

## A BRIEF ORIGINAL CONTRIBUTION

# Coffee and Tea Intake and the Risk of Myocardial Infarction

Howard D. Sesso,<sup>1,2</sup> J. Michael Gaziano,<sup>1,3,4</sup> Julie E. Buring,<sup>1,2,5</sup> and Charles H. Hennekens<sup>1,2,5</sup>

The authors investigated the association of caffeinated coffee, decaffeinated coffee, and tea with myocardial infarction in a study of 340 cases and age-, sex-, and community-matched controls. The odds ratio for drinking  $\geq$ 4 cups/day of caffeinated coffee versus drinking  $\leq$ 1 cup/week was 0.84 (95% confidence interval (Cl) 0.49–1.42) after adjustment for coronary risk factors (1 cup = 237 ml). The odds ratio for drinking >1 cup/day of decaffeinated coffee versus nondrinkers was 1.25 (95% Cl 0.76–2.04). For tea, the odds ratio for drinking  $\geq$ 1 cup/day versus nondrinkers was 0.56 (95% Cl 0.35–0.90). In these data, only tea was associated with a lower risk of myocardial infarction. *Am J Epidemiol* 1999;149:162–7.

caffeine; case-control studies; coffee; flavones; myocardial infarction; tea

Recent meta-analyses suggest no association between caffeinated coffee and myocardial infarction after adequate control of cigarettes, but they could not rule out a possible increased risk of myocardial infarction for consumption greater than 5 cups per day (1 cup = 237 ml) (1, 2). Evidence of an association between decaffeinated coffee and myocardial infarction remains sparse and conflicting (3-10). Whereas earlier studies on tea consumption suggested no association (11-16), recent evidence suggests a reduction in the risk of myocardial infarction due to its flavonoid content (17-22). Regular black tea is a major source of flavonoids (20, 21), a group of compounds in plant foods with antioxidant effects (23, 24) that may help to retard atherosclerosis (25, 26). Using a case-control study of patients with first myocardial infarction and neighborhood controls of the same age and sex, we investigated the effects of each beverage on the risk of myocardial infarction.

# MATERIALS AND METHODS

Subjects and methods of the Boston Area Health Study have been described previously (27). Briefly, subjects included white men and women aged less than 76 years, with no prior history of myocardial infarction or angina pectoris. Cases of myocardial infarction had no symptoms more than 24 hours before presentation at six different Boston area hospitals and were confirmed by evidence of a rise in creatine kinase. Cases had home interviews approximately 10 weeks after hospital discharge. For each eligible and willing case, we selected a control matched on age (within 5 years), sex, and area of residence. Of the eligible subjects contacted, 84 percent of cases discharged alive and 60 percent of controls were enrolled, yielding 340 case-control pairs in the study.

Extensive information was obtained on coronary risk factors related specifically to the time before the myocardial infarction for the cases and before the interview for the controls. A 116-item semiguantitative food frequency questionnaire assessed average dietary intake over the past year; for cases, average intake represented the year preceding their myocardial infarction. We defined four approximately equal categories of beverage consumption based upon the distribution among the controls: for caffeinated coffee, ≤1 cup/week, 2-7 cups/week, >1-3 cups/day, and  $\geq 4$ cups/day; for decaffeinated coffee, none, 1-3 cups/month, 1-7 cups/week, and >1 cup/day; and for tea, none, 1–3 cups/month, 1–6 cups/week, and  $\geq 1$ cup/day. Lipid levels from fasting venous blood samples were determined using Lipid Research Clinics methods (28-30). The statistical analyses with lipids are restricted to subjects with full lipid profiles.

Received for publication December 29, 1997, and accepted for publication June 8, 1998.

Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; OR, odds ratio.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Division of Preventive Medicine, Department of Medicine, Brigham and Women's Hospital and Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Department of Epidemiology, Harvard School of Public Health, Boston, MA.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Cardiovascular Division, Department of Medicine, Brigham and Women's Hospital and Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Massachusetts Veterans Epidemiology Research and Information Center, Veterans Affairs Medical Center, Brockton/West Roxbury, MA.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Department of Ambulatory Care and Prevention, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA.

