Purdue University

Purdue e-Pubs

Department of Computer Science Technical Reports

Department of Computer Science

1975

Collocation Methods for Linear Elliptic Problems

Elias N. Houstis Purdue University, enh@cs.purdue.edu

Report Number: 77-255

Houstis, Elias N., "Collocation Methods for Linear Elliptic Problems" (1975). *Department of Computer Science Technical Reports*. Paper 188. https://docs.lib.purdue.edu/cstech/188

This document has been made available through Purdue e-Pubs, a service of the Purdue University Libraries. Please contact epubs@purdue.edu for additional information.

.

.

.

COLLOCATION METHODS FOR LINEAR ELLIPTIC PROBLEMS

-

-

ł

.

E. N. Houstis Computer Science Purdue University

CSD-TR 255

COLLOCATION METHODS FOR LINEAR ELLIPTIC PROBLEMS

E. N. Houstis

<u>Abstract</u>. Collocation methods based on piecewise Hermite cubic polynomials are applied to linear elliptic problems subject to Dirichlet and Neumann boundary conditions on rectangular domains. A priori estimates are obtained for the error of approximation.

<u>introduction</u>. We consider the problem of approximating linear elliptic boundary value problems subject to Dirichlet and Neumann boundary conditions on rectangular domains. The methods used and analyzed in this paper are collocation on lines and two-dimensional collocation based on plecewise cubic Hermite polynomials.

The method of collocation on lines has been applied by Yartsev [9], [10], [11] for solving elliptic and biharmonic type problems using trigonometric polynomials. More recently, blcubic splines have been used in [1], [7] to obtain a second order collocation method for solving a linear self adjoint elliptic problem with Dirichlet boundary conditions. The twodimensional collocation scheme studied in this paper has been experimentally applied in [5], [6] for the solution of linear elliptic problems on curved domains and found more efficient than standard finite differences and other finite element methods.

In the case of linear self adjoint Elliptic equations with Dirichlet boundary conditions and the same two-dimensional collocation method, Prenter and Russell [8] derived optimal estimates by assuming the existence of the collocation approximation and uniform bounds on partial derivatives of the approximating error. Our analysis is applied to more general elliptic boundary value problems and it is free of such assumptions.

1. Collocation on lines. We consider the linear elliptic problem

(1.1) Lu
$$\equiv aD_X^2 u + 2bD_X^2 D_y u + cD_Y^2 u + dD_X u + eD_y u + fu = g In \Omega \equiv (0,1) \times (0,1)$$

subject to boundary conditions

(1.2) Bu =
$$\alpha \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} + \beta u = 0$$
 on $\partial \Omega =$ boundary of Ω
with either $\alpha \equiv 0$ or $\beta \equiv 0$ but not both and $f \leq 0$.

Throughout we assume that (1.1), (1.2) has a solution. We denote this solution by u. Let $\Delta_x \equiv \{0 = x_0 < x_1 < ... < x_N = 1\}$ be a partition of [0,1], \mathbb{T}_3 the set of cubic polynomials and \mathbb{T}_3, Δ_x the set of piecewise cubic polynomials with respect to Δ_x .

We seek an approximate solution of (1,1), (1,2) in the form

(1.3)
$$u_{\Delta}(x,y) = \sum_{i=1}^{2N+2} \phi_i(y) B_i(x)$$

where $\{B_{i}(x)\}_{i=1}^{2N+2}$ is a set of basis functions for the space of piecewise Hermite cubic polynomials $H_{\Delta_{x}}^{3} \equiv \Pi_{3,\Delta_{x}}^{2} \cap C^{1}$ and $Bu_{\Delta_{x}} \equiv 0$ at x = 0 and 1.

We choose a set of "collocation" points

(1.4)
$$\xi_{1,k} \equiv \frac{x_1 + x_{1+1}}{2} + \rho_k \frac{x_{1+1} - x_1}{2}$$
, $i=0,\ldots,N-1$ $k=1,2$

where $\{p_i\}_{i=1}^2$ are the roots of second degree Legendre polynomials with respect to the interval [-1,1].

Using the collocation method on lines we shall determine the ϕ_{\downarrow} functions by the system of second order differential equations

. . .

