

UC Berkeley

UC Berkeley Previously Published Works

Title

Combined Experimental and Theoretical Study on the Formation of the Elusive 2-Methyl-1-silacycloprop-2-enylidene Molecule under Single Collision Conditions via Reactions of the Silylidyne Radical (SiH; X(2)Π) with Allene (H₂CCCH₂; X(1)A₁) and D₄-Alle...

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/82n2q905>

Journal

The journal of physical chemistry. A, 119(50)

ISSN

1089-5639

Authors

Yang, Tao
Dangi, Beni B
Maksyutenko, Pavlo
et al.

Publication Date

2015-12-01

DOI

10.1021/acs.jpca.5b09773

Peer reviewed

This document is confidential and is proprietary to the American Chemical Society and its authors. Do not copy or disclose without written permission. If you have received this item in error, notify the sender and delete all copies.

A Combined Experimental and Theoretical Study on the Formation of the Elusive 2-Methyl-1-silacycloprop-2-enylidene Molecule under Single Collision Conditions via Reactions of the Silyldyne Radical (SiH ; $X^2\Pi$) with Allene (H_2CCCH_2 ; X^1A_1) and D4-Allene (D_2CCCD_2 ; X^1A_1)

Journal:	<i>The Journal of Physical Chemistry</i>
Manuscript ID	jp-2015-09773w.R1
Manuscript Type:	Special Issue Article
Date Submitted by the Author:	03-Nov-2015
Complete List of Authors:	Yang, Tao; University of Hawai'i at Manoa, Chemistry Department, Dangi, Beni; University of Hawai'i at Manoa, Chemistry Department, Maksyutenko, Pavlo; University of Hawai'i at Manoa, Chemistry Department, Kaiser, Ralf; University of Hawai'i at Manoa, Chemistry Department, Bertels, Luke; University of California, Berkeley, Chemistry Department, Head-Gordon, Martin; University of California, Berkeley, Chemistry Department,

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32

A Combined Experimental and Theoretical Study on the Formation of the Elusive 2-Methyl-1-silacycloprop-2-enylidene Molecule under Single Collision Conditions via Reactions of the Silyldyne Radical (SiH ; $X^2\Pi$) with Allene (H_2CCCH_2 ; X^1A_1) and D4-Allene (D_2CCCD_2 ; X^1A_1)

17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Tao Yang, Beni B. Dangi, Pavlo Maksyutenko, Ralf I. Kaiser*

Department of Chemistry, University of Hawai'i at Manoa, Honolulu HI 96822

Luke W. Bertels, Martin Head-Gordon*

Department of Chemistry, University of California, Berkeley, Berkeley CA 94720

Corresponding Authors:

Professor Dr. Ralf I. Kaiser; Email: ralfk@hawaii.edu; Phone: +1-808-956-5731

Professor Dr. Martin Head-Gordon; Email: mhg@cchem.berkeley.edu; Phone: +1-510-642-5957

ABSTRACT

The crossed molecular beam reactions of the ground state silylidyne radical (SiH ; $X^2\Pi$) with allene (H_2CCCH_2 ; X^1A_1) and D4-allene (D_2CCCD_2 ; X^1A_1) were carried out at collision energies of 30 kJ mol^{-1} . Electronic structure calculations propose that the reaction of silylidyne with allene has no entrance barrier and is initiated by silylidyne addition to the π electron density of allene either to one carbon atom (C1/C2) or to both carbon atoms simultaneously via indirect (complex forming) reaction dynamics. The initially formed addition complexes isomerize via *two distinct reaction pathways* leading eventually both to a cyclic SiC_3H_5 intermediate. The latter decomposes through a loose exit transition state via an atomic hydrogen loss perpendicularly to the plane of the decomposing complex (sideways scattering) in an overall exoergic reaction (experimentally: $-19 \pm 13 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$; computationally: $-5 \pm 3 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$). This hydrogen loss yields the hitherto elusive 2-methyl-1-silacycloprop-2-enylidene molecule ($\text{c-SiC}_3\text{H}_4$), which can be derived from the closed shell cyclopropenylidene molecule ($\text{c-C}_3\text{H}_2$) by replacing a hydrogen atom with a methyl group and the carbene carbon atom by the isovalent silicon atom. The synthesis of the 2-methyl-1-silacycloprop-2-enylidene molecule in the bimolecular gas phase reaction of silylidyne with allene enriches our understanding toward the formation of organosilicon species in the gas phase of the interstellar medium in particular via exoergic reactions of no entrance barrier. This facile route to 2-methyl-1-silacycloprop-2-enylidene via a silylidyne radical reaction with allene opens up a versatile approach to form hitherto poorly characterized silicon-bearing species in extraterrestrial environments; this reaction class might represent the missing link leading from silicon-bearing radicals via organosilicon chemistry eventually to silicon-carbon-rich interstellar grains even in cold molecular clouds where temperatures are as low as 10 K.

1. Introduction

The energetics and dynamics of elementary reactions of the simplest silicon-bearing radical – silylidyne ($\text{SiH}(X^2\Pi)$) – with prototype hydrocarbon molecules under single collision conditions is of crucial interest to understand the formation of organosilicon molecules both from the experimental and theoretical viewpoints spanning astrochemistry and physical organic chemistry. First, considering the astrochemical relevance, an understanding of the chemical reaction dynamics of silylidyne with prototype hydrocarbon molecules is necessary to reveal the underlying molecular processes involved in the formation of organosilicon molecules in the interstellar medium.¹⁻⁴ This is due to the key role of silicon-bearing molecules in the formation of silicon carbide dust grains in the outflow of circumstellar envelopes of carbon rich Asymptotic Giant Branch (AGB) stars like IRC+10216,⁵⁻¹⁴ which may account for up to 80 % of the silicon abundance in the interstellar medium bound to carbon.¹⁵⁻¹⁷ However, with temperatures rising up to a few 1,000 K close to the photosphere of the central star,¹⁸ the basic molecular processes, which link the circumstellar silicon and carbon chemistries to dust formation, are far from being understood.¹⁹⁻²¹ Astrochemical models proposed that the formation of organosilicon molecules are driven by reactions of small silicon-bearing radicals such as silylidyne with hydrocarbon molecules,^{2, 22-24} involving atomic and/or molecular hydrogen replacement channels (reactions (1) and (2), respectively). Silylidyne radicals are predicted to be present at fractional abundances of up to 2×10^{-7} within two stellar radii of IRC+10216,²⁵ however, the chemical dynamics of these reactions with key hydrocarbon molecules have not been explored yet.



These considerations have led to the development of astrochemical models of, for instance, the circumstellar organosilicon chemistry of the bright carbon star IRC+10216. Nevertheless, astrochemical models show inconsistent growth mechanisms for the principal routes to the build-up of organosilicon molecules in the interstellar medium. For instance, calculated column densities of silicon carbide (SiC) and silicon dicarbide (c-SiC_2) are diverged by up to a factor of three from observed values.¹⁶ This disagreement is based on inaccurate laboratory data such as product branching ratios and incorrect thermochemistry of the neutral-neutral reactions of silylidyne radicals with hydrocarbon molecules.²⁶⁻²⁷ Based on these shortcomings, Ziurys called

1
2
3
4 explicitly for a systematic experimental study on the formation and chemical bonding of (hydro-
5 genated) silicon carbides via reactions of silicon bearing species.^{15, 28-30} Likewise, Millar et al.
6 reiterated that experimental studies on reactions of silicon-bearing radicals are imperative,
7 stressing that the products of silyldiyne radical reactions are completely unknown and that the
8 branching ratios are simply guessed – a crucial limitation of these models.³¹⁻³⁴ In preliminary
9 models, Howe and Millar proposed key neutral-neutral reaction mechanisms aiming to
10 rationalize the formation of silicon-carbide clusters in the outflow of the envelopes.³⁵ On the
11 other hand, Gensheimer et al. proposed that the formation of silicon dicarbide (c-SiC₂) involves
12 hitherto unstudied ion-molecule reactions with acetylene (C₂H₂) acting as the progenitor.³⁶
13 However, the penetration of the interstellar ultraviolet field has been limited thus failing to
14 produce ionized species in the inner shell of IRC+10216. Therefore, MacKay and Charnley
15 confirmed the necessity of incorporating neutral-neutral reactions pointing out that silane (SiH₄)
16 might represent a precursor to the silyldiyne radical.¹⁶ Willacy and Cherchneff demonstrated
17 nicely that in the region of thermal equilibrium, the silyldiyne radicals have significant fractional
18 abundances of up to 2×10^{-7} ,²⁵ which is three orders of magnitude higher than the silylene (SiH₂;
19 1×10^{-10}). Based on these considerations, Wakelam,²³ Ziurys,^{15, 30} Millar,^{2, 29} and Kaiser^{37, 38} et al.
20 emphasized the need of the involvement of silicon-bearing molecules and the connection of the
21 silicon with the circumstellar carbon chemistry. Therefore, if we determine the chemical
22 dynamics of silyldiyne radical (SiH(X²Π)) reactions with key hydrocarbon molecules, we will
23 eliminate these central uncertainties and provide well-constrained information on the reaction
24 products (organosilicon molecules) and their branching ratios – crucial data to rationalize the
25 interstellar organosilicon chemistry.
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42

43 Second, organosilicon molecules have attracted substantial interest from the physical organic
44 chemistry community to better understand basic concepts of molecular structure and chemical
45 bonding of carbon versus silicon.^{8, 39-51} Although silicon and carbon belong to the same main
46 group and hence are isovalent, the chemical bonding of carbon versus silicon is quite distinct.
47 This is well demonstrated by the structure of the cyclic C_{2v} symmetric c-SiC₂ molecule, while the
48 tricarbon (C₃) molecule is linear. Likewise, isovalent cyano (CN) and silicon nitride (SiN)
49 radicals have discrete reactivities with acetylene and ethylene leading to nitriles (HCCCN,
50 C₂H₃CN)⁵²⁻⁵⁵ and isosilacyano products (HCCNSi, C₂H₃NSi),⁵⁵⁻⁵⁷ respectively. A replacement of
51 a carbon by an isovalent silicon atom may lead to novel molecules, whose carbon-analog
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 counterparts do not exist. So far, these aspects of the organosilicon chemistry and the formation
4 of chemical bonds involving silicon are not well understood.
5
6

7
8 Since the first synthesis and characterization of the silicon-carbon double bond in 1,1,2-
9 trimethylsilaethylene ((CH₃)₃SiCH) in 1976,⁵⁸ increasing interest has been devoted to strained
10 and unsaturated organosilicon compounds. Gordon et al. conducted ab initio calculations at the
11 6-31G* and 3-21G* levels on selected SiC₃H₄ isomers and identified 10 cyclic structures and 8
12 acyclic isomers with the global minimum proposed to be 2-methyl-1-silacycloprop-2-en-1-ylidene
13 (6) (Figure 1).⁵⁹⁻⁶¹ Further, 4-silatriafulvene (SiC₃H₄) was proposed to hold a nonplanar C_s
14 symmetry due to the aromatic character of the ring system.⁶²⁻⁶³ This is in strong contrast to
15 methylenecyclopropene (c-C₄H₄) which is C_{2v} symmetric. The intrinsic polarity of the Si=C
16 bond in 4-silatriafulvene aroused substantial interest from the synthetic organic chemistry
17 community leading to the first synthesis of isolable 4-silatriafulvene more than a decade after
18 Gordon's studies.⁶⁴⁻⁶⁵ Further, enlightened by facile chemisorption of cycloalkenes on metal
19 surfaces, Gentle and Muetterties synthesized silacyclobutane (SiC₃H₈) and silacyclobutadiene
20 (SiC₃H₄) on a Pd(110) surface via thermal desorption.⁶⁶ Fink et al. generated silacyclobutadiene
21 in hydro-carbon glass at 77 K via a photochemical rearrangement of cyclopropenylsilylene;⁶⁷
22 Puranik compiled detailed synthetic routes leading to silacyclobutadiene.⁶⁸
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34

35 Despite the key role of small organosilicon molecules in understanding basic concepts of
36 chemical bonding compared to their isoelectronic hydrocarbon counterparts, and in rationalizing
37 the role of silicon-bearing molecules in interstellar environments such as in circumstellar
38 envelopes of carbon stars like IRC+10216, the fundamental question, how these silicon-bearing
39 molecules are formed, has not been answered. Likewise, an experimental and theoretical inves-
40 tigation of the related potential energy surfaces is still in its infancy. The only studies under
41 single collision conditions have been limited to the atomic silicon – acetylene³⁷ and silylidyne –
42 acetylene systems³⁸ leading to the linear ethynylsilylidyne radical (SiCCH) and to silacyclopro-
43 penylidene (c-SiC₂H₂) via atomic hydrogen loss in single collision events. Here, the elucidation
44 of reaction mechanisms involving organosilicon molecules and a comparison with the corres-
45 ponding carbon analog systems under single collision conditions can help to shed light not only
46 on the distinct reactivity of ground state silylidyne (SiH(X²Π)) versus methylidyne radicals
47 (CH(X²Π)), but also on the molecular structures and chemical bonding of hitherto elusive
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 molecules within the SiC_xH_y ($x \leq 6$, $y \leq 6$) systems. This comparison of the chemical behavior of
5
6 silicon relative to carbon will have a crucial impact in rationalizing chemical bonding involving
7
8 silicon atoms and how we think about chemical structure. Here, we expand on our knowledge of
9
10 the formation of small organosilicon molecules under single collision conditions, and present the
11
12 results of a combined experimental and theoretical investigation of the reaction of the ground
13
14 state silylidyne (SiH ; $X^2\Pi$) with allene (H_2CCCH_2 ; X^1A_1).