Reprint requests to Dr. Howard D. Sesso, Brigham and Women's Hospital, 900 Commonwealth Avenue East, Boston, MA 02215.

Risk factor comparisons between cases and controls were conducted using t tests for continuous variables and  $\chi^2$  tests for categorical variables. All t tests for continuous variables were age and sex adjusted. We compared subjects according to beverage preference, defined as drinking  $\geq 1$  cup/day of one beverage but <1 cup/day of the other beverages. Matched-pair and unmatched odds ratios for myocardial infarction were virtually identical for all logistic regression analyses, allowing us to present unmatched analyses (31). Odds ratios and 95 percent confidence intervals were calculated using the lowest level of consumption as the reference category. Trend tests were calculated using categorical variables. Crude models were adjusted for age and sex, and multivariate models were adjusted for all medical and behavioral risk factors for myocardial infarction. Finally, lipids were individually entered into the multivariate model.

# RESULTS

As expected, the prevalence and levels of major known coronary risk factors and lipids among cases differed from those among controls (p < 0.05) in table 1. We then compared subjects in the highest versus lowest levels of intake for each beverage (data not shown). The heaviest caffeinated coffee drinkers tended to be younger and male, to smoke cigarettes, and to have a type A personality (all p < 0.05). Drinking  $\geq 2$  cups of decaffeinated coffee daily was associated with higher rates of treatment for high blood pressure and diabetes (both p < 0.05). Finally, the heaviest tea

drinkers were older and smoked less (both p < 0.05), and they had more favorable high density lipoprotein cholesterol levels (1.04 vs. 0.98 mmol/liter (40.3 vs. 37.9 mg/dl), p = 0.059) despite lower alcohol consumption.

Few subjects exclusively drank one single beverage. Therefore, in table 2, we compared subjects with a strong preference for drinking each beverage. Subjects preferring caffeinated coffee tended to be younger men who were heavier smokers and drinkers. Preferred decaffeinated coffee drinkers had smoking rates similar to those of caffeinated coffee drinkers but higher rates of treatment for high blood pressure and diabetes. Finally, preferred tea drinkers were older, smoked less, and were less physically active, with higher rates of treatment for high blood pressure compared with caffeinated coffee drinkers.

A total of 70.2 percent of cases and 71.5 percent of controls drank at least 1 cup of caffeinated coffee per day. We found no association between caffeinated coffee intake and the risk of myocardial infarction (table 3). Results were not materially altered by the addition of individual lipids into the model. In a comparison of the highest recorded category of caffeinated coffee intake ( $\geq 6$  cups/day; n = 47) with the reference group ( $\leq 1$  cup/week; n = 148), an apparent crude association (odds ratio (OR) = 1.88, 95 percent confidence interval (CI) 0.94–3.78) was attenuated after multivariate adjustment (OR = 1.38, 95 percent CI 0.63–3.00), mainly because of confounding by smoking. Decaffeinated coffee consumption was considerably less prevalent than was caffeinated coffee, with 27.5

TABLE 1. Characteristics of cases and controls in the Boston Area Health Study, 1982–1983\*

	Age	Male	History of treatment	History of dlabetes (%)	Family history of	Type A	, Cigan	Cigarette smoking (%)		Takin aspiri	g Body n mass
	(years)	88X (%)	high blood pressure (%)		myocardial infarction (%)	(%)	Never	Former	Current	daily (%)	index (kg/m²)
Cases (n = 340) Controis (n = 340)	57.7 (9.6)§ 57.7 (9.7)	78.2 78.2	34.7 25.1	13.5 7.6	22.1 15.3	58.8 49.4	25.4 31.6	31.6 40.7	43.1 27.7	7.7 9.4	25.9 (3.9) 25.6 (4 0)
<i>p</i> value	0.95	1.00	0.006	0.013	0 024	0.014		0.001		0.42	0.40
	Physical activity Index (kcal/week)	Total caloric intake (kcal/day)	Calories from saturate fat (%)	s Ak d In (9-	cohol take /day)	Total holesteroł (mmol/ liter)†	LDL cholesterol (mmol/ liter)†	HDL cholesterol (mmcl/ liter)†	Triglyce (mma liter)	rides ol/ ‡	LDL/HDL ratio
Cases ( $n = 340$ ) Controls ( $n = 340$ )	3,090 (2,970) 3,542 (3,009)	2,482 (927 2,354 (773	) 13.1 (3.4 ) 12.2 (3.0	) 15.1 ) 19.6	(26.5) <u>(</u> 27.6) <u>(</u> 27.6)	5.58 (1.13) 5.48 (1.09)	3.56 (0.99) 3.41 (0.92)	0.91 (0.25) 1.13 (0.31)	4.46 (2 3.49 (2	.95) .74)	4.08 (1.27) 3.22 (1.25)
p value	0.049	0.050	<0.001	0.	.031	0.25	0.055	<0.001	<0.00	01	<0.001

