

Compact metric spaces have binary bases

by

M. Strok and A. Szymański (Katowice)

Abstract. O'Connor has shown that every compact metric space is supercompact. However his proof is valid only for spaces dense-in-itself.

This result is strengthened here, namely by proving that every compact metric space has a binary base.

A family \mathcal{R} of subsets of a topological space is said to be *binary* (see [7]) if every subfamily \mathcal{R}' of \mathcal{R} such that $\bigcap \{\text{cl}A : A \in \mathcal{R}'\} = \emptyset$ contains two elements with disjoint closures.

A space X is said to be *supercompact* (see de Groot [2]) if there exists an open subbase \mathcal{F} , called *supersubbase*, such that in every cover of X by means of elements of \mathcal{F} there exist two elements which cover X .

It is clear that if X is a compact Hausdorff space and \mathcal{B} is a binary base of open sets in X , then the family \mathcal{F} consisting of sets of the form $X \setminus \text{cl}U$, where $U \in \mathcal{B}$, is a supersubbase on X .

In the paper [4] O'Connor proved that every compact metric space is supercompact; his proof consists on construction of a special embedding of a given compact metric space into Hilbert cube. However his proof is valid only in the case when the space has no isolated points. In fact, the assertion ([4], p. 32) that the points $T_n D_n \dots T_1 D_1(a)$ and $T_n D_n \dots T_1 D_1(b)$ lie on opposite sides of π_n for $a, b \in M$, whenever $D_n \dots T_1 D_1(a)$ and $D_n \dots T_1 D_1(b)$ lie, does not follow from Lemma 2, because that lemma assures this only for $a, b \in K$, where K is a dense-in-itself subset of a given uncountable compact metric space M .

In this paper we prove a theorem (Theorem 2) which asserts that every compact metric space has a binary base. Clearly, this result contains O'Connor's one. Our proof is based on the Freudenthal's theorem on inverse expansions [1].

The question of the existence of supersubbases or binary bases for arbitrary compact Hausdorff spaces are still open. The first of these questions was raised by de Groot [2].

§ 1. Natural projections, pseudopolyhedra and non-tangent sets. By a *polyhedron* we mean a compact Euclidean polyhedron. The symbol $[P]$

denotes a polyhedron with a triangulation P . If we say about a triangulation of a simplex, then we mean on the standard triangulation consisting of all faces of that simplex.

Let $x \in |P|$. Then we define the *carrier* and the *star* of x :

$$\text{car}_P x = \bigcap \{s \in P: x \in s\},$$

$$\text{st}_P x = \bigcup \{s \in P: x \in s\} \setminus \bigcup \{s \in P: x \notin s\}.$$

Clearly, the carrier of x is a simplex and x belongs to the geometrical interior of it.

The following definition and lemma are taken from Rogers's paper [6].

If $|P|$ is a polyhedron, a *simple subdivision* of P is a complex P' whose vertices consist of just one point p_s from the geometrical interior of each simplex s of P , such that the simplex determined by a set V of vertices of P' belongs to P' if and only if there is a sequence s_0, \dots, s_k of simplexes of P , each except the last is a face of the next, such that $V = \{p_{s_0}, \dots, p_{s_k}\}$. If P is of dimension n and k is a positive integer, then P' is said to be of *order* k if the barycentric coordinate of p_s on each vertex of s is not smaller than $(n+1)^{-k}$ for each s of P .

LEMMA 1. *If P' is a simple subdivision of the n -dimensional complex P of order k , then $\text{mesh } P' \leq (1 - (n+1)^{-k}) \text{mesh } P$.*

Let S be a simplex. If p is a vertex of S , then $|S(p)|$ denotes the opposite to p face of S . Let q be a vertex from $S(p)$. Then by a *natural projection* $\varphi_q: |S| \rightarrow |S(p)|$ we mean a linear map which identifies the vertex p with the vertex q and is identity on $|S(p)|$.

Remark 1. Each linear onto map between two simplexes can be represented as a composition of natural projections.