15 16 2. Experimental Methods

17
18
19 The crossed beam reactions of the silylidyne radical (SiH ; $X^2\Pi$) with allene (H_2CCCH_2 ; X^1A_1)
20
21 and with D4-allene (D_2CCCD_2 ; X^1A_1) were conducted in a universal crossed molecular beams
22
23 machine under single collision conditions.⁶⁹⁻⁷³ We generated a pulsed supersonic beam of ground
24
25 state silylidyne radical (SiH ; $X^2\Pi$) via the photolysis of disilane (Si_2H_6 ; 99.998 %; Voltaix)
26
27 seeded in helium (He ; 99.9999 %; Gaspro) at a seeding fraction of 0.5 %. This mixture was fed
28
29 into a pulsed piezoelectric valve (Piezo Disk Translator P-286.23; Physik Instrumente) operating
30
31 at a repetition rate of 120 Hz, pulse width of 80 μs , a peak voltage of -400 V, and 1,520 Torr
32
33 backing pressure, before introducing the mixture into the primary source chamber. Since disilane
34
35 has a flammability limit as low as 0.2 % in air, we incorporated a liquid nitrogen cooled cold trap
36
37 (Nor-Cal products) in the foreline between the turbomolecular pump and the backing pump
38
39 station to trap the non-photolyzed disilane. The output of an excimer laser (ArF, 193 nm, 30 mJ
40
41 per pulse) was focused with a UV-coated lens of 2 meter focal length; this output intercepted the
42
43 molecular beam 2 mm downstream of the nozzle over a spot area of 1 mm \times 4 mm. Recent
44
45 laboratory studies determined the photodissociation cross section of disilane leading to silylidyne
46
47 radicals to be $5 \times 10^{-18} \text{ cm}^2$.⁷⁴ Accounting for the pulse energy and the laser spot size in the
48
49 photodissociation region, our 193 nm photon flux of $7 \times 10^{17} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ per pulse is sufficiently high
50
51 enough to reach saturation level. The pulsed beam of the silylidyne radicals passed through a
52
53 skimmer; a four-slit chopper wheel rotating at 120 Hz selected a section of this beam with a
54
55 well-defined peak velocity (v_p) and speed ratio (S) of $1730 \pm 13 \text{ m s}^{-1}$ and 18.9 ± 2.9 ,
56
57 respectively. Based on calibration experiments with helium, neon and argon, we determined
58
59 number densities of a few 10^{12} radicals cm^{-3} per laser pulse in the interaction region of the
60
61 scattering chamber.⁷⁵ In the interaction region, this pulse intercepted the most intense part of a

1
2
3 pulsed allene/D4-allene beam (C_3H_4 , Organic Technologies; C_3D_4 , CDN Isotopes) held at a
4 backing pressure of 550 Torr perpendicularly. Peak velocities (v_p) and speed ratios (S) for the
5 secondary beam were determined to be $800 \pm 10 \text{ ms}^{-1}$ and 12.0 ± 0.4 , and $790 \pm 10 \text{ ms}^{-1}$ and 12.0
6 ± 0.4 , respectively. This yields nominal collision energies of $30.3 \pm 0.7 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ and 31.4 ± 1.0
7 kJ mol^{-1} as well as center-of-mass angles of $32.5 \pm 0.6^\circ$ and $34.6 \pm 0.6^\circ$, respectively. Note that
8 the primary pulsed valve was triggered 1886 μs after the time zero defined by the infrared diode
9 mounted on top of the chopper wheel; since the secondary beam was slower than the primary
10 beam, the secondary pulsed valve was triggered 37 μs prior to the primary one to allow a
11 maximum overlap in the interaction region. Finally, the excimer laser was triggered 155 μs after
12 the primary pulsed valve to guarantee an efficient overlap of the gas pulse with the laser beam.
13 To allow a ‘laser-off’ minus ‘laser-on’ background subtraction, both valves were triggered at 120
14 Hz, but the laser was operated at 60 Hz. The pulse sequence of the experiments is shown in
15 Figure 2.
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

27 It is important to highlight that we determined the velocity and the speed ratio of the
28 silyldiyne radical beam on-axis in the time-of-flight (TOF) mode. Since signal at $m/z = 29$ (SiH^+)
29 also originates from dissociative ionization of non-photolyzed disilane in the ionizer, even a
30 *laser on* minus *laser off* subtraction at 80 eV electron impact energy cannot fully eliminate this
31 contribution. Therefore, we operated the electron impact ionizer in the *soft ionization* mode at an
32 electron energy of 26 eV. This allowed sufficient signal from ionization of the silyldiyne radical,
33 but greatly reduced signal from dissociative ionization of the disilane precursor.³⁷ Here, the TOF
34 spectra of the silyldiyne beam were obtained at an electron energy of 26 eV with an emission
35 current of 1 mA and a calibrated ion-flight constant of $3.75 \pm 0.13 \mu\text{s amu}^{-1/2}$ at 26 eV; in
36 contrast, this ion flight constant is lower than those obtained at 34 eV ($3.85 \mu\text{s amu}^{-1/2}$) and 80
37 eV ($5.25 \mu\text{s amu}^{-1/2}$).
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46

47 The reactively scattered products were then mass filtered exploiting a quadrupole mass
48 spectrometer (QMS) operated in the TOF mode and a Daly ion detector housed in a rotatable,
49 triply-differentially pumped ultrahigh vacuum chamber after electron-impact ionization of the
50 neutral products at an electron energy of 80 eV and an emission current of 2 mA. The detector
51 can be rotated within the plane defined by the primary and the secondary reactant beams to
52 collect angular resolved TOF spectra. At each angle, up to 6×10^5 TOF spectra were
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 accumulated to obtain good signal-to-noise ratios. Filtered by the Extrel QC 150 quadruple mass
4 spectrometer at 1.2 MHz, the ions of a well-defined mass-to-charge (m/z) ratio were directed
5 toward a stainless steel target coated with a thin aluminum layer floated at -22.5 kV. Triggered
6 by the impact of the cations on the aluminum coated stainless steel target, an electron cascade is
7 generated and accelerated onto an aluminum-coated organic scintillator to initiate a photon pulse
8 which is further amplified by a photomultiplier tube (PMT, Burle, Model 8850) operating at -
9 1.35 kV. The signal was filtered by a discriminator (Advanced Research Instruments, Model F-
10 100TD) at a discrimination level of 1.6 mV and fed into a Stanford Research System SR430
11 multichannel scaler. The recorded TOF spectra were then integrated and normalized to extract
12 the product angular distribution in the laboratory frame. In order to acquire information on the
13 scattering dynamics, the laboratory data were transformed into the center-of-mass reference
14 frame utilizing a forward-convolution routine.⁷⁶⁻⁷⁸ This iterative method employs a parametrized
15 or point-form angular flux distribution, $T(\theta)$, and translational energy flux distribution, $P(E_T)$, in
16 the center-of-mass (CM) frame. Laboratory TOF spectra and the laboratory angular distributions
17 are calculated from the $T(\theta)$ and $P(E_T)$ functions and are averaged over a grid of Newton
18 diagrams accounting for the apparatus functions, beam divergences, and velocity spreads. During
19 the analytical fitting, we considered an integral reactive scattering cross section with an $E_c^{-1/3}$
20 energy dependence with E_c being the collision energy. This energy dependence is exploited for
21 barrier-less reactions dominated by long-range attractive forces within the line-of-center model.⁷⁹
22 The center-of-mass distributions can be combined as a flux contour map, $I(\theta, u) = P(u) \times T(\theta)$,
23 which reports the flux of the reactively scattered products as a function of the center-of-mass
24 scattering angle (θ) and product velocity (u). This plot acts as an image of the reaction and
25 contains all the information of the scattering dynamics.⁸⁰

26
27
28 Finally, we would like to highlight that only silylidyne radicals in the $^2\Pi$ ground electronic
29 state participate in the reaction. The first electronic state $A^2\Delta$ that might be populated in
30 photolysis process has a lifetime of only 534 ± 23 ns⁸¹ and hence relaxes to the ground state
31 before reaching the collision center. We further characterized the ro-vibrational energy
32 distribution of the silylidyne radical in its ground electronic state ($X^2\Pi$) employing laser-induced
33 fluorescence (LIF) for the $A^2\Delta \leftarrow X^2\Pi$ band.⁸²⁻⁸⁴ Briefly, the beam of the detection laser
34 propagated within the scattering plane and orthogonally intercepted the chopped section of the
35 pulsed silylidyne radical beam. Fluorescence of electronically excited silylidyne via the $A^2\Delta \leftarrow$
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44

1
2
3 $X^2\Pi$ transition around 412 nm was detected by a top-mounted photomultiplier tube Hamamatsu
4 R955. Tunable radiation near 412 nm for $A^2\Delta \leftarrow X^2\Pi$ electronic excitation was produced by a
5 Lambda Physik Scanmate dye laser with circulating Exalite 411 dye, pumped by the third
6 harmonic of an integrated Nd:YAG laser operating at 10 Hz. We attenuated the 10 ns pulse
7 energy to 10 μJ by reducing the apertures of irises in the beam path. An interference filter
8 centered at 410 nm, with 10 nm FWHM transmission, was placed in front of the photomultiplier
9 tube (PMT) to reduce ambient light exposure. The signal from PMT was pre-amplified in
10 Hamamatsu C7247 socket assembly prior to a gated detection by a digital oscilloscope interfaced
11 to a computer. In order to eliminate the observed baseline fluctuations due to electromagnetic
12 interferences or pre-amplifier instability, we introduced a high pass filter between the pre-
13 amplifier and the oscilloscope. The timing pulse sequence is compiled in Figure 3. The delay
14 introduced by the first pulse/delay generator (PDG I) determines which part of the 80 μs pulse
15 passes through the slit. PDG II controls the delay between the pulsed valve opening and the
16 excimer laser photolysis pulse generation, and PDG III offsets the LIF pulse generation event by
17 the time needed for the silylidyne radical beam traveling at 1730 ms^{-1} velocity to arrive in the
18 interaction region. The resulting excitation LIF spectrum of silylidyne radicals in $(0,0) A^2\Delta \leftarrow$
19 $X^2\Pi$ region is presented in Figure 4. The spectrum was analyzed utilizing a LIFBASE database
20 and spectral simulation for diatomic molecules by Jorge Luque.⁸⁵ A single rotational temperature
21 cannot reproduce the observed transitions. Best fits interpret the rotational state distribution as a
22 sum of two thermalized distributions with 80 % of the silylidyne radicals at $40 \pm 10 \text{ K}$ and 20 %
23 at $300 \pm 50 \text{ K}$. The bimodal temperature distribution might be the result of two distinct formation
24 pathways of silylidyne from disilane, which are well documented in the literature. Silylidyne
25 radicals can be formed via a single 193 nm photon absorption of disilane via reaction (3)⁸⁶ or
26 through consecutive absorption of two photons (reactions (4) and (5)).⁷⁴ Therefore, the photo-
27 dissociation of disilane produces apart from the silylidyne radical likely silane (SiH_4) as well as
28 silyl radicals (SiH_3). We also conducted the search for the silyl radicals using TOF mass spec-
29 troscopy. No detectable levels of silyl radicals were found. If these radicals are still in the beam at
30 concentrations below our detection limit, silyl radicals were found to have very slow rate
31 constants of only $10^{-14} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molecule}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ upon reaction with unsaturated hydrocarbons.⁸⁷
32 Reactive scattering signal of silyl radicals with unsaturated hydrocarbons should not be
33 observable under our experimental conditions. Note that we cannot resolve the degenerate spin-
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

orbit states of silylydyne radical, since the Λ -splitting for the SiH ground state $^2\Pi$ is 0.099 cm^{-1} that is lower than the resolution of the excitation dye laser (0.13 cm^{-1}).