\* Unmatched analyses performed. The statistical analyses for tipids (total cholesterol, low density lipoprotein (LDL) cholesterol, high density lipoprotein (HDL) cholesterol, trigtycerides, and LDL/HDL) are restricted to those subjects with full lipoprotein profiles (303 cases and 297 controls). All lipid values are age and sex adjusted.

† To convert to mg/dl, multiply by 38.67.

‡ To convert to mg/dl, multiply by 88.57.

§ Numbers In parentheses, standard deviation.

¶  $\chi^2$  test for all three smoking categories.

	Age	Male	History of treatment	Family History history of of		Type A	, Cigar	Cigarette smoking (%)			Body mass
	(years)	(%)	high blood pressure (%)	diabetes (%)	myocard infarctio (%)	ial personali n (%)	Never	Former	Current d		index (kg/m²)†
Coffee drinkers Caffeinated											
( <i>n</i> = 279) Decaffeinated	56.7 (9.4)¶	83.5	23.7	10.8	18.3	55.2	22.6	35.1	42.3	7.6	25.3 (3.9)
(n = 58)	58.9 (9.1)	65.5	36 2	17.2	36.2	51.7	35.1	21.1	43.9	10.3	25.9 (3.9)
Tea drinkers $(n = 40)$	60.6 (9.7)	62.5	30.8	2.5	7.5	50.0	37.5	45.0	17.5	7.5	25.0 (3.8)
	Physical activity index (kcal/week)†	Total caloric intake (kcal/day)†	Calories from saturated fat (%)†	Al in (g/	cohol htake (day)†	Total cholesterol (mmol/ liter)†,‡	LDL cholesterol (mmol/ liter)†,‡	HDL cholesterol (mmol/ liter)†,‡	Trigfyce (mm liter)	əridəs Hol/ †,§	LDL/HDL ratio†
Coffee drinkers Caffeinated (n = 279)	3,444 (2,850)	2,452 (844	) 12 5 (3.0	) 20.4	(28.2)	5.62 (1.02)	3.54 (0.94)	1.03 (0.30)	4.11 (3	3.31)	3.69 (1.38)
Decaffelnated (n = 58) Tea drinkers (n = 40)	3,619 (3,111) 2,783 (1,912)	2,425 (697 2,419 (888	) 12.1 (3.2 ) 12.2 (3.0	) 16.4 ) 15.2	(26.2) 2 (29.9)	5.68 (1.29) 5.40 (0.96)	3.54 (1.10) 3 45 (0.86)	0.94 (0.27) 1.02 (0.26)	4.14 (2 3.63 (1	2.14) 1.93)	3.89 (1.38) 3.54 (0.96)

#### TABLE 2. Risk factor distribution for myocardial infarction among beverage preferers, Boston Area Health Study, 1982–1983\*

\* Subjects drinking  $\geq 1 \text{ cup}$  ( $\geq 237 \text{ ml}$ )/day of one beverage while drinking < 1 cup (< 237 ml)/day of other beverages. The statistical analyses for lipids (total cholesterol, low density lipoprotein (LDL) cholesterol, high density lipoprotein (HDL) cholesterol, trigtycerides, and LDL/HDL) are restricted to those subjects with full lipoprotein profiles (caffeinated coffee, n = 248; decaffeinated coffee, n = 55; tea, n = 34).

