

International Journal of Epidemiology, 2018, 689–690j doi: 10.1093/ije/dyx247 Advance Access Publication Date: 6 January 2018 Cohort Profile



Cohort Profile

Cohort Profile: Health and Ageing in Africa: A Longitudinal Study of an INDEPTH Community in South Africa (HAALSI)

F Xavier Gómez-Olivé,^{1,2,10} Livia Montana,² Ryan G Wagner,^{1,3,10} Chodziwadziwa W Kabudula,^{1,4,10} Julia K Rohr,² Kathleen Kahn,^{1,3,10} Till Bärnighausen,^{5,8} Mark Collinson,^{1,3,10} David Canning,^{2,8} Thomas Gaziano,^{6,7} Joshua A Salomon,^{2,8} Collin F Payne,² Alisha Wade,¹ Stephen M Tollman^{1,3,10} and Lisa Berkman^{1,2,8,9}*

¹MRC/Wits Rural Public Health and Health Transitions Research Unit (Agincourt), University of the Witwatersrand, Faculty of Health Sciences, Johannesburg, South Africa, ²Harvard Center for Population and Development Studies, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA, USA, ³Centre for Global Health Research, Umeå University, Umeå, Sweden, ⁴Department of Population Health, London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, London, UK, ⁵Africa Centre for Health and Population Studies, University of KwaZulu-Natal, Mtubatuba, South Africa, ⁶Division of Cardiovascular Medicine, Brigham and Women's Hospital, Boston, MA, USA, ⁷Harvard Medical School, Harvard University, Boston, MA, USA, ⁸Department of Global Health and Population, ⁹Departments of Social and Behavioral Sciences and Epidemiology, Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public Health, Boston, MA, USA and ¹⁰INDEPTH Network, East Legon, Accra, Ghana

*Corresponding author. Harvard Center for Population and Development Studies, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA, USA. E-mail: lberkman@hsph.harvard.edu

Editorial decision 29 October 2017; Accepted 2 January 2018

Why was the Cohort set up?

Population ageing is a global phenomenon. The United Nations estimates that the world population aged over 60 will have increased 3-fold from 1950 to 2050, to reach 21% of the population.¹ This compositional shift is happening fastest in low- and middle-income countries (LMIC).² South Africa in particular is undergoing a dramatic demographic and epidemiological transition, and little is known about the socioeconomic determinants or consequences of transition. This study, following important findings in previous studies in Agincourt^{3–6} and South Africa in general,^{7–9} is set up to inform us about morbidity, mortality and aetiological factors shaping these trends. Various ageing studies, including the Studies on Global Ageing and Adult Health (SAGE) and the

2015 Global Burden of Disease, found that noncommunicable diseases, driven mainly by population growth and ageing, have become leading causes of death and disability globally, including in LMIC such as South Africa.^{10–14} At the same time, the share of the population 60 and above in South Africa is estimated to increase from 7.8% in 2012 to 14.8% in 2050,¹⁵ and the population aged 50 and over living with HIV will triple by 2040.¹⁶ We established the cohort 'Health and Ageing in Africa: A Longitudinal Study of an INDEPTH Community' in South Africa (HAALSI) in the INDEPTH Health and Demographic Surveillance System (HDSS) site of Agincourt, as a harmonized sister study to the Health and Retirement Study (HRS) in the USA¹⁷ and other similar studies worldwide, including ELSA in the UK,¹⁸ TILDA in Ireland,¹⁹ SHARE in Europe,²⁰ CHARLS in China²¹ and LASI in India.²² We aim to describe biological, social and economic determinants and consequences of health and ageing in rural South Africa, as well as to build capacity to explore cross-country differences in risk factors for health and well-being.

What does the study cover?

HAALSI is an interdisciplinary study aiming to longitudinally monitor social, economic and biological risks for chronic health conditions, whether infectious or noninfectious, in a random sample of adults in Agincourt, South Africa. HAALSI focuses on cardiovascular disease, HIV, cognitive functioning and dementia, as these are of special interest in South Africa as it undergoes profound epidemiological and demographic transitions.

Who is in the cohort?

HAALSI was created to establish a population-based longitudinal cohort of men and women aged 40 and over in a rural South African community.²³ The cohort consists of 5059 people (n=2345 men and 2714 women). This relatively young starting age was chosen for two reasons. First, life expectancy at birth is low in South Africa, mainly due to HIV. Second, a central aim is to observe longitudinally the pre-disease pathways that evolve in middle age and affect later life health.

Study design

HAALSI is a population-based community observational study with longitudinal follow-up at 3-year intervals. Embedded in the study are several randomized experiments and evaluations of public policies. HAALSI includes virtually complete mortality ascertainment, using state-ofthe-art algorithms for verbal autopsies.²⁴

Study setting

The study was conducted in the Agincourt sub-district in Mpumalanga Province, South Africa, where the MRC/Wits Rural Public Health and Health Transitions Research Unit has been running the Agincourt HDSS since 1992. The HDSS conducts an annual census of all households and collects vital events for all household members (births, deaths and migration), and residency status. Sociodemographic characteristics are collected in alternating years.²³ The study area consists of 31 villages and covers approximately 450 km²; the total population is approximately 116 000 people. The primary health care system consists of six clinics, two

health centres and three district hospitals. Despite the Apartheid legacy of underdevelopment and inadequate education, the social situation of this community has improved in the past 22 years as South Africa experienced political change to a democratic governance system. However, there are still gaps in access to electricity, water and tarred roads.²³ Unemployment rates are high, leading to high rates of labour migration with reliance on remittances as an important source of income.²⁵ The demographic profile of the HAALSI cohort is typical of rural South Africa; life expectancy at older ages has improved in Agincourt as well as elsewhere in rural South Africa,^{26,27} though continued high fertility has led to overall slower compositional ageing than in the national population.²⁸

Study population

Of the 116 000 people living in the study setting, 12 875 men and women met eligibility criteria for the study: aged 40 and older as of 1 July 2014 and permanently living in the study site for 12 months preceding the 2013 HDSS census. Using these inclusion criteria, a sampling frame of the 12 875 (8974 women and 3901 men) was identified and 6281 people were randomly selected to participate in HAALSI; gender-specific sampling fractions were developed to ensure a gender-balanced cohort.

Recruitment

Sampled individuals were interviewed at home between November 2014 and November 2015. Once identified, potential participants were asked to provide informed consent in xiTsonga, the local language, or in English. Participants unable to read had a witness and used an inked fingerprint as signature.

From the selected 6281 men and women, 5059 completed home interviews; a response rate of 85.9% (Figure 1). A total of 391 (6%) of the sample were ineligible due to death or out-migration from the surveillance area before interview. Of the remaining 5890 eligible, 353 (6%) were not found, 48 (1%) were unable to participate and 430 (7%) refused (Figure 1). Those who refused to participate were more likely to be women, were younger, had more education and were more likely to be native South Africans. A brief interview with a proxy was conducted for 116 (2.3%) participants who were too ill or unable to respond to the full interview.

Follow-up

The HAALSI cohort has been contacted twice a year following baseline. At the beginning of each year, each participant is contacted by phone or home visit to verify



Figure 1. Flow-chart of HAALSI sample.

phone number, address and vital status. Approximately 6 months later, the annual HDSS census is conducted, reaching all participants who still reside in the study area. HAALSI cohort members who have permanently moved outside the study site since baseline are contacted by phone and remain in the cohort.

Deaths of HAALSI participants are identified through these biannual contacts and reported to the verbal autopsy team (VA team). The VA team visits households of every deceased person within 12 months of the death, and interviews caregivers of the deceased using a World Health Organization (WHO) standardized VA questionnaire.²⁹ Probable cause of death is established using InterVA-4.²⁴

Field team training

The HAALSI baseline field team comprised experienced local fieldworkers and supervisors. The 1-month training included study objectives, household and individual computer-assisted personal interviews (CAPI), anthropometrics, performance measurements, dried blood spot and point of care blood-based measurements, and referrals to health facilities when indicated.

Quality control and quality assurance

Data were captured via CAPI during interview. To ensure data completeness and accuracy, internal checks were embedded in the system. Study team analysts produced weekly and monthly field check tables to support fieldbased teams for continuous progress and data quality monitoring.

Ethics

The study received ethical approvals from the University of the Witwatersrand Human Research Ethics Committee (ref. M141159), the Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public Health, Office of Human Research Administration (ref. C13–1608–02) and the Mpumalanga Provincial Research and Ethics Committee.

What has been measured?

The interview lasted 2.5–3 hours and consisted of household and individual questionnaires. A summary of all data collected is presented in Table 1. The household questionnaire included a household roster, consumption, income and assets. The individual questionnaire included sociodemographic items, self-reported health and health behaviours, and performance assessments of physical and cognitive function.

