

Cognitive impairment in euthymic major depressive disorder: a meta-analysis

E. Bora^{1*}, B. J. Harrison¹, M. Yücel^{1,2} and C. Pantelis¹

¹ Melbourne Neuropsychiatry Centre, Department of Psychiatry, The University of Melbourne and Melbourne Health, VIC, Australia

² Orygen Youth Health Research Centre, The University of Melbourne, VIC, Australia

Background. There is evidence to suggest that cognitive deficits might persist beyond the acute stages of illness in major depressive disorder (MDD). However, the findings are somewhat inconsistent across the individual studies conducted to date. Our aim was to conduct a systematic review and meta-analysis of existing studies that have examined cognition in euthymic MDD patients.

Method. Following a systematic search across several publication databases, meta-analyses were conducted for 27 empirical studies that compared euthymic adult MDD patients (895 participants) and healthy controls (997 participants) across a range of cognitive domains. The influence of demographic variables and confounding factors, including age of onset and recurrent episodes, was examined.

Results. Compared with healthy controls, euthymic MDD patients were characterized by significantly poorer cognitive functions. However, the magnitude of observed deficits, with the exception of inhibitory control, were generally modest when late-onset cases were excluded. Late-onset cases demonstrated significantly more pronounced deficits in verbal memory, speed of information processing and some executive functions.

Conclusions. Cognitive deficits, especially poor response inhibition, are likely to be persistent features, at least of some forms, of adult-onset MDD. More studies are necessary to examine cognitive dysfunction in remitted psychotic, melancholic and bipolar spectrum MDD. Cognitive deficits overall appear to be more common among patients with late-onset depression, supporting the theories suggesting that possible vascular and neurodegenerative factors play a role in a substantial number of these patients.

Received 3 June 2012; Revised 31 July 2012; Accepted 6 August 2012

Key words: Cognition, late onset, major depression, memory, neuropsychology.

Introduction

Major depressive disorder (MDD) is a heterogeneous mental disorder with high prevalence. In addition to affective and vegetative symptoms, cognitive functions are often impaired in affected patients with disturbances in concentration being among the formal diagnostic criteria. Cognitive deficits seem to be more severe in patients with recurrent episodes, in late-onset elderly cases (onset after 50–65 years of age) and among patients who have psychotic or melancholic features (Gorwood *et al.* 1998; Austin *et al.* 1999; Fleming *et al.* 2004; Herrmann *et al.* 2007; Bora *et al.* 2010*b*). Cognitive impairment might also be a contributing factor that determines levels of social and

occupational impairment in different phases of MDD (Fennig *et al.* 2002; Yen *et al.* 2011).

Despite cognitive dysfunction being conceptualized as a state-related phenomenon of MDD, increasing evidence suggests that at least some of these impairments persist during illness remission (Hasselbalch *et al.* 2011). In bipolar disorder, cognitive deficits persist in euthymic patients and these are likely to be related to structural and functional brain abnormalities (Blumberg *et al.* 2003; Zimmerman *et al.* 2006; Bora *et al.* 2009; Hartberg *et al.* 2011). While MDD is a more heterogeneous condition relative to bipolar disorder as it includes non-melancholic/milder reactive forms, there may be certain cognitive trait features that also reflect underlying pathophysiological changes, primarily implicating frontal brain systems. If true, such cognitive deficits in euthymic patients might help to characterize different subtypes of depression and can give information about prognosis.

A number of studies that examined cognitive functioning in MDD patients following recovery from

* Address for correspondence: Dr E. Bora, Melbourne Neuropsychiatry Centre, Alan Gilbert Building NNF level 3, 161 Barry Street, Carlton South, VIC 3053, Australia.
(Email: boreme@gmail.com)

acute episodes provide inconsistent findings (Clark *et al.* 2005a; Paelecke-Habermann *et al.* 2005; Wang *et al.* 2006; Delaloye *et al.* 2010). Thus, not all studies report cognitive impairments and in studies examining cognition, it is not clear what cognitive domains are most impaired in euthymic patients. A meta-analytic review of the existing literature is required to identify the most consistent cognitive features of euthymic MDD patients and the relationship of putative cognitive deficits with relevant clinical factors. Our aim was to conduct a systematic review and meta-analysis of cognitive deficits in studies of euthymic MDD patients compared with healthy controls. We also set out to examine the influence of relevant clinical variables, such as illness relapse (i.e. number of episodes) and age of illness onset (i.e. early *versus* late onset) on cognitive performance.

Method

Our meta-analysis was conducted according to the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) guidelines (Moher *et al.* 2009). Potential articles were identified by a comprehensive literature search in PubMed, Scopus and PsycINFO during the period from January 1980 to December 2011. The following keywords were used: 'major depression'; 'major depressive disorder'; 'cognit*'; 'neuropsych*'; 'attention'; 'memory'; and 'executive'. The reference lists of identified published studies were also cross-checked for additional studies. Inclusion criteria for studies were that they: (1) included neuropsychological data pertaining to a euthymic adult (age >17 years) MDD patient group and a healthy control group; (2) reported sufficient data to estimate effect sizes (Cohen's *d*); and (3) used Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM) or International Classification of Diseases (ICD) criteria to diagnose MDD.

Studies examining MDD patients with co-morbid physical illness were excluded. When results from the same study population were reported in more than one study, only the study with larger samples was included. The flow chart (see online Supplementary Fig. S1) summarizes the study inclusion process. Definitions of euthymia varied between studies, with some of them relying solely on cut-off scores on depression scales while others required a minimum temporal duration (e.g. 2 weeks to 6 months) for clinical remission (Table 1). For the purposes of this study, we also define a 'strict euthymia' category (7 < HAMD or 10 < MADRS, and being remitted for at least 2 months).

