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#### Abstract

T. Arakawa, in his unpublished note, constructed and studied a theta lifting from elliptic cusp forms to automorphic forms on the quaternion unitary group of signature $(1, q)$. The second named author proved that such a lifting provides bounded (or cuspidal) automorphic forms generating quaternionic discrete series. In this paper, restricting ourselves to the case of $q=1$, we reformulate Arakawa's theta lifting as a theta correspondence in the adelic setting and determine a commutation relation of Hecke operators satisfied by the lifting. As an application, we show that the theta lift of an elliptic Hecke eigenform is also a Hecke eigenform on the quaternion unitary group. We furthermore study the spinor $L$-function attached to the theta lift.


1. Introduction. The prototype of our study in this paper is the classical work $[E]$ by Eichler on the commutation relation of Hecke operators for theta series associated with spherical polynomials on a definite quaternion algebra over $\boldsymbol{Q}$. This result has been generalized in various cases. For example, Yoshida [Y] constructed a theta lifting from a pair of automorphic forms on a multiplicative group of a definite quaternion algebra to holomorphic Siegel modular forms of degree two, and determined a commutation relation for his lift. Kudla [Ku] studied such a relation for a theta lifting from elliptic cusp forms to holomorphic automorphic forms on $S U(2,1)$. Moreover, we note that Rallis [Ra] investigated in great generality a commutation relation via the Weil representation for symplectic-orthogonal dual pairs. Our concern here is a theta lifting from elliptic cusp forms to automorphic forms on the quaternion unitary group $S p(1, q)_{R}$ of signature $(1, q)$, originally formulated by Arakawa ([Ar-1]). We study this lifting for the case of $q=1$ along the same line as $[\mathrm{Y}]$ and $[\mathrm{Ku}]$.

Let us recall that Arakawa formulated the theta lifting mentioned above by considering the restriction of a theta correspondence of $S L_{2}(\boldsymbol{R}) \times S O(4,4 q)$ to $S L_{2}(\boldsymbol{R}) \times S p(1, q)_{\boldsymbol{R}}$ (cf. [Ar-1], [N-1] and [N-3]). We henceforth confine ourselves to the case of $q=1$. It turned out that Arakawa's formulation is not appropriate for proving a commutation relation, since we do not have sufficient Hecke operators. Following T. Ikeda's suggestion, we formulate our lift as a theta correspondence between $\left(G L_{2} \times B^{\times}\right)$and $G S p(1,1)$, where $B$ is a definite quaternion algebra over $\boldsymbol{Q}$. This amounts to the same as taking a certain average of Arakawa's

[^0]original lifts over the ideal classes of $B$. In this setting, we have enough Hecke operators to show a good commutation relation.

On the other hand, we note that our lift can be viewed as a theta correspondence of similitude groups. For references in this direction see $[\mathrm{Ge}],[\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{K}],[\mathrm{Ro}],[\mathrm{Sz}]$ and $[\mathrm{W}]$ etc. In fact, the pair of the groups $\left(G L_{2} \times B^{\times}\right)$and $G S p(1,1)$ comes from the dual reductive pair $O^{*}(4) \times S p(1,1)$, where $O^{*}(4)$ is the inner form of the orthogonal group of degree four which is realized as the quaternion unitary group associated with a skew-Hermitian form of degree two over $B$. In addition we remark that there is a work by Pitale $[\mathrm{P}]$ on a lifting from elliptic Maass forms of weight $1 / 2$ to some class one automorphic forms on $\operatorname{GSp}(1,1) \simeq$ $\operatorname{GSpin}(1,4)$, which is inspired by Saito-Kurokawa lifting or Duke-Imamoğlu-Ikeda lifting on holomorphic Siegel modular forms.

We now explain more precisely our reformulation of the lifting, which is given in the adelic setting. Let $\kappa>6$ be an even integer and $D$ a divisor of the discriminant $d_{B}$ of $B$. We denote by $S_{\kappa}(D)$ the space of elliptic cusp forms on $G L_{2}(\boldsymbol{A})$ of weight $\kappa$ and level $D$, and let $\mathcal{A}_{\kappa}$ be the space of automorphic forms on $B_{A}^{\times}$(for the definition of $S_{\kappa}(D)$ and $\mathcal{A}_{\kappa}$, see Definition 2.2). Furthermore, using a metaplectic representation of $G S p(1,1)_{A} \times G L_{2}(\boldsymbol{A}) \times B_{A}^{\times}$ (cf. Sections 3 and 4), we define a theta kernel $\theta^{\kappa}$ on $G \operatorname{Sp}(1,1)_{\boldsymbol{A}} \times G L_{2}(\boldsymbol{A}) \times B_{\boldsymbol{A}}^{\times}$under a special choice of a test function (cf. (4.1)). For $\left(f, f^{\prime}\right) \in S_{\kappa}(D) \times \mathcal{A}_{\kappa}$, we construct an automorphic form $\mathcal{L}\left(f, f^{\prime}\right)$ on $G S p(1,1)_{A}$ by integrating ( $f, f^{\prime}$ ) against $\theta^{\kappa}$ (cf. (4.2)). Then $\mathcal{L}\left(f, f^{\prime}\right)$ belongs to the space $\mathcal{S}_{\kappa}$ of bounded (or cuspidal) automorphic forms on $\operatorname{GSp}(1,1)_{A}$ given in Definition 2.1 (cf. Theorem 4.1). Note that $F \in S_{\kappa}$ generates, at the infinite place, a quaternionic discrete series in the sense of Gross and Wallach [G-W] (cf. [N-2, Theorem 8.7]).

Our main result is a formula for Hecke eigenvalues of $\mathcal{L}\left(f, f^{\prime}\right)$ stated in Theorem 5.1. For all finite places of $\boldsymbol{Q}$, we provide such a formula in terms of Hecke eigenvalues of $f$ and $f^{\prime}$. This follows from our formula for the commutation relations of Hecke operators in Propositions 6.1 and 6.2. Then we discuss an application of this formula to the study of the spinor $L$-functions of $\mathcal{L}\left(f, f^{\prime}\right)$. We define an Euler factor of the spinor $L$-function at a prime $p \nmid d_{B}$ (resp. $p \mid d_{B}$ ), using the formula for the denominator of the Hecke series by Shimura [Shim-1, Theorem 2] (resp. Hina and Sugano [H-S, Section 4, (I)], [Su, (1-34)]). Among our formulas for the $L$-function, the case $D=1$ seems to be the most interesting. Indeed, if we assume that $f$ and $f^{\prime}$ are Hecke eigenforms, the spinor $L$-function $L\left(\mathcal{L}\left(f, f^{\prime}\right)\right.$, spin, $\left.s\right)$ for that case admits the following simple decomposition (cf. Corollary 5.3)

$$
L\left(\mathcal{L}\left(f, f^{\prime}\right), \operatorname{spin}, s\right)=L(f, s) L^{d_{B}}\left(f^{\prime}, s\right)
$$

where $L(f, s)\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.L^{d_{B}}\left(f^{\prime}, s\right)\right)$ denotes Hecke's classical $L$-function for $f$ (resp. some partial $L$-function for $f^{\prime}$ whose Euler factors range only over $p \nmid d_{B}$ ).

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we give basic notations and define the automorphic forms we need. In Section 3 we introduce a metaplectic representation of $G S p(1,1) \times G L_{2} \times B^{\times}$over local fields. Then we define a global metaplectic representation of the adele group and provide the adelic reformulation of the Arakawa lifting for the case of $q=$

1 in Section 4. Section 5 is devoted to the statement of our main results, i.e., Hecke eigenvalues and spinor $L$-functions for the lifting. In Section 6 we state our result on the commutation relations of Hecke operators, from which our main results are deduced immediately. Finally, we prove the commutation relations at unramified finite places (resp. ramified finite places) of $\boldsymbol{Q}$ in Section 7 (resp. Section 8).

Notation. For an algebraic group $\mathcal{G}$ over $\boldsymbol{Q}, \mathcal{G}_{v}$ stands for the group of $\boldsymbol{Q}_{v}$-points of $\mathcal{G}$, where $\boldsymbol{Q}_{v}$ denotes the $p$-adic field (resp. the field of real numbers) when $v=p$ is a finite place of $\boldsymbol{Q}$ (resp. $v=\infty$ ). By $\mathcal{G}_{\boldsymbol{A}}$ (resp. $\mathcal{G}_{\boldsymbol{A}, f}$ ), we denote the adelization of $\mathcal{G}$ (resp. the group of finite adeles in $\left.\mathcal{G}_{\boldsymbol{A}}\right)$. Let $\psi$ be the additive character of $\boldsymbol{Q}_{\boldsymbol{A}} / \boldsymbol{Q}$ such that $\psi\left(x_{\infty}\right)=\mathbf{e}\left(x_{\infty}\right)$ for $x_{\infty} \in \boldsymbol{R}$, where we put $\mathbf{e}(z)=\exp (2 \pi i z)$ for $z \in \boldsymbol{C}$. We denote by $\psi_{v}$ the restriction of $\psi$ to $\boldsymbol{Q}_{v}$ for a place $v$ of $\boldsymbol{Q}$. We denote by $\operatorname{diag}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)$ the diagonal matrix of degree $n$ with the $i$-th diagonal component $a_{i}$. For a finite dimensional vector space $V$ over $\boldsymbol{Q}_{v}$, we denote by $\mathcal{S}(V)$ the space of Schwartz-Bruhat functions on $V$. We also let $\mathcal{S}\left(\boldsymbol{Q}_{p}^{\times}\right)$be the space of locally constant and compactly supported functions on $\boldsymbol{Q}_{p}^{\times}$. Given a condition $S$, we set

$$
\delta(S):= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } S \text { is satisfied } \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

2. Automorphic forms. Let $B$ be a definite quaternion algebra over $\boldsymbol{Q}$. In what follows, we fix an identification between $B_{\infty}:=B \otimes_{Q} \boldsymbol{R}$ and the Hamilton quaternion algebra $\boldsymbol{H}$, and an embedding $\boldsymbol{H} \hookrightarrow M_{2}(\boldsymbol{C})$. Let $B \ni b \mapsto \bar{b} \in B$ be the main involution of $B$, and put $\operatorname{tr}(b):=b+\bar{b}$ and $n(b):=b \bar{b}$ for $b \in B$. Let $B^{\times}:=B \backslash\{0\}$ be the multiplicative group of $B$. The center $Z\left(B^{\times}\right)$of $B^{\times}$is $\boldsymbol{Q}^{\times} \cdot 1$. Let $d_{B}$ be the discriminant of $B$. By definition, $d_{B}$ is the product of primes $p$ such that $B_{p}:=B \otimes_{Q} \boldsymbol{Q}_{p}$ is a division algebra.

We let $G=\operatorname{GSp}(1,1)$ be an algebraic group over $\boldsymbol{Q}$ defined by

$$
G_{Q}=\left\{\left.g \in M_{2}(B)\right|^{t} \bar{g} Q g=v(g) Q, \nu(g) \in \boldsymbol{Q}^{\times}\right\}
$$

where $Q=\left(\begin{array}{cc}0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0\end{array}\right)$. Denote by $Z_{G}$ the center of $G$.
The Lie group $G_{\infty}^{1}:=\left\{g \in G_{\infty} \mid \nu(g)=1\right\}$ acts on the hyperbolic 4-space $\mathcal{X}:=$ $\{z \in \boldsymbol{H} \mid \operatorname{tr}(z)>0\}$ by linear fractional transformations

$$
g \cdot z:=(a z+b)(c z+d)^{-1}, \quad g=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & b \\
c & d
\end{array}\right) \in G_{\infty}^{1}, \quad z \in \mathcal{X} .
$$

Let $\mu: G_{\infty}^{1} \times \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{H}^{\times}$be the automorphy factor given by $\left.\mu\left(\begin{array}{cc}a & d \\ c & d\end{array}\right), z\right):=c z+d$. The stabilizer subgroup $K_{\infty}$ of $z_{0}:=1 \in \mathcal{X}$ in $G_{\infty}^{1}$ is a maximal compact subgroup of $G_{\infty}^{1}$, which is isomorphic to $S p^{*}(1) \times S p^{*}(1)$, where $S p^{*}(1):=\{z \in \boldsymbol{H} \mid n(z)=1\}$.

Let $\kappa$ be a positive integer. Denote by $\left(\sigma_{\kappa}, V_{\kappa}\right)$ the representation of $\boldsymbol{H}$ given as

$$
\boldsymbol{H} \hookrightarrow M_{2}(\boldsymbol{C}) \rightarrow \operatorname{End}\left(V_{\kappa}\right),
$$

where the second arrow indicates the $\kappa$-th symmetric power representation of $M_{2}(\boldsymbol{C})$. Then

$$
\tau_{\kappa}\left(k_{\infty}\right):=\sigma_{\kappa}\left(\mu\left(k_{\infty}, z_{0}\right)\right), \quad k_{\infty} \in K_{\infty}
$$

gives rise to an irreducible representation of $K_{\infty}$ of dimension $\kappa+1$.
Define $\omega_{\kappa}: G_{\infty}^{1} \rightarrow \operatorname{End}\left(V_{\kappa}\right)$ by

$$
\omega_{\kappa}(g):=\sigma_{\kappa}(D(g))^{-1} n(D(g))^{-1}, \quad g \in G_{\infty}^{1}
$$

where $D(g):=2^{-1}\left(g \cdot z_{0}+1\right) \mu\left(g, z_{0}\right)$. It is known that $\omega_{\kappa}$ is a matrix coefficient of the discrete series representation with minimal $K_{\infty}$-type $\left(\tau_{\kappa}, V_{\kappa}\right)$ (cf. [Ar-3, Section 2.6]). This discrete series is a quaternionic discrete series in the sense of Gross and Wallach [G-W]. We note that $\omega_{\kappa}$ is integrable if $\kappa>4$ (cf. [Ar-2, Lemma 1.1 (ii)], [Ar-3, Lemma 2.10 (ii)]).