· · ·

(1.5)
$$\left\{ \lim_{\Delta x,y} (x,y) - f(x,y) \right\} \Big|_{x} = \xi_{1,\ell} = 0,$$

for l = 1,2; $i = 0,1,\ldots,N-1$ with boundary conditions

(1.6) $\left\{\alpha \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} + \beta u A_{\chi}\right\} (x = \xi_{1,\ell}, 0) = 0,$

(1.7)
$$\left\{\alpha \frac{\partial u \Delta \kappa}{\partial n} + \beta u \Lambda_{\kappa}\right\} (\kappa = \xi_{1,k}, 1) = 0.$$

We denote by $Lu_{H} \equiv \{Lu_{H} \text{ with } u_{H} \in H^{3}_{\Delta_{X}}\}$ and define the interpolation operator

3

.....

$$Q_{\Delta_{\chi}}: L^{2}(\Omega) \rightarrow Lu_{H}$$

such that for $feL^2(\Omega)$

$$\{Q_{\Delta_{\mathbf{x}}} f \cap f\}_{\mathbf{x}} = \xi_{i,\ell} = 0$$

for $l = 1, 2; i = 0, 1, \dots, N-1$.

The boundary value problem (1.5) - (1.7) can be written equivalently as

(1.8)
$$\operatorname{Lu}_{\Delta} = \operatorname{Q}_{\Delta} f \text{ in } \Omega$$

with $u_{A_{c}}$ satisfying the boundary conditions (1.6), (1.7).

2. <u>Error analysis</u>. In this section, we derive a priori bounds for the collocation on lines procedure; first for the boundary value problem (1.1), (4.2) in the L^{∞}-norm and second for a self-adjoint elliptic problem in the L²-norm. Let W^k, P(Ω) be the Sobolev space of functions having L^P-derivatives of order k on Ω . If X is a normed space and ψ : [0,1] + X, define

$$||\psi|| = \int ||\psi(y)||^{2} dy, ||\psi|| = \sup ||\psi(y)|| \\ L^{2}(X_{1}0,1) = 0 \qquad X \qquad L^{\infty}(X_{1}0,1) = 0 \le y \le 1$$

THEOREM 2.1. Assume the solution u of (1.1), (1.2) is in
$$L^{\infty}(W^{6,\infty}; 0,1)$$
.
If (i) the Green's function $G(x,y;\xi,\eta)$ for (1.1), (1.2) exists,
(i1) $||D_{\xi}^{1}G||_{\infty} \leq K, i = 0, \dots, n,$ considering $G(x,y;\cdot,\eta)$
 $L(\Omega \circ \Omega)$
as an element of $C^{(n)}[0,x] \times C^{(n)}[x,1]$,

· ·

:

4

and

(111) the coefficients of L are in
$$L^{\infty}(C^{(2+n)}; 0, 1)$$
,

then

(a) the collocation on illnes approximation
$$u = xists$$
, $\Delta_x = x$

and

(b) for the error of approximation we have

(2.1)
$$||u - u_{\Delta}||_{X} \leq C(\Delta x)^{2+\min(n,2)}$$

 $x \in \mathbb{C}(\Omega)$

where $\Delta x = \max |x_{i+1} - x_i|$ and C is a generic constant independent of Δx . <u>Proof.</u> The existence and uniqueness of u_{Δ_x} for each $y \in [0,1]$ is a direct consequence of Theorem (3.1) in [2]. Furthermore, from the same theorem we obtain an estimate for the error and its derivatives;

(2.2)
$$\max_{\{x,y\}\in\Omega} |D_x^{i}(u(x,y) - u_{\Delta_x}(x,y))| \leq C(\Delta x)^2, i = 0, 1,$$

where C is a constant independent of Δx_\star

Also, provided the coefficients of the operator are in $C^{(2+n)}([0,1])$ as functions of x, Lemma 4.1 in [2] implies that there exists constant C independent of Δx such that

(2.3)
$$\max_{x,y} | D_{x}^{2+i} (Lu - Lu_{\Delta})(x,y) | \le C \text{ for } i = 0, ..., n.$$

Let's denote by $r \equiv Lu - Lu_A$. Since r vanishes at $\xi_{i,\ell}$, i = 0, ..., N-1; χ $\ell = 1,2$ then

(2.4) $r = r[\xi_{11}, \xi_{12}, x; y](x - \xi_{11})(x - \xi_{12})$

In the subinterval $[x_1, x_{1+1}]$, where $f[x_0, \dots, x_n; y]$ is the nth divided difference of f on the points x_0, \dots, x_n .

