University of Dundee

Common and Rare Coding Genetic Variation Underlying the Electrocardiographic PR Interval

Lin, Honghuang; van Setten, Jessica; Smith, Albert V.; Bihlmeyer, Nathan A.; Warren, Helen R.; Brody, Jennifer A.

Document Version
Peer reviewed version

[Link to publication in Discovery Research Portal](#)

Common and Rare Coding Genetic Variation Underlying the

Electrocardiographic PR Interval

Lin: Genetics of the PR interval

Honghuang Lin, PhD[1,2]; Jessica van Setten, PhD[3]; Albert V Smith, PhD[4,5]; Nathan A Bihlmeyer, BS[6]; Helen R. Warren, PhD[7,8]; Jennifer A Brody, BA[9]; Farid Radmanesh, MD, MPH[10]; Leanne Hall, MSc[11,12]; Niels Grarup, MD, PhD[13]; Martina Müller-Nurasyid, PhD[14-16]; Thibaud Boutin, PhD[17]; Niek Verweij, MSc[18]; Henry J. Lin, MD[19]; Ruifang Li-Gao, MSc[20]; Marten E. van den Berg, MSc[21]; Jonathan Marten, MSc[17]; Stefan Weiss, PhD[22,23]; Bram Prins, PhD; Jeffrey Haessler, MS[24]; Leo-Pekka Lyytikäinen, MD[25]; Hao Mei, PhD[26]; Tamara B. Harris, MD[27]; Lenore J. Launer, PhD[27]; Man Li, PhD[28]; Alvaro Alonso, MD, PhD[29]; Elsayed Z Soliman, MD, MSc[30]; John M. Connell, MD[31], PhD; Paul L Huang, MD[32], PhD; Lu-Chen Weng, PhD[32,33]; Heather S Jameson, PhD[32]; William Hucker, MD, PhD[32]; Alan Hanley, MD[32]; Nathan R Tucker, PhD[32]; Yii-Der Ida Chen, PhD[19]; Joshua C. Bis, PhD[9]; Kenneth M. Rice, PhD[34]; Colleen M. Sitlani, PhD[9]; Jan A. Kors, PhD[35]; Zhijun Xie, MD, PhD[36]; Chengping Wen, MD, PhD[36]; Jared W Magnani, MD, ScM[37]; Christopher P Nelson, PhD[11,12]; Jørgen K. Kanters, MD, PhD[38]; Moritz F. Sinner, MD, MPH[14,15]; Konstantin Strauch, PhD[16,39]; Annette Peters, PhD[15,40,41]; Melanie Waldenberger, PhD[40,42]; Thomas Meitinger, MD[15,43,44]; Jette Bork-Jensen, PhD[13]; Oluf Pedersen, MD, DMSc[13]; Allan Linneberg, MD, PhD[45-47]; Igor Rudan, MD, PhD[48]; Rudolf A. de Boer, MD, PhD[18]; Peter van der meer, MD, PhD[18]; Jie Yao, MS[19]; Xiuqing Guo, PhD[19]; Kent D. Taylor, PhD[19]; Nona Sotoodehnia, MD, MPH[49]; Jerome I. Rotter, MD[19]; Dennis O. Mook-Kanamori, MD, PhD[20]; Stella Trompet, PhD[50]; Fernando Rivadeneira, MD, PhD[51]; André Uitterlinden, PhD[52]; Mark Eijgelsheim, MD, PhD[53]; Sandosh Padmanabhan, MD[54]; Blair H. Smith, MD[55]; Henry Völzke, MD[23,56]; Stephan B. Felix, MD[23,57]; Georg Homuth, PhD[22]; Uwe Völker, PhD[22,23]; Massimo Mangino, PhD[58]; Timothy D Spector, MB,MSc,MD,FRCP[58]; Michiel L. Bots, MD, PhD[59]; Marco Perez, MD[60]; Mika Kähönen,

MD, PhD[61]; Olli T. Raitakari, MD, PhD[62]; Vilmundur Gudnason, MD[4,5]; Dan E Arking, PhD[63]; Patricia B

Munroe, PhD[7,8]; Bruce M Psaty, MD, PhD[64,65]; Cornelia M. van Duijn, MD, PhD[66]; Emelia J. Benjamin, MD,

ScM[2,67]; Jonathan Rosand, MD, MSc[10]; Nilesh J Samani, MD[11,12]; Torben Hansen, MD, PhD[13]; Stefan Kääb,

MD, PhD[14,15]; Ozren Polasek, MD, PhD[68]; Pim van der Harst, MD, PhD[18]; Susan R. Heckbert, MD, PhD[65,69];

J. Wouter Jukema, MD, PhD[50]; Bruno H Stricker, MD, PhD[53]; Caroline Hayward, PhD[17]; Marcus Dörr,

MD[23,57]; Yalda Jamshidi, PhD, FRSB[70]; Folkert W. Asselbergs, MD, PhD[3,71,72]; Charles Kooperberg, PhD[24];

Terho Lehtimäki, MD, PhD[25]; James G. Wilson, PhD[73]; Patrick T. Ellinor, MD, PhD[32,33+]; Steven A. Lubitz,

MD, MPH[32,33+]; Aaron Isaacs, PhD[74+]

[+] Equal contribution

Corresponding authors:
Honghuang Lin, PhD; Section of Computational Biomedicine, Department of Medicine, Boston University School of Medicine, 72 East Concord Street, B-616, Boston, MA 02118; P: 617-638-7649; F: 617-638-8086; hhlin@bu.edu

Steven A. Lubitz, MD, MPH; Cardiac Arrhythmia Service and Cardiovascular Research Center, Massachusetts General Hospital, 55 Fruit Street, GRB 109, Boston, MA 02114; P:617-643-7339; F:617-726-3852; slubitz@mgh.harvard.edu

Subject Terms: Electrophysiology; Epidemiology; Genetic Association Studies

Author Affiliations

1   Section of Computational Biomedicine, Department of Medicine, Boston University School of Medicine, Boston, MA, USA.
2   National Heart Lung and Blood Institute's and Boston University's Framingham Heart Study, Framingham, MA, USA.
3   Department of Cardiology, Division Heart & Lungs, University Medical Center Utrecht, Utrecht, the Netherlands.

4   Icelandic Heart Association, 201 Kopavogur, Iceland.

5   Faculty of Medicine, University of Iceland, 101 Reykjavik, Iceland.

6   Predoctoral Training Program in Human Genetics, McKusick-Nathans Institute of Genetic Medicine, Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD, USA.

7   William Harvey Research Institute, Barts and The London School of Medicine & Dentistry, Queen Mary University of London, London, UK.

8   NIHR Barts Cardiovascular Research Unit, Barts and The London School of Medicine & Dentistry, Queen Mary University of London, London, UK.

9   Cardiovascular Health Research Unit, Department of Medicine, University of Washington, Seattle, WA, USA.

10   Massachusetts General Hospital, Center for Human Genetic Research / Broad Institute of Harvard and MIT, Cambridge, MA, USA.

11   Department of Cardiovascular Sciences, University of Leicester,Leicester, UK.

12   NIHR Leicester Cardiovascular Biomedical Research Unit, Glenfield Hospital, Leicester, UK.

13   The Novo Nordisk Foundation Center for Basic Metabolic Research, Faculty of Health and Medical Sciences, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark.