Throughout the paper, we fix a maximal order $\mathcal{O}$ of $B$. We also fix a divisor $D$ of $d_{B}$ and let $\mathfrak{A}$ be a two-sided ideal of $\mathcal{O}$ such that, for each $p<\infty$, the $p$-adic completion $\mathfrak{A}_{p}$ of $\mathfrak{A}$ is equal to $\mathcal{O}_{p}$ (resp. $\mathfrak{P}_{p}$ ) if $p \nmid D^{-1} d_{B}$ (resp. $p \mid D^{-1} d_{B}$ ), where $\mathfrak{P}_{p}$ denotes the maximal ideal of $\mathcal{O}_{p}$ for $p \mid d_{B}$.

Let $L:={ }^{t}\left(\mathcal{O} \oplus \mathfrak{A}^{-1}\right)$. Then $L$ is a maximal lattice of $B^{\oplus 2}$. Namely, if $L^{\prime}$ is a lattice of $B^{\oplus 2}$ with $L \subset L^{\prime}$ and $\left\{{ }^{t} \bar{X} Q X \mid X \in L^{\prime}\right\}=\boldsymbol{Z}$, we have $L^{\prime}=L$. For a finite place $p$ of $\boldsymbol{Q}$, $K_{p}=\left\{k \in G_{p} \mid k L_{p}=L_{p}\right\}$ is a maximal compact subgroup of $G_{p}$, where $L_{p}:=L \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \boldsymbol{Z}_{p}$. We set $K_{f}:=\prod_{p<\infty} K_{p}$.

Definition 2.1. For an even integer $\kappa>4$, let $\mathcal{S}_{\kappa}$ be the space of smooth functions $F: G_{\boldsymbol{A}} \rightarrow V_{\kappa}$ satisfying the following conditions:

1. For any $\left(z, \gamma, g, k_{f}, k_{\infty}\right) \in Z_{G, \boldsymbol{A}} \times G_{\boldsymbol{Q}} \times G_{\boldsymbol{A}} \times K_{f} \times K_{\infty}$, we have

$$
F\left(z \gamma g k_{f} k_{\infty}\right)=\tau_{\kappa}\left(k_{\infty}\right)^{-1} F(g)
$$

2. $F$ is bounded.
3. For any fixed $\left(g_{f}, g_{\infty}\right) \in G_{\boldsymbol{A}, f} \times G_{\infty}$, we have

$$
c_{\kappa} \int_{G_{\infty}^{1}} \omega_{\kappa}\left(h_{\infty}^{-1} g_{\infty}\right) F\left(g_{f} h_{\infty}\right) d h_{\infty}=F\left(g_{f} g_{\infty}\right)
$$

where $c_{\kappa}:=2^{-4} \pi^{-2} \kappa(\kappa-1)$ and $d h_{\infty}$ is the normalized invariant measure of $G_{\infty}^{1}$ introduced in $[\mathrm{Ar}-3,1.2]$.

Here we note that this automorphic form has been shown to be cuspidal (cf. [Ar-3, Proposition 3.1]) and generates a quaternionic discrete series at the infinite place (cf. [N-2, Theorem 8.7]).

Next, let $H$ and $H^{\prime}$ be algebraic groups over $\boldsymbol{Q}$ defined by $H_{Q}=G L_{2}(\boldsymbol{Q})$ and $H_{\boldsymbol{Q}}^{\prime}=$ $B^{\times}$, respectively, and denote by $Z_{H}$ and $Z_{H^{\prime}}$ the centers of $H$ and $H^{\prime}$, respectively. We define an action of $S L_{2}(\boldsymbol{R})$ on the complex upper half plane $\mathfrak{h}:=\{\tau \in \boldsymbol{C} \mid \operatorname{Im}(\tau)>0\}$ as usual. Let $U_{\infty}:=\left\{h \in S L_{2}(\boldsymbol{R}) \mid h \cdot i=i\right\}=S O(2)$ and $U_{\infty}^{\prime}:=\left\{h^{\prime} \in \boldsymbol{H} \mid n\left(h^{\prime}\right)=1\right\}=S p^{*}(1)$. Moreover, we put $U_{f}=\prod_{p<\infty} U_{p}$ and $U_{f}^{\prime}=\prod_{p<\infty} U_{p}^{\prime}$, where $U_{p}:=\left\{u=\left(u_{i j}\right) \in\right.$ $\left.G L_{2}\left(\boldsymbol{Z}_{p}\right) \mid u_{21} \in D \boldsymbol{Z}_{p}\right\}$ and $U_{p}^{\prime}:=\mathcal{O}_{p}^{\times}$.

DEFINITION 2.2. (1) Let $S_{\kappa}(D)$ be the space of smooth functions $f$ on $H_{A}$ satisfying the following conditions:

1. We have $f\left(z \gamma h u_{f} u_{\infty}\right)=j\left(u_{\infty}, i\right)^{-\kappa} f(h)$ for any $\left(z, \gamma, h, u_{f}, u_{\infty}\right) \in Z_{H, \boldsymbol{A}} \times$ $H_{Q} \times H_{\boldsymbol{A}} \times U_{f} \times U_{\infty}$.
2. For any fixed $h_{f} \in H_{A, f}, \mathfrak{h} \ni h_{\infty} \cdot i \mapsto j\left(h_{\infty}, i\right)^{\kappa} f\left(h_{f} h_{\infty}\right)$ is holomorphic for $h_{\infty} \in S L_{2}(\boldsymbol{R})$.
3. $f$ is bounded.

Here $\left.j\left(\begin{array}{cc}a b \\ c & b\end{array}\right), \tau\right):=c \tau+d$ denotes the standard $\boldsymbol{C}$-valued automorphy factor of $S L_{2}(\boldsymbol{R}) \times \mathfrak{h}$.
(2) Let $\mathcal{A}_{\kappa}$ denote the space of smooth $V_{\kappa}$-valued functions $f^{\prime}$ on $H_{A}^{\prime}$ such that

$$
f^{\prime}\left(z^{\prime} \gamma^{\prime} h^{\prime} u_{f}^{\prime} u_{\infty}^{\prime}\right)=\sigma_{\kappa}\left(u_{\infty}^{\prime}\right)^{-1} f\left(h^{\prime}\right)
$$

holds for any $\left(z^{\prime}, \gamma^{\prime}, h^{\prime}, u_{f}^{\prime}, u_{\infty}^{\prime}\right) \in Z_{H^{\prime}, \boldsymbol{A}} \times H_{Q}^{\prime} \times H_{\boldsymbol{A}}^{\prime} \times U_{f}^{\prime} \times U_{\infty}^{\prime}$.
3. Metaplectic representation. In this section, we fix a place $v$ of $\boldsymbol{Q}$. When $v=p$ is a finite place (resp. $v=\infty$ ), $|*|_{v}$ denotes the $p$-adic valuation (resp. the usual absolute value for $\boldsymbol{R})$. For $X={ }^{t}(x, y) \in B_{v}^{\oplus 2}$, we put $X^{*}:=(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$. For a finite place $p$ of $\boldsymbol{Q}$, let $\boldsymbol{V}_{p}$ be the space of functions on $B_{p}^{\oplus 2} \times \boldsymbol{Q}_{p}^{\times}$generated by $\varphi_{1}(X) \varphi_{2}(t)$, where $\varphi_{1} \in \mathcal{S}\left(B_{p}^{\oplus 2}\right)\left(=\mathcal{S}\left(\boldsymbol{Q}_{p}^{8}\right)\right)$ and $\varphi_{2} \in \mathcal{S}\left(\boldsymbol{Q}_{p}^{\times}\right)$. We also let $\boldsymbol{V}_{\infty}$ be the space of smooth functions $\varphi: B_{\infty}^{\oplus 2} \times \boldsymbol{Q}_{\infty}^{\times}=\boldsymbol{H}^{\oplus 2} \times$ $\boldsymbol{R}^{\times} \rightarrow \operatorname{End}\left(V_{\kappa}\right)$ such that, for any fixed $t \in \boldsymbol{R}^{\times}, X \mapsto \varphi(X, t)$ is in $\mathcal{S}\left(\boldsymbol{H}^{\oplus 2}\right) \otimes \operatorname{End}\left(V_{k}\right)$ $\left(=\mathcal{S}\left(\boldsymbol{R}^{8}\right) \otimes \operatorname{End}\left(V_{K}\right)\right)$. We define a partial Fourier transform $\mathcal{I}$ by

$$
\mathcal{I} \varphi\left(\binom{x_{1}}{x_{2}}, t\right)=\int_{B_{v}} \psi_{v}\left(-t \operatorname{tr}\left(\bar{y} x_{1}\right)\right) \varphi\left(\binom{y}{x_{2}}, t\right) d y, \quad \varphi \in \boldsymbol{V}_{v},
$$

where $d y$ is the Haar measure on $B_{v}$ self-dual with respect to the pairing $B_{v} \times B_{v} \ni(x, y) \mapsto$ $\psi_{v}(\operatorname{tr}(\bar{x} y))$.

For $\left(g, h, h^{\prime}\right) \in G_{v} \times H_{v} \times H_{v}^{\prime}$, define a linear operator $r_{v}\left(g, h, h^{\prime}\right)$ on $\boldsymbol{V}_{v}$ as follows: For $\varphi \in \boldsymbol{V}_{v}, X \in B_{v}^{\oplus 2}$ and $t \in \boldsymbol{Q}_{v}^{\times}$,

$$
r_{v}\left(g, h, h^{\prime}\right)=r_{v}(g, 1,1) \circ r_{v}(1, h, 1) \circ r_{v}\left(1,1, h^{\prime}\right)
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& r_{v}(g, 1,1) \varphi(X, t)=|\nu(g)|_{v}^{-3 / 2} \varphi\left(g^{-1} X, v(g) t\right), \quad g \in G_{v}  \tag{3.1}\\
& r_{v}\left(1,1, h^{\prime}\right) \varphi(X, t)=\left|n\left(h^{\prime}\right)\right|_{v}^{3 / 2} \varphi\left(X h^{\prime}, n\left(h^{\prime}\right)^{-1} t\right), \quad h^{\prime} \in H_{v}^{\prime}  \tag{3.2}\\
& \left(\mathcal{I} \circ r_{v}(1, h, 1) \varphi\right)(X, t)=|\operatorname{det} h|_{v}^{-1 / 2} \mathcal{I} \varphi\left((\operatorname{det} h) \cdot h^{-1} X,(\operatorname{det} h)^{-1} t\right), \quad h \in H_{v} \tag{3.3}
\end{align*}
$$

A straightforward calculation shows that

$$
\begin{align*}
& r\left(1,\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & b \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right), 1\right) \varphi(X, t)=\psi_{v}\left(\frac{b t}{2} \operatorname{tr}\left(X^{*} Q X\right)\right) \varphi(X, t), \quad b \in \boldsymbol{Q}_{v},  \tag{3.4}\\
& r\left(1,\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a & 0 \\
0 & a^{\prime}
\end{array}\right), 1\right) \varphi(X, t)=|a|_{v}^{7 / 2}\left|a^{\prime}\right|_{v}^{-1 / 2} \varphi\left(a X,\left(a a^{\prime}\right)^{-1} t\right), \quad a, a^{\prime} \in \boldsymbol{Q}_{v}^{\times},  \tag{3.5}\\
& r\left(1,\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 1 \\
-1 & 0
\end{array}\right), 1\right) \varphi(X, t)=|t|_{v}^{4} \int_{B_{v}^{\oplus 2}} \psi_{v}\left(t \operatorname{tr}\left(Y^{*} Q X\right)\right) \varphi(Y, t) d_{Q} Y . \tag{3.6}
\end{align*}
$$

Here $d_{Q} Y$ is the Haar measure on $B_{v}^{\oplus 2}$ self-dual with respect to the pairing

$$
B_{v}^{\oplus 2} \times B_{v}^{\oplus 2} \ni\left(Y, Y^{\prime}\right) \mapsto \psi_{v}\left(\operatorname{tr}\left(Y^{*} Q Y^{\prime}\right)\right)
$$

This shows that the actions of $G_{v}, H_{v}$ and $H_{v}^{\prime}$ mutually commute, and that $r_{v}$ gives rise to a smooth representation of $G_{v} \times H_{v} \times H_{v}^{\prime}$ on $\boldsymbol{V}_{v}$.