Let $|P|$ be a polyhedron and let Q be contained in P . Then $\bigcup \{\text{intgeoms}: s \in Q\}$ is called a *pseudopolyhedron*.

Using the Lefschetz's construction ([3], Ch. 8, § 1, (5.2)) we obtain following two lemmas.

LEMMA 2. *If $|P_1|, \dots, |P_k|$ are polyhedra contained in a polyhedron $|P|$ (there is no dependence between triangulations P_1, \dots, P_k and the triangulation P), then there exists a subdivision P' of P which induces subdivisions on each P_i .*

LEMMA 3. *The union and the intersection of two pseudopolyhedra (polyhedra) is also a pseudopolyhedron (polyhedron). The prism over pseudopolyhedron (polyhedron) is a pseudopolyhedron (polyhedron).*

The following corollary is a consequence of Remark 1 and Lemma 3.

COROLLARY 1. *The counterimage of pseudopolyhedron (polyhedron) under a simplicial map is a pseudopolyhedron (polyhedron).*

A subset M of a polyhedron $|P|$ is said to be *non-tangent* in P if $\text{cl}(s \cap M) = s \cap \text{cl} M$ for each s of P , or equivalently, if $x \in \text{cl} M$, then $x \in \text{cl}(s \cap M)$, where s is the carrier of x . A family consisting of non-tangent in P sets will be called *non-tangent family*.

LEMMA 4. *Let π be a simplicial map of a polyhedron $|P|$ onto a polyhedron $|P'|$ and let M be non-tangent in P' . Then $\pi^{-1}(M)$ is non-tangent in P and $\pi^{-1}(\text{cl } M) = \text{cl } \pi^{-1}(M)$.*

Proof. Note, that the both conclusions follow from the following implication:

$$x \in \pi^{-1}(\text{cl } M) \Rightarrow x \in \text{cl}(\pi^{-1}(M) \cap s) \quad \text{where } s = \text{car}_P x.$$

To prove this implication let x belongs to $\pi^{-1}(\text{cl } M)$, let s be the carrier of x and let s' be the carrier of $\pi(x)$. Since M is non-tangent in P' , hence $\pi(x)$ belongs to $\text{cl}(M \cap s')$. Then there exists a sequence $\{y_n: n = 1, 2, \dots\}$ of points of $M \cap s'$ converging to $\pi(x)$. We claim that there exists a sequence $\{x_n: n = 1, 2, \dots\}$ of points of $\pi^{-1}(M) \cap s$ converging to x . Consider π as a map from s onto s' , which is sufficient for further considerations; so we can assume that s' is a face of s .

1. If $\text{dim } s = \text{dim } s'$, then let $x_n = y_n$.

2. If $\text{dim } s = \text{dim } s' + 1$, then we can assume that π is a natural projection which identifies vertices p and q , where q belongs to s' . Let H be the $(\text{dim } s)$ -plane which contains the point x and the face of s' opposite to q . Then let x_n be a (unique) point of H such that $\pi(x_n) = y_n$.

Passing to the general situation, the proof reduces in view of Remark 1 to the cases 1 and 2.

Now we infer that $x \in \text{cl}(\pi^{-1}(M) \cap s)$.

§ 2. **Construction of some special binary bases on simplexes.** Let $|S|$ be a simplex and let p and q be different vertices of S . A symbol s_q^p denotes the one face of S which contains p and q . If a point x belongs to s_q^p , then H^x denotes the minimal hyperplane in $|S|$ passing through x and $|S(p)(q)|$. If a point x belongs to s_q^p , and $p \neq x \neq q$, then H_x^p denotes the intersection of $|S|$ with the open half-space determined by H^x to which p belongs (do the same with q). If x and y are different points in s_q^p such that $p \neq x \neq q$ and $p \neq y \neq q$, then H_y^x denotes the intersection of H_x^p and H_x^q . The sets H_x^y, H_x^z will be called *strata* of $|S|$ (with respect to p and q). We shall omit indices in the symbols H_x^z if misunderstanding is excluded.