We collected anthropometric measurements and biomarkers via point of care and dried blood spots. Table 2 presents detailed descriptions of devices used to take these measurements, field procedures and thresholds used to categorize these objective measures.

Although HAALSI follows HRS sister studies in balancing assessments of health and functioning with social, economic and behavioural conditions, it measures more deeply critical features of HIV/AIDS infection, cardiometabolic disorders, and family and social networks than do many comparable sister studies. The baseline assessment consists of seven sections described below.

Social conditions: early childhood, family, social networks, mobility, migration, household characteristics

HAALSI gathered information about participants' demographics and family information: age, literacy, education, religion, marital status, timing of marriage and marital dissolution. Participants were asked about living children and their sex, age and current residence; and number, age and residence of grandchildren and siblings. The interview included questions about participants' early life, place of birth, duration of residence in area, parents' union status at participant's birth, parents' current vital state, age and residence. Participants were asked about paternal schooling and occupation.

The individual interview contained a rich set of questions on social networks and social support. Formal egocentric social network structure was modelled after the United

Table 1. Data collected during household and individual interviews in the HAALSI study in Agincourt, South Africa

INDIVIDUAL

Demographics	Employment	Social conditions
Age	Employment status	Social networks, social support, interactions
Sex	Occupation	Caregiving/care receiving
Education	Wages	Psychological well-being
Marital status	Income for unemployment:	Gallup (Well-being)
Religion	Insurance	Center for Epidemiological Studies Depression scale
Nationality	Disability/pensions	Post-traumatic stress disorder
Ethnicity		Expectations
		Survival
		HIV infection
Cognition	Self-reported health	Health behaviours
Orientation	Vision and hearing	Tobacco use
Word recall	Diagnosis and treatment:	Alcohol consumption
Immediate	Hypertension	Diet (fruit, vegetables, bread, soft drinks)
Delayed	Glycaemia	International Physical Activity Questionnaire
Numeracy, number series	HIV	Vigorous, moderate exercise, sedentary activity
Self-rated memory	ТВ	Sexual behaviour
	Stroke	Morisky Medication Adherence Scale
	Angina	
	Myocardial infarction	
	High cholesterol	
	Kidney disease	
	Male circumcision	
	Brief Pain Inventory	
	Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index	
	Activities of Daily Living	
Health care utilization	Physical examinations: function	Point of care: blood assays
Type of health facility used	Blood pressure	Total cholesterol, HDL, LDL, Triglycerides
Number of visits	Pulse	Glucose
	Grip strength	Haemoglobin
	Height and weight	HIV
	Body mass index	Viral load
	Waist and hip circumference,	Antiretroviral therapy (3TC, FTC)
	Waist-hip ratio	High-sensitivity C-reactive protein
	Walk time	
	Balance	
HOUSEHOLD		
Members	Consumption	Income and assets
Age	Food	Household member employment status
Sex	Communication	Household member wages

Sex Relationship to household head Education Food Communication Transport Rent, mortgage Consumables Celebrations, funerals Education Health care Transfers (including charity) Household member employment statu Household member wages Business Subsidies, pensions Gifts Rentals Durable goods (TV, fridge . . .) Properties (dwelling, land . . .) Livestock

Tandem

Side by side

Grip strength

Point of care

Total cholesterol,

triglycerides53,54

LDL, HDL,

Smedley[©] Digital

Dynamometer

(12 - 0286)

Cardio Chek[©] PA

(Silver version)

Hand

Downloaded from https://academic.oup.com/ije/article/47/3/689/4791962 by guest on 21 August 2022

Anthropometry	Equipment	Field procedures	Thresholds: measurements		
Blood pressure ⁵⁰	Omron [©]	Three measurements, 2 min apart, after 5-min rest. Final blood pressure: average of second and third measures	Hypertension: diastolic \ge 90 OR systolic \ge 140 C hypertension medication		
Waist circumference ⁵¹	SECA [©] flexible tape	Tape at the navel, waist measured at mid distance between the iliac crest and the	Men:	Increased: \geq 94 cm AND < 102 cm; Substantially increased: \geq 12 0 cm	
		lowest rib, on a horizontal plane with participant standing	Women:	Increased: \geq 80 cm AND < 88 cm; Substantially increased: \geq 88 cm	
Hip circumference	SECA [©] flexible tape	Tape at the hip joint, circle around the widest portion of the buttocks on a horizontal plane with participant standing			
Waist/hip ratio ⁵¹		Ratio of the waist and hip measurement	Men: Women:	Increased waist/hip ratio: ≥ 0.90 Increased waist/hip ratio: ≥ 0.85	
Height	Genesis Growth Management Scale [©] , Patient Focus Africa	Measured in centimetres with one decimal point, using a height sensor placed on top of participant's head connected via infrared to the weight scale			
Weight	Genesis Growth Management Scale [©] , Patient Focus Africa	Measured in kilograms with one decimal point			
Body mass index (BMI) ⁵²		Weight in kilograms / (height in metres) ²	Underweight <18.5; normal 18 5–24	Overweight 25–29.9; obese > 30.00	
Physical Performan	ice				
Walking course	Century digital timer: Jumbo [©]	Participant walks 2.5 m, and repeats, at their usual speed using any walking aid needed	Mean walk time (5	5 m/s) = 5/(time 1 + time 2)	
Semi-tandem		Participant stands with the heel of one			

foot beside the other, touching the toe of the other foot and holds for 10 s

If semi-tandem was not completed: participant stands with both feet together, side by side, with the inside of both feet

Participant sits upright with feet flat on

and forearm parallel to the floor. Results of grip recorded in kg with one

Finger prick using PTS Panel #1710 lipid

the floor, legs uncrossed and elbow at a

90-degree angle with arm close to body

touching, and holds for 10s

If semi-tandem was completed: participant stands with the heel of one foot touching the toe of the other foot, with feet in one line and holds for 60 s i < 70 years of age, or

30 s if > 70 years

decimal point

panel test strips

Table 2. Anthropometry	, physical	performance and	point of care	measures,	procedures for	or data (collection	and thresh	lold values
------------------------	------------	-----------------	---------------	-----------	----------------	-----------	------------	------------	-------------

Low HDL < 1.19 mmol/L, high tri-

glycerides > 1.7 mmol/L

Mean of the 2 measures per hand if difference between

measures is <10 kg; strongest measure per hand if

mean difference >10 kg

High total

cholesterol >

6.21 mmol/L, high LDL > 4.1 mmol/L

(continued)

Table 2. Continued

Anthropometry	Equipment	Field procedures	Thresholds: me	easurements
Glycaemia ⁵⁵	CareSens [©] N Monitor	CareSens N blood glucose test strips	Diabetes:	$Glucose \ge 11.1 \text{ mmol/L no fasting}$ $Glucose \ge 7 \text{ mmol/L fasting}$ Glucose < 7 mmol/L on diabetes medication
Haemoglobin ⁵⁶	Hemocue [©] Hb 201 + Analyser	Finger prick using Hemocue Hb 201 + microcuvette	Men:	Normal > 12.9 g/dl; mild an- aemia \leq 12.9 g/dl and \geq 11 g/dl, moderate anaemia $<$ 11 g/dl and \geq 8 g/dl
			Women:	Normal > 11.9 g/dl, mild an- aemia \leq 11.9 g/dl and \geq 11 g/dl, moderate anaemia $<$ 11 g/dl and \geq 8 g/dl, severe anaemia $<$ 8 g/dl

States National Social Life, Health and Ageing Project (NSHAP),³⁰ in which participants nominate up to six individuals close to them and describe interactions.

Economic conditions and productivity

The household interview included: consumption and expenditures; labour income; business income; government transfers; remittances; housing characteristics; ownership of durable goods, land, livestock and financial assets; and food security. A wealth index was created from principal components analysis of household characteristics and ownership of household items, vehicles and livestock.³¹ Individual participants were asked about their own work status, working hours, income, unemployment, disability income and pensions.

Cognition and mental health

Specific measures included the eight-item Center for Epidemiological Studies Depression (CES-D) scale for depressive symptoms,³² a short screening scale for post-traumatic stress disorder³³ and Gallup World Values Survey questions on subjective well-being and life satisfaction.³⁴ In assessing cognitive functioning, we harmonized with HRS, including items on orientation, immediate and delayed recall, and numeracy.^{35,36} Domain-specific cognitive assessments developed by Humphreys for low-literacy settings were administered with tablets to about half the HAALSI cohort.³⁷

Health

This was assessed primarily by self-report. Participants were asked about doctor, nurse or other health professional diagnosis and treatment of cardiovascular and metabolic conditions (high cholesterol, high blood pressure, stroke, heart failure, angina, myocardial infarction), diabetes, tuberculosis, HIV infection and kidney disease (Table 1). Health care utilization and expenditures questions were asked. Other indices include the Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index³⁸ and Brief Pain Inventory.³⁹

Health behaviours

Participants were asked about tobacco use (present and past, quantity, frequency, duration, type of tobacco) and alcohol consumption (ever consumed, daily quantity when consumed, type of alcoholic drink and binge drinking behaviour). Show-cards and a table of equivalent alcohol units per drink were used to ensure accuracy. Show-cards were used to collect information on dietary consumption, frequency and quantity of fruit, vegetables, bread and soft drinks taken.