† Age and sex adjusted.

‡ To convert to mg/dl, multiply by 38.67.

§ To convert to mg/dl, multiply by 88.57.

¶ Numbers in parentheses, standard deviation.

TABLE 3. Odds ratios and 95% confidence intervals for myocardial infarction by level of caffeinated coffee intake, Boston Area Health Study, 1982–1983

			0				
	controls (no.)	≤1 cup/ <del>wee</del> k* ( <i>n</i> = 148)	2–7 cups/week (n = 171)	>1–3 cups/day ( <i>n</i> = 214)	≥4 cups/day ( <i>n</i> = 146)	value (trend)	
Full models			-				
Age, sex	339/340	1.0	0.76 (0.49–1.18)	0.99 (0.65-1.51)	1.11 (0.69–1.77)	0.45	
Age, sex + coronary risk factors†	336/337	1.0	0.78 (0.48-1.26)	0.91 (0.58–1.45)	0.84 (0.49–1.42)	0.69	
Lipid-limited models‡							
Age, sex + coronary risk factors†	299/295	1.0	0.83 (0.50-1.39)	0.98 (0.60-1.61)	0.93 (0.53–1.62)	0.97	
Age, sex + coronary risk factors†							
+ LDL/HDL ratio§	299/295	1.0	0.86 (0.50–1.47)	0.95 (0.57–1.60)	0.88 (0.49–1.58)	0.78	

\* One cup = 237 ml.

† Adjusted for age (10-year categories), sex, smoking status (never, former, <1 pack/day, 1–2 packs/day, >2 packs/day), history of medication for high blood pressure, type A personality, family history of myocardial infarction, diabetes, daily aspirin use, body mass index, log of physical activity index, percentage of calories from saturated fat, total caloric intake, and alcohol intake.

‡ Analysis limited to those subjects with full lipoprotein profiles.

§ LDL/HDL ratio, ratio of high density lipoprotein to low density lipoprotein cholesterol.

percent of cases and 23.2 percent of controls drinking  $\geq 1 \text{ cup/day}$ . We found no evidence for an association between increasing decaffeinated coffee consumption and the risk of myocardial infarction (table 4). Adjustment for coronary risk factors or individual lipids did not alter the results.

For tea, 24.9 percent of cases and 32.0 percent of controls drank  $\geq 1$  cup/day. Tea drinkers of  $\geq 1$  cup/day (table 5) had a significantly lower risk of myocardial infarction compared with tea nondrinkers (OR = 0.55, 95 percent CI 0.36–0.85), independent of coronary risk

factors and lipids. Subjects drinking tea in the two middle levels of consumption had nonsignificant reductions in the risk of myocardial infarction of lower magnitude but consistent with a significant linear trend across levels of tea intake (p = 0.012).

## DISCUSSION

In this study, neither caffeinated nor decaffeinated coffee was associated with the risk of myocardial infarction. For tea consumption, we found an inverse TABLE 4. Odds ratios and 95% confidence intervals for myocardial infarction by level of decaffeinated coffee intake, Boston Area Health Study, 1982–1983

	Cases/					
	controls (no.)	None (n = 325)	1–3 cups/month* (n = 109)	1–7 cups/week (n = 143)	>1 cup/day ( <i>n</i> = 101)	value (trend)
Full models						
Age, sex	338/340	1.0	0.94 (0.61–1.45)	0.96 (0.65-1.43)	1.34 (0.85-2.10)	0.38
Age, sex + coronary risk factors† Lipid-limited models‡	335/337	1.0	1.05 (0.66–1.70)	1.09 (0.71–1.69)	1.25 (0.76-2.04)	0.39
Age, sex + coronary risk factors† Age, sex + coronary risk factors†	298/295	1.0	0.94 (0.56–1.58)	1.07 (0.67–1.70)	1.32 (0.7 <del>9–</del> 2.22)	0.34
+ LDL/HDL ratio§	298/295	1.0	1.08 (0.62-1.85)	1.11 (0.68–1.81)	1.23 (0.71–2.13)	0.45

\* One cup = 237 ml.