Task-specific meta-analyses were conducted when at least five independent studies reported on a given task (e.g. Stroop task). In addition to task-specific

analyses, we grouped individual tasks into broader cognitive domains of 'executive function', 'working memory', 'attention', 'processing speed', 'semantic fluency', 'verbal memory' and 'visual memory'. This second step was undertaken because there were not sufficient studies to perform meta-analyses for all individual tasks (see online Supplementary Table S1). Cognitive domain scores were calculated by averaging reported effect sizes for the individual tasks listed under each domain. A separate 'planning' score was estimated within the 'executive function' domain, as planning was examined in a considerable number of studies (Table 2). We also estimated a composite measure of 'global cognition' by averaging the effect sizes across each of the cognitive domains.

Statistical analysis

Meta-analyses were performed using MIX software version 1.7 on a Windows platform (Bax *et al.* 2006). For each cognitive task, an effect size and standard error were estimated. For each study, effect sizes were calculated as the mean difference between task performance scores for MDD patients and healthy subjects divided by the pooled standard deviation. Effect sizes were weighted using the inverse variance method. We used a random-effects model (DerSimonian–Laird estimate) because the distributions of effect sizes were heterogeneous for the number of variables. The Q test was used to measure the heterogeneity of the distribution of effect sizes. When the Q test was significant ' I^2 ' – a measure of the degree of inconsistency in the studies' results – was used to quantify heterogeneity (Higgins & Thompson, 2002). I^2 estimates the percentage of total variation across studies that is due to heterogeneity rather than chance. I^2 values between 0 and 0.25 suggest small magnitudes of heterogeneity, while I^2 values in the range 0.25 to 0.50 suggest medium magnitudes and those >0.50 indicate large degrees of heterogeneity.

Publication bias was assessed by Egger's test. We also calculated homogeneity statistics using Q_{bet} to test for differences between late-onset (LOD) and earlier-onset adult depression (EOD). The LOD group comprised elderly subjects whose age of illness onset was in later life (onset after 50–65 years, depending on the study) and the EOD group was operationalized to include patients who had their first episode between the ages of 18 years to somewhere between 50 and 65 years. In some studies, samples of both EOD and LOD patients were reported. Where data were provided for both groups, these samples were analysed separately. For studies that reported both EOD and LOD in elderly patients without providing separate data for each group, the study was classified as LOD.

Meta-regression analyses were used to estimate the impact of demographic (age, gender) and clinical (number of episodes, age at illness onset, duration of illness, residual depressive symptoms, based on Hamilton Depression Rating Scale) variables on between-group differences. These meta-analyses were conducted both in the whole sample and in EOD and LOD samples separately. Meta-regression analyses (weighted generalized least squares regressions) were conducted using SPSS version 11.0 (SPSS Inc., USA). Meta-regression analyses performed with a random-effects model were conducted using the restricted-information maximum likelihood method with significance level set at $p < 0.05$.

Results

A total of 27 studies (30 samples) comparing 895 (60.7% female) patients with MDD and 993 (60.1% female) healthy controls were included in the final meta-analysis (Table 1). Of these samples, 13 included unipolar patients, while the remaining 17 samples included patients with a mixture of unipolar and single-episode patients. There were no significant differences in age between the groups [$d = 0.0$, 95% confidence interval (CI) -0.17 to 0.17 , $Z = 0.02$, $p = 0.98$].

Global cognition

Our composite measure of global cognition was significantly different between euthymic MDD patients compared with healthy controls ($d = 0.47$), with patients having lower scores in global cognition (Table 2).

There was no evidence for publication bias and the distribution of effect sizes was very homogeneous ($I^2 = 0$). When repeating analyses on the basis of more stringent criteria for remission (cut-off score and at least 2 months' duration), the magnitude of impairment remained similar ($d = 0.50$).

Cognitive domains

Healthy controls significantly outperformed euthymic MDD patients in all cognitive domains (d range 0.39 – 0.59) (Table 2). Task-specific analyses indicated that healthy controls performed significantly better than MDD patients in Stroop interference ($d = 0.74$), Trail-Making Test part A ($d = 0.39$), Trail-Making Test part B ($d = 0.48$), digit span backwards ($d = 0.41$), list learning ($d = 0.42$), list recall ($d = 0.39$), and animal naming ($d = 0.57$), but not in phonetic fluency, Wisconsin Card Sorting Test (WCST) perseveration, digit span forwards and list recognition.

There was no evidence of publication bias in any of the cognitive domains or individual tasks. The distribution of effect sizes was heterogeneous except the attention domain and three of the individual tasks (Stroop interference, digit backwards, WCST perseveration). However, the magnitudes of this heterogeneity were quite small (range $I^2 = 0$ to 0.22) for all measures.

LOD v. controls

Compared with the whole-sample analyses, specific meta-analyses in LOD patients identified more severe cognitive impairment for global cognition ($d = 0.64$) and for most cognitive domains (range of $d = 0.42$ – 1.10), with the largest effect size occurring in the domain of verbal memory (Table 2). It was not possible to conduct meta-analyses for the attention and semantic fluency domains due to a lack of sufficient studies in LOD patients. Unlike the whole-sample analyses, the distribution of effect sizes was homogeneous across all domains in LOD patients, apart from the domain of visual memory. There was no evidence of publication bias. In the LOD samples in which subjects had a mean age of onset after 60 years, cognitive deficits tended to be even more severe for global cognition ($d = 0.77$, 95% CI $= 0.36$ – 1.19 , $Z = 3.6$, $p < 0.001$) and verbal memory ($d = 1.20$, 95% CI $= 0.77$ – 1.62 , $Z = 5.5$, $p < 0.001$).