14   Department of Medicine I, University Hospital Munich, Ludwig-Maximilians-University, Munich, Germany.

15   DZHK (German Cardiovascular Research Centre), partner site: Munich Heart Alliance, Munich, Germany.

16   Institute of Genetic Epidemiology, Helmholtz Zentrum München - German Research Center for Environmental Health, Neuherberg, Germany.

17   Medical Research Council Human Genetics Unit, Institute of Genetics and Molecular Medicine, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh EH4 2XU, UK.

18   University Medical Center Groningen, University of Groningen, Department of Cardiology, the Netherlands.

19   The Institute for Translational Genomics and Population Sciences, and Department of Pediatrics, Los Angeles Biomedical Research Institute at Harbor-UCLA Medical Center, 1124 W. Carson Street, Torrance, CA 90502, USA.

20   Department of Clinical Epidemiology, Leiden University Medical Center, PO Box 9600, 2300 RC, Leiden, the Netherlands.

21   Department of Medical Informatics Erasmus MC - University Medical Center Rotterdam, P.O. Box 2040, 3000CA, Rotterdam, the Netherlands.

22   Interfaculty Institute for Genetics and Functional Genomics, University Medicine and Ernst-Moritz-Arndt-University Greifswald, Greifswald, Germany.

23   DZHK (German Cardiovascular Research Centre), partner site Greifswald, Germany.

24   Division of Public Health Sciences, Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center, Seattle, WA 98195, USA.

25   Department of Clinical Chemistry, Fimlab Laboratories and Faculty of Medicine and Life Sciences, University of Tampere, P.O. Box 100, FI-33520 Tampere, Finland

26   Department of Data Science, University of Mississippi Medical Center, Jackson, MS 39216, USA.

27 Laboratory of Epidemiology and Population Sciences, National Institute on Aging, Intramural Research Program, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland, 20892, USA.

28 Division of Nephrology & Hypertension, Internal Medicine, School of Medicine, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, UT 84109, USA.

29 Department of Epidemiology, Rollins School of Public Health, Emory University, Atlanta, GA, USA.

30 Epidemiological Cardiology Research Center (EPICARE), Wake Forest School of Medicine, Winston Salem, NC, USA.

31 Medical Research Institute, University of Dundee, Ninewells Hospital and Medical School, Dundee, DD1 9SY, UK.

32 Cardiovascular Research Center, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, MA, USA.

33 Program in Medical and Population Genetics, The Broad Institute of MIT and Harvard, Cambridge, MA, USA.

34 Department of Biostatistics, University of Washington, Seattle, WA, USA

35 Department of Medical Informatics, ErasmusMC, Rotterdam, the Netherlands.

36 TCM Clinical Basis Institute, Zhejiang Chinese Medicine University, Hangzhou, Zhejiang, China.

37 Division of Cardiology, Department of Medicine, UPMC Heart and Vascular Institute, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA, USA.

38 Laboratory of Experimental Cardiology, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark.

39 Institute of Medical Informatics, Biometry and Epidemiology, Chair of Genetic Epidemiology, Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität, Munich, Germany.

40 Institute of Epidemiology II, Helmholtz Zentrum München - German Research Center for Environmental Health, Neuherberg, Germany.

41 German Center for Diabetes Research, Neuherberg, Germany.

42 Research unit of Molecular Epidemiology, Helmholtz Zentrum München - German Research Center for Environmental Health, Neuherberg, Germany.

43 Institute of Human Genetics, Helmholtz Zentrum München - German Research Center for Environmental Health, Neuherberg, Germany.

44 Institute of Human Genetics, Technische Universität München, Munich, Germany.

45 Research Centre for Prevention and Health, Capital Region of Denmark, Copenhagen, Denmark.

46 Department of Clinical Experimental Research, Rigshospitalet, Denmark.

47 Department of Clinical Medicine, Faculty of Health and Medical Sciences, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark

48 Usher Institute of Population Health Sciences and Informatics, , University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, EH8 9AG, UK.

49 Cardiovascular Health Research Unit, Division of Cardiology, Departments of Medicine and Epidemiology, University of Washington, Seattle, WA, USA.

50 Department of Cardiology, C5-R, Leiden University Medical Center, PO Box 9600, 2300 RC, Leiden, The Netherlands.

51 Human Genomics Facility Erasmus MC - University Medical Center Rotterdam, P.O. Box 2040, 3000CA, Rotterdam, the Netherlands.

52 Human Genotyping Facility Erasmus MC - University Medical Center Rotterdam, P.O. Box 2040, 3000CA, Rotterdam, the Netherlands.

53 Department of Epidemiology Erasmus MC - University Medical Center Rotterdam, P.O. Box 2040, 3000CA, Rotterdam, the Netherlands.

54 British Heart Foundation Glasgow Cardiovascular Research Centre, Institute of Cardiovascular and Medical Sciences, College of Medical, Veterinary and Life Sciences, University of Glasgow, Glasgow G12 8TA, UK.

55 Division of Population Health Sciences, Ninewells Hospital and Medical School, University of Dundee, Dundee, DD1 9SY, UK.

56 Institute for Community Medicine, University Medicine Greifswald, Greifswald, Germany.

57 Department of Internal Medicine B, University Medicine Greifswald, Greifswald, Germany.

58 Department of Twin Research and Genetic Epidemiology, King's College London, London, UK.

59 Julius Center for Health Sciences and Primary Care, University Medical Center, Utrecht, The Netherlands.

60 Division of Cardiovascular Medicine, Department of Medicine, Stanford University School of Medicine, Stanford, CA, USA.

61 Department of Clinical Physiology, Tampere University Hospital and Faculty of Medicine and Life Sciences, University of Tampere, P.O. Box 2000, FI-33521 Tampere, Finland.

62 Department of Clinical Physiology and Nuclear Medicine, Turku University Hospital, and Research Centre of Applied and Preventive Cardiovascular Medicine, University of Turku, P.O. Box 52, FI-20521 Turku, Finland.

63 McKusick-Nathans Institute of Genetic Medicine, Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD, USA.

64 Cardiovascular Health Research Unit, Departments of Medicine, Epidemiology and Health Services, University of Washington, Seattle, WA, USA.

65 Kaiser Permanente Washington Health Research Institute, Kaiser Foundation Health Plan of Washington, Seattle, WA, USA.

66 Genetic Epidemiology Unit, Department of Epidemiology, ErasmusMC, Rotterdam, the Netherlands.

67 Section of Cardiovascular Medicine, Department of Medicine, Boston University School of Medicine, Boston, MA, USA.

68 Faculty of Medicine, University of Split, Split, Croatia.

69 Cardiovascular Health Research Unit and Department of Epidemiology, University of Washington, Seattle, WA, USA.

70 Cardiogenetics Lab, Genetics and Molecular Cell Sciences Research Centre, Cardiovascular and Cell Sciences Institute, St George's, University of London, Cranmer Terrace, London, UK.