When $v=p<\infty$, we put

$$
\varphi_{0, p}(X, t):=\operatorname{char}_{L_{p}}(X) \operatorname{char}_{Z_{p}^{\times}}(t),
$$

where $\operatorname{char}_{L_{p}}\left(\right.$ resp. char $\left.\mathbf{Z}_{p}^{\times}\right)$is the characteristic function of $L_{p}={ }^{t}\left(\mathcal{O}_{p} \oplus \mathfrak{A}_{p}^{-1}\right)\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.\boldsymbol{Z}_{p}^{\times}\right)$. When $v=\infty$, we put

$$
\varphi_{0, \infty}^{\kappa}(X, t):= \begin{cases}t^{(\kappa+3) / 2} \sigma_{\kappa}((1,1) X) \mathbf{e}\left(\frac{i t}{2} \operatorname{tr}\left(X^{*} X\right)\right), & t>0 \\ 0, & t<0\end{cases}
$$

The following fact is easily verified.
Lemma 3.1. Let $v=p<\infty$. Then we have

$$
r\left(k_{p}, u_{p}, u_{p}^{\prime}\right) \varphi_{0, p}=\varphi_{0, p}
$$

for $k_{p} \in K_{p}, u_{p} \in U_{p}$ and $u_{p}^{\prime} \in U_{p}^{\prime}$.
Lemma 3.2. Let $v=\infty$. Then we have

$$
r\left(k_{\infty}, u_{\infty}, u_{\infty}^{\prime}\right) \varphi_{0, \infty}^{\kappa}=j\left(u_{\infty}, i\right)^{-\kappa} \tau_{\kappa}\left(k_{\infty}\right)^{-1} \cdot \varphi_{0, \infty}^{\kappa} \cdot \sigma_{\kappa}\left(u_{\infty}^{\prime}\right)
$$

for $k \in K_{\infty}, u_{\infty} \in U_{\infty}$ and $u^{\prime} \in U_{\infty}^{\prime}$.
Proof. The transformation law with respect to the $U_{\infty}$-action immediately follows from [ $\mathrm{N}-3$, Lemma 3.8] (see also [ $\mathrm{N}-1$, Lemma 4.3]). The other transformation laws are verified in a straightforward way.
4. Arakawa lift. Let $\boldsymbol{V}_{\boldsymbol{A}}$ be the restricted tensor product of $\boldsymbol{V}_{v}$ with respect to $\left\{\varphi_{0, p}\right\}_{p<\infty}$. By $r_{\boldsymbol{A}}$ we denote a smooth representation of $G_{\boldsymbol{A}} \times H_{\boldsymbol{A}} \times H_{\boldsymbol{A}}^{\prime}$ on $\boldsymbol{V}_{\boldsymbol{A}}$ given by

$$
r_{\boldsymbol{A}}\left(g, h, h^{\prime}\right) \varphi:=\bigotimes_{v} r_{v}\left(g_{v}, h_{v}, h_{v}^{\prime}\right) \varphi_{v}
$$

for $\varphi=\bigotimes_{v} \varphi_{v} \in V_{\boldsymbol{A}}$ and $\left(g=\left(g_{v}\right), h=\left(h_{v}\right), h^{\prime}=\left(h_{v}^{\prime}\right)\right) \in G_{\boldsymbol{A}} \times H_{\boldsymbol{A}} \times H_{\boldsymbol{A}}^{\prime}$. Define a function $\varphi_{0}^{\kappa} \in \boldsymbol{V}_{\boldsymbol{A}}$ by

$$
\varphi_{0}^{\kappa}(X, t):=\varphi_{0, \infty}^{\kappa}\left(X_{\infty}, t_{\infty}\right) \prod_{p<\infty} \varphi_{0, p}\left(X_{p}, t_{p}\right)
$$

for $X=\left(X_{v}\right) \in B_{\boldsymbol{A}}^{\oplus 2}$ and $t=\left(t_{v}\right) \in \boldsymbol{Q}_{\boldsymbol{A}}^{\times}$.
Set
(4.1) $\quad \theta^{\kappa}\left(g, h, h^{\prime}\right):=\sum_{(X, t) \in B^{\oplus 2} \times \boldsymbol{Q}^{\times}} r_{\boldsymbol{A}}\left(g, h, h^{\prime}\right) \varphi_{0}^{\kappa}(X, t), \quad\left(g, h, h^{\prime}\right) \in G_{\boldsymbol{A}} \times H_{\boldsymbol{A}} \times H_{\boldsymbol{A}}^{\prime}$.

Let $C$ be any compact subset of $G_{\boldsymbol{A}} \times H_{\boldsymbol{A}} \times H_{\boldsymbol{A}}^{\prime}$. Then there exist positive real numbers $c_{1}, c_{2}$, a lattice $L$ of $B^{\oplus 2}$ and a finite set $S$ of $\boldsymbol{Q}_{>0}^{\times}$such that

$$
\sum_{(X, t) \in B^{\oplus 2} \times \boldsymbol{Q}^{\times}}\left|r_{\boldsymbol{A}}\left(g, h, h^{\prime}\right) \varphi_{0}^{\kappa}(X, t)\right| \leq c_{1} \sum_{X \in L, t \in S} \exp \left(-c_{2} t X^{*} X\right)
$$

holds for $\left(g, h, h^{\prime}\right) \in C$. This implies that the series (4.1) is absolutely convergent on any compact subset of $G_{\boldsymbol{A}} \times H_{\boldsymbol{A}} \times H_{A}^{\prime}$. By the definition of $r$ and the Poisson summation formula, we see that $\theta^{\kappa}$ is left $G_{\boldsymbol{Q}} \times H_{Q} \times H_{Q}^{\prime}$-invariant. We also have, by Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2,

$$
\theta^{\kappa}\left(g k_{f} k_{\infty}, h u_{f} u_{\infty}, h^{\prime} u_{f}^{\prime} u_{\infty}^{\prime}\right)=\tau_{\kappa}\left(k_{\infty}\right)^{-1} j\left(u_{\infty}, i\right)^{-\kappa} \theta\left(g, h, h^{\prime}\right) \sigma_{\kappa}\left(u_{\infty}^{\prime}\right)
$$

for $\left(g, k_{f}, k_{\infty}\right) \in G_{\boldsymbol{A}} \times K_{f} \times K_{\infty},\left(h, u_{f}, u_{\infty}\right) \in H_{A} \times U_{f} \times U_{\infty}$ and $\left(h^{\prime}, u_{f}^{\prime}, u_{\infty}^{\prime}\right) \in$ $H_{\boldsymbol{A}}^{\prime} \times U_{f}^{\prime} \times U_{\infty}^{\prime}$. Note that $\left(g_{\infty}, h_{\infty}, h_{\infty}\right) \mapsto r_{\infty}\left(g_{\infty}, h_{\infty}, h_{\infty}^{\prime}\right) \varphi_{0, \infty}\left(X_{\infty}, t_{\infty}\right)$ is $Z_{G, \infty} \times$ $Z_{H, \infty} \times Z_{H^{\prime}, \infty}$-invariant. We then see that $\theta^{\kappa}$ is $Z_{G, \boldsymbol{A}} \times Z_{H, \boldsymbol{A}} \times Z_{H^{\prime}, \boldsymbol{A}}$-invariant, since $\boldsymbol{Q}_{\boldsymbol{A}}^{\times}=\boldsymbol{Q}^{\times} \cdot \boldsymbol{R}_{>0} \cdot \boldsymbol{Z}_{f}^{\times}$with $\boldsymbol{Z}_{f}^{\times}:=\prod_{p<\infty} \boldsymbol{Z}_{p}^{\times}$.

For $f \in S_{\kappa}(D)$ and $f^{\prime} \in \mathcal{A}_{\kappa}$, we set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}\left(f, f^{\prime}\right)(g):=\int_{Z_{H, \boldsymbol{A}} H_{\boldsymbol{Q}} \backslash H_{A}} d h \int_{Z_{H^{\prime}, \boldsymbol{A}} H_{\varrho}^{\prime} \backslash H_{A}^{\prime}} d h^{\prime} \theta^{\kappa}\left(g, h, h^{\prime}\right) \overline{f(h)} f^{\prime}\left(h^{\prime}\right), g \in G_{\boldsymbol{A}} . \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

THEOREM 4.1 (Arakawa, Narita). Suppose that $\kappa>6$.
(i) The integral (4.2) is absolutely convergent.
(ii) $\mathcal{L}\left(f, f^{\prime}\right)(g) \in \mathcal{S}_{\kappa}$.

Proof. Since $G_{A}=Z_{G, A} G_{Q} G_{\infty}^{1} K_{f}$ (cf. [Shim-2, Theorem 6.14]), it is sufficient to study the restriction of $\mathcal{L}\left(f, f^{\prime}\right)$ to $G_{\infty}^{1}$. By a standard argument, we see that $\left.\mathcal{L}\left(f, f^{\prime}\right)\right|_{G_{\infty}^{1}}$ is a finite linear combination of original Arakawa lift (cf. [Ar-1], [N-1, Section 4] and [N3, Theorem 4.1]), from which the theorem follows.

REmark 4.2. Our result on the Archimedean component of $\mathcal{L}\left(f, f^{\prime}\right)$ is compatible with the work [L, Section 6] by J. S. Li, in which he studies Archimedean theta correspondences for cohomological representations (including discrete series representations).

## 5. Main result.

5.1. To state the main result of the paper, we need to review several facts on Hecke operators.

First we consider the case where $p \nmid d_{B}$. We fix an isomorphism of $B_{p}$ onto $M_{2}\left(\boldsymbol{Q}_{p}\right)$ such that $\mathcal{O}_{p}$ maps onto $M_{2}\left(\boldsymbol{Z}_{p}\right)$ and that the main involution of $B_{p}$ corresponds to an involution of $M_{2}\left(\boldsymbol{Q}_{p}\right)$ given by

$$
M_{2}\left(\boldsymbol{Q}_{p}\right) \ni X \mapsto w^{-1 t} X w, \quad w=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 1 \\
-1 & 0
\end{array}\right) .
$$

The reduced trace $\operatorname{tr}$ corresponds to the trace $\operatorname{Tr}$ of $M_{2}\left(\boldsymbol{Q}_{p}\right)$. We henceforth identify $B_{p}$ with $M_{2}\left(\boldsymbol{Q}_{p}\right)$ using the above isomorphism. Then $G_{p}, K_{p}, H_{p}^{\prime}$ and $U_{p}^{\prime}$ are identified with $G \operatorname{Sp}\left(J, \boldsymbol{Q}_{p}\right), G \operatorname{Sp}\left(J, \boldsymbol{Z}_{p}\right), G L_{2}\left(\boldsymbol{Q}_{p}\right)$ and $G L_{2}\left(\boldsymbol{Z}_{p}\right)$ respectively, where $G \operatorname{Sp}(J)$ is the group
of similitudes of $J=\left(\begin{array}{cc}0 & w \\ w & 0\end{array}\right)$. Note that we can identify $U_{p}$ with $U_{p}^{\prime}$ by the isomorphism $B_{p} \simeq M_{2}\left(\boldsymbol{Q}_{p}\right)$ fixed above.

Define Hecke operators $\mathcal{T}_{p}^{i}(i=0,1,2)$ on $\mathcal{S}_{\kappa}$ by

$$
\mathcal{T}_{p}^{i} F(g)=\int_{G_{p}} F(g x) \Phi_{p}^{i}(x) d x
$$

where $\Phi_{p}^{0}, \Phi_{p}^{1}$ and $\Phi_{p}^{2}$ are the characteristic functions of $K_{p} \operatorname{diag}(p, p, p, p) K_{p}$, $K_{p} \operatorname{diag}(p, p, 1,1) K_{p}$ and $K_{p} \operatorname{diag}\left(p^{2}, p, p, 1\right) K_{p}$, respectively. Note that $\mathcal{T}_{p}^{0} F=F$ for any $F \in \mathcal{S}_{\kappa}$.

We also define Hecke operators $T_{p}$ and $T_{p}^{\prime}$ on $S_{\kappa}(D)$ and $\mathcal{A}_{\kappa}$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
T_{p} f(h) & =\int_{H_{p}} f(h x) \phi_{p}(x) d x \\
T_{p}^{\prime} f^{\prime}\left(h^{\prime}\right) & =\int_{H_{p}^{\prime}} f^{\prime}\left(h^{\prime} x^{\prime}\right) \phi_{p}^{\prime}\left(x^{\prime}\right) d x^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\phi_{p}=\phi_{p}^{\prime}$ is the characteristic function of $G L_{2}\left(\boldsymbol{Z}_{p}\right) \operatorname{diag}(p, 1) G L_{2}\left(\boldsymbol{Z}_{p}\right)$.
5.2. We next consider the case where $p \mid d_{B}$, namely, $B_{p}$ is a division algebra. In this case, we fix a prime element $\Pi$ of $B_{p}$ and put $\pi:=n(\Pi)$. Then $\pi$ is a prime element of $\boldsymbol{Q}_{p}$.