Let $\varphi_q: |S| \rightarrow |S(p)|$ be the natural projection and let \mathcal{R} be an arbitrary family of subsets of $|S(p)|$.

By a *lift* of the family \mathcal{R} to $|S|$ by means of φ_q we mean the family of subsets of $|S|$ of the form:

1. $\varphi_q^{-1}(A) \cap H$, if A is disjoint with $|S(p)(q)|$,
 2. $\varphi_q^{-1}(A)$, if $A \cap |S(p)(q)| \neq \emptyset$,
- A being member of \mathcal{R} , H being a stratum.

LEMMA 5. *If A is a non-tangent in $S(p)$ subset of $|S(p)|$ disjoint with $|S(p)(q)|$, then $\text{cl}(\varphi_q^{-1}(A) \cap H) = \text{cl}(\varphi_q^{-1}(A)) \cap \text{cl}H$ for every stratum H .*

Proof. Note that $\text{cl}(\varphi_q^{-1}(A) \cap H) = \text{cl}(\varphi_q^{-1}(A) \cap \text{cl}H)$, H being open. Therefore it suffices to prove only the following inclusion:

$$\text{cl}\varphi_q^{-1}(A) \cap \text{cl}H \subset \text{cl}(\varphi_q^{-1}(A) \cap \text{cl}H).$$

Since the map $\varphi_q|_{H^x}: H^x \rightarrow |S(p)|$ is a homeomorphism and, by Lemma 4, $\text{cl}\varphi_q^{-1}(A) = \varphi_q^{-1}(\text{cl}A)$ hence

$$\begin{aligned} \text{cl}\varphi_q^{-1}(A) \cap H^x &= \varphi_q^{-1}(\text{cl}A) \cap H^x = (\varphi_q|_{H^x})^{-1}(\text{cl}A) \\ &= \text{cl}_{H^x}(\varphi_q|_{H^x})^{-1}(A) = \text{cl}(\varphi_q^{-1}(A) \cap H^x). \end{aligned}$$

The desired inclusion follows now from the observation that $\text{cl}H$ is the union of some sets H^x .

LEMMA 6. *If A is a non-tangent in $S(p)$ subset of $|S(p)|$ disjoint with $|S(p)(q)|$, then*

$$\text{cl}(\varphi_q^{-1}(A) \cap H \cap s) = \text{cl}\varphi_q^{-1}(A) \cap \text{cl}H \cap s = \text{cl}(\varphi_q^{-1}(A) \cap H) \cap s,$$

for each $s \in S$ and every stratum H .

Proof. Let s be a face of S . First let us consider the following two cases:

1. $p \in s$ and $q \notin s$; if H is H_q^x or H_p^x , then $\text{cl}H \cap s \subset |S(p)(q)|$ and therefore $\text{cl}\varphi_q^{-1}(A) \cap \text{cl}H \cap s = \emptyset$; if H is H_p^x , then $s \subset \text{cl}H_p^x$ and therefore $\text{cl}\varphi_q^{-1}(A) \cap \text{cl}H \cap s = \text{cl}\varphi_q^{-1}(A) \cap s = \text{cl}(\varphi_q^{-1}(A) \cap s) = \text{cl}(\varphi_q^{-1}(A) \cap H \cap s)$ (the second equality follows from Lemma 4).

2. $p \in s$ and $q \in s$; then observe that $\varphi_q^{-1}(\varphi_q(s)) = s$ and then Lemmas 4 and 5 imply equalities:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{cl}\varphi_q^{-1}(A) \cap \text{cl}H \cap s &= \varphi_q^{-1}(\text{cl}A) \cap \varphi_q^{-1}(\varphi_q(s)) \cap \text{cl}H = \varphi_q^{-1}(\text{cl}A \cap \varphi_q(s)) \cap \text{cl}H \\ &= \varphi_q^{-1}(\text{cl}(A \cap \varphi_q(s))) \cap \text{cl}H = \text{cl}\varphi_q^{-1}(A \cap \varphi_q(s)) \cap \text{cl}H \\ &= \text{cl}(\varphi_q^{-1}(A \cap \varphi_q(s)) \cap H) = \text{cl}(\varphi_q^{-1}(A) \cap \varphi_q^{-1}(\varphi_q(s)) \cap H) \\ &= \text{cl}(\varphi_q^{-1}(A) \cap H \cap s). \end{aligned}$$

The proof of the required equality in the remaining two cases, is analogous to that of the case 1, or obvious.