To capture physical activity, we administered the International Physical Activity Questionnaire (IPAQ)⁴⁰ which includes type of work, exercise (vigorous, moderate) and sedentary activity. For each activity, we enquired about amount of time spent during weekdays and weekends.

Information on sexual activity and partnerships included number and type of partners and condom use. Participants self-reported whether they had ever been tested for HIV, and disclosed their HIV status, knowledge of antiretroviral therapy (ART) and whether they were receiving ART.

Physical function and performance

Activities of daily living (ADLs) measures included difficulty in walking, eating, bathing, getting in/out of bed and using the toilet. Function measures included a 5-m timed walk and balance tests. We measured grip strength of both hands twice using the Smedley[©] Digital Hand Dynamometer (12–0286).

Anthropometry and biomarkers

We collected a comprehensive set of measures including: weight, height, hip and waist circumferences; blood pressure; and point of care and dried blood spot (DBS) assays (Table 2).

Blood pressure (systolic, diastolic) and pulse were collected three times, $2 \min$ apart, after the participant had been seated for $5 \min$, using the OMRON[©] Automatic blood pressure monitor M6W. Final blood pressure and pulse were calculated using the average of the second and third readings. Hip and waist circumferences were measured in centimetres with participants in the standing position.

Eight blood drops were collected from a finger prick. Three blood drops were used to measure: point of care total cholesterol, low-density lipoprotein (LDL) cholesterol and triglycerides (Cardio Chek[©] PA Silver version); haemoglobin (Hemocue[®] Hb 201 + Analyser); and glucose (CareSens[®] N Monitor). Five dried blood spots (DBS) on Whatman 903 TM filter paper were kept at room temperature (approximately 23°C) for 1–3 weeks and then sent to Global Labs in Durban and stored at -20°C. DBS assays measured high-sensitivity C-reactive protein (hsCRP),⁴¹ HIV status and, when HIV-positive, viral load and traces of emtricitabine (FTC) and lamivudine (3TC) using levels higher than 0.02 µg/ml for positivity for both drugs.

The HIV results were determined by first conducting Vironostika Uniform 11 (Biomeriuex, France) screening assay. If positive, confirmation was done using Roche Elecsys, USA. If the confirmatory test was positive, the final result was considered positive and viral loads were calculated and reported. In those few cases that were weakly positive, final results were considered positive and viral load calculated.

What has it found?

Sociodemographic characteristics and health

A gender comparison of sample demographic characteristics and socioeconomic conditions including age, education, nationality, employment, marital union status, household composition, consumption per capita quintile rank and household asset index quintile rank is presented in Table 3. Results show a mean age for both men and women of 61.7 years. Women attained fewer years of education compared with men, fewer women are married and more widowed compared with men. More men are employed than women, fewer women are in single-member households compared with men and more women are in households ranked lowest on household consumption per capita.

Prevalence by gender of key health conditions, self-reported diseases, behavioural risk factors, function and cognitive measures is shown in Table 4. Below we discuss specific findings related to cognitive function, sexual behaviour and HIV, physical function and cardiometabolic risk factors.

Cognition

Our approach to assessing cognition rests on both: novel assessments using tablets for low literacy and numeracy tests;³⁷ and on standard assessments (attention, immediate and delayed recall) harmonized with sister studies in the US, Mexico, China and India. HAALSI provides an opportunity to test whether educational attainment is strongly associated

Table 3. Comparison of sociodemographic characteristics bygender among HAALSI participants

