)-laa, gyrA:p.S83F, parC:p.T57S	ST15
SH423 GCA_006209445.1	SC/2016	Retail market	Chicken liver	FOX-TET-AXO-AUG2-CIP-NAL- XNL-AMP	bla _{CMY–2} , fosA7, sul2, tet(A), aac(6′)-laa, gyrA:p.S83F, parC:p.T57S	ST15
SH429 GCA_004160665.1	SC/2016	Retail market	Chicken liver	FOX-TET-AXO-AUG2-CIP-NAL- XNL-AMP	bla _{CMY–2} , fosA7, sul2, tet(A), aac(6′)-laa, gyrA:p.S83F, parC:p.T57S	ST15
SH430 GCA_006291835.1	SC/2016	Retail market	Chicken liver	FOX-TET-AXO-AUG2-CIP-NAL- XNL-AMP	bla _{CMY–2} , fosA7, sul2, tet(A), aac(6′)-laa, gyrA:p.S83F, parC:p.T57S	ST15
SH431 GCA_006210515.1	SC/2016	Retail market	Chicken liver	FOX-TET-AXO-AUG2-CIP-NAL- XNL-AMP	bla _{CMY–2} , fosA7, sul2, tet(A), aac(6')-laa, gyrA:p.S83F, parC:p.T57S	ST15
SH674 GCA_006332645.1	SC/2016	Retail market	Chicken liver	FOX-TET-AXO-AUG2-CIP-NAL- XNL-AMP	bla _{CMY–2} , fosA7, sul2, tet(A), aac(6′)-laa, gyrA:p.S83F, parC:p.T57S	ST15
SH687 GCA_006211605.1	SC/2016	Retail market	Chicken liver	FOX-TET-AXO-AUG2-CIP-NAL- XNL-AMP	bla _{CMY–2} , fosA7, sul2, tet(A), aac(6')-laa, gyrA:p.S83F, parC:p.T57S	ST15
SH707 GCA_006211425.1	SC/2016	Retail market	Chicken liver	FOX-TET-AXO-AUG2-CIP-NAL- XNL-AMP	bla _{CMY-2} , fosA7, sul2, tet(A), aac(6')-laa, gyrA:p.S83F, parC:p.T57S	ST15
SH412 GCA_004159355.1	SC/2016	Retail market	Chicken wing	FOX-TET-AXO-AUG2-CIP-NAL- XNL-AMP	bla _{CMY-2} , fosA7, sul2, tet(A), aac(6')-laa, gyrA:p.S83F, parC:p.T57S	ST15
SH680 GCA_003877135.1	SC/2016	Retail market	Retail meat	FOX-TET-AXO-AUG2-CIP-NAL- XNL-AMP	bla _{CMY-2} , fosA7, sul2, tet(A), aac(6')-laa, gyrA:p.S83F, parC:p.T57S	ST15

:					
Strain ID	Location*/year	Origin	Source	Resistance profile	Resistance genes
SH681 GCA_006292015.1	SC/2016	Retail market	Chicken wing	FOX-TET-AXO-AUG2-CIP-NAL- XNL-AMP	bla _{CMY –2} , fosA7, sul2, tet(A), aac(6')-laa, gyrA:p.S83F, parC:p.T57S
SH685 GCA_004161515.1	SC/2016	Retail market	Chicken neck	FOX-TET-AXO-AUG2-CIP-NAL- XNL-AMP-STR	blac _{NY -2} , fosA7, sul2, tet(A), aac(6')-laa, gyrA:p.S83F, parC:p.T57S
SH691 GCA_006291915.1	SC/2016	Retail market	Chicken wing	FOX-TET-AXO-AUG2-CIP-NAL- XNL-AMP-STR	bla _{CMY -2} , fosA7, sul2, tet(A), aac(6')-laa, gyrA:p.S83F, parC;p.T57S
SH693 GCA_006210725.1	SC/2016	Retail market	Chicken wing	FOX-TET-AXO-AUG2-CIP-NAL- XNL-AMP	bla _{CMY-2} , fosA7, sul2, tet(A), aac(6')-laa, gyrA:p.S83F, parC:p.T57S

Germany) per manufacturer's guidelines. Genomic DNA of eighty-one *Salmonella* isolates was sequenced at a 300-bp pairedend-read using the Nextera XT library preparation kit at the MiSeq platform (Illumina, San Diego, CA, United States). Resulted raw sequence reads underwent strict quality control

WGS-CRISPR Genomic Inspection of Salmonella Heidelberg

by using default settings in CLC workbench 10.1.1 (Qiagen) as per Monte et al. (2019), while assemblies were annotated with PROKKA version 1.14-dev (Seemann, 2014). A core genome phylogeny was constructed with an alignment of the core genes determined by the software version 3.11.2; the BlastP threshold was set to 95% (Page et al., 2015). A pan-genome genes presenceabsence information from Roary was visualized with Phandango (Hadfield et al., 2018). The single nucleotide polymorphisms were extracted from the alignment using SNP-sites version 2.3.3 (Page et al., 2016). The phylogeny was reconstructed using RAxML version 8.2.12, using a General Time Reversible Model and Gamma distribution for rate heterogeneity (Stamatakis, 2014). The resulting phylogeny was tested against 1,000 bootstrap replications, as determined by implementing the majority rule, autoMR convergence criteria in the RAxML software (Pattengale et al., 2010). The phylogeny was visualized and annotated using iTol version 3 (Letunic and Bork, 2016).

