

## COMMUTATIVE SEMIGROUP LAWS<sup>1</sup>

ROBERT SCHWABAUER

1. **Introduction.** It is a consequence of B. H. Neumann's classification of group identities [3, Theorem 19.1, p. 523], that the lattice of Abelian group varieties is distributive. The lattice of varieties of algebras in one unary operation is also distributive [2], but the lattice of commutative semigroup varieties is not modular [4]. Here we discuss a distributive sublattice of this nonmodular lattice.

By variety we will mean commutative semigroup variety. (Lemmas 1 and 2, however, are true for semigroup varieties, not necessarily commutative.) The semigroups need not have a unit-element. We will be mainly concerned with laws of the form  $s = sx^a$  where  $s$  is a term (word in the variables),  $x$  is variable and  $a$  is a positive integer. We call such a law an  $L$ -law and call a variety which can be defined by a set  $\{s_i = s_i x^{a_i}\}$  of  $L$ -laws, an  $L$ -variety.

2.  **$L$ -Laws.** Exponents of variables will always be positive integers. Lemma 1 is easily proved by induction on  $k$ , where  $b = ka$ .

LEMMA 1. *Let  $s$  be a term and  $x$  be a variable. If  $b$  is a multiple of  $a$ , then  $s = sx^b$  holds in the variety defined by  $s = sx^a$ .*

LEMMA 2. *Let  $s, t$  be terms and  $x$  be a variable. If  $d$  is the greatest common divisor of  $a$  and  $b$ , then  $s = sx^d$  holds in the variety defined by  $s = sx^a$  and  $t = tx^b$ .*

PROOF. The substitution of  $x$  for each variable in  $t = tx^b$  yields  $x^p = x^{p+b}$ , where  $p$  is some positive integer. Hence we have  $sx^p = sx^{p+b}$ , and thus, by Lemma 1,  $sx^p = sx^{p+jb}$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots$

From  $s = sx^a$  we obtain  $sx^p = sx^{p+ia}$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ . Hence  $sx^p = sx^{p+ia+jb}$ ,  $i, j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

Thus, by an elementary property of nonnegative integers,  $sx^p = sx^{p+ka+d}$  for some nonnegative integers  $k$ .

From this last law and  $s = sx^{ka}$ , we obtain  $sx^p = sx^{p+d}$ . So  $sx^{ra} = sx^{ra+d}$ , where  $ra \geq p$ . Hence, since  $s = sx^{ra}$ , we have  $s = sx^d$ .

By  $n(x, s)$  we mean the number ( $\geq 0$ ) of occurrences of a variable  $x$  in a term  $s$ .

---

Received by the editors December 17, 1966 and, in revised form, January 13, 1969.

<sup>1</sup> This work was supported in part by a Summer National Science Foundation Research Participation Award at the University of Oklahoma. The substance is contained in the author's Ph.D. thesis written at the University of Nebraska under the direction of H. H. Schneider.

LEMMA 3. *If  $n(x, s) \geq n(y, s)$ , then  $s = sx^a$  holds in the variety defined by  $s = sy^a$ .*

PROOF. Suppose  $(i, j)$ , where  $i, j$  are positive integers, denotes the term  $x^i y^j$ . Then each of the following laws (after the first) can be obtained from the previous one.

$$\begin{aligned} (p, q) &= (p, q + a), \\ (q + ka, q) &= (q + ka, q + a), \text{ if } q + ka \geq p, \\ (q + ka, q) &= (q + ka, q + ka), \\ (q + ka, q) &= (q, q + ka), \\ (p + ka, q) &= (p, q + ka), \text{ if } p \geq q. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, from  $s = sy^a$  we can derive  $sx^{ka} = sy^{ka}$ , where  $k$  is a positive integer such that  $n(y, s) + ka \geq n(x, s)$ .

By a familiar argument, the last law, with  $s = sy^a$ , yields  $s = sx^{ka}$ . From  $s = sy^a$ , by substitution, we have  $t = tx^a$  for some term  $t$ . Hence, since  $a$  is the g.c.d. of  $ka$  and  $a$ , we have, by Lemma 2,  $s = sx^a$ .