Assumption (i) implies that (1.1), (1.2) is uniquely solvable and

(2.5)
$$(u - u_{\Delta_x})(x,y) = \iint G(x,y;\xi,\eta)(f - Q_{\Delta}f)(\xi,\eta)d\xi d\eta$$

= $\iint G(x,y;\xi,\eta)(Lu-Lu_{\Delta_y})(\xi,\eta)d\xi d\eta$,

By expanding in Taylor series the function

$$F(x,y; \xi,\eta) \equiv G(x,y; \xi,\eta)r[\xi_{i1}, \xi_{i2}, \xi;\eta]$$

inside the interval $[x_1, x_{1+1}]$ we obtain

$$F(x,y; \xi,\eta) = t(x,y; \xi,\eta) + \frac{1}{n!} D_{\xi}^{n}F(x,y; \sigma(\xi),\eta) (\xi - \sigma)^{n}$$

where t is a polynomial of degree at most n - 1 with respect to ξ and $\tilde{\sigma}(\xi) \in (x_1, x_{1+1})$.

From the orthogonality of the Legendre polynomials we derive the relation

(2.6)
$$(u-u_{\Delta_{\mathbf{x}}})(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}) = \frac{1}{n!} \int_{0}^{1} \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \int_{\mathbf{x}_{i}}^{\mathbf{x}_{i+1}} D_{\xi}^{n} F(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y};\sigma(\xi),\eta)(\xi-\sigma)^{n} \prod_{\ell=1}^{2} (\xi-\xi_{i\ell}) d\xi d\eta$$

for $n \leq 2$.

From the definition of $F(x,y; \xi,n)$ we obtain that

(2.7)
$$D_{\xi}^{n}F(x,y;\xi,\eta) = \frac{1}{(n+2)!} \sum_{s=0}^{n} {n+2 \choose 2+s} (D_{\xi}^{n-s}G(x,y;\cdot,\eta))(\xi) (D_{\xi}^{2+s}r)(\theta_{\xi,s},\eta)$$

with $\theta_{\xi_6} \in (x_1, x_{1+1})$.

If $x \notin (x_1, x_{1+1})$ then from the assumption (11), relations (2.6), (2.7) and the inequality (2.3)

$$||D_{\xi}^{2+s}r||_{\omega} \leq c$$
 for $s = 0, ..., n$

so we obtain

$$(2.8) \frac{1}{n!} \begin{vmatrix} 1 & N-1 & x_{j+1} \\ f & \Sigma & f \\ 0 & j=0 & x_{j} \\ j \neq i & j \end{vmatrix} \stackrel{\sim}{=} C (\Delta x)^{2+\min(n,2)} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & N-1 & x_{j+1} \\ f & \Sigma & f \\ 0 & j=0 & x_{j} \\ \xi = 0 & \xi_{j+1} \end{bmatrix} \leq C (\Delta x)^{2+\min(n,2)}$$

On the other hand If $x \in (x_i, x_{i+1})$ then $G(x, y; \cdot, n) \in W^{1, \infty}$ so that (2.9) $\int \int D_{\xi} F(x, y; \sigma(\xi), n) (\xi \cdot \sigma) \prod_{k=1}^{2} (\xi - \xi_{jk}) d\xi dn \le C(\Delta x)^{4}$.

• •

6

Conclusion b) follows from (2.8) - (2.9). This concludes the proof of Theorem 2.1.

Next, we consider the self-adjoint linear elliptic problem

(2.10) Lu =
$$-D_x(\rho D_x u) - D_y(q D_y u) + cu = f in \Omega = (0,1) \times (0,1)$$

subject to boundary conditions

$$(2.11) \quad B(u) = 0 \qquad \text{on } \partial \Omega.$$

Notice that the assumptions (i) and (ii) of Theorem 2.1 are satisfied for equation (2.10) with boundary conditions (2.11), see reference [3, p.123].