Lastly, the assemblies were analyzed for acquired AMR genes and chromosomal point mutations using default settings of ResFinder 4.1 database available at the Center for Genome Epidemiology.¹ In addition, we used MLST 2.0 to detect multilocus sequence typing (MLST), and the PlasmidFinder software version 2.0.1 was run with database version 2018-11-20 (Carattoli et al., 2014). A minimum identity threshold of 95% was used as a filter for identification.

Clustered Regularly Interspaced Short Palindromic Repeats Genotyping and Phylogenetic Analysis

An automated high-throughput processing pipeline previously described by Nethery and Barrangou (2019) was used to identify the CRISPR loci within each strain. Using CRISPR Visualizer, we extracted and imported CRISPR loci into the web interface for visualization and alignment of all CRISPR spacer and repeat sequences.²

RESULTS

Salmonella Heidelberg Strains Harbored a Wide Resistome Against Critically Important Antimicrobials

A total of 81 (100%) *S*. Heidelberg strains were both phenotypically and genotypically resistant, whereas 91.3% (n = 74) were multidrug-resistant, defined as resistant to three or more classes of antimicrobial compounds (Magiorakos et al., 2012; **Table 1**). Results of the antimicrobial susceptibility testing are presented in **Table 2**. MICs vary among *S*. Heidelberg strains.

Sequence type

ST15

ST15

ST15

ST15

ST15

bla_{CMY-2}, fosA7, sul2, tet(A), aac(6')-laa,

FOX-TET-AXO-AUG2-CIP-NAL-

XNL-AMP-STR

ST15

aac(6')-laa,

bla_{CMY-2}, fosA7, sul2, tet(A),

gyrA:p.S83F, parC:p.T57S

gyrA:p.S83F, parC:p.T57S

ST15

aac(6')-laa,

bla_{CMY-2}, fosA7, sul2, tet(A),

FOX-TET-AXO-AUG2-CIP-NAL-

KNL-AMP

FOX-TET-AXO-AUG2-CIP-NAL-

Chicken wing paddle

Retail market

SC/2016

Chicken wing

Retail market

SP/2016

Chicken wing

Retail market

SP/2016

GCA_011578645.1

SSc139

GCA_006332605.1

SH716

GCA_010932755.

SSC136

XNL-AMP

gyrA:p.S83F, parC:p.T57S

TABLE 1 [(Continued)

¹http://www.genomicepidemiology.org/

²https://github.com/CRISPRlab/CRISPRviz





All S. Heidelberg strains were resistant to TET, NAL, CIP, and FIS with MIC values ranging from 0.25 to $\geq 256 \ \mu g/ml$ (**Table 2**). The high MIC values observed in this study for β -lactams (AMP, amoxicillin/clavulanic acid, AXO, XNL, and FOX), TET, FIS, NAL, and STR (**Table 2**), confirm the high frequency of AMR genes and mutations predicted by genomic analysis. Yet, based on the MIC distribution, all S. Heidelberg strains displayed susceptibility to AZI (**Table 2**).

The total AMR gene abundances did not vary significantly across regions and sources suggesting pervasive distribution of antibiotic resistant strains from farm to market in six different States of Brazil (Figure 1). The highest AMR gene abundances were observed for fosfomycin (fosA7; 100%), sulfonamide (sul2; 100%), tetracycline [tet(A); 100%], and aminoglycoside [aac(6')-Iaa; 100%]. Seventy-two (88.8%) S. Heidelberg strains harbored the plasmid-mediated AmpC β -lactamase (*bla*_{CMY-2}), encoding resistance to third-generation cephalosporin (3GC). Unlike, Inu(G) (n = 2), aadA1 (n = 2), aph(3')-Ia (n = 1), aac(3)-*Via* (n = 1), *aadA2* (n = 1), *cmlA1* (n = 1), *dfrA12* (n = 1), sul3 (n = 1), and gacL (n = 1) AMR genes were detected at very low levels (Table 1). On the other hand, chromosomal point mutations in gyrA [p. Ser83Phe (tcc \rightarrow ttc)] and parC [p. Thr57Ser (acc \rightarrow agc)] were identified in 100% of the strains. This quinolone resistance-determining region (QRDR) among S. Heidelberg strains was sufficient to promote high-level resistance at > 32 μ g/ml for NAL.