Define Hecke operators $\mathcal{T}_{p}^{i}(i=0,1)$ on $\mathcal{S}_{\kappa}$ by

$$
\mathcal{T}_{p}^{i} F(g)=\int_{G_{p}} F(g x) \Phi_{p}^{i}(x) d x
$$

where $\Phi_{p}^{0}$ and $\Phi_{p}^{1}$ are the characteristic functions of $K_{p} \operatorname{diag}(\Pi, \Pi) K_{p}$ and $K_{p} \operatorname{diag}(1, \pi) K_{p}$ respectively. Note that $\mathcal{T}_{p}^{0} F(g)=F(g \cdot \Pi)$ and hence that $\mathcal{T}_{p}^{0}\left(\mathcal{T}_{p}^{0} F\right)(g)=F(g \cdot \pi)=F(g)$ for $F \in \mathcal{S}_{\kappa}$. We also define Hecke operators $T_{p}$ and $T_{p}^{\prime}$ on $S_{\kappa}(D)$ and $\mathcal{A}_{\kappa}$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
T_{p} f(h) & =\int_{H_{p}} f(h x) \phi_{p}(x) d x \\
T_{p}^{\prime} f^{\prime}\left(h^{\prime}\right) & =\int_{H_{p}^{\prime}} f^{\prime}\left(h^{\prime} x^{\prime}\right) \phi_{p}^{\prime}\left(x^{\prime}\right) d x^{\prime} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Here $\phi_{p}^{\prime}$ is the characteristic function of $U_{p}^{\prime} \Pi U_{p}^{\prime}=\Pi U_{p}^{\prime}$ and $\phi_{p}$ is defined as follows: If $p \mid D, \phi_{p}$ is the sum of the characteristic functions of $U_{p} \operatorname{diag}(\pi, 1) U_{p}$ and $U_{p} \operatorname{diag}(1, \pi) U_{p}$. If $p \nmid D, \phi_{p}$ is the characteristic function of $U_{p} \operatorname{diag}(\pi, 1) U_{p}$.
5.3. We say that $F \in \mathcal{S}_{\kappa}$ is a Hecke eigenform if $F$ is a common eigenfunction of all the Hecke operators $\mathcal{T}_{p}^{i}$ for any $p<\infty$ and $i=1,2$. Let $F \in \mathcal{S}_{\kappa}$ be a Hecke eigenform with $\mathcal{T}_{p}^{i} F=\Lambda_{p}^{i} F, \Lambda_{p}^{i} \in \boldsymbol{C}$. We define the spinor $L$-function of $F$ by

$$
L(F, \text { spin, } s)=\prod_{p<\infty} L_{p}(F, \text { spin }, s)
$$

where $L_{p}(F$, spin, $s)=Q_{p}\left(F, p^{-s}\right)^{-1}$, $Q_{p}(F, t)= \begin{cases}1-p^{\kappa-3} \Lambda_{p}^{1} t+p^{2 \kappa-5}\left(\Lambda_{p}^{2}+p^{2}+1\right) t^{2}-p^{3 \kappa-6} \Lambda_{p}^{1} t^{3}+p^{4 \kappa-6} t^{4}, & p \nmid d_{B}, \\ 1-\left\{p^{\kappa-3} \Lambda_{p}^{1}-p^{\kappa-3}\left(p^{A_{p}}-1\right) \Lambda_{p}^{0}\right\} t+p^{2 \kappa-3}\left(\Lambda_{p}^{0}\right)^{2} t^{2}, & p \mid d_{B},\end{cases}$
and

$$
A_{p}= \begin{cases}1, & p \nmid D \\ 2, & p \mid D\end{cases}
$$

The Euler factor for $p \nmid d_{B}$ (resp. $p \mid d_{B}$ ) is given by the formula for the denominator of the Hecke series in [Shim-1, Theorem 2] (resp. [H-S, Section 4, (I)] and [Su, (1-34)]) under the normalization of the Hecke eigenvalues

$$
\begin{cases}\left(\Lambda_{p}^{0}, \Lambda_{p}^{1}, \Lambda_{p}^{2}\right) \rightarrow\left(p^{2(\kappa-3)} \Lambda_{p}^{0}, p^{\kappa-3} \Lambda_{p}^{1}, p^{2(\kappa-3)} \Lambda_{p}^{2}\right), & p \nmid d_{B}, \\ \left(\Lambda_{p}^{0}, \Lambda_{p}^{1}\right) \rightarrow\left(p^{\kappa-3} \Lambda_{p}^{0}, p^{\kappa-3} \Lambda_{p}^{1}\right), & p \mid d_{B}\end{cases}
$$

We say that $f \in S_{\kappa}(D)$ (resp. $f^{\prime} \in \mathcal{A}_{\kappa}$ ) is a Hecke eigenform if $f$ (resp. $f^{\prime}$ ) is a common eigenfunction of $T_{p}$ (resp. $T_{p}^{\prime}$ ) for any $p<\infty$. For Hecke eigenforms $f \in S_{\kappa}(D)$ and $f^{\prime} \in \mathcal{A}_{\kappa}$ with $T_{p} f=\lambda_{p} f$ and $T_{p}^{\prime} f^{\prime}=\lambda_{p}^{\prime} f^{\prime}\left(\lambda_{p}, \lambda_{p}^{\prime} \in \boldsymbol{C}\right)$, we define $L$-functions

$$
\begin{aligned}
L^{D}(f, s) & =\prod_{p \nmid D}\left(1-\lambda_{p} p^{\kappa-2-s}+p^{2 \kappa-3-2 s}\right)^{-1}, \\
L^{d_{B}}\left(f^{\prime}, s\right) & =\prod_{p \nmid d_{B}}\left(1-\lambda_{p}^{\prime} p^{\kappa-2-s}+p^{2 \kappa-3-2 s}\right)^{-1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

When $D=1$, we write $L(f, s)$ for $L^{D}(f, s)$, which is the usual Hecke $L$-function of $f$.
5.4. We are now able to state the main result of the paper.

Theorem 5.1. Let $f \in S_{\kappa}(D)$ and $f^{\prime} \in \mathcal{A}_{\kappa}$, and suppose that

$$
T_{p} f=\lambda_{p} f, \quad T_{p}^{\prime} f^{\prime}=\lambda_{p}^{\prime} f^{\prime}
$$

for every $p<\infty$. Then $F(g):=\mathcal{L}\left(f, f^{\prime}\right)(g)$ is a Hecke eigenform and the Hecke eigenvalues are given as follows:
(i) If $p \nmid d_{B}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{T}_{p}^{0} F=F, \\
& \mathcal{T}_{p}^{1} F=\left(p \lambda_{p}+p \lambda_{p}^{\prime}\right) F, \\
& \mathcal{T}_{p}^{2} F=\left(p \lambda_{p} \lambda_{p}^{\prime}+p^{2}-1\right) F .
\end{aligned}
$$

(ii) If $p \mid d_{B}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{T}_{p}^{0} F=\lambda_{p}^{\prime} F \\
& \mathcal{T}_{p}^{1} F=\left(p \lambda_{p}+(p-1) \lambda_{p}^{\prime}\right) F .
\end{aligned}
$$

REMARK 5.2. (i) We can verify that all the Hecke operators above for $S_{K}(D)$ are self-adjoint with respect to the Petersson inner product, since the forms in $S_{\kappa}(D)$ are assumed to have the trivial central character. Thus $\lambda_{p}$ is real for any $p<\infty$.
(ii) Let $p \mid D$. The Atkin-Lehner involution on $S_{\kappa}(D)$ is given by

$$
W_{p} f(h)=f\left(h w_{p}\right), \quad f \in S_{\kappa}(D), \quad w_{p}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 1 \\
-p & 0
\end{array}\right) \in H_{p} .
$$

Note that $W_{p}$ commutes with the Hecke operator $T_{p}$. Suppose that $W_{p} f=\lambda_{p}^{\prime \prime} f$. Then we can check that $\mathcal{T}_{p}^{0} \mathcal{L}\left(f, f^{\prime}\right)=\lambda_{p}^{\prime \prime} \mathcal{L}\left(f, f^{\prime}\right)$. This implies that $\mathcal{L}\left(f, f^{\prime}\right)=0$ unless $\lambda_{p}^{\prime}=\lambda_{p}^{\prime \prime}$ for every $p \mid D$.

Corollary 5.3. Let $f$ and $f^{\prime}$ be as in Theorem 5.1. Then we have $L\left(\mathcal{L}\left(f, f^{\prime}\right)\right.$, spin, $\left.s\right)=L^{D}(f, s) L^{d_{B}}\left(f^{\prime}, s\right) \prod_{p \mid D}\left(1-\left\{\lambda_{p}+(1-p) \lambda_{p}^{\prime}\right\} p^{\kappa-2-s}+p^{2 \kappa-3-2 s}\right)^{-1}$. In particular, if $D=1$, we have

$$
L\left(\mathcal{L}\left(f, f^{\prime}\right), \text { spin, } s\right)=L(f, s) L^{d_{B}}\left(f^{\prime}, s\right)
$$

REmARK 5.4. When $p \nmid d_{B}$, the formula for the Hecke eigenvalues in Theorem 5.1 is essentially the same as the corresponding result for the Yoshida lifting (cf. [Y, Theorem 6.1]).
6. Commutation relations. In this section, we state the commutation relations of Hecke operators, from which Theorem 5.1 immediately follows. For a function $\phi$ on $H_{p}$, we put $\hat{\phi}(h)=\phi\left(h^{-1}\right), h \in H_{p}$. We define $\hat{\phi}^{\prime}$ for $\phi^{\prime}: H_{p}^{\prime} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{C}$ in a similar manner.
6.1. In this subsection, suppose that $p \nmid d_{B}$ and let the notation be the same as in 5.1. The metaplectic representation $r$ in this case is given as follows:

Let $\Phi \in \mathcal{S}\left(M_{4,2}\left(\boldsymbol{Q}_{p}\right)\right) \otimes \mathcal{S}\left(\boldsymbol{Q}_{p}^{\times}\right), X \in M_{4,2}\left(\boldsymbol{Q}_{p}\right)$ and $t \in \boldsymbol{Q}_{p}^{\times}$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& r(g, 1,1) \Phi(X, t)=|\nu(g)|_{p}^{-3 / 2} \Phi\left(g^{-1} X, v(g) t\right), \quad g \in G_{p}, \\
& r\left(1,1, h^{\prime}\right) \Phi(X, t)=\left|\operatorname{det} h^{\prime}\right|_{p}^{3 / 2} \Phi\left(X h^{\prime},\left(\operatorname{det} h^{\prime}\right)^{-1} t\right), \quad h^{\prime} \in H_{p}^{\prime}, \\
& r\left(1,\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a & 0 \\
0 & a^{\prime}
\end{array}\right), 1\right) \Phi(X, t)=|a|_{p}^{7 / 2}\left|a^{\prime}\right|_{p}^{-1 / 2} \Phi\left(a X,\left(a a^{\prime}\right)^{-1} t\right), \quad a, a^{\prime} \in Q_{p}^{\times} \\
& r\left(1,\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & b \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right), 1\right) \Phi(X, t)=\psi_{p}\left(\frac{b t}{2} \operatorname{Tr}\left({ }^{t} X J X w^{-1}\right)\right) \Phi(X, t), \quad b \in Q_{p}, \\
& \left.r\left(1,\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 1 \\
-1 & 0
\end{array}\right), 1\right) \Phi(X, t)=|t|_{p}^{4} \int_{M_{4,2}\left(Q_{p}\right)} \psi_{p}\left(t \cdot \operatorname{Tr}{ }^{t} Y J X w^{-1}\right)\right) \Phi(Y, t) d_{Q} Y .
\end{aligned}
$$

6.2. The commutation relations are stated as follows:

Proposition 6.1. Suppose that $p \nmid d_{B}$. Then we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& r\left(\Phi_{p}^{1}, 1,1\right) \varphi_{0, p}=p \cdot r\left(1, \hat{\phi}_{p}, 1\right) \varphi_{0, p}+p \cdot r\left(1,1, \hat{\phi}_{p}^{\prime}\right) \varphi_{0, p}  \tag{6.1}\\
& r\left(\Phi_{p}^{2}, 1,1\right) \varphi_{0, p}+\left(1-p^{2}\right) r\left(\Phi_{p}^{0}, 1,1\right) \varphi_{0, p}=p \cdot r\left(1, \hat{\phi}_{p}, \hat{\phi}_{p}^{\prime}\right) \varphi_{0, p} \tag{6.2}
\end{align*}
$$

## Proposition 6.2. Suppose that $p \mid d_{B}$. Then we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& r\left(\Phi_{p}^{0}, 1,1\right) \varphi_{0, p}=r\left(1,1, \hat{\phi}_{p}^{\prime}\right) \varphi_{0, p}  \tag{6.3}\\
& r\left(\Phi_{p}^{1}, 1,1\right) \varphi_{0, p}=p \cdot r\left(1, \hat{\phi}_{p}, 1\right) \varphi_{0, p}+(p-1) r\left(1,1, \hat{\phi}_{p}^{\prime}\right) \varphi_{0, p} \tag{6.4}
\end{align*}
$$