<u>THEOREM 2.2.</u> Assume the solution u of (2.10), (2.11) is in $L^2(W^{5,2}; 0,1)$ and the coefficients of L are in $L^{\infty}(C^{(2+n)}; 0,1)$. Then

(a) for each y ϵ (0,1) the collocation on lines approximation u exists in the space $H^3_{\Delta_X}$ and

(β) for the error of approximation we have

(2.12)
$$||u-u_{\Delta_{x}}||_{W^{1,2}(\Omega)} \leq C(\Delta_{x})^{3}$$

where C is independent of
$$\Delta x$$

<u>Proof.</u> The existence and uniqueness of the collocation on lines approximation u_{Δ} follows from arguments similar to those in the proof of Theorem 2.1.

7

In order to derive the error estimate (2.12) we define $F(x,y) \equiv (u-u_{\Delta})r[\xi_{11}, \xi_{12}, x; y]$ and expand it in Taylor series inside the interval $[x_1, x_{1+1}]$ to obtain

(2.13)
$$F(x,y) = t(x,y) + D_x F(\tilde{\sigma}(x),y)(x-\sigma)_3$$

where t(x,y) is constant polynomial with respect to x and $\tilde{\sigma}(x) \in (x_1, x_{1+1})$.

The relation (2.13) can be rewritten as

$$F(x,y) = t(x,y) + D_{x}(u-u_{\Delta_{x}}) D_{x}^{2}r(\theta(x),y)(x-\sigma) + (u-u_{\Delta_{x}}) D_{x}^{3}r(\theta(x),y)(x-\sigma).$$

From the ellipticity of L we get

$$||u-u_{\Delta}||^{2} \leq |f(u-u_{\Delta})(Lu-Lu_{\Delta})dxdy| \leq f \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} (u-u_{\Delta})(Lu-Lu_{\Delta})dxdy$$

Also from (2.3) and the choice of the collocation points we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \| u - u_{\Delta_{\mathbf{X}}} \|_{\mathbf{Y}^{2}(\Omega)}^{2} &\leq \int_{0}^{1} \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \int_{1}^{X_{i+1}} D_{\mathbf{X}} F(\vec{\sigma}(\mathbf{x}), \mathbf{y}) (\mathbf{x} - \sigma) \int_{1}^{2} \frac{2}{\pi} (\mathbf{x} - \xi_{12}) d\mathbf{x} d\mathbf{y} \\ &\leq C \{ \| u - u_{\Delta_{\mathbf{X}}} \|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2} + \| D_{\mathbf{X}} (u - u_{\Delta_{\mathbf{X}}}) \|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2} |(\Delta \mathbf{x})^{3} \\ &\leq C \| \| u - u_{\Delta_{\mathbf{X}}} \|_{\mathbf{Y}^{2}(\Omega)}^{2} (\Delta \mathbf{x})^{3} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$||_{u-u}\Delta_{x}||_{u^{2}(\Omega)} \leq C(\Delta x)^{3}$$

This concludes the proof of Theorem 2.2.

<u>THEOREM 2.3</u>. Assume the solution u of (2.10), (2.11) is in $L^2(W^{5,2};0,1)$. If (i) the coefficients of L are in $L^2(C^{(2+n)};0,1)$ and (ii) L is strongly coersive, then, for the error of approximation we have

$$(2.14) ||u-u_{\Delta_{\mathbf{X}}}||_{\mathbf{L}^{2}(\Omega)} \leq C(\Delta_{\mathbf{X}})^{4}$$

Proof. We let

$$\Psi_{H}(x,y) \equiv (||u-u_{\Delta}||_{x} ||_{x} ||_{x})^{-1}(u(x,y)-u_{\Delta}(x,y))$$

and consider the problem of finding the unique solution of $L\phi_{\rm H} = \psi_{\rm H}$, $B(\phi_{\rm H}) = 0$. From assumption (11) we get

(2.15)
$$||D_{X}^{k} D_{y}^{j} \phi_{H}||_{L^{2}} \leq K ||\psi_{H}||$$
 ($0 \leq k + j \leq 2$).