All 81 *Salmonella* genomes were analyzed for the content of plasmid replicons by using the Center for Genomic Epidemiology (CGE) web-tool PlasmidFinder 2.1, with 100% of the genomes containing at least two replicons, like ColpVC and IncA/C2. The remaining plasmids replicons such as IncX1 (n = 80; 98.7%), Incl1 (n = 56; 69.1%), IncFII (n = 3; 3.7%), IncFII(29) (n = 2; 2.4%), IncY (n = 2; 2.4%), Col156 (n = 1; 1.2%), IncFIA (n = 1; 1.2%), IncQ1(n = 1; 1.2%), and IncX4 (n = 1; 1.2%) were identified within *S*. Heidelberg genomes (**Figure 1**).

Spacer Composition and Sequence Type Were Highly Conserved Within Salmonella Heidelberg Strains

Next, we visualized CRISPR loci extracted from WGS data to analyze the pattern of repeats and spacers distributed among S. Heidelberg strains (n = 81). In doing so, we observed 13 unique CRISPR array patterns [P1 (n = 13), P2 (n = 3), P3 (n = 1), P4 (n = 3), P5 (n = 26), P6 (n = 1), P7 (n = 16), P8 (n = 1), P9 (n = 1), P10 (n = 8), P11 (n = 5), P12 (n = 2), and P13 (n = 1)] as shown in **Table 3**. Overall, we observed a maximum of 44 spacers across S. Heidelberg strains (P7), spread across two loci. SH265 and SH268, belonging to profile P12, contained 37 spacers, the lowest number presented here. Spacer composition was highly conserved across strains, which shared 43 (P1, P2, P3, P4, P5, and P6), 42 (P7 and P8), 40 (P9 and P10), 39 (P11), and 36 (P12) identical spacers, reflecting a common ancestral origin (Figure 2). Next, we performed a comparative analysis of the architecture of the type I-E CRISPR-Cas system present in these strains and observed 100% amino acid identity across all strains-further evidence of shared ancestral origin (Figure 3).



FIGURE 1 (A) Reconstructed phylogeny based on the core genome (4,139 genes) of the 81 S. Heidelberg strains. The percentage of bootstrap samples in which nodes appeared is shown. The location of isolation of each strain is labeled on its respective branch. Color strips depict the year (Y) and source (S) of isolation, respectively. (B) The presence and absence of selected antimicrobial resistance genes are shown, with black indicating presence. The drug classes impacted by these genes are: (a) aminoglycosides, (b) beta-lactams, (c) chloramphenicol, (d) fosfomycin, (e) lincosamide, (f) quaternary ammonium compounds, (g) quinolones, (h) streptomycin, (i) sulfonamides, (j) tetracylines. (C) Presence and absence of plasmid incompatibility groups, with black indicating presence. Brazilian States: PR, Paraná; SC, Santa Catarina; SP, São Paulo; MG, Minas Gerais; DF, Distrito Federal; MS, Mato Grosso do Sul.

We further evaluated the multi-locus sequence typing by *in silico* prediction, which revealed that all *S*. Heidelberg strains matched the international sequence type (ST15) (**Table 1**).

Salmonella Heidelberg Strains Isolated From Brazil Are Genetically Related to South American, European, and Asian Isolates

While assessing the phylogenetics of *S*. Heidelberg strains (n = 81) sequenced in this study, we noticed that the core genome, calculated from WGS data, represented 74% of the

pan-genome (4,139 out of 5,582 total genes). Little genomic variation was present among the core genome, as only 704 SNP sites were detected. Bootstrap values varied across the phylogeny, likely attributed to the small genomic variation among strains. S. Heidelberg strains did not cluster by year, source, or geographic location across the phylogeny suggesting the widespread distribution [regions (n = 6), sources (n = 4), years of isolation (n = 2)] and persistence of Salmonella strains in Brazil (**Figure 1**), which validate the previous surveys (Monte et al., 2019). Furthermore, 11 different clusters were identified as shown in **Figure 1**. Of these, 14 strains appear to be from independent lineages, given that they nested out of the main branches.