## 7. Proof of Proposition 6.1.

7.1. In this section, we assume that $p \nmid d_{B}$ and prove Proposition 6.1. We keep the notation in 5.2 and Section 6. In the remaining part of the paper, we write $\varphi_{0}$ for $\varphi_{0, p}$. For $X \in M_{2}\left(\boldsymbol{Q}_{p}\right)$ with $w X+{ }^{t} X w=0$ and $Y \in G L_{2}\left(\boldsymbol{Q}_{p}\right)$, we put

$$
u(X):=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1_{2} & X \\
0_{2} & 1_{2}
\end{array}\right), \quad \bar{u}(X):=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1_{2} & 0_{2} \\
X & 1_{2}
\end{array}\right), \quad \tau(Y):=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
Y & 0_{2} \\
0_{2} & w^{-1 t} Y^{-1} w
\end{array}\right) \in G_{p}
$$

Let

$$
\Lambda:=\left\{(a, b, c) \in\left(\boldsymbol{Z}_{p} / p \boldsymbol{Z}_{p}\right)^{3} \mid(a, b, c) \not \equiv(0,0,0)\left(\bmod \left(p \boldsymbol{Z}_{p}\right)^{3}\right), a^{2}+b c \equiv 0\left(\bmod p \boldsymbol{Z}_{p}\right)\right\} .
$$

Note that $\sharp(\Lambda)=p^{2}-1$.
Lemma 7.1. (i)

$$
\begin{aligned}
K_{p} \operatorname{diag}(p, p, 1,1) K_{p}= & \operatorname{diag}(1,1, p, p) K_{p} \cup \bigcup_{c \in \boldsymbol{Z}_{p} / p \boldsymbol{Z}_{p}} u\left(\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 0 \\
c & 0
\end{array}\right)\right) \operatorname{diag}(1, p, 1, p) K_{p} \\
& \cup \bigcup_{b, d \in \boldsymbol{Z}_{p} / p \boldsymbol{Z}_{p}} \tau\left(\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & d \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)\right) u\left(\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & b \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right)\right) \operatorname{diag}(p, 1, p, 1) K_{p} \\
& \cup \bigcup_{a, b, c \in \boldsymbol{Z}_{p} / p \boldsymbol{Z}_{p}} u\left(\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a & b \\
c & -a
\end{array}\right)\right) \operatorname{diag}(p, p, 1,1) K_{p} .
\end{aligned}
$$

(ii)

$$
\begin{gathered}
K_{p} \operatorname{diag}\left(p^{2}, p, p, 1\right) K_{p}=\operatorname{diag}\left(1, p, p, p^{2}\right) K_{p} \cup \bigcup_{d \in \boldsymbol{Z}_{p} / p \boldsymbol{Z}_{p}} \tau\left(\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & d \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)\right) \operatorname{diag}\left(p, 1, p^{2}, p\right) K_{p} \\
\cup \bigcup_{a \in \boldsymbol{Z}_{p} / p \boldsymbol{Z}_{p}, c \in \boldsymbol{Z}_{p} / p^{2} \boldsymbol{Z}_{p}} u\left(\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a & 0 \\
c & -a
\end{array}\right)\right) \operatorname{diag}\left(p, p^{2}, 1, p\right) K_{p} \\
\cup \bigcup_{(a, b, c) \in \Lambda} u\left(p^{-1}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a & b \\
c & -a
\end{array}\right)\right) \operatorname{diag}(p, p, p, p) K_{p} \\
\cup \\
\\
\cup, d \in \boldsymbol{Z}_{p} / p \boldsymbol{Z}_{p}, b \in \boldsymbol{Z}_{p} / p^{2} \boldsymbol{Z}_{p} \\
\bigcup^{\tau}\left(\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & d \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)\right) u\left(\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a & b \\
0 & -a
\end{array}\right)\right) \operatorname{diag}\left(p^{2}, p, p, 1\right) K_{p}
\end{gathered}
$$

Proof. We give a detailed proof for completeness. To simplify the notation, we write $G$ and $K$ for $G_{p}$ and $K_{p}$, respectively. Let $\mathcal{B}=\left\{k=\left(k_{i j}\right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq 4} \in K \mid k_{i j} \in p \boldsymbol{Z}_{p}(i>j)\right\}$
be the Iwahori subgroup of $G$ and

$$
\mathcal{N}_{0}=\left\{\left.\tau\left(\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & d \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)\right) u\left(\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a & b \\
c & -a
\end{array}\right)\right) \right\rvert\, a, b, c, d \in \boldsymbol{Z}_{p}\right\} .
$$

Then we have the Bruhat decomposition of $K$ :

$$
K=\bigcup_{\gamma \in W} \mathcal{B} \gamma \mathcal{B}
$$

where $W$ is the Weyl group of $G$. Recall that $\#(W)=8$ and $W$ is generated by

$$
\gamma_{1}=\tau\left(\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 1 \\
-1 & 0
\end{array}\right)\right), \quad \gamma_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

Put $g_{1}=\operatorname{diag}(1,1, p, p)$. Observe that $\mathcal{B} \gamma \mathcal{B} g_{1}=\mathcal{N}_{0} \gamma \mathcal{B} g_{1} \subset \mathcal{N}_{0} \gamma g_{1} K$ for $\gamma \in W$. Since $\gamma_{1} g_{1}=g_{1} \gamma_{1} \in g_{1} K$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
K \operatorname{diag}(p, p, 1,1) K & =K g_{1} K \\
& =\bigcup_{\gamma \in W /\left\langle\gamma_{1}\right\rangle} \mathcal{N}_{0} \gamma g_{1} K \\
& =\mathcal{N}_{0} g_{1} K \cup \mathcal{N}_{0} \gamma_{2} g_{1} K \cup \mathcal{N}_{0} \gamma_{1} \gamma_{2} g_{1} K \cup \mathcal{N}_{0} \gamma_{2} \gamma_{1} \gamma_{2} g_{1} K
\end{aligned}
$$

It is easily verified that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{N}_{0} g_{1} K & =\operatorname{diag}(1,1, p, p) K, \\
\mathcal{N}_{0} \gamma_{2} g_{1} K & =\bigcup_{c \in \boldsymbol{Z}_{p} / p \boldsymbol{Z}_{p}} u\left(\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 0 \\
c & 0
\end{array}\right)\right) \operatorname{diag}(1, p, 1, p) K, \\
\mathcal{N}_{0} \gamma_{1} \gamma_{2} g_{1} K & =\bigcup_{b, d \in \boldsymbol{Z}_{p} / p \boldsymbol{Z}_{p}} \tau\left(\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & d \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)\right) u\left(\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & b \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right)\right) \operatorname{diag}(p, 1, p, 1) K, \\
\mathcal{N}_{0} \gamma_{2} \gamma_{1} \gamma_{2} g_{1} K & =\bigcup_{a, b, c \in \boldsymbol{Z}_{p} / p \boldsymbol{Z}_{p}} u\left(\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a & b \\
c & -a
\end{array}\right)\right) \operatorname{diag}(p, p, 1,1) K,
\end{aligned}
$$

which proves the first assertion of the lemma.
Next put $g_{2}=\operatorname{diag}\left(1, p, p, p^{2}\right)$. By an argument similar as above, we have

$$
K \operatorname{diag}\left(p^{2}, p, p, 1\right) K=\mathcal{B} g_{2} K \cup \mathcal{B} \gamma_{1} g_{2} K \cup \mathcal{B} \gamma_{2} \gamma_{1} g_{2} K \cup \mathcal{B} \gamma_{1} \gamma_{2} \gamma_{1} g_{2} K
$$

First we see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{B} \gamma_{2} \gamma_{1} g_{2} K & =\mathcal{N}_{0} \gamma_{2} \gamma_{1} g_{2} K \\
& =\bigcup_{a \in \boldsymbol{Z}_{p} / p \boldsymbol{Z}_{p}, c \in \boldsymbol{Z}_{p} / p^{2} \boldsymbol{Z}_{p}} u\left(\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a & 0 \\
c & -a
\end{array}\right)\right) \operatorname{diag}\left(p, p^{2}, 1, p\right) K .
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly we have

$$
\mathcal{B} \gamma_{1} \gamma_{2} \gamma_{1} g_{2} K=\bigcup_{a, d \in \boldsymbol{Z}_{p} / p \boldsymbol{Z}_{p}, b \in \boldsymbol{Z}_{p} / p^{2} \boldsymbol{Z}_{p}} \tau\left(\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & d \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)\right) u\left(\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a & b \\
0 & -a
\end{array}\right)\right) \operatorname{diag}\left(p^{2}, p, p, 1\right) K .
$$

Using the decomposition

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
c & 1
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & c^{-1} \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & -1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & c \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
c & 0 \\
0 & c^{-1}
\end{array}\right) \quad\left(c \in \boldsymbol{Q}_{p}^{\times}\right),
$$

we obtain

$$
\mathcal{B} g_{2} K=\bigcup_{c \in p \boldsymbol{Z}_{p} / p^{2} \mathbf{Z}_{p}} \bar{u}\left(\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 0 \\
c & 0
\end{array}\right)\right) g_{2} K=g_{2} K \cup C_{1},
$$

where

$$
C_{1}=\bigcup_{b \in\left(\boldsymbol{Z}_{p}-p \boldsymbol{Z}_{p}\right) / p \boldsymbol{Z}_{p}} u\left(p^{-1}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & b \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right)\right) \operatorname{diag}(p, p, p, p) K .
$$

Similarly we have

$$
\mathcal{B} \gamma_{1} g_{2} K=\bigcup_{d \in \mathbf{Z}_{p} / p \boldsymbol{Z}_{p}} \tau\left(\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & d \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)\right) \operatorname{diag}\left(p, 1, p^{2}, p\right) K \cup C_{2},
$$

where

$$
C_{2}=\bigcup_{b \in\left(\boldsymbol{Z}_{p}-p \boldsymbol{Z}_{p}\right) / p \boldsymbol{Z}_{p}, d \in \boldsymbol{Z}_{p} / p \boldsymbol{Z}_{p}} \tau\left(p^{-1} b\left(\begin{array}{cc}
d & -d^{2} \\
1 & -d
\end{array}\right)\right) \operatorname{diag}(p, p, p, p) K .
$$

Since

$$
C_{1} \cup C_{2}=\bigcup_{(a, b, c) \in \Lambda} u\left(p^{-1}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a & b \\
c & -a
\end{array}\right)\right) \operatorname{diag}(p, p, p, p) K,
$$

we are done.
7.2. Denote by $\sigma_{m, n}$ (resp. $\sigma^{\prime}$ ) the characteristic function of $M_{m, n}\left(\boldsymbol{Z}_{p}\right)$ (resp. $\boldsymbol{Z}_{p}^{\times}$). Then we have $\varphi_{0}(X, t)=\sigma_{4,2}(X) \sigma^{\prime}(t)$. From now on, we often write $\sigma$ for $\sigma_{m, n}$ if there is no fear of confusion. The following elementary facts are frequently used in the later discussion.

Lemma 7.2. For $t, t^{\prime} \in \boldsymbol{Q}_{p}$, we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sum_{a \in \boldsymbol{Z}_{p} / p \boldsymbol{Z}_{p}} \sigma\left(p^{-1}(t+a)\right)=\sigma(t), \\
\sum_{a \in \boldsymbol{Z}_{p} / p \boldsymbol{Z}_{p}} \sigma\left(p^{-1}(t+a)\right) \sigma\left(p^{-1}\left(t^{\prime}-a\right)\right)=\sigma\left(p^{-1}\left(t+t^{\prime}\right)\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
\sum_{a \in \boldsymbol{Z}_{p} / p \boldsymbol{Z}_{p}} \sigma(t) \sigma\left(p^{-1}\left(a t+t^{\prime}\right)\right)=p \sigma\left(p^{-1} t\right) \sigma\left(p^{-1} t^{\prime}\right)+\sigma(t) \sigma\left(t^{\prime}\right)-\sigma\left(p^{-1} t\right) \sigma\left(t^{\prime}\right) .
$$

Lemma 7.3. For $x \in M_{2,2}\left(\boldsymbol{Q}_{p}\right)$, set

$$
\lambda(x):=\sigma\left(\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
0 & p^{-1}
\end{array}\right) x\right)+\sum_{a \in \boldsymbol{Z}_{p} / p \boldsymbol{Z}_{p}} \sigma\left(\left(\begin{array}{cc}
p^{-1} & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & a \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right) x\right)
$$

and

$$
\rho(x):=\sigma\left(x\left(\begin{array}{cc}
p^{-1} & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)\right)+\sum_{a \in \boldsymbol{Z}_{p} / p \boldsymbol{Z}_{p}} \sigma\left(x\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & a \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
0 & p^{-1}
\end{array}\right)\right) .
$$

Then

$$
\lambda(x)=\rho(x) .
$$

For the rest of this section, we put

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[i_{1}, i_{2}, i_{3}, i_{4}\right](x)=\sigma_{2,2}\left(\left(\begin{array}{ll}
p^{-i_{1}} x_{1} & p^{-i_{2}} x_{2} \\
p^{-i_{3}} x_{3} & p^{-i_{4}} x_{4}
\end{array}\right)\right)} \\
& \quad \text { for }\left(i_{1}, i_{2}, i_{3}, i_{4}\right) \in \boldsymbol{Z}^{4}, x=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
x_{1} & x_{2} \\
x_{3} & x_{4}
\end{array}\right) \in M_{2,2}\left(\boldsymbol{Q}_{p}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

