



Article Combination of Meropenem and Zinc Oxide Nanoparticles; Antimicrobial Synergism, Exaggerated Antibiofilm Activity, and Efficient Therapeutic Strategy against Bacterial Keratitis

Mohamed El-Telbany ^{1,*}, Alzhraa Ali Mohamed ¹, Galal Yahya ^{2,*}, Aliaa Abdelghafar ², Mahmoud Saad Abdel-Halim ², Sameh Saber ³, Mohamed A. Alfaleh ^{4,5}, Asmaa H. Mohamed ¹, Fatma Abdelrahman ⁶, Hoda A. Fathey ¹, Gehad H. Ali ¹ and Mohamed Abdel-Haleem ¹

- ¹ Microbiology and Botany Department, Faculty of Science, Zagazig University, Zagazig 44519, Egypt
- ² Department of Microbiology and Immunology, Faculty of Pharmacy, Zagazig University, Zagazig 44519, Egypt
 - ³ Department of Pharmacology, Faculty of Pharmacy, Delta University for Science and Technology, Gamasa 11152, Egypt
 - ⁴ Department of Pharmaceutics, Faculty of Pharmacy, King Abdulaziz University, Jeddah 21589, Saudi Arabia
 - ⁵ Vaccines and Immunotherapy Unit, King Fahd Medical Research Center, King Abdulaziz University, Jeddah 21859, Saudi Arabia
 - ⁶ Center for Microbiology and Phage Therapy, Zewail City of Science and Technology, Giza 12578, Egypt
 - * Correspondence: meltelbany@zu.edu.eg (M.E.-T.); galalyehia@zu.edu.eg (G.Y.)

Abstract: Pseudomonas aeruginosa is an opportunistic gram-negative human pathogen that causes a wide range of infections, including nosocomial infections. Aside from the intrinsic and acquired antimicrobial resistance against many classes of antibiotics, P. aeruginosa can produce an extracellular polymeric matrix called "biofilm" that protects bacteria from antibiotics and harmful factors. Biofilm enables P. aeruginosa to develop chronic infections. This study assessed the inhibitory action of ZnO-nanoparticles against biofilms formed by multidrug-resistant P. aeruginosa strains. A collection of 24 clinical strains of *P. aeruginosa* were tested for their antimicrobial resistance against different antibiotics using the disk diffusion method. The antibiofilm activity of ZnO-NPs was assessed using the microtiter plate biofilm assay. The application of ZnO-NPs dramatically modulated the resistance profile and biofilm activity of *P. aeruginosa*. The combination of ZnO-NPs and meropenem showed synergistic antipseudomonal activity with lower MICs. The scanning electron microscope (SEM) micrographs revealed complete inhibition of biofilms treated with the meropenem-ZnO-NPs combination. Reduced expression of biofilm regulating genes lasR, pslA, and fliC was detected, reflecting the enhanced antibiofilm effect of ZnO-NPs. In vivo application of this antimicrobial mixture completely cured P. aeruginosa-induced keratitis in rats. Our findings represent a dual enhancement of antibacterial and antibiofilm activity via the use of meropenem-ZnO-NPs combination against carbapenem-resistant P. aeruginosa infections.

Keywords: *Pseudomonas aeruginosa;* biofilms; antimicrobial resistance; ZnO-NPs; gene expression; meropenem

Copyright: © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/).

1. Introduction

P. aeruginosa is a Machiavellian pathogenic bacterium that causes refractory multidrugresistant (MDR) infections, particularly in immunocompromised and hospitalized patients [1]. The increased incidence of *P. aeruginosa* nosocomial infections, the frequent emergence of MDR strains, and adaptive antimicrobial resistance during chronic infections represent a potential threat to human health worldwide [2].

Various *P. aeruginosa* infections are ascribed in pathogenesis to their capability to form biofilms, which are tight bacterial communities that can encase mucosal surfaces or intrusive devices [2]. Biofilms formed by *P. aeruginosa* create more favorable conditions for



Citation: El-Telbany, M.; Mohamed, A.A.; Yahya, G.; Abdelghafar, A.; Abdel-Halim, M.S.; Saber, S.; Alfaleh, M.A.; Mohamed, A.H.; Abdelrahman, F.; Fathey, H.A.; et al. Combination of Meropenem and Zinc Oxide Nanoparticles; Antimicrobial Synergism, Exaggerated Antibiofilm Activity, and Efficient Therapeutic Strategy against Bacterial Keratitis. *Antibiotics* 2022, *11*, 1374. https:// doi.org/10.3390/antibiotics11101374

Academic Editors: Michal Letek and Volker Behrends

Received: 21 September 2022 Accepted: 5 October 2022 Published: 7 October 2022

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

bacterial insistence, since embedded bacteria are more difficult to eliminate than planktonic bacteria [1].

Bacterial cells in biofilms can endure up to 1000 times higher antibiotic concentrations than planktonic bacterial cells [3]. Moreover, antibiotics have been found to be less functioning against biofilm-emergent bacteria [4]. Going through the genetic circuits regulating biofilm formation, studies revealed that the quorum sensing-related gene (*lasR*) is more abundant in biofilm-forming *P. aeruginosa* [5]. Additionally, the (*fliC*) gene plays a vital role in the initial attachment of *P. aeruginosa* when establishing the biofilm. Moreover, the (*fliC*) gene regulates the timing and rate of attachment and detachment but does not influence the biofilm architecture [6]. Besides the role of the (*fliC*) gene in biofilm attachment, the (*plsA*) gene has a significant role in biofilm adhesion, formation, and aggregation [7]. The (*plsA*) gene also has a role in the tolerance of *P. aeruginosa* biofilms to antibiotics [8]. Accordingly, there is an ultimate need to develop novel antimicrobial agents to combat and efficiently manage resistant pathogens [9]. Thus, most recent studies have moved towards investigation of new antimicrobial agents [10].

Carbapenems such as imipenem and meropenem, a class of β -lactam antibiotics, are considered as some of the best therapeutic options for combating MDR bacteria due to their high resistance to hydrolysis by plasmid- or chromosomally mediated β -lactamases produced by several pathogenic bacteria, such as *P. aeruginosa*, *Staphylococcus aureus*, and *Klebsiella* species [11]. Meropenem was found to be more efficient than imipenem due to the presence of a methyl group in the 1-position of the carbapenem moiety. This makes it more stable in vivo against inactivation by human renaldehydropeptidase-1 (DHP-1) [12]. Unfortunately, the resistance to both imipenem and meropenem was frequently detected among carbapenemase-producing organisms [13].