71 Durrer Center for Cardiogenetic Research, ICIN-Netherlands Heart Institute, Utrecht, the Netherlands.

72   Institute of Cardiovascular Science, Faculty of Population Health Sciences, University College London, London, UK.

73   Physiology & Biophysics, University of Mississippi Medical Center, Jackson, MS 39216, USA.

74   CARIM School for Cardiovascular Diseases, Maastricht Centre for Systems Biology (MaCSBio), and Department of Biochemistry, Maastricht University, Maastricht, the Netherlands.

Abstract

Background— Electrical conduction from the cardiac sinoatrial node to the ventricles is critical for normal heart function. Genome-wide association studies (GWAS) have identified more than a dozen common genetic loci that are associated with PR interval. However, it is unclear whether rare and low-frequency variants also contribute to PR interval heritability.

Methods and Results—We performed large-scale meta-analysis of the PR interval that included 83,367 participants of European ancestry and 9,436 of African ancestry. The Illumina HumanExome BeadChip examined both common and rare variants. We identified 31 genetic loci that were significantly associated with PR interval after Bonferroni correction ($P<1.2\times10^{-6}$), including 11 novel loci that have not been reported previously. Many of these loci are involved in heart morphogenesis. In gene-based analysis, we found that multiple rare variants at *MYH6* ($P = 5.9\times10^{-11}$) and *SCN5A* ($P=1.1\times10^{-7}$) were associated with PR interval. *SCN5A* locus also was implicated in the common variant analysis, whereas *MYH6* was a novel locus.

Conclusion—We identified common variants at 11 novel loci and rare variants within two gene regions that were significantly associated with PR interval. Our findings provide novel insights to the current understanding of atrioventricular conduction, which is critical for cardiac activity and an important determinant of health.

Introduction

Electrical conduction from the cardiac sinoatrial node to the ventricles is critical for normal heart function. Abnormalities of atrioventricular conduction can cause significant morbidity, and have been associated with atrial fibrillation (AF),[1,2] need for pacemaker implantation,[2] cardiac malformations, and sudden death.[3,4] Conduction from the sinus node through the atria, atrioventricular node, and His-Purkinje fibers is readily evaluated from surface electrocardiogram (ECG), by measurement of the duration of PR interval. Despite the critical role that the cardiac conduction system plays in cardiac physiology and disease, the formation and regulation of the conduction system remains incompletely understood.

Recent data indicate that cardiac conduction measurements are heritable[5-7] and have a genetic basis.[8-11] To date, genetic studies of PR interval have been relatively modest-sized largely European-ancestry samples, and have implicated cardiac expressed ion channels, cardiac developmental transcription factors, signaling molecules, as well as novel pathways not previously known to be involved in cardiac conduction processes. Nevertheless, existing studies have focused on the role of common and predominantly noncoding genetic variants, which account for only a modest proportion of trait heritability.[6]

To better understand the biological and potential clinical implications of genetic variation underlying cardiac conduction, there is a need to examine both common and rare variation underlying atrioventricular conduction in large, well-powered, multiethnic studies. Moreover, assessment of genetic variation that alters protein coding has the potential to more directly implicate genes involved in processes critical to cardiac conduction. We therefore sought to examine PR interval duration in relation to predominantly coding genetic variants, in large, multi-ethnic analyses using the exome chip.

Methods

The data, analytic methods, and study materials will be made available to other researchers for purposes of reproducing the results, subject to Data Use/Sharing Agreements adopted by individual participating cohorts. The summary results from the current manuscript are available at the Broad Cardiovascular Disease Knowledge Portal (www.broadcvdi.org).

Study participants

The current project included participants of European ancestry (EA) from 22 studies: Age, Gene/Environment Susceptibility Study (AGES); Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities study (ARIC); British Genetics of Hypertension (BRIGHT); Massachusetts General Hospital Cardiology and Metabolic Patient cohort (CAMP); Cardiovascular Health Study (CHS); Erasmus Rucphen Family Study (ERF); Framingham Heart Study (FHS); Genes for Cerebral Hemorrhage on Anticoagulation (GOCHA); Genetic Regulation of Arterial Pressure In Humans in the Community (GRAPHIC); INTER99; Cooperative Health Research in the Region Augsburg (KORA); CROATIA-Korcula (KORCULA); LifeLines Cohort Study (LifeLines); Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis (MESA); The Netherlands Epidemiology of Obesity (NEO); Rotterdam Study (RS); Generation Scotland: Scottish Family Health Study (GS:SFHS); Study of Health in Pomerania (SHIP); TwinsUK; Utrecht Health Project (UHP); Women's Health Initiative (WHI); and Young Finns Study (YFS).

In addition, we included participants of African ancestry (AA) from five studies. These studies included ARIC, CHS, Jackson Heart Study (JHS), MESA and WHI.

Institutional Review Boards or Ethics Committees approved study procedures at each contributing site. All participants provided written informed consent to participate in genetic research.

Measurement of PR interval

PR interval duration, in milliseconds, was measured from the onset of the P wave to the onset of the QRS interval for each cohort. The following exclusions were applied: extreme PR values (≤ 80 ms or ≥

320 ms); second or third degree heart block; atrial fibrillation on baseline ECG; history of myocardial infarction, heart failure, or Wolff–Parkinson–White syndrome; pacemaker placement; use of class I or III blocking medications (ATC code prefix C01B); digoxin use (ATC code C01AA05) or pregnancy.

Genotyping

Genotyping was performed independently in each study using the Illumina Human Exome BeadChip (v1.0, 1.1, or 1.2). Data were called and cleaned according to CHARGE ExomeChip best practices.[12] Detailed information for each study regarding genotyping platforms, variant calling, and quality control metrics is shown in **Supplementary Table 1**. All studies used the same set of reference alleles to recode variants to ensure consistency.

Statistical analyses

Prior to association analysis, PR interval was first adjusted for covariates by taking residuals from a linear regression of PR on age, sex, height, body mass index, and RR interval. Each cohort additionally adjusted as necessary for cohort-specific variables, such as clinic sites, family structure, and population structure. To reduce sensitivity to extreme PR values, the residuals were inverse-normal transformed and used as the outcome for association testing.

Because single-marker based analyses typically have low power to identify associations between rare variants and traits, we separated the analysis for common and rare variants based on minor allele frequency (MAF). Common variants were defined as those with MAF≥1%, and the remaining variants were defined as rare variants (MAF<1%). For each of the common variants, we evaluated its association with the transformed PR interval, and accounted for multiple testing by Bonferroni correction ($P < 0.05/42075=1.2\times10^{-6}$). For the rare variants, we restricted analyses to nonsynonymous or splicing variants with MAF <1%, because such variants are more likely to be functional than synonymous or

more common variants. As we expect some rare variants may act in the same or opposite directions even in the same gene region,[13] we used a modified version of the Sequence Kernel Association Test (SKAT),[14] which avoids problems of signals cancelling out each other in burden test results. Many gene regions had few or no rare nonsynonymous or splicing variants. Monomorphic variants from each study also were reported in the cohort level results as they were used for the cumulative MAF computations in gene-based tests. Gene regions with a cumulative MAF of rare variants <1% were excluded, resulting in 5,761 gene regions that were tested (see results below). Therefore, Bonferroni-corrected significance threshold for our gene-based tests was P<0.05/5,761=8.7x10^{-6}. In secondary analyses, we limited the analysis to damaging variants, defined as nonsense variants or variants predicted to be damaging by PolyPhen-2 [15] or SIFT.[16]

Analyses were performed using the "prepScores" function of the "seqMeta" R package. Family-based studies implemented the "kins" option in "prepScores" to specify kinship matrices. Each study provided single variant z-statistics from score tests, as well as genotype covariance matrices, which were then combined by fixed effects meta-analysis. The heterogeneity across studies was assessed by the Cochran's Q, which is a non-parametric statistical test defined as the weighted sum of squared differences between individual study effects and the pooled effect. We performed both race stratified and race combined meta-analyses, and the race combined results were used for the remaining sections unless stated otherwise.