COROLLARY 2. *The property to be non-tangent is preserved by the lift operation.*

THEOREM 1. *In every simplex $|S|$ there exists a binary base \mathcal{B} non-tangent in S , consisting of open pseudopolyhedra the intersections of which with each face of $|S|$ form a binary family and such that*

(*) *if $U, V \in \mathcal{B}$, $s \in S$ and the sets $\text{cl}U \cap \text{cl}V$, $\text{cl}U \cap s$ and $\text{cl}V \cap s$ are non-empty, then the set $\text{cl}U \cap \text{cl}V \cap s$ is so.*

Proof. The construction of such a base will be given by the induction on the dimension of $|S|$.

If $\dim|S| = 1$, then the family of all non-tangent in S open in $|S|$ intervals is the desired one.

Let us assume that there exists a binary base \mathcal{B}' consisting of open pseudopolyhedra on an $(n-1)$ -simplex $|\tilde{s}|$ of S being non-tangent in \tilde{s} , which induces a binary family on each face of $|\tilde{s}|$ and fulfils the condition (*).

Let p and q be vertices of S such that $p \notin |\tilde{s}|$ and $q \in |\tilde{s}|$. Let $\varphi_q: |S| \rightarrow |S(p)| = |\tilde{s}|$ be the corresponding natural projection. Now we prove that the lift \mathcal{B} of the base \mathcal{B}' to $|S|$ by means of φ_q is the family in question.

It follows from Corollary 2 that \mathcal{B} is non-tangent in S .

Clearly \mathcal{B} is a base, having sets of arbitrarily small diameters.

To prove that \mathcal{B} is binary and induces a binary family on each face of $|S|$ let s be a simplex of S and let $U_1, \dots, U_k \in \mathcal{B}$ be such that $\text{cl}(U_1 \cap s) \cap \dots \cap \text{cl}(U_k \cap s) = \emptyset$. We can assume that $U_i = \varphi_q^{-1}(V_i) \cap H_i$, $i = 1, \dots, k$, $l, l \leq k$ and $U_j = \varphi_q^{-1}(V_j)$, $j = l+1, \dots, k$, where V_i belong to \mathcal{B}' and H_i are strata. Non-trivial is only the case when $p, q \in s$ and there exists H^x such that $H^x \subset \text{cl}H_1 \cap \dots \cap \text{cl}H_l$. By Lemma 6 we get

$$\text{cl}\varphi_q^{-1}(V_1) \cap \dots \cap \text{cl}\varphi_q^{-1}(V_k) \cap \text{cl}H_1 \cap \dots \cap \text{cl}H_l \cap s = \emptyset.$$

Using the equality $\varphi_q^{-1}(\varphi_q(s)) = s$ we have

$$\varphi_q^{-1}(\text{cl}V_1 \cap \dots \cap \text{cl}V_k \cap \varphi_q(s)) \cap \text{cl}H_1 \cap \dots \cap \text{cl}H_l = \emptyset.$$

The assumption $H^x \subset \text{cl}H_1 \cap \dots \cap \text{cl}H_l$ implies that $\text{cl}V_1 \cap \dots \cap \text{cl}V_k \cap \varphi_q(s) = \emptyset$. Since $\varphi_q(s)$ is a face of $|S(p)|$ and \mathcal{B}' induces a binary family on each face of $|S(p)|$ hence there exist V_i and V_j from $\{V_1, \dots, V_k\}$ such that $\text{cl}V_i \cap \text{cl}V_j \cap \varphi_q(s) = \emptyset$. Consequently, $\text{cl}U_i \cap \text{cl}U_j \cap s = \emptyset$, and all the more $\text{cl}(U_i \cap s) \cap \text{cl}(U_j \cap s) = \emptyset$.