EOD v. controls

For most cognitive domains, the magnitude of cognitive deficits observed in EOD samples was notably smaller (range $d = 0.21$ – 0.54). When analyses were limited to unipolar patients, the magnitude of observed effects ($d = 0.30$ – 0.49) was very similar to that of the full EOD sample. For specific tasks, EOD patients were most prominently impaired in Stroop interference ($d = 0.82$). Consistent with the whole-sample analyses, there was significant heterogeneity of the distribution of effect sizes for most cognitive measures, but the magnitude of such heterogeneity was modest (range $I^2 = 0$ – 0.29).

EOD v. LOD

Cognitive deficits in LOD patients were significantly more severe than those in EOD patients in terms of processing speed ($Q_{\text{bet}} = 7.4$, $p < 0.01$) and verbal memory ($Q_{\text{bet}} = 30.4$, $p < 0.001$) (see online Supplementary Figs S2–S5). There were also trend level differences for global cognition ($Q_{\text{bet}} = 3.72$, $p = 0.05$) and executive function ($Q_{\text{bet}} = 3.42$, $p = 0.06$). The between-group differences for executive functions were driven by

Table 1. Characteristics of the studies included in the meta-analysis

	<i>n</i> (Female) ^a	Characteristics	Euthymia	Cognitive variables	Medications ^b
Baba <i>et al.</i> (2010)			HAMD <7	BADS	20/20 on AD
EOD	10 (3)/19 (14)	Age = 46.3 years EOD (mean age of onset = 39 years)			
LOD	10 (6)/10 (4)	Age = 69.2 years LOD (mean age of onset = 63 years)			
Beats <i>et al.</i> (1996)	19 (10)/15 (9)	Age = 73.6 years LOD (Mix)	MADRS < 10	CANTAB, letter fluency, category fluency	16/19 on medication
Behnken <i>et al.</i> (2010)	30 (17)/30 (17)	Age = 34.3 years EOD	HAMD < 8 Mean = 3.7	RCFT	29/30 on medication Mostly on AD, 15/30 on AP
Bhalla <i>et al.</i> (2006)	56 (41)/41 (24)	Age = 71.8 years LOD (Mix)	HAMD < 10 3 consecutive weeks	Digit symbol, TMT-A and -B, Executive interview, WCST, CVLT, RCFT, logical memory	Most on AD
Bhardwaj <i>et al.</i> (2010)	20 (2)/20 (3)	Age = 34.3 years EOD	HAMD < 8 ≥ 2 months	Digit symbol, WCST, digit span	On medication, no details
Biringer <i>et al.</i> (2007)	17/50	EOD	HAMD < 8	Verbal and visual memory	Most on AD
Clark <i>et al.</i> (2005a,b)	15 (11)/47 (24)	Age = 45.2 years EOD	HAMD < 9	CPT, CVLT, IDED shift task	6/15 on AD
Delaloye <i>et al.</i> (2010)	EOD 30 (24) LOD 11 (7) HC 30 (22)	Age EOD = 65.0 years Age LOD = 75.8 years LOD cut-off is 60 years	5 < GDS	CERAD word list, Stroop interference, TMT, reading span, LNS, reaction time	15/30 EOD on AD 8/11 LOD on AD
Gallassi <i>et al.</i> (2006)	33 (25)/15 (9)	Age = 66.7 years LOD (Mix)	HAMD < 7 or 11 At least 2 months	Logical memory, digit span, visual reproduction, paired associate learning	On fluoxetine or reboxetine
Herrera-Guzmán <i>et al.</i> (2010)	73 (0)/37 (0)	EOD	HAMD < 6	RAVLT, CANTAB	On SNRI or SSRI
SSRI			Mean = 0.62		
SNRI			Mean = 0.86		
Hou <i>et al.</i> (2012)	14 (0)/19 (0)	Age = 68.2 years LOD (onset > 60 years)	Euthymic over 6 months	TMT-A and -B, digit symbol, digit span, list learning-delayed recall	> 3 months medication free
Huang (2009)	13 (9)/13 (7)	EOD Age = 37.2 years	HAMD < 7	WMS-revised, WCST, CPT	All on SSRI
Jaracz <i>et al.</i> (2002)	21 (21)/17 (17)	EOD Age = 40.3 years	HAMD < 7, ≥ 6 months	WCST, letter and category fluency	
Kaneda (2009)	54 (35)/54 (35)	EOD Age = 37.7 years	HAMD < 10 In 32, HAMD < 7 Over 3 months	Digit sequencing	28/32 on AD
Li <i>et al.</i> (2010)	19 (13)/25 (19)	EOD Age = 42.6 years	HAMD < 7 ≥ 2 weeks	Tests of attentional performance, WCST, facial memory, word list	SSRI, SNRI or bupropion
Nakano <i>et al.</i> (2008)			HAMD < 7	WCST, Stroop interference, letter fluency	All on AD
Elderly	24 (18)/25 (22)	Age = 68.9 years LOD Mean onset = 63 years			
Adult	55 (23)/60 (48)	EOD Age = 45.1 years			
Neu <i>et al.</i> (2001)	27 (19)/30 (18)	EOD Age = 53.4 years	HAMD < 7 Over 6 months	RAVLT, TMT-A, category fluency, WMS visual memory	Most on AD, not clear
O'Brien <i>et al.</i> (2004)	26 (0)/40 (30)	LOD (Mix)	MADRS < 8	Letter fluency, CPT, digit span, RAVLT, Rey design learning, CANTAB	Most on AD, not clear
Palecke-Habermann <i>et al.</i> (2004)	40 (0)/20 (0) Mild and severe groups	EOD Age = 44.4 and 48.2 years	MADRS < 12 Over 3 months	BADS, Stroop interference, continuous concentration test	26/40 on medication
Pedersen <i>et al.</i> (2009)	20 (10)/20 (10)	EOD Age = 36.2 years	HAMD < 8 Mean = 3.9	Time test of selective attention, AVLT	All on AD, 12/20 on low-dose AP