Comparison with genetic loci associated with AF and P-wave indices (PWI)

We also compared genetic loci associated with PR interval with those associated with AF and PWI to see if there are any shared genetic mechanisms. "AF loci" were identified by a recent exome chip analysis that included 22,806 AF cases and 132,612 referents.[17] "PWI loci" were identified from a meta-analysis

of P-wave duration and P-wave terminal force that included 44,456 participants.[18] In addition, for each of the top variants associated with PR, we also examined its association with AF and PWI.

Examine potential function of PR-related variants for gene expression, regulation and biological pathways

Pathway analysis was performed by MAGENTA[19] with default settings. The summary result for the common variants was used as the input, and significant pathways were defined as those with a false discovery rate (FDR)[20] <0.05. The implication of genetic variants on cardiac gene expression (eQTL analysis) was performed by querying the GTEx database.[21] At each PR-related locus, we identified the top variant and its neighboring variants that were within 500kb and in linkage disequilibrium with the top variant ($r^2 \geq 0.5$). Four heart and vascular tissues were queried, including artery aorta, artery coronary, atrial appendage and heart left ventricle. Significant eQTLs were defined as those with FDR<0.05. Regulatory regions were downloaded from the ENCODE Project[22] and the NIH Roadmap Epigenomics Program.[23] Four tracks were created: 1) included all 98 cell types from Roadmap epigenomics H3K27ac sites; 2) included only four heart tissues (aorta, right atrium, left ventricle, right ventricle) from Roadmap epigenomics H3K27ac sites; 3) included all 125 cell lines from ENCODE DNaseHS sites; 4) included only three heart-derived cell lines (cardiac fibroblasts, atrial fibroblasts, cardiac myocytes). The enrichment of PR-related loci in regulatory regions was examined by the "VSE" R package.[24] For comparison, we randomly created 1,000 variant sets with MAF values and LD structures similar to those seen for PR-related loci.

Results

The current analyses included a total of 92,803 individuals from 27 cohorts, with 83,367 individuals from 22 studies of European ancestry and 9,436 individuals from 5 studies of African ancestry. Clinical characteristics of the study participants are in **Table 1**.

Identification of 31 loci associated with PR interval

A total of 42,075 common variants were analyzed (MAF ≥ 1%). As shown in **Figure 1** and **Table 2**, 31 loci were significantly associated with PR interval after Bonferroni correction ($P < 1.2 \times 10^{-6}$), including 22 loci that reached the conventional genome-wide significance threshold ($P < 5 \times 10^{-8}$). The results of the random effects meta-analysis were similar to those of the fixed effects analysis (**Supplementary Table 2**). The most significant locus was tagged by rs6795970 ($P = 4.0 \times 10^{-240}$), a missense variant in *SCN10A*, which encodes a sodium channel that has been associated previously with the PR interval ($r^2 = 0.97$ with the top SNP rs6599250 reported previously).[8] Highly associated variants clustered in the linker region between the second and third domains of *SCN10A* (**Figure 2**). The top variants at 12 loci are missense variants. In addition, the top variants at 4 loci (including 3 novel loci) are low-frequency variants (1% < MAF < 5%), illustrating the power of exome chip analyses to identify low-frequency coding associations. Detailed information of the nearest gene to each genome wide significant locus is given in **Supplementary Table 3**.

We then examined the associations between these top PR variants with AF and electrocardiographic PWI. Eight out of 31 PR loci identified in our analysis were associated with AF after Bonferroni correction ($P < 0.05/31 = 1.6 \times 10^{-3}$), consistent with some shared mechanisms between the regulation of PR interval and AF. Variants in *SCN10A* most significantly associated with PR interval were also significantly associated with AF (**Supplementary Table 4**). Among PR-related SNPs, rs60632610 at the *SYNPO2L* locus

was most significantly associated with AF (Odds ratio: 1.90 (0.87-0.93), P=1.5x10^{-10}). **Supplementary Figure 1** shows the overlap among loci associated with PR interval, AF, and PWI.

We also performed a sensitivity analysis that separated samples of European and African ancestry. As shown in **Supplementary Table 5** and **Supplementary Figure 2**, all of the 31 loci except rs17391905 at the 1p32.3 locus (P = 2.6x10^{-6}) were also significant in the analysis of European-only samples. **Supplementary Table 6** and **Supplementary Figure 3** show the result for the analysis of African ancestry-only samples. Three loci were significant: *SCN5A* (rs3922844), *SCN10A* (rs6795970), and *TBX5* (rs883079) after Bonferroni correction; P < 1.3 x 10^{-6}. All three loci were also significant in the analysis of European-only samples. The result from each individual study is shown in **Supplementary Table 7**.

Rare variations in *MYH6* and *SCN5A* are associated with PR interval

We next examined the association between PR interval and rare variants (MAF<1%) in gene regions. Variation in two gene regions, *MYH6* (P = 5.9x10^{-11}) and *SCN5A* (P = 1.1x10^{-7}), was associated with PR interval (**Table 3**). **Supplementary Tables 8 and 9** show the association of each rare variant within *MYH6* and *SCN5A* with PR interval, respectively. *MYH6* encodes a cardiac myosin heavy chain subunit, and *SCN5A* encodes the major cardiac sodium channel and was previously found to be associated with PR interval.[8] *MYH6* was also recently found to associate with PWI.[18] We also performed an ancestry-stratified analysis in the same way as the combined analysis. The same two gene regions were significant using data from European samples alone (P = 4.1x10^{-12} and 8.3x10^{-7} for *MYH6* and *SCN5A*, respectively). These two genes did not reach the significance cutoff in African samples (P = 0.03 and 0.01 for *MYH6* and *SCN5A*, respectively). Two other genes, *HEATR2* (P = 2.2x10^{-6}) and *THRAP3* (P = 4.2x10^{-6}), were significantly associated in African samples alone. However, in the combined analysis, these two genes were not significant (P=0.02 and 0.06 for *HEATR2* and *THRAP3*, respectively), probably due to a low cumulative allele frequency.

In our secondary analysis of pooled samples, we analyzed only damaging variants, defined as nonsense mutations or alternations predicted to be damaging by PolyPhen-2[15] or SIFT.[16] Three genes reached the signifiance cutoff ($P<0.05/2030=2.5\times10^{-5}$), including *GORASP1* ($P=1.1\times10^{-5}$), *NEBL* ($P=1.9\times10^{-5}$), and *SCN5A* ($P=2.2\times10^{-5}$) (**Supplementary Table 10**).