We define a simple closure operation on the set of terms as follows:

(i) The closure  $cl(S)$  of a set  $S$  of terms is the union of the closures of the one element subsets of  $S$ .

(ii) For terms  $s, t, t \in cl(\{s\}) = cl(s)$ , in case there is a function from the set of variables of  $s$  into the set of variables of  $t$  such that if distinct variables  $x_1, \dots, x_m$  are mapped to a variable  $x$ , then

$$n(x_1, s) + \dots + n(x_m, s) \leq n(x, t).$$

Thus for variables  $x, y$  and any term  $t, x^3 y^4 t$  and  $x^7 t$  are in  $cl(x^3 y^4)$ . For any term  $t$  denote by  $Sg(t)$  the free commutative semigroup generated by the variables occurring in  $t$ . Then (ii) says: There is a homomorphism  $\phi: Sg(s) \rightarrow Sg(t)$ , that maps variables into variables, such that  $t$  lies in the ideal generated by  $\phi(s)$ .

LEMMA 4. *If  $n(x, t) \geq n(x, s_1)$  and  $t \in cl(s_2)$  then  $t = tx^a$  holds in the variety defined by the laws  $s_1 = s_1 x^a, s_2 = s_2 x^a$ .*

PROOF. It is readily seen that from  $s_1 = s_1 x^a$  we can obtain a law  $s = sy^a$ , where  $y$  is a variable not in  $t, s$  is a term that does not contain  $x$  or any of the variables of  $t$ , and  $n(x, s_1) = n(y, s)$ . Then from  $s = sy^a$ , we have  $ts = tsy^a$ . Also

$$n(x, ts) = n(x, t) \geq n(x, s_1) = n(y, s) = n(y, ts).$$

Thus by Lemma 3, we have  $ts = tsx^a$ .

Since  $t \in cl(s_2)$ , we find, using  $s_2 = s_2 x^a$ , that  $t = tu^a$  for some variable  $u$ . The substitution of  $u^a$  for each variable of  $s$  in  $ts = tsx^a$  leads to

$tu^{ka} = tu^{ka}x^a$  for some positive integer  $k$ . This last law, with  $t = tu^a$ , yields  $t = tx^a$ .

**LEMMA 5.** *Let  $E = \{s_i = s_i x^{a_i}\}$  be a set of  $L$ -laws. Then the  $L$ -law  $t = tx^b$  holds in the variety defined by  $E$  if and only if*

- (i)  $b$  is a multiple of  $\text{g.c.d. } \{a_i\}$ ,
- (ii)  $n(x, t) \geq \min \{n(x, s_i)\}$  and,
- (iii)  $t \in \text{cl}(\{s_i\})$ .

**PROOF.** Let  $\tau$  denote the law  $t = tx^a$ . Suppose  $\tau$  holds in the variety  $V$  defined by  $E$ . The cyclic group of order  $\text{g.c.d. } \{a_i\}$  is (as a semigroup) an algebra of  $V$ , hence  $\tau$  must satisfy condition (i).

Let  $p = \min \{n(x, s_i)\}$  and suppose  $p > 0$  (otherwise (ii) is trivial). Let  $A$  be the commutative semigroup with two generators  $a, b$  defined by  $a^p = a^{p+1}, b^p = b^{p+1}$ . Clearly  $A$  is in  $V$  and if  $n(x, t) < p$ ,  $\tau$  cannot hold in  $A$ . (In  $\tau$  substitute  $a$  for  $x$  and  $b$  for the other variables, if any, of  $\tau$ .)

Define an equivalence relation  $R$  on the set of terms by  $sRt$  if and only if  $s = t$  holds in every commutative semigroup. Let  $[s]$  denote the equivalence class containing  $s$  and define a binary operation on the set  $Q$  of equivalence classes by  $[s][t] = [st]$ . Let  $P$  be the set of all  $[s]$  such that  $s \in \text{cl}(\{s_i\})$ . Then  $P$  is an ideal of  $Q$ . Let  $B = Q/P$  be the Rees factor semigroup of  $Q$  modulo  $P$  [1, p. 17]. Then  $B$  is in  $V$  and for  $\tau$  to hold in  $B$ ,  $\tau$  must satisfy condition (iii).

