Erratum:

"Communication: X-ray absorption spectra and core-ionization potentials within a core-valence separated coupled cluster framework"

Coriani, Sonia; Koch, Henrik

Published in:
Journal of Chemical Physics

Link to article, DOI:
http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.4964714

Publication date:
2016

Document Version
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Erratum: "Communication: X-ray absorption spectra and core-ionization potentials within a core-valence separated coupled cluster framework" [J. Chem. Phys. 143, 181103 (2015)]

Sonia Coriani' and Henrik Koch'

Citation: The Journal of Chemical Physics **145**, 149901 (2016); doi: 10.1063/1.4964714
View online: http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.4964714
View Table of Contents: http://aip.scitation.org/toc/jcp/145/14
Published by the American Institute of Physics

Erratum: "Communication: X-ray absorption spectra and core-ionization potentials within a core-valence separated coupled cluster framework" [J. Chem. Phys. 143, 181103 (2015)]

Sonia Coriani[1,2,a)] and Henrik Koch[3,4,b)]
[1]*Dipartimento di Scienze Chimiche e Farmaceutiche, Università degli Studi di Trieste, I-34127 Trieste, Italy*
[2]*Aarhus Institute of Advanced Studies, Aarhus University, DK-8000 Århus C, Denmark*
[3]*Department of Chemistry, Norwegian University of Science and Technology, 7491 Trondheim, Norway*
[4]*Department of Chemistry and the PULSE Institute, Stanford University, Stanford, California 94305, USA*

(Received 20 September 2016; accepted 29 September 2016; published online 13 October 2016)

[http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.4964714]

Due to an unfortunate error, an exchange contribution was missing in the computed core-ionization potentials collected in Table III of Ref. 1. The revised values of the ionization potentials are collected in Table I below. Even though the revision does not alter the main conclusions of our previous study, it does deteriorate the agreement between CCSD results and experiment. We note that this is not due to the core-valence separation itself, as we verified by applying the perturbative correction to the ionization potentials.

TABLE I. CVS-CCSD core ionisation potentials (eV).

System	Basis	Ionization	CCSD	ΔUGA-SUMRCC[2]	Expt.
H_2O	cc-pVDZ	O $1s^{-1}$	543.34[a]	541.97	539.78
	cc-pVTZ		540.68[a]	539.02	
	cc-pCVTZ		541.15[a]	539.24	
CO	cc-pVTZ	C $1s^{-1}$	296.98[a]	295.25	296.2[b]
	cc-pCVTZ		297.54[a]	295.67	
	cc-pVTZ	O $1s^{-1}$	543.71[a]		542.5[b]
	cc-pCVTZ		544.18[a]		
N_2	cc-pVTZ	N $1s^{-1}$	410.52		409.9[b]
	cc-pCVTZ		411.04		
HF	cc-pVTZ	F $1s^{-1}$	695.02[a]		693.80
	cc-pCVTZ		695.44[a]	693.40	
	cc-pVTZ		694.86[c]		
	cc-pCVTZ		695.27[c]		

[a]At ground-state geometry of Ref. 2.
[b]From the compilations in Refs. 3 and 4.
[c]At ionized-state geometry of Ref. 2.

[1]S. Coriani and H. Koch, J. Chem. Phys. **143**, 181103 (2015).
[2]S. Sen, A. Shee, and D. Mukherjee, Mol. Phys. **111**, 2625 (2013).
[3]J. Stöhr, *NEXAFS Spectroscopy* (Springer, Berlin, 1992).
[4]A. A. Bakke, H.-W. Chen, and W. L. Jolly, J. Electron Spectrosc. Relat. Phenom. **20**, 333 (1980).

a)Electronic mail: coriani@units.it
b)Electronic mail: henrik.koch@ntnu.no