Portella <i>et al.</i> (2003)	21 (0)/15 (9)	LOD Age about 70 years Onset >50 years	HAMD <9	Digit span, logical memory, WMS visual memory, digit symbol, TMT-A AVLT, TMT-A and -B	Patients on AD but stopped to take 10 days before test day 48/97 SSRI, 20/97 TCA, 17/97 mirtazapine and other AD On AD
Preiss <i>et al.</i> (2009)	97 (51)/97 (51)	Age = 46.3 years EOD	MADRS < 12 Over 2 months MADRS 1 (s.d. = 1)	Stroop interference, letter and category fluency, cancellation test CANTAB	Unmedicated Past use of medications 100/100 on AD
Trichard <i>et al.</i> (1995)	6/14	EOD	MADRS < 6 ≥ 3 months	TMT-A and -B, digit span, WCST, Hanoi tower, WAIS visual reproduction RAVLT, TMT-A and -B, digit span	Unmedicated Past use of medications
Weiland-Fiedler <i>et al.</i> (2004)	28 (18)/23 (11)	Age = 37.7 years EOD	HAMD <8		
Xu <i>et al.</i> (2012)	100 (0)/202 (0)	EOD	HAMD <8 ≥ 3 months		
Yuan <i>et al.</i> (2008)	18 (10)/14 (7)	Age = 67.3 years LOD Onset > 60 years			

HAMD, Hamilton Depression Rating Scale; BADS, Behavioral Assessment of Dysexecutive Syndrome; AD, antidepressant; EOD, early-onset depression; LOD, late-onset depression; Mix, mixed; MADRS, Montgomery-Asberg Depression Rating Scale; CANTAB, Cambridge Neuropsychological Test Automated Battery; AP, antipsychotic; TMT, Trail-Making Test; WCST, Wisconsin Card Sorting Test; CVLT, California Verbal Learning Test; RCFT, Rey-Osterrieth Complex Figure Test; CPT, Continuous Performance Test; IDEED, intra-dimensional/extra-dimensional; HC, healthy controls; GDS, Geriatric Depression Scale; CERAD, Consortium to Establish a Registry for Alzheimer's Disease; LNS, Letter-Number Sequencing; SNRI, Serotonin-norepinephrine reuptake inhibitors; SSRI, selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors; RAVLT, Rey Auditory Verbal Learning Test; WMS, Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale.

^a Depressed/control participants unless otherwise specified.

^b On medication/depressed participants.

speed-dependent tasks (Trail-Making Test, part B: $Q_{bet} = 5.2, p = 0.03$) but not in planning.

Meta-regression analyses

In meta-regression analyses, the number of episodes, duration of illness, current (i.e. residual) depressive symptoms, age and gender variables had no statistically significant influence on the nature of cognitive deficits observed in euthymic MDD patients when conducted in separate EOD and LOD. In the whole sample, older age of onset was associated with more severe verbal memory deficits ($B = 0.32, s.e. = 0.09, Z = 3.77, p = 0.0002$).

Discussion

Our meta-analytical review has demonstrated overall that cognitive deficits are evident in euthymic MDD patients. A later age of illness onset was associated with a more severe pattern of impairment. Cognitive deficits were evident across all of the domains examined, albeit with small to medium effect sizes ($d = 0.39-0.59$). The average magnitude (Cohen's d) of cognitive dysfunction in euthymic MDD patients was 0.47, indicating nearly 70% overlap of distributions of cognitive performances of MDD patients and healthy controls.

Cognitive dysfunction in euthymic MDD appears to be severe and common in certain subtypes of patients. Our findings provide strong evidence for pronounced cognitive deficits in remitted patients who had their first episode of illness late in life ($d = 0.64, 60\%$ overlap with controls), with the distribution of effect sizes being strikingly homogeneous in this population. This finding extends previous reports of cognitive differences between late-onset and early-onset MDD patients (Herrmann *et al.* 2007). These pronounced deficits might be related to progressive abnormalities in cortico-striatal-pallidal-thalamic circuits that have been identified in MDD (Rogers *et al.* 1998; Marchand & Yurgelun-Todd, 2010; Bora *et al.* 2012b) as well as vascular changes in white matter (Herrmann *et al.* 2008). Verbal memory problems might be related to a risk of future neurodegenerative disorders in some of these patients (Yeh *et al.* 2011; Vilalta-Franch *et al.* 2012). The most pronounced deficits in the LOD patients were in verbal memory ($d = 1.10, 41\%$ overlap), processing speed ($d = 0.75, 55\%$ overlap) and some aspects of executive functions, including the Trail-Making Test part B ($d = 0.88, 49\%$ overlap). These deficits statistically distinguished late- from early-onset patients. Positive correlation between verbal memory deficits and age of onset in meta-regression analyses also supported these findings.

Table 2. Mean weighted effect sizes for cognitive differences between euthymic adult MDD patients and HC participants

