

 Open access • Posted Content • DOI:10.1101/2020.06.03.078824

Codon arrangement modulates MHC-I peptides presentation — [Source link](#)

[Tariq Daouda](#), [Maude Dumont-Lagacé](#), [Albert Feghaly](#), [Yahya Benslimane](#) ...+10 more authors

Institutions: [Université de Montréal](#)

Published on: 04 Jun 2020 - [bioRxiv](#) (Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory)

Topics: [Coding region](#)

Related papers:

- [CAMAP: Artificial neural networks unveil the role of codon arrangement in modulating MHC-I peptides presentation.](#)
- [Statistical evidence for conserved, local secondary structure in the coding regions of eukaryotic mRNAs and pre-mRNAs](#)
- [Position-dependent Codon Usage Bias in the Human Transcriptome](#)
- [Reassessing the “duon” hypothesis of protein evolution](#)
- [Allele-specific SHAPE-MaP assessment of the effects of somatic variation and protein binding on mRNA structure.](#)

Share this paper:    

View more about this paper here: <https://typeset.io/papers/codon-arrangement-modulates-mhc-i-peptides-presentation-2n90iv9q9h>

Short title: Codon arrangement modulates MHC-I peptide presentation

CAMAP: Artificial neural networks unveil the role of codon arrangement in modulating MHC-I peptide presentation

Tariq Daouda^{1,2,*}, Maude Dumont-Lagacé^{1,3,*}, Albert Feghaly¹, Yahya Benslimane^{1,3}, Rébecca Panes^{1,4}, Mathieu Courcelles^{1,5}, Mohamed Benhamadi^{1,3}, Lea Harrington^{1,3}, Pierre Thibault^{1,5}, François Major^{1,6}, Yoshua Bengio⁶, Étienne Gagnon^{1,4}, Sébastien Lemieux^{1,2,6}, Claude Perreault^{1,3}

¹Institute for Research in Immunology and Cancer; Université de Montréal; Montréal, Québec H3C 3J7, Canada

²Department of Biochemistry; Université de Montréal; Montréal, Québec H3C 3J7, Canada

³Department of Medicine; Université de Montréal; Montréal, Québec H3C 3J7, Canada

⁴Department of Microbiology, Infectiology and Immunology; Université de Montréal; Montréal, Québec H3C 3J7, Canada

⁵Department of Chemistry; Université de Montréal; Montréal, Québec H3C 3J7, Canada

⁶Department of Informatics and Operational Research; Université de Montréal; Montréal, Québec H3C 3J7, Canada

Tariq Daouda is now affiliated to: (1) Broad Institute of MIT and Harvard, Cambridge, United States; (2) Center for Cancer Research, Massachusetts General Hospital, Charlestown, United States; (3) Department of Medicine, Harvard Medical School, Boston, United States; and (4) Center for Immunology and Inflammatory Diseases, Massachusetts General Hospital, Charlestown, United States.

*Corresponding author: Tariq Daouda

Email: tdaouda@broadinstitute.org

*These authors contributed equally.

Short title: Codon arrangement modulates MHC-I peptide presentation.

30 **Abstract**

31 MHC-I associated peptides (MAPs) play a central role in the elimination of virus-infected and
32 neoplastic cells by CD8 T cells. However, accurately predicting the MAP repertoire remains
33 difficult, because only a fraction of the transcriptome generates MAPs. In this study, we
34 investigated whether codon arrangement (usage and placement) regulates MAP biogenesis. We
35 developed an artificial neural network called Codon Arrangement MAP Predictor (CAMAP),
36 predicting MAP presentation solely from mRNA sequences flanking the MAP-coding codons
37 (MCCs), while excluding the MCC *per se*. CAMAP predictions were significantly more accurate
38 when using original codon sequences than shuffled codon sequences which reflect amino acid
39 usage. Furthermore, predictions were independent of mRNA expression and MAP binding affinity
40 to MHC-I molecules and applied to several cell types and species. Combining MAP ligand scores,
41 transcript expression level and CAMAP scores was particularly useful to increase MAP prediction
42 accuracy. Using an *in vitro* assay, we showed that varying the synonymous codons in the regions
43 flanking the MCCs (without changing the amino acid sequence) resulted in significant modulation
44 of MAP presentation at the cell surface. Taken together, our results demonstrate the role of codon
45 arrangement in the regulation of MAP presentation and support integration of both translational
46 and post-translational events in predictive algorithms to ameliorate modeling of the
47 immunopeptidome.

48

49

Short title: Codon arrangement modulates MHC-I peptide presentation.

50 **Author summary**

51 MHC-I associated peptides (MAPs) are small fragments of intracellular proteins presented at the
52 surface of cells and used by the immune system to detect and eliminate cancerous or virus-infected
53 cells. While it is theoretically possible to predict which portions of the intracellular proteins will
54 be naturally processed by the cells to ultimately reach the surface, current methodologies have
55 prohibitively high false discovery rates. Here we introduce an artificial neural network called
56 Codon Arrangement MAP Predictor (CAMAP) which integrates information from mRNA-to-
57 protein translation to other factors regulating MAP biogenesis (e.g. MAP ligand score and
58 transcript expression levels) to improve MAP prediction accuracy. While most MAP predictive
59 approaches focus on MAP sequences per se, CAMAP's novelty is to analyze the MAP-flanking
60 mRNA sequences, thereby providing completely independent information for MAP prediction.
61 We show on several datasets that the integration of CAMAP scores with other known factors
62 involved in MAP presentation (i.e. MAP ligand score and mRNA expression) significantly
63 improves MAP prediction accuracy, and further validate CAMAP learned features using an *in-*
64 *vitro* assay. These findings may have major implications for the design of vaccines against cancers
65 and viruses, and in times of pandemics could accelerate the identification of relevant MAPs of
66 viral origins.

67

68 **Abbreviations:** MHC-I: major histocompatibility complex class-I, MAP: MHC-I associated
69 peptides, CAMAP: Codon arrangement MAP predictor, DRiP: defective ribosomal product,
70 ANN: artificial neural network, MCC: MAP-coding codons, B-LCL: B-lymphoblastoid cell line,
71 KL: Kullback-Leibler, BS: binding score, OVA: ovalbumin protein, WT: wildtype, EP:
72 enhanced presentation, RP: reduced presentation.

73

Short title: Codon arrangement modulates MHC-I peptide presentation.

74 **Introduction**

75 In jawed vertebrates, virtually all nucleated cells present at their surface major histocompatibility
76 complex class-I (MHC-I) associated peptides (MAPs), collectively referred to as the
77 immunopeptidome [1,2]. MAPs play a central role in shaping the adaptive immune system, as they
78 orchestrate the development, survival and activation of CD8 T cells [3]. Moreover, recognition of
79 abnormal MAPs is essential to the elimination of virus-infected and neoplastic cells [4]. Therefore,
80 systems-level understanding of MAP biogenesis and molecular composition remains a central
81 issue in immunobiology [5,6].

82 The generation of the immunopeptidome can be conceptualized in two main events: (a) the
83 generation of MAP candidates (i.e. peptides of appropriate length for MHC-I presentation) through
84 protein degradation, and (b) a subsequent filtering step through the binding of MAP candidates to
85 the available MHC-I molecules. Rules that regulate the second event have been well characterized
86 using artificial neural networks (ANN) and weighted matrix approaches [7,8]. However,
87 accurately predicting which peptides will ultimately reach MHC-I molecules following a multistep
88 processing in the cytosol and endoplasmic reticulum remains an open question [6]. Most efforts at
89 modeling MAP generation have focused on post-translational events and their regulation by the
90 amino acid sequence of MAPs and of directly adjacent residues (typically 10-mers at the N- and
91 C-termini). While the consideration of preferential sites of proteasome cleavage has proven useful
92 to enrich for MAP candidates [9], it remains insufficient for MAP prediction, due to prohibitive
93 false discovery rates [10–12].

94 A large body of evidence suggests that a substantial portion of MAPs are produced co-
95 translationally [13–15], deriving from defective ribosomal products (DRiPs), that is, polypeptides
96 that fail to achieve a stable conformation during translation and are consequently rapidly degraded.

Short title: Codon arrangement modulates MHC-I peptide presentation.

97 This concept was initially supported by two observations: (i) viral MAPs can be detected within
98 minutes after viral infection, much earlier than their associated proteins half-life [16], and (ii) MAP
99 presentation correlates more closely with translation rate than with overall protein abundance
100 [17,18]. In addition, while all proteins contain peptides that are predicted to bind MHC-I
101 molecules, mass spectrometry analyses have revealed that the immunopeptidome is not a random
102 excerpt of the transcriptome or the proteome [1,19]. Indeed, proteogenomic analyses of 25,270
103 MAPs isolated from B lymphocytes of 18 individuals showed that 41% of expressed protein-
104 coding genes generated no MAPs [19]. These authors also provided compelling evidence that the
105 presentation of MAPs cannot be explained solely by their affinity to MHC-I alleles and their
106 transcript expression levels, while ruling out low mass spectrometry sensitivity as an explanation
107 for the non-presentation of the strong binders. Because (i) MAPs appear to preferentially derive
108 from DRiPs and (ii) codon usage influences both precision and efficiency of protein synthesis
109 [20,21], we hypothesized that codon usage in the vicinity of MAP-coding codons (MCCs) might
110 significantly contribute to the regulation of MAP biogenesis. We developed an artificial neural
111 network called Codon Arrangement MAP Predictor (CAMAP), trained to identify MCCs flanking
112 regions. We then used CAMAP to uncover key codon features that characterize mRNA sequences
113 encoding for MAPs (i.e. source) when compared to sequences that do not (i.e. non-source).