Conversely suppose conditions (i) – (iii) are satisfied. Then by Lemmas 1, 2, and 4,  $\tau$  holds in the variety defined by  $E$ .

**3. The lattice of  $L$ -varieties.** It follows from Lemma 5 that if two sets  $\{s_i = s_i x^{a_i}\}$  and  $\{t_i = t_i x^{b_i}\}$  of  $L$ -laws define the same variety then

- (i)  $\text{g.c.d. } \{a_i\} = \text{g.c.d. } \{b_i\}$ ,
- (ii)  $\min \{n(x, s_i)\} = \min \{n(x, t_i)\}$ , and
- (iii)  $\text{cl}(\{s_i\}) = \text{cl}(\{t_i\})$ .

Thus if  $V$  is the variety defined by a set  $\{s_i = s_i x^{a_i}\}$  we let

- (i)  $\text{period } V \equiv \text{g.c.d. } \{a_i\}$ ,
- (ii)  $\text{level } V \equiv \min \{n(x, s_i)\}$ , and
- (iii)  $\text{scope } V \equiv \text{cl}(\{s_i\})$ .

Let  $\phi$  be a law  $s = t$  with  $a = n(x, s)$  and  $b = n(x, t)$ . We make the following definitions

- (i)  $\text{Period of } x \text{ in } \phi \equiv |a - b|$ .

$\text{Period } \phi \equiv \text{g.c.d. of the periods of the variables of } \phi$ .

- (ii)  $\text{Level of } x \text{ in } \phi \equiv \min(a, b)$ .

$\text{Level of } \phi \equiv \text{minimum of the levels of the variables of } \phi \text{ with nonzero periods.}$

(iii) Scope  $\phi \equiv \text{cl}(\{s, t\})$ .

(Thus the period, level and scope respectively of  $s = sx^a$  is  $a$ ,  $n(x, s)$ , and  $\text{cl}(s)$ .)

We say a law  $\phi$  is trivial in case  $\phi$  holds in every commutative semi-group.

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $V$  be an  $L$ -variety. A nontrivial law  $\phi$  holds in  $V$  if and only if*

- (i) period  $\phi$  is a multiple of period  $V$
- (ii) level  $\phi \geq \text{level } V$  and
- (iii) scope  $\phi \subseteq \text{scope } V$ .

**PROOF.** We omit the proof of the necessity, since it is similar to the proof of the necessity in Lemma 5.

Suppose  $\phi: s = t$  satisfies conditions (i), (ii), and (iii). Let  $x_1, \dots, x_m$  ( $y_1, \dots, y_n$ ) be the variables that appear more often in  $s(t)$  than in  $t(s)$  and let  $c_i(d_i)$  be the period in  $s = t$  of  $x_i(y_i)$ . By Lemma 5 we have that  $s = sy_1^{d_1} \dots y_n^{d_n}$ ,  $s = sy_n^{d_n} \dots y_1^{d_1}$ ,  $t = tx_1^{c_1} \dots x_m^{c_m}$ ,  $t = tx_m^{c_m} \dots x_1^{c_1}$  hold in  $V$ . Hence  $s = sy_1^{d_1} \dots y_n^{d_n}$  and  $t = tx_1^{c_1} \dots x_m^{c_m}$  hold in  $V$ . From these two laws and the trivial law  $sy_1^{d_1} \dots y_n^{d_n} = tx_1^{c_1} \dots x_m^{c_m}$ , we have that  $\phi$  holds in  $V$ .

**LEMMA 6.** *Given two  $L$ -varieties with levels  $p, q$  and scope  $A, B$  respectively there is an  $L$ -variety with level  $\max(p, q)$ , scope  $(A \cap B)$ , and period  $a$  for any  $a > 0$ .*

**PROOF.** Let  $C = \{t \in A \cap B \mid n(x, t) \geq \max(p, q)\}$ ,  $E = \{t = tx^a \mid t \in C\}$ , then the variety defined by  $E$  has the desired properties.