3. Theoretical Methods

Structures for the reactants, intermediates, and products were obtained via $\omega\text{B97X-V/cc-pVTZ}^{88-89}$ geometry optimizations and frequency calculation. Transition state structures were computed using the freezing string method (FSM)⁹⁰⁻⁹¹ followed by a transition state search using the partitioned-rational function optimization (P-RFO) eigenvector-following method⁹² and frequency calculation, also at the $\omega\text{B97X-V/cc-pVTZ}$ level. These vibrational frequency calculations confirm that the minima have no imaginary frequencies and the transition states have only one imaginary frequency. They additionally were used to calculate the harmonic zero-point energy corrections for all structures. All DFT calculations were carried out using an integration grid consisting of 99 radial points and 590 angular points. Except where otherwise specified, all energies are computed at the $\omega\text{B97X-V/cc-pVTZ}$ level. Energies of reactants, **p1**, and **p2** were additionally computed using coupled cluster with single, double, and perturbative triple excitations [CCSD(T)]⁹³ utilizing a frozen core approximation and second-order Møller-Plesset perturbation theory.⁹⁴ To compare directly to experimental data, the reaction energies for **p1** and **p2** were computed via

$$\begin{aligned} E(\text{CCSD(T)}/\text{CBS}) \\ = E(\text{HF}/\text{cc} - \text{pV5Z}) + E^{\text{corr}}(\text{MP2}/\text{CBS}_{4,5}) + E^{\text{corr}}(\text{CCSD(T)}/\text{cc} - \text{pVTZ}) \\ - E^{\text{corr}}(\text{MP2}/\text{cc} - \text{pVTZ}) + \text{ZPE}(\omega\text{B97X} - \text{V}/\text{cc} - \text{pVTZ}) \end{aligned}$$

where $E^{\text{corr}}(\text{MP2}/\text{CBS}_{4,5})$ is the extrapolated MP2 correlation energy using the cc-pVQZ and cc-pV5Z basis sets and the extrapolation approach.⁹⁵

$$\begin{aligned} E^{\text{corr}}(\text{MP2}/(\text{CBS}_{M,N})) \\ = [N^3 E^{\text{corr}}(\text{MP2}/\text{cc} - \text{pVNZ}) - M^3 E^{\text{corr}}(\text{MP2}/\text{cc} - \text{pVMZ})]/[N^3 - M^3] \end{aligned}$$

1
2
3 where M and N denote the cardinal number for the cc-pVNZ basis sets. These CCSD(T)/CBS
4 energies are estimated to be converged to within 3 kJ mol⁻¹. Algorithms for calculating nucleus-
5 independent chemical shift values were utilized to gauge the relative aromatic character of **p1**
6 and similarly shaped comparable molecules.⁹⁶⁻⁹⁷ These calculations were performed at the
7 HF/cc-pVDZ and B3LYP/cc-pVDZ levels.⁹⁸⁻¹⁰⁰ All calculations were performed using the
8 QChem suite of electronic structure programs.¹⁰¹ Please note that the coupled cluster results are a
9 higher level benchmark that can be used to partially validate the density functional theory used to
10 evaluate energies of the intermediates. In comparing the CCSD(T)/CBS reaction energies against
11 the ω B97X-V/cc-pVTZ reaction energies, we see that the reaction energy is changed from -19.9
12 kJ mol⁻¹ to -4.9 kJ mol⁻¹. This energy change is a measure of the uncertainty in the calculated
13 relative energy of the intermediates.
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22

23 4. Experimental Result

24 4.1. Laboratory Data

25
26 For the reaction of the silyldiyne radical (SiH; 29 amu) with the allene molecule (C₃H₄; 40
27 amu), reactive scattering signal was observed at $m/z = 68$ (SiC₃H₄⁺) (Figure 5). Signal at $m/z =$
28 67 (SiC₃H₃⁺) was also recorded, but the corresponding time-of-flight spectra (TOF) depicted –
29 after scaling – identical pattern as those obtained at $m/z = 68$ (SiC₃H₄⁺). Therefore, we can
30 conclude that signal at $m/z = 67$ actually originates from dissociative ionization of the parent
31 molecule in the electron impact ionizer. Further, these results suggest that the silyldiyne radical
32 versus atomic hydrogen exchange pathways leading to a molecule with the molecular formula
33 SiC₃H₄ is open and that the molecular hydrogen loss channel is closed under our experimental
34 conditions. Finally, it is important to highlight that minor scattering signal was detected at $m/z =$
35 69, which is about 10% of that at $m/z = 68$. This implies the detection of the product ²⁹SiC₃H₄ via
36 an atomic hydrogen loss channel; further, no radiative association at $m/z = 69$ (SiC₃H₅⁺) occurs
37 in the current system; hence, the lifetime of the SiC₃H₅ adduct is too low to survive the flight
38 time from the interaction region to the ionizer. We also searched for SiC₃H₆ isomers ($m/z = 70$)
39 potentially formed via the reaction of silyl radicals (SiH₃; 31 amu) with allene (40 amu), but no
40 signal was observed. This amplifies our previous conclusion that the concentration of silyl
41 radicals is too low (or they are absent) to observe reactive scattering signal and/or that the rate
42 constants and hence corresponding cross sections are lower by up to three orders of magnitude
43 when compared to rate constants of silyldiyne radical reactions with unsaturated hydrocarbons.
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 The TOF spectra at $m/z = 68$ (SiC_3H_4^+) were then recorded at distinct laboratory angles,
4 integrated, and normalized with respect to the center-of-mass reference angle to obtain the
5 laboratory product angular distribution (Figure 6). This distribution is relatively narrow and
6 spans only about 25° within the scattering plane as defined by the primary and secondary beam.
7 This suggests a relatively low translational energy release. The most probable Newton diagram
8 for the reaction of the silylydyne radical (SiH ; 29 amu) with the allene molecule (C_3H_4 ; 40 amu)
9 leading to SiC_3H_4 (68 amu) plus atomic hydrogen (1 amu) is also displayed in Figure 6,
10 contemplating the formation of the thermodynamically most stable SiC_3H_4 isomer 2-methyl-1-
11 silacycloprop-2-enylidene (Figure 1).
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19

20
21 Having provided evidence on the formation of SiC_3H_4 isomer(s) along with atomic hydrogen,
22 we are attempting to probe to what extent the hydrogen atom originates from the allene molecule
23 and/or from the silylydyne radical. For this, we conducted the reaction of the silylydyne radical
24 (SiH ; 29 amu) with D4-allene (C_3D_4 ; 44 amu). An atomic hydrogen loss should be reflected in
25 scattering signal at $m/z = 72$ (SiC_3D_4^+), which can fragment to $m/z = 70$ (SiC_3D_3^+); on the other
26 hand, an atomic deuterium loss is expected to lead to reactive scattering signal at $m/z = 71$
27 ($\text{SiC}_3\text{D}_3\text{H}^+$). This signal is unique to reactive scattering signal if the deuterium loss is open, but
28 cannot arise from dissociative electron impact ionization of heavier reaction products.
29 Considering that the reactive scattering signal was very weak along with economic limitations
30 due to the costs of the D4-allene reactant, reactive scattering signal was probed only at the
31 center-of-mass angle. As a result, signal was observed at $m/z = 72$ (SiC_3D_4^+ , H-loss) and at $m/z =$
32 71 ($\text{SiC}_3\text{D}_3\text{H}^+$, D-loss) at a ratio of 1.0 to 1.5 (Figure 7). This finding indicates that both the
33 atomic hydrogen and atomic deuterium loss channels are open and that the reaction mechanism
34 involves at least two channels.
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45

46 4.2. Center-of-Mass Functions

47
48 The CM translational energy distribution $P(E_T)$ is presented along with the center-of-
49 mass angular distribution $T(\theta)$ in Figure 8. It is important to note that the experimental data
50 could be nicely reproduced exploiting a single channel fit with the reactant masses of 29 amu
51 (SiH) plus 40 amu (C_3H_4) and the product masses of 68 amu (SiC_3H_4) plus 1 amu (H). In detail,
52 the $P(E_T)$ could be fit with a maximum translational energy release E_{max} of 50 ± 12 kJ mol⁻¹.
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 For products born without internal excitation, this high energy cutoff represents the sum of the
4 collision energy plus the absolute value of the reaction exoergicity. A subtraction of the collision
5 energy of $30.3 \pm 0.7 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ from the maximum translational energy yields a reaction
6 exoergicity of $19 \pm 13 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$. Further, the $P(E_T)$ was found to peak at $10 \pm 3 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$. This
7 peaking relative close to zero translational energy indicates the existence of a relatively loose
8 exit transition state upon formation of the SiC_3H_4 isomer(s) plus atomic hydrogen.¹⁰² Finally, we
9 computed the average fraction of the available energy channeling into the translational degrees
10 of freedom to be $43 \pm 11\%$.
11
12
13
14
15
16
17

18 We gain additional insights into the chemical dynamics of the reaction by inspecting the CM
19 angular distribution $T(\theta)$. First, the $T(\theta)$ depicts flux over the complete angular range from 0° to
20 180° implying indirect scattering dynamics via complex formation along with the existence of
21 bound SiC_3H_5 intermediate(s).¹⁰² Secondly, $T(\theta)$ portrays a pronounced maximum at about 90° ,
22 suggesting that the atomic hydrogen emission occurs almost parallel to the total angular momen-
23 tum vector \mathbf{J} and nearly perpendicularly to the rotational plane of the decomposing interme-
24 diate(s).⁸⁰ Lastly, the $T(\theta)$ depicts a slight forward scattering with an intensity ratio $I(0^\circ)/I(180^\circ)$
25 of about 1.25 ± 0.05 . This finding proposes the existence of an osculating complex. Here, a
26 complex formation takes place, but the well depth along the reaction coordinate is too shallow
27 and/or the lifetime of the decomposing complex is too low to allow multiple rotations, such that
28 the complex decomposes with a random lifetime distribution before one full rotation elapses.¹⁰³
29 We would like to stress that a forward-backward symmetric distribution leads to a slightly worse
30 fits of the experimental data.
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41

42 5. Discussion

43
44 In order to investigate the underlying reaction mechanisms of the silyldiyne radical (SiH ; 29
45 amu) with the allene molecule (C_3H_4 ; 40 amu), we are merging now the experimental results
46 with the computational data. Let us compile the experimental results. *First*, the TOF spectra
47 recorded at $m/z = 68$ depict evidence of the formation of product(s) with the molecular formula
48 SiC_3H_4 (68 amu) along with atomic hydrogen (1 amu). Experiments of the silyldiyne radical (SiH ;
49 29 amu) with D4- allene (C_3D_4 ; 44 amu) provided further evidence via the detection of SiC_3D_4
50 (72 amu) and $\text{SiC}_3\text{D}_3\text{H}$ (71 amu) together with atomic hydrogen and deuterium, respectively, that
51 at least two (micro) channels exist. *Second*, the center-of-mass angular distribution $T(\theta)$ depicts
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 that the reaction followed indirect scattering dynamics via SiC_3H_5 collision complex(es) holding
4 lifetimes in the order of their rotational period. Further, the distribution maximum close to 90°
5 suggests a preferential atomic hydrogen loss almost perpendicularly to the rotational plane of the
6 decomposing complex(es). *Third*, the formation of SiC_3H_4 isomer(s) plus atomic hydrogen was
7 found to be slightly exoergic by $19 \pm 13 \text{ kJmol}^{-1}$; the exit transition state was found to be rather
8 loose as reflected in the peaking of the $P(E_T)$ at only $10 \pm 3 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$.
9
10
11
12
13
14

15
16 Having monitored the atomic hydrogen loss under single collision conditions, we are
17 comparing first the experimentally derived reaction energy with the computed data to form
18 distinct SiC_3H_4 product isomer(s). The electronic structure calculations reveal that only two
19 SiC_3H_4 isomers are energetically accessible at our collision energy of $30.3 \pm 0.7 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ (Figure
20 9). The structures of the reactants, intermediates, transition states, and products are also listed
21 here (Table 1). We would like to stress that our computations revealed the existence of overall 28
22 product SiC_3H_4 isomers; the structures, energetics, and symmetries of the electronic ground
23 states of these species are compiled in the Supplementary Material. Here, we are focusing on the
24 computational data necessary to rationalize the experimental findings. The formation of the 2-
25 methyl-1-silacycloprop-2-enylidene (**p1**) and silacyclobut-2-enylidene (**p2**) isomers was found to
26 be exoergic (**p1**) and endoergic (**p2**) by 5 kJ mol^{-1} and 20 kJ mol^{-1} , respectively. The experimen-
27 tally derived reaction energy of $-19 \pm 13 \text{ kJmol}^{-1}$ correlates within the error limits nicely with
28 the formation of the 2-methyl-1-silacycloprop-2-enylidene isomer (**p1**) and a computed
29 exoergic of 5 kJ mol^{-1} . Therefore, **p1** represents the major reaction product. However, we
30 cannot exclude minor contribution of the thermodynamically less favorable product **p2** at a level
31 of $12 \pm 3 \%$ assuming all product flux at translational energies of the products less than 10
32 kJmol^{-1} contributes to **p2**.
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45

46
47 We are now proposing the underlying reaction mechanism(s) by combining the experimental
48 data with the electronic structure calculations. An examination of the molecular structures of the
49 silylidyne and allene reactants together with the 2-methyl-1-silacycloprop-2-enylidene product
50 (**p1**) suggests that the reaction involves multiple reaction steps. *First*, the hydrogen atom from
51 the silylidyne radical must be either emitted and/or undergo hydrogen migration eventually to the
52 C1 and/or C3 carbon atom of the allene moiety. *Second*, since the reaction of the silylidyne
53 radical (SiH ; 29 amu) with D4-allene (C_3D_4 ; 44 amu) provided evidence of the formation of
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

SiC_3D_4 (72 amu) and $\text{SiC}_3\text{D}_3\text{H}$ (71 amu), a fraction of the hydrogen atoms from the silyldidyne must be ejected to account for the detection of SiC_3D_4 (72 amu). *Third*, the emission of the deuterium atom must resemble a second reaction pathway to rationalize the synthesis of $\text{SiC}_3\text{D}_3\text{H}$ (71 amu). *Fourth*, at least one hydrogen migration has to occur to the terminal $=\text{CH}_2$ moiety eventually forming a methyl group. *Finally*, the silyldidyne radical is proposed to add either to one carbon atom (terminal, central) – followed by ring closure via addition to the central or terminal carbon atom – and/or to both carbon atoms of the allene reactant eventually forming a cyclic reaction intermediate.