† Adjusted for age (10-year categories), sex, smoking status (never, former, <1 pack/day, 1–2 packs/day, >2 packs/day), history of medication for high blood pressure, type A personality, family history of myocardial infarction, diabetes, daily aspirin use, body mass index, log of physical activity index, percentage of calories from saturated fat, total caloric intake, and alcohol intake.

‡ Analysis limited to those subjects with full lipoprotein profiles.

§ LDL/HDL ratio, ratio of high density lipoprotein to low density lipoprotein cholesterol.

# TABLE 5. Odds ratios and 95% confidence intervals for nonfatal myocardial infarction by level of tea intake, Boston Area Health Study, 1982–1983

	Casoel		0				
	controls (no.)	None ( <i>n</i> = 155)	1–3 cups/month* (n = 179)	1–6 cups/week ( <i>n</i> = 150)	≥1 cup/day ( <i>n</i> = 192)	value (trend)	
Full models							
Age, sex	338/338	1.0	0.72 (0.46-1.11)	0.72 (0.46-1.13)	0.55 (0.36-0.85)	0.012	
Age, sex + coronary risk factors† Lipid-limited models‡	335/335	1.0	0.70 (0.44–1.13)	0.77 (0.47–1.27)	0.56 (0.35-0.90)	0.032	
Age, sex + coronary risk factors† Age, sex + coronary risk factors†	298/294	1.0	0.72 (0.44–1.20)	0.74 (0.44–1.25)	0.49 (0.2 <del>9</del> 0.83)	0.012	
+ LDL/HDL ratio§	298/294	1.0	0.73 (0.43–1.25)	0.69 (0.40–1.20)	0.55 (0.32-0.94)	0.033	

\* One cup = 237 ml.

† Adjusted for age (10-year categories), sex, smoking status (never, former, <1 pack/day, 1-2 packs/day, >2 packs/day), history of medication for high blood pressure, type A personality, family history of myocardial infarction, diabetes, daily aspirin use, body mass index, log of physical activity index, percentage of calories from saturated fat, total caloric intake, and alcohol intake.

‡ Analysis limited to those subjects with full lipoprotein profiles.

§ LDL/HDL ratio, ratio of high density lipoprotein to low density lipoprotein cholesterol.

association with the risk of myocardial infarction with a significant reduction among those drinking  $\geq 1$  cup/day, independent of lipid and nonlipid coronary risk factors.

Our finding of no association for caffeinated coffee consumption with the risk of myocardial infarction is consistent with some (3, 6, 9, 32–36) but not all (14, 37–41) cohort studies. On the other hand, most (4, 5, 7, 8, 11–13, 33) but not all (42, 43) case-control studies have yielded positive associations, leading to speculation that any effect of caffeinated coffee on the risk of myocardial infarction may be acute (1). Heavy caffeinated coffee drinkers have clustered coronary risk factors, making them more prone to myocardial infarction (44). We found these subjects to be heavy smokers, which strongly attenuated our results. Because caffeinated coffee drinkers consume more alcohol, the inverse association between alcohol and myocardial infarction (45) may offset any positive association between caffeinated coffee and myocardial infarction risk.

Some case-control (4, 10) and cohort (6, 8) studies suggest a lower threshold of decaffeinated coffee intake for myocardial infarction risk compared with caffeinated coffee. Other studies have found no such evidence (3, 5, 7, 9). Although we found no association between decaffeinated coffee and myocardial infarction, subjects may switch to decaffeinated coffee as a healthy alternative, as reflected in their higher rates of hypertension and diabetes.

Early case-control (11-14) studies largely found no association between tea consumption and coronary heart disease. However, a true inverse association may have been masked because of the low prevalence of heavy tea consumption or because of potential recall, information, or selection biases. Some recent cohort studies have demonstrated an inverse association between tea and coronary heart disease death (17-20).