Nanomaterials with enhanced antimicrobial activities represent promising alternatives to traditional antibiotics and bypass the common antibiotic resistance strategies owing to the fact that most antibiotic-resistance mechanisms do not match up with the pathways wherein nanomaterials act [14]. Among nanomaterials, the metal oxide nanoparticles, such as nanoparticles of zinc oxide (ZnO), have recently received greater consideration because of their antimicrobial activity against both Gram-positive and Gram-negative spores [15–18]. ZnO-NPs are also recognized as being nontoxic, bio-safe, and biocompatible [19]. ZnO-NPs have prominent antimicrobial activity through interference with the cell wall and cell membrane synthesis, resulting in increased membrane permeability and nanoparticle internalization which generates reactive oxygen species (ROS) and leads to elevated membrane lipid peroxidation, loss of proton motive force, and mitochondria dimness due to uptake of liquified Zn ions, intracellular discharge, the liberation of oxidative stress gene expression, subsequent inhibition of cell growth, and cell decease [20–26]. A recent study denoted that lowering the virulence efficacy of *P. aeruginosa* via the quorum-quenching action of ZnO-NPs is caused either by inhibiting quorum-sensing (QS) molecules production or through interactions with the QS molecules, making them unidentifiable to cell machinery [27].

Combinations of ZnO-NPs and antibiotics, such as aminoglycosides–cephalosporins and aminoglycosides–beta-lactams, have been reported as promising strategy against numerous MDR bacteria [28]. Considering the severity of *P. aeruginosa* biofilm-driven infections and the elevated antibiotic and antimicrobial resistance rates, herein we studied the antibiofilm inhibitory properties of ZnO-NPs against pre-formed *P. aeruginosa* biofilms. We also investigated the effects of the meropenem–ZnO-NPs combination against clinically isolated *P. aeruginosa* strains. In this presented study, we boost the antibiotics by coupling them with a low concentration of ZnO-NPs so they can be used as a bio-control agent against *P. aeruginosa* bacteria and biofilms.

2. Results

2.1. Antibiotic Susceptibility

P. aeruginosa strains were tested for their susceptibilities to 10 antibiotics routinely prescribed in human medicine using the disk diffusion method. A remarkable variation in

the frequencies of antibiotic resistance was detected among isolates. The obtained results (Table 1) were compared to the documented standard diameter of inhibition zones for each antibiotic in CLSI-2021 [29]. All strains (100%) were meropenem-resistant and 96% of tested strains were resistant to levofloxacin, ceftazidime, gentamycin, and piperacillin. About 91% of tested strains were resistant to amikacin and imipenem, while 83% were resistant to aztreonam, 79% exhibited resistance to cefepime, and 75% were resistant to norfloxacin. Overall, most of the tested strains displayed resistance to the two tested carbapenem antibiotics (imipenem and meropenem).

	Antibiotics and Diameter of Inhibition									
Tested	Imipenem	Meropenem	Levofloxacin	Ceftazidime	Gentamycin	Amikacin	Aztreonam	Piperacillin	Cefepime	Norfloxacin
Strains	(10 μg)	(10 µg)	(5 μg)	(30 µg)	(10 µg)	(30 µg)	(30 µg)	(100 μg)	(30 µg)	(10 µg)
onuno	R S	R S	R S	R S	R S	R S	R S	R S	R S	R S
	<u>≤15</u> ≥19	\leq 15 \geq 19	\leq 13 \geq 17	$\leq 14 \geq 18$	\leq 12 \geq 15	$\leq 14 \geq 17$	$\leq 15 \geq 22$	$\leq 14 \geq 21$	<u>≤14</u> ≥18	\leq 12 \geq 17
PS20	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	S	R
PS21	R	R	R	R	R	R	S	R	R	R
PS22	R	R	R	R	R	R	S	R	R	R
PS23	R	R	R	R	S	R	R	R	R	R
PS24	R	R	R	R	R	S	R	R	R	S
PS25	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	S
PS26	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	S	S
PS27	R	R	R	S	R	R	R	R	S	R
PS28	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R
PS29	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R
PS30	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R
PS32	R	R	R	R	R	R	S	R	R	S
PS33	R	R	S	R	R	R	R	R	R	S
Pp6	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	S
Pp7	S	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	S	R
Pp8	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	S	R	R
Pp10	R	R	R	R	R	S	R	R	R	R
Pp12	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	S	R
Pp13	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R
PS35	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R
PS34	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R
PU17	R	R	R	R	R	R	S	R	R	R
PC38	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R
PU15	S	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R
R %	91.6	100	96	96	96	91.6	83	96	79	75

Table 1. Antibiotic susceptibility of *P. aeruginosa* strains.

R: resistant; S: sensitive; R%: percentage of resistant strains.

2.2. Synergy between ZnO-NPs and Meropenem

The MICs of ZnO-NPs and meropenem decreased considerably when used in combination compared to their independent MICs (Figure S1). The MIC of ZnO-NPs was 64 μ g/mL, and this concentration falls to 8 μ g/mL when used in combination with meropenem (Table 2). On the other hand, meropenem alone has a MIC of 512 μ g/mL and, when combined with ZnO-NPs, this value dropped to 4 μ g/mL (Table 2). Importantly, the synergistic effect of ZnO-NPs on the antipseudomonal activity of meropenem was assessed against *P. aeruginosa* PU15 through determination of the fractional inhibitory concentration (FIC) index (Table 2). MIC values for both meropenem and ZnO-NPs were reduced when combined, to provide FIC values less than 0.5, indicating noticeable synergy.

Table 2. Antimicrobial synergism between meropenem and ZnO-NPs.

Antibacterial	MIC (r	ng/mL)		FICI	Internetation	
Agents	Alone Combination		FIC	FICI	Interpretation	
ZnO-NPs	0.0640 ± 0.00462	0.0080 ± 0.00231	0.125	0 132	Synergy	
Meropenem	0.5120 ± 0.01848	0.0040 ± 0.00058	0.007	- 0.102		

MIC values are expressed as Mean \pm Standard Error of the Mean (SEM) from three independent experiments.

2.3. Antibiofilm Activity of ZnO-NPs

Biofilm formation was investigated in vitro using the crystal violet staining technique. As represented in (Figure 1), the biofilms formed by tested strains were assessed by mea-

suring the optical density (O.D) at 570 nm. The high readings refer to the thickness of the formed biofilm. All test strains were able to form a biofilm matrix. *P. aeruginosa* PU15 was the strain with the thickest biofilm (O.D 570 = 0.5). This isolate was used for subsequent experiments.



Figure 1. Changes in biofilm density upon treatment with ZnO-NPs. Colorimetric measurement of biofilm formation by *P. aeruginosa* isolates before (green columns) and after treatment with ZnO-NPs (white column). Results are expressed as Mean \pm SEM from three independent experiments. *** $p \leq 0.001$.

Next, we evaluated the antibiofilm activity of ZnO-NPs and a 70 to 85% decrease in the biomass of biofilms formed by most strains was detected, confirming the powerful antibiofilm activity of ZnO-NPs (Figure 1). Interestingly, the biofilm formed by *P. aeruginosa* PU15 lost 80% of its biomass after treatment with 50 µg/mL of ZnO-NPs.