The electronic structure calculations confirm these predictions and reveal that the silyldidyne radical can react with the allene molecule via barrier-less addition to the terminal carbon atom (C1), the central carbon atom (C2), and simultaneously to the terminal and central carbon atom (C1-C2) leading to intermediates [i1], [i2], and [i3], respectively. These doublet collision complexes are stabilized by 37, 124, and 141 kJ mol^{-1} with respect to the reactants (Figure 9, Table 1). An insertion pathway of the silyldidyne radical into the carbon-hydrogen bond of allene forming intermediate [i4] has an entrance barrier of 57 kJ mol^{-1} and is closed under our experimental conditions and a collision energy of 30 kJ mol^{-1} . These initial collision complexes can also isomerize to each other. Here, [i1] can undergo ring closure to [i3] via a barrier of only 5 kJ mol^{-1} . An alternative hydrogen shift from [i1] to [i4] is expected to be energetically less favorable considering an inherent barrier to hydrogen migration of 50 kJ mol^{-1} ; further, this transition states ranges 13 kJ mol^{-1} above the separated reactants. Similar to [i1], [i2] rearranges via ring closure to [i3] through a barrier of only 34 kJ mol^{-1} .

Considering the geometries and connections of the atoms in these intermediates, none of the [i1] to [i4] structures, however, can decompose via atomic hydrogen loss to **p1**. Therefore, additional isomerization pathways of these intermediates – as predicted by the experimental data – must precede *any* unimolecular decomposition. As a matter of fact, our computations reveal the existence of six additional SiC_3H_4 isomers [i5] to [i10], which can be formed via hydrogen shifts and ring closures. The electronic structure calculations propose that intermediate [i1] can undergo a hydrogen shift from the C1 to the C2 carbon atom of the allene moiety leading to [i5]; however, the transition state is located 75 kJ mol^{-1} above the energy of the separated reactants. Considering the collision energy of about 30 kJ mol^{-1} , this pathway is clearly closed under our experimental conditions. Further, intermediate [i2] can undergo hydrogen migration from the

1
2
3 silicon atom to the terminal carbon atom of the allene moiety forming a methyl group of
4 intermediate [i6]. This hydrogen shift is associated with a barrier of 60 kJ mol^{-1} , which lies well
5 below the energy of the separated reactants. Intermediate [i3] was found to be connected to
6 isomers [i7], [i8], and [i9]. The first isomerization from [i3] to [i7] involves a complex hydrogen
7 migration – ring closure process, which is energetically not accessible in our experiments since
8 the transition state lies 45 kJ mol^{-1} above the separated reactants. The [i3] to [i8] rearrangement
9 proceeds via a hydrogen shift from the C1 to the C3 carbon atom via a barrier of 69 kJ mol^{-1} . The
10 final pathway from [i3] follows also a hydrogen migration, but from the carbon atom to the SiH
11 group forming [i9]. Considering that these pathways are associated with barriers ranging 186, 69,
12 and 140 kJ mol^{-1} above [i3], the isomerization of [i3] should lead preferentially to [i8]. Finally,
13 our computations reveal that intermediate [i4] can isomerizes to [i9] and [i10] via ring closure
14 and hydrogen shift from the SiH₂ group to the terminal carbon atom involving barriers of 69 kJ
15 mol^{-1} and 193 kJ mol^{-1} , respectively. However, considering that [i4] cannot be preferentially
16 accessed via insertion or isomerization of [i1] to [i3], the rearrangements of [i4] to [i9] and [i10]
17 play very minor role in the reaction dynamics. Also, our calculations reveal five additional
18 rearrangements of [i5] to [i10] among each other. Both rearrangement from [i7] to [i8] via a
19 hydrogen migration – ring opening – ring closure and [i9] to [i8] through hydrogen migration
20 hold transition state well above the experimental collision energy (138 kJ mol^{-1} and 91 kJ mol^{-1})
21 and hence cannot be accessed. Although an energetically accessible transition state connects [i5]
22 to [i7] via ring closure, this pathway is irrelevant to the reaction mechanisms, since isomer [i5]
23 was found not to be accessible at a collision energy of 30 kJ mol^{-1} . Likewise, the ring closure
24 from [i10] to [i8] plays no role, since we concluded that [i10] cannot be accessed either.
25 However, the simultaneous hydrogen shift from the CH₂ moiety and ring closure from [i6] to [i8]
26 is associated with a barrier ranging 61 kJ mol^{-1} below the separated reactants. Finally,
27 intermediate [i8] can be classified as the decomposing complex forming the product p1 via
28 atomic hydrogen loss from the silicon atom via a loose exit transition state.
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49

50 We would like now to propose the underlying reaction mechanism(s). The computations
51 suggest that the silyldiyne radical adds barrierlessly via three feasible entrance channels to form
52 intermediates [i1] to [i3] (Figure 9). This indirect reaction dynamics via complex formation were
53 inferred experimentally from the center-of-mass angular distribution depicting intensity over the
54 whole scattering range (Figure 8). Considering that intermediates [i1] and [i2] can undergo ring
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 closure to [i3] with barriers less than the energy of the separate reactants, four pathways do exist
5 which lead eventually to the decomposing complex [i8]: [i1]/[i2] → [i3] → [i8] (pathway 1), [i2]
6 → [i6] → [i8] (pathway 2), ([i1]/[i2] →)[i3] → [i7] → [i8] (pathway 3) and ([i1]/[i2] →)[i3] →
7 [i9] → [i8] (pathway 4). Considering the inherent barriers to isomerization as discussed above,
8 pathways 1 (Figure 9, blue pathway) and 2 (Figure 9, red pathway) should be favorable
9 compared to pathways 3 and 4. Eventually, intermediate [i8] undergoes unimolecular
10 decomposition via atomic hydrogen loss from the silyldiyne moiety forming the product **p1**. Our
11 electronic structure calculations predict a barrierless dissociation; the experimental analysis
12 indicates this process is associated with a rather loose transition state based on the weakly off-
13 zero peaking of the center of mass translational energy distribution at only about $10 \pm 3 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$.
14 Considering the reversed reaction, i.e. the addition of the hydrogen atom to the closed shell
15 product **p1**, the potential existence of a small entrance barrier to hydrogen atom addition might
16 be reasonable, in particular since **p1** is partially aromatic (2π) holding a nucleus-independent
17 chemical shift (NICS) value of -17.6. Compared to the NICS value of silacyclopropenyldiene (*c*-
18 SiC_2H_2) of -15.7, **p1** demonstrates slightly more aromatic character (Table 3).
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31

32 Finally, we would like to integrate the experimental finding of an atomic hydrogen and
33 deuterium loss in the silyldiyne – D4-allene system and see if the proposed reaction pathways (1)
34 and (2) are consistent with the experimental data of a hydrogen loss and a deuterium loss. Figure
35 10 visualizes both reaction pathways by distinguishing hydrogen and deuterium atoms. Here, in
36 all initial collision complexes [i1'] to [i3'], the hydrogen atom is connected to the silicon atom.
37 Considering pathway 1, which involves the sequence ([i1']/[i2'] →)[i3'] → [i8'], the hydrogen
38 atoms is always connected to the silicon atom, since only one deuterium atom is involved in the
39 hydrogen migration from the C1 carbon atom to the C3 carbon atom of the D4-allene moiety,
40 resulting into the formation a CD_3 group in the C3 carbon atom and a double bond between C1
41 and C2 carbon atoms. In pathway 2 ([i2'] → [i6'] → [i8']), however, a hydrogen atom will
42 migrate from the silicon atom to the terminal carbon atom to form a CD_2H group in the C3
43 carbon atom of the D4-allene moiety, followed by a deuterium atom migration from the C1
44 carbon atom to the silicon atom as well as the cyclization between the silicon and C1-C2 atoms
45 to yield [i8''], i.e. an isotopologue of [i8']. Therefore, in the silyldiyne – D4-allene system, two
46 distinct intermediates exist ([i8'] and [i8'']), which can decompose via an atomic hydrogen loss
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

(pathway 1) from [i8'] and also via an atomic deuterium loss (pathway 2) from [i8'']. Recall that signal was observed at $m/z = 72$ (SiC_3D_4^+) and at $m/z = 71$ ($\text{SiC}_3\text{D}_3\text{H}^+$) at a ratio of 1.0 to 1.5. However, we have to keep in mind that the reactants carry one hydrogen atom, but four deuterium atoms. Therefore, the experimentally determined ratio of the signal of the hydrogen versus deuterium loss channel of 1.0 : 1.5 changes to 2.7 : 1.0, if we account for the statistical factor and the availability of deuterium versus hydrogen in the reactants, i.e. preference of the hydrogen atom loss channel (pathway 1) compared to the deuterium loss channel (pathway 2). Therefore, at least two mechanisms exist to form $c\text{-SiC}_3\text{H}_4$ (**p1**) and hence $c\text{-SiC}_3\text{D}_4$ and $c\text{-SiC}_3\text{D}_3\text{H}$ under single collision conditions.

6. Summary

We conducted the crossed molecular beam reaction of the ground state silylidyne radical (SiH ; $X^2\Pi$) with allene (H_2CCCH_2 ; X^1A_1) and with D4-allene (D_2CCCD_2 ; X^1A_1) at collision energies of about 30 kJ mol^{-1} . Electronic structure calculations propose that the reaction of silylidyne with allene has no barrier and is initiated by silylidyne radical addition to the π electron density of the allene molecule either to one carbon atom (C1 and/or C2) or to both carbon atoms simultaneously. These indirect (complex forming) scattering dynamics were also confirmed by the center-of-mass angular distribution. The initially formed addition complexes [i1] to [i3] were found to isomerize via two reaction pathways leading both eventually to the cyclic SiC_3H_4 intermediate [i8]. The latter decomposed via atomic hydrogen loss through a loose exit transition state located only 3 kJ mol^{-1} above the energy of the separated reactants via atomic hydrogen loss perpendicularly to the plane of the decomposing complex (sideways scattering) in an overall exoergic reaction (experimentally: $-19 \pm 13 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$; computationally: $-5 \text{ kJ} \pm 3 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$). The silylidyne with D4-allene system elucidated the details of the reaction pathways by an identification of both the atomic hydrogen and deuterium loss pathways leading to SiC_3D_4 and SiC_3HD_3 isomers, respectively, under single collision conditions. Here, the first reaction pathway proceeded via intermediate [i3] formed either from the reactants via cyclization of [i1] and/or [i2]; the latter undergoes hydrogen shift to form eventually [i8]. The second channel involves a hydrogen migration from [i2] to [i6], which then undergoes yet another hydrogen shift to [i8]. Note that for both channels, the four heavy atoms are rotating in the plane almost perpendicular to the total angular momentum vector \mathbf{J} . Considering the microcanonical model of Grice and

1
2
3 Smith,¹⁰⁴ such a hydrogen loss leads to a preferential hydrogen elimination almost parallel to the
4 total angular momentum vector, and the resulting peaked center-of-mass angular distribution as
5 observed experimentally and predicted computationally. This hydrogen loss yields eventually to
6 the hitherto elusive and aromatic 2-methyl-1-sila-cycloprop-2-enylidene molecule (SiC_3H_4),
7 which can be derived from the closed shell cyclopropenylidene molecule ($\text{c-C}_3\text{H}_2$) by replacing a
8 hydrogen atom by a methyl group, and the carbene carbon atom by an isovalent silicon. The
9 formation of the 2-methyl-1-silacycloprop-2-enylidene molecule in the bimolecular gas reaction
10 of silylidyne with allene enriches our understanding toward the formation of organosilicon
11 species in the interstellar medium gas phase – in particular of barrier-less, exoergic reactions,
12 thus opening up a versatile approach to form hitherto poorly characterized silicon-bearing
13 species in extraterrestrial environments.
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23