N%N%Age group*40-4941817.850018.4 $50-59$ 62426.678629.0 $60-69$ 64327.466124.4 $70-79$ 44619.043215.9 $80+$ 2149.133512.3Years of education*No formal education95740.9134949.9Primary (1-7 years)83335.688332.7Some secondary (8-11 years)31413.42609.6Secondary or more (12+ years)23410.02127.8Nationality of originSouth African166370.9186568.8Mozambican/other68229.184431.2Union status*Never married1667.11244.6Separated/divorced30012.835012.9Widowed27611.8126446.6Currently married/cohabitating160268.397335.9Household composition*25711.028110.3Living in 3-6 person household105545.0138351.0Living in 7+ person household105545.013.8351.0Living in 7+ person household170973.1201074.2Homemaker1868.033512.4Household consumption per capita*Quintile 1 (lowest)51321.4544Quintile 244418.958021	Variables	Ma	ale	Female	
Age group* $40-49$ 418 17.8 500 18.4 $50-59$ 624 26.6 786 29.0 $60-69$ 643 27.4 661 24.4 $70-79$ 446 19.0 432 15.9 $80+$ 214 9.1 335 12.3 Years of education* $80+$ 214 9.1 No formal education 957 40.9 1349 49.9 Primary $(1-7$ years) 833 35.6 883 32.7 Some secondary ($8-11$ years) 314 13.4 260 9.6 Secondary or more $(12+$ years) 234 10.0 212 7.8 Nationality of origin 802 29.1 South African 1663 70.9 1865 68.8 Mozambican/other 682 29.1 844 31.2 Union status* 276 11.8 1264 Widowed 276 11.8 1264 46.6 Currently married/cohabitating 1602 68.3 97.3 35.9 Household composition* 11.0 281 10.3 Living alone 330 14.0 204 7.5 11.8 264 46.6 Uning in 7+ person household 1055 45.0 1383 51.0 13.4 Unemployed 1709 73.1 2010 74.2 Homemaker 186 8.0 335 <		N	%	N	%
40-49 418 17.8 500 18.4 $50-59$ 624 26.6 786 29.0 $60-69$ 643 27.4 661 24.4 $70-79$ 446 19.0 432 15.9 $80+$ 214 9.1 335 12.3 Years of education* 957 40.9 1349 49.9 Primary $(1-7$ years) 833 35.6 883 32.7 Some secondary ($8-11$ years) 314 13.4 260 9.6 Secondary or more $(12+$ years) 234 10.0 212 7.8 Nationality of origin 500 1865 68.8 Mozambican/other 682 29.1 844 31.2 Union status* $Never married$ 166 7.1 124 46.6 Currently married/cohabitating 1602 68.3 973 35.9 Household composition* 11.8 1264 46.6 Currently married/cohabitating 1602 68.3 973 35.9 Household composition* 11.8 1264 46.6 Living in 3-6 person household 1055 45.0 1383 51.0 Living in 7+ person household 1055 45.0 138.3 51.0 Living in 7+ person household 703 30.0 846 31.2 Employed 443 18.9 362 13.4 Unemployed 1709 73.1 2010 74.2 Homemaker 186 8.0 335	Age group*				
50-59 624 26.6 786 29.0 $60-69$ 643 27.4 661 24.4 $70-79$ 446 19.0 432 15.9 $80+$ 214 9.1 335 12.3 Years of education* 957 40.9 1349 49.9 Primary (1-7 years) 833 35.6 883 32.7 Some secondary (8-11 years) 314 13.4 260 9.6 Secondary or more ($12+$ years) 234 10.0 212 7.8 Nationality of origin 502 29.1 844 31.2 South African 1663 70.9 1865 68.8 Mozambican/other 682 29.1 844 31.2 Union status* $Never married$ 166 7.1 124 4.66 Currently married/cohabitating 1602 68.3 973 35.9 Household composition* 11.8 1264 46.6 Living alone 330 14.0 204 7.5 Living in 3-6 person household 1055 45.0 1383 51.0 Living in 7+ person household 703 30.0 846 31.2 Employed 443 18.9 362 13.4 Unemployed 1709 73.1 2010 74.2 Homemaker 186 8.0 335 12.4 Household consumption per capita*' $Quintile 1$ $Quintile 3$ 468 20.0 553 20.4 Quintile	40-49	418	17.8	500	18.4
60-69 643 27.4 661 24.4 $70-79$ 446 19.0 432 15.9 $80+$ 214 9.1 335 12.3 Years of education* </td <td>50-59</td> <td>624</td> <td>26.6</td> <td>786</td> <td>29.0</td>	50-59	624	26.6	786	29.0
70-7944619.043215.9 $80+$ 2149.133512.3Years of education*95740.9134949.9Primary (1-7 years)83335.688332.7Some secondary (8-11 years)31413.42609.6Secondary or more (12+ years)23410.02127.8Nationality of origin5000186568.8Mozambican/other68229.184431.2Union status*1667.11244.6Separated/divorced30012.835012.9Widowed27611.8126446.6Currently married/cohabitating160268.397335.9Household composition*102545.0138351.0Living alone33014.02047.57.5Living in 3-6 person household105545.0138351.0Living in 7+ person household70330.084631.2Employed44318.936213.4Unemployed170973.1201074.2Homemaker1868.033512.4Household consumption per capita*21.458021.4Quintile 1 (lowest)51321.947917.7Household asset index21.454420.0Quintile 245519.454620.1Quintile 345019.254119.9 <t< td=""><td>60–69</td><td>643</td><td>27.4</td><td>661</td><td>24.4</td></t<>	60–69	643	27.4	661	24.4
80+ 214 9.1 335 12.3 Years of education* 957 40.9 1349 49.9 Primary (1–7 years) 833 35.6 883 32.7 Some secondary (8–11 years) 314 13.4 260 9.6 Secondary or more (12+ years) 234 10.0 212 7.8 Nationality of origin 500 862 29.1 844 31.2 Union status* 1663 70.9 1865 68.8 Mozambican/other 682 29.1 844 31.2 Union status* Never married 166 7.1 124 4.6 Separated/divorced 300 12.8 350 12.9 Widowed 276 11.8 1264 46.6 Currently married/cohabitating 1602 68.3 973 35.9 Household composition* Living alone 257 11.0 281 10.3 Living in 3–6 person household 1055 45.0 1383 51.0 Living in 7+ person household 703 30.0 846<	70–79	446	19.0	432	15.9
Years of education*No formal education95740.9134949.9Primary (1–7 years)83335.688332.7Some secondary (8–11 years)31413.42609.6Secondary or more (12+ years)23410.02127.8Nationality of origin	80+	214	9.1	335	12.3
No formal education95740.9134949.9Primary (1–7 years)83335.688332.7Some secondary (8–11 years)31413.42609.6Secondary or more (12+ years)23410.02127.8Nationality of origin	Years of education*				
Primary (1–7 years)833 35.6 883 32.7 Some secondary (8–11 years) 314 13.4 260 9.6 Secondary or more (12+ years) 234 10.0 212 7.8 Nationality of origin	No formal education	957	40.9	1349	49.9
Some secondary (8–11 years) 314 13.4 260 9.6 Secondary or more (12+ years) 234 10.0 212 7.8 Nationality of origin $5000000000000000000000000000000000000$	Primary (1–7 years)	833	35.6	883	32.7
Secondary or more $(12 + years)$ 23410.02127.8Nationality of originSouth African166370.9186568.8Mozambican/other68229.184431.2Union status*1667.11244.6Separated/divorced30012.835012.9Widowed27611.8126446.6Currently married/cohabitating160268.397335.9Household composition*11.028110.3Living alone33014.02047.57.5Living in 3–6 person household105545.0138351.0Living in 7+ person household70330.084631.2Employed44318.936213.4Unemployed170973.1201074.2Household consumption per capita*20.421.4Quintile 1 (lowest)45619.559121.8Quintile 244418.958021.4Quintile 346820.055320.4Quintile 446419.851118.8Quintile 5 (highest)51321.947917.7Household asset index21.454620.1Quintile 1 (lowest)50221.454620.1Quintile 345019.254119.9Quintile 445719.555020.3	Some secondary (8–11 years)	314	13.4	260	9.6
Nationality of originSouth African1663 70.9 1865 68.8 Mozambican/other 682 29.1 844 31.2 Union status* 822 29.1 844 31.2 Union status* 166 7.1 124 4.6 Separated/divorced 300 12.8 350 12.9 Widowed 276 11.8 1264 46.6 Currently married/cohabitating 1602 68.3 973 35.9 Household composition* 11.0 281 10.3 Living alone 330 14.0 204 7.5 Living with 1 other person 257 11.0 281 10.3 Living in 3–6 person household 1055 45.0 1383 51.0 Living in 7+ person household 703 30.0 846 31.2 Employment status* 443 18.9 362 13.4 Unemployed 1709 73.1 2010 74.2 Household consumption per capita* 20.0 553 20.4 Quintile 1 (lowest) 456 19.5 591 21.8 Quintile 3 468 20.0 553 20.4 Quintile 4 464 19.8 511 18.8 Quintile 5 (highest) 513 21.9 479 17.7 Household asset index 20.4 455 19.4 546 20.1 Quintile 1 (lowest) 502 21.4 544 20.0	Secondary or more $(12 + years)$	234	10.0	212	7.8
South African166370.9186568.8Mozambican/other68229.184431.2Union status* (62) 29.184431.2Never married1667.11244.6Separated/divorced30012.835012.9Widowed27611.8126446.6Currently married/cohabitating160268.397335.9Household composition*105545.0138351.0Living alone33014.02047.5Living in 3–6 person household105545.0138351.0Living in 7+ person household70330.084631.2Employment status*Employed44318.936213.4Unemployed170973.1201074.2Homemaker1868.033512.4Household consumption per capita*Quintile 1 (lowest)45619.559121.8Quintile 346820.055320.4Quintile 446419.851118.8Quintile 445619.55917.77.77.77.77.77.7Household asset index $(1,0)$ 50221.454420.02.02.1Quintile 445519.454620.12.12.19.92.1Quintile 1 (lowest)50221.454420.02.12.41.99.92.42.4 </td <td>Nationality of origin</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Nationality of origin				
Mozambican/other 682 29.1 844 31.2 Union status* Never married 166 7.1 124 4.6 Separated/divorced 300 12.8 350 12.9 Widowed 276 11.8 1264 46.6 Currently married/cohabitating 1602 68.3 973 35.9 Household composition* Living alone 330 14.0 204 7.5 Living with 1 other person 257 11.0 281 10.3 Living in 3–6 person household 1055 45.0 1383 51.0 Living in 7+ person household 703 30.0 846 31.2 Employment status* Employed 443 18.9 362 13.4 Unemployed 1709 73.1 2010 74.2 Homemaker 186 8.0 335 12.4 Household consumption per capita* Quintile 1 (lowest) 513 21.4 Quintile 2 4444 18.9 580	South African	1663	70.9	1865	68.8
Union status*Never married1667.11244.6Separated/divorced30012.835012.9Widowed27611.8126446.6Currently married/cohabitating160268.397335.9Household composition*110268.397335.9Living alone33014.02047.5Living with 1 other person25711.028110.3Living in 3-6 person household105545.0138351.0Living in 7+ person household70330.084631.2Employment status*Employed44318.936213.4Unemployed170973.1201074.2Homemaker1868.033512.4Household consumption per capita*Quintile 1 (lowest)45619.559121.8Quintile 244418.958021.418.8Quintile 346820.055320.4Quintile 446419.851118.8Quintile 5 (highest)51321.947917.7Household asset indexQuintile 1 (lowest)50221.454420.0Quintile 1 (lowest)50221.454420.0Quintile 1 (lowest)50221.454420.0Quintile 345019.254119.9Quintile 445719.555020.3	Mozambican/other	682	29.1	844	31.2