114

115 **Results**

116 **Dataset description**

117 We analyzed a previously published dataset consisting of MAPs presented on B lymphoblastoid
118 cell line (B-LCL) by a total of 33 MHC-I alleles from 18 subjects [19,22]. Because we were

Short title: Codon arrangement modulates MHC-I peptide presentation.

119 searching for features that influence MAP generation and not the binding of MAP to MHC-I
120 molecules, we elected to analyze the MCC flanking sequences only and excluded the MCCs *per*
121 *se* from our positive (hits) and negative (decoys) sequences (Fig. 1A). To facilitate data analysis
122 and interpretation, we restricted our hit dataset to MAPs with a length of 9 amino acids, for a total
123 of 19,656 9-mer MAPs (which represents 78% of MAPs in this dataset). We next created a decoy
124 dataset from transcripts that generated no MAPs, by randomly selecting 98,290 9-mers from these
125 transcripts. Finally, we used pyGeno [23] to extract MCCs flanking regions corresponding to both
126 hit and decoy MAPs, which constituted our final dataset for CAMAP. Of note, each sequence in
127 the final dataset is unique and derives from the canonical reading frame. In addition, in order to
128 investigate the relative importance of codon vs. amino acid usage in MAP biogenesis, we
129 generated a dataset of shuffled sequences (for both positive and negative datasets) in which original
130 codon sequences were randomly replaced by synonymous codons according to their usage
131 frequency in the dataset (Fig. 1B). This transformation was performed to ensure that both neural
132 networks received the same number of parameters as input, preventing the introduction of a
133 favorable bias for the codon network. The random shuffling causes any codon-specific feature to
134 be shared among synonyms, thereby causing the shuffled codon distribution to reflect the amino
135 acid usage (see Materials and Methods for more details). Indeed, codon distributions in the
136 shuffled datasets more closely reflected those of their corresponding amino acid than in the original
137 dataset (Supplementary Figure S1), with 92% of codons in the shuffled dataset showing a strong
138 correlating ($R^2 > 0.95$) with the amino acid distribution, compared to only 69% in the original
139 dataset ($p < 2 \times 10^{-16}$, Supplementary Figure S2). Importantly, this shuffling does not affect the
140 resulting amino acid sequence thereby preserving all potential amino acid-related motifs.

Short title: Codon arrangement modulates MHC-I peptide presentation.

141 Distributions of each codons in the original VS shuffled dataset and compared to its corresponding
142 amino acid can be found in [Supplementary Figure S3](#).

143 **Figure 1. Construction of the dataset.** (a) Transcripts expressed in B cells from 18 subjects were
144 considered as source or non-source transcripts depending on their match with at least one MAP.
145 Because we were searching for features that might influence MAP generation and not the binding
146 of MAP to MHC-I, we focused our attention on mRNA sequences adjacent to the nine MCCs (i.e.
147 up to 162 nucleotides on each side of MCCs). (b) Creation of the shuffled dataset. Codons were
148 randomly replaced by a synonymous codon according to their respective frequencies (i.e. codon
149 usage) in the dataset. The random shuffling causes any codon-specific feature to be shared among
150 synonyms, thereby causing the shuffled codon distribution to reflect the amino acid usage.
151 Importantly, both the original sequence and its shuffled version translates into the same amino
152 acids.

153

154 **CAMAP links codon usage to MAP presentation**

155 To assess the importance of codon usage in MAP biogenesis, we reasoned that if codons bear
156 important information that is operative at the translational rather than the post-translational level,
157 then: (i) CAMAP trained to identify MCCs flanking regions should consistently perform better
158 when trained on original codon sequences than on shuffled codon sequences (reflecting amino acid
159 sequences), and (ii) synonymous codons should have different effects on the prediction. To test
160 these hypotheses, CAMAP received as inputs MCCs flanking regions from hit and decoy
161 sequences from either the original or shuffled datasets. It was then trained to predict the probability
162 that individual input sequences were MCCs flanking regions (i.e. hit) rather than sequences from
163 the negative dataset ([Supplementary Figure S4A](#)).

164 We compared CAMAP performance when predicting MAP presentation from original codon
165 sequences, versus shuffled sequences representing amino acid arrangement. To evaluate the

Short title: Codon arrangement modulates MHC-I peptide presentation.

166 robustness of our approach, 12 different CAMAPs were trained in parallel, with different train-
167 validation-test splits of the dataset. Our results show that predictions were consistently better when
168 CAMAP received the original codons rather than the shuffled sequences (Fig. 2A). CAMAPs
169 receiving information from both pre-MCCs and post-MCCs sequences (i.e. whole MCC flanking
170 context) also performed better than when receiving only pre- or post-MCCs context (Fig. 2A and
171 Supplementary Figure S4B-C), suggesting that pre- and post-MCCs context are not redundant.
172 Indeed, we found a weak correlation between the prediction scores of CAMAPs trained only with
173 pre- or post-MCCs sequences (Supplementary Fig. S5). In addition, CAMAPs receiving longer
174 sequences performed better than those receiving shorter sequences (Fig. 2B). Because sequences
175 located far upstream and downstream of the MCCs (i.e. in ranges exceeding the direct influence
176 of proteases) are informative regarding MAP presentation, it supports the existence of factors
177 unrelated to protein degradation modulating MAP presentation.

178 **Figure 2. CAMAP predictions on MAP-flanking sequences.** (A) Area under the curve (AUC)
179 score for CAMAPs trained with whole MCCs context, versus CAMAPs trained with only pre- or
180 post-MCCs context. All CAMAPs presented here were trained with a context size of 162
181 nucleotides. (B) AUC for CAMAPs trained with codon context sizes of 9, 27, 81 and 162
182 nucleotides (context here refer to mRNA sequences flanking the MCCs).

183

184 Both MAP binding affinity to the MHC-I molecule and the level of gene expression are predictive
185 of MAP presentation [19]. Because codon usage has been shown to be different in highly expressed
186 genes, we wanted to verify whether the codon-specific rules captured by CAMAP were associated
187 with potential biases in our positive dataset, which is enriched in highly expressed genes. We first
188 show that there is no correlation between gene expression levels and CAMAP scores in both the
189 positive and negative datasets ($R < 0.1$, Fig. 3A). This was true for both average expression levels

Short title: Codon arrangement modulates MHC-I peptide presentation.

190 across our samples (**Fig. 3A**), and for samples individually (see **Supplementary Fig. S6**). Secondly,
191 we trained CAMAP networks using a decoy dataset that mirrored the positive dataset gene
192 expression level (**Supplementary Fig. S7A**) and showed similar results: CAMAP trained on
193 original codon sequence performed better than CAMAP trained on shuffled sequences
194 (**Supplementary Fig. S7B**). These results show that the codon-specific rules captured by CAMAP
195 trained on original sequences are independent of gene expression levels.

196 **Figure 3. Correlation between CAMAP prediction score and (A) transcript expression levels**
197 **and (B) MAP binding affinity.** CAMAP used here was trained on original codon sequences using
198 a context size of 162 nucleotides (both pre- and post-MCCs context).

199

200 We stipulate that the presence of MHC-I binding motifs in the MCCs in the positive dataset might
201 be associated with biases in the MAP-flanking regions, which could also influence CAMAP
202 training. Therefore, to evaluate the presence of this potential bias, we first evaluated the correlation
203 between CAMAP scores and MAPs binding affinity. Again, our result showed no correlation
204 between CAMAP scores and MAP binding affinity, both when considering the minimal binding
205 affinity of each MAP to the MHC-I alleles contained in our dataset (**Fig. 3B**) or when considering
206 each allele individually (**Supplementary Fig. S8**). Secondly, we trained CAMAP networks using a
207 decoy dataset that mirrored the positive dataset MAP binding affinities (**Supplementary Fig. S9A**).
208 Again, CAMAPs trained on original codon sequence performed better than CAMAPs trained on
209 shuffled sequences (**Supplementary Fig. S9B**). These results show that codon-specific rules
210 captured by CAMAP trained on original sequences are independent of MAP binding affinities and
211 of potential biases in codon usage of MAP-flanking sequences associated with the presence of an
212 MHC-I binding motif in the MCCs.

Short title: Codon arrangement modulates MHC-I peptide presentation.

213 We next evaluated the possibility of biases associated with many MAPs originating from
214 conserved regions (e.g., found in multiple domains of the same domain family such as zinc fingers
215 or kinases). We first evaluated MAPs that could originate from different transcripts within the
216 transcriptome (i.e. transcripts with sufficient expression levels detected by RNA sequencing) as
217 they are likely to represent conserved regions in the genome. While 79.9% of MAP originated
218 from unique contexts (**Supplementary Fig. S10A**), 2.1% of MAPs had more than 3 possible origins,
219 which represented 11.7% of the hit dataset (**Supplementary Fig. S10B**). These MAPs with several
220 possible origins preferentially derived from zinc finger proteins, which are known to share
221 homologous regions (**Supplementary Fig. S11**). We therefore trained CAMAPs with datasets
222 excluding entries encoding for MAPs that had >3 or >10 possible origins and compared their
223 performance with that of CAMAPs trained without excluding these MAPs. Our results show that
224 whatever the dataset used, CAMAP trained with original sequences always significantly
225 outperformed CAMAP trained with shuffled sequences (**Supplementary Fig. S12**). Taken together,
226 these results suggest that the codon-specific rules captured by CAMAP are independent of
227 potential homologies in the hit dataset, as they do not appear to influence CAMAP performance.