24 **Acknowledgements**

25
26
27
28 T. Yang, B. B. Dangi, P. Maksyutenko, and R. I. Kaiser thank the National Science Foundation
29 (NSF) for support under award CHE-1360658.
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

References

1. Kwok, S. *Organic Matter in the Universe*; John Wiley & Sons: **2011**.
2. Millar, T.; Herbst, E.; Bettens, R. Large Molecules in the Envelope Surrounding IRC+10216. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **2000**, *316*, 195-203.
3. Ziurys, L. M. The Chemistry in Circumstellar Envelopes of Evolved Stars: Following the Origin of the Elements to the Origin of Life. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **2006**, *103*, 12274-12279.
4. Agúndez, M.; Cernicharo, J.; Pardo, J.; Expósito, J. F.; Guélin, M.; Tenenbaum, E.; Ziurys, L.; Apponi, A. Understanding the Chemical Complexity in Circumstellar Envelopes of C-Rich AGB stars: the Case of IRC+ 10216. *Astrophys. Space Sci.* **2008**, *313*, 229-233.
5. Van Orden, A.; Giesen, T.; Provencal, R.; Hwang, H.; Saykally, R. Characterization of Silicon-carbon Clusters by Infrared Laser Spectroscopy: The ν_3 (σ) Band of Linear Si_2C_3 . *J. Chem. Phys.* **1994**, *101*, 10237-10241.
6. Van Orden, A.; Provencal, R.; Giesen, T.; Saykally, R. Characterization of Silicon-carbon Clusters by Infrared Laser Spectroscopy. The ν_1 Band of SiC_4 . *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **1995**, *237*, 77-80.
7. Kokkin, D.; Brünken, S.; Young, K.; Patel, N.; Gottlieb, C.; Thaddeus, P.; McCarthy, M. The Rotational Spectra of $^{29}\text{SiC}_2$ and $^{30}\text{SiC}_2$. *Astrophys. J. Suppl. S.* **2011**, *196*, 17.
8. Stanton, J. F.; Dudek, J.; Theulé, P.; Gupta, H.; McCarthy, M.; Thaddeus, P. Laser Spectroscopy of Si_3C . *J. Chem. Phys.* **2005**, *122*, 124314-124314.
9. Guélin, M.; Muller, S.; Cernicharo, J.; Apponi, A.; McCarthy, M.; Gottlieb, C.; Thaddeus, P. Astronomical Detection of the Free Radical SiCN. *Astron. Astrophys.* **2000**, *363*, L9-L12.
10. McCarthy, M.; Gottlieb, C.; Thaddeus, P. Silicon Molecules in Space and in the Laboratory. *Mol. Phys.* **2003**, *101*, 697-704.
11. Apponi, A.; McCarthy, M.; Gottlieb, C.; Thaddeus, P. The Radio Spectra of SiCCH, SiCN, and SiNC. *Astrophys. J. Lett.* **2000**, *536*, L55.
12. Kaiser, R.; Stranges, D.; Lee, Y.; Suits, A. Neutral-neutral Reactions in the Interstellar Medium. I. Formation of Carbon Hydride Radicals via Reaction of Carbon Atoms with Unsaturated Hydrocarbons. *Astrophys. J.* **1997**, *477*, 982.
13. Kaiser, R. I.; Balucani, N.; Charkin, D. O.; Mebel, A. M. A Crossed Beam and ab initio Study of the $\text{C}_2(\text{X}^1\Sigma_g^+/\text{a}^3\Pi_u) + \text{C}_2\text{H}_2(\text{X}^1\Sigma_g^+)$ Reactions. *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **2003**, *382*, 112-119.
14. Kaiser, R.; Chiong, C.; Asvany, O.; Lee, Y.; Stahl, F.; Schleyer, P. v. R.; Schaefer III, H. Chemical Dynamics of D1-methyldiacetylene (CH_3CCCCD ; X^1A_1) and D1-ethynylallene ($\text{H}_2\text{CCCH}(\text{C}_2\text{D})$; $\text{X}^1\text{A}'$) Formation from Reaction of $\text{C}_2\text{D}(\text{X}^2\Sigma^+)$ with Methylacetylene, $\text{CH}_3\text{CCH}(\text{X}^1\text{A}_1)$. *J. Chem. Phys.* **2001**, *114*, 3488-3496.
15. Ziurys, L. M. The Chemistry in Circumstellar Envelopes of Evolved Stars: Following the Origin of the Elements to the Origin of Life. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **2006**, *103*, 12274-12279.
16. MacKay, D.; Charnley, S. The Silicon Chemistry of IRC+ 10° 216. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **1999**, *302*, 793-800.
17. Marvel, K. B. No Methane Here. The HCN Puzzle: Searching for CH_3OH and C_2H in Oxygen-rich Stars. *Astron. J.* **2005**, *130*, 261.
18. Charnley, S.; Ehrenfreund, P.; Kuan, Y.-J. Spectroscopic Diagnostics of Organic Chemistry in the Protostellar Environment. *Spectrochim. Acta A: Mol. Biomol. Spectrosc.* **2001**, *57*, 685-704.
19. Woodall, J.; Agúndez, M.; Markwick-Kemper, A.; Millar, T. The UMIST Database for Astrochemistry 2006. *Astron. Astrophys.* **2007**, *466*, 1197-1204.
20. Wakelam, V.; Herbst, E.; Loison, J.-C.; Smith, I.; Chandrasekaran, V.; Pavone, B.; Adams, N.; Bacchus-Montabonel, M.-C.; Bergeat, A.; Béroff, K. A Kinetic Database for Astrochemistry (KIDA). *Astrophys. J. Suppl. S.* **2012**, *199*, 21.
21. Morata, O.; Herbst, E. Time-dependent Models of Dense PDRs with Complex Molecules. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **2008**, *390*, 1549-1561.

- 1
2
3 22. Lis, D. C.; Blake, G. A.; Herbst, E. In *Astrochemistry: Recent Successes and Current Challenges*,
4 *Astrochemistry: Recent Successes and Current Challenges*, 2005.
- 5 23. Wakelam, V.; Smith, I.; Herbst, E.; Troe, J.; Geppert, W.; Linnartz, H.; Öberg, K.; Roueff, E.;
6 Agúndez, M.; Pernot, P. Reaction Networks for Interstellar Chemical Modelling: Improvements and
7 Challenges. *Space Sci. Rev.* **2010**, *156*, 13-72.
- 8 24. Yasuda, Y.; Kozasa, T. Formation of SiC Grains in Pulsation-enhanced Dust-driven Wind around
9 Carbon-rich Asymptotic Giant Branch Stars. *Astrophys. J.* **2012**, *745*, 159.
- 10 25. Willacy, K.; Cherchneff, I. Silicon and Sulphur Chemistry in the Inner Wind of IRC+ 10216.
11 *Astron. Astrophys.* **1998**, *330*, 676-684.
- 12 26. Bettens, R.; Lee, H.-H.; Herbst, E. The Importance of Classes of Neutral-neutral Reactions in the
13 Production of Complex Interstellar Molecules. *Astrophys. J.* **1995**, *443*, 664-674.
- 14 27. Millar, T.; Herbst, E. A New Chemical Model of the Circumstellar Envelope Surrounding IRC+
15 10216. *Astron. Astrophys.* **1994**, *288*, 561-571.
- 16 28. Tenenbaum, E.; Dodd, J.; Milam, S.; Woolf, N.; Ziurys, L. The Arizona Radio Observatory 1 mm
17 Spectral Survey of IRC+ 10216 and VY Canis Majoris (215–285 GHz). *Astrophys. J. Suppl. S.* **2010**, *190*,
18 348.
- 19 29. Tenenbaum, E.; Dodd, J.; Milam, S.; Woolf, N.; Ziurys, L. Comparative Spectra of Oxygen-rich
20 Versus Carbon-rich Circumstellar Shells: VY Canis Majoris and IRC+ 10216 at 215-285 GHz. *Astrophys.*
21 *J. Lett.* **2010**, *720*, L102.
- 22 30. Ziurys, L.; Halfen, D.; Woolf, N. In *Establishing the Synthetic Contingencies for Life: Following*
23 *the Carbon from AGB Stars to Planetary Surfaces*, Bioastronomy 2007: Molecules, Microbes and
24 Extraterrestrial Life, 2009; 59.
- 25 31. Woodall, J.; Agúndez, M.; Markwick-Kemper, A.; Millar, T. The UMIST Database for
26 Astrochemistry 2006. *Astron. Astrophys.* **2007**, *466*, 1197-1204.
- 27 32. McElroy, D.; Walsh, C.; Markwick, A.; Cordiner, M.; Smith, K.; Millar, T. The UMIST Database
28 for Astrochemistry 2012. *Astron. Astrophys.* **2013**, *550*, A36.
- 29 33. Millar, T. Silicon Chemistry in Dense Clouds. *Astrophys. Space Sci.* **1980**, *72*, 509-517.
- 30 34. Brown, J.; Millar, T. Modelling Enhanced Density Shells in the Circumstellar Envelope of IRC+
31 10216. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **2003**, *339*, 1041-1047.
- 32 35. Howe, D.; Millar, T. The Formation of Carbon Chain Molecules in IRC+ 10216. *Mon. Not. R.*
33 *Astron. Soc.* **1990**, *244*, 444-449.
- 34 36. Gensheimer, P.; Likkell, L.; Snyder, L. Full synthesis maps of circumstellar SiC₂ in IRC+ 10216.
35 *Astrophys. J.* **1995**, *439*, 445-454.
- 36 37. Kaiser, R.; Gu, X. Chemical Dynamics of the Formation of the Ethynylsilyldiyne Radical
37 (SiCCH (X²P)) in the Crossed Beam Reaction of Ground State Silicon Atoms (Si(³P)) with Acetylene
38 (C₂H₂ (X¹Σ_g⁺)). *J. Chem. Phys.* **2009**, *131*, 104311.
- 39 38. Parker, D. S.; Wilson, A. V.; Kaiser, R. I.; Mayhall, N. J.; Head-Gordon, M.; Tielens, A. G. On
40 the Formation of Silacyclopropenylidene (c-SiC₂H₂) and its Role in the Organosilicon Chemistry in the
41 Interstellar Medium. *Astrophys. J.* **2013**, *770*, 33.
- 42 39. Sattelmeyer, K. W.; Schaefer III, H. F.; Stanton, J. F. The Global Minimum Structure of SiC₃: the
43 Controversy Continues. *J. Chem. Phys.* **2002**, *116*, 9151-9153.
- 44 40. Stanton, J. F.; Gauss, J.; Christiansen, O. Equilibrium Geometries of Cyclic SiC₃ Isomers. *J.*
45 *Chem. Phys.* **2001**, *114*, 2993-2995.
- 46 41. Kenny, J. P.; Allen, W. D.; Schaefer, H. F. Complete basis set Limit Studies of Conventional and
47 R12 Correlation Methods: the Silicon Dicarbide (SiC₂) Barrier to Linearity. *J. Chem. Phys.* **2003**, *118*,
48 7353-7365.
- 49 42. Sari, L.; Gonzales, J. M.; Yamaguchi, Y.; Schaefer III, H. F. The $\tilde{X}^2\Pi$ and $\tilde{A}^2\Sigma^+$ Electronic States
50 of the HCSi Radical: Characterization of the Renner–Teller Effect in the Ground State. *J. Chem. Phys.*
51 **2001**, *114*, 4472-4478.
- 52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
43. Wang, H. J.; Schleyer, P. v. R.; Wu, J. I.; Wang, Y.; Wang, H. J. A Study of Aomatic Three Membered Rings. *Int. J. Quant. Chem.* **2011**, *111*, 1031-1038.
44. von Schleyer, P. R., The Contrasting Strain Energies of Small Ring Carbon and Silicon Rings. The Relationship with free Radical Energies. In *Substituent Effects in Radical Chemistry*, Springer: 1986; pp 69-81.
45. Sander, W.; Trommer, M.; Patyk, A. Oxidation of Silenes and Silylenes: Matrix Isolation of Unusual Silicon Species. *Organosilicon Chemistry Set: From Molecules to Materials* **2008**, 86-94.
46. von Ragué Schleyer, P.; Jiao, H.; Goldfuss, B.; Freeman, P. K. Aromaticity and Antiaromaticity in Five - Membered C₄H₄X Ring Systems: "Classical" and "Magnetic" Concepts May Not Be "Orthogonal". *Angew. Chem. Int. Edit.* **1995**, *34*, 337-340.
47. Schleyer, P. v. R.; Kost, D. A Comparison of the Energies of Double Bonds of Second-row Elements with Carbon and Silicon. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1988**, *110*, 2105-2109.
48. Fernández, I.; Duvall, M.; Schleyer, P. v. R.; Frenking, G. Aromaticity in Group 14 Homologues of the Cyclopropenylum Cation. *Chem.--Eur. J.* **2011**, *17*, 2215-2224.
49. Thomas, P. S.; Bowling, N. P.; Burrmann, N. J.; McMahon, R. J. Dialkynyl Carbene Derivatives: Generation and Characterization of Triplet *tert*-Butylpentadiynylidene (*t*-Bu-C≡C-C-C≡C-H) and Dimethylpentadiynylidene (Me-C≡C-C-C≡C-Me). *J. Org. Chem.* **2010**, *75*, 6372-6381.
50. Seburg, R. A.; Patterson, E. V.; McMahon, R. J. Structure of Triplet Propynylidene (HCCCH) as Probed by IR, UV/vis, and EPR Spectroscopy of Isotopomers. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2009**, *131*, 9442-9455.
51. Thomas, P. S.; Bowling, N. P.; McMahon, R. J. Spectroscopy and Photochemistry of Triplet Methylpentadiynylidene (Me-C≡C-C-C≡C-H). *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2009**, *131*, 8649-8659.
52. Huang, L.; Asvany, O.; Chang, A.; Balucani, N.; Lin, S.; Lee, Y.; Kaiser, R.; Osamura, Y. Crossed Beam Reaction of Cyano Radicals with Hydrocarbon Molecules. IV. Chemical Dynamics of Cyanoacetylene (HCCCN; X¹Σ⁺) Formation from Reaction of CN (X²Σ⁺) with Acetylene, C₂H₂ (X¹Σ_g⁺). *J. Chem. Phys.* **2000**, *113*, 8656-8666.
53. Balucani, N.; Asvany, O.; Chang, A.; Lin, S.; Lee, Y.; Kaiser, R.; Osamura, Y. Crossed Beam Reaction of Cyano Radicals with Hydrocarbon Molecules. III. Chemical Dynamics of Vinylcyanide (C₂H₃CN; X¹A') Formation from Reaction of CN(X²Σ⁺) with Ethylene, C₂H₄(X¹A_g). *J. Chem. Phys.* **2000**, *113*, 8643-8655.
54. Huang, L.; Lee, Y.; Kaiser, R. Crossed Beam Reaction of the Cyanogen Radical, CN (X²Σ⁺), with Acetylene, C₂H₂ (X¹Σ_g⁺): Observation of Cyanoacetylene, HCCCN (X¹Σ⁺). *J. Chem. Phys.* **1999**, *110*, 7119-7122.
55. Parker, D.; Mebel, A.; Kaiser, R. The Role of Isovalency in the Reactions of the Cyano (CN), Boron Monoxide (BO), Silicon Nitride (SiN), and Ethynyl (C₂H) Radicals with Unsaturated Hydrocarbons Acetylene (C₂H₂) and Ethylene (C₂H₄). *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2014**, *43*, 2701-2713.
56. Parker, D. S.; Wilson, A. V.; Kaiser, R. I.; Labrador, T.; Mebel, A. M. Synthesis of the Silaisocynoacetylene Molecule. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2012**, *134*, 13896-13901.
57. Parker, D.; Wilson, A.; Kaiser, R.; Labrador, T.; Mebel, A. Gas-Phase Synthesis of the Silaisocynoethylene Molecule (C₂H₃NSi). *J. Org. Chem.* **2012**, *77*, 8574-8580.
58. Chapman, O.; Chang, C.; Kolc, J.; Jung, M.; Lowe, J.; Barton, T. J.; Tumey, M. 1, 1, 2-Trimethylsilaethylene. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1976**, *98*, 7844-7846.
59. Schriver, G. W.; Fink, M. J.; Gordon, M. S. Ab initio Calculations on Some C₃SiH₄ Isomers. *Organometallics* **1987**, *6*, 1977-1984.
60. Gordon, M. S. Ab initio Study of the Stabilities of Silacyclobutadiene and Silatetrahedrane (Silatricyclo [1.1.0.0^{2,4}]butane). *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Comm.* **1980**, 1131-1132.
61. Maier, G.; Reisenauer, H. P.; Meudt, A. Silylenes of the Elemental Composition C₄H₂Si: Generation and Matrix - Spectroscopic Identification. *Eur.J. Org. Chem.* **1998**, *1998*, 1285-1290.
62. Veszprémi, T.; Takahashi, M.; Ogasawara, J.; Sakamoto, K.; Kira, M. An ab initio MO Study of Structure and Reactivity of 4-silatriafulvene. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1998**, *120*, 2408-2414.