Never married1667.11244.6Separated/divorced30012.835012.9Widowed27611.8126446.6Currently married/cohabitating160268.397335.9Household composition*110268.397335.9Living alone33014.02047.5Living with 1 other person25711.028110.3Living in 3–6 person household105545.0138351.0Living in 7+ person household70330.084631.2Employment status*Employed44318.936213.4Unemployed170973.1201074.2Household consumption per capita*Quintile 1 (lowest)45619.559121.8Quintile 244418.958021.4Quintile 320.055320.4Quintile 446419.851118.8R18.717.7Household asset indexUnemploy50221.454420.0Quintile 1 (lowest)50221.454420.020.0Quintile 1 (lowest)50221.454420.020.0Quintile 1 (lowest)50221.454420.020.0Quintile 1 (lowest)50221.454420.020.0Quintile 345019.254119.920.3Quintile 445719.555020.3<	Union status*				
Separated/divorced 300 12.8 350 12.9 Widowed 276 11.8 1264 46.6 Currently married/cohabitating 1602 68.3 973 35.9 Household composition* 11.0 281 10.3 Living alone 330 14.0 204 7.5 Living with 1 other person 257 11.0 281 10.3 Living in 3–6 person household 1055 45.0 1383 51.0 Living in 7+ person household 703 30.0 846 31.2 Employment status* Employed 443 18.9 362 13.4 Unemployed 1709 73.1 2010 74.2 Household consumption per capita* Quintile 1 (lowest) 456 19.5 591 21.8 Quintile 2 444 18.9 580 21.4 Quintile 3 468 20.0 553 20.4 Quintile 4 464 19.8 511 18.8	Never married	166	7.1	124	4.6
Widowed 276 11.8 1264 46.6 Currently married/cohabitating 1602 68.3 973 35.9 Household composition* 11.0 204 7.5 Living alone 330 14.0 204 7.5 Living with 1 other person 257 11.0 281 10.3 Living in 3–6 person household 1055 45.0 1383 51.0 Living in 7+ person household 703 30.0 846 31.2 Employment status* Employed 443 18.9 362 13.4 Unemployed 1709 73.1 2010 74.2 Household consumption per capita* Quintile 1 (lowest) 456 19.5 591 21.8 Quintile 2 444 18.9 580 21.4 Quintile 3 468 20.0 553 20.4 Quintile 4 464 19.8 511 18.8 Quintile 5 (highest) 513 21.9 479 17.7 Household asset index 20.0 21.4 544 20.0 <t< td=""><td>Separated/divorced</td><td>300</td><td>12.8</td><td>350</td><td>12.9</td></t<>	Separated/divorced	300	12.8	350	12.9
Currently married/cohabitating 1602 68.3 973 35.9 Household composition* 1002 68.3 973 35.9 Living alone 330 14.0 204 7.5 Living with 1 other person 257 11.0 281 10.3 Living in 3–6 person household 1055 45.0 1383 51.0 Living in 7+ person household 703 30.0 846 31.2 Employment status* Employed 443 18.9 362 13.4 Unemployed 1709 73.1 2010 74.2 Homemaker 186 8.0 335 12.4 Household consumption per capita* Quintile 1 (lowest) 456 19.5 591 21.8 Quintile 2 444 18.9 580 21.4 Quintile 3 468 20.0 553 20.4 Quintile 4 464 19.8 511 18.8 Quintile 5 (highest) 513 21.9 479 17.7 </td <td>Widowed</td> <td>276</td> <td>11.8</td> <td>1264</td> <td>46.6</td>	Widowed	276	11.8	1264	46.6
Household composition* Living alone 330 14.0 204 7.5 Living with 1 other person 257 11.0 281 10.3 Living in 3–6 person household 1055 45.0 1383 51.0 Living in 7+ person household 703 30.0 846 31.2 Employment status* Employed 443 18.9 362 13.4 Unemployed 1709 73.1 2010 74.2 Homemaker 186 8.0 335 12.4 Household consumption per capita* Quintile 1 (lowest) 456 19.5 591 21.8 Quintile 2 444 18.9 580 21.4 Quintile 3 468 20.0 553 20.4 Quintile 4 464 19.8 511 18.8 Quintile 5 (highest) 513 21.9 479 17.7 Household asset index Unitile 1 (lowest) 502 21.4 544 20.0 Quintile 1 (lowest) 502 21.4 544 20.1 20.1 Quin	Currently married/cohabitating	1602	68.3	973	35.9
Living alone 330 14.0 204 7.5 Living with 1 other person 257 11.0 281 10.3 Living in 3–6 person household 1055 45.0 1383 51.0 Living in 7+ person household 703 30.0 846 31.2 Employment status* Employed 443 18.9 362 13.4 Unemployed 1709 73.1 2010 74.2 Homemaker 186 8.0 335 12.4 Household consumption per capita* Quintile 1 (lowest) 456 19.5 591 21.8 Quintile 2 444 18.9 580 21.4 Quintile 3 468 20.0 553 20.4 Quintile 4 464 19.8 511 18.8 Quintile 5 (highest) 513 21.9 479 17.7 Household asset index 20.0 20.0 21.4 544 20.0 Quintile 1 (lowest) 502 21.4 544 20.0 Quintile 2 455 19.4 546 20.1	Household composition*				
Living with 1 other person 257 11.0 281 10.3 Living in 3–6 person household 1055 45.0 1383 51.0 Living in 7+ person household 703 30.0 846 31.2 Employment status* Employed 443 18.9 362 13.4 Unemployed 1709 73.1 2010 74.2 Homemaker 186 8.0 335 12.4 Household consumption per capita* Quintile 1 (lowest) 456 19.5 591 21.8 Quintile 2 444 18.9 580 21.4 Quintile 3 468 20.0 553 20.4 Quintile 4 464 19.8 511 18.8 Quintile 5 (highest) 513 21.9 479 17.7 Household asset index Unintile 1 (lowest) 502 21.4 544 20.0 Quintile 1 (lowest) 502 21.4 546 20.1 Quintile 3 450 19.2 541 19.9 Quintile 4 457 19.5 550 <	Living alone	330	14.0	204	7.5
Living in 3–6 person household 1055 45.0 1383 51.0 Living in 7+ person household 703 30.0 846 31.2 Employment status* 2010 74.2 100 74.2 Homemaker 186 8.0 335 12.4 Household consumption per capita* 2010 74.2 Quintile 1 (lowest) 456 19.5 591 21.8 Quintile 2 444 18.9 580 21.4 Quintile 3 468 20.0 553 20.4 Quintile 4 464 19.8 511 18.8 Quintile 5 (highest) 513 21.9 479 17.7 Household asset index 20.0 20.4 24.4 20.0 Quintile 1 (lowest) 502 21.4 544 20.0 Quintile 3 450 19.2 541 19.9 Quintile 3 450 19.2 541 19.9 Quintile 4 457 19.5 550 20.3	Living with 1 other person	2.57	11.0	281	10.3
Living in 7+ person household 703 30.0 846 31.2 Employment status* 443 18.9 362 13.4 Unemployed 1709 73.1 2010 74.2 Homemaker 186 8.0 335 12.4 Household consumption per capita* 2 444 18.9 580 21.4 Quintile 1 (lowest) 456 19.5 591 21.8 21.4 Quintile 2 444 18.9 580 21.4 Quintile 3 468 20.0 553 20.4 Quintile 4 464 19.8 511 18.8 Quintile 5 (highest) 513 21.9 479 17.7 Household asset index 2 21.4 544 20.0 Quintile 1 (lowest) 502 21.4 544 20.0 Quintile 2 455 19.4 546 20.1 Quintile 3 450 19.2 541 19.9 Quintile 4 457 19.5 550 20.3	Living in 3–6 person household	1055	45.0	1383	51.0
Employment status* Employed 443 18.9 362 13.4 Unemployed 1709 73.1 2010 74.2 Homemaker 186 8.0 335 12.4 Household consumption per capita*	Living in 7+ person household	703	30.0	846	31.2
Employed 443 18.9 362 13.4 Unemployed 1709 73.1 2010 74.2 Homemaker 186 8.0 335 12.4 Household consumption per capita* 2010 74.2 Quintile 1 (lowest) 456 19.5 591 21.8 Quintile 2 444 18.9 580 21.4 Quintile 3 468 20.0 553 20.4 Quintile 4 464 19.8 511 18.8 Quintile 5 (highest) 513 21.9 479 17.7 Household asset index 20.0 21.4 544 20.0 Quintile 1 (lowest) 502 21.4 544 20.0 Quintile 2 455 19.4 546 20.1 Quintile 3 450 19.2 541 19.9 Quintile 4 457 19.5 550 20.3	Employment status*				
Unemployed 1709 73.1 2010 74.2 Homemaker 186 8.0 335 12.4 Household consumption per capita* 2010 74.2 Quintile 1 (lowest) 456 19.5 591 21.8 Quintile 2 444 18.9 580 21.4 Quintile 3 468 20.0 553 20.4 Quintile 4 464 19.8 511 18.8 Quintile 5 (highest) 513 21.9 479 17.7 Household asset index 20.0 20.0 20.0 20.0 Quintile 1 (lowest) 502 21.4 544 20.0 Quintile 2 455 19.4 546 20.1 Quintile 3 450 19.2 541 19.9 Quintile 4 457 19.5 550 20.3	Employed	443	18.9	362	13.4
Homemaker 186 8.0 335 12.4 Household consumption per capita* 200 591 21.8 Quintile 1 (lowest) 456 19.5 591 21.8 Quintile 2 444 18.9 580 21.4 Quintile 3 468 20.0 553 20.4 Quintile 4 464 19.8 511 18.8 Quintile 5 (highest) 513 21.9 479 17.7 Household asset index 20.0 21.4 544 20.0 Quintile 1 (lowest) 502 21.4 544 20.0 Quintile 2 455 19.4 546 20.1 Quintile 3 450 19.2 541 19.9 Quintile 4 457 19.5 550 20.3	Unemployed	1709	73.1	2010	74.2
Household consumption per capita* Quintile 1 (lowest) 456 19.5 591 21.8 Quintile 2 444 18.9 580 21.4 Quintile 3 468 20.0 553 20.4 Quintile 4 464 19.8 511 18.8 Quintile 5 (highest) 513 21.9 479 17.7 Household asset index	Homemaker	186	8.0	335	12.4
Quintile 1 (lowest) 456 19.5 591 21.8 Quintile 2 444 18.9 580 21.4 Quintile 3 468 20.0 553 20.4 Quintile 4 464 19.8 511 18.8 Quintile 5 (highest) 513 21.9 479 17.7 Household asset index	Household consumption per capita	*	0.0	000	
Quintile 2 444 18.9 580 21.4 Quintile 3 468 20.0 553 20.4 Quintile 4 464 19.8 511 18.8 Quintile 5 (highest) 513 21.9 479 17.7 Household asset index 9 20.0 544 20.0 Quintile 1 (lowest) 502 21.4 544 20.0 Quintile 2 455 19.4 546 20.1 Quintile 3 450 19.2 541 19.9 Quintile 4 457 19.5 550 20.3	Ouintile 1 (lowest)	456	19.5	591	21.8
Quintile 3 468 20.0 553 20.4 Quintile 4 464 19.8 511 18.8 Quintile 5 (highest) 513 21.9 479 17.7 Household asset index 9 21.4 544 20.0 Quintile 1 (lowest) 502 21.4 544 20.0 Quintile 2 455 19.4 546 20.1 Quintile 3 450 19.2 541 19.9 Quintile 4 457 19.5 550 20.3	Quintile 2	444	18.9	580	21.4
Quintile 4 464 19.8 511 18.8 Quintile 5 (highest) 513 21.9 479 17.7 Household asset index 2000 21.4 544 20.0 Quintile 1 (lowest) 502 21.4 544 20.0 Quintile 2 455 19.4 546 20.1 Quintile 3 450 19.2 541 19.9 Quintile 4 457 19.5 550 20.3	Quintile 3	468	20.0	553	20.4
Quintile 5 (highest) 513 21.9 479 17.7 Household asset index 200 21.4 544 20.0 Quintile 1 (lowest) 502 21.4 544 20.0 Quintile 2 455 19.4 546 20.1 Quintile 3 450 19.2 541 19.9 Quintile 4 457 19.5 550 20.3	Quintile 4	464	19.8	511	18.8
Quintile 3 (lighted) 515 2115 175 177 Household asset index Quintile 1 (lowest) 502 21.4 544 20.0 Quintile 2 455 19.4 546 20.1 Quintile 3 450 19.2 541 19.9 Quintile 4 457 19.5 550 20.3	Quintile 5 (highest)	513	21.9	479	17.7
Quintile 1 (lowest) 502 21.4 544 20.0 Quintile 2 455 19.4 546 20.1 Quintile 3 450 19.2 541 19.9 Quintile 4 457 19.5 550 20.3	Household asset index	515	21.9	172	1/./
Quintile 2 455 19.4 546 20.1 Quintile 3 450 19.2 541 19.9 Quintile 4 457 19.5 550 20.3	Quintile 1 (lowest)	502	21.4	544	20.0
Quintile 3 450 19.2 541 19.9 Quintile 4 457 19.5 550 20.3	Quintile 2	455	19.4	546	20.1
Quintile 4 457 19.5 550 20.3	Quintile 3	450	19.2	541	19.9
Quintine	Quintile 4	457	19.5	550	20.3
Ouintile 5 (highest) 481 20.5 533 19.6	Quintile 5 (highest)	481	20.5	533	19.6