We offer two possible explanations for the inverse association between tea and the reduced risk of myocardial infarction. First, flavonoids in black tea may reduce myocardial infarction by inhibiting low density lipoprotein cholesterol oxidation (22), reducing platelet aggregation (46), or reducing ischemic damage (47). Increased tea consumption has been associated with little or no improvement in lipid profiles (15, 17, 47-51). We found only modest, beneficial differences in the lipid profiles of subjects preferring tea. Recent European prospective studies, where black tea has a greater contribution to total flavonoid intake, have suggested an inverse association between flavonoids and coronary heart disease mortality (18-20). Only men with preexisting coronary heart disease had an inverse association in an American cohort study (22). Alternatively, higher tea consumption may be a surrogate for a healthier lifestyle. Our data suggest that tea drinkers differ from nondrinkers in terms of health behaviors and medical conditions. Nevertheless, adjustment for neither coronary risk factors nor individual lipids changed the risk estimates.

Several important limitations of this study should be considered. First, despite varying response rates of 60–90 percent for controls among the neighborhoods of the six hospitals, we found no difference between the overall or neighborhood-specific risk estimates. Though our results may only apply to nonfatal myocardial infarction, this aspect of case selection enabled us to ascertain extensive information on coronary risk factors. Only smoking appreciably confounded our results, suggesting that residual confounding by unmeasured factors or in the smoking variable should be modest at best. Finally, misclassification of beverage intake may have occurred. Neither subjects nor interviewers were aware of any specific study hypothesis, so we expect reported usual intakes over the past year to be without recall bias or seasonality. The inability to distinguish coffee brewing methods, tea type, or tea content may also introduce misclassification. However, in this study of older men and women during the early 1980s, we expect consumption to be limited to filtered coffee and caffeinated black tea.

In summary, only tea was inversely associated with the risk of myocardial infarction. More data from prospective cohort studies will help to distinguish whether tea drinking has a true biologic effect or serves as a surrogate for a risk profile promoting lower myocardial infarction risk.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The Boston Area Health Study was supported by research grants HL-24423 and HL-21006 from the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute. Howard D. Sesso is supported by institutional training grant HL-07575 from the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute.

The authors thank the six Boston area hospitals that participated in this study: Emerson Hospital (Dr. Marvin H. Kendrick), Framingham Union Hospital (Dr. Marvin Adner and Dr. Gerald Evans), Leonard Morse Hospital (Dr. L. Frederick Kaplan), Mount Auburn Hospital (Dr. Leonard Zir), Newton-Wellesley Hospital (Dr. James Sidd), and Waltham-Weston Hospital (Dr. Solomon Gabbay).

## REFERENCES

- Greenland S. A meta-analysis of coffee, myocardial infarction, and coronary death. Epidemiology 1993;4:366–74.
- Kawachi I, Colditz GA, Stone CB. Does coffee drinking increase the risk of coronary heart disease? Results from a meta-analysis. Br Heart J 1994;72:269–75.
- 3. Dawber TR, Kannel WB, Gordon T. Coffee and cardiovascular disease. Observations from the Framingham Study. N Engl J Med 1974;291:871–4.
- 4. Rosenberg L, Werler MM, Kaufman DW, et al. Coffee drinking and myocardial infarction in young women: an update. Am J Epidemiol 1987;126:147–9.
- La Vecchia C, Gentile A, Negri E, et al. Coffee consumption and myocardial infarction in women. Am J Epidemiol 1989; 130:481-5.
- Grobbee DE, Rimm EB, Giovannucci E, et al. Coffee, caffeine, and cardiovascular disease in men. N Engl J Med 1990; 323:1026–32.
- D'Avanzo B, La Vecchia C, Tognoni G, et al. Coffee consumption and risk of acute myocardial infarction in Italian males. GISSI-EFRIM. Gruppo Italiano per lo Studio della Sopravvivenza nell'Infarto, Epidemiologia dei Fattori di Rischio del'Infarto Miocardico. Ann Epidemiol 1993;3: 595-604.
- Palmer JR, Rosenberg L, Rao RS, et al. Coffee consumption and myocardial infarction in women. Am J Epidemiol 1995; 141:724–31.
- Willett WC, Stampfer MJ, Manson JE, et al. Coffee consumption and coronary heart disease in women. A ten-year followup. JAMA 1996;275:458-62.
- La Vecchia C, D'Avanzo B, Negri E, et al. Decaffeinated coffee and acute myocardial infarction. A case-control study in Italian women. Ann Epidemiol 1993;3:601–4.
- 11. Coffee drinking and acute myocardial infarction. Report from the Boston Collaborative Drug Surveillance Program. Lancet 1972;2:1278-81.
- Jick H, Miettinen OS, Neff RK, et al. Coffee and myocardial infarction. N Engl J Med 1973;289:63–7.
- Rosenberg L, Palmer JR, Kelly JP, et al. Coffee drinking and nonfatal myocardial infarction in men under 55 years of age. Am J Epidemiol 1988;128:570-8.
- Klatsky AL, Friedman GD, Armstrong MA. Coffee use prior to myocardial infarction restudied: heavier intake may increase the risk. Am J Epidemiol 1990;132:479–88.
- 15. Brown CA, Bolton-Smith C, Woodward M, et al. Coffee and tea consumption and the prevalence of coronary heart disease in men and women: results from the Scottish Heart Health Study. J Epidemiol Community Health 1993;47:171-5.
- Brummer P. Coronary mortality and living standard. II. Coffee, tea, cocoa, alcohol and tobacco. Acta Med Scand 1969;186:61-3.