CRISPR profile	Location*	Source	Year of isolation
P1	SC (n = 7), PR (n = 2), SP (n = 4)	Retail market ($n = 5$), transport and lairage ($n = 1$), slaughterhouse ($n = 4$), broiler farm ($n = 3$)	2015 (<i>n</i> = 1), 2016 (<i>n</i> = 12)
P2	SC $(n = 2)$, MS $(n = 1)$	Retail market ($n = 2$), broiler farm ($n = 1$)	2016 (n = 3)
P3	SC $(n = 1)$	Slaughterhouse ($n = 1$)	2016 (<i>n</i> = 1)
P4	SC $(n = 1)$, SP $(n = 2)$	Retail market ($n = 2$), slaughterhouse ($n = 1$)	2016 (<i>n</i> = 3)
P5	SC (n = 15), SP (n = 8), PR (n = 2), DF (n = 1)	Retail market ($n = 13$), transport and lairage ($n = 3$), slaughterhouse ($n = 5$), broiler farm ($n = 5$)	2016 (<i>n</i> = 26)
P6	SP ($n = 1$)	Broiler farm $(n = 1)$	2016 (<i>n</i> = 1)
P7	SC (n = 8), SP (n = 7), DF (n = 1)	Retail market ($n = 9$), slaughterhouse ($n = 4$), broiler farm ($n = 3$)	2015 (<i>n</i> = 1), 2016 (<i>n</i> = 15)
P8	SP ($n = 1$)	Retail market ($n = 1$)	2016 (<i>n</i> = 1)
P9	PR(n = 1)	Slaughterhouse ($n = 1$)	2016 (<i>n</i> = 1)
P10	SP (n = 6), MG (n = 1), PR (n = 1)	Retail market ($n = 3$), slaughterhouse ($n = 3$), broiler farm ($n = 2$)	2016 (<i>n</i> = 8)
P11	SP $(n = 4)$, MG $(n = 1)$	Retail market ($n = 3$), slaughterhouse ($n = 2$)	2016 (<i>n</i> = 5)
P12	PR(n = 2)	Transport and lairage ($n = 1$), slaughterhouse ($n = 1$)	2016 (<i>n</i> = 2)
P13	SC (n = 1)	Broiler farm ($n = 1$)	2016 (<i>n</i> = 1)

TABLE 3 | Clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeats (CRISPR) patterns obtained from 81 Salmonella Heidelberg strains.

*Brazilian States: PR, Paraná; SC, Santa Catarina; SP, São Paulo; MG, Minas Gerais; DF, Distrito Federal; MS, Mato Grosso do Sul.

We also observed SNP through clustering (PDS000037185.127; n = 765 isolates; NCBI pathogen detection tool), cases of international clustering of S. Heidelberg from our collection (n = 77) with strains isolated from a variety of sources (food, human, and environment) from Brazil (n = 201), Chile (n = 9), the United Kingdom (n = 444), Germany (n = 1), the Netherlands (n = 2), South Korea (n = 1), and China (n = 1), which suggest a common ancestor origin (Supplementary Figure 1). Another two strains (SH265 and SH268) from this study nested in the SNP cluster (PDS000029160.10) with strains isolated from Brazil (n = 11), the United Kingdom (n = 4), and Chile (*n* = 1) (Supplementary Figure 2).

DISCUSSION

There has been a great interest in surveying the adaptation of *Salmonella* serovars to the poultry production chain because of their extensive persistence in the past, notably with *S*. Typhimurium and *S*. Enteritidis, which have caused significant economic losses to this sector. Furthermore, the prevalence of *S*. Heidelberg shown in this study is not the only issue, but the fact that highly drug-resistant and/or MDR isolates are being recovered in most steps of the poultry production chain, particularly in Brazil could be considered a public health threat, as there is a risk of it becoming globalized.

Based on AMR results, the β -lactam resistance was essentially driven by the presence of plasmid-mediated AmpC (pAmpC) bla_{CMY-2} gene, given the isolates which did not carry this gene were susceptible to FOX, while QRDR such as gyrAand parC genes drove quinolone resistance (**Table 1**). Indeed, the presence of strains harboring bla_{CMY-2} gene could have implications on a one health interface, since this plasmid is more likely to persist (Teunis et al., 2018). Besides that, all strains harbored chromosomal mutations in *gyrA* and *parC* genes promoting high-level resistance against quinolones that could have implications on human health as treatment options become limited. Disturbingly, this result corroborates the findings by van den Berg et al. (2019) that found 98.4% of the *S*. Heidelberg isolates resistant to fluoroquinolones. On the other hand, all *S*. Heidelberg strains from our collection, displayed susceptibility to azithromycin, which could be considered a promising agent against Salmonellae infections (Crump et al., 2015; Wen et al., 2017). Azithromycin has been used as an alternative treatment option for enteric fever even when the guidelines on susceptibility testing were not available. Like fluoroquinolones, azithromycin is an antimicrobial agent with efficient intracellular penetration (Crump et al., 2015; Wen et al., 2017).

The total AMR load also included encoding resistance genes for aminoglycoside [aac(3)-VIa, aph(3')-Ic, aadA1, aadA2], chloramphenicol (cmlA1), macrolides [Inu(G)], trimethoprim (dfrA12), and ammonium quaternary compounds (qacL) (**Figure 1**). Interestingly, the unique strain (SSc139) that carried the qacL gene was isolated from the retail market, which makes large use of such compounds to disinfect surfaces. Moreover, this strain nested in the same cluster with five strains isolated from broiler farms and slaughterhouse sources, in different states such as São Paulo, Santa Catarina, and Parana, denoting the successful establishment of this lineage (ST15) in the Southern and South regions of Brazil. In this regard, we visualized the co-occurrence of AMR genes in all S. Heidelberg strains.