Missing data for years of education: 17, nationality of origin: 5, union status: 4 and employment status: 14.

^{*}Chi-square *P*-value < 0.001.

with cognition—as has been reported in many other countries^{42–44}—in a setting where many people could not attend school. We report that a higher proportion of people with no formal education have low cognitive function in a number of domains compared with their counterparts with any formal education, regardless of age.³⁷ In an analysis of early life

Indicators	Ma	Male		Female	
	N	(%)	N	(%)	
HIV-positive ^a	483	(23.0)	565	(22.9)	
Hypertension ^b					
Self-reported medication or systolic \geq 140 or diastolic \geq 90 mmHg**	1227	(54.3)	1616	(61.3)	
Self-reported high blood pressure or systolic \geq 140 or diastolic \geq 90 mmHg**	1319	(58.4)	1768	(67.1)	
Mean systolic BP	137.9		138.1		
Mean diastolic BP	81.9		82.3		
Diabetes ^c					
Self-reported medication or glucose $\geq 11.1 \text{ mmol/L}^*$	153	(7.2)	219	(8.8)	
Self-reported medication or glucose > 7 mmol/L fasting or \geq 11.1 mmol/L*	197	(9.3)	276	(11.1)	
Self-reported diabetic or glucose > 7 mmol/L fasting or \geq 11.1 mmol/L*	224	(10.5)	309	(12.4)	
Anthropometric measurements					
Overweight (BMI \geq 25–29.9) or obese (BMI \geq 30) ^d **	948	(44.0)	1751	(69.6)	
High waist circumference (men ≥ 94 cm; women ≥ 80 cm) ^e **	725	(32.9)	2128	(83.7)	
High waist/hip ratio (men ≥ 0.90 ; women ≥ 0.85) ^{f**}	1351	(61.6)	1859	(73.4)	
Lipids					
High cholesterol $\geq 6.21 \text{ mmol/L})^{\text{g}**}$	79	(4.2)	196	(8.5)	
High triglycerides (>2.25 mmol/L) ^h	388	(20.4)	504	(21.8)	
High LDL $(>4.1 \text{ mmol/L})^{i_{**}}$	36	(2.1)	105	(5.0)	
Low HDL (<1.19 mmol/L) ^{j**}	594	(31.3)	520	(22.5)	
C-reactive protein ^k					
Elevated CRP (>2 mg/dl)**	1009	(51.5)	1387	(59.2)	
Anaemia ¹					
Moderate (<11 g/dl-≥8 g/dl)/severe anaemia (<8 g/dl)**	235	(11.4)	544	(22.5)	
Self-reported measures ^m					
High cholesterol	10	(0.5)	20	(0.7)	
High blood pressure**	797	(34.0)	1321	(48.7)	
Stroke	64	(2.7)	85	(3.1)	
Heart failure	12	(0.5)	21	(0.8)	
Angina*	42	(1.8)	77	(2.8)	
Myocardial infarction	10	(0.4)	11	(0.4)	
Diabetes	145	(6.2)	192	(7.1)	
Tuberculosis**	258	(11.0)	188	(6.9)	
Kidney disease	97	(4.1)	117	(4.3)	
Behavioural risk factors ^m					
Currently drinks alcohol**	912	(38.9)	259	(9.6)	
Currently uses tobacco**	450	(19.2)	10	(0.4)	
Physical function ⁿ					
Mean walk time (s/5 m)**	12.7		13.5		
Activities of daily living (ADL)	210	(9.0)	246	(9.1)	
Mean grip strength (kg)**	28.6		20.9		

Table 4. Prevalence of cardiovascular risk factors, self-reported cardiovascular diseases, behavioural risk factors and physical function

BP, blood pressure.

^a4560 consented to HIV testing and had valid dried blood spot results.

^d4670 had valid height and weight measurements.

^e4744 had a valid waist measurement.

^f4728 had valid hip and waist measurements.

^g4195 had a valid cholesterol reading.

^h4214 had a valid triglyceride reading.

ⁱ3820 had a valid LDL cholesterol reading.

^j4212 had a valid HDL cholesterol reading.

^k4302 had CRP dried blood spot results.

¹4493 had valid haemoglobin results.

^mQuestionnaire responses were missing for: high cholesterol (6), high blood pressure (4), stroke (3), heart failure (3), angina (4), myocardial infarction (3), diabetes (6), tuberculosis (7), kidney disease (5), currently drinks alcohol (3), currently uses tobacco (5).

ⁿWalk time assessed for 4694 and grip strength assessed for 4699.

*Chi-square/t-test *P*-value < 0.05; **chi-square/t-test *P*-value < 0.001.

^b4895 had blood pressure readings.

^c4626 had glucose biomarker results.

conditions, older adults with poor self-reported childhood health or whose father worked in unskilled manual labour had relatively poor cognitive outcomes.⁴⁵ These findings suggest that education can provide cognitive reserve, even in a setting where access to education was restricted.

Sexual behaviour and HIV

A recent HAALSI study⁴⁶ reports that many older adults are still sexually active. In contrast to stereotypes, more than half of HAALSI participants (57%) reported at least one sex partner in the past 2 years. The proportion was higher among men (77%) compared with women (40%), and generally decreased with age. Over one in 10 of these recent partners (12%) were classified as either casual or anonymous, and only a quarter of participants (25%) reported ever using condoms with their most recent partner. In an HIV-hyperendemic community like the Agincourt study area—with 23% HIV prevalence in this sample—these sexual behaviours are consistent with both HIV transmission risk and HIV acquisition risk.