Test	No. of studies	MDD, <i>n</i>	HC, <i>n</i>	<i>d</i> ^a	95% CI	Z	<i>p</i>	Q test: <i>p</i>	<i>I</i> ²	Bias ^b
Global ^c	30	895	993	0.47	0.38–0.57	9.91	<0.001	0.63	0	0.51
EOD	20	663	800	0.42	0.32–0.53	7.79	<0.001	0.67	0	
Unipolar	13	431	598	0.44	0.31–0.57	6.80	<0.001	0.93	0	
LOD	10	232	233	0.64	0.45–0.84	6.42	<0.001	0.71	0	
Processing speed ^c	20	647	745	0.47	0.31–0.64	5.53	<0.001	0.005	0.07	0.77
EOD	13	463	577	0.40	0.21–0.58	4.19	<0.001	0.05	0.06	
Unipolar	9	347	476	0.49	0.34–0.64	6.39	<0.001	0.79	0	
LOD	7	165	173	0.75	0.48–1.02	5.47	<0.001	0.23	0.03	
Phonetic fluency ^d	6	151	171	0.34	−0.08 to 0.76	1.59	0.11	0.007	0.18	0.70
EOD	3	82	91	−0.11	−0.42 to 0.19	0.72	0.47	0.67	0	
LOD	3	69	80	0.73	0.42–1.05	4.57	<0.001	0.63	0	
TMT-A ^d	7	318	407	0.39	0.14–0.69	3.01	0.002	0.04	0.06	0.97
EOD	5	254	359	0.28	−0.05 to 0.62	1.66	0.10	0.02	0.08	
LOD	4	64	78	0.57	0.21–0.94	3.07	0.002	0.36	0.01	
Semantic fluency ^d	5	173	278	0.57	0.28–0.85	3.91	<0.001	0.19	0.04	0.89
EOD	4	154	263	0.51	0.31–0.71	5.03	<0.001	0.67	0	
Visual memory ^c	12	393	495	0.54	0.33–0.76	4.99	<0.001	0.03	0.06	0.80
EOD	8	294	410	0.52	0.29–0.75	4.43	<0.001	0.10	0.04	
Unipolar	6	204	343	0.40	0.22–0.58	4.28	<0.001	0.77	0	
LOD	4	99	85	0.60	0.06–1.14	2.16	0.03	0.03	0.19	
Verbal memory ^c	15	428	460	0.48	0.23–0.73	3.72	<0.001	<0.001	0.16	0.48
EOD	10	326	372	0.21	0.0–0.43	1.97	0.05	0.08	0.05	
Unipolar	7	216	285	0.30	0.09–0.51	2.74	0.006	0.31	0.01	
LOD	5	102	118	1.10	0.81–1.39	7.38	<0.001	0.53	0	
List learning ^d	11	374	376	0.40	0.11–0.69	2.67	0.008	<0.001	0.18	0.55
EOD	8	319	370	0.17	−0.08 to 0.41	1.33	0.18	0.03	0.07	
List recall ^d	9	320	361	0.42	0.09–0.75	2.50	0.01	<0.001	0.19	0.41
EOD	7	280	302	0.30	−0.09 to 0.68	1.50	0.14	<0.001	0.21	
List recognition ^d	4	133	150	0.05	−0.24 to 0.34	0.33	0.74	0.24	0.03	0.89
Executive function ^c	24	714	794	0.59	0.44–0.74	7.89	<0.001	0.02	0.05	0.08
EOD	15	515	616	0.54	0.35–0.73	5.60	<0.001	0.01	0.06	
Unipolar	10	381	434	0.49	0.29–0.69	4.80	<0.001	0.13	0.03	
LOD	9	199	208	0.71	0.50–0.91	6.70	<0.001	0.51	0	
Stroop ^d	7	166	169	0.74	0.52–0.96	6.57		0.71	0	0.92
EOD	5	131	114	0.82	0.57–1.07	6.39	6.39	0.93	0	
TMT-B ^d	5	270	362	0.48	0.14–0.81	2.80	0.005	0.009	0.10	0.63
EOD	3	227	329	0.25	−0.14 to 0.64	1.27	0.20	0.02	0.09	
LOD	3	43	63	0.88	0.46–1.30	4.13	<0.001	0.37	0	
Planning ^d	17	482	580	0.64	0.37–0.91	4.68	<0.001	<0.001	0.22	0.09
EOD	11	369	482	0.72	0.38–1.06	4.11	<0.001	<0.001	0.27	
Unipolar	8	236	367	0.64	0.30–0.98	3.70	<0.001	0.004	0.15	
LOD	5	100	105	0.44	0.04–0.85	2.17	0.03	0.10	0.10	
WCST category fluency ^d	6	239	349	0.30	−0.01 to 0.61	1.91	0.06	0.02	0.09	0.54
EOD	5	215	325	0.36	0.01–0.71	2.0	0.05	0.01	0.11	
WCST perseveration ^d	6	233	337	0.18	−0.10 to 0.46	1.25	0.21	0.07	0.06	0.21
EOD	5	109	312	0.26	−0.03 to 0.54	1.78	0.08	0.10	0.04	
Working memory ^c	14	475	496	0.39	0.20–0.57	4.10	<0.001	0.03	0.05	0.20
EOD	8	333	378	0.37	0.12–0.67	2.90	0.004	0.02	0.07	
Unipolar	5	222	319	0.38	0.07–0.69	2.38	0.02	0.06	0.07	
LOD	7	142	148	0.42	0.13–0.71	2.84	0.005	0.22	0.04	
Backwards ^d	5	200	292	0.41	0.14–0.68	3.0	0.003	0.14	0.03	
Forwards ^d	5	200	292	0.11	−0.33 to 0.55	0.49	0.63	0.02	0.18	0.80
Attention ^c	10	228	231	0.53	0.33–0.72	5.42	<0.001	0.79	0	0.08
EOD	8	189	178	0.50	0.29–0.71	4.81	<0.001	0.75	0	
Unipolar	5	95	128	0.45	0.16–0.73	3.10	0.002	0.55	0	

MDD, Major depressive disorder; HC, healthy control; CI, confidence interval; EOD, early-onset depression; LOD, late-onset depression; TMT, Trail-Making Test; WCST, Wisconsin Card Sorting Test.

^a *d* = Effect size of between-group difference.

^b Bias = *p* value of Egger's test.

^c Main cognitive domains.

^d Individual cognitive tasks.