2.4. Macroscopical and Microscopical Examination of Biofilm Disruption

Antibiofilm activity of ZnO-NPs was further assessed using the Congo red agar assay by monitoring the appearance of black colonies, which indicate the production of exopolysaccharides and the formation of biofilms. *P. aeruginosa* PU15 was streaked on GRA in the absence of ZnO-NPs and the bacteria formed black colonies (indicating biofilm formation), whereas bacteria cultured after treatment with ZnO-NPs formed red colonies and small black colonies. Red colonies show that biofilm formation by PU15 was impeded by ZnO-NPs, although bacterial viability was not highly affected (Figure 2a).

SEM was used to examine the morphology of biofilms from the PU15 strain and to monitor anatomical changes in the biofilm upon treatment with ZnO-NPs alone, as well as when combined with meropenem. Results showed that *P. aeruginosa* PU15 biofilms formed on glass coverslips for 24 h from clumped and aggregated cells. The addition of 8 μ g/mL of ZnO-NPs was able to reduce the size of biofilm clusters and control bacterial growth. Interestingly, the combination of ZnO-NPs and meropenem at MIC (8 and 4 μ g/mL, respectively) was able to dramatically eradicate the biofilm (Figure 2b).

2.5. Expression of Biofilm Genes

We then evaluated the expression profile of genes regulating biofilm formation, before and after treatment with ZnO-NPs, via RT-PCR. The results showed that expression of the tested genes significantly decreased in treated samples compared to untreated samples. A two- to four-fold reduction in *lasR*, *fliC*, and *pslA* gene expression was observed after treatment with ZnO-NPs, as shown in (Figure 3).





Figure 2. Phenotypic and SEM examination of antibiofilm activity. (a) The growth of *P. aeruginosa* PU15 (untreated or treated with ZnO-NPs) on Congo red agar. (b) SEM micrographs of biofilms formed by *P. aeruginosa* PU15 (scale bars 5 μ m and 2 μ m). Untreated biofilm, ZnO-NPs-treated biofilm, and meropenem–ZnO-NPs combination-treated biofilm.





2.6. Effect of ZnO-NPs and Meropenem on the Area % of Corneal Opacity as a Measure of Corneal Lesions

Pictures of infected corneas were processed using Imagej 1.52i software (NIH, Bethesda, MD, USA). As shown in Figure 4a, the Imagej-processed photographs designate the areas of focal lesions. As a measure of the clinical presentation of corneal P. aeruginosa infection, the Area % of corneal opacity was calculated. This method was performed to minimize bias and errors in assessments of clinical presentation scores by an ophthalmologist, even when blinded [30]. Therefore, more accurate determinations are assured. In this regard, treatment of keratitis with the meropenem-ZnO-NPs combination significantly attenuated infection in rats compared to treatment with meropenem alone. This is indicated by the significant reduction in the Area % of corneal opacity value in the rat group treated with the combination compared to the rat group solely treated with meropenem (Figure 4b).



Figure 4. Cont.



Figure 4. ZnO-NPs and meropenem mixture protects the rat cornea against pseudomonas-induced keratitis. (a) The Imagej-processed images of *P. aeruginosa*-infected eyes from different groups indicating focal lesions and corneal opacity (black areas), which represent a direct measure of the infection intensity. (b) Effect of meropenem and ZnO-NPs on the Area % of corneal opacity. Data are presented as Mean \pm Standard Deviation (SD). ** $p \le 0.01$; **** $p \le 0.0001$.

3. Discussion

Due to the excessive and imprudent use of antibiotics in human and veterinary medicine, the post-antibiotic era, when all of our discovered antibiotics become outmaneuvered by MDR bacteria or superbugs, is underway [3]. Among the most serious bacterial infections are those caused by *P. aeruginosa*, which is a highly volatile genome capable of developing fast resistance and a wide range of recalcitrant infections, including bloodstream infections, pneumonia, and both community-acquired and hospital-acquired diseases [31,32]. The resistance-developing characteristics of *P. aeruginosa* dominate in mixed infection communities and cause various infections (from skin to bloodstream and lung infections), which is why it is denoted by the World Health Organization (WHO) as one of the most critical pathogens requiring new approaches to control [32]. The severity of *P. aeruginosa* infections comes from their ability to form biofilms that can cover mucosal surfaces [2], as well as their ability to make embedded bacterial cells more difficult to eliminate [1]. Antibiotic susceptibility tests on 24 P. aeruginosa strains revealed that all tested strains exhibit resistance to meropenem. In addition, 91% of the strains were imipenemresistant. This resistance pattern against two of the most commonly used carbapenem antibiotics led us to investigate potential improvement in their activity by combining them with other non-antibiotic compounds that can disrupt bacterial biofilm.

Numerous studies have elucidated the possibility of strengthening and improving the activity of certain antibiotics by combining them with nanoparticles, either additively or synergistically [33]. The antimicrobial mechanism of action of NPs is generally assigned to one of three mechanisms: oxidative stress induction, [20] metal ion release [21], or non-oxidative mechanisms [22]. In agreement with other studies [28,34–39], combining meropenem with ZnO-NPs showed striking antimicrobial synergism against *P. aeruginosa*. It is known that carbapenems act as inhibitors of the peptidase domain of penicillinbinding proteins (PBPs), and carbapenems can impede peptide cross-linking as well as other

peptidase reactions. Cell wall formation is a three-dimensional process where formation and autolysis occur at the same time when PBPs are inhibited; as autolysis continues, it eventually leads to weakness in peptidoglycan and cell rupture [40]. It is also known that ZnO-NPs can inhibit bacterial growth by permeating into the cell membrane; moreover, ZnO-NPs can generate stress, leading to damage to lipids, carbohydrates, proteins, and DNA which eventually disrupts vital cellular functions [41].

All the tested strains in our study efficiently formed dense biofilms, and *P. aeruginosa* PU 15 showed the highest biofilm intensity. For combating biofilm-forming bacteria, there are three universal strategies: (i) evading cell-surface adhesion, (ii) distracting biofilm development and/or affecting biofilm architecture in order to increase antimicrobial penetration, and (iii) distressing biofilm maturation and/or inducing its dispersal and degradation [23,24]. In line with other studies [42], the substantial reduction in biofilm density (up to 85%) was observed upon treatment with sub-MIC doses of ZnO-NPs. Biofilm disruption was checked microscopically, and the SEM examination showed that the meropenem–ZnO-NPs combination was able to completely inhibit the pre-formed biofilm of *P. aeruginosa* PU15.

Biofilm formation is regulated at the molecular level through a package of biofilm genes (*lasR*, *fliC*, and *pslA*) [43], a reduction in biofilm density is associated with diminished expression of biofilm genes [44,45], and the quantification results of the expression of biofilm genes (*lasR*, *fliC*, and *pslA*) showed a significant decrease in expression. Taken together, the mixture of meropenem and ZnO-NPs downregulates biofilm gene expression, which provides reasonable explanation for the reduced biofilm density. Additionally, the small size of the ZnO-NPs increases their ability to penetrate the biofilm matrix and leads to more enhanced antibiofilm activity [28], which explains the complete removal of biofilm in some fields during SEM examination.