Physical function

Measured physical performance in the HAALSI sample was associated with socioeconomic conditions—higher school attainment and increased household wealth were both strongly associated with higher hand grip strength and faster gait speed.⁴⁷ In order to place the HAALSI cohort in international context, we compared its functioning and self-reported physical health with HRS and sister studies in Mexico and China. HAALSI respondents had better self-reported health and lower rates of reported ADL limitation than most other countries.⁴⁷ However, the HAALSI sample had overall lower age-adjusted physical performance outcomes.⁴⁷

Cardiometabolic risk factors

Hypertension prevalence was high (58.4%), and significantly increased with age.⁴⁸ We observed high levels of overweight/ obesity, affecting 70% of women and 44% of men. Total cholesterol levels were twice as high among women as compared with men (8.5% vs 4.2%) and women self-reported higher levels of most conditions including a higher prevalence of angina (2.8% vs 1.8%). The fact that self-reported levels are lower than measured levels is a reflection of the low level of awareness of some cardiovascular risk factors among HAALSI participants. In our recent paper on cardiometabolic risk,⁴⁸ we observed that HIV-negative people had higher levels of cardiometabolic risk factors than HIV-positive people, with the HIV-negative presenting higher prevalence of hypertension (men: 59.2% vs 38.7% and women: 67.2% vs 43.8%), diabetes (men: 10.9% vs 7.3% and women: 13.1%

vs 7.9%), overweight (men: 29.3% vs 22.7% and women 28.7% vs 26.2); and obesity [body mass index (BMI) 30–34.9] (men: 12.9% vs 8.6% and women: 23.9% vs 18.6%). The absolute 10-year cardiovascular risk scores ranged from 7.7–9.7% for women and from 12.5–15.3% for men.⁴⁸ Comparing the cardiometabolic risks of the HAALSI cohort with the national South Africa National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (SANHANES), we found that the HAALSI lipid profile is similar, although HDL levels and the waist hip ratio were higher in the HAALSI cohort and men reported a higher rate of smoking.⁴⁸

Measured blood pressure

The 2883 participants with high blood pressure (defined as systolic \geq 140 mmHg, diastolic \geq 90 mmHg, or selfreported antihypertensive medication use) were generally older (mean age of 64.1 ± 12.7 years). We found high rates of hypertension treatment among those who were aware, with nearly half of those treated having controlled blood pressure.⁴⁹ Multivariable regression results showed that hypertension awareness was associated with higher socioeconomic status, previous cardiovascular disease (CVD), non-immigrant status, literacy and physical limitation, and awareness was higher for women compared with men.⁴⁷⁻⁴⁹ The HAALSI cohort showed higher levels of awareness, treatment rates and control levels than previously published data in the region, possibly due to increased awareness following previous studies in the area which focused on stroke and hypertension.^{3–6}

What are the main strengths and weaknesses?

The HAALSI cohort was established as a population-based study representative of the Agincourt sub-district. The strength of this cohort lies in the ability to identify a broad range of social, economic, behavioural and biological risk factors and their associations with a range of health outcomes through longitudinal follow-up. Though not a nationally representative sample, HAALSI findings are likely to be mirrored in similar rural communities. The fact that this study is embedded in the Agincourt HDSS, where the population has been followed since 1992, is a major strength. The field and research teams have extensive experience implementing fieldwork, and the HDSS allows for annual cohort follow-up, death registration and cause of death ascertainment. Future waves of HAALSI promise an exceptional combination of health, sociodemographic, cognitive and economic information that will enhance our understanding of the complex nature of rapid epidemiological and demographic transitions in this rural setting.

Can I get hold of the data? Where can I find out more?

The HAALSI baseline data are publicly available at the Harvard Center for Population and Development Studies (HCPDS) programme website [www.haalsi.org]. Data are also accessible through the Inter-university Consortium for Political and Social Research (ICPSR) at the University of Michigan [www.icpsr.umich.edu] and the INDEPTH Data Repository [http://www.indepth-ishare.org/index.php/cata log/113]. The release includes documentation of baseline data. Further details can be obtained by e-mailing the corresponding author of this paper.

Profile in a nutshell

- The HAALSI study addresses the knowledge gap regarding health and ageing in South Africa as it undergoes epidemiological and demographic transitions. It is designed as a harmonized sister study to the US Health and Retirement Study (HRS).
- In-person interviews were conducted from November 2014 through November 2015 in the Agincourt subdistrict, Mpumalanga Province, South Africa, where the INDEPTH Agincourt Health and Demographic Surveillance System has been run since 1992. The cohort consists of 5059 men (n=2345) and women (n=2714) aged 40 and older, with planned longitudinal follow-up at 3-year intervals.
- HAALSI is closely harmonized with the global HRS sister studies in assessments of health, functioning and social, economic and behavioural conditions. HAALSI goes into more depth on HIV, cardiometabolic disorders, family dynamics and social networks. It is designed to identify social and economic determinants of health. Broad sections of the baseline assessment include: general health; physical function; cognition; mental health; anthropometrics and biomarkers; behavioural risks; social conditions; economic conditions; and productivity.
- HAALSI is a collaboration between the Harvard Center for Population and Development Studies and the MRC/Wits Rural Public Health and Health Transitions Research Unit, a member centre of the INDEPTH Network. Data are in the public domain.

Funding

The HAALSI study, funded by the National Institute on Aging (P01 AG041710), is nested within the Agincourt Health and Demographic Surveillance System site, supported by the University of the Witwatersrand and Medical Research Council, South Africa, and the Wellcome Trust, UK (grants 058893/Z/99/A; 069683/Z/02/

Z; 085477/Z/08/Z; 085477/B/08/Z). The HAALSI study has been carried out through a collaboration between the Harvard Center for Population and Development Studies from Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public Health, MRC/Wits Rural Public Health and Health Transitions Research Unit from School of Public Health at the University of the Witwatersrand in South Africa, and the INDEPTH Network in Accra, Ghana.

Conflict of interest: None declared.

References

- 1. United Nations. *World Population Aging 2009*. New York, NY: Department of Economic and Social Affairs, United Nations, 2009.
- United Nations. *Report of the World Assembly on Aging*, 1982. New York, NY: Department of Economic and Social Affairs, United Nations, 1982.
- Connor MD, Thorogood M, Casserly B, Dobson C, Warlow CP. Prevalence of stroke survivors in rural South Africa: results from the Southern Africa Stroke Prevention Initiative (SASPI) Agincourt field site. *Stroke* 2004;35:627–32.
- Fowkes FG, Thorogood M, Connor MD, Lewando-Hundt G, Tzoulaki I, Tollman SM. Distribution of a subclinical marker of cardiovascular risk, the ankle brachial index, in a rural African population: SASPI study. *Eur J Cardiovasc Prev Rehabil* 2006; 13:964–69.
- Thorogood M, Connor M, Tollman S, Lewando Hundt G, Fowkes G, Marsh J. A cross-sectional study of vascular risk factors in a rural South African population: data from the Southern African Stroke Prevention Initiative (SASPI). *BMC Public Health* 2007;7:326.
- Thorogood M, Connor MD, Lewando-Hundt G, Tollman S, Ngoma B. Secondary prevention of stroke – results from the Southern Africa Stroke Prevention Initiative (SASPI) study. *Bull World Health Organ* 2004;82:503–08.
- Phaswana-Mafuya N, Peltzer K, Chirinda W *et al.* Self-reported prevalence of chronic non-communicable diseases and associated factors among older adults in South Africa. *Glob Health Action* 2013;6:20936.
- 8. Peltzer K, Phaswana-Mafuya N. Depression and associated factors in older adults in South Africa. *Glob Health Action* 2013;6:1–9.
- Williams DR, Herman A, Stein DJ *et al.* Twelve-month mental disorders in South Africa: prevalence, service use and demographic correlates in the population-based South African Stress and Health Study. *Psychol Med* 2008;38:211–20.
- Suzman R. Guest Editorial: The INDEPTH WHO-SAGE multicentre study on ageing, health and well-being among people aged 50 years and over in eight countries in Africa and Asia. *Glob Health Action* 2010;3(Suppl 2):5–7.
- 11. Risk Factors Collaborators GBD. Global, regional, and national comparative risk assessment of 79 behavioural, environmental and occupational, and metabolic risks or clusters of risks, 1990–2015: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2015. *Lancet*.2016;388:1659–724.
- 12. Dalys GBD and Collaborators HALE. Global, regional, and national disability-adjusted life-years (DALYs) for 315 diseases and injuries and healthy life expectancy (HALE), 1990–2015: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2015. *Lancet* 2016;**388**:1603–58.