Expression quantitative trait loci (eQTL) analysis

We also performed eQTL analysis to determine if any of the novel PR-related variants were associated with cardiac gene expression using data from GTEx.[21] Eight loci were associated with expression of at least one gene in the atrial appendage, left ventricle, coronary artery, or aorta, suggesting the importance of these loci in the regulation of gene expression in heart or vascular tissues (**Supplementary Table 11**).

Enrichment of PR-related variants in regulatory regions

We examined involvement of PR-related variants in regulatory function. As shown in **Supplementary Figure 4**, PR-related variants were significantly enriched in regulatory regions in both primary heart tissues ($P_{adj}=3.7\times10^{-9}$) and heart-derived cell lines ($P_{adj}=0.002$), but not in all tissues ($P_{adj}>0.05$). The observed enrichment suggested involvement of these loci in tissue-specific regulatory functions. In addition, the variants also tended to locate within evolutionarily conserved regions ($P_{adj}=2.8\times10^{-5}$ for primates and 6.4×10^{-5} for mammals).

Enrichment of PR-related variants in biological pathways

We examined the enrichment of PR-related variants in biological pathways by MAGENTA.[19] **Supplementary Table 12** shows the top pathways identified. The most significant pathway was heart morphogenesis ($P=3.6\times10^{-5}$, FDR=0.049), suggesting that many PR-related genes might be involved in

cardiac development. The pathway was only the significant pathway after correction for multiple testing (FDR<0.05).

Discussion

We conducted a large-scale analysis of the genetic determinants of atrioventricular conduction in 92 803 individuals by studying the electrocardiographic PR interval. In total, we observed 31 genetic loci that were associated with atrioventricular conduction, 11 of which are novel. In aggregate, the results implicate loci containing genes encoding ion channels in the heart, sarcomeric proteins, cardiac transcription factors, and other proteins with unknown cardiac function. Our findings provide new insights to the current understanding of atrioventricular conduction, which is critical for cardiac function.

Interestingly, rare variants in *SCN5A* and *MYH6* were associated with PR interval. A missense mutation (D1275N) in *SCN5A* has previously been reported in a large family with multiple members affected by dilated cardiomyopathy, conduction disorder, and arrhythmia.[25] The mutation, together several other mutations within the same gene, has also been associated with dilated cardiomyopathy,[26] atrial fibrillation,[27] and long-QT syndrome.[28-31] Rare mutations within *MYH6* were associated with sick sinus syndrome,[28] congenital heart defects,[32] and atrial septal defects.[33]

Our observations support and extend prior analyses of cardiac conduction. Most previous genome-wide association studies involved the study of common genetic variation in smaller samples of up to 28,517 individuals.[8,10,11] In keeping with those prior studies, we again observed that *SCN10A* is the most prominent gene involved in atrioventricular conduction. Our recent GWAS based on 105K samples corroborates many of our current findings.[34] However, our current study had greater power than those earlier analyses for assessment of rare coding variation.

Our study has two major implications. First, our results underscore the utility of assessing coding variation as an efficient way to identify functional molecular domains. In particular, our findings provide

insights into the functional topology of *SCN10A.* The *SCN10A* sodium channel gene is widely expressed in the nervous system and heart,[21] but it has only recently been implicated in cardiac conduction[8,34-36] and arrhythmias such as AF[35] and Brugada syndrome.[37] *SCN10A* encodes an alpha subunit (with six transmembrane spanning regions), which forms tetrameric, voltage gated sodium channels responsible for the Nav 1.8 late sodium channel current.[38,39] We found a collection of amino acid substitutions in the linker region between the second and third domains of *SCN10A* that were associated with PR duration (**Figure 2**). Variants in this linker region that were associated with the PR interval also were associated with AF, suggesting that function of this domain may have important clinical implications.

Prior work on the homologous *SCN5A* cardiac sodium channel gene -- which is also a cardiac conduction locus -- indicates that this linker region is critical for sodium channel inactivation. Sodium influx is predominantly responsible for cardiomyocyte depolarization. Moreover, channel inactivation is essential for restoration of the hyperpolarized state needed for cyclic cardiomyocyte depolarization and contraction. Therefore, variations in this linker region might be involved in Nav 1.8 inactivation. Other data are necessary to identify relationships among variation in the linker region, the late sodium channel current, and channel inactivation in both healthy and diseased states.

Together with previously discovered susceptibility genes, our findings implicate genes in different functional classes that regulate atrioventricular conduction such as ion channels and cardiac transcription factors. In many cases, anomalies in these genes have been found to cause human cardiac diseases, such as congenital heart defects, primary cardiac conduction abnormalities, and syndromes predisposing to sudden cardiac death (**Supplementary Table 3**). Interestingly, some of the genes are not expressed (in high abundance) in the right atrial appendage or the left ventricle, according to existing data sets -- although most are active in the heart (**Supplementary Table 13**). Atrioventricular nodal conduction also can be influenced by external tone from the autonomic nervous system. Therefore,

further work is necessary to determine the mechanisms by which identified genes that are not expressed in the heart influence the PR interval.

We acknowledge several limitations of our study. Because PR interval was measured across many cohorts, it is possible that there is some heterogeneity that would diminish our power to detect modest associations. We excluded individuals with extreme values of PR interval, which might have been gleaned from large variations in cardiac conduction. We also performed inverse normal transformation on the raw PR interval to reduce the heterogeneity, which on the other hand might reduce the interpretability. Although we performed single-variant and gene-based tests, we did not examine the association of haplotype patterns with PR interval, so it is unclear if there are any haplotypes that might be associated with PR interval. Most of the genetic variants analyzed were in exons. Therefore the effects of variants within regulatory regions were not investigated. We note that the variants identified may not be causally related to the studied phenotypes (PR interval, AF, and PWI), but may be in LD with causal variants. We anticipate that future increases in sample size with additional replications and more comprehensive genotyping platforms, such as denser SNP arrays or genome sequencing, will help address these limitations.

In conclusion, we studied genetic variants associated with PR interval duration and identified 31 common loci -- including 11 that were novel -- and two rare variant regions. Our findings greatly expand our knowledge of the genes that underlie atrioventricular conduction in the heart.

Sources of Funding

Disclosures

Dr. Patrick Ellinor is PI of a grant from Bayer HealthCare to the Broad Institute focused on the genetics and therapeutics of AF. Dr. Bruce Psaty serves on the DSMB of a clinical trial funded by the manufacturer (Zoll LifeCor) and on the Steering Committee of the Yale Open Data Access Project funded by Johnson & Johnson. Dr. Lubitz receives sponsored research support from Bayer HealthCare, Biotronik, and Boehringer Ingelheim, and has consulted for St. Jude Medical and Quest Diagnostics.

References

1. Soliman EZ,Prineas RJ,Case LD,Zhang ZM,Goff DC, Jr. Ethnic distribution of ecg predictors of atrial fibrillation and its impact on understanding the ethnic distribution of ischemic stroke in the atherosclerosis risk in communities (aric) study. *Stroke; a journal of cerebral circulation*. 2009;40:1204-1211.

2. Cheng S,Keyes MJ,Larson MG,McCabe EL,Newton-Cheh C,Levy D, et al. Long-term outcomes in individuals with prolonged pr interval or first-degree atrioventricular block. *JAMA*. 2009;301:2571-2577.