Finally, regarding benefits from the synergistic antimicrobial and antibiofilm effect of the meropenem–ZnO-NPs combination, the application of this mixture successfully protected the cornea rat model from pseudomonas-induced keratitis, which indicates the clinical significance of this combination, especially against bacterial keratitis.

4. Materials and Methods

4.1. Bacterial Strains

A total of 24 *P. aeruginosa* isolates, including standard strain *P. aeruginosa* PU15 (accession number: LC514698), were obtained from Mohamed et al. [46]. Twenty-three strains were genetically confirmed using the forward primer 5'-GGGGGATCTTCGGACCTCA-3' and reverse primer 5'-TCCTTAGAGTGCCCACCCG-3' (Midland Certified Reagent Company, Midland, TX, USA). The *P. aeruginosa* PU15 strain was used as a positive control. Stocks were maintained in 20% (v/v) glycerol at -80 °C until needed. Bacterial strains were grown on Cetrimide agar (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) overnight at 37 °C [47].

4.2. Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing

Ten antibiotics (purchased from Oxoid Ltd., Basingstoke, UK), namely imipenem (10 μ g), meropenem (10 μ g), levofloxacin (5 μ g), ceftazidime (30 μ g), gentamycin (10 μ g), amikacin (30 μ g), aztreonam (30 μ g), piperacillin (100 μ g), cefepime (30 μ g), and norfloxacin (10 μ g), were selected for the antibiotic susceptibility test with *P. aeruginosa* using the disk diffusion susceptibility method [48]. Overnight bacterial culture in Tryptic Soy Broth (TSB) (Difco, San Diego, CA, USA) was adjusted to 0.5 McFarland turbidity standards. Subsequently, 0.1 mL of bacterial suspension was spread, using sterile swabs, on Mueller-Hinton agar plates (HI Media Lab. Pvt. Ltd. Ref. M173). Duplicate plates were prepared for each isolate. The antibiotic discs were placed on the agar plates (within 15 min of the inoculation) using sterile forceps, to apply the discs at a distance of 2 cm apart from each other, and incubated for 16–18 h at 37 °C. After incubation, inhibition zones were visible and measured with a ruler, with the measurements recorded in mm [49].

4.3. Biofilm Assay Method

A biofilm assay was conducted for the 24 *P. aeruginosa* strains to compare their biofilm potential using the microtiter plate biofilm assay [50]. A total of 200 μ L of each strain, with a concentration of 10⁸ CFU/mL, was moved into a 96-well polystyrene flat-bottomed microtiter plate (Costar; Corning Inc., Corning, NY, USA) and incubated for 48 h at 37 °C. TSB media without any bacteria were used as a negative control. After incubation, the plate was washed three times with sterile saline to remove the planktonic cells and the wells were left to dry for 1 h at 37 °C. About 125 μ L of 0.1% crystal violet solution was added to each well and incubated for 20 min at room temperature in order to quantify the biofilm biomass, before then being washed three times with water. A total of 200 μ L of 95% ethanol was used to dissolve the stained biofilm, which was then applied to an ELISA plate reader to measure the optical density (O.D) at 570 nm.

4.4. Preparation of Antimicrobial Agents (Meropenem and ZnO-NPs)

(a) Meropenem stock solution (20 μ g/mL) was prepared by dissolving 0.02 mg of meropenem trihydrate (Meronem[®] IV 500 mg, Pfizer, New York, NY, USA) in 1 mL of sterile double-distilled water. This solution was freshly prepared before each experiment.

(b) The ZnO-NPs suspension was prepared immediately before each experiment. After weighing the ZnO nanopowder (677450- CAS NO:1314-13-2, Sigma, USA, \leq 50 nm), 10 mg of the powder was added to a tube containing 1 mL of sterile distilled water, which was then subjected to sonication in a pan sonicator (Branson, MO, USA) for at least 1 h until the uniformly suspended suspension was obtained. The resulting suspension was subjected to a vigorous vortex before each use.

4.5. Detection of the Antibiofilm Activity of ZnO-NPs

The antibiofilm activity of ZnO-NPs was monitored using the microtiter plate biofilm assay [51], as described previously. A total of 100 μ L of each strain, with a concentration of 10⁸ CFU/mL, was inoculated into a 96-well polystyrene flat-bottomed microtiter plate, followed by the addition of 200 μ L per well of ZnO-NPs (50 μ g/mL); wells left without any treatment were used as a positive control. Each treatment was applied in triplicate in three wells. The plate was incubated for 24 h at 37 °C without shaking. After incubation, the plates were washed three times to remove the planktonic cells, and wells were stained with 0.1% crystal violet solution for 20 min. The wells were then washed three times with water, and 200 μ L of 30% acetic acid was applied to dissolve the stained biofilm. The change in absorbance was evaluated by measuring the optical density (O.D) at 570 nm in order to detect the biofilm inhibition effect of ZnO-NPs against *P. aeruginosa*.

4.6. Determination of MICs of Meropenem and ZnO-NPs (Microdilution Method)

The broth microdilution method was conducted according to the recommendations of the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute [29] to determine the minimum inhibitory concentrations (MICs) of meropenem and ZnO-NPs against *P. aeruginosa* PU15. Double serial dilutions of meropenem and ZnO-NPs were prepared using 96-well microplates (Costar; Corning Inc., Corning NY, USA) with cation-adjusted Mueller–Hinton Broth (CAMHB, HI Media Lab. Pvt. Ltd. Ref. M1657). The concentration ranges of ZnO-NPs and meropenem were 1–128 and 1–512 µg/mL, respectively. The bacterial suspension was adjusted according to the 0.5 McFarland standards and added to each well (final bacterial concentration: ~10⁵ CFU/mL). Additionally, the control of bacterial growth (CAMHB + bacteria) and medium sterility (CAMHB) were tested on each test plate. Microtiter plates were incubated at 35–37 °C for 18–24 h. The MIC values were determined by comparing the growth density in the wells containing antibiotics with those in the control wells used in each test set. Each test was performed in triplicate.

4.7. Evaluation of Synergistic Antibacterial Activity (Checkerboard Microdilution Assay)

The activity of the ZnO-NPs–meropenem combination against *P. aeruginosa* PU15 was assessed using the checkerboard synergy technique [39] based on microdilution. The competence of the combination of the two antimicrobials was tested using a 96-well microtiter plate and CAMHB as a medium. Serial 2-fold dilutions of ZnO-NPs and meropenem were mixed in each well of a 96-well microtiter plate so that each row (and column) contained a fixed amount of one agent and increasing amounts of the second agent. Stock solutions of ZnO-NPs and meropenem were diluted in an appropriate volume of CAMHB. Bacterial suspension stock was adjusted to ~10⁵ CFU/mL. The resulting plate presents a pattern in which every well contains a unique combination of concentrations between the two agents. The concentrations of meropenem ranged from 0.5 to 512 μ g/mL, while ZnO-NPs concentrations ranged from 1 to 64 μ g/mL. Bacterial control (CAMHB + bacteria) and medium sterility control (CAMHB) for each plate were measured. The plates were incubated at 35–37 °C for 18–24 h. Each experiment was conducted three times. The fractional inhibition concentration (FIC) of both antimicrobials was calculated to be able to infer the results according to the following formulas:

FICA = ((MIC of A in Combination)/(MIC of A alone))

FICB = ((MIC of B in Combination)/(MIC of B alone))

FIC index (FICI) = FICA + FICB

FICI ≤ 0.5 was taken as synergism; $0.5 \leq$ FICI ≤ 4 was taken as indifference; and FICI > 4 was taken as antagonism [48].