- 17. Stensvold I, Tverdal A, Solvoll K, et al. Tea consumption. Relationship to cholesterol, blood pressure, and coronary and total mortality. Prev Med 1992;21:546-53.
- Knekt P, Jarvinen R, Reunanen A, et al. Flavonoid intake and coronary mortality in Finland: a cohort study. BMJ 1996;312:478-81.
- Hertog MG, Kromhout D, Aravanis C, et al. Flavonoid intake and long-term risk of coronary heart disease and cancer in the Seven Countries Study. Arch Intern Med 1995;155:381-6.
- Hertog MG, Feskens EJ, Hollman PC, et al. Dietary antioxidant flavonoids and risk of coronary heart disease: the Zutphen Elderly Study. Lancet 1993;342:1007–11.
- Hertog MGL. Flavinols and flavones in foods and their relation with cancer and coronary heart disease risk. The Hague: DIP-data Koninklijke Bibliotheek, 1994.
- Rimm EB, Katan MB, Ascherio A, et al. Relation between intake of flavonoids and risk for coronary heart disease in male health professionals. Ann Intern Med 1996;125:384–9.
- de Whalley CV, Rankin SM, Hoult JR, et al. Flavonoids inhibit the oxidative modification of low density lipoproteins by macrophages. Biochem Pharmacol 1990;39:1743-50.
- Negre-Salvayre A, Salvayre R. Quercetin prevents the cytotoxicity of oxidized LDL on lymphoid cell lines. Free Radic Biol Med 1992;12:101-6.
- 25. Steinberg D, Parthasarathy S, Carew TE, et al. Beyond cholesterol. Modifications of low-density lipoprotein that increase its atherogenicity. N Engl J Med 1989;320:915–24.
- Gaziano JM, Manson JE, Buring JE, et al. Dietary antioxidants and cardiovascular disease. Ann N Y Acad Sci 1992;669:249–58.
- 27. Buring JE, O'Connor GT, Goldhaber SZ, et al. Decreased HDL2 and HDL3 cholesterol, Apo A-I and Apo A-II, and increased risk of myocardial infarction. Circulation 1992;85:22-9.
- Department of Health and Human Services. Lipid Research Clinics population studies data book. Vol I. The prevalence study. Bethesda, MD: Department of Health and Human Services, 1980. (DHHS publication no. (NIH) 80–1527).
- Warnick GR, Benderson J, Albers JJ. Dextran sulfate-Mg<sup>2+</sup> precipitation procedure for quantitation of high-densitylipoprotein cholesterol. Clin Chem 1982;28:1379–88.
- National Heart and Lung Institute. Manual of laboratory operations: Lipid Research Clinics Program. Vol 1. Lipid and lipoprotein analysis. Bethesda, MD: National Heart and Lung Institute, 1974. (DHEW publication no. (NIH) 75–628).
- Schlessman JJ. Case control studies: design, conduct, analysis. New York: Oxford University Press, 1982.
- Yano K, Rhoads GG, Kagan A. Coffee, alcohol, and risk of coronary heart disease among Japanese men living in Hawaii. N Engl J Med 1977;297:405-9.
- Wilhelmsen L, Tibblin G, Elmfeldt D, et al. Coffee consumption and coronary heart disease in middle-aged Swedish men. Acta Med Scand 1977;201:547-52.
- Heyden S, Tyroler HA, Heiss G, et al. Coffee consumption and mortality. Total mortality, stroke mortality, and coronary heart disease mortality. Arch Intern Med 1978;138:1472-5.