3. Xiao HB,Roy C,Fujimoto S,Gibson DG. Natural history of abnormal conduction and its relation to prognosis in patients with dilated cardiomyopathy. *Int J Cardiol*. 1996;53:163-170.

4. Thiene G,Pennelli N,Rossi L. Cardiac conduction system abnormalities as a possible cause of sudden death in young athletes. *Human pathology*. 1983;14:704-709.

5. Pilia G,Chen WM,Scuteri A,Orru M,Albai G,Dei M, et al. Heritability of cardiovascular and personality traits in 6,148 sardinians. *PLoS Genet*. 2006;2:e132.

6. Silva CT,Kors JA,Amin N,Dehghan A,Witteman JC,Willemsen R, et al. Heritabilities, proportions of heritabilities explained by gwas findings, and implications of cross-phenotype effects on pr interval. *Hum Genet*. 2015;134:1211-1219.

7. Newton-Cheh C,Guo CY,Wang TJ,O'Donnell C J,Levy D,Larson MG. Genome-wide association study of electrocardiographic and heart rate variability traits: The framingham heart study. *BMC Med Genet*. 2007;8 Suppl 1:S7.

8.   Pfeufer A,van Noord C,Marciante KD,Arking DE,Larson MG,Smith AV, et al. Genome-wide association study of pr interval. *Nat Genet*. 2010;42:153-159.

9.   Holm H,Gudbjartsson DF,Arnar DO,Thorleifsson G,Thorgeirsson G,Stefansdottir H, et al. Several common variants modulate heart rate, pr interval and qrs duration. *Nat Genet*. 2010;42:117-122.

10.   Butler AM,Yin X,Evans DS,Nalls MA,Smith EN,Tanaka T, et al. Novel loci associated with pr interval in a genome-wide association study of 10 african american cohorts. *Circ Cardiovasc Genet*. 2012;5:639-646.

11.   Smith JG,Magnani JW,Palmer C,Meng YA,Soliman EZ,Musani SK, et al. Genome-wide association studies of the pr interval in african americans. *PLoS Genet*. 2011;7:e1001304.

12.   Grove ML,Yu B,Cochran BJ,Haritunians T,Bis JC,Taylor KD, et al. Best practices and joint calling of the humanexome beadchip: The charge consortium. *PLoS ONE*. 2013;8:e68095.

13.   Lee S,Emond MJ,Bamshad MJ,Barnes KC,Rieder MJ,Nickerson DA, et al. Optimal unified approach for rare-variant association testing with application to small-sample case-control whole-exome sequencing studies. *American journal of human genetics*. 2012;91:224-237.

14.   Wu MC,Lee S,Cai T,Li Y,Boehnke M,Lin X. Rare-variant association testing for sequencing data with the sequence kernel association test. *American journal of human genetics*. 2011;89:82-93.

15. Adzhubei I,Jordan DM,Sunyaev SR. Predicting functional effect of human missense mutations using polyphen-2. *Current protocols in human genetics / editorial board, Jonathan L. Haines ... [et al.]*. 2013;Chapter 7:Unit7 20.

16. Kumar P,Henikoff S,Ng PC. Predicting the effects of coding non-synonymous variants on protein function using the sift algorithm. *Nature protocols*. 2009;4:1073-1081.

17. Christophersen IE,Rienstra M,Roselli C,Yin X,Geelhoed B,Barnard J, et al. Large-scale analyses of common and rare variants identify 12 new loci associated with atrial fibrillation. *Nat Genet*. 2017;49:946-952.

18. Christophersen IE,Magnani JW,Yin X,Barnard J,Weng LC,Arking DE, et al. Fifteen genetic loci associated with the electrocardiographic p wave. *Circ Cardiovasc Genet*. 2017;10

19. Segre AV,Consortium D,investigators M,Groop L,Mootha VK,Daly MJ, et al. Common inherited variation in mitochondrial genes is not enriched for associations with type 2 diabetes or related glycemic traits. *PLoS Genet*. 2010;6

20. Benjamini Y,Hochberg Y. Controlling the false discovery rate: A practical and powerful approach to multiple testing. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society, Series B (Methodological)*. 1995;57:289-300.

21. Consortium GT. Human genomics. The genotype-tissue expression (gtex) pilot analysis: Multitissue gene regulation in humans. *Science*. 2015;348:648-660.

22. ENCODE Project Consortium,Dunham I,Kundaje A,Aldred SF,Collins PJ,Davis CA, et al. An integrated encyclopedia of DNA elements in the human genome. *Nature*. 2012;489:57-74.

23. Chadwick LH. The nih roadmap epigenomics program data resource. *Epigenomics*. 2012;4:317-324.

24. Ahmed M,Sallari RC,Guo H,Moore JH,He HH,Lupien M. Variant set enrichment: An r package to identify disease-associated functional genomic regions. *BioData mining*. 2017;10:9.

25. McNair WP,Ku L,Taylor MR,Fain PR,Dao D,Wolfel E, et al. Scn5a mutation associated with dilated cardiomyopathy, conduction disorder, and arrhythmia. *Circulation*. 2004;110:2163-2167.

26. Olson TM,Michels VV,Ballew JD,Reyna SP,Karst ML,Herron KJ, et al. Sodium channel mutations and susceptibility to heart failure and atrial fibrillation. *JAMA*. 2005;293:447-454.

27. Chen L,Zhang W,Fang C,Jiang S,Shu C,Cheng H, et al. Polymorphism h558r in the human cardiac sodium channel scn5a gene is associated with atrial fibrillation. *The Journal of international medical research*. 2011;39:1908-1916.

28. Qureshi SF,Ali A,John P,Jadhav AP,Venkateshwari A,Rao H, et al. Mutational analysis of scn5a gene in long qt syndrome. *Meta gene*. 2015;6:26-35.

29. Iwasa H,Itoh T,Nagai R,Nakamura Y,Tanaka T. Twenty single nucleotide polymorphisms (snps) and their allelic frequencies in four genes that are responsible for familial long qt syndrome in the japanese population. *Journal of human genetics*. 2000;45:182-183.

30. Modell SM,Lehmann MH. The long qt syndrome family of cardiac ion channelopathies: A huge review. *Genet Med*. 2006;8:143-155.

31.   Ter Bekke RMA,Isaacs A,Barysenka A,Hoos MB,Jongbloed JDH,Hoorntje JCA, et al. Heritability in a scn5a-mutation founder population with increased female susceptibility to non-nocturnal ventricular tachyarrhythmia and sudden cardiac death. *Heart Rhythm*. 2017;14:1873-1881.

32.   Granados-Riveron JT,Ghosh TK,Pope M,Bu'Lock F,Thornborough C,Eason J, et al. Alpha-cardiac myosin heavy chain (myh6) mutations affecting myofibril formation are associated with congenital heart defects. *Hum Mol Genet*. 2010;19:4007-4016.

33.   Posch MG,Waldmuller S,Muller M,Scheffold T,Fournier D,Andrade-Navarro MA, et al. Cardiac alpha-myosin (myh6) is the predominant sarcomeric disease gene for familial atrial septal defects. *PLoS ONE*. 2011;6:e28872.