4.8. Congo Red Agar Assay (CRA)

A Congo red agar assay (CRA) was performed, according to the method given by [52], to evaluate the role of ZnO-NPs on biofilm formation by *P. aeruginosa*. Brain heart infusion broth (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA), 20 g/L of agar powder, and 8% Congo red indicator were prepared and autoclaved at 121 °C for 15 min. *P. aeruginosa* PU15 treated with the MIC of ZnO-NPs was streaked. *P. aeruginosa* PU15 without any treatment was streaked and used as a control. The two groups (control and treatment) were incubated overnight at 37 °C. After incubation, both plate groups were compared for colony color. Black colonies with a dry crystalline uniformity indicate biofilm formation.

4.9. SEM

The antibiofilm activity of ZnO-NPs and the meropenem–ZnO-NPs combination against the biofilm formed by *P. aeruginosa* PU15 was examined using SEM, as described previously [53]. Briefly, a sterile coverslip was added to each well of a sterile 24-well microtiter plate (Sigma) using sterile forceps, with 200 µL of sterile brain heart infusion broth (BHIB, HI Media Lab. Pvt. Ltd. Ref. MV210) and 200 µL of a bacterial culture of *P. aeruginosa* PU15 (0.2 O.D at 600 nm) then added to each well. After incubation at 37 °C for 24 h, medium and planktonic bacteria on the glass coverslips were removed by washing the plate three times with PBS. Treatments with ZnO-NPs (8 μ g/mL), the meropenem–ZnO-NPs combination (4 μ g/mL and 8 μ g/mL, respectively), and the control saline-treated sample were applied separately (each on a coverslip) and incubated at 37 °C for 24 h. Afterward, coverslips were washed gently three times with PBS and fixed by 2.5% glutaraldehyde for 2 h. Fixed slides were subsequently washed again with PBS, and dehydrated through serially graded ethanol solutions. Slides were dried and gold-coated before imaging and examined using a JEOL scanning microscope (JSM 6510 lv, Peabody, MA, USA). The SEM images were obtained at the Electronic Microscope Unit, College of Agriculture, Mansoura University, Mansoura, Egypt.

4.10. Quantitative Reverse Transcriptase Polymerase Chain Reaction RT-PCR

RT-PCR was used to assess the expression of biofilm genes (lasR, fliC, and pslA) in P. aeruginosa PU15 both treated and untreated with ZnO-NPs. RNA was extracted from samples using a QIAamp Rneasy Mini kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany, GmbH). A volume of 200 µL of each sample was added to 600 µL of RLT buffer containing 10 µL of β -mercaptoethanol per 1 mL and incubated at room temperature for 10 min. One volume of 70% ethanol was added to the lysate, and the steps were completed according to the Total RNA Purification protocol of the QIAamp Rneasy Mini kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany, GmbH). The primers used in this step (Table 3) were supplied from Metabion (Germany) and the amplification curves of the primers are shown in Figure S2. Primers were utilized in a 25 μ L reaction containing 10 μ L of the 2× HERA SYBR[®] Green RT-qPCR Master Mix (Willowfort, UK), 1 μ L of RT Enzyme Mix (20×), 0.5 μ L of each primer at 20 pmol concentration, 5 μ L of water, and 3 μ L of RNA template [54]. The reaction was performed in a step one real-time PCR machine [55]. Amplification curves and ct values were determined by the step one software. To estimate the variation of gene expression in the RNA of the different samples, the CT of each sample was compared to that of the positive control group according to the " $\Delta\Delta$ Ct" method stated by Yuan et al. [56] using the following ratio: $(2^{-\Delta\Delta ct}).$

Table 3. Primers and RT-PCR thermal cycles.

Target Gene Primer Sequences References Primary References Ref	
	ence
165-rRNAGACGGGTGAGTAATGCCTA CACTGGTGTTCCTTCCTATA[57]	7]
Iask AAGTGGAAAATTGGAGTGGAG GTAGTTGCCGACGACGATGAAG 50 °C 94 °C 60 °C 72 °C	8]
$pslA \qquad \begin{array}{c} TCCCTACCTCAGCAGCAAGC \\ TGTTGTAGCCGTAGCGTTTCTG \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} 30 \text{ min} & 15 \text{ min} & 15 \text{ s} & 30 \text{ s} \\ \end{array} \qquad \qquad \begin{array}{c} 30 \text{ s} \end{array} \qquad \qquad \begin{array}{c} 30 \text{ s} \\ \end{array} \qquad \qquad \qquad \begin{array}{c} 30 \text{ s} \\ \end{array} \qquad \qquad \begin{array}{c} 30 \text{ s} \end{array} \qquad \qquad$	2]
flic TGAACGTGGCTACCAAGAACG TCTGCAGTTGCTTCACTTCGC [40]	נ]

4.11. Animal Study

Adult male SD rats (220 ± 20 g) were obtained from Delta University for Science and Technology, Egypt. All animal care and experimental procedures were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (Approval Number: FPDU17220/2) and carried out in accordance with relevant guidelines and regulations.

4.11.1. Induction of Keratitis

After anesthetization with an intraperitoneal ketamine (50 mg/kg)-xylazine (10 mg/kg) mixture [59,60], the right eye cornea of each rat was scarred using a 27-gauge needle (three 1-mm scratches). A volume of 10 μ L of 0.6% acetylcysteine was pipetted onto the cornea to break up the tear film and washed with normal saline solution. This was followed by the application and even distribution of a 10 μ L suspension containing (5 \times 10⁸ CFU) of *P. aeruginosa* onto the wounded cornea. The left eyes of rats served as a blank eye and were scarred by the same pattern but not subjected to infection [61]. This protocol induces inflammatory keratitis, wherein inflammatory cells infiltrate different corneal layers in response to an infectious exogenous agent. The ensuing modulation of inflammation and immune signaling exacerbates cellular damage [62] that necessitates effective bacterial clearance.

4.11.2. Experimental Design

For rapid induction of keratitis, thirty rats were divided into 5 groups (6 per group) as follows: the control group, in which uninfected rats served as control animals; normal rats, which served as control animals; untreated rats that were infected with *P. aeruginosa*; rats that were infected with *P. aeruginosa* and treated with ZnO-NPs dispersion; rats that

were infected with *P. aeruginosa* and treated with meropenem; and rats that were infected with *P. aeruginosa* and treated with meropenem + ZnO-NPs. Administration was started two days after infection. The infection was established and confirmed by an ophthal-mologist who was blinded to the treatment protocol. A clear, visibly cloudy appearance of corneas was observed on the second day after *P. aeruginosa* infection. Treatment was continued for another 3 days.