From the definition of ϕ_{H} and since L is self adjoint we obtain

$$||u-u_{\Delta_{\mathbf{x}}}||_{\mathbf{L}^{2}(\Omega)} = (\psi_{\mathbf{H}}, u-u_{\Delta_{\mathbf{x}}})$$
$$= \int \int \phi_{\mathbf{H}} (\mathbf{L}u-\mathbf{L}u_{\Delta_{\mathbf{x}}}) d\mathbf{x} .$$

Let $r = Lu - Lu_{\Delta}$. On the interval $[x_1, x_{1+1}]$, $r(\cdot, y)$ vanishes at $\xi_{1,\ell}$, $\ell = 1, 2$. Hence, $r = r[\xi_{11}, \xi_{12}, x_{3}y](x - \xi_{11})(x - \xi_{12})$.

Consider

$$F(x,y) = \phi_{H}(x,y)r[\xi_{11}, \xi_{12}, x;y]$$

and expanding in Taylor's series inside (x_1, x_{1+1}) we obtain

$$F(x,y) = t(x,y) + (x-x)^{2} D_{x}^{2} F(\sigma_{x,1},y)$$
.

By orthogonality we get

(2.16)
$$||u-u_{\Delta_{\mathbf{X}}}||_{L^{2}(\Omega)} = \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \int_{i+1}^{1} \{ \prod_{i=1}^{2} (x-\xi_{|\mathcal{X}}) \int_{\mathbf{X}}^{\mathbf{X}} D_{\mathbf{X}}^{2} F(s,y)(x-s) ds \} dxdy$$

where

$$D_{x}^{2}F(s,y) = D_{x}^{2}\phi_{H}(D_{x}^{2}r)(\theta_{s,i}) + D_{x}\phi_{H}(D_{x}^{3}r)(\theta_{s,i}) + \phi_{H}(D_{x}^{4}r)(\theta_{s,i}) .$$

From (2.3), (2.15), (2.16) we obtain the inequality

$$||u-u_{\Delta_{x}}||_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \leq (\Delta x)^{4} \frac{\sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \int_{0}^{1} (||D_{x}^{2}\phi_{H}| \mathbf{b}_{x}^{2}r||_{L^{2}(\Gamma_{i})} + ||D_{x}\phi_{H}D_{x}^{3}r||_{L^{2}(\Gamma_{i})} + ||D_{x}\phi_{H}D_{x}D_{x}^{3}r||_{L^{2}($$

This concludes the proof of Theorem 2.3.

3. <u>Two-dimensional collocation</u>. In this section we consider the problem of approximating the solution of (1.1), (1.2) by a piecewise bicubic Hermite polynomial. Let $\Delta y = (y_j)_{0}^{H+1}$ be a partition of [0,1] In the y-direction and $\Delta y = \max_{j} |y_{j+1} - y_{j}|$.

Also, we denote by $\Delta \equiv \Delta_x \times \Delta_y$ a partition of Ω and by H^3_{Δ} the vector space of all piecewise bicubic polynomials p(x,y) with respect to Δ such that $D^{\ell_D n}_{x \vee y} p(x,y)$ is continuous on Ω for all $0 \leq \ell$, $n \leq 1$. The Gaussian points in the interval $[y_i, y_{i+1}]$ are

(3.1)
$$\eta_{i,m} \equiv \frac{y_i + y_{i+1}}{2} + \rho_m \frac{y_{i+1} - y_1}{2}$$
, $i = 0, i, \dots, M-i, m = 1, 2$.

Also, we define a two-dimensional analogue of the interpolation operator of Section 1 as the tensor product

$$Q_{\Delta} \equiv Q_{\Delta} \times Q_{\Delta} \equiv Q_{\Delta} Q_{\Delta}.$$

We seek an approximate solution of (1.1), (1.2) u ϵ H^3_{Δ} such that

- $(3.2) \qquad \Box_{\Delta} = Q_{\Delta} f ,$
- $(3.3) \quad B(u_{\Lambda}) = 0 \quad .$

4. Error analysis of two-dimensional collocation. In this section we establish a priori bounds for the two-dimensional collocation scheme introduced in Section 3. The analysis can be easily made, if we realize that the system of linear equations (3.2), (3.3) is the one obtained by approximating ϕ_i 's of (1.5)-(1.7) by elements in $H^3_{\Delta_v}$ and collocating at the Gaussian points (3.1).