We denote the join of two varieties  $V, W$  by  $V + W$ . The next theorem follows from Theorem 1, Lemma 6, and an observation dual to Lemma 6, involving  $\min$  instead of  $\max$  and  $\cup$  instead of  $\cap$ .

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $V$  and  $W$  be  $L$ -varieties. Then  $V \cap W$  and  $V + W$  are  $L$ -varieties and the mapping*

$$V \rightarrow (\text{period } V, \text{level } V, \text{scope } V)$$

*between the lattice of  $L$ -varieties under  $\cap$  and  $+$  and the direct product of*

- (i) *the lattice of positive integers under g.c.d. and l.c.m.,*
  - (ii) *the lattice of nonnegative integers under  $\min$  and  $\max$ , and*
  - (iii) *the dual of the lattice of all closed sets of terms under  $\cap$  and  $\cup$*
- is an injective homomorphism.*

The next theorem follows immediately from Theorem 2.

**THEOREM 3.** *The  $L$ -varieties form a distributive sublattice of the lattice of commutative semigroup varieties.*

The mapping considered in Theorem 2 is not an isomorphism. For example, there is no  $L$ -variety with scope equal to the set of all terms and level  $\geq 2$ .

**4. On other laws.** Since the lattice of varieties is not modular, not every variety is an  $L$ -variety. A simple example of a non- $L$ -variety is the variety defined by  $xy^2 = x^2y$ ; other examples occur in [4]. We consider two types of laws that define  $L$ -varieties.

**THEOREM 4.** *Let  $s, t$ , be terms. The variety defined by  $s = st$  is an  $L$ -variety.*

**PROOF.** We show  $\phi: s = st$  is equivalent to the  $L$ -law  $\sigma: s = sx^a$  where  $a = \text{period } \phi$  and  $n(x, s) = \text{level } \phi$ . (Thus  $x$  is a variable of  $t$  such that  $n(x, s) = \text{level } \phi$ .) By Theorem 1 from  $\sigma$ , we have  $\phi$ .

Conversely the substitution of  $x$  for each variable of  $\phi$  and the substitution of  $x^2$  for  $x$  and  $x$  for the other variables, if any, of  $\phi$  yields laws in  $x$  of periods  $q+b$  and  $q+2b$  respectively, where  $q$  is some nonnegative integer and  $b$  is the period of  $x$  in  $\phi$ . Thus, since g.c.d.  $(q+b, q+2b)$  divides  $b$ , we have  $x^p = x^{p+b}$ , where  $p$  is some positive integer. Similarly we can obtain  $L$ -laws of the periods of the other variables of  $\phi$  in  $t$ , so we have an  $L$ -law of period  $\phi = \text{period } \sigma$ .

From  $s = st$  and  $x^p = x^{p+a}$  respectively, we have  $s = st^k$  and  $x^{ka} = x^{2ka}$ , where  $ka \geq p$ . These last two laws lead to  $s = sx^{ka}$ , an  $L$ -law of level  $\sigma$  and scope  $\sigma$ . Thus we have  $\sigma$ .

**THEOREM 5.** *If some variable occurs in only one of the terms,  $s, t$  then the variety defined by  $s = t$  is an  $L$ -variety.*

**PROOF.** Suppose  $n(x, s) = 0$  and  $n(x, t) = p > 0$ . By the previous theorem, it suffices to show that  $s = t$  is equivalent to the two laws  $s = s^pt, t = s^pt$ . It is obvious that these laws imply  $s = t$ . On the other hand the substitution of  $sx$  for  $x$  in  $s = t$  leads to  $s = s^pt$ , which with  $s = t$ , yields  $t = s^pt$ .

#### REFERENCES

1. A. H. Clifford and G. B. Preston, *The algebraic theory of semigroups*. Vol. I, Math. Surveys, no. 7, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R. I., 1961.
2. E. Jacobs and R. Schwabauer, *The lattice of equational classes of algebras with one unary operation*, Amer. Math. Monthly **71** (1964), 151-154.
3. B. H. Neumann, *Identical relations in groups*. I, Math. Ann. **114** (1937), 506-525.
4. R. Schwabauer, *A note on commutative semigroups*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **20** (1969), 503-504.

LOYOLA UNIVERSITY, NEW ORLEANS