- 35. Murray SS, Bjelke E, Gibson RW, et al. Coffee consumption and mortality from ischemic heart disease and other causes: results from the Lutheran Brotherhood Study, 1966-1978. Am J Epidemiol 1981;113:661-7.
- Rosengren A, Wilhelmsen L. Coffee, coronary heart disease and mortality in middle-aged Swedish men: findings from the Primary Prevention Study. J Intern Med 1991;230: 67-71.
- Klatsky AL, Friedman GD, Siegelaub AB. Coffee drinking prior to acute myocardial infarction. Results from the Kaiser-Permanente epidemiologic study of myocardial infarction. JAMA 1973;226:540-3.
- 38. LaCroix AZ, Mead LA, Liang KY, et al. Coffee consumption and the incidence of coronary heart disease. N Engl J Med 1986;315:977-82.
- LeGrady D, Dyer AR, Shekelle RB, et al. Coffee consumption and mortality in the Chicago Western Electric Company Study. Am J Epidemiol 1987;126:803–12.
- 40. Tverdal A, Stensvold I, Solvoll K, et al. Coffee consumption and death from coronary heart disease in middle aged Norwegian men and women. BMJ 1990;300:566-9.
- 41. Lindsted KD, Kuzma JW, Anderson JL. Coffee consumption and cause-specific mortality. Association with age at death and compression of mortality. J Clin Epidemiol 1992;45: 733-42.
- 42. Hennekens CH, Drolette ME, Jesse MJ, et al. Coffee drinking and death due to coronary heart disease. N Engl J Med 1976;294:633-6.
- Kalandidi A, Tzonou A, Toupadaki N, et al. A case-control study of coronary heart disease in Athens, Greece. Int J Epidemiol 1992;21:1074–80.
- 44. Puccio EM, McPhillips JB, Barrett-Connor E, et al. Clustering of atherogenic behaviors in coffee drinkers. Am J Public Health 1990;80:1310-13.
- 45. Kannel WB, Ellison RC. Alcohol and coronary heart disease: the evidence for a protective effect. Clin Chim Acta 1996;246:59-76.
- Ho CT, Chen Q, Shi H, et al. Antioxidative effect of polyphenol extract prepared from various Chinese teas. Prev Med 1992;21:520-5.
- 47. Laughton MJ, Evans PJ, Moroney MA, et al. Inhibition of mammalian 5-lipoxygenase and cyclo-oxygenase by flavonoids and phenolic dietary additives. Relationship to antioxidant activity and to iron ion-reducing ability. Biochem Pharmacol 1991;42:1673–81.
- Muldoon MF, Kritchevsky SB. Flavonoids and heart disease. BMJ 1996;312:458–9.
- 49. Kark JD, Friedlander Y, Kaufmann NA, et al. Coffee, tea, and plasma cholesterol: the Jerusalem Lipid Research Clinic prevalence study. Br Med J 1985;291:699–704.
- Klatsky AL, Petitti DB, Armstrong MA, et al. Coffee, tea, and cholesterol. Am J Cardiol 1985;55:577-8.
- Solvoll K, Selmer R, Loken EB, et al. Coffee, dietary habits, and serum cholesterol among men and women 35-49 years of age. Am J Epidemiol 1989;129:1277-88.