The presence of AMR genes and transmissible plasmids demonstrated little variation across the strains. The broad distribution and abundance of *S*. Heidelberg in broiler farms, slaughterhouses, transport, lairages, and retail markets suggest the high adaptability of this serovar in the poultry production chain in Brazil. Similarly, a study by Edirmanasinghe et al. (2017) examining FOX-resistant *S*. Heidelberg strains isolated



MS, Mato Grosso do Sul.



from human, abattoir poultry, and retail poultry sources in Canada revealed a potential common source among strains, which suggest the simultaneous dispersal of *S*. Heidelberg strains carrying CMY-2 gene in several sources and different geographical locations. In convergence with our results, another study revealed a high occurrence of *S*. Heidelberg in imported poultry meat in the Netherlands containing bla_{CMY-2} gene (van den Berg et al., 2019). Although we could not find colistin-resistant strains, it is worthwhile to note the presence of the IncX4 plasmid since it is a promiscuous plasmid with a high capacity of self-transmissibility that is commonly associated with the presence of plasmid-mediated colistin-resistance (*mcr-1*) in Brazil (Moreno et al., 2019).

Consistent with observations obtained in our study, recent surveys strongly support that *S*. Heidelberg may originate from a common ancestor, which circulates and persists in the Brazilian poultry production chain since at least 2004 (Kipper et al., 2021). More importantly, these strains are also nested with strains isolated from several sources and countries around the world supporting the hypotheses of intercontinental spread, which demonstrate that probably the common ancestor underwent diversification through genetic changes over time (**Supplementary Material**).

Protracted dissemination of *S*. Heidelberg *via* poultry might be a risk for a globalized food trade era. The introduction and clonal expansion of *Salmonella* strains across borders remain challenging due to the difficulties of identifying the origins of contamination. In light of this, the continued need for combined approaches between classical microbiology and high-resolution methods such as WGS and CRISPR genotyping truly illustrate to us what is hidden in plain sight.

For the purpose of discussion, other studies provide compelling validation data to support the usefulness of highresolution methods for genotyping rare *Salmonella enterica* serovars (Monte et al., 2021) and/or to resolve *S*. Heidelberg isolates involved in foodborne outbreaks (Vincent et al., 2018). Of the latter, while assessing the CRISPR array of 145 *S*. Heidelberg isolates, Vincent et al. (2018) found 15 different CRISPR profiles endorsing our results.

This study further illustrates the potential of CRISPR for the tracking of variable genotypes in diverse *Salmonella* strains, as previously determined (DiMarzio et al., 2013; Shariat et al., 2013a,b, 2015; Monte et al., 2021), with noteworthy methodological convenience. Indeed, CRISPR-based analyses have proven relevant for subtyping of *Salmonella enterica*

REFERENCES

- Abdullah, K., Iwen, P. C., and Abdalhamid, B. (2021). Identification of an mcr-9-Carrying Salmonella enterica serotype heidelberg strain isolated from blood. *Microbiol. Resour. Announc.* 10:e00676-21. doi: 10.1128/MRA.006 76-21
- Andrysiak, A. K., Olson, A. B., Tracz, D. M., Dore, K., Irwin, R., Ng, L. K., et al. (2008). Genetic characterization of clinical and agri-food isolates of multi drug resistant *Salmonella enterica* serovar Heidelberg from Canada. *BMC Microbiol.* 8:89. doi: 10.1186/1471-2180-8-89
- Anonymous (2007). ISO 6579:2002 Amd. 1:2007 Microbiology of Food and Animal Feeding Stuffs-Horizontal Method for the Detection of Salmonella spp. – Annex

serovars Typhimurium and Heidelberg strains involved in outbreaks (Shariat et al., 2013b) and occasionally associated with antibiotic resistance (DiMarzio et al., 2013). Our findings underscore the potential role of *S*. Heidelberg as a key pathogen in the poultry production chain, particularly in Brazil.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The datasets presented in this study can be found in online repositories. The names of the repository/repositories and accession number(s) can be found in the article/ **Supplementary Material**.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

DM, RB, MN, PF-C, and ML designed the study. RB, PF-C, NL, and ML supervised the work. DM, MN, HB, SK, NL, PF-C, RB, and ML participated, coordinated, and analyzed the data. DM, MN, and RB wrote the original draft. All authors approved the final manuscript.

FUNDING

This study was granted by the North Carolina State University and Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado de São Paulo-FAPESP [Food Research Center (FoRC-2013/07914-8) and 2016/03044-7]. The project has been partially developed during DM's time as visiting scholar at the North Carolina State University under a fellowship grant from FAPESP (2017/15967-5).

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmicb. 2022.867278/full#supplementary-material

Supplementary Figures 1, 2 | SNP-based phylogram of S. Heidelberg isolates from various isolation sources and locations. Strain ID, isolate source, location, SNP cluster, and collection date were retrieved from Genbank. Red color indicates isolates from this study.

D: Detection of Salmonella spp. in Animal Faeces and in Environmental Samples from the Primary Production Stage. Geneva: International Organization for Standardization.