- 1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
63. Bachrach, S. M.; Liu, M. Structure, Topological Electron Density Analysis and Aromaticity of 4-heterosubstituted Methylene-cyclopropenes: $X=X=CH_2$, NH, O, SiH₂, PH and S. *J. Phys. Org. Chem.* **1991**, *4*, 242-250.
64. Sakamoto, K.; Ogasawara, J.; Sakurai, H.; Kira, M. The First Silatriafulvene Derivative: Generation, Unusually Low Reactivity toward Alcohols, and Isomerization to Silacyclobutadiene. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1997**, *119*, 3405-3406.
65. Sakamoto, K.; Ogasawara, J.; Kon, Y.; Sunagawa, T.; Kabuto, C.; Kira, M. The First Isolable 4-Silatriafulvene. *Angew. Chem. Int. Edit.* **2002**, *114*, 1460-1462.
66. Gentle, T. M.; Muetterties, E. Silane Surface Chemistry of Palladium: Synthesis of Silaethylene, Silacyclobutadiene and Silabenzene. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1983**, *105*, 304-305.
67. Fink, M. J.; Puranik, D. B.; Johnson, M. P. Silacyclobutadienes: Generation of 1-mesityl-2,3,4-tri-tert-butyl-1-silacyclobutadiene. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1988**, *110*, 1315-1316.
68. Puranik, D. B. Ph.D. thesis, The Synthesis and Chemistry of Silacyclobutadienes. Tulane University 1989.
69. Gu, X.; Kaiser, R. I. Reaction Dynamics of Phenyl Radicals in Extreme Environments: A Crossed Molecular Beam Study. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2008**, *42*, 290-302.
70. Guo, Y.; Gu, X.; Kawamura, E.; Kaiser, R. I. Design of a Modular and Versatile Interlock System for Ultrahigh Vacuum Machines: A Crossed Molecular Beam Setup as a Case Study. *Rev. Sci. Instrum.* **2006**, *77*, 034701.
71. Gu, X.; Guo, Y.; Zhang, F.; Mebel, A. M.; Kaiser, R. I. Reaction Dynamics of Carbon-bearing Radicals in Circumstellar Envelopes of Carbon Stars. *Faraday Discuss.* **2006**, *133*, 245-275.
72. Kaiser, R. I.; Maksyutenko, P.; Ennis, C.; Zhang, F.; Gu, X.; Krishtal, S. P.; Mebel, A. M.; Kostko, O.; Ahmed, M. Untangling the Chemical Evolution of Titan's Atmosphere and Surface—from Homogeneous to Heterogeneous Chemistry. *Faraday Discuss.* **2010**, *147*, 429-478.
73. Zhang, F.; Kim, S.; Kaiser, R. I. A Crossed Molecular Beams Study of the Reaction of the Ethynyl Radical ($C_2H(X^2\Sigma^+)$) with Allene ($H_2CCCH_2(X^1A_1)$). *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2009**, *11*, 4707-4714.
74. Muranaka, Y.; Motooka, T.; Lubben, D.; Greene, J. Ultraviolet-laser Photolysis of Disilane. *J. Appl. Phys.* **1989**, *66*, 910-914.
75. Engelking, P. C. Spectroscopy of Jet-cooled Ions and Radicals. *Chem. Rev.* **1991**, *91*, 399-414.
76. Veron, M. Ph. D. thesis, University of California at Berkeley, Berkeley, California, 1981.
77. Weiss, M. S. Ph. D. thesis, University of California at Berkeley, Berkeley, California, 1986.
78. Kaiser, R. I.; Le, T. N.; Nguyen, T. L.; Mebel, A. M.; Balucani, N.; Lee, Y. T.; Stahl, F.; Schleyer, P. v. R.; Schaefer III, H. F. A Combined Crossed Molecular Beam and ab initio Investigation of C₂ and C₃ Elementary Reactions with Unsaturated Hydrocarbons—Pathways to Hydrogen Deficient Hydrocarbon Radicals in Combustion Flames. *Faraday Discuss.* **2002**, *119*, 51-66.
79. Levine, R. D.; Bernstein, R. B.; Lee, Y. T. Molecular Reaction Dynamics and Chemical Reactivity. *Phys. Today* **1988**, 90.
80. Kaiser, R.; Parker, D.; Zhang, F.; Landera, A.; Kislov, V.; Mebel, A. PAH Formation under Single Collision Conditions: Reaction of Phenyl Radical and 1, 3-Butadiene to Form 1, 4-Dihydronaphthalene. *J. Phys. Chem. A* **2012**, *116*, 4248-4258.
81. Bauer, W.; Becker, K. H.; Düren, R.; Hubrich, C.; Meuser, R. Radiative Lifetime Measurements of SiH ($A^2\Delta$) by Laser-induced Fluorescence. *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **1984**, *108*, 560-561.
82. Nemoto, M.; Suzuki, A.; Nakamura, H.; Shibuya, K.; Obi, K. Electronic Quenching and Chemical Reactions of SiH Radicals in the Gas Phase. *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **1989**, *162*, 467-471.
83. Nozaki, Y.; Kongo, K.; Miyazaki, T.; Kitazoe, M.; Horii, K.; Umemoto, H.; Masuda, A.; Matsumura, H. Identification of Si and SiH in Catalytic Chemical Vapor Deposition of SiH₄ by Laser Induced Fluorescence Spectroscopy. *J. Appl. Phys.* **2000**, *88*, 5437-5443.

- 1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
84. Maksyutenko, P.; Parker, D. S.; Zhang, F.; Kaiser, R. I. An LIF Characterization of Supersonic $\text{BO}(X^2\Sigma^+)$ and $\text{CN}(X^2\Sigma^+)$ Radical Sources for Crossed Beam Studies. *Rev. Sci. Instrum.* **2011**, *82*, 083107.
85. Luque, J.; Crosley, D. R. LIFBASE: Database and Spectral Simulation Program (Version 1.5) **1999**.
86. Tada, N.; Tonokura, K.; Matsumoto, K.; Koshi, M.; Miyoshi, A.; Matsui, H. Photolysis of Disilane at 193 nm. *J. Phys. Chem. A* **1999**, *103*, 322-329.
87. Loh, S.; Jasinski, J. Direct Kinetic Studies of $\text{SiH}_3+\text{SiH}_3$, H, CCl_4 , SiD_4 , Si_2H_6 , and C_3H_6 by Tunable Infrared Diode Laser Spectroscopy. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1991**, *95*, 4914-4926.
88. Mardirossian, N.; Head-Gordon, M. $\omega\text{B97X-V}$: A 10-Parameter, Range-separated Hybrid, Generalized Gradient Approximation Density Functional with Nonlocal Correlation, Designed by a Survival-of-the-fittest Strategy. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2014**, *16*, 9904-9924.
89. Kendall, R. A.; Dunning Jr, T. H.; Harrison, R. J. Electron Affinities of the First-row Atoms Revisited. Systematic Basis Sets and Wave Functions. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1992**, *96*, 6796-6806.
90. Behn, A.; Zimmerman, P. M.; Bell, A. T.; Head-Gordon, M. Efficient Exploration of Reaction Paths via a Freezing String Method. *J. Chem. Phys.* **2011**, *135*, 224108.
91. Mallikarjun Sharada, S.; Zimmerman, P. M.; Bell, A. T.; Head-Gordon, M. Automated Transition State Searches without Evaluating the Hessian. *J. Chem. Theo. Comp.* **2012**, *8*, 5166-5174.
92. Baker, J. An Algorithm for the Location of Transition States. *J. Comp. Chem.* **1986**, *7*, 385-395.
93. Raghavachari, K.; Trucks, G. W.; Pople, J. A.; Head-Gordon, M. A Fifth-order Perturbation Comparison of Electron Correlation Theories. *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **1989**, *157*, 479-483.
94. Møller, C.; Plesset, M. S. Note on an Approximation Treatment for Many-electron Systems. *Phys. Rev.* **1934**, *46*, 618.
95. Halkier, A.; Helgaker, T.; Jørgensen, P.; Klopper, W.; Koch, H.; Olsen, J.; Wilson, A. K. Basis-set Convergence in Correlated Calculations on Ne, N_2 , and H_2O . *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **1998**, *286*, 243-252.
96. Ochsenfeld, C.; Kussmann, J.; Koziol, F. Ab Initio NMR Spectra for Molecular Systems with a Thousand and More Atoms: A Linear - Scaling Method. *Angew. Chem. Int. Edit.* **2004**, *43*, 4485-4489.
97. Kussmann, J.; Ochsenfeld, C. Linear-scaling Method for Calculating Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Chemical Shifts using Gauge-including Atomic Orbitals within Hartree-Fock and Density-functional Theory. *J. Chem. Phys.* **2007**, *127*, 054103.
98. Becke, A. D. Density-functional Exchange-energy Approximation with Correct Asymptotic Behavior. *Phys. Rev. A* **1988**, *38*, 3098.
99. Becke, A. D. Density-functional Thermochemistry. III. The Role of Exact Exchange. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1993**, *98*, 5648-5652.
100. Stephens, P.; Devlin, F.; Chabalowski, C.; Frisch, M. J. Ab initio Calculation of Vibrational Absorption and Circular Dichroism Spectra using Density Functional Force Fields. *J. Phys. Chem.* **1994**, *98*, 11623-11627.
101. Shao, Y.; Gan, Z.; Epifanovsky, E.; Gilbert, A. T.; Wormit, M.; Kussmann, J.; Lange, A. W.; Behn, A.; Deng, J.; Feng, X. Advances in Molecular Quantum Chemistry Contained in the Q-Chem 4 Program Package. *Mol. Phys.* **2015**, *113*, 184-215.
102. Levine, R. D. *Molecular Reaction Dynamics*; Cambridge University Press: **2005**.
103. Herschbach, D. R. Reactive Collisions in Crossed Molecular Beams. *Discuss. Faraday Soc.* **1962**, *33*, 149-161.
104. Grice, R.; Smith, D. Angular Distributions of Reactive Scattering Arising from Persistent Complexes with Dissociation at Arbitrary Angles to the Inertial Axes of the Transition State. *Mol. Phys.* **1993**, *80*, 1533-1540.