4.12. Statistical Analysis

Using GraphPad Prism software version 8.0.2, statistical analysis was conducted (GraphPad Software Inc., La Jolla, CA, USA). Two-tailed unpaired T-tests were employed to analyze significance in Figures 1 and 3, and differences between groups in Figure 4 were analyzed by one-way analysis of variance followed by a post-hoc Tukey test. A value of $p \leq 0.05$ was considered to indicate statistical significance.

5. Conclusions

In conclusion, our study revealed the potential application of combination therapies of NPs with antibiotics, as opposed to the use of monotherapies which may lead to more resistance and, consequently, treatment failures. Our results earmark the improved antibacterial and antibiofilm activity of the meropenem–ZnO-NPs combination, representing a successful strategy for overcoming the emergent resistance of *P. aeruginosa* against carbapenems.

Supplementary Materials: The following are available online at https://www.mdpi.com/article/10.3 390/antibiotics11101374/s1, Figure S1: A schematic diagram of the distribution of meropenem and ZnO-NPs concentration in a 96-well plate; Figure S2: Data sheet of RT-PCR showing amplification curves.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization: G.Y. and M.E.-T.; methodology, M.E.-T., A.A.M., A.A., M.S.A.-H., A.H.M., S.S. and M.A.-H.; software, M.E.-T. and G.Y.; validation G.Y., M.E.-T., A.A., M.S.A.-H., A.A.M. and M.A.-H.; formal analysis, G.Y., M.E.-T., A.A.M. and F.A.; investigation, G.Y., S.S. and M.E.-T.; resources, H.A.F., G.H.A. and M.A.A.; funding acquisition, M.A.A.; data curation, G.Y., M.E.-T., F.A., A.A.M. and M.A.-H.; writing—original draft preparation, all authors contributed equally; writing—review and editing, G.Y., M.E.-T., A.A.M., F.A., S.S. and M.A.-H.; visualization, G.Y., M.E.-T. and A.A.M.; supervision, G.Y.; project administration, M.E.-T. and M.A.-H. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: The Deanship of Scientific Research (DSR) at King Abdulaziz University, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia has funded this project under grant no. (RG-14-166-42).

Institutional Review Board Statement: The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and approved by the Institutional Review Board (or Ethics Committee) of Delta University for Science and Technology, Egypt (Approval Number: FPDU17220/2).

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: Not applicable.

Acknowledgments: The authors acknowledge Mai W. Nasr for her assistance in writing the first draft of this research. The Deanship of Scientific Research (DSR) at King Abdulaziz University, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia has funded this project under grant no. (RG-14-166-42). The authors, therefore, acknowledge with thanks the DSR for technical and financial support.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- Maurice, N.M.; Bedi, B.; Sadikot, R.T. *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* biofilms: Host response and clinical implications in lung infections. *Am. J. Respir. Cell Mol. Biol.* 2018, 58, 428–439. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 2. Tacconelli, E. *Global Priority List of Antibiotic-Resistant Bacteria to Guide Research, Discovery, and Development;* Infection Control Africa Network: Cape Town, South Africa, 2017.
- 3. Khan, S.N.; Khan, A.U. Breaking the spell: Combating multidrug resistant 'superbugs'. *Front. Microbiol.* **2016**, *7*, 174. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 4. Høiby, N.; Ciofu, O.; Bjarnsholt, T. Pseudomonas aeruginosa biofilms in cystic fibrosis. Future Microbiol. 2010, 5, 1663–1674. [CrossRef]