By comparison, cognitive deficits were generally modest in euthymic patients who had their first episode of illness in early adulthood. These earlier-onset patients may be considered more representative of patients within the spectrum of 'functional' mood disorders, and therefore may be more readily comparable with other disorders, including bipolar disorder. In general, the magnitude of deficits in this subgroup of patients was less pronounced than what has been observed in bipolar disorder (Bora *et al.* 2009). One notable exception relates to the Stroop interference task to which both MDD ($d=0.82$) and bipolar disorder patients ($d=0.76$) appear to be significantly impaired. This finding suggests that deficits of psychomotor inhibitory control may be trait characteristics of mood disorders more generally. Abnormalities of the anterior cingulate cortex, which has been observed in MDD and bipolar disorder, may represent an important component of the anatomical substrate underlying these common deficits (Bora *et al.* 2010a, 2012a).

Strikingly, verbal memory impairment showed a modest deficit in earlier-onset MDD patients ($d=0.21$), suggesting that only a small minority of patients would have such deficits. In fact, a meta-analysis of first-episode MDD also found a very subtle verbal memory deficit ($d=0.13$) which was not significant (Lee *et al.* 2012). These findings contradict other evidence suggesting that hippocampus alterations are among the most robust findings in MDD (Campbell *et al.* 2004), although it must be said that the vast majority of neuroimaging studies have not compared euthymic *versus* currently ill patients. It is likely that hippocampus alterations in adult MDD patients are secondary to active stress-related processes and that such alterations might recover in fully remitted patients. Indeed, there is evidence to suggest that verbal memory impairment is related to severity of depression (McDermott & Ebmeier, 2009). It has been previously suggested that persistent verbal memory deficits might be evident in a subgroup of remitted patients with recurrent episodes (Gorwood *et al.* 2008). Our meta-analysis did not support this hypothesis as meta-regression analyses did not find a relationship between verbal memory and duration of illness/number of episodes. However, these analyses are likely to be underpowered to detect subtle effects as not all studies reported these variables.

There was a significant heterogeneity among findings in adult-onset MDD. It is likely that this heterogeneity is due to variance in the proportion of patients with potentially more severe cognitive deficits, for instance, patients with a history of psychosis or melancholic features during active episodes. In symptomatic MDD samples, these factors are associated with

more severe cognitive deficits. There were not sufficient data in remitted patients to appropriately meta-analyse the influence of these factors. Future studies are needed to examine cognitive performance in euthymic MDD patients with a history of melancholic/non-melancholic and psychotic and non-psychotic features.

One important consideration for our results relates to the definition of 'illness remission' across studies. Only a minority of the studies used rigorous criteria for defining euthymia that are comparable with the definition employed in studies of bipolar disorder. Many of the included studies reported no criteria for the temporal duration of euthymic mood. Because subthreshold depressive symptoms may negatively influence cognition, this is a relevant limitation. However, there was no significant difference in the magnitude of cognitive impairment between studies that employed more rigorous criteria compared with those that did not, which supports the generalizability of our findings. Also, cognitive deficit might be even more severe than reported here as more substantial deficits were found for LOD samples in which all subjects had age of onset after 60 years. Another limitation relates to the fact that all but two studies included patients receiving antidepressant medication and most studies did not report medication doses, which we were unable to formally examine by meta-analysis. It is clear that further studies of cognition are needed in euthymic and unmedicated MDD patients.

In conclusion, cognitive deficits in MDD are likely to represent trait characteristics of illness in some patient groups. Such deficits are more pronounced in patients who experienced their first episode of illness late in life, particularly in the domain of processing speed and verbal memory. Inhibitory control deficits are the most robust finding in adult-onset MDD. Within the broad and heterogeneous diagnostic spectrum of MDD, persistent cognitive deficits might be important functional markers of some patient groups. Longitudinal studies that are designed to assess cognition in 'at-risk' and first-episode populations across the age range will be needed to further clarify the precise nature of cognitive deficits in depression.

Supplementary material

For supplementary material accompanying this paper visit <http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S0033291712002085>.

Acknowledgements

B.J.H. was supported by a National Health and Medical Research Council of Australia (NHMRC) Clinical Career Development Award (no. 628509).

M.Y. was supported by an NHMRC Senior Research Fellowship (no. 1021973). C.P. was supported by a NHMRC Senior Principal Research Fellowship (no. 628386), a NARSAD Distinguished Investigator Award from the Brain and Behavior Research Foundation and a NHMRC Program Grant (no. 566529).

Declaration of Interest

None.