228 We next validated our CAMAP trained on 9-mer MAPs derived from B-LCL using 5 datasets
229 derived from different human and mouse cell types. All the validation datasets were described
230 through proteogenomic analyses similarly to our B-LCL training datasets. However, all the
231 validation datasets included MAPs of 8-11 mers, in contrast with the training dataset that contained
232 only 9-mer MAPs. The validation datasets consisted of (i) our B-LCL dataset, this time including
233 all peptide lengths [19,22], (ii) a dataset of human peripheral blood mononucleated cells or PBMCs
234 [24], (iii) a dataset of B-lymphoblastoid cells expressing unique HLA alleles (B721.221 [11]), (iv)
235 murine colon carcinoma cell line (CT26) and (v) a murine lymphoma cell line (EL4, [24,25]). For

Short title: Codon arrangement modulates MHC-I peptide presentation.

236 all datasets, we created hit and decoy datasets of original and shuffled sequences using the same
237 approach described above but including MAPs of 8-11 amino acids. Notably, CAMAPs trained on
238 human sequences encoding 9-mers MAPs from one human cell type (i.e. B-LCL) could also
239 predict presentation of 8-11 mers MAPs in other human cell types (Fig. 4), as well as from mouse
240 cell lines, albeit with lower performances (Fig. 4). Here again, CAMAPs trained on original
241 sequences consistently outperformed CAMAPs trained on shuffled sequences (Fig. 4). These
242 results show that the codon-specific rules derived by CAMAPs to predict MAP presentation are
243 valid across different cell types, and can even be applied to another species, albeit with slightly
244 lower performances. These results support a role for codons in the modulation of MAP
245 presentation.

246 **Figure 4. Validation of CAMAP predictions on 5 datasets derived from human and murine**
247 **cell lines.** CAMAP prediction score for different datasets derived from humans (i.e. B-LCL,
248 PBMCs and B721.221) or mouse (i.e. CT26 and EL4) cells. Of note, all CAMAPs were trained on
249 B-LCL-derived sequences encoding for 9-mer MAPs only with a context size of 162 nucleotides.
250 Results are reported for 8 to 11-mer MAPs derived from the 5 datasets. In all panels, 12 CAMAPs
251 trained with original or shuffled synonymous sequences were compared (significance assessed
252 using Student T test).

253
254 The lower performances of CAMAP trained with shuffled sequences (representing amino acid
255 distribution) suggests that amino acids in MAP-flanking sequences are less informative than
256 codons regarding MAP presentation. We formally quantified this difference in information using
257 the Kullback-Leibler (KL) divergence (see Materials and Methods for more details). Most codons
258 (47/61, 77%) showed greater KL divergence in the original dataset than the shuffled dataset,
259 indicating that codon distributions contained more information with regards to MAP presentation

Short title: Codon arrangement modulates MHC-I peptide presentation.

260 than amino acid distributions (**Supplementary Fig. S13**). These results suggest that codons in
261 MAP-flanking regions play a role that is non-redundant with amino acids in MAP biogenesis.

262 We wondered whether some regions were more influential on MAP presentation than others. To
263 address this question, we retrieved the model preferences for each codon at each position. The
264 preferences correspond to the prediction score of our best model (trained with original codon
265 sequences for a context size of 162 nucleotides) when a single codon at a single position is
266 provided as input (all other positions being set at [0,0] coordinates in the embedding space). The
267 model's preferences are therefore a measure of each individual codon's propensity to increase or
268 decrease the model's output probability as a function of its position relative to the MCCs. A value
269 of 0.5 denotes a neutral preference, while negative and positive preferences correspond to values
270 below and above 0.5, respectively. Preferences were obtained by feeding CAMAP sequences in
271 which all codon values were masked, except for a single position that received a non-null codon
272 label.

273 Interestingly, while codons closest to the MCCs were the most influential on CAMAP scores,
274 some synonymous codons showed opposite effects, further demonstrating that codon usage does
275 not recapitulate amino acid usage (**Fig. 5A-B** and **Supplementary Fig. S14**). The use of embeddings
276 to encode codons has the advantage of arranging them into a semantic space, wherein codons with
277 similar influences are positioned close to each other. Interestingly, most synonymous codons did
278 not form clusters, with a notable exception being proline codons (**Fig. 5C**). This finding indicates
279 that for some codons, their effect on CAMAP prediction score may be closer to that of a non-
280 synonymous codon than to that of one of its synonyms.

281 **Figure 5. CAMAP interpretation of codon impact on MAP biogenesis.** Preferences for a
282 network trained on a context of 162 nucleotides (54 codons) for (A) serine, proline and tyrosine

Short title: Codon arrangement modulates MHC-I peptide presentation.

283 codons, and (B) leucine codons. (C) Learned codon embeddings. Some synonymous codons,
284 such as those encoding for Isoleucine (I), Cysteine (C) or Arginine (R) are located far from one
285 another, while others tend to cluster together (e.g. Proline [P] and Glutamic acid [E]).

286

287 **CAMAP increases MAP prediction accuracy**

288 We next compared MAP prediction capacities of CAMAPs scores to that of MAP predicted ligand
289 score (ranks as predicted by NetMHCpan4.0) and mRNA transcript expression levels. We used
290 ligand scores as predicted by NetMHCpan4.0, which was shown to possess the best predictive
291 capacities for naturally processed peptides compared to other predictive algorithms [26]. Because
292 MAP binding to the MHC molecule is essential for its presentation at the cell surface, we elected
293 to only compare hits and decoys encoding potential binders, i.e. with a minimal ligand score of
294 1% for at least one allele in the B-LCL dataset. Using a linear regression model, we compared the
295 predictive capacity of each single parameter using Matthews correlation coefficient, which
296 measures the quality of binary classifications [27]. Of note, only the predictions on the test set
297 were used to evaluate the Matthew correlation coefficient in our different models.

298 Because only potential binders were analyzed here, the mRNA expression level had the highest
299 predictive capacity, then followed by ligand scores (second) and CAMAP scores (third, **Fig. 6A**).

300 As expected due to the multiplicative relationship between MAP ligand score and expression levels
301 in predicting naturally processed MAPs [11], combining both variables greatly increased
302 prediction performances (**Fig. 6B**). Importantly, adding CAMAP scores to the regression model
303 further increased predictive performances (**Fig. 6B**). We next computed how many predicted
304 peptides would need to be tested to capture 1, 5 or 10% of hits in the B-LCL dataset. Results
305 presented in **Table 1** show that using only NetMHCpan4.0 ligand scores (ranks) leads to a very

Short title: Codon arrangement modulates MHC-I peptide presentation.

306 high false positive rate (FPR) at 72.1% when targeting the top 1%. Adding the expression levels
307 greatly increased prediction accuracy and decreased the FPR to 32.8% for the top 1% hits. When
308 adding CAMAP scores as a third variable, the number of peptides needed to capture 1% of hits
309 greatly decreased, resulting in a very low FPR at 1.1%. Similar trends were observed when
310 targeting 5 or 10% of hits, although with higher FPR (see **Table 1**). Similarly, adding CAMAP
311 scores to expression levels and ligand scores also ameliorated prediction accuracies for the two
312 other human datasets introduced above (B721.221 and PBMCs, see **Supplementary Table S2**).
313 These results show that combining CAMAP scores with the MAP's ligand score (ranks) and its
314 corresponding transcript expression level significantly improves prediction of MAP and facilitate
315 identification of relevant epitopes through more accurate predictions.

316

317 **Figure 6. CAMAP prediction score contributes to the prediction of MAPs.** (A) Matthews
318 correlation coefficient for MAP prediction using a single variable. (B) Matthews correlation
319 coefficient for MAP prediction using multivariable regression models. The B-LCL dataset (all
320 MAP lengths) was filtered for MAP with a minimal ligand score (rank) of 1% (NetMHCpan4.0).

321

322 **Table 1. Number of peptides needed to capture 1%, 5% or 10% of epitopes detected by mass**
323 **spectrometry.** The lower the number of peptides needed to capture the respective number of
324 epitopes, the better the performance of the prediction model. This is also illustrated by the
325 percentage of false identification (false positive rate, FPR) reported here. Peptides were rank-
326 ordered according to regression scores, for a total of 490,297 unique peptides and 8,991 hits. Of
327 note, only the maximal regression score was kept for peptides with multiple potential origins.