<u>THEOREM 4.1</u>. Assume the solution u of (1.1), (1.2) is in $W^{6,\infty}(\Omega)$ and hypotheses (i), (ii) of Theorem 2.1. Further assume the coefficients of L are in $C^{(2+n)}(\Omega)$. Then,

- (a) the system of linear equations (3.2), (3.3) has a unique solution, and
- (b) for the error of approximation we have

(3.4)
$$||u-u_{\Delta}||_{L^{\infty}} \leq C\{(\Delta y)^{\frac{1}{4}} + (\Delta x)^{\frac{2+\min(n,2)}{4}}\}$$

where C is a constant independent of Δx , Δy . . .

<u>Proof.</u> The existence and uniqueness of u_{Δ} is a consequence of Theorem 3.1 in [4]. Let $\{B_{j}(x)B_{j}(y)\}_{i=1}^{2N+2} \stackrel{2M+2}{j=1}$ be a set of basis functions of the space H_{Δ}^{3} , then

$$u_{\Delta} = \sum_{\substack{i=1\\j=1}}^{2N+2} \sum_{\substack{j=1\\j=1}}^{2M+2} \alpha_{ij} B_{i}(x) B_{j}(y).$$

By the triangle inequality we get

.

.

· · · ·

$$(3.5) ||u-u_{\Delta}||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \leq ||u-u_{\Delta}||_{X} |L^{\infty}(\Omega)$$

$$+ \max_{(x,y) \in \Omega} \left| \begin{array}{c} 2N+2 \\ \Sigma \\ I=1 \end{array} (\phi_{i}(y) - \begin{array}{c} 2M+2 \\ \Sigma \\ j=I \end{array} \alpha_{ij} B_{j}(y) B_{i}(x) \right|.$$

Also, from Theorem 4.1 in [4] we have that

(3.6)
$$| \phi_{i} - \sum_{j=1}^{2M+2} \alpha_{ij} B_{j} i | \leq C \Delta y^{4}$$
.

Finally, inequalities (3.5), (3.6) yield (3.4). This concludes the proof of Theorem 3.1.

Ħ

.

.

. .

***** .

1

1

;

•

REFERENCES

• .

.

- Cavendish, J. C., Collocation Methods for Elliptic and Parabolic Boundary Value Problems, Ph.D. Thesis, University of Pittsburgh, 1972.
- [2] C. de Boor and B. Swartz, Collocation at Gaussian points, SIAM J. Numer. Anal., 10(1973), pp. 582-606.
- [3] Dennemeyer, R., Introduction to Partial differential equations and boundary value problems, McGraw-Hill, 1968.
- [4] Houstis, E. N., A Collocation method for systems of nonlinear ordinary differential equations, to appear in Journal of Mathematical Analysis Applications.
- [5] Houstis, E. N., Lynch, R. E., Papatheodorou, T. S., and Rice, J. R., Development, Evaluation and Selection of Methods for Elliptic Partial Differential Equations, Ann. Assoc. Inter. Calcul. Analog., 11(1975), pp. 98-103.
- [6] Houstis, E. N., Lynch, R. E., Papatheodorow, T. S., and Rice, J. R., Evaluation of numerical methods for elliptic partial differential equations, to appear.
- [7] Ito, F., A Collocation Method for Boundary Value Problems Using Spline Functions, Ph.D. Thesis, Brown University, 1972.
- [8] Prenter, P.H. and Russell, R.D., Orthogonal collocation for elliptic partial differential equations, SIAM J. Numer. Anal., 13 (1976), pp. 923-939.
- [9] Yartsev, Yu. P., Convergence of the Collocation method on lines, Different Urayneniya, 3(1967), pp. 1606-1613.
- [10] Yartsev, Yu. P., The method of line collocation, Different Uravneniya, #(1968), pp. 925-931.
- [11] Yartsev, Yu. P., A variant of the line-collocation method, Different Uravnenlya, <u>6(1970)</u>, pp. 1727-1733.

12

. . . .