- Anonymous (2017). ISO 6579-1 Microbiology of the Food Chain-Horizontal Method for the Detection, Enumeration and Serotyping of Salmonella-Part 1: Detection of Salmonella spp. Geneva: International Organization for Standardization.
- Antony, L., Behr, M., Sockett, D., Miskimins, D., Aulik, N., Christopher-Hennings, J., et al. (2018). Genome divergence and increased virulence of outbreak associated Salmonella enterica subspecies enterica serovar Heidelberg. Gut Pathog. 10:53. doi: 10.1186/s13099-018-0 279-0

- Barrangou, R., and Dudley, E. G. (2016). CRISPR-based typing and next-generation tracking technologies. Annu. Rev. Food Sci. Technol. 7, 395–411. doi: 10.1146/ annurev-food-022814-015729
- Cabral, C. C., Panzenhagen, P., Delgado, K. F., Silva, G., Rodrigues, D., Franco, R. M., et al. (2017). Contamination of carcasses and utensils in small swine slaughterhouses by *Salmonella* in the Northwestern Region of the State of Rio de Janeiro, Brazil. *J. Food Prot.* 80, 1128–1132. doi: 10.4315/0362-028X.JFP-16-387
- Campos, J., Mourão, J., Silveira, L., Saraiva, M., Correia, C. B., Maçãs, A. P., et al. (2018). Imported poultry meat as a source of extendedspectrum cephalosporin-resistant CMY-2-producing Salmonella Heidelberg and Salmonella Minnesota in the European Union, 2014-2015. Int. J. Antimicrob. Agents 51, 151–154. doi: 10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2017.09.006
- Carattoli, A., Zankari, E., García-Fernández, A., Voldby Larsen, M., Lund, O., Villa, L., et al. (2014). *In silico* detection and typing of plasmids using PlasmidFinder and plasmid multilocus sequence typing. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother* 58, 3895–3903. doi: 10.1128/AAC.02412-14
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (2014). National Antimicrobial Resistance Monitoring System (NARMS): 2014 Human Isolates Surveillance Report. Atlanta, GA: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, 7.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention [CDC] (2017). Multistate Outbreak of Multidrug-Resistant Salmonella Heidelberg Infections Linked to Contact with Dairy Calves. Atlanta, GA: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.
- Chittick, P., Sulka, A., Tauxe, R. V., and Fry, A. M. (2006). A summary of national reports of foodborne outbreaks of *Salmonella* Heidelberg infections in the United States: clues for disease prevention. *J. Food Prot.* 69, 1150–1153. doi: 10.4315/0362-028x-69.5.1150
- Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute [CLSI] (2021). *Performance Standards for Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing, M100, 31st Edn. Wayne, PA: Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute.*
- Cox, G. W., Parmley, E. J., Avery, B. P., Irwin, R. J., Reid-Smith, R. J., Deckert, A. E., et al. (2021). A one-health genomic investigation of gentamicin resistance in *Salmonella* from Human and Chicken Sources in Canada, 2014 to 2017. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother*. 65:e00966-21. doi: 10.1128/AAC.00966-21
- Crump, J. A., Sjölund-Karlsson, M., Gordon, M. A., and Parry, C. M. (2015). Epidemiology, clinical presentation, laboratory diagnosis, antimicrobial resistance, and antimicrobial management of invasive Salmonella infections. Clin. Microbiol. Rev. 28, 901–937. doi: 10.1128/CMR.00 002-15
- Deblais, L., Lorentz, B., Scaria, J., Nagaraja, K. V., Nisar, M., Lauer, D., et al. (2018). Comparative genomic studies of *Salmonella* Heidelberg Isolated From Chickenand Turkey-Associated Farm Environmental Samples. *Front. Microbiol.* 9:1841. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2018.01841
- DiMarzio, M., Shariat, N., Kariyawasam, S., Barrangou, R., and Dudley, E. G. (2013). Antibiotic Resistance in *Salmonella enterica* Serovar Typhimurium Associates with CRISPR Sequence Type. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 57, 4282–4289. doi: 10.1128/AAC.00913-13
- Edirmanasinghe, R., Finley, R., Parmley, E. J., Avery, B. P., Carson, C., Bekal, S., et al. (2017). A whole-genome sequencing approach to study Cefoxitin-Resistant Salmonella enterica Serovar Heidelberg Isolates from Various Sources. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 61:e01919-16. doi: 10.1128/AAC.01919-16
- Foley, S. L., Lynne, A. M., and Nayak, R. (2008). Salmonella challenges: prevalence in swine and poultry and potential pathogenicity of such isolates. J. Anim. Sci. 86(Suppl. 14), E149–E162. doi: 10.2527/jas.2007-0464
- Folster, J. P., Pecic, G., Rickert, R., Taylor, J., Zhao, S., Fedorka-Cray, P. J., et al. (2012). Characterization of multidrug-resistant *Salmonella enterica* serovar Heidelberg from a ground turkey-associated outbreak in the United States in 2011. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 56, 3465–3466. doi: 10.1128/AAC.00201-12
- Grimont, P. A. D., and Weil, F. X. (2007). *Antigenic Formulae of the Salmonella serovars*, 9th Edn. (Paris: WHO Collaborating Centre for Reference and Research on Salmonella), 166.
- Guibourdenche, M., Roggentin, P., Mikoleit, M., Fields, P. I., Bockemühl, J., Grimont, P. A., et al. (2010). Supplement 2003-2007 (No. 47) to the White-Kauffmann-Le Minor scheme. *Res. Microbiol.* 161, 26–29. doi: 10.1016/j.resmic. 2009.10.002
- Hadfield, J., Croucher, N. J., Goater, R. J., Abudahab, K., Aanensen, D. M., and Harris, S. R. (2018). Phandango: an interactive viewer for bacterial population genomics. *Bioinformatics* 34, 292–293. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btx610