- Chen, L.; Zou, Y.; Kronfl, A.A.; Wu, Y. Type VI secretion system of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* is associated with biofilm formation but not environmental adaptation. *MicrobiologyOpen* 2020, 9, e991. [CrossRef]
- Suriyanarayanan, T.; Periasamy, S.; Lin, M.H.; Ishihama, Y.; Swarup, S. Flagellin *FliC* phosphorylation affects type 2 protease secretion and biofilm dispersal in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* PAO1. *PLoS ONE* 2016, *11*, e0164155. [CrossRef]
- Emami, S.; Nikokar, I.; Ghasemi, Y.; Ebrahimpour, M.; Ebrahim-Saraie, H.S.; Araghian, A.; Faezi, S.; Farahbakhsh, M.; Rajabi, A. Antibiotic resistance pattern and distribution of pslA gene among biofilm producing *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* isolated from waste water of a burn center. *Jundishapur J. Microbiol.* 2015, 8, e23669. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Morris, A.J.; Jackson, L.; Yau, Y.C.W.; Reichhardt, C.; Beaudoin, T.; Uwumarenogie, S.; Guttman, K.M.; Lynne Howell, P.; Parsek, M.R.; Hoffman, L.R.; et al. The role of Psl in the failure to eradicate *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* biofilms in children with cystic fibrosis. *NPJ Biofilms Microbiomes* 2021, 7, 63. [CrossRef]
- Cegelski, L.; Marshall, G.R.; Eldridge, G.R.; Hultgren, S.J. The biology and future prospects of antivirulence therapies. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* 2008, 6, 17–27. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- da Silva, B.L.; Abuçafy, M.P.; Manaia, E.B.; Junior, J.A.O.; Chiari-Andréo, B.G.; Pietro, R.C.R.; Chiavacci, L.A. Relationship between structure and antimicrobial activity of zinc oxide nanoparticles: An overview. *Int. J. Nanomed.* 2019, 14, 9395. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Neu, H.C.; Labthavikul, P. Comparative *in vitro* activity of N-formimidoyl thienamycin against gram-positive and gramnegative aerobic and anaerobic species and its beta-lactamase stability. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 1982, 21, 180–187. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 12. Wiseman, L.R.; Wagstaff, A.J.; Brogden, R.N.; Bryson, H.M. Meropenem. Drugs 1995, 50, 73–101. [CrossRef]
- 13. Pragasam, A.K.; Raghanivedha, M.; Anandan, S.; Veeraraghavan, B. Characterization of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* with discrepant carbapenem susceptibility profile. *Ann. Clin. Microbiol. Antimicrob.* **2016**, *15*, 12. [CrossRef]
- 14. Wang, L.; Hu, C.; Shao, L. The antimicrobial activity of nanoparticles: Present situation and prospects for the future. *Int. J. Nanomed.* **2017**, *12*, 1227. [CrossRef]
- 15. Raghunath, A.; Perumal, E. Metal oxide nanoparticles as antimicrobial agents: A promise for the future. *Int. J. Antimicrob. Agents* **2017**, *49*, 137–152. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Guo, B.L.; Han, P.; Guo, L.C.; Cao, Y.Q.; Li, A.D.; Kong, J.Z.; Zhai, H.F.; Wu, D. The antibacterial activity of Ta-doped ZnO nanoparticles. *Nanoscale Res. Lett.* 2015, 10, 336. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Reddy, L.S.; Nisha, M.M.; Joice, M.; Shilpa, P.N. Antimicrobial activity of zinc oxide (ZnO) nanoparticle against *Klebsiella* pneumoniae. Pharm. Biol. 2014, 52, 1388–1397. [CrossRef]
- 18. Makhluf, S.; Dror, R.; Nitzan, Y.; Abramovich, Y.; Jelinek, R.; Gedanken, A. Microwave-assisted synthesis of nanocrystalline MgO and its use as a bacteriocide. *Adv. Funct. Mater.* **2005**, *15*, 1708–1715. [CrossRef]
- Hameed, A.S.H.; Karthikeyan, C.; Ahamed, A.P.; Thajuddin, N.; Alharbi, N.S.; Alharbi, S.A.; Ravi, G. In vitro antibacterial activity of ZnO and Nd doped ZnO nanoparticles against ESBL producing *Escherichia coli* and *Klebsiella pneumoniae*. *Sci. Rep.* 2016, 6, 24312. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Gurunathan, S.; Han, J.W.; Dayem, A.A.; Eppakayala, V.; Kim, J.H. Oxidative stress-mediated antibacterial activity of graphene oxide and reduced graphene oxide in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. Int. J. Nanomed. 2012, 7, 5901. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Nagy, A.; Harrison, A.; Sabbani, S.; Munson Jr, R.S.; Dutta, P.K.; Waldman, W.J. Silver nanoparticles embedded in zeolite membranes: Release of silver ions and mechanism of antibacterial action. *Int. J. Nanomed.* 2011, 6, 1833.
- Leung, Y.H.; Ng, A.M.; Xu, X.; Shen, Z.; Gethings, L.A.; Wong, M.T.; Chan, C.M.N.; Yu, L.H.; Phillips, D.L.; Ma, A.P.Y.; et al. Mechanisms of antibacterial activity of MgO: Non-ROS mediated toxicity of MgO nanoparticles towards *Escherichia coli*. *Small* 2014, 10, 1171–1183. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 23. Abdel-Halim, M.S.; Askoura, M.; Mansour, B.; Yahya, G.; El-Ganiny, A.M. In vitro activity of celastrol in combination with thymol against carbapenem-resistant Klebsiella pneumoniae isolates. *J. Antibiot.* **2022**, 1–12. [CrossRef]
- Masák, J.; Čejková, A.; Schreiberová, O.; Řezanka, T. Pseudomonas biofilms: Possibilities of their control. FEMS Microbiol. Ecol. 2014, 89, 1–14. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Sirelkhatim, A.; Mahmud, S.; Seeni, A.; Kaus, N.H.M.; Ann, L.C.; Bakhori, S.K.M.; Hasan, H.; Mohamad, D. Review on zinc oxide nanoparticles: Antibacterial activity and toxicity mechanism. *Nanomicro Lett.* 2015, 7, 219–242. [CrossRef]
- 26. Tiwari, V.; Mishra, N.; Gadani, K.; Solanki, P.S.; Shah, N.A.; Tiwari, M. Mechanism of anti-bacterial activity of zinc oxide nanoparticle against carbapenem-resistant *Acinetobacter baumannii*. *Front. Microbiol.* **2018**, *9*, 1218. [CrossRef]
- 27. Ali, S.G.; Ansari, M.A.; Alzohairy, M.A.; Alomary, M.N.; Jalal, M.; AlYahya, S.; Asiri, S.M.M.; Khan, H.M. Effect of biosynthesized ZnO nanoparticles on multi-drug resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *Antibiotics* **2020**, *9*, 260. [CrossRef]
- Fadwa, A.O.; Alkoblan, D.K.; Mateen, A.; Albarag, A.M. Synergistic effects of zinc oxide nanoparticles and various antibiotics combination against *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* clinically isolated bacterial strains. *Saudi J. Biol. Sci.* 2021, 28, 928–935. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Köse, E.O. In vitro activity of carvacrol in combination with meropenem against carbapenem-resistant *Klebsiella pneumoniae*. *Folia Microbiol.* 2022, 67, 143–156. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- El-Gizawy, S.A.; Nouh, A.; Saber, S.; Kira, A.Y. Deferoxamine-loaded transfersomes accelerates healing of pressure ulcers in streptozotocin-induced diabetic rats. J. Drug Deliv. Sci. Technol. 2020, 58, 101732. [CrossRef]
- 31. English, B.K.; Gaur, A.H. The use and abuse of antibiotics and the development of antibiotic resistance. In *Hot Topics in Infection and Immunity in Children VI*; Springer: New York, NY, USA, 2010; pp. 73–82.