Regression model	1% hits (n=90)	5% hits (n=450)	10% hits (n=899)
------------------	----------------	-----------------	------------------

Short title: Codon arrangement modulates MHC-I peptide presentation.

	n	FPR	n	FPR	n	FPR
NetMHCpan4.0	322 ± 18	72.05%	2183 ± 69	79.39%	4927 ± 136	81.75%
NetMHCpan4.0 + expression	134 ± 6	32.84%	601 ± 10	25.12%	1211 ± 16	25.76%
NetMHCpan4.0 + expression + CAMAP	91 ± 2	1.11%	524 ± 13	14.12%	1170 ± 18	23.16%

328

329 **Codon usage can modulate MAP presentation**

330 To evaluate whether changing the codon arrangement in a MAP-coding sequence might directly
331 lead to modulation of MAP presentation, we generated three variants of the chicken ovalbumin
332 (OVA) protein containing the model MAP SIINFEKL [28]. One construct encoded the wild type
333 OVA (OVA-WT). For the other two constructs, we used CAMAP (trained on original human B-
334 LCL sequences; [Fig. 2](#)) to generate two OVA variants *in silico*, both encoding for the same OVA
335 protein but using different synonymous codons: one predicted to enhance SIINFEKL presentation
336 (OVA-EP), the other predicted to reduce it (OVA-RP). Accordingly, the respective CAMAP
337 scores for OVA-RP, OVA-WT and OVA-EP were: 0.03, 0.65, and 0.96 ([Fig. 7A](#)). All variants
338 encoded the same amino acid sequence but used different synonymous codons. Notably, the sole
339 difference between the three constructs were the 162 nucleotides flanking each side of the
340 SIINFEKL-coding codons (i.e. the RNA sequences coding for OVA₂₀₂₋₂₅₆ and OVA₂₆₅₋₃₁₉,
341 [Supplementary Table S1 and Supplementary Figure S15](#)).

342 **Figure 7. Codon usage in MAP-flanking mRNA sequences can influence antigen**
343 **presentation and translation efficiency.** (A) Design of the inducible Translation Reporter (iTR-
344 OVA) constructs and CAMAP scores for OVA-WT, OVA-EP and OVA-RP sequences. (B)
345 Schematic representation of possible translation events. When mRNA codon usage leads to
346 efficient (uninterrupted) translation, similar amounts of eGFP and Ametrine proteins would be
347 synthesized. When codon usage in the MAP-flanking regions enhances the frequency of

Short title: Codon arrangement modulates MHC-I peptide presentation.

348 translation interruption, a lower Ametrine/eGFP ratio would be observed. (C) Kinetics of
349 SIINFEKL MAP presentation following induction of iTR-OVA constructs expression by
350 doxycycline, measured in a T-cell activation assay. To remove the influence of differential
351 expression levels on antigenic presentation and of varying proportion of transduced cells
352 between samples, T-cell activation levels were normalized to the average Ametrine fluorescence
353 intensity and to the proportion of eGFP+ cells (i.e. cells expressing the construct). (D)
354 Translation efficiency as measured by Ametrine/eGFP ratio following iTR-OVA construct
355 induction. For C and D, results are normalized over the WT sample from the same experiment
356 (n=4). Statistical differences at each time point were determined using bilateral paired Student T
357 tests. Significance for the comparison against WT are indicated with *, while comparison of EP
358 vs RP is indicated with †. N.B.: Each replicate is shown with a dot, while the line and shaded
359 area represent the average and 95% confidence interval, respectively.

360

361 Because codon usage affects translation efficiency, theoretically leading to DRiP formation
362 through premature translation arrest [20,21], we expected the variable regions of our construct to
363 affect both translation rates and SIINFEKL presentation in our variants. Therefore, each construct
364 also coded for two other proteins, eGFP and Ametrine, placed upstream and downstream of the
365 OVA coding sequence, respectively (Fig. 7A). While the Ametrine fluorescence intensity reflected
366 the translation rate of the whole construct, the ratio of Ametrine/eGFP fluorescence intensity was
367 informative regarding the translation efficiency of the whole construct. Indeed, efficient translation
368 of the full-length construct should produce equivalent quantities of Ametrine and eGFP proteins,
369 while inefficient/interrupted translation of the construct (i.e. leading to DRiP formation) should
370 decrease the Ametrine/eGFP ratio (Fig. 7B). The three protein coding sequences were separated
371 with P2A self-cleaving peptides [29], therefore allowing the co-synthesis of three separate
372 proteins, controlled by the doxycycline-inducible Tet-On promoter. Importantly, the three proteins
373 were tightly co-expressed because of the presence of only one start codon at the 5' end of the GFP

Short title: Codon arrangement modulates MHC-I peptide presentation.

374 protein, as shown by the very high correlation between eGFP and Ametrine fluorescence for each
375 construct ($R > 0.97$, see [Supplementary Figure S16](#)). As we assumed that CAMAP scores reflected
376 the probability of DRiP generation leading to increased MAP presentation, we expected the OVA-
377 RP construct to show both reduced SIINFEKL presentation and enhanced translation efficiency
378 compared to the OVA-EP and OVA-WT constructs. However, as both the OVA-EP and OVA-
379 WT have CAMAP scores above the neutral threshold of 0.5 and closer to one another (0.98 and
380 0.65, respectively) compared to the OVA-RP construct (0.03), we expected OVA-EP and OVA-
381 WT to behave more similarly.

382 We then used a SIINFEKL-H2-K^b specific T-cell activation assay [30] to measure SIINFEKL
383 presentation at the cell surface following doxycycline induction. Results for the T-cell activation
384 assay were normalized by both the Ametrine mean fluorescence intensity and the percentage of
385 transduced (eGFP+) cells in each specific sample, so that any difference in T-cell activation
386 observed between our constructs could only be ascribed to synonymous codon variants in the
387 SIINFEKL-flanking OVA codons. Two main findings emerged from our analyses. First, in
388 accordance with CAMAP predictions, variation in codon usage led to a 2.3-fold difference in
389 SIINFEKL presentation between the OVA-EP and OVA-RP variants, with OVA-WT in between
390 ([Fig. 7C](#)). Second, translation efficiency (Ametrine/eGFP ratio) was higher with OVA-RP than
391 with OVA-EP or OVA-WT, while OVA-EP showed similar translation efficiency compared to
392 OVA-WT ([Fig. 7D](#)). Hence, synonymous codon variations led to slightly divergent outcomes in
393 OVA-EP and OVA-RP: they modulated the levels of SIINFEKL presentation in both constructs,
394 but enhanced translation efficiency could only be detected for OVA-RP. These data show that
395 codon arrangement can modulate MAP presentation strength without any changes in the amino

Short title: Codon arrangement modulates MHC-I peptide presentation.

396 acid sequence and support a role for translation efficiency and DRiP formation in the modulation
397 of MAP presentation.

398

399 **Discussion**

400 Our analyses of large datasets using artificial neural networks and other bioinformatics approaches
401 provide compelling evidence that codon usage regulates MAP biogenesis via both short- and long-
402 range effects. While most MAP predictive approaches focus on MAP sequences *per se*, CAMAP's
403 novelty is that it only receives the MAP-flanking mRNA sequences as input, and no information
404 on the MAP itself, thereby providing completely independent information for MAP prediction.
405 The better prediction accuracy of CAMAPs trained with original codons rather than with shuffled
406 synonyms supports the role of codon usage in modulating MAP biogenesis (Fig. 2). In addition,
407 we demonstrated that the codon-specific signal that is captured by CAMAP was independent of
408 transcript expression levels and MAP ligand scores, thereby providing complementary and non-
409 overlapping information regarding MAP presentation. Additionally, while CAMAP preferences
410 were more influential for codons located close to the MCCs (Fig. 5), the better performance of
411 CAMAP trained with longer context size pointed toward a long-range impact of codon usage on
412 MAP presentation.

413 The functional link between codon arrangement and MAP biogenesis was illustrated by our *in*
414 *vitro* analyses of SIINFEKL biogenesis, in which we were able to modulate SIINFEKL
415 presentation solely by substituting synonymous codons in mRNA regions flanking SIINFEKL
416 codons, without changing the protein sequence. While the experimental data derives from a single
417 model thus limiting the interpretability of our results, this points nonetheless to an interesting

Short title: Codon arrangement modulates MHC-I peptide presentation.

418 mechanism that could be exploited to enhance antigenic presentation in peptide-based
419 immunotherapy (i.e. dendritic cells modified to express a specific MAP).

420 Further analyses will be needed to assess the full extent of codon arrangement's impact on both
421 classic MAPs (i.e. derived from canonical reading frames of coding sequences) and cryptic MAPs
422 (i.e. derived from non-canonical reading frames and non-coding sequences) [31,32], as well as the
423 potential contribution of codons in non-coding regions (e.g. 5'- or 3'-UTRs) on the regulation of
424 MAP presentation. However, our results show that the integration of CAMAP scores to the two
425 best predictive factors for naturally processed MAPs led to a significant increase in prediction
426 accuracy. Indeed, our regression model combining only transcript expression levels to MAP ligand
427 scores (ranks as predicted by NetMHCpan4.0), showed that a total of 134 peptides would need to
428 be tested in order to capture 1% of all presented MAPs (hits), leading to a false positive rate of
429 32.8%. In contrast, the addition of CAMAP to this model decreased the false positive rate to only
430 1.1%, leading to 90 correct identifications out of 91 MAPs tested. Although predictions were not
431 as accurate for the two other human datasets, adding CAMAP scores always resulted in improved
432 prediction accuracy. Our results therefore support the combined use of ligand scores, transcript
433 expression levels and CAMAP scores in MAP predictive algorithms. These results have important
434 practical implications for cancer immunotherapy and peptide-based vaccines, where discovery of
435 suitable target antigens remains a formidable challenge to this day [33,34].

436

437 **Materials and methods**

438 **Dataset generation**

Short title: Codon arrangement modulates MHC-I peptide presentation.