- Hennessy, T. W., Cheng, L. H., Kassenborg, H., Ahuja, S. D., Mohle-Boetani, J., Marcus, R., et al. (2004). Egg consumption is the principal risk factor for sporadic *Salmonella* serotype Heidelberg infections: a case-control study in FoodNet sites. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* 38(Suppl. 3), S237–S243. doi: 10.1086/38 1593
- Jackson, B. R., Griffin, P. M., Cole, D., Walsh, K. A., and Chai, S. J. (2013). Outbreak-associated Salmonella enterica serotypes and food Commodities, United States, 1998-2008. Emerg. Infect. Dis. 19, 1239–1244. doi: 10.3201/ eid1908.121511
- Kipper, D., Orsi, R. H., Carroll, L. M., Mascitti, A. K., Streck, A. F., Fonseca, A., et al. (2021). Recent evolution and genomic profile of *Salmonella enterica* Serovar Heidelberg Isolates from Poultry Flocks in Brazil. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 87:e01036-21. doi: 10.1128/AEM.01036-21
- Letunic, I., and Bork, P. (2016). Interactive tree of life (iTOL) v3: an online tool for the display and annotation of phylogenetic and other trees. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 44, W242–W245. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkw290
- Liakopoulos, A., Olsen, B., Geurts, Y., Artursson, K., Berg, C., Mevius, D. J., et al. (2016a). Molecular Characterization of Extended-Spectrum-Cephalosporin-Resistant *Enterobacteriaceae* from Wild Kelp Gulls in South America. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother*, 60, 6924–6927. doi: 10.1128/AAC.01120-16
- Liakopoulos, A., Geurts, Y., Dierikx, C. M., Brouwer, M. S., Kant, A., Wit, B., et al. (2016b). Extended-Spectrum Cephalosporin-Resistant Salmonella enterica serovar Heidelberg Strains, the Netherlands(1). Emerg. Infect. Dis. 22, 1257– 1261. doi: 10.3201/eid2207.151377
- Magiorakos, A. P., Srinivasan, A., Carey, R. B., Carmeli, Y., Falagas, M. E., Giske, C. G., et al. (2012). Multidrug-resistant, extensively drug-resistant and pandrug-resistant bacteria: an international expert proposal for interim standard definitions for acquired resistance. *Clin. Microbiol. Infect.* 18, 268–281. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-0691.2011.03570.x
- Monte, D., Nethery, M. A., Barrangou, R., Landgraf, M., and Fedorka-Cray, P. J. (2021). Whole-genome sequencing analysis and CRISPR genotyping of rare antibiotic-resistant Salmonella enterica serovars isolated from food and related sources. Food Microbiol. 93:103601. doi: 10.1016/j.fm.2020.103601
- Monte, D. F., Lincopan, N., Berman, H., Cerdeira, L., Keelara, S., Thakur, S., et al. (2019). Genomic Features of High-Priority *Salmonella enterica* Serovars Circulating in the Food Production Chain, Brazil, 2000-2016. *Sci. Rep.* 9:11058. doi: 10.1038/s41598-019-45838-0
- Moreno, L. Z., Gomes, V., Moreira, J., de Oliveira, C. H., Peres, B. P., Silva, A., et al. (2019). First report of *mcr-1*-harboring *Salmonella enterica* serovar Schwarzengrund isolated from poultry meat in Brazil. *Diagn. Microbiol. Infect. Dis.* 93, 376–379. doi: 10.1016/j.diagmicrobio.2018.10.016
- Nethery, M. A., and Barrangou, R. (2019). CRISPR Visualizer: rapid identification and visualization of CRISPR loci via an automated high-throughput processing pipeline. RNA Biol. 16, 577–584. doi: 10.1080/15476286.2018.1493332
- Page, A. J., Cummins, C. A., Hunt, M., Wong, V. K., Reuter, S., Holden, M. T., et al. (2015). Roary: rapid large-scale prokaryote pan genome analysis. *Bioinformatics* 31, 3691–3693. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btv421
- Page, A. J., Taylor, B., Delaney, A. J., Soares, J., Seemann, T., Keane, J. A., et al. (2016). SNP-sites: rapid efficient extraction of SNPs from multi-FASTA alignments. *Microb. Genom.* 2:e000056. doi: 10.1099/mgen.0.000056
- Pattengale, N. D., Alipour, M., Bininda-Emonds, O. R., Moret, B. M., and Stamatakis, A. (2010). How many bootstrap replicates are necessary? J Comput Biol. 17, 337–354. doi: 10.1089/cmb.2009.0179
- Public Health Agency of Canada, (2014). National Enteric Surveillance Program (NESP) Annual Report. http://publications.gc.ca/collections/collection_2016/ aspc-phac/HP37-15-2014-eng.pdf (accessed April 2019).
- Seemann, T. (2014). Prokka: rapid prokaryotic genome annotation. *Bioinformatics* 30, 2068–2069. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btu153
- Shariat, N., DiMarzio, M. J., Yin, S., Dettinger, L., Sandt, C. H., Lute, J. R., et al. (2013a). The combination of CRISPR-MVLST and PFGE provides increased discriminatory power for differentiating human clinical isolates of *Salmonella enterica* subsp. *enterica* serovar Enteritidis. *Food Microbiol.* 34, 164–173. doi: 10.1016/j.fm.2012.11.012
- Shariat, N., Sandt, C. H., DiMarzio, M. J., Barrangou, R., and Dudley, E. G. (2013b). CRISPR-MVLST subtyping of *Salmonella enterica* subsp. *enterica* serovars Typhimurium and Heidelberg and application in identifying outbreak isolates. *BMC Microbiol.* 13:254. doi: 10.1186/1471-2180-13-254