34.   van Setten J,Brody JA,Jamshidi Y,Swenson BR,Butler AM,Campbell H, et al. Genome-wide association meta-analysis of pr interval identifies 47 novel loci associated with atrial and atrioventricular electrical activity. *bioRxiv*.DOI: https://doi.org/10.1101/241489

35.   Chambers JC,Zhao J,Terracciano CM,Bezzina CR,Zhang W,Kaba R, et al. Genetic variation in scn10a influences cardiac conduction. *Nat Genet*. 2010;42:149-152.

36.   Sotoodehnia N,Isaacs A,de Bakker PI,Dorr M,Newton-Cheh C,Nolte IM, et al. Common variants in 22 loci are associated with qrs duration and cardiac ventricular conduction. *Nat Genet*. 2010;42:1068-1076.

37.   Bezzina CR,Barc J,Mizusawa Y,Remme CA,Gourraud JB,Simonet F, et al. Common variants at scn5a-scn10a and hey2 are associated with brugada syndrome, a rare disease with high risk of sudden cardiac death. *Nat Genet*. 2013;45:1044-1049.

38.   Yang T,Atack TC,Stroud DM,Zhang W,Hall L,Roden DM. Blocking scn10a channels in

heart reduces late sodium current and is antiarrhythmic. *Circ Res*. 2012;111:322-332.

39.   Verkerk AO,Remme CA,Schumacher CA,Scicluna BP,Wolswinkel R,de Jonge B, et al.

Functional nav1.8 channels in intracardiac neurons: The link between scn10a and

cardiac electrophysiology. *Circ Res*. 2012;111:333-343.

Table 1. Clinical characteristics of the participating studies

Ancestry	Study	Total N	Men, N (%)	Age, yrs, mean	PR interval, ms, mean± SD	RR interval, ms, mean± SD	BMI, kg/m2, mean ± SD	Height, cm, mean ± SD	SBP, mmHg, mean ± SD	Beta blockers (%)	Diuretics (%)	Calcium antagonists* (%)
European ancestry	AGES	2052	742 (36.2)	75.9±5.4	170.5±26.8	895±129	27.0±4.4	166±9	143±20	635 (31.0)	ND	108 (5.3)
	ARIC	9828	4528 (46.1)	54.1±5.7	160.3±23.3	928±136	26.9±4.7	169±9	118±17	789 (8.0)	1085 (11.0)	176 (1.8)
	BRIGHT	841	324 (38.9)	57.6±10.7	161.1±19.9	960±169	27.5±3.8	166±9	153±24	248 (29.5)	260 (30.9)	18 (2.1)
	CAMP	2493	1394 (55.9)	60.7±11.6	163.0±26.8	926±166	28.5±5.8	171±10	ND	Excluded	ND	Excluded
	CHS	3247	1313 (40.4)	72.4±5.4	167.8±28.2	956±151	26.4± 4.4	165±9	136±21	366 (11.3)	750 (23.1)	206 (6.3)
	ERF	982	447 (45.5)	48.2±14.3	152.9±23.2	982±159	26.9±4.6	168±10	140±20	3 (0.3)	133 (13.5)	25 (2.5)
	FHS	7580	3428 (45.2)	39.3±9.8	152.0±22.1	910±175	26.0±5.0	169±10	119±15	Excluded	ND	Excluded
	GOCHA	355	161 (45.4)	73.2±8.2	167.6±27.7	913±173	26.1±4.6	169±10	N/A	Excluded	ND	ND
	GRAPHIC	1755	893 (50.9)	39.1±14.5	153.0±24.0	934±145	26.1±4.6	171±9	128±19	39 (2.2)	ND	ND
	INTER99	5836	2843 (48.7)	46.1±7.9	158.2±22.4	921±150	26.3±4.6	172±9	130±18	ND	ND	ND
	KORA	2617	1247 (47.6)	48.3±13.0	162.1±22.2	944±149	26.9±4.4	168±9	127±19	199 (7.6)	152 (5.8)	13 (0.5)
	KORCULA	293	106 (36.2)	55.0±13.4	159.8± 24.0	929±127	28.0± 4.3	168± 9	139± 14	8 (2.7)	3 (1.0)	6 (2.0)
	LifeLines	1934	781 (40.3)	45.2±13.1	156.7±24.7	896±145	25.9±4.5	175±9	122±16	64 (3.3)	39 (2.0)	23 (1.2)
	MESA	2455	1171 (47.7)	62.8±10.2	164.7±25.2	1047±158	27.8±5.1	169±10	123±21	Excluded	ND	Excluded
	NEO	5782	2717 (47.0)	55.9±5.9	164.5±23.4	940±151	30.0±4.8	174±10	133±17	Excluded	ND	ND
	RS	2358	1086 (46.1)	68.6±8.1	168.2±24.7	871±144	26.3±3.6	168± 9	ND	293 (12.4)	ND	ND
	GS:SFHS	9168	3786 (41.3)	52.0±13.6	164.1± 24.9	886±146	26.9± 5.1	168± 10	134± 18	192 (2.1)	ND	ND
	SHIP	6493	2608 (40.2)	49.2±15.3	158.5±23.3	897±146	27.5±5.0	170±9	131±20	ND	ND	ND
	TwinsUK	465	32 (6.9)	52.3±11.7	159.6±22.6	923±148	26.8±5.4	163±7	119±16	ND	ND	ND
	UHP	1735	779 (44.9)	39.1±13.0	155.9±22.5	950±151	24.9±3.9	175±10	125±17	69 (4.6)	32 (1.8)	18 (1.0)
	WHI	13252	0 (0)	66.0±6.5	161.4±24.0	921±138	28.7±5.6	162±6	130±18	735 (5.5)	1715 (13.3)	1230 (9.3)
	YFS	1846	824 (44.6)	41.9±5.0	156.2±22.6	1028±165	26.4±4.9	172±9	119±14	38 (2.1)	24 (1.3)	1 (0.1)
African ancestry	ARIC	3366	1291 (38.4)	53.3±5.8	171.2±26.8	929±151	29.4±6.1	168±9	128±22	315 (9.4)	717 (21.3)	222 (6.6)
	CHS	627	232 (37.0)	72.4±5.5	170.2±28.1	918±161	28.4± 5.5	165±9	142± 22	54 (8.6)	217 (34.6)	60 (9.6)
	JHS	2220	833 (37.5)	52.7±12.5	172.7±27.3	956±150	31.4±6.4	169±9	126±18	Excluded	ND	Excluded
	MESA	1565	718 (45.9)	62.3±10.0	170.9±26.3	1050±172	30.2±5.9	168±10	132±21	Excluded	ND	Excluded
	WHI	1658	0 (0)	64.6±6.4	167.1± 24.8	921±148	31.1±5.8	162±7	134±17	87 (5.2)	393 (23.7)	341 (20.6)

Exclusion criteria are given in **Supplementary Table 1**. SBP, systolic blood pressure; BMI, body mass index; ND, not determined; SD, standard deviation; *Non-dihydropyridine calcium antagonists.