439 We analyzed a previously published dataset consisting of MAPs presented on B lymphocytes by
440 a total of 33 MHC-I alleles from 18 subjects [19,22]. Since this dataset was assembled using older
441 versions of MHC-I binding prediction algorithms (i.e. using a combination of NetMHC3.4 for
442 common alleles and NetMHCcons1.1 for rare alleles), we verified that the majority of MAPs in
443 this dataset would also be predicted as binders using more recent algorithms (i.e. a rank \leq 2.0%
444 using NetMHC4.0 or NetMHCpan4.0). We found an overlap of >92% between these methods (see
445 **Supplementary Fig. S17**), thereby validating this dataset for further analysis. In addition, we
446 reasoned that a transcript should be considered as a genuine positive or negative regarding MAP
447 biogenesis only if it was expressed in the cells. We therefore excluded from the dataset all
448 transcripts with very low expression ($<1^{\text{st}}$ percentile in terms of FPKM).

449 To facilitate data analysis and interpretation, we only included transcripts coding for MAPs with
450 a length of 9 amino acids, for a total of 19,656 9-mer MAPs (which represents 78% of MAPs in
451 this dataset). We then used pyGeno [23] to extract the mRNA sequences of transcripts coding for
452 these 9-mer MAPs, which constituted our source-transcripts (**Fig. 1A**). We next created a negative
453 (non-source) dataset from transcripts that generated no MAPs. Importantly, transcripts that
454 encoded for MAPs of any length (i.e. 8 to 11-mer) were excluded from the negative dataset. We
455 then randomly selected 98,290 non-MAP 9-mers from this negative dataset, and extracted their
456 coding sequences using pyGeno. Of note, both positive and negative datasets were derived from
457 the canonical reading frame of non-redundant transcripts.

458 We analyzed only the MAP context and excluded the MCCs *per se* from our positive (hits) and
459 negative (decoys) sequences (**Fig. 1A**). We limited our analyses of flanking sequences to 162
460 nucleotides (54 codons) on each side of MCCs, because longer lengths would entail the exclusion
461 of >25% of transcripts (**Supplementary Fig. S18**).

Short title: Codon arrangement modulates MHC-I peptide presentation.

462 **Creation of the shuffled synonymous codon dataset**

463 To create the shuffled synonymous codon dataset, each sequence was re-encoded by replacing
464 each codon with itself or with a random synonym according to the human transcriptome usage
465 frequencies. These frequencies were calculated using the annotations provided by *Ensembl* for the
466 human reference genome GRCh37.75. Thus, all codon-specific features differing between the
467 positive and negative datasets was removed from the shuffled datasets. Because codons were
468 replaced by their synonymous codons, the shuffled sequences directly reflected amino acid usage
469 in the positive and negative datasets.

470 **CAMAP architecture, sequence encoding and training**

471 The first (input) layer received either MCCs flanking regions from the hit dataset or sequences of
472 the same length contained in the decoy dataset (**Fig. 1A**). The second layer (**Supplementary Fig.**
473 **4A**) was a codon embedding layer similar to that introduced for a neural language model [35].
474 Embedding is a technique used in natural language processing to encode discrete words, and has
475 been shown to greatly improve performances [36]. With this technique, the user defines a fixed
476 number of dimensions in which words should be encoded. When the training starts, each word
477 receives a random vector-valued position (its embedding coordinates) in that space. The network
478 then iteratively adjusts the words' embedding vectors during the training phase and arranges them
479 in a way that optimizes the classification task. Notably, embeddings have been shown to represent
480 semantic spaces in which words of similar meanings are arranged close to each other [36]. In the
481 present work, we treated codons as words: each codon received a set of random 2D coordinates
482 that were subsequently optimized during training. The third (output) layer delivered the probability
483 that the input sequence was a MCCs flanking region (rather than a sequence from the negative
484 dataset).

Short title: Codon arrangement modulates MHC-I peptide presentation.

485 CAMAPs were trained on sequences resulting from the concatenation of pre- and post-MCCs
486 regions. Before presenting sequences to our CAMAPs, we associated each codon to a unique
487 number ranging from 1 to 64 (we reserved 0 to indicate a null value) and used this encoding to
488 transform every sequence into a vector of integers representing codons. Neural networks were built
489 using the Python package Mariana [37] [<https://www.github.com/tariqdaouda/Mariana>]. The
490 *Embedding* layer of Mariana was used to associate each label superior to 0 to a set of 2D trainable
491 parameters; the 0 label represents a *null* (masking) embedding fixed at coordinates (0,0). As an
492 output layer, we used a *Softmax* layer with two outputs (positive / negative). Because negative
493 sequences are more numerous than positive ones, we used an oversampling strategy during
494 training. At each epoch, CAMAPs were randomly presented with the same number of positive and
495 negative sequences. All CAMAPs in this work share the same architecture (**Supplementary Fig.**
496 **4A**), number of parameters and hyper-parameter values: learning rate: 0.001; mini-batch size: 64;
497 embedding dimensions: 2; linear output without offset on the embedding layer; *Softmax* non-
498 linearity without offset on the output layer.

499 For each condition (e.g. context size), the positive and negative datasets were randomly divided
500 into three non-redundant subsets: (i) the training subsets containing 60% of the positive and
501 negative transcripts, (ii) the validation and (iii) the test subsets each containing 20% of the positive
502 and negative transcripts. Transcripts were assigned through a sequence redundancy removal
503 algorithm, thereby ensuring that no transcript was assigned to multiple subsets. We used an early
504 stopping strategy on validation sets to prevent over-fitting and reported average performances
505 computed on test sets. We trained 12 CAMAPs for each combination of conditions, each one using
506 a different random split of train/validation/test sets. To mask sequences either before or after the
507 MCCs, we masked either half with *null* value.

Short title: Codon arrangement modulates MHC-I peptide presentation.

508 **Kullback-Leibler divergence**

509 The Kullback-Leibler (KL) divergence computes how well a given distribution is approximated
510 by another distribution. Its value can be either positive or 0, a null value indicating that the two
511 distributions are identical (see Materials and Methods for more details). Accordingly, a higher KL
512 divergence for codon distributions vs. amino acid distributions would indicate that codon
513 variations are not entirely accounted for by amino acid variations. KL divergence is not a metric,
514 as it is neither symmetric nor does it satisfy the triangle inequality. It is nevertheless an accurate
515 and most common way of comparing two probability distributions.

516 We defined the probability of having codon c at position i as a function of the number of
517 occurrences of c at position i , divided by the total number of occurrences of that same codon:

518
$$Q_{(c,y,s)}(i) = \frac{N_{c,y,s}(i)}{\sum_j N_{c,y,s}(j)}$$

519 Here Q is a probability, N is a number of occurrences, c is a codon, y is a class (positive or
520 negative), s indicates if codons have been randomized (true or false), i is a position in sequence.

521 For the remainder of the text we will use the following abbreviations:

522
$$P_c(i) = Q_{c,y=positive,s=false}(i)$$

523
$$D_c(i) = Q_{c,y=negative,s=false}(i)$$

524
$$PS_c(i) = Q_{c,y=positive,s=true}(i)$$

525
$$DS_c(i) = Q_{c,y=negative,s=true}(i)$$

526 We then used the KL divergence to compute how well P_c distributions approximate D_c
527 distributions and PS_c distributions approximate DS_c distributions.

Short title: Codon arrangement modulates MHC-I peptide presentation.

528 The KL divergence was defined as:

$$529 \quad D_{KL}(P||Q) = \sum_i P(i) \log \left(\frac{P(i)}{Q(i)} \right)$$

530 We performed this calculation for both the original and the shuffled dataset, which we then
531 compared together. If codons and amino acid distributions were equivalent, KL divergence
532 between hits and decoys would be the same for both original and shuffled sequences, and codons
533 would cluster along the diagonal.

534 **Predicting MAP presentation with linear regressions**

535 The prediction capacity of CAMAP, NetMHCpan-4.0 ligand score and transcription expression
536 (TPM) was tested in different combinations of those parameters (Ligand Score + Expression,
537 Ligand score + Expression + CAMAP score) using the *LogisticRegressionCV* function from the
538 python package *sklearn* (*sklearn.linear_model*, v0.22.1). In each case, the dataset containing hits
539 and decoy sequences was split into train and test datasets with a ratio of 0.7 to 0.3, respectively.
540 Values for CAMAP score, Ligand Score and TPM were each scaled to a range of 0-1 in the train
541 set using *MinMaxScaler* from *sklearn.preprocessing* and the same scaling model was applied to
542 the test set afterwards. Regression analysis was performed using *LogisticRegressionCV* with a 10x
543 cross-validation using the *lbfgs* solver with 1000 iterations. MCC scores were calculated using
544 *matthews_corrcoef* from *sklearn.metrics*. When a peptide had multiple sources (multiple
545 transcripts or genes), only the maximum value from its regression scores was kept.

546 **In vitro assay – inducible translation reporter (iTR)-OVA construct design**

547 An inducible translation reporter was generated by flanking the truncated chicken ovalbumin
548 (OVA) cDNA (amino acids 144-386) with EGFP-P2A (in 5') and P2A-Ametrine (in 3') cDNA
549 sequences. MCCs flanking contexts for the EP and RP construct were synthesized as gBlocks

Short title: Codon arrangement modulates MHC-I peptide presentation.