Figure 1. Compilation of the results of previous ab initio calculations on SiC_3H_4 isomers. They are the parent cyclopropenylsilylene (1a and 1b), silacyclobutadiene (2), silatetrahedrane (3), silylidencyclopropene (4), 2-silabicyclo[1.1.0]butanylidene (5), 2-methyl-1-silacycloprop-2-en-1-ylidene (6), 2-methylenesilacycloprop-1-ylidene (7), silacyclobut-2-en-1-ylidene (8), 1-silamethylenecyclopropene (9), 2-silamethylenecyclopropene (10), 1-propynylsilylene (11), 2-propynylsilylene (12), methylethynylsilylene (13), 1-sila-1-but-1,3-dienyldiene (14), 1,2-propadienylsilylene (15), 1-silabutatriene (16), 1-silabut-1-en-3-yne (17) and 2-silabut-1-en-3-yne (18). [Schriver, G. W.; Fink, M. J.; Gordon, M. S. Ab initio Calculations on Some C_3SiH_4 Isomers. *Organometallics* **1987**, *6*, 1977-1984].




Figure 2. Pulse sequence for the crossed molecular beam experiment of the silyldiyne radical ($\text{SiH}; X^2\Pi$) with allene ($\text{H}_2\text{CCCH}_2; X^1A_1$) and with D4-allene ($\text{D}_2\text{CCCD}_2; X^1A_1$). PDG: pulse/delay generator.




Figure 3. Pulse sequence for the laser induced fluorescence detection of the silyldiyne radical ($\text{SiH}; X^2\Pi$).




Figure 4. The SiH $A^2\Delta \leftarrow X^2\Pi$ excitation spectrum employed to determine the rotational temperature of the ground vibrational state. Simulation (red curve) suggests two silyldyne radical ensembles (see text for full details).




Figure 5. Selected time-of-flight (TOF) spectra recorded at a mass-to-charge ratio (m/z) of 68 (SiC_3H_4^+) for the reaction of the silyldyne radical (SiH ; $X^2\Pi$) with allene (H_2CCCH_2 ; X^1A_1). The circles represent the experimental data, while the solid lines represent the best fits obtained from the forward-convolution routine.




Figure 6. Laboratory angular distribution obtained at mass-to-charge ratio (m/z) of 68 for the reaction of the silylidyne radical (SiH ; $X^2\Pi$) with allene (H_2CCCH_2 ; X^1A_1) (top) along with the most probable Newton diagram leading to SiC_3H_4 plus atomic hydrogen (bottom). In the angular distribution, the circles represent the experimental data, while the solid lines represent the fits obtained from the forward-convolution routine. In the Newton diagram, the two red lines define the angular range while the central black indicates the center-of-mass angle.




Figure 7. The center-of-mass TOF spectra for the reaction of the silylidyne radical ($\text{SiH}; X^2\Pi$) with D4-allene ($\text{D}_2\text{CCCD}_2; X^1\text{A}_1$) for the atomic hydrogen loss (SiC_3D_4^+ , $m/z = 72$, left) and the atomic deuterium loss channel ($\text{SiC}_3\text{D}_3\text{H}^+$, $m/z = 71$, right), respectively.





Figure 8. Center-of-mass translational energy distribution $P(E_T)$ (top) and angular distribution $T(\theta)$ (bottom) for the reaction of the silyldyne radical with allene forming SiC_3H_4 isomer(s). The hatched areas account for the error limits.



34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

















Figure 10. Reaction pathways from the initial collision complexes [i1'], [i2'] and [i3'] leading to two decomposing intermediates [i8'] and [i8''], which result in the formation on two distinct products **p1'** and **p1''**, respectively, via hydrogen loss and a deuterium loss, respectively.











TOC Figure. Flux contour map for the reaction of the silyidyne radical ($\text{SiH}; X^2\Pi$) with allene ($\text{H}_2\text{CCCH}_2; X^1A_1$) yielding 2-methyl-1-silacycloprop-2-enylidene molecule (SiC_3H_4).

Table 1. Structures of the reactants, products, intermediates, and transition states calculated at the ω B97X-V/cc-pVTZ level of theory. Energies relative to the reactants are given in kJ mol^{-1} . Energies marked with “*” were obtained via CCSD(T)/CBS calculations. The point groups and symmetries of electronic wave functions are also included. Dark grey: carbon; blue grey: silicon; white: hydrogen.

Name	Relative Energy (kJ mol^{-1})	Point group and Symmetry of electronic wave function	Structure
SiH	0	$C_{\infty v} - ^2\Pi$	
H ₂ CCCH ₂		$D_{2d} - ^1A_1$	
[p1]	-4.90*	$C_s - ^1A'$	
[p2]	20.2*	$C_1 - ^1A$	
[i1]	-36.6	$C_1 - ^2A$	
[i2]	-124.2	$C_s - ^2A'$	

[i3]	-140.9	$C_1 - ^2A$	
[i4]	-182.5	$C_1 - ^2A$	
[i5]	-141.5	$C_s - ^2A'$	
[i6]	-176.6	$C_s - ^2A'$	
[i7]	-232.4	$C_1 - ^2A$	
[i8]	-172.6	$C_1 - ^2A$	

[i9]	-165.4	$C_s - ^2A'$	
[i10]	-73.0	$C_s - ^2A'$	
[r-i4]	57.0	$C_1 - ^2A$	
[i1-i3]	-31.8	$C_1 - ^2A$	
[i1-i4]	13.0	$C_1 - ^2A$	
[i1-i5]	75.2	$C_1 - ^2A$	
[i2-i3]	-89.6	$C_1 - ^2A$	
[i2-i6]	-64.0	$C_1 - ^2A$	

[i3-i7]	45.2	$C_1 - ^2A$	
[i3-i8]	-72.3	$C_1 - ^2A$	
[i3-i9]	-1.0	$C_1 - ^2A$	
[i4-i9]	-113.4	$C_s - ^2A'$	
[i4-i10]	10.1	$C_1 - ^2A$	
[i5-i7]	-112.2	$C_1 - ^2A$	
[i6-i8]	-61.2	$C_1 - ^2A$	




[i7-i8]	137.7	$C_1 - ^2A$	
[i8-i9]	90.7	$C_1 - ^2A$	
[i8-i10]	-64.7	$C_1 - ^2A$	

Table 2. Bond lengths and angles of the reactants, products, intermediates, and transition states calculated at the ω B97X-V/cc-pVTZ level of theory. Bond lengths are reported in picometers and angles in degrees.

Reactants					
H ₂ CCCH ₂	r(C ₁ ,C ₂)	130.2	[i3]	r(Si,C ₁)	187.8
	r(C ₁ ,H ₁)	108.5		r(Si,C ₂)	182.0
	θ (C ₂ ,C ₁ ,H ₁)	120.9°		r(Si,H ₁)	149.5
SiH	r(Si,H)	152.9		r(C ₁ ,C ₂)	153.1
				r(C ₂ ,C ₃)	132.1
Products				r(C ₁ ,H ₂)	108.9
[p1]	r(Si,C ₁)	181.4		r(C ₃ ,H ₄)	108.8
	r(Si,C ₂)	182.7		θ (Si,C ₁ ,C ₂)	63.6°
	r(C ₁ ,C ₂)	134.3		θ (C ₁ ,C ₂ ,C ₃)	135.3°
	r(C ₂ ,C ₃)	148.8			
	r(C ₁ ,H ₁)	108.5	[i4]	r(Si,C ₁)	185.7
	r(C ₃ ,H ₂)	109.3		r(Si,H ₁)	148.8
	θ (Si,C ₁ ,C ₂)	68.9°		r(C ₁ ,C ₂)	130.9
θ (C ₁ ,C ₂ ,C ₃)	137.0°		r(C ₂ ,C ₃)	130.2	
[p2]	r(Si,C ₂)	183.3		r(C ₁ ,H ₃)	108.8
	r(Si,C ₃)	197.1		r(C ₃ ,H ₄)	108.5
	r(C ₁ ,C ₂)	136.9		θ (Si,C ₁ ,C ₂)	121.6°
	r(C ₁ ,C ₃)	148.4		θ (H ₁ ,Si,H ₂)	111.6°
	r(C ₁ ,H ₁)	109.3	[i5]	r(Si,C ₁)	185.7
	r(C ₂ ,H ₂)	108.3		r(Si,H ₁)	152.5
	r(C ₃ ,H ₃)	108.7		r(C ₁ ,C ₂)	141.9
θ (Si,C ₂ ,C ₁)	84.8°		r(C ₂ ,C ₃)	136.4	
θ (Si,C ₃ ,C ₁)	77.1°		r(C ₁ ,H ₂)	109.1	
θ (C ₂ ,Si,C ₃)	75.3°		r(C ₂ ,H ₃)	109.0	
θ (C ₂ ,C ₁ ,C ₃)	109.2°		r(C ₃ ,H ₄)	108.3	
Intermediates				θ (Si,C ₁ ,C ₂)	130.6°
[i1]	r(Si,C ₁)	192.0		θ (C ₁ ,C ₂ ,C ₃)	126.1°
	r(Si,H ₁)	152.9	[i6]	r(Si,C ₁)	186.4
			r(C ₁ ,C ₂)	134.7	

	$r(C_1, C_2)$	146.4		$r(C_1, C_3)$	150.7
	$r(C_2, C_3)$	130.7		$r(C_2, H_1)$	108.9
	$r(C_1, H_2)$	110.0		$r(C_3, H_3)$	109.3
	$r(C_3, H_4)$	108.6		$\theta(Si, C_1, C_2)$	108.9°
	$\theta(Si, C_1, C_2)$	115.2°		$\theta(C_2, C_1, C_3)$	121.7°
	$\theta(C_1, C_2, C_3)$	141.2°	[i7]	$r(Si, C_1)$	186.0
[i2]	$r(Si, C_1)$	189.9		$r(Si, C_3)$	191.5
	$r(Si, H_1)$	152.7		$r(Si, H_1)$	150.0
	$r(C_1, C_2)$	139.1		$r(C_1, C_2)$	134.5
	$r(C_1, C_3)$	139.4		$r(C_2, C_3)$	152.4
	$r(C_2, H_2)$	108.5		$r(C_1, H_2)$	108.2
	$r(C_3, H_4)$	108.3		$r(C_2, H_3)$	109.1
	$\theta(Si, C_1, C_3)$	122.9°		$r(C_3, H_4)$	109.2
	$\theta(C_2, C_1, C_3)$	121.3°		$\theta(Si, C_1, C_2)$	90.4°
	$\theta(Si, C_3, C_2)$	83.2°		$r(C_1, H_1)$	108.6
	$\theta(C_1, Si, C_3)$	76.8°		$r(C_3, H_4)$	109.9
	$\theta(C_1, C_2, C_3)$	109.6°		$\theta(Si, C_3, C_2)$	106.5°
[i8]	$r(Si, C_1)$	181.2		$\theta(C_1, C_2, C_3)$	140.2°
	$r(Si, C_2)$	182.3	[i1-i4]	$r(Si, C_1)$	192.3
	$r(Si, H_1)$	150.9		$r(Si, H_1)$	161.2
	$r(C_1, C_2)$	134.1		$r(Si, H_2)$	151.7
	$r(C_2, C_3)$	148.7		$r(C_1, C_2)$	133.9
	$r(C_1, H_2)$	108.4		$r(C_2, C_3)$	129.8
	$r(C_3, H_3)$	109.3		$r(C_1, H_1)$	160.4
	$\theta(Si, C_1, C_2)$	68.8°		$r(C_1, H_3)$	108.8
	$\theta(C_1, C_2, C_3)$	136.9°		$r(C_3, H_4)$	108.6
[i9]	$r(Si, C_1)$	181.1		$\theta(Si, C_1, C_2)$	121.5°
	$r(Si, C_2)$	182.7		$\theta(C_1, Si, H_1)$	53.1°
	$r(Si, H_1)$	148.4	[i1-i5]	$r(Si, C_1)$	190.4
	$r(C_1, C_2)$	137.3		$r(Si, H_1)$	153.1