References

- Austin MP, Mitchell P, Wilhelm K, Parker G, Hickie I, Brodaty H, Chan J, Eyers K, Milic M, Hadzi-Pavlovic D (1999). Cognitive function in depression: a distinct pattern of frontal impairment in melancholia? *Psychological Medicine* **29**, 73–85.
- Baba K, Baba H, Noguchi I, Arai R, Suzuki T, Mimura M, Arai H (2010). Executive dysfunction in remitted late-life depression: Juntendo University Mood Disorder Projects (JUMP). *Journal of Neuropsychiatry and Clinical Neuroscience* **22**, 70–74.
- Bax L, Yu LM, Ikeda N, Tsuruta H, Moons KGM (2006). Development and validation of MIX: comprehensive free software for meta-analysis of causal research data. *BMC Medical Research Methodology* **6**, 50.
- Beats BC, Sahakian BJ, Levy R (1996). Cognitive performance in tests sensitive to frontal lobe dysfunction in the elderly depressed. *Psychological Medicine* **26**, 591–603.
- Behnken A, Schöning S, Gerss J, Konrad C, de Jong-Meyer R, Zwanzger P, Arolt V (2010). Persistent non-verbal memory impairment in remitted major depression – caused by encoding deficits? *Journal of Affective Disorders* **122**, 144–148.
- Bhalla RK, Butters MA, Mulsant BH, Begley AE, Zmuda MD, Schoderbek B, Pollock BG, Reynolds 3rd CF, Becker JT (2006). Persistence of neuropsychologic deficits in the remitted state of late-life depression. *American Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry* **14**, 419–427.
- Bhardwaj A, Wilkinson P, Srivastava C, Sharma M (2010). Cognitive deficits in euthymic patients with recurrent depression. *Journal of Nervous Mental Disorders* **198**, 513–515.
- Biringer E, Mykletun A, Sundet K, Kroken R, Stordal KI, Lund A (2007). A longitudinal analysis of neurocognitive function in unipolar depression. *Journal of Clinical and Experimental Neuropsychology* **29**, 879–891.
- Blumberg HP, Leung HC, Skudlarski P, Lacadie CM, Fredericks CA, Harris BC, Charney DS, Gore JC, Krystal JH, Peterson BS (2003). A functional magnetic resonance imaging study of bipolar disorder: state- and trait-related dysfunction in ventral prefrontal cortices. *Archives of General Psychiatry* **60**, 601–609.
- Bora E, Fornito A, Pantelis C, Yucel M (2012a). Gray matter abnormalities in major depressive disorder: a meta-analysis of voxel based morphometry studies. *Journal of Affective Disorders* **138**, 9–18.
- Bora E, Fornito A, Yucel M, Pantelis C (2010a). Voxelwise meta-analysis of gray matter abnormalities in bipolar disorder. *Biological Psychiatry* **67**, 1097–1105.
- Bora E, Harrison BJ, Davey CG, Yücel M, Pantelis C (2012b). Meta-analysis of volumetric abnormalities in cortico-striatal-pallidal-thalamic circuits in major depressive disorder. *Psychological Medicine* **42**, 671–681.
- Bora E, Yucel M, Pantelis C (2009). Cognitive endophenotypes of bipolar disorder: a meta-analysis of neuropsychological deficits in euthymic patients and their first-degree relatives. *Journal of Affective Disorders* **113**, 1–20.
- Bora E, Yucel M, Pantelis C (2010b). Cognitive impairment in affective psychoses. *Schizophrenia Bulletin* **36**, 112–125.
- Campbell S, Marriott M, Nahmias C, MacQueen GM (2004). Lower hippocampal volume in patients suffering from depression: a meta-analysis. *American Journal of Psychiatry* **161**, 598–607.
- Clark L, Kempton MJ, Scarnà A, Grasby PM, Goodwin GM (2005a). Sustained attention-deficit confirmed in euthymic bipolar disorder but not in first-degree relatives of bipolar patients or euthymic unipolar depression. *Biological Psychiatry* **57**, 183–187.
- Clark L, Sarna A, Goodwin GM (2005b). Impairment of executive function but not memory in first-degree relatives of patients with bipolar I disorder and in euthymic patients with unipolar depression. *American Journal of Psychiatry* **162**, 1980–1982.
- Delaloye C, Moy G, de Bilbao F, Baudois S, Weber K, Hofer F, Rago Paquier C, Donati A, Canuto A, Giardini U, von Gunten A, Stancu RI, Lazeyras F, Millet P, Scheltens P, Giannakopoulos P, Gold G (2010). Neuroanatomical and neuropsychological features of elderly euthymic depressed patients with early- and late-onset. *Journal of Neurological Sciences* **229**, 19–23.
- Fennig S, Mottes A, Richter-Levin G, Treves I, Levkovitz Y (2002). Everyday memory and laboratory memory tests: general function predictors in schizophrenia and remitted depression. *Journal of Nervous and Mental Disorders* **190**, 677–682.
- Fleming SK, Blasey C, Schatzberg AF (2004). Neuropsychological correlates of psychotic features in major depressive disorders: a review and meta-analysis. *Journal of Psychiatry Research* **38**, 27–35.
- Gallassi R, Di Sarro R, Morreale A, Amore M (2006). Memory impairment in patients with late-onset major depression: the effect of antidepressant therapy. *Journal of Affective Disorders* **91**, 243–250.
- Gorwood P, Corruble E, Falissard B, Goodwin GM (2008). Toxic effects of depression on brain function: impairment of delayed recall and the cumulative length of depressive disorder in a large sample of depressed outpatients. *American Journal of Psychiatry* **165**, 731–739.
- Hartberg CB, Sundet K, Rimol LM, Haukvik UK, Lange EH, Nesvåg R, Melle I, Andreassen OA, Agartz I (2011). Subcortical brain volumes relate to neurocognition in schizophrenia and bipolar disorder and healthy controls.