550 (purchased from Integrated DNA Technologies). The fragments were amplified by PCR and joined
551 by Gibson assembly under a doxycycline-inducible Tet-ON promoter in a pCW backbone.
552 Synthetic variants of the OVA coding sequence were generated in silico by varying synonymous
553 codon usage in the MAP context regions (i.e. 162 nucleotides pre- and post-MCCs). Importantly,
554 the amino acid sequence was preserved between the different variants; only nucleotide sequences
555 in the MAP context (162 nucleotides on either side) differed. The sequences with the highest (EP)
556 and the lowest (RP) prediction scores were selected for further in vitro validation and swapped
557 into the iTR-OVA plasmid by Gibson assembly [38]. OVA-EP and OVA-RP sequences can be
558 found in [Supplementary Table 1](#).

559 Important features of our inducible translation reporter construct and T cell activation assay were:
560 (i) No changes in amino acid sequence between the three variants: only co-translational events can
561 differ between the three variants, post-translational events being equivalent for the three
562 constructs; (ii) Only one start codon, at the beginning of the eGFP coding sequence: this is
563 important for the translation reporter aspect of our construct (i.e. Ametrine/eGFP ratio), to ensure
564 that translation can only start at the 5'-end of the whole construct, and not at the beginning of the
565 OVA or Ametrine coding sequences; (iii) Separation of the three proteins using P2A peptide:
566 allows the inducible synthesis of three separate proteins in a highly correlated manner; also, the
567 degradation of one protein will be independent from the others. As we hypothesized that codon
568 usage might lead to DRiP formation, we did not want the degradation of OVA-derived polypeptide
569 to induce degradation of attached eGFP or Ametrine, which would affect our translation reporter
570 assay (Ametrine/eGFP ratio); (iv) Because transcript expression level impacts MAP presentation,
571 we normalized T-cell activation results by both the number of transduced cells present in the
572 samples (% of eGFP+ cells) and the Ametrine mean fluorescence intensity of eGFP+ cells

Short title: Codon arrangement modulates MHC-I peptide presentation.

573 (representing whole construct expression level). Because of these four features, any difference
574 between the three constructs could be ascribed solely to synonymous codon variants in the
575 SIINFEKL-flanking OVA codons.

576 **Stable cell line generation**

577 Wildtype and transduced Raw-K^b cells [39] were cultured in DMEM supplemented with 10% Fetal
578 Bovine Serum (FBS), penicillin (100 units/ml), and streptomycin (100mg/ml). B3Z cells [40] were
579 maintained in RPMI medium supplemented with 5% FBS, penicillin (100 units/ml), and
580 streptomycin (100mg/ml).

581 Lentiviral particles were produced from HEK293T cells by co-transfection of iTR-OVA WT, EP
582 or RP along with pMD2-VSVG, pMDLg/pRRE and pRSV-REV plasmids. Viral supernatants
583 were used for Raw-K^b transduction. Raw-K^b OVA-WT, Raw-K^b OVA-EP were sorted on
584 Ametrine and GFP double positive population after 24h of doxycycline treatment (1 mg/ml).

585 **T-cell activation assay**

586 Raw-K^b OVA-EP, OVA-RP and OVA-WT cells were plated at a density of 250,000 cells/well in
587 24 well-plates 24h prior to doxycycline treatment (1 mg/ml). After the corresponding treatment
588 duration, cells were harvested and fixed using PFA 1% for 10 minutes at room temperature and
589 washed using DMEM 10% FBS. Raw-K^b were then co-cultured (37°C, 5% CO₂) in triplicates with
590 the CD8 T cell hybridoma cell line B3Z cells at a 3:2 ratio for 16h (7.5 x 10⁵ B3Z and 5 x 10⁵
591 Raw-K^b) in 96 well-plates. Cells were lysed for 20 minutes at room temperature using 50 µl/well
592 of lysis solution (25mM Tris-Base, 0.2 mM CDTA, 10% glycerol, 0.5% Triton X-100, 0.3mM
593 DTT; pH 7.8). 170 µl/well CPRG buffer was added (0.15mM chlorophenol red-β-d-
594 galactopyranoside (Roche), 50mM Na₂HPO₄•7H₂O, 35mM NaH₂PO₄•H₂O, 9mM KCl, 0.9mM
595 MgSO₄•7H₂O). β-galactosidase activity was measured at 575 nm using SpectraMax® 190

Short title: Codon arrangement modulates MHC-I peptide presentation.

596 Microplate Reader (Molecular Devices). In parallel, cells were analyzed by flow cytometry using
597 a BD FACS CantoII for eGFP and Ametrine fluorescence.

598 **Data Availability**

599 The datasets analyzed for this study can be found:

- 600 • Human B-LCL: RNA-Seq data can be accessed on the NCBI Bioproject database
601 (<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/bioproject/>; accession PRJNA286122).
- 602 • Human PBMC: RNA-sequencing data for human PBMC were extracted from healthy
603 donors in Zucca et al (2019) [41] and can be accessed under the GEO accession number
604 GSE106443 and GSE115259, while MAPs were extracted from Murphy et al (2017) [24].
- 605 • Human B721.221: The B721.221 dataset was retrieved from Abelin et al (2017) [11]; RNA
606 sequencing data can be accessed under the GEO accession number GSE93315.
- 607 • Murine CT26: RNA-Seq data can be accessed under the GEO accession number
608 GSE111092. Mass spectrometry data can be found on the ProteomeXchange Consortium
609 via the PRIDE partner repository (human B-LCL: PXD004023 and murine CT26:
610 PXD009065 and 10.6019/PXD009065).
- 611 • Murine EL4: MAP dataset was extracted from Murphy et al (2017) [24] and EL4 RNA
612 sequencing dataset was extracted from Sidoli et al (2019) [42] and can be accessed under
613 the GEO accession number GSE125384.

614 All figures were generated using R's package "ggplot2". Source code for pyGeno
615 (<https://github.com/tariqdaouda/pyGeno>, doi: 10.12688/f1000research.8251.2) and Mariana
616 (<https://github.com/tariqdaouda/Mariana>, doi: [to be provided after acceptance]) are freely
617 available online.

Short title: Codon arrangement modulates MHC-I peptide presentation.

618

619 **Acknowledgements**

620 This work was supported by grants from the Canadian Cancer Society (number 705604 and
621 705714), the Oncopole and the Leukemia & Lymphoma Society of Canada. Perreault' lab is
622 supported in part by The Katelyn Bedard Bone Marrow Association. MDL was supported by a
623 studentship from the Canadian Institute of Health Research. Y.Benslimane was supported by a
624 fellowship from the Cole Foundation and a Canadian Institutes of Health Research operating grant
625 (to LH: #13784). EG lab is supported by the Canadian Institute for Health Research operating
626 grant (MOP-133726). The B3Z CD8+ T cell hybridoma cell line was a kind gift from Nilabh
627 Shastri. RAW-Kb cells were kindly provided by Michel Desjardins.

628

629 **Author contributions**

630 TD designed all computational experiments. TD and AF performed computational experiments.
631 TD wrote pyGeno and Mariana, contributed to design of the iTR-OVA construct, co-wrote the
632 first draft of the paper. MDL contributed to data analysis, to design and synthesis of the iTR-OVA
633 construct, performed flow cytometry analysis, with input of EG, co-wrote the first draft of the
634 paper. AF contributed to data analysis, study design and performed computational experiments
635 (validation on 5 datasets and regressions). Y.Benslimane contributed to design and synthesis of
636 the iTR-OVA construct, with input from LH and EG. RP produced viruses for transduction of the
637 iTR-OVA construct, transduced RAW cells, optimized and performed T-cell activation assay
638 using mild fixation, with input from EG, and reviewed the manuscript. MC performed peptide
639 affinity predictions. MB contributed to the optimization of culture conditions for the iTR-OVA

Short title: Codon arrangement modulates MHC-I peptide presentation.

640 assay. PT reviewed the manuscript. Y.Bengio reviewed and contributed to the manuscript. SL and
641 CP contributed to study design, reviewed and contributed to the manuscript. All co-authors
642 reviewed the manuscript.