- 32. Driscoll, J.A.; Brody, S.L.; Kollef, M.H. The epidemiology, pathogenesis and treatment of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* infections. *Drugs* **2007**, *67*, 351–368. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Venubabu Thati, A.; Roy, S.; Prasad, M.A.; Shivannavar, C.; Gaddad, S. Nanostructured zinc oxide enhances the activity of antibiotics against *Staphylococcus aureus*. J. Biosci. Technol. 2010, 1, 64–69.
- Naqvi, S.Z.H.; Kiran, U.; Ali, M.I.; Jamal, A.; Hameed, A.; Ahmed, S.; Ali, N. Combined efficacy of biologically synthesized silver nanoparticles and different antibiotics against multidrug-resistant bacteria. *Int. J. Nanomed.* 2013, *8*, 3187–3195. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Allahverdiyev, A.M.; Kon, K.V.; Abamor, E.S.; Bagirova, M.; Rafailovich, M. Coping with antibiotic resistance: Combining nanoparticles with antibiotics and other antimicrobial agents. *Expert Rev. Anti-Infect. Ther.* 2011, 9, 1035–1052. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 36. Huh, A.J.; Kwon, Y.J. "Nanoantibiotics": A new paradigm for treating infectious diseases using nanomaterials in the antibiotics resistant era. *J. Control. Release* **2011**, *156*, 128–145. [CrossRef]
- Wan, G.; Ruan, L.; Yin, Y.; Yang, T.; Ge, M.; Cheng, X. Effects of silver nanoparticles in combination with antibiotics on the resistant bacteria *Acinetobacter baumannii*. *Int. J. Nanomed.* 2016, *11*, 3789–3800. [CrossRef]
- Deng, H.; McShan, D.; Zhang, Y.; Sinha, S.S.; Arslan, Z.; Ray, P.C.; Yu, H. Mechanistic Study of the Synergistic Antibacterial Activity of Combined Silver Nanoparticles and Common Antibiotics. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 2016, 50, 8840–8848. [CrossRef]
- Moody, J. Synergism testing: Broth microdilution checkerboard and broth macrodilution method. In *Clinical Microbiology* Procedures Handbook; Gracia, L.S., Ed.; ASM Press: Washington, DC, USA, 2010; Volume 16, pp. 1–28.
- 40. Papp-Wallace, K.M.; Endimiani, A.; Taracila, M.A.; Bonomo, R.A. Carbapenems: Past, present, and future. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 2011, 55, 4943–4960. [CrossRef]
- Siddiqi, K.S.; Husen, A. Properties of zinc oxide nanoparticles and their activity against microbes. *Nanoscale Res. Lett.* 2018, 13, 141. [CrossRef]
- 42. Mahamuni-Badiger, P.P.; Patil, P.M.; Badiger, M.V.; Patel, P.R.; Thorat-Gadgil, B.S.; Pandit, A.; Bohara, R.A. Biofilm formation to inhibition: Role of zinc oxide-based nanoparticles. *Mater. Sci. Eng. C* 2020, *108*, 110319. [CrossRef]
- 43. Whiteley, M.; Bangera, M.G.; Bumgarner, R.E.; Parsek, M.R.; Teitzel, G.M.; Lory, S.; Greenberg, E.P. Gene expression in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* biofilms. *Nature* **2001**, *413*, 860–864. [CrossRef]
- Gomaa, S.E.; Shaker, G.H.; Mosallam, F.M.; Abbas, H.A. Knocking down *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* virulence by oral hypoglycemic metformin nano emulsion. *World J. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* 2022, 38, 119. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 45. Aldawsari, M.F.; Khafagy, E.S.; Saqr, A.A.; Alalaiwe, A.; Abbas, H.A.; Shaldam, M.A.; Hegazy, W.A.H.; Goda, R.M. Tackling virulence of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* by the Natural Furanone Sotolon. *Antibiotics* **2021**, *10*, 871. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 46. Mohamed, A.A.; Elshawadfy, A.M.; Amin, G.; Askora, A. Characterization of R-pyocin activity against Gram-positive pathogens for the first time with special focus on *Staphylococcus aureus*. J. Appl. Microbiol. **2021**, 131, 2780–2792. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Khalil, R.; Yahya, G.; Abdo, W.S.; El-Tanbouly, G.S.; Johar, D.; Abdel-Halim, M.S.; Eissa, H.; Magheru, C.; Saber, S.; Cavalu, S. Emerging Approach for the Application of *Hibiscus sabdariffa* Extract Ointment in the Superficial Burn Care. *Sci. Pharm.* 2022, 90, 41. [CrossRef]
- Bauer, A.W. Antibiotic susceptibility testing by a standardized single disc method. *Am. J. Clin. Pathol.* **1966**, *45*, 149–158. [CrossRef]
 El-Baz, A.M.: Yahya, G.: Mansour, B.: El-Sokkary, M.M.: Alshaman, R.: Alattar, A.: El-Ganiny, A.M. The Link between occurrence
- El-Baz, A.M.; Yahya, G.; Mansour, B.; El-Sokkary, M.M.; Alshaman, R.; Alattar, A.; El-Ganiny, A.M. The Link between occurrence of class I integron and acquired aminoglycoside resistance in clinical MRSA isolates. *Antibiotics* 2021, 10, 488. [CrossRef]
- 50. Merritt, J.H.; Kadouri, D.E.; O'Toole, G.A. Growing and analyzing static biofilms. *Curr. Protoc. Microbiol.* 2011, 22, 1–18. [CrossRef]
- 51. CLSI. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. Performance Standards for Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing; Clinical and Laboratory standards Institute: Pittsburgh, PA, USA, 2021; 352p.
- 52. Azeredo, J.; Azevedo, N.F.; Briandet, R.; Cerca, N.; Coenye, T.; Costa, A.R.; Desvaux, M.; Di Bonaventura, G.; Hébraud, M.; Jaglic, Z.; et al. Critical review on biofilm methods. *Crit. Rev. Microbiol.* **2017**, *43*, 313–351. [CrossRef]
- El-Telbany, M.; El-Didamony, G.; Askora, A.; Ariny, E.; Abdallah, D.; Connerton, I.F.; El-Shibiny, A. Bacteriophages to control multi-drug resistant Enterococcus faecalis infection of dental root canals. *Microorganisms* 2021, 9, 517. [CrossRef]
- Yahya, G.; Hashem, M.N.; Pijuan, J.; Seleem, N.M.; Mosbah, R.; Hess, S.; Abdelmoaty, A.A.; Almeer, R.; Abdel-Daim, M.M.; Alshaman, H.S.; et al. Profiling the physiological pitfalls of anti-hepatitis C direct-acting agents in budding yeast. *Microbial. Biotechnol.* 2021, 14, 2199–2213. [CrossRef]
- Lima, J.L.D.C.; Alves, L.R.; Jacomé, P.R.L.D.A.; Bezerra Neto, J.P.; Maciel, M.A.V.; Morais, M.M.C.D. Biofilm production by clinical isolates of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and structural changes in LasR protein of isolates non biofilm-producing. *Brazilian J. Infect. Dis.* 2018, 22, 129–136. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 56. Yuan, G.C.; Ma, P.; Zhong, W.; Liu, J.S. Statistical assessment of the global regulatory role of histone acetylation in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Genome Biol.* **2006**, *7*, R70. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 57. Coenye, T.; Spilker, T.; Van Schoor, A.; LiPuma, J.J.; Vandamme, P. Recovery of *Burkholderia cenocepacia* strain PHDC from cystic fibrosis patients in Europe. *Thorax* 2004, 59, 952–954. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 58. Ghadaksaz, A.; Fooladi, A.A.I.; Hosseini, H.M.; Amin, M. The prevalence of some Pseudomonas virulence genes related to biofilm formation and alginate production among clinical isolates. *J. Appl. Biomed.* **2015**, *13*, 61–68. [CrossRef]
- Saber, S.; Khalil, R.M.; Abdo, W.S.; Nassif, D.; El-Ahwany, E. Olmesartan ameliorates chemically-induced ulcerative colitis in rats via modulating NFκB and Nrf-2/HO-1 signaling crosstalk. *Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol.* 2019, 364, 120–132. [CrossRef]

- 60. Nasr, M.; Teiama, M.; Ismail, A.; Ebada, A.; Saber, S. In vitro and in vivo evaluation of cubosomal nanoparticles as an ocular delivery system for fluconazole in treatment of keratomycosis. *Drug Deliv. Transl. Res.* **2020**, *10*, 1841–1852. [CrossRef]
- 61. Yahya, G.; Ebada, A.; Khalaf, E.M.; Mansour, B.; Nouh, N.A.; Mosbah, R.A.; Saber, S.; Moustafa, M.; Negm, S.; El-Sokkary, M.M.; et al. Soil-associated Bacillus species: A reservoir of bioactive compounds with potential therapeutic activity against human pathogens. *Microorganisms* **2021**, *9*, 1131. [CrossRef]
- Abdel-Ghany, R.; Rabia, I.; El-Ahwany, E.; Saber, S.; Gamal, R.; Nagy, F.; Mahmoud, O.; Hamad, R.S.; Barakat, W. Blockade of PGE2, PGD2 receptors confers protection against prepatent *Schistosomiasis mansoni* in mice. *J. Egypt. Soc. Parasitol.* 2015, 45, 511–520. [CrossRef]