- GBD 2015 Mortality and Causes of Death Collaborators. Global, regional, and national life expectancy, all-cause mortality, and cause-specific mortality for 249 causes of death, 1980– 2015: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2015. *Lancet* 2016;388:1459–544.
- Kowal P, Kahn K, Ng N, *et al.* Ageing and adult health status in eight lower-income countries: the INDEPTH WHO-SAGE collaboration. *Glob Health Action* 2010, Sep 27. doi: 10.3402/gha.v3i0.5302.
- 15. United Nations. *Aging in the Twenty-First Century: A Celebration and A Challenge*. New York, NY: United Nations Population Fund (UNFPA), 2012.
- Hontelez JA, de Vlas SJ, Baltussen R *et al.* The impact of antiretroviral treatment on the age composition of the HIV epidemic in sub-Saharan Africa. *AIDS* 2012;26(Suppl 1):S19–30.
- Sonnega A, Faul JD, Ofstedal MB, Langa KM, Phillips JW, Weir DR. Cohort Profile: The Health and Retirement Study (HRS). *Int J Epidemiol* 2014;43:576–85.
- Steptoe A, Breeze E, Banks J, Nazroo J. Cohort Profile: The English longitudinal study of ageing. *Int J Epidemiol* 2013;42:1640–48.
- Kearney PM, Cronin H, O'Regan C et al. Cohort Profile: The Irish Longitudinal Study on Ageing. Int J Epidemiol 2011;40: 877–84.
- Borsch-Supan A, Brandt M, Hunkler C *et al.* Data Resource Profile: The Survey of Health, Ageing and Retirement in Europe (SHARE). *Int J Epidemiol* 2013;42:992–1001.
- Zhao Y, Hu Y, Smith JP, Strauss J, Yang G. Cohort Profile: The China Health and Retirement Longitudinal Study (CHARLS). *Int J Epidemiol* 2014;43:61–68.
- 22. Arokiasamy P, Bloom D, Lee J, Feeney K, Ozolins M. Longitudinal ageing study in India: vision, design, implementation, and preliminary findings. In: National Research Council (US) Panel on Policy Research and Data Needs to Meet the Challenge of Aging in Asia. Washington, DC: National Academies Press, 2012.
- Kahn K, Collinson MA, Gomez-Olive FX *et al.* Profile: Agincourt health and socio-demographic surveillance system. *Int J Epidemiol* 2012;41:988–1001.
- 24. Byass P, Kahn K, Fottrell E, Collinson MA, Tollman SM. Moving from data on deaths to public health policy in Agincourt, South Africa: approaches to analysing and understanding verbal autopsy findings. *PLoS Med* 2010;7: e1000325.
- Collinson MA. Striving against adversity: the dynamics of migration, health and poverty in rural South Africa. *Glob Health Action* 2010;3:1–14.
- Bor J, Herbst AJ, Newell M-L, Bärnighausen T. Increases in adult life expectancy in rural South Africa: valuing the scale-up of HIV treatment. *Science* 2013;339:961–65.
- Kabudula CW, Houle B, Collinson MA *et al.* Progression of the epidemiological transition in a rural South African setting: findings from population surveillance in Agincourt, 1993–2013. *BMC Public Health* 2017;17:424.
- Garenne ML, Tollman SM, Collinson MA, Kahn K. Fertility trends and net reproduction in Agincourt, rural South Africa, 1992–2004. Scand J Public Health Suppl 2007;69:68–76.
- 29. World Health Organization. Verbal Autopsy Standards: the 2014 WHO Verbal Autopsy Instrument. Geneva: World Health Organization, 2015.

- Cornwell B, Schumm LP, Laumann EO, Graber J. Social networks in the NSHAP Study: rationale, measurement, and preliminary findings. *J Gerontol B Psychol Sci Soc Sci* 2009;64(Suppl 1):i47–55.
- Filmer D, Pritchett LH. Estimating wealth effects without expenditure data or tears: an application to educational enrollments in states of India. *Demography* 2001;38:115–32.
- Radloff LS. The CES-D Scale: A self-report depression scale for research in the general population. *Appl Psychol Measurement* 1977;1:385–401.
- Breslau N, Peterson EL, Kessler RC, Schultz LR. Short screening scale for DSM-IV posttraumatic stress disorder. *Am J Psychiatry* 1999;156:908–11.
- 34. Deaton A. Income, health, and well-being around the world: evidence from the Gallup World Poll. *J Econ Perspect* 2008;22: 53–72.
- Weir D, Lay M, Langa K. Economic development and gender inequality in cognition: a comparison of China and India, and of SAGE and the HRS sister studies. *J Econ Aging* 2014;4:114–25.
- Samieri C, Proust-Lima C, Glymour MM *et al*. Subjective cognitive concerns, episodic memory, and the APOE epsilon4 allele. *Alzheimers Dement* 2014;10:752–59 e1.
- 37. Humphreys GW, Duta MD, Montana L *et al.* Cognitive function in low-income and low-literacy settings: validation of the tabletbased Oxford Cognitive Screen in the Health and Ageing in Africa: a longitudinal study of an INDEPTH community in South Africa (HAALSI). *J Gerontol B Psychol Sci Soc Sci* 2017;72:38–50.
- Buysse DJ, Reynolds CF 3rd, Monk TH, Berman SR, Kupfer DJ. The Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index: a new instrument for psychiatric practice and research. *Psychiatry Res* 1989;28:193–213.
- 39. Cleeland C. Measurement of pain by subjective report. In: Chapman CR, Loeser JD (eds). *Issues in Pain Measurement*. New York, NY: Raven Press, 1989.
- Craig CL, Marshall AL, Sjostrom M et al. International physical activity questionnaire: 12-country reliability and validity. Med Sci Sports Exerc 2003;35:1381–95.
- McDade TW, Burhop J, Dohnal J. High-sensitivity enzyme immunoassay for C-reactive protein in dried blood spots. *Clin Chem* 2004;50:652–54.
- 42. Glymour MM, Kawachi I, Jencks CS, Berkman LF. Does childhood schooling affect old age memory or mental status? Using state schooling laws as natural experiments. J Epidemiol Community Health 2008;62:532–37.
- 43. Langa KM, Larson EB, Karlawish JH *et al.* Trends in the prevalence and mortality of cognitive impairment in the United States: is there evidence of a compression of cognitive morbidity? *Alzheimers Dement* 2008;4:134–44.
- 44. Reuser M, Willekens FJ, Bonneux L. Higher education delays and shortens cognitive impairment: a multistate life table analysis of the US Health and Retirement Study. *Eur J Epidemiol* 2011;26:395–403.
- 45. Kobayashi LC, Glymour MM, Kahn K *et al.* Childhood deprivation and later-life cognitive function in a population-based study of older rural South Africans. *Soc Sci Med* 2017;**190:**20–28.
- Rosenberg MS, Gomez-Olive FX, Rohr JK *et al.* Sexual behaviors and HIV status: a population-based study among older adults in rural South Africa. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr* 2017; 74:e9–17.

- 47. Payne CF, Gómez-Olivé FX, Kahn K, Berkman L. Physical function in an aging population in rural South Africa: findings from HAALSI and cross-national comparisons with HRS sister studies. *J Gerontol B Psychol Sci Soc Sci* 2017;72: 665–79.
- 48. Gaziano TA, Abrahams-Gessel S, Gomez-Olive FX et al. Cardiometabolic risk in a population of older adults with multiple co-morbidities in rural South Africa: the HAALSI (Health and Ageing in Africa: longitudinal studies of INDEPTH communities) study. BMC Public Health.2017;17:206.
- 49. Thiago Veiga Jardim, Sheridan Reiger, Shafika Abrahams-Gessel, Gomez-Olive FX *et al*. Hypertension management in a population of older adults in rural South Africa. *J Hypertens* 2017. doi: 10.1097/HJH.000000000001312.
- 50. Seedat YK, Rayner BL. South African Hypertension Guideline 2011. *S Afr Med J* 2012;**102**:57–84.
- 51. WHO. Waist Circumference and Waist-hip Ratio. Geneva: World Health Organization, 2008.

- 52. World Health Organization. Obesity: Preventing and Managing the Global Epidemic. Geneva: WHO, 2000.
- 53. Expert Panel on Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Cholesterol in Adults. Executive summary of the third report of the National Cholesterol Education Program (NCEP) Expert Panel on detection, evaluation, and treatment of high blood cholesterol in adults (Adult Treatment Panel III). JAMA 2001;285:2486–97.
- 54. Klug EQ, Raal FJ, Marais AD *et al*. South African Dyslipidaemia Guideline Consensus Statement. A joint statement from the South African Heart Association (SA Heart) and the Lipid and Atherosclerosis Society of Southern Africa (LASSA). *S Afr Med J* 2012;102:177–88.
- 55. American Diabetes Association. Standards of medical care in diabetes. *Diabetes Care* 2013;36:S11–66.
- 56. Shisana O, Labadarios D, Rehle T et al. South African National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (SANHANES-1). Cape Town: Human Science Research Council Press, 2013.