643 The authors declare no competing interests.

644

645 **References**

- 646 1. Granados DP, Laumont CM, Thibault P, Perreault C. The nature of self for T cells—a
647 systems-level perspective. *Curr Opin Immunol.* 2015;34: 1–8. doi:10.1016/j.coi.2014.10.012
- 648 2. Caron E, Espona L, Kowalewski DJ, Schuster H, Ternette N, Alpízar A, et al. An open-source
649 computational and data resource to analyze digital maps of immunopeptidomes. Chakraborty
650 AK, editor. *eLife.* 2015;4: e07661. doi:10.7554/eLife.07661
- 651 3. Davis MM, Krogsgaard M, Huse M, Huppa J, Lillemeier BF, Li Q. T Cells as a Self-
652 Referential, Sensory Organ. *Annu Rev Immunol.* 2007;25: 681–695.
653 doi:10.1146/annurev.immunol.24.021605.090600
- 654 4. Schumacher TN, Schreiber RD. Neoantigens in cancer immunotherapy. *Science.* 2015;348:
655 69–74. doi:10.1126/science.aaa4971
- 656 5. Caron E, Vincent K, Fortier M-H, Laverdure J-P, Bramoullé A, Hardy M-P, et al. The MHC
657 I immunopeptidome conveys to the cell surface an integrative view of cellular regulation.
658 *Mol Syst Biol.* 2011;7: 533. doi:10.1038/msb.2011.68
- 659 6. Neefjes J, Jongasma MLM, Paul P, Bakke O. Towards a systems understanding of MHC class
660 I and MHC class II antigen presentation. *Nat Rev Immunol.* 2011;11: 823–836.
661 doi:10.1038/nri3084
- 662 7. Bassani-Sternberg M, Gfeller D. Unsupervised HLA Peptidome Deconvolution Improves
663 Ligand Prediction Accuracy and Predicts Cooperative Effects in Peptide–HLA Interactions.
664 *J Immunol.* 2016;197: 2492–2499. doi:10.4049/jimmunol.1600808
- 665 8. Nielsen M, Andreatta M. NetMHCpan-3.0; improved prediction of binding to MHC class I
666 molecules integrating information from multiple receptor and peptide length datasets.
667 *Genome Med.* 2016;8. doi:10.1186/s13073-016-0288-x
- 668 9. Tenzer S, Peters B, Bulik S, Schoor O, Lemmel C, Schatz MM, et al. Modeling the MHC
669 class I pathway by combining predictions of proteasomal cleavage, TAP transport and MHC
670 class I binding. *Cell Mol Life Sci CMLS.* 2005;62: 1025–1037. doi:10.1007/s00018-005-
671 4528-2

Short title: Codon arrangement modulates MHC-I peptide presentation.

- 672 10. Nielsen M, Lundegaard C, Lund O, Keşmir C. The role of the proteasome in generating
673 cytotoxic T-cell epitopes: insights obtained from improved predictions of proteasomal
674 cleavage. *Immunogenetics*. 2005;57: 33–41. doi:10.1007/s00251-005-0781-7
- 675 11. Abelin JG, Keskin DB, Sarkizova S, Hartigan CR, Zhang W, Sidney J, et al. Mass
676 Spectrometry Profiling of HLA-Associated Peptidomes in Mono-allelic Cells Enables More
677 Accurate Epitope Prediction. *Immunity*. 2017;46: 315–326.
678 doi:10.1016/j.immuni.2017.02.007
- 679 12. Capietto A-H, Jhunjhunwala S, Delamarre L. Characterizing neoantigens for personalized
680 cancer immunotherapy. *Curr Opin Immunol*. 2017;46: 58–65. doi:10.1016/j.coi.2017.04.007
- 681 13. Antón LC, Yewdell JW. Translating DRiPs: MHC class I immunosurveillance of pathogens
682 and tumors. *J Leukoc Biol*. 2014;95: 551–562. doi:10.1189/jlb.1113599
- 683 14. Wei J, Kishton RJ, Angel M, Conn CS, Dalla-Venezia N, Marcel V, et al. Ribosomal Proteins
684 Regulate MHC Class I Peptide Generation for Immunosurveillance. *Mol Cell*. 2019;73:
685 1162-1173.e5. doi:10.1016/j.molcel.2018.12.020
- 686 15. Yewdell JW, Antón LC, Bennink JR. Defective ribosomal products (DRiPs): a major source
687 of antigenic peptides for MHC class I molecules? *J Immunol*. 1996;157: 1823–1826.
- 688 16. Croft NP, Smith SA, Wong YC, Tan CT, Dudek NL, Flesch IEA, et al. Kinetics of antigen
689 expression and epitope presentation during virus infection. *PLoS Pathog*. 2013;9: e1003129.
690 doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1003129
- 691 17. Milner E, Barnea E, Beer I, Admon A. The turnover kinetics of major histocompatibility
692 complex peptides of human cancer cells. *Mol Cell Proteomics MCP*. 2006;5: 357–365.
693 doi:10.1074/mcp.M500241-MCP200
- 694 18. Hassan C, Kester MGD, de Ru AH, Hombrink P, Drijfhout JW, Nijveen H, et al. The human
695 leukocyte antigen-presented ligandome of B lymphocytes. *Mol Cell Proteomics MCP*.
696 2013;12: 1829–1843. doi:10.1074/mcp.M112.024810
- 697 19. Pearson H, Daouda T, Granados DP, Durette C, Bonneil E, Courcelles M, et al. MHC class
698 I-associated peptides derive from selective regions of the human genome. *J Clin Invest*.
699 2016;126: 4690–4701. doi:10.1172/JCI88590
- 700 20. Cannarozzi G, Schraudolph NN, Faty M, von Rohr P, Friberg MT, Roth AC, et al. A Role
701 for Codon Order in Translation Dynamics. *Cell*. 2010;141: 355–367.
702 doi:10.1016/j.cell.2010.02.036
- 703 21. Plotkin JB, Kudla G. Synonymous but not the same: the causes and consequences of codon
704 bias. *Nat Rev Genet*. 2011;12: 32–42. doi:10.1038/nrg2899
- 705 22. Granados DP, Rodenbrock A, Laverdure J-P, Côté C, Caron-Lizotte O, Carli C, et al.
706 Proteogenomic-based discovery of minor histocompatibility antigens with suitable features

Short title: Codon arrangement modulates MHC-I peptide presentation.

- 707 for immunotherapy of hematologic cancers. *Leukemia*. 2016;30: 1344–1354.
708 doi:10.1038/leu.2016.22
- 709 23. Daouda T, Perreault C, Lemieux S. pyGeno: A Python package for precision medicine and
710 proteogenomics. *F1000Research*. 2016;5: 381. doi:10.12688/f1000research.8251.2
- 711 24. Murphy JP, Konda P, Kowalewski DJ, Schuster H, Clements D, Kim Y, et al. MHC-I Ligand
712 Discovery Using Targeted Database Searches of Mass Spectrometry Data: Implications for
713 T-Cell Immunotherapies. *J Proteome Res*. 2017;16: 1806–1816.
714 doi:10.1021/acs.jproteome.6b00971
- 715 25. Laumont CM, Vincent K, Hesnard L, Audemard É, Bonneil É, Laverdure J-P, et al.
716 Noncoding regions are the main source of targetable tumor-specific antigens. *Sci Transl Med*.
717 2018;10: eaau5516. doi:10.1126/scitranslmed.aau5516
- 718 26. Paul S, Croft NP, Purcell AW, Tschärke DC, Sette A, Nielsen M, et al. Benchmarking
719 predictions of MHC class I restricted T cell epitopes in a comprehensively studied model
720 system. *PLoS Comput Biol*. 2020;16: e1007757. doi:10.1371/journal.pcbi.1007757
- 721 27. Powers D. Evaluation: From Precision, Recall and F-Factor to ROC, Informedness,
722 Markedness & Correlation. *Mach Learn Technol*. 2008;2.
- 723 28. Dersh D, Yewdell JW, Wei J. A SIINFEKL-Based System to Measure MHC Class I Antigen
724 Presentation Efficiency and Kinetics. *Methods Mol Biol Clifton NJ*. 2019;1988: 109–122.
725 doi:10.1007/978-1-4939-9450-2_9
- 726 29. Kim JH, Lee S-R, Li L-H, Park H-J, Park J-H, Lee KY, et al. High Cleavage Efficiency of a
727 2A Peptide Derived from Porcine Teschovirus-1 in Human Cell Lines, Zebrafish and Mice.
728 *PLOS ONE*. 2011;6: e18556. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0018556
- 729 30. Shastri N, Gonzalez F. Endogenous generation and presentation of the ovalbumin peptide/Kb
730 complex to T cells. *J Immunol Baltim Md 1950*. 1993;150: 2724–2736.
- 731 31. Laumont CM, Daouda T, Laverdure J-P, Bonneil É, Caron-Lizotte O, Hardy M-P, et al.
732 Global proteogenomic analysis of human MHC class I-associated peptides derived from non-
733 canonical reading frames. *Nat Commun*. 2016;7: 10238. doi:10.1038/ncomms10238
- 734 32. Zanker DJ, Oveissi S, Tschärke DC, Duan M, Wan S, Zhang X, et al. Influenza A Virus
735 Infection Induces Viral and Cellular Defective Ribosomal Products Encoded by Alternative
736 Reading Frames. *J Immunol Baltim Md 1950*. 2019;202: 3370–3380.
737 doi:10.4049/jimmunol.1900070
- 738 33. Ehx G, Perreault C. Discovery and characterization of actionable tumor antigens. *Genome*
739 *Med*. 2019;11: 29. doi:10.1186/s13073-019-0642-x
- 740 34. Sette A, Fikes J. Epitope-based vaccines: an update on epitope identification, vaccine design
741 and delivery. *Curr Opin Immunol*. 2003;15: 461–470. doi:10.1016/s0952-7915(03)00083-9

Short title: Codon arrangement modulates MHC-I peptide presentation.