- Progress in Neuropsychopharmacology and Biological Psychiatry* 35, 1122–1130.
- Hasselbalch BJ, Knorr U, Kessing LV** (2011). Cognitive impairment in the remitted state of unipolar depressive disorder: a systematic review. *Journal of Affective Disorders* 134, 20–31.
- Herrera-Guzmán I, Gudayol-Ferré E, Herrera-Abarca JE, Herrera-Guzmán D, Montelongo-Pedraza P, Padrós Blázquez F, Peró-Cebollero M, Guàrdia-Olmos J** (2010). Major depressive disorder in recovery and neuropsychological functioning: effects of selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor and dual inhibitor depression treatments on residual cognitive deficits in patients with major depressive disorder in recovery. *Journal of Affective Disorder* 123, 341–350.
- Herrmann LL, Goodwin GM, Ebmeier KP** (2007). The cognitive neuropsychology of depression in the elderly. *Psychological Medicine* 37, 1693–1702.
- Herrmann LL, Le Masurier M, Ebmeier KP** (2008). White matter hyperintensities in late life depression: a systematic review. *Journal of Neurology, Neurosurgery and Psychiatry* 79, 619–624.
- Higgins JPT, Thompson SG** (2002). Quantifying heterogeneity in a meta-analysis. *Statistical Medicine* 21, 1539–1558.
- Hou Z, Yuan Y, Zhang Z, Bai F, Hou G, You J** (2012). Longitudinal changes in hippocampal volumes and cognition in remitted geriatric depressive disorder. *Behaviour and Brain Research* 227, 30–35.
- Huang CL** (2009). Residual cognitive deficit in adults with depression who recovered after 6-month treatment: stable versus state-dependent markers. *Journal of Clinical Medicine Research* 1, 202–206.
- Jaracz J, Borkowska A, Chlopocka-Wozniak M, Rybakowski JK** (2002). Cognitive functions in remitted unipolar female depressive patients during maintenance treatment with antidepressants. *Archives of Psychiatry and Psychotherapy* 4, 15–23.
- Kaneda Y** (2009). Verbal working memory impairment in patients with current episode of unipolar major depressive disorder and in remission. *Clinical Neuropharmacology* 32, 346–347.
- Lee RS, Hermens DF, Porter MA, Redoblado-Hodge MA** (2012). A meta-analysis of cognitive deficits in first-episode major depressive disorder. *Journal of Affective Disorders* 140, 113–124.
- Li CT, Lin CP, Chou KH, Chen IY, Hsieh JC, Wu CL, Lin WC, Su TP** (2010). Structural and cognitive deficits in remitting and non-remitting recurrent depression: a voxel-based morphometric study. *Neuroimage* 50, 347–356.
- Marchand WR, Yurgelun-Todd D** (2010). Striatal structure and function in mood disorders: a comprehensive review. *Bipolar Disorders* 12, 764–785.
- McDermott LM, Ebmeier KP** (2009). A meta-analysis of depression severity and cognitive function. *Journal of Affective Disorders* 119, 1–8.
- Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman DG; PRISMA Group** (2009). Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement. *British Medical Journal* 339, b2535.
- Nakano Y, Baba H, Maeshima H, Kitajima A, Sakai Y, Baba K, Suzuki T, Mimura M, Arai H** (2008). Executive dysfunction in medicated, remitted state of major depression. *Journal of Affective Disorders* 111, 46–51.
- Neu P, Kiesslinger U, Schlattmann P, Reischies FM** (2001). Time-related cognitive deficiency in four different types of depression. *Psychiatry Research* 103, 237–247.
- O'Brien JT, Lloyd A, McKeith I, Gholkar A, Ferrier N** (2004). A longitudinal study of hippocampal volume, cortisol levels, and cognition in older depressed subjects. *American Journal of Psychiatry* 161, 2081–2090.
- Paelecke-Habermann Y, Pohl J, Lepow B** (2005). Attention and executive functions in remitted major depression patients. *Journal of Affective Disorders* 89, 125–135.
- Pedersen A, Küppers K, Behnken A, Kroker K, Schöning S, Baune BT, Rist F, Arolt V, Suslow T** (2009). Implicit and explicit procedural learning in patients recently remitted from severe major depression. *Psychiatry Research* 169, 1–6.
- Portella MJ, Marcos T, Rami L, Navarro V, Gastó C, Salamero M** (2003). Residual cognitive impairment in late-life depression after a 12-month period follow-up. *International Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry* 18, 571–576.
- Preiss M, Kucerova H, Lukavsky J, Stepankova H, Sos P, Kawaciukova R** (2009). Cognitive deficits in the euthymic phase of unipolar depression. *Psychiatry Research* 169, 235–239.
- Rogers MA, Bradshaw JL, Pantelis C, Phillips JG** (1998). Frontostriatal deficits in unipolar major depression. *Brain Research Bulletin* 47, 297–310.
- Trichard C, Martinot JL, Alagille M, Masure MC, Hardy P, Ginestet D, Féline A** (1995). Time course of prefrontal lobe dysfunction in severely depressed in-patients: a longitudinal neuropsychological study. *Psychological Medicine* 25, 79–85.
- Vilalta-Franch J, López-Pousa S, Llinàs-Reglà J, Calvó-Perxas L, Merino-Aguado J, Garre-Olmo J** (2012). Depression subtypes and 5-year risk of dementia and Alzheimer disease in patients aged 70 years. *International Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry*. Published online: 16 May 2012. doi:10.1002/gps.3826.
- Wang CE, Halvorsen M, Sundet K, Steffensen AL, Holte A, Waterloo K** (2006). Verbal memory performance of mildly to moderately depressed outpatient younger adults. *Journal of Affective Disorders* 92, 283–286.
- Weiland-Fiedler P, Erickson K, Waldeck T, Luckenbaugh DA, Pike D, Bonne O, Charney DS, Neumeister A** (2004). Evidence for continuing neuropsychological impairments in depression. *Journal of Affective Disorders* 82, 253–258.
- Xu G, Lin K, Rao D, Dang Y, Ouyang H, Guo Y, Ma J, Chen J** (2012). Neuropsychological performance in bipolar I, bipolar II and unipolar depression patients: a longitudinal, naturalistic study. *Journal of Affective Disorders* 136, 328–339.
- Yeh YC, Tsang HY, Lin PY, Kuo YT, Yen CF, Chen CC, Liu GC, Chen CS** (2011). Subtypes of mild cognitive impairment among the elderly with major depressive disorder in remission. *American Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry* 19, 923–931.

Yen YC, Rebok GW, Gallo JJ, Jones RN, Tennstedt SL (2011). Depressive symptoms impair everyday problem-solving ability through cognitive abilities in late life. *American Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry* **19**, 142–150.

Yuan Y, Zhang Z, Bai F, Yu H, Shi Y, Qian Y, Liu W, You J, Zhang X, Liu Z (2008). Abnormal neural activity in the

patients with remitted geriatric depression: a resting-state functional magnetic resonance imaging study. *Journal of Affective Disorders* **111**, 145–152.

Zimmerman ME, DelBello MP, Getz GE, Shear PK, Strakowski SM (2006). Anterior cingulate subregion volumes and executive function in bipolar disorder. *Bipolar Disorders* **8**, 281–288.