7.3. Proof of (6.1). Let $X=\binom{x}{y} \in M_{4,2}\left(\boldsymbol{Q}_{p}\right)$ and $t \in \boldsymbol{Q}_{p}^{\times}$. In view of 6.2 and Lemma 7.1, we obtain

$$
r\left(\Phi_{p}^{1}, 1,1\right) \varphi_{0}(X, t)=p^{3 / 2} \sigma^{\prime}(p t) I(X),
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I(X)= \sum_{a, b, c \in \boldsymbol{Z}_{p} / p \boldsymbol{Z}_{p}} \sigma\binom{p^{-1}\left\{x+\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a & b \\
c & -a
\end{array}\right) y\right\}}{y} \\
&+\sum_{b, d \in \boldsymbol{Z}_{p} / p \boldsymbol{Z}_{p}} \sigma\left(\begin{array}{c}
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
p^{-1} & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & d \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left.x+\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & b \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right) y\right\} \\
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
p^{-1} & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & d \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right) y
\end{array}\right) \\
\end{array}\right. \\
&+\sum_{c \in \boldsymbol{Z}_{p} / p \boldsymbol{Z}_{p}} \sigma\left(\begin{array}{c}
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
0 & p^{-1}
\end{array}\right)\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
\left.x+\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 0 \\
c & 0
\end{array}\right) y\right\} \\
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
0 & p^{-1}
\end{array}\right) y
\end{array}\right)+\sigma\binom{x}{p^{-1} y} .
\end{array} . . \begin{array}{l}
\end{array}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

On the other hand, since

$$
U_{p}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
p^{-1} & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right) U_{p}=\bigcup_{a \in \boldsymbol{Z}_{p} / p \boldsymbol{Z}_{p}}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & a \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
0 & p^{-1}
\end{array}\right) U_{p} \cup\left(\begin{array}{cc}
p^{-1} & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right) U_{p}
$$

we obtain

$$
p\left\{r\left(1, \hat{\phi}_{p}, 1\right) \varphi_{0}(X, t)+r\left(1,1, \hat{\phi}_{p}^{\prime}\right) \varphi_{0}(X, t)\right\}=p^{3 / 2} \sigma^{\prime}(p t) I^{\prime}(X),
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
I^{\prime}(X)= & \delta\left(\operatorname{Tr}\left(x w^{-1 t} y w\right) \in p \boldsymbol{Z}_{p}\right) \sigma(X)+p^{3} \sigma\left(p^{-1} X\right) \\
& +p \sum_{a \in \boldsymbol{Z}_{p} / p \boldsymbol{Z}_{p}} \sigma\left(X\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & a \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
0 & p^{-1}
\end{array}\right)\right)+p \sigma\left(X\left(\begin{array}{cc}
p^{-1} & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The proof of (6.1) is reduced to proving the following formula:

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(X)=I^{\prime}(X) \tag{7.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Without loss of generality, we may assume that $y=\operatorname{diag}\left(p^{\lambda}, p^{\mu}\right)$ with $\lambda \geq \mu \geq 0$ in view of the elementary divisor theorem. First suppose that $\mu>0$. Then

$$
I(X)=p^{3} \sigma\left(p^{-1} x\right)+p \lambda(x)+\sigma(x)
$$

and

$$
I^{\prime}(X)=\sigma(x)+p^{3} \sigma\left(p^{-1} x\right)+p \rho(x) .
$$

Equality (7.1) immediately follows from Lemma 7.3.
Next, suppose that $\lambda=\mu=0$. Then

$$
I(X)=\sum_{a, b, c \in \boldsymbol{Z}_{p} / p \boldsymbol{Z}_{p}} \sigma\left(p^{-1}\left(x+\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a & b \\
c & -a
\end{array}\right)\right)\right)
$$

and

$$
I^{\prime}(X)=\delta\left(\operatorname{Tr}(x) \in p \boldsymbol{Z}_{p}\right) \sigma(x)
$$

Let $x=\left(\begin{array}{ll}x_{1} & x_{2} \\ x_{3} & x_{4}\end{array}\right)$. If $x \notin M_{2}\left(\boldsymbol{Z}_{p}\right)$, we have $I(X)=I^{\prime}(X)=0$. Assume that $x \in M_{2}\left(\boldsymbol{Z}_{p}\right)$. If $\operatorname{Tr}(x)=x_{1}+x_{4} \in p \boldsymbol{Z}_{p}$ (resp. $\in \boldsymbol{Z}_{p}^{\times}$), we have $I(X)=I^{\prime}(X)=1$ (resp. $=0$ ) by Lemma 7.2, which proves (7.1).

Finally, suppose that $\lambda>0$ and $\mu=0$. We then have

$$
\begin{aligned}
I(X)= & p \sum_{a, b \in \boldsymbol{Z}_{p} / p \boldsymbol{Z}_{p}} \sigma\left(p^{-1} x+\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & p^{-1} b \\
0 & p^{-1} a
\end{array}\right)\right) \\
& +\sum_{b \in \boldsymbol{Z}_{p} / p \boldsymbol{Z}_{p}} \sigma\left(\left(\begin{array}{cc}
p^{-1} & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)\left\{x+\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & b \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right)\right\}\right) \\
= & p[1,0,1,0](x)+[1,0,0,0](x)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
I^{\prime}(X)=\delta\left(x_{1} \in p Z_{p}\right) \sigma(x)+p[1,0,1,0](x),
$$

which implies that $I(X)=I^{\prime}(X)$. This completes the proof of (6.1).
7.4. Proof of (6.2). Let $X=\binom{x}{y} \in M_{4,2}\left(\boldsymbol{Q}_{p}\right)$ and $t \in \boldsymbol{Q}_{p}^{\times}$. Fisrt observe that

$$
r\left(\Phi_{p}^{2}, 1,1\right) \varphi_{0}(X, t)=p^{3} \sigma^{\prime}\left(p^{2} t\right) J(X)
$$

where

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
J(X)= & \sigma\binom{\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
0 & p^{-1}
\end{array}\right) x}{\left(\begin{array}{cc}
p^{-1} & 0 \\
0 & p^{-2}
\end{array}\right) y}+\sum_{d \in \mathbf{Z}_{p} / p Z_{p}} \sigma\binom{\left(\begin{array}{cc}
p^{-1} & p^{-1} d \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right) x}{\left(\begin{array}{cc}
p^{-2} & p^{-2} d \\
0 & p^{-1}
\end{array}\right) y} \\
& +\sum_{\substack{a \in Z_{p} / p \boldsymbol{Z}_{p} \\
c \in \boldsymbol{Z}_{p} / p^{2} Z_{p}}} \sigma\left(\begin{array}{cc}
p^{-1} & 0 \\
0 & p^{-2}
\end{array}\right) x+\left(\begin{array}{cc}
p^{-1} a & 0 \\
p^{-2} c & -p^{-2} a
\end{array}\right) y \\
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
0 & p^{-1}
\end{array}\right) y
\end{array}\right) .
$$

We also have

$$
r\left(\Phi_{p}^{0}, 1,1\right) \varphi_{0}(X, t)=p^{3} \sigma^{\prime}\left(p^{2} t\right) J^{\prime}(X)
$$

where

$$
J^{\prime}(X):=\sigma\binom{p^{-1} x}{p^{-1} y} .
$$

On the other hand, we obtain

$$
r\left(1, \hat{\phi}_{p}, \hat{\phi}_{p}\right) \varphi_{0}(X, t)=p^{2} \sigma^{\prime}\left(p^{2} t\right) J^{\prime \prime}(X),
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
J^{\prime \prime}(X):= & \delta\left(\operatorname{Tr}\left(x w^{-1 t} y w\right) \in p^{2} \boldsymbol{Z}_{p}\right) \sum_{b \in \boldsymbol{Z}_{p} / p \boldsymbol{Z}_{p}} \sigma\left(\binom{x}{y}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & b \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & p^{-1}
\end{array}\right)\right) \\
& +\delta\left(\operatorname{Tr}\left(x w^{-1 t} y w\right) \in p^{2} \boldsymbol{Z}_{p}\right) \sigma\left(\binom{x}{y}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
p^{-1} & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)\right) \\
& +p^{3} \sum_{b \in \boldsymbol{Z}_{p} / p \boldsymbol{Z}_{p}} \sigma\left(\binom{x}{y}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & b \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
p^{-1} & 0 \\
0 & p^{-2}
\end{array}\right)\right)+p^{3} \sigma\left(\binom{x}{y}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
p^{-2} & 0 \\
0 & p^{-1}
\end{array}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

To show (6.2), it remains to verify

$$
\begin{equation*}
J(X)+\left(1-p^{2}\right) J^{\prime}(X)=J^{\prime \prime}(X) \tag{7.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

As in 7.3 , we may assume that $y=\operatorname{diag}\left(p^{\alpha}, p^{\beta}\right)$, where $\alpha \geq \beta \geq 0$. Let $x=\left(\begin{array}{ll}x_{1} & x_{2} \\ x_{3} & x_{4}\end{array}\right)$. We divide the proof into the following five cases:
(a) $\beta \geq 2$,
(b) $\alpha \geq 2, \beta=1$,
(c) $\alpha=\beta=1$,
(d) $\alpha \geq 1, \beta=0$,
(e) $\alpha=\beta=0$.
(a) In this case, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
J(X)+\left(1-p^{2}\right) J^{\prime}(X)= & \sigma\left(\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
0 & p^{-1}
\end{array}\right) x\right)+\sum_{d \in \boldsymbol{Z}_{p} / p \boldsymbol{Z}_{p}} \sigma\left(\left(\begin{array}{cc}
p^{-1} & p^{-1} d \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right) x\right) \\
& +p^{3} \sigma\left(\left(\begin{array}{cc}
p^{-1} & 0 \\
0 & p^{-2}
\end{array}\right) x\right)+p^{3} \sum_{d \in \boldsymbol{Z}_{p} / p \boldsymbol{Z}_{p}} \sigma\left(\left(\begin{array}{cc}
p^{-2} & p^{-2} d \\
0 & p^{-1}
\end{array}\right) x\right) \\
= & \lambda(x)+p^{3} \lambda\left(p^{-1} x\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
J^{\prime \prime}(X)=\rho(x)+p^{3} \rho\left(p^{-1} x\right)
$$

Equality (7.2) follows from Lemma 7.3.
(b) In this case, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& J(X)=[1,1,0,0](x)+p^{2} \sum_{a \in \boldsymbol{Z}_{p} / p \boldsymbol{Z}_{p}} \sigma\left(\left(\begin{array}{cc}
p^{-1} x_{1} & p^{-1} x_{2} \\
p^{-2} x_{3} & p^{-2} x_{4}-p^{-1} a
\end{array}\right)\right) \\
& +\sum_{(a, b, c) \in \Lambda} \sigma\left(\left(\begin{array}{cc}
p^{-1} x_{1} & p^{-1}\left(x_{2}+b\right) \\
p^{-1} x_{3} & p^{-1}\left(x_{4}-a\right)
\end{array}\right)\right) \\
& +p \sum_{\substack{d \in Z_{p} / p Z_{p} \\
b \in Z_{p} / p^{2} Z_{p}}} \sigma\left(\left(\begin{array}{cc}
p^{-2}\left(x_{1}+d x_{3}\right) & p^{-2}\left(x_{2}+d x_{4}\right)+p^{-1} b \\
p^{-1} x_{3} & p^{-1} x_{4}
\end{array}\right)\right) \\
& =[1,1,0,0](x)+p^{2}[1,1,2,1](x) \\
& +\sum_{\substack{a=0 \\
b c=0(\bmod p) \\
(\bmod p),(b, c) \neq(0,0)(\bmod p)}} \sigma\left(\left(\begin{array}{cc}
p^{-1} x_{1} & p^{-1}\left(x_{2}+b\right) \\
p^{-1} x_{3} & p^{-1} x_{4}
\end{array}\right)\right) \\
& +\sum_{\substack{a \neq 0 \\
b \neq 0 \\
b \bmod p) \\
\bmod p)}} \sigma\left(\left(\begin{array}{cc}
p^{-1} x_{1} & p^{-1}\left(x_{2}+b\right) \\
p^{-1} x_{3} & p^{-1}\left(x_{4}-a\right)
\end{array}\right)\right) \\
& +p^{2} \sum_{d \in \boldsymbol{Z}_{p} / p \boldsymbol{Z}_{p}} \sigma\left(\left(\begin{array}{cc}
p^{-2}\left(x_{1}+d x_{3}\right) & p^{-1}\left(x_{2}+d x_{4}\right) \\
p^{-1} x_{3} & p^{-1} x_{4}
\end{array}\right)\right) \\
& =[1,1,0,0](x)+p^{2}[1,1,2,1](x)+(p-1)[1,1,1,1](x) \\
& +[1,0,1,0](x)-[1,1,1,0](x) \\
& +p^{2} \sigma\left(p^{-1} x_{2}\right) \sigma\left(p^{-1} x_{4}\right) \sum_{d \in \boldsymbol{Z}_{p} / p \boldsymbol{Z}_{p}} \sigma\left(p^{-1} x_{3}\right) \sigma\left(p^{-1}\left(p^{-1} x_{3}+p^{-1} x_{1}\right)\right) \\
& =[1,1,0,0](x)+p^{2}[1,1,2,1](x)+(p-1)[1,1,1,1](x) \\
& +[1,0,1,0](x)-[1,1,1,0](x) \\
& +p^{2}\{p[2,1,2,1](x)+[1,1,1,1](x)-[1,1,2,1](x)\},
\end{aligned}
$$

and hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
J(X)+\left(1-p^{2}\right) J^{\prime}(X)= & {[1,1,0,0](x)+p[1,1,1,1](x)+[1,0,1,0](x) } \\
& -[1,1,1,0](x)+p^{3}[2,1,2,1](x)
\end{aligned}
$$