Table 2. Common variants significantly associated with PR interval from meta-analysis of all studies

SNP	Locus	Closest gene	Function	Coding allele	CAF*	Beta	SE	P value	Number of studies‡	Prolong or shorten PR interval	Novel locus
rs6795970	3p22.2	*SCN10A*	Missense	A	0.37	0.1705	0.0052	4.0×10^{-240}	27	Prolong	
rs3922844	3p22.2	*SCN5A*	Intronic	A	0.34	-0.1069	0.0053	9.3×10^{-90}	26	Shorten	
rs3807989	7q31.2	*CAV1*	Intronic	A	0.43	0.0908	0.0050	3.0×10^{-74}	27	Prolong	
rs7660702	4q21.23	*ARHGAP24*	Intronic	C	0.33	-0.0921	0.0053	1.2×10^{-68}	27	Shorten	
rs17287293	12p12.1	*LINC00477*	Intergenic	G	0.14	-0.1084	0.0071	1.9×10^{-52}	27	Shorten	
rs11897119	2p14	*MEIS1*	Intronic	C	0.39	0.0566	0.0055	4.2×10^{-25}	25	Prolong	
rs1896312	12q24.21	*TBX3*	Intergenic	G	0.28	0.0564	0.0055	8.7×10^{-25}	26	Prolong	
rs883079	12q24.21	*TBX5*	3'UTR	G	0.29	0.0550	0.0054	4.5×10^{-24}	26	Prolong	
rs116202356	3p22.2	*DLEC1*	Missense	A	0.02	-0.1953	0.0199	1.0×10^{-22}	27	Shorten	
rs251253	5q35.1	*CREBRF*	Intergenic	G	0.42	-0.0439	0.0051	4.7×10^{-18}	26	Shorten	
rs11153730	6q22.31	*SLC35F1*	Intergenic	C	0.47	-0.0420	0.0049	9.5×10^{-18}	27	Shorten	Novel
rs35658696	5q21.1	*PAM*	Missense	G	0.04	0.0956	0.0119	8.5×10^{-16}	27	Prolong	
rs2070492	3p22.2	*SLC22A14*	Missense	T	0.10	0.0624	0.0083	4.0×10^{-14}	27	Prolong	Novel
rs2585897	13q12.11	*XPO4*	Intronic	A	0.17	0.0471	0.0064	2.8×10^{-13}	27	Prolong	
rs2042995	2q31.2	*TTN*	Missense	C	0.26	0.0375	0.0057	4.3×10^{-11}	27	Prolong	
rs4399693	2p25.1	*ID2*	Intergenic	A	0.34	0.0374	0.0058	9.1×10^{-11}	25	Prolong	
rs41306688	13q34	*ADPRHL1*	Missense	C	0.03	0.1002	0.0173	7.4×10^{-9}	22	Prolong	Novel
rs4745	1q22	*EFNA1*	Missense	T	0.49	0.0299	0.0053	1.2×10^{-8}	26	Prolong	
rs11078078	17p12	*LINC00670*	Intronic	A	0.40	0.0281	0.0050	2.2×10^{-8}	27	Prolong	
rs60632610	10q22.2	*SYNPO2L*	Missense	T	0.15	-0.0371	0.0068	4.5×10^{-8}	27	Shorten	Novel
rs11848785	14q24.2	*SIPA1L1*	Intronic	G	0.24	0.0317	0.0058	4.6×10^{-8}	27	Prolong	
rs3733414	4q35.2	*FAT1*	Missense	A	0.38	0.0280	0.0051	4.8×10^{-8}	27	Prolong	
rs17362588	2q31.2	*CCDC141*	Missense	A	0.08	-0.0491	0.0090	5.5×10^{-8}	27	Shorten	Novel
rs2296172	1p34.3	*MACF1*	Missense	G	0.20	0.0326	0.0061	1.1×10^{-7}	27	Prolong	Novel
rs9398652	6q22.31	*GJA1*	Intergenic	A	0.14	0.0390	0.0074	1.3×10^{-7}	26	Prolong	Novel
rs442177	4q22.1	*AFF1*	Intronic	C	0.42	-0.0262	0.0050	1.8×10^{-7}	26	Shorten	Novel
rs7002002	8q24.3	*PLEC*	Missense	A	0.38	-0.0272	0.0052	2.1×10^{-7}	25	Shorten	Novel
rs1768208	3p22.1	*MOBP*	Intron	T	0.25	0.0288	0.0057	3.6×10^{-7}	27	Prolong	Novel
rs2119788	4q34.1	*HAND2*	Intergenic	C	0.52	-0.0246	0.0049	5.6×10^{-7}	27	Shorten	Novel
rs17391905**†**	1p32.3	*C1orf185*	Intergenic	G	0.03	-0.0694	0.0142	9.6×10^{-7}	27	Shorten	
rs524295	10q24.1	*ALDH18A1*	Intergenic	A	0.40	-0.0261	0.0053	9.7×10^{-7}	26	Shorten	

*Coding allele frequency
†SNP was not significant if African participants were excluded.
‡Some variants did not reach pass the quality filtering in respective studies and thus were excluded.

Table 3. Top 10 gene regions associated with PR interval by the SKAT test*

Gene	*P* value	Qmeta†	CMAF‡	#Variants	Number of studies with at leat one rare variant	Average number of variants in each study
MYH6	**5.9x10^{-11}**	**23537340**	**0.0215**	**32**	**27**	**12**
SCN5A	**1.1x10^{-7}**	**16604843**	**0.0289**	**35**	**27**	**13**
GORASP1	1.3x10^{-5}	14361252	0.0308	16	27	6
NEBL	1.9x10^{-5}	11787699	0.0309	36	27	11
TRIML2	1.2x10^{-4}	10173978	0.0223	23	27	10
SLC22A11	1.5x10^{-4}	6539656	0.0136	11	27	6
MTRF1	2.8x10^{-4}	9073098	0.0235	10	26	3
CD36	3.5x10^{-4}	8001777	0.0156	28	27	9
CAPRIN2	3.7x10^{-4}	6886375	0.0169	15	27	7
PIK3R6	6.0x10^{-4}	9763336	0.0316	23	26	8

*The analysis included only nonsynonymous and splice site rare variants (MAF<1%) within the gene regions
†Qmeta: The SKAT Q-statistic, defined as $\sum_{j=1}^{n} w_j S_j$, where w_j is the weight, and S_j is the squared score.
‡CMAF: Cumulative minor allele frequency; SKAT: Sequence Kernel Association Test
The significance level for gene-based tests after Bonferroni correction was *P*<0.05/5759=8.7x10^{-6}; the two genes that reached this significant cutoff are highlighted in bold font.

Figure Legends

Figure 1. Manhattan plot showing the association between common variants and PR interval from combined ancestry analysis. The x-axis represents the chromosomal position for each SNP, and the y-axis represents the $-\log_{10}$(p-value) of the association with PR interval. The dashed line represents the genome-wide significance cutoff of 5×10^{-8}, and the blue line represents the Bonferroni P-value cutoff of 1.3×10^{-6}. Black color represents known loci, whereas red color represents novel loci.

Figure 2. Diagram of sodium voltage-gated channel alpha subunit 10 (SCN10A). Each yellow circle represents a genetic variant with a P-value less than the significance cutoff (1.2×10^{-6}). Each red circle represents a genetic variant with a P-value greater than the significance cutoff, but less than 0.05.