	$r(C_2, C_3)$	139.0	$r(C_1, C_2)$	139.5	
	$r(C_1, H_3)$	108.3	$r(C_2, C_3)$	130.0	
	$r(C_3, H_4)$	108.3	$r(C_1, H_2)$	109.2	
	$\theta(Si, C_1, C_2)$	68.5°	$r(C_1, H_3)$	124.4	
	$\theta(C_1, C_2, C_3)$	137.1°	$r(C_2, H_3)$	138.1	
	$\theta(H_1, Si, H_2)$	111.3°	$r(C_3, H_4)$	108.9	
[i10]	$r(Si, C_1)$	188.3	$\theta(Si, C_1, C_2)$	129.5°	
	$r(Si, H_1)$	152.7	$\theta(C_1, C_2, C_3)$	175.5°	
	$r(C_1, C_2)$	131.9	$\theta(C_2, C_1, H_3)$	62.8°	
	$r(C_2, C_3)$	147.0	[i2-i3]	$r(Si, C_1)$	238.5
	$r(C_1, H_2)$	109.0		$r(Si, C_2)$	192.6
	$r(C_3, H_3)$	109.3		$r(Si, H_1)$	151.9
	$\theta(Si, C_1, C_2)$	118.2°		$r(C_1, C_2)$	140.3
	$\theta(C_1, C_2, C_3)$	139.9°		$r(C_2, C_3)$	133.7
Transition States				$r(C_1, H_2)$	108.2
[r-i4]	$r(Si, C_1)$	407.1		$r(C_3, H_4)$	108.7
	$r(Si, H_1)$	152.2		$\theta(Si, C_2, C_1)$	90.1°
	$r(C_1, C_2)$	121.9		$\theta(Si, C_2, C_3)$	128.6°
	$r(C_2, C_3)$	137.3		$\theta(C_1, C_2, C_3)$	137.4°
	$r(C_1, H_3)$	106.6	[i2-i6]	$r(Si, C_1)$	189.9
	$r(C_3, H_4)$	108.3		$r(Si, H_3)$	155.2
	$\theta(Si, C_1, C_2)$	138.8°		$r(C_1, C_2)$	133.0
	$\theta(H_1, Si, H_2)$	92.4°		$r(C_1, C_3)$	142.1
[i1-i3]	$r(Si, C_3)$	193.4		$r(C_2, H_1)$	108.4
	$r(Si, C_2)$	273.9		$r(C_3, H_3)$	180.6
	$r(Si, H_5)$	153.0		$r(C_3, H_4)$	108.7
	$r(C_1, C_2)$	131.0		$\theta(Si, C_1, C_3)$	84.1°
	$r(C_2, C_3)$	146.8		$\theta(C_2, C_1, C_3)$	138.7°
[i3-i7]	$r(Si, C_1)$	193.5	[i4-i10]	$r(Si, C_1)$	193.2

1						
2						
3		$r(\text{Si},\text{C}_3)$	194.4	$r(\text{Si},\text{H}_1)$	151.5	
4		$r(\text{Si},\text{H}_1)$	151.3	$r(\text{Si},\text{H}_5)$	165.5	
5		$r(\text{C}_1,\text{C}_2)$	140.9	$r(\text{C}_1,\text{C}_2)$	130.4	
6		$r(\text{C}_2,\text{C}_3)$	151.0	$r(\text{C}_2,\text{C}_3)$	139.4	
7		$r(\text{C}_1,\text{H}_2)$	108.7	$r(\text{C}_1,\text{H}_2)$	108.3	
8		$r(\text{C}_1,\text{H}_3)$	121.6	$r(\text{C}_3,\text{H}_3)$	108.9	
9		$r(\text{C}_2,\text{H}_3)$	142.4	$r(\text{C}_3,\text{H}_5)$	148.9	
10		$r(\text{C}_3,\text{H}_4)$	109.5	$\theta(\text{Si},\text{C}_1,\text{C}_2)$	102.5°	
11		$\theta(\text{Si},\text{C}_1,\text{C}_2)$	92.1°	$\theta(\text{C}_1,\text{C}_2,\text{C}_3)$	127.0°	
12		$\theta(\text{Si},\text{C}_3,\text{C}_2)$	88.8°	$\theta(\text{H}_1,\text{Si},\text{H}_5)$	102.4°	
13		$\theta(\text{C}_1,\text{Si},\text{C}_3)$	73.6°			
14		$\theta(\text{C}_1,\text{C}_2,\text{C}_3)$	105.4°	[i5-i7]	$r(\text{Si},\text{C}_1)$	183.3
15		$\theta(\text{C}_2,\text{C}_1,\text{H}_3)$	65.2°		$r(\text{Si},\text{C}_3)$	279.5
16	[i3-i8]	$r(\text{Si},\text{C}_1)$	198.7		$r(\text{Si},\text{H}_1)$	151.6
17		$r(\text{Si},\text{C}_2)$	191.3		$r(\text{C}_1,\text{C}_2)$	142.9
18		$r(\text{Si},\text{H}_1)$	151.3		$r(\text{C}_2,\text{C}_3)$	135.8
19		$r(\text{C}_1,\text{C}_2)$	144.5		$r(\text{C}_1,\text{H}_2)$	108.4
20		$r(\text{C}_2,\text{C}_3)$	135.3		$r(\text{C}_2,\text{H}_3)$	109.2
21		$r(\text{C}_1,\text{H}_2)$	108.5		$r(\text{C}_3,\text{H}_4)$	108.6
22		$r(\text{C}_3,\text{H}_4)$	109.2		$\theta(\text{Si},\text{C}_1,\text{C}_2)$	104.0°
23		$\theta(\text{Si},\text{C}_2,\text{C}_1)$	71.0°	[i6-i8]	$\theta(\text{C}_1,\text{C}_2,\text{C}_3)$	121.9°
24		$\theta(\text{Si},\text{C}_2,\text{C}_3)$	99.2°		$r(\text{Si},\text{C}_1)$	194.1
25		$\theta(\text{C}_1,\text{C}_2,\text{C}_3)$	126.6°		$r(\text{Si},\text{C}_2)$	212.3
26	[i3-i9]	$r(\text{Si},\text{C}_1)$	211.7		$r(\text{Si},\text{H}_1)$	155.5
27		$r(\text{Si},\text{C}_2)$	196.6		$r(\text{C}_1,\text{C}_2)$	127.7
28		$r(\text{Si},\text{H}_1)$	151.5		$r(\text{C}_1,\text{C}_3)$	148.6
29		$r(\text{C}_1,\text{C}_2)$	129.9		$r(\text{C}_2,\text{H}_1)$	170.7
30		$r(\text{C}_2,\text{C}_3)$	137.8		$r(\text{C}_2,\text{H}_2)$	107.6
31		$r(\text{C}_1,\text{H}_2)$	171.7		$r(\text{C}_3,\text{H}_3)$	109.3
32		$r(\text{C}_1,\text{H}_3)$	107.4		$\theta(\text{Si},\text{C}_1,\text{C}_2)$	79.7°
33		$r(\text{C}_3,\text{H}_4)$	108.2		$\theta(\text{C}_1,\text{C}_2,\text{C}_3)$	143.1°
34				[i7-i8]	$r(\text{Si},\text{C}_1)$	190.5
35						
36						
37						
38						
39						
40						
41						
42						
43						
44						
45						
46						
47						
48						
49						
50						
51						
52						
53						
54						
55						
56						
57						
58						
59						
60						

	$\theta(\text{Si}, \text{C}_2, \text{C}_1)$	77.9°	$r(\text{Si}, \text{C}_2)$	230.0
	$\theta(\text{C}_1, \text{C}_2, \text{C}_3)$	146.2°	$r(\text{Si}, \text{C}_3)$	212.6
	$\theta(\text{H}_1, \text{Si}, \text{H}_2)$	101.5°	$r(\text{Si}, \text{H}_1)$	153.3
[i4-i9]	$r(\text{Si}, \text{C}_1)$	181.9	$r(\text{C}_1, \text{C}_2)$	131.9
	$r(\text{Si}, \text{C}_2)$	210.0	$r(\text{C}_2, \text{C}_3)$	155.0
	$r(\text{Si}, \text{H}_1)$	148.4	$r(\text{C}_1, \text{H}_2)$	108.1
	$r(\text{C}_1, \text{C}_2)$	131.2	$r(\text{C}_2, \text{H}_3)$	131.9
	$r(\text{C}_2, \text{C}_3)$	135.8	$r(\text{C}_3, \text{H}_3)$	126.1
	$r(\text{C}_1, \text{H}_3)$	108.4	$r(\text{C}_3, \text{H}_4)$	108.2
	$r(\text{C}_3, \text{H}_4)$	108.3	$\theta(\text{Si}, \text{C}_1, \text{C}_2)$	89.1°
	$\theta(\text{Si}, \text{C}_1, \text{C}_2)$	82.5°	$\theta(\text{Si}, \text{C}_3, \text{C}_2)$	75.7°
	$\theta(\text{C}_1, \text{C}_2, \text{C}_3)$	164.2°	$\theta(\text{C}_1, \text{Si}, \text{C}_3)$	75.3°

	$\theta(\text{C}_1, \text{C}_2, \text{C}_3)$	118.5°
	$\theta(\text{C}_2, \text{C}_3, \text{H}_3)$	54.8°
[i8-i9]	$r(\text{Si}, \text{C}_1)$	178.3
	$r(\text{Si}, \text{C}_2)$	197.3
	$r(\text{Si}, \text{H}_1)$	151.7
	$r(\text{Si}, \text{H}_5)$	234.4
	$r(\text{C}_1, \text{C}_2)$	139.5
	$r(\text{C}_2, \text{C}_3)$	139.0
	$r(\text{C}_1, \text{H}_2)$	108.1
	$r(\text{C}_2, \text{H}_5)$	119.8
	$r(\text{C}_3, \text{H}_3)$	108.4
	$r(\text{C}_3, \text{H}_5)$	149.8
	$\theta(\text{Si}, \text{C}_1, \text{C}_2)$	75.7°
	$\theta(\text{C}_1, \text{C}_2, \text{C}_3)$	141.0°
	$\theta(\text{C}_3, \text{C}_2, \text{H}_5)$	70.3°
[i8-i10]	$r(\text{Si}, \text{C}_1)$	188.5
	$r(\text{Si}, \text{C}_2)$	242.8

1		
2		
3	$r(\text{Si},\text{H}_1)$	153.1
4		
5	$r(\text{C}_1,\text{C}_2)$	130.0
6		
7	$r(\text{C}_2,\text{C}_3)$	146.5
8		
9	$r(\text{C}_1,\text{H}_2)$	108.9
10		
11	$r(\text{C}_3,\text{H}_3)$	109.5
12	$\theta(\text{Si},\text{C}_1,\text{C}_2)$	97.7°
13		
14	$\theta(\text{C}_1,\text{C}_2,\text{C}_3)$	155.8°
15	<hr/>	
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
29		
30		
31		
32		
33		
34		
35		
36		
37		
38		
39		
40		
41		
42		
43		
44		
45		
46		
47		
48		
49		
50		
51		
52		
53		
54		
55		
56		
57		
58		
59		
60		

Table 3. NICS values for **p1**, c-SiC₂H₂, and c-C₃H₃⁺ calculated at the HF/cc-pVDZ and B3LYP/cc-pVDZ.

	NICS Value	
	HF	B3LYP
p1	-21.638	-17.595
c-SiC ₂ H ₂	-19.851	-15.682
c-C ₃ H ₃ ⁺	-28.621	-23.257