- Shariat, N., Timme, R. E., Pettengill, J. B., Barrangou, R., and Dudley, E. G. (2015). Characterization and evolution of *Salmonella* CRISPR-Cas systems. *Microbiology* 161, 374–386. doi: 10.1099/mic.0.000005
- Stamatakis, A. (2014). RAxML version 8: a tool for phylogenetic analysis and post-analysis of large phylogenies. *Bioinformatics* 30, 1312–1313. doi: 10.1093/ bioinformatics/btu033
- Teunis, P., Evers, E. G., Hengeveld, P. D., Dierikx, C. M., Wielders, C., and van Duijkeren, E. (2018). Time to acquire and lose carriership of ESBL/pAmpC producing *E. coli* in humans in the Netherlands. *PLoS One* 13:e0193834. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0193834
- Thompson, C. P., Doak, A. N., Amirani, N., Schroeder, E. A., Wright, J., Kariyawasam, S., et al. (2018). High-Resolution Identification of Multiple Salmonella Serovars in a Single Sample by Using CRISPR-SeroSeq. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 84:e01859-18. doi: 10.1128/AEM.01859-18
- US Food and Drug Administration [FDA] (2015). *The National Antimicrobial Resistance Monitoring System Manual of Laboratory Methods.* Silver Spring, MD: US Food and Drug Administration.
- van den Berg, R. R., Dissel, S., Rapallini, M., van der Weijden, C. C., Wit, B., and Heymans, R. (2019). Characterization and whole genome sequencing of closely related multidrug-resistant *Salmonella enterica* serovar Heidelberg isolates from imported poultry meat in the Netherlands. *PLoS One* 14:e0219795. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0219795
- Vincent, C., Usongo, V., Berry, C., Tremblay, D. M., Moineau, S., Yousfi, K., et al. (2018). Comparison of advanced whole genome sequence-based methods to distinguish strains of *Salmonella enterica* serovar Heidelberg involved in foodborne outbreaks in Québec. *Food Microbiol*. 73, 99–110. doi: 10.1016/j.fm. 2018.01.004
- Wen, S. C., Best, E., and Nourse, C. (2017). Non-typhoidal Salmonella infections in children: review of literature and recommendations for

management. J. Paediatr. Child Health 53, 936-941. doi: 10.1111/jpc.1 3585

- Wu, H., Xia, X., Cui, Y., Hu, Y., Xi, M., Wang, X., et al. (2013). Prevalence of extended-spectrum b-lactamase-producing *Salmonella* on retail chicken in six provinces and two national cities in the People's Republic of China. *J. Food Prot.* 76, 2040–2044. doi: 10.4315/0362-028X.JFP-13-224
- Yousfi, K., Usongo, V., Berry, C., Khan, R. H., Tremblay, D. M., Moineau, S., et al. (2020). Source Tracking Based on Core Genome SNV and CRISPR Typing of *Salmonella enterica* Serovar Heidelberg Isolates Involved in Foodborne Outbreaks in Québec, 2012. *Front. Microbiol.* 11:1317. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2020. 01317

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's Note: All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2022 Monte, Nethery, Berman, Keelara, Lincopan, Fedorka-Cray, Barrangou and Landgraf. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.