On the other hand,

$$
\begin{aligned}
J^{\prime \prime}(X)= & \delta\left(x_{1} \in p \boldsymbol{Z}_{p}\right) \sum_{b \in \boldsymbol{Z}_{p} / p \boldsymbol{Z}_{p}} \sigma\left(\left(\begin{array}{ll}
x_{1} & p^{-1}\left(b x_{1}+x_{2}\right) \\
x_{3} & p^{-1}\left(b x_{3}+x_{4}\right)
\end{array}\right)\right) \\
& +\delta\left(x_{1} \in p \boldsymbol{Z}_{p}\right) \sigma\left(\left(\begin{array}{cc}
p^{-1} x_{1} & x_{2} \\
p^{-1} x_{3} & x_{4}
\end{array}\right)\right)+p^{3} \sigma\left(\left(\begin{array}{cc}
p^{-2} x_{1} & p^{-1} x_{2} \\
p^{-2} x_{3} & p^{-1} x_{4}
\end{array}\right)\right) \\
= & \sigma\left(p^{-1} x_{1}\right) \sigma\left(p^{-1} x_{2}\right) \sum_{b \in \boldsymbol{Z}_{p} / p \boldsymbol{Z}_{p}} \sigma\left(x_{3}\right) \sigma\left(p^{-1}\left(b x_{3}+x_{4}\right)\right) \\
& +[1,0,1,0](x)+p^{3}[2,1,2,1](x) \\
= & p[1,1,1,1](x)+[1,1,0,0](x)-[1,1,1,0](x) \\
& +[1,0,1,0](x)+p^{3}[2,1,2,1](x)
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus Equality (7.2) for this case immediately follows.
(c) In this case, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
J(X)= & \sum_{\substack{a \in \mathcal{Z}_{p} / p \boldsymbol{Z}_{p} \\
c \in Z_{p} / p^{2} Z_{p}}} \sigma\left(\left(\begin{array}{cc}
p^{-1} x_{1} & p^{-1} x_{2} \\
p^{-2} x_{2}+p^{-1} c & p^{-2} x_{4}-p^{-1} a
\end{array}\right)\right) \\
& +\sum_{(a, b, c) \in \Lambda} \sigma\left(p^{-1}\left(x+\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a & b \\
c & -a
\end{array}\right)\right)\right) \\
& +\sum_{\substack{a, d \in \boldsymbol{Z}_{p} / p \boldsymbol{Z}_{p} \\
b \in Z_{p} / p^{2} Z_{p}}} \sigma\left(\left(\begin{array}{cc}
p^{-2}\left(x_{1}+d x_{3}\right)+p^{-1} a & p^{-2}\left(x_{2}+d x_{4}\right)+p^{-1}(b+a d) \\
p^{-1} x_{3}
\end{array}\right)\right) \\
= & p \sigma\left(p^{-1} x\right)+\delta\left(x_{1}+x_{4} \in p \boldsymbol{Z}_{p}, x_{1}^{2}+x_{2} x_{3} \in p \boldsymbol{Z}_{p}\right)\left(\sigma(x)-\sigma\left(p^{-1} x\right)\right) \\
& +p^{2} \sigma\left(p^{-1} x\right) \\
= & \left(p^{2}+p-1\right) \sigma\left(p^{-1} x\right)+\delta\left(x_{1}+x_{4} \in p \boldsymbol{Z}_{p}, x_{1}^{2}+x_{2} x_{4} \in p \boldsymbol{Z}_{p}\right) \sigma(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

On the other hand, it is easily seen that

$$
J^{\prime}(X)=\sigma\left(p^{-1} x\right)
$$

and

$$
J^{\prime \prime}(X)=\delta\left(x_{1}+x_{4} \in p \boldsymbol{Z}_{p}\right)\left\{\sigma\left(\left(\begin{array}{ll}
p^{-1} x_{1} & x_{2} \\
p^{-1} x_{3} & x_{4}
\end{array}\right)\right)+\sum_{b \in \boldsymbol{Z}_{p} / p \boldsymbol{Z}_{p}} \sigma\left(\left(\begin{array}{ll}
x_{1} & p^{-1}\left(b x_{1}+x_{2}\right) \\
x_{3} & p^{-1}\left(b x_{3}+x_{4}\right)
\end{array}\right)\right)\right\} .
$$

To prove (7.2), it is sufficient to show that

$$
\begin{aligned}
p \sigma\left(p^{-1} x\right)+\delta\left(x_{1}+x_{4} \in p \boldsymbol{Z}_{p}\right)\{ & \delta\left(x_{1}+x_{2} x_{3} \in p \boldsymbol{Z}_{p}\right) \sigma(x) \\
& \left.-\sum_{b \in \boldsymbol{Z}_{p} / p \boldsymbol{Z}_{p}} \sigma\left(\left(\begin{array}{ll}
x_{1} & p^{-1}\left(b x_{1}+x_{2}\right) \\
x_{3} & p^{-1}\left(b x_{3}+x_{4}\right)
\end{array}\right)\right)-[1,0,1,0](x)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

vanishes. This is proved by a tedious but straightforward calculation and we omit the detail.
(d) In this case, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
J(X) & =\sum_{\substack{a \in Z_{p} / p \boldsymbol{Z}_{p} \\
b \in Z_{p} / p^{2} Z_{p}}} \sigma\left(\left(\begin{array}{cc}
p^{-2} & 0 \\
0 & p^{-1}
\end{array}\right) x+\left(\begin{array}{cc}
p^{\alpha-2} a & p^{-2} b \\
0 & -p^{-1} a
\end{array}\right)\right), \\
J^{\prime}(X) & =0, \\
J^{\prime \prime}(X) & =\delta\left(x_{1}+p^{\alpha} x_{4} \in p^{2} Z_{p}\right) \sigma\left(\left(\begin{array}{cc}
p^{-1} x_{1} & x_{2} \\
p^{-1} x_{3} & x_{4}
\end{array}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since

$$
J(X)=\sum_{a \in \boldsymbol{Z}_{p} / p \boldsymbol{Z}_{p}} \sigma\left(\left(\begin{array}{cc}
p^{-2} x_{1}+p^{\alpha-2} a & x_{2} \\
p^{-1} x_{3} & p^{-1}\left(x_{4}-a\right)
\end{array}\right)\right)=J^{\prime \prime}(X)
$$

we are done.
(e) In this remaining case, we have

$$
J(X)=J^{\prime}(X)=J^{\prime \prime}(X)=0
$$

and the proof of (7.2) has been completed.
8. Proof of Proposition 6.2. In this section, we assume that $p \mid d_{B}$ and prove Proposition 6.2. The proof of (6.3) is straightforward. To prove (6.4), we need some preparation. By $\sigma_{m, n}$, we denote the characteristic function of $M_{m, n}\left(\mathcal{O}_{p}\right)$. As in Section 7, we often write $\sigma$ for $\sigma_{m, n}$. For a subset $A$ of $B_{p}$, we put $A^{-}:=\{a \in A \mid \operatorname{tr}(a)=0\}$. Recall that $\mathcal{O}_{p}$ is the maximal order of $B_{p}, \Pi$ is a (fixed) prime element of $B_{p}$ and $\pi=n(\Pi)$. We put $\mathfrak{P}_{p}=\Pi \mathcal{O}_{p}$.
8.1. We now collect several facts on the arithmetic of $B_{p}$ used in the later discussion.

Lemma 8.1. We have

$$
\sharp\left(\mathcal{O}_{p} / \pi \mathcal{O}_{p}\right)=p^{4}, \sharp\left(\mathcal{O}_{p} / \Pi \mathcal{O}_{p}\right)=p^{2}, \sharp\left(\mathcal{O}_{p}^{-} / \pi \mathcal{O}_{p}^{-}\right)=p^{3}, \sharp\left(\left(\Pi^{-1} \mathcal{O}_{p}\right)^{-} / \mathcal{O}_{p}^{-}\right)=p^{2} .
$$

Lemma 8.2. For $x \in B_{p}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{b \in \mathcal{O}_{p}^{-} / \pi \mathcal{O}_{p}^{-}} \sigma\left(\pi^{-1}(x+b)\right)=\delta\left(\operatorname{tr}(x) \in p \boldsymbol{Z}_{p}\right) \sigma(x), \\
& \sum_{b \in \mathcal{O}_{p}^{-} / \pi \mathcal{O}_{p}^{-}} \sigma\left(\Pi^{-1}(x+b)\right)=p^{2} \delta\left(\operatorname{tr}(x) \in p \boldsymbol{Z}_{p}\right) \sigma(x), \\
& \sum_{b \in\left(\Pi^{-1} \mathcal{O}_{p}\right)^{-} / \mathcal{O}_{p}^{-}} \sigma(x+b)=\sigma(\Pi x), \\
& \sum_{b \in \mathfrak{P}_{p}^{-} / \pi \mathfrak{P}_{p}^{-}} \sigma\left(\pi^{-1}(x+b)\right)=p \sigma\left(\Pi^{-1} x\right), \\
& \sum_{b \in \mathcal{O}_{p}^{-} / \mathfrak{P}_{p}^{-}} \sigma\left(\Pi^{-1}(x+b)\right)=\delta\left(\operatorname{tr}(x) \in p \boldsymbol{Z}_{p}\right) \sigma(x), \\
& \sum_{b \in \mathfrak{P}_{p}^{-} / \pi \mathfrak{P}_{p}^{-}} \sigma\left(\pi^{-1} \Pi^{-1} x+b\right)=\delta\left(\operatorname{tr}(x) \in p^{2} \boldsymbol{Z}_{p}\right) \sigma\left(\Pi^{-1} x\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

8.2. We first consider the case $p \mid D$. We then have $\hat{\phi}_{p}=\phi_{p}^{+}+\phi_{p}^{-}$, where $\phi_{p}^{+}$(resp. $\left.\phi_{p}^{-}\right)$is the characteristic function of $U_{p} \operatorname{diag}\left(1, p^{-1}\right) U_{p}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.U_{p} \operatorname{diag}\left(p^{-1}, 1\right) U_{p}\right)$.

Lemma 8.3. We have

$$
r\left(\Phi_{p}^{1}, 1,1\right) \varphi_{0}(X, t)=p^{3 / 2} \sigma^{\prime}(\pi t) I(X)
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
I\binom{x}{y}= & \sum_{b \in \mathcal{O}_{p}^{-} / \pi \mathcal{O}_{p}^{-}} \sigma\binom{\pi^{-1}(x+b y)}{y} \\
& +\sum_{c \in\left(\Pi^{-1} \mathcal{O}_{p}-\mathcal{O}_{p}\right)^{-} / \mathcal{O}_{p}^{-}} \sigma\binom{\Pi^{-1}(x+c y)}{\Pi^{-1} y}+\sigma\binom{x}{\pi^{-1} y} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. This follows from the definition of $r$ and the coset decomposition

$$
\begin{aligned}
K_{p}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & \pi
\end{array}\right) K_{p}= & \bigcup_{b \in \mathcal{O}_{p}^{-} / \pi \mathcal{O}_{p}^{-}}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & b \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\pi & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right) K_{p} \\
& \cup \bigcup_{c \in\left(\Pi^{-1} \mathcal{O}_{p}-\mathcal{O}_{p}\right)^{-} / \mathcal{O}_{p}^{-}}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & c \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\Pi & 0 \\
0 & \Pi
\end{array}\right) K_{p} \cup\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & \pi
\end{array}\right) K_{p}
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 8.4. (i) If $y \in \mathcal{O}_{p}^{\times}$, we have

$$
I\binom{x}{y}=\delta\left(\operatorname{tr}\left(x y^{-1}\right) \in p \boldsymbol{Z}_{p}\right) \sigma(x)
$$

(ii) If $y \in \Pi \mathcal{O}_{p}^{\times}$, we have

$$
I\binom{x}{y}=p^{2} \delta\left(\operatorname{tr}\left(x y^{-1}\right) \in p \boldsymbol{Z}_{p}\right) \sigma\left(\Pi^{-1} x\right)-\sigma\left(\Pi^{-1} x\right)+\sigma(x)
$$

(iii) If $y \in \pi \mathcal{O}_{p}$, we have

$$
I\binom{x}{y}=p^{3} \sigma\left(\pi^{-1} x\right)+\left(p^{2}-1\right) \sigma\left(\Pi^{-1} x\right)+\sigma(x)
$$

Proof. When $y \in \mathcal{O}_{p}^{\times}$, we have

$$
I\binom{x}{y}=\sum_{b \in \mathcal{O}_{p}^{-} / \pi \mathcal{O}_{p}^{-}} \sigma\left(\pi^{-1}\left(x y^{-1}+b\right)\right)=\delta\left(\operatorname{tr}\left(x y^{-1}\right) \in p \boldsymbol{Z}_{p}\right) \sigma(x) .
$$