- 742 35. Bengio Y, Ducharme R, Vincent P, Jauvin C. A Neural Probabilistic Language Model. J
743 Mach Learn Res. 2003;3: 1137–1155.
- 744 36. LeCun Y, Bengio Y, Hinton G. Deep learning. Nature. 2015;521: 436–444.
745 doi:10.1038/nature14539
- 746 37. Daouda T. Mariana: The Cutest Deep learning Framework. 2015. Available:
747 <https://www.github.com/tariqdaouda/Mariana>
- 748 38. Gibson DG, Young L, Chuang R-Y, Venter JC, Hutchison Iii CA, Smith HO. Enzymatic
749 assembly of DNA molecules up to several hundred kilobases. Nat Methods. 2009;6: 343–
750 345. doi:10.1038/nmeth.1318
- 751 39. Bell C, English L, Boulais J, Chemali M, Caron-Lizotte O, Desjardins M, et al. Quantitative
752 Proteomics Reveals the Induction of Mitophagy in Tumor Necrosis Factor- α -activated
753 (TNF α) Macrophages. Mol Cell Proteomics MCP. 2013;12: 2394–2407.
754 doi:10.1074/mcp.M112.025775
- 755 40. Karttunen J, Sanderson S, Shastri N. Detection of rare antigen-presenting cells by the lacZ
756 T-cell activation assay suggests an expression cloning strategy for T-cell antigens. Proc Natl
757 Acad Sci U S A. 1992;89: 6020–6024.
- 758 41. Zucca S, Gagliardi S, Pandini C, Diamanti L, Bordoni M, Sproviero D, et al. RNA-Seq
759 profiling in peripheral blood mononuclear cells of amyotrophic lateral sclerosis patients and
760 controls. Sci Data. 2019;6: 190006. doi:10.1038/sdata.2019.6
- 761 42. Sidoli S, Lopes M, Lund PJ, Goldman N, Fasolino M, Coradin M, et al. A mass spectrometry-
762 based assay using metabolic labeling to rapidly monitor chromatin accessibility of modified
763 histone proteins. Sci Rep. 2019;9: 13613. doi:10.1038/s41598-019-49894-4
- 764
- 765

Short title: Codon arrangement modulates MHC-I peptide presentation.

766 **Supporting information captions**

767 **Supplementary Figures**

768 **Supplementary Figure S1. Codon distribution in the shuffled datasets more closely resembles**
769 **that of amino acids, compared to the original datasets.** (A) Pearson correlation (R^2) factors and
770 (b) Kullback-Leibler (KL) divergence between positional distribution of codons and their
771 corresponding amino acid in the shuffled (y axis) VS original (x axis) datasets. For all codons, the
772 shuffled dataset showed greater correlations (A) and smaller KL divergence to their respective
773 amino acid distributions than the original datasets ($p < 1 \times 10^{-8}$, assessed using unilateral paired
774 Student T test).

775

776 **Supplementary Figure S2. Distribution of Pearson's correlation factors calculated between**
777 **codons and amino acids positional distributions in the original (green) and shuffled (coral)**
778 **datasets.** 92% of codons in the shuffled dataset reflecting the amino acids distribution with a R2
779 > 0.95 , compared to only 69% in the original dataset ($p < 5 \times 10^{-5}$).

780

781 **Supplementary Figure S3. Distribution of amino acid and codon usage per position in the**
782 **original VS shuffled datasets.** (A) Alanine – A. (B) Cysteine – C. (C) Aspartic acid – D. (D)
783 Glutamic acid – E. (E) Phenylalanine – F. (F) Glycine – G. (G) Histidine – H. (H) Isoleucine – I.
784 (I) Lysine – K. (J) Leucine – L. (K) Asparagine – N. (L) Proline – P. (M) Glutamine – Q. (N)
785 Arginine – R. (O) Serine – S. (P) Threonine – T. (Q) Valine – V. (R) Tyrosine – Y.

786

787 **Supplementary Figure S4. CAMAP architecture and detailed predictions.** (A) Architecture of the
788 ANN used in this work. (B) Results for the AUC on all train, validation and test subsets. Grey
789 areas represent the 95% confidence intervals. (C) Distributions of output probabilities of CAMAPs
790 used to calculate correlations in [Supplementary Figure S5](#).

791

Short title: Codon arrangement modulates MHC-I peptide presentation.

792 **Supplementary Figure S5.** Correlation between CAMAP prediction score trained only with pre-
793 MCC or post-MCC sequences. For each sequence in the test set we calculated the average
794 prediction score given by CAMAPs in each condition, and calculated the Pearson correlation using
795 the R software. Densities were calculated on all points and drawn using ggplot2. Only a random
796 subset of the points is represented in the figures to limit their size.

797

798 **Supplementary Figure S6.** Absence of correlation between CAMAP prediction score and
799 transcript expression levels in 4 individual B-LCL samples (each derived from a different subject).

800

801 **Supplementary Figure S7. Training of CAMAP on dataset selected to reflect positive**
802 **dataset's distribution in expression levels.** (A) Distribution of transcript expression levels for
803 normal datasets (related to [Figure 2](#)) and the dataset used here to retrain CAMAP. As shown in
804 this figure, the decoy dataset was selected to mirror the distribution of transcript expression level
805 in the hit dataset. (B) CAMAP performance (measured by the AUC) when trained using the decoy
806 dataset that mirrors the transcript expression levels of the hit dataset. Significance was assessed
807 using bilateral paired Student T test ($p = 5.36 \times 10^{-7}$).

808

809 **Supplementary Figure S8. Absence of correlation between CAMAP prediction score and**
810 **binding affinities for individual alleles for decoys (A) and hits (B).**

811

812 **Supplementary Figure S9. Training of CAMAP on dataset selected to reflect positive**
813 **dataset's distribution in binding affinities.** (A) Distribution of binding affinities for normal
814 datasets (related to [Figure 2](#)) and the corrected dataset used to retrain CAMAP. As shown in this
815 figure, the decoy dataset was selected to mirror the distribution of binding affinities in the hit
816 dataset. (B) CAMAP performance (measured by the AUC) when trained using the decoy dataset
817 that mirrors the binding affinities of the hit dataset. Significance was assessed using bilateral paired
818 Student T test ($p = 1.21 \times 10^{-9}$).

Short title: Codon arrangement modulates MHC-I peptide presentation.

819

820 **Supplementary Figure S10. Evaluation of homology in hit dataset and its impact of CAMAP**
821 **performance.** (A) Proportion of unique MAPs that can be ascribed to a single origin, 2-3, or >10
822 possible origins. (B) Proportion of entries in the hit dataset that encode for MAPs with a single
823 origin, 2-3, 4-10 or >10 possible origins

824

825 **Supplementary Figure S11. Gene families overrepresented in hits with >3 possible origins.**

826

827 **Supplementary Figure S12. CAMAP performance (AUC) when trained using either all hits**
828 **(left), hits with 10 possible origins or less (center) or hits with 3 possible origins or less (right).**

829

830 **Supplementary Figure S13.** Kullback-Leibler divergence between hit and decoy datasets in
831 original codon (y-axis) or shuffled synonymous codon sequences (x-axis). Shuffled sequences
832 represent amino acid usage, as codon-specific information are removed with synonymous codon
833 shuffling.

834

835 **Supplementary Figure S14. Preferences per position for all codons for CAMAP trained with**
836 **original sequences.** See Materials and Methods for more details.

837

838 **Supplementary Figure S15.** OVA-construct alignment, showing point mutations (red lines) in
839 the mRNA sequences flanking the SIINFEKL MCC. (A) Comparison of the OVA-EP nucleotide
840 sequence to the wildtype OVA sequence. The OVA-EP and OVA-WT sequences have 93.3%
841 nucleotide identity for a total of 78 modified nucleotides. (B) Comparison of the OVA-RP
842 nucleotide sequence to the wildtype OVA sequence. The OVA-EP and OVA-WT sequences have
843 92.6% nucleotide identity, for a total of 86 modified nucleotides. Mutations, shown in red, are

Short title: Codon arrangement modulates MHC-I peptide presentation.

844 located only in the 162 nucleotide regions flanking the SIINFEKL coding codons. Of note, the
845 SIINFEKL coding codons (nucleotides 772-799) were not modified between the 3 constructs.

846

847 **Supplementary Figure S16.** Correlations between eGFP and Ametrine fluorescence intensity at
848 the single cell level. Single cell eGFP and Ametrine fluorescence intensities measured at 10 hours
849 post-induction are shown for the OVA-WT (A), OVA-EP (B) and OVA-RP (C) constructs. N.B.:
850 only transduced cells are shown (eGFP+ cells).

851

852 **Supplementary Figure S17.** Validation of MHC-I associated peptides (MAP) dataset from
853 Pearson H. *et al.* (2016) using the new versions of MAP binding affinity prediction algorithm
854 NetMHC4.0 (A) and NetMHCpan4.0 (B).

855

856 **Supplementary Figure S18.** Percentage of transcript ineligibility as a function of context size.
857 Transcript length corresponds to $C \times 2 + 27$, where C is the context size in nucleotides and 27 the
858 length of the MCCs. Related to [Figure 1A](#).

859

860

861 **Supplementary Tables**

862 **Supplementary Table S1. Nucleotide sequences of the EP and RP constructs.** SIINFEKL
863 MCCs are shown in bold, while the variant regions (pre- and post-MCCs flanking sequences,
864 context size of 162-nucleotides) are in blue and italics. Related to [Fig. 7](#).

865

866 **Supplementary Table S2. Number of peptides needed to capture 1%, 5 and 10% of epitopes**
867 **detected by mass spectrometry in B721.221 and PBMC cell lines.** The lower the number of

Short title: Codon arrangement modulates MHC-I peptide presentation.

868 peptides needed to capture the respective number of epitopes, the better the performance of the
869 prediction model. This is also illustrated by the percentage of false identification (false positive
870 rate, FPR) reported here. Peptides were rank-ordered according to regression scores. Of note, only
871 the maximal regression score was kept for peptides with multiple potential origins.

872

873