When $y \in \Pi \mathcal{O}_{p}^{\times}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
I\binom{x}{y} & =\sum_{b \in \mathcal{O}_{p}^{-} / \pi \mathcal{O}_{p}^{-}} \sigma\left(\Pi^{-1}\left(x y^{-1}+b\right)\right)+\sum_{c \in\left(\Pi^{-1} \mathcal{O}_{p}\right)^{-} / \mathcal{O}_{p}^{-}} \sigma\left(x y^{-1}+c\right)-\sigma\left(x y^{-1}\right) \\
& =p^{2} \delta\left(\operatorname{tr}\left(x y^{-1}\right) \in p \mathbf{Z}_{p}\right) \sigma\left(\Pi^{-1} x\right)+\sigma(x)-\sigma\left(\Pi^{-1} x\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

When $y \in \pi \mathcal{O}_{p}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
I\binom{x}{y} & =\sharp\left(\mathcal{O}_{p}^{-} / \pi \mathcal{O}_{p}^{-}\right) \sigma\left(\pi^{-1} x\right)+\sharp\left(\left(\Pi^{-1} \mathcal{O}_{p}-\mathcal{O}_{p}\right)^{-} / \mathcal{O}_{p}^{-}\right) \sigma\left(\Pi^{-} x\right)+\sigma(x) \\
& =p^{3} \sigma\left(\pi^{-1} x\right)+\left(p^{2}-1\right) \sigma\left(\Pi^{-1} x\right)+\sigma(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 8.5. We have

$$
r\left(1, \phi_{p}^{+}, 1\right) \varphi_{0}(X, t)=p^{1 / 2} \sigma^{\prime}(p t) J^{+}(X)
$$

where

$$
J^{+}\binom{x}{y}=\sigma(y) \times \begin{cases}\delta\left(\operatorname{tr}\left(x y^{-1}\right) \in p Z_{p}\right) \sigma(x), & y \in \mathcal{O}_{p}^{\times}, \\ \sigma(x), & y \in \Pi \mathcal{O}_{p} .\end{cases}
$$

Proof. Since

$$
U_{p} \operatorname{diag}\left(1, p^{-1}\right) U_{p}=\bigcup_{b \in \boldsymbol{Z}_{p} / p \boldsymbol{Z}_{p}}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & b \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
0 & p^{-1}
\end{array}\right) U_{p}
$$

we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
r\left(1, \phi_{p}^{+}, 1\right) \varphi_{0}(X, t) & =p^{-1 / 2} \sigma^{\prime}(p t) \sum_{b \in \boldsymbol{Z}_{p} / p \boldsymbol{Z}_{p}} \psi\left(\frac{b t}{2} \operatorname{tr}\left(X^{*} Q X\right)\right) \sigma(X) \\
& =p^{-1 / 2} \sigma^{\prime}(p t) \cdot p \cdot \delta\left(\operatorname{tr}\left(x^{\sigma} y\right) \in \boldsymbol{Z}_{p}\right) \sigma\left(\binom{x}{y}\right) \\
& =p^{1 / 2} \sigma^{\prime}(p t) \sigma(x) \times \begin{cases}\delta\left(\operatorname{tr}\left(x y^{-1}\right) \in p \mathbf{Z}_{p}\right), & y \in \mathcal{O}_{p}^{\times}, \\
1, & y \in \Pi \mathcal{O}_{p},\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

which proves the lemma.
Lemma 8.6. We have

$$
r\left(1, \phi_{p}^{-}, 1\right) \varphi_{0}(X, t)=p^{5 / 2} \sigma^{\prime}(p t) J^{-}(X),
$$

where

$$
J^{-}\binom{x}{y}=\sigma(y) \times \begin{cases}0, & y \in \mathcal{O}_{p}^{\times} \\ \left(\delta\left(\operatorname{tr}\left(x y^{-1}\right) \in p \mathbf{Z}_{p}\right)-p^{-1}\right) \sigma\left(\Pi^{-1} x\right), & y \in \Pi \mathcal{O}_{p}^{\times} \\ p \sigma\left(\pi^{-1} x\right)+\left(1-p^{-1}\right) \sigma\left(\Pi^{-1} x\right), & y \in \pi \mathcal{O}_{p}\end{cases}
$$

Proof. To prove the lemma, we recall that, for $h \in G L_{2}\left(\boldsymbol{Q}_{p}\right)$ and $\varphi \in \boldsymbol{V}_{p}$,

$$
(\mathcal{I} \circ r(1, h, 1) \varphi)(X, t)=|\operatorname{det} h|^{-1 / 2} \mathcal{I} \varphi\left(\operatorname{det}(h) \cdot h^{-1} X, \operatorname{det}\left(h^{-1}\right) \cdot t\right),
$$

where

$$
\mathcal{I} \varphi\left(\binom{x}{y}, t\right)=\int_{B_{p}} \psi\left(-t \operatorname{tr}\left(u^{\sigma} x\right)\right) \varphi\left(\binom{u}{y}, t\right) d u .
$$

Here the measure $d u$ on $B_{p}$ is normalized by $\operatorname{vol}\left(\mathcal{O}_{p}\right)=p^{-1}$. It is easily verified that

$$
\mathcal{I} \varphi_{0}\left(\binom{x}{y}, t\right)=p^{-1} \sigma(\Pi x) \sigma(y) \sigma^{\prime}(t)
$$

and

$$
\mathcal{I}^{-1} \varphi\left(\binom{x}{y}, t\right)=|t|^{4} \int_{B_{p}} \psi\left(t \operatorname{tr}\left(u^{\sigma} x\right)\right) \varphi\left(\binom{u}{y}, t\right) d u .
$$

It follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\mathcal{I} \circ r\left(1, \phi_{p}^{-}, 1\right) \varphi_{0}\right)(X, t) & =p^{-1 / 2} \sum_{b \in \boldsymbol{Z}_{p} / p \boldsymbol{Z}_{p}} \mathcal{I} \varphi_{0}\left(\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
b & p^{-1}
\end{array}\right)\binom{x}{y}, p t\right) \\
& =p^{-3 / 2} \sigma(\Pi x) \sigma^{\prime}(p t) \sum_{b \in \boldsymbol{Z}_{p} / p \boldsymbol{Z}_{p}} \sigma\left(b x+p^{-1} y\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

We thus have

$$
\begin{aligned}
r\left(1, \phi_{p}^{-}, 1\right) \varphi_{0}(X, t) & =|t|^{4} \int_{B_{p}} \psi\left(t \operatorname{tr}\left(u^{\sigma} x\right)\right) p^{-3 / 2} \sigma(\Pi u) \sigma^{\prime}(p t) \sum_{b \in \boldsymbol{Z}_{p} / p \boldsymbol{Z}_{p}} \sigma\left(b u+p^{-1} y\right) d u \\
& =p^{5 / 2} \sigma^{\prime}(p t) K\binom{x}{y}
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
K\binom{x}{y}=\int_{B_{p}} \psi\left(p^{-1} \operatorname{tr}\left(u^{\sigma} x\right)\right) \sigma(\Pi x) \sum_{b \in \boldsymbol{Z}_{p} / p \boldsymbol{Z}_{p}} \sigma\left(b u+p^{-1} y\right) d u
$$

First observe that $K\binom{x}{y}=0$ if $y \notin \Pi \mathcal{O}_{p}$. Assume that $y \in \Pi \mathcal{O}_{p}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
K\binom{x}{y}= & \sigma\left(p^{-1} y\right) \int_{\Pi^{-1} \mathcal{O}_{p}} \psi\left(p^{-1} \operatorname{tr}\left(u^{\sigma} x\right)\right) d u \\
& +\sum_{b \in\left(\boldsymbol{Z}_{p}-p \boldsymbol{Z}_{p}\right) / p \boldsymbol{Z}_{p}} \int_{\Pi^{-1} \mathcal{O}_{p}} \psi\left(p^{-1} \operatorname{tr}\left(u^{\sigma} x\right)\right) \sigma\left(b^{-1} u+p^{-1} y\right) d u \\
= & \operatorname{vol}\left(\Pi^{-1} \mathcal{O}_{p}\right) \sigma\left(p^{-1} y\right) \sigma\left(p^{-1} x\right) \\
& +\sum_{b \in\left(\boldsymbol{Z}_{p}-p \boldsymbol{Z}_{p}\right) / p \boldsymbol{Z}_{p}} \int_{\Pi^{-1} \mathcal{O}_{p}} \psi\left(p^{-1} \operatorname{tr}\left(\left(u-b p^{-1} y\right)^{\sigma} x\right)\right) \sigma\left(b^{-1} u\right) d u \\
= & p \sigma\left(\pi^{-1} x\right) \sigma\left(\pi^{-1} y\right) \\
& +\sum_{b \in\left(\boldsymbol{Z}_{p}-p \boldsymbol{Z}_{p}\right) / p \boldsymbol{Z}_{p}} \psi\left(p^{-2} b \operatorname{tr}\left(y^{\sigma} x\right)\right) \int_{\Pi^{-1} \mathcal{O}_{p}} \psi\left(p^{-1} \operatorname{tr}\left(u^{\sigma} x\right)\right) \sigma(u) d u \\
= & p \sigma\left(p^{-1} x\right) \sigma\left(\pi^{-1} y\right) \\
& +\left\{p \delta\left(\operatorname{tr}\left(y^{\sigma} x\right) \in p^{2} \boldsymbol{Z}_{p}\right)-1\right\} \operatorname{vol}\left(\mathcal{O}_{p}\right) \sigma\left(\Pi^{-1} x\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The last term is equal to $\left\{\delta\left(\operatorname{tr}\left(x y^{-1}\right) \in p \boldsymbol{Z}_{p}\right)-p^{-1}\right\} \sigma\left(\Pi^{-1} x\right)$ if $y \in \Pi \mathcal{O}_{p}^{\times}$, and $\left(1-p^{-1}\right) \sigma\left(\Pi^{-1} x\right)$ if $y \in \pi \mathcal{O}_{p}$. This proves the lemma.

The following fact is clear.
Lemma 8.7. We have

$$
r\left(1,1, \phi_{p}^{\prime}\right) \varphi_{0}(X, t)=p^{3 / 2} \sigma^{\prime}(p t) J^{\prime}(X),
$$

where

$$
J^{\prime}\binom{x}{y}=\sigma\left(\Pi^{-1} x\right) \sigma\left(\Pi^{-1} y\right)
$$

A straightforward calculation shows the following formula, which completes the proof of (6.4) in the case where $p \mid D$.

Proposition 8.8. We have

$$
J(X)-J^{+}(X)-p^{2} J^{-}(X)+(1-p) J^{\prime}(X)=0 .
$$

8.3. In this subsection, we suppose that $p \nmid D$. We only give a sketch of the proof of (6.4) in this case, since the proof is similar to that in 8.2. First observe that

$$
\begin{aligned}
K_{p}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & \pi
\end{array}\right) K_{p}= & \bigcup_{b \in \mathfrak{P}_{p}^{-} / \pi \mathfrak{P}_{p}^{-}}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & b \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\pi & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right) K_{p} \\
& \cup \bigcup_{c \in\left(\mathcal{O}_{p}-\mathfrak{P}_{p}\right)^{-} / \mathfrak{P}_{p}^{-}}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & c \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\Pi & 0 \\
0 & \Pi
\end{array}\right) K_{p} \cup\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
0 & \pi
\end{array}\right) K_{p}
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 8.9. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& r\left(\Phi_{p}^{1}, 1,1\right) \varphi_{0}(X, t)=p^{3 / 2} \delta^{\prime}(\pi t) I(X), \\
& r\left(1, \hat{\phi}_{p}, 1\right) \varphi_{0}(X, t)=p^{1 / 2} \delta^{\prime}(\pi t) J(X), \\
& r\left(1,1, \hat{\phi}_{p}\right) \varphi_{0}(X, t)=p^{3 / 2} \delta^{\prime}(\pi t) J^{\prime}(X),
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
I(X)= & \sum_{b \in \mathfrak{P}_{p}^{-} / \pi \mathfrak{P}_{p}^{-}} \sigma\binom{\pi^{-1}(x+b y)}{\Pi y}+\sum_{c \in\left(\mathcal{O}_{p}-\mathfrak{P}_{p}\right)^{-} / \mathfrak{P}_{p}^{-}} \sigma\binom{\Pi^{-1}(x+c y)}{y} \\
& +\sigma\binom{x}{\Pi^{-1} y} \\
J(X)= & \delta\left(\operatorname{tr}\left(x^{\sigma} y\right) \in p Z_{p}\right) \sigma\binom{x}{\Pi y}+p^{3} \sigma\binom{\pi^{-1}}{\Pi^{-1} y} \\
J^{\prime}(X)= & \sigma\binom{\Pi^{-1} x}{y} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using Lemmas 8.2 and 8.9 , we obtain the following formula, from which (6.4) immediately follows.

Proposition 8.10.

$$
I(X)=J(X)+(p-1) J^{\prime}(X)
$$
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