In order to prove the condition (*) let U, V and s be such that $U, V \in \mathcal{B}$, $s \in S$ and the sets $\text{cl}U \cap \text{cl}V$, $\text{cl}U \cap s$, $\text{cl}V \cap s$ are non-empty. We can assume that p and q belong to s (other cases are trivial). Since U and V are in \mathcal{B} , hence $U = \varphi_q^{-1}(F) \cap E_1$ and $V = \varphi_q^{-1}(G) \cap E_2$, where F and G belong to \mathcal{B}' and E_1, E_2 are strata of $|S|$. Let, on the contrary, $\text{cl}U \cap \text{cl}V \cap s = \emptyset$. Using Lemma 6 and the formula $\varphi_q^{-1}(\varphi_q(s)) = s$ we get

$$\varphi_q^{-1}(\text{cl}F \cap \text{cl}G \cap \varphi_q(s)) \cap \text{cl}E_1 \cap \text{cl}E_2 = \emptyset.$$

Since $\text{cl}U \cap \text{cl}V \neq \emptyset$ hence there exists a hyperplane H^* which is contained in $\text{cl}E_1 \cap \text{cl}E_2$. But in this case $\text{cl}F \cap \text{cl}G \cap \varphi_q(s) = \emptyset$; a contradiction with the fact that $F, G \in \mathcal{B}'$ which fulfils (*).

The fact that \mathcal{B} consists of pseudopolyhedra follows immediately from Lemma 3.

§ 3. Further lemmas.

LEMMA 7. For every simplex $|S|$ and a positive δ there exist a finite number of points of $|S|$, say x_1, \dots, x_i , and open (in $|S|$) neighbourhoods of that points, say U_1, \dots, U_i , which cover $|S|$ and such that

- (1) U_i is a pseudopolyhedron non-tangent in S and $\text{diam } U_i < \delta$,
- (2) $\text{cl}U_i \subset \text{st}_S x_i$,
- (3) $\text{cl}U_i \cap \text{cl}U_j \neq \emptyset$ implies that $\text{car}_S x_i$ is a face of $\text{car}_S x_j$ or conversely,
- (4) $\{U_1, \dots, U_i\}$ is a binary family which induces a binary family on each face of S ,
- (5) if $s \in S$ and all the sets $\text{cl}U_i \cap \text{cl}U_j$, $\text{cl}U_i \cap s$ and $\text{cl}U_j \cap s$ are non-empty, then the set $\text{cl}U_i \cap \text{cl}U_j \cap s$ is so.

Proof. Let \mathcal{B} be a base constructed in Theorem 1. Let $\{x_1, \dots, x_{n_0}\}$ be the 0-skeleton of S . Since \mathcal{B} is a base hence there exist elements U_1, \dots, U_{n_0} of \mathcal{B} with disjoint closures satisfying the conditions (1)–(5) (the conditions (3)–(5) in vacuum).

Let $S^{(k)}$ be the k -skeleton of S . Let us assume that we have points $x_1, \dots, x_{n_0}, \dots, x_{n_k}$ in $|S^{(k)}|$ and sets $U_1, \dots, U_{n_0}, \dots, U_{n_k}$ from \mathcal{B} which satisfy conditions (1)–(5) and such that $|S^{(k)}| \subset U_1 \cup \dots \cup U_{n_k}$.

Now let s^{k+1} be a $(k+1)$ -simplex of $S^{(k+1)}$. The compactness of the set $D = s^{k+1} \setminus (U_1 \cup \dots \cup U_{n_k})$ implies that there exist points $x_{n_{k+1}}, \dots, x_p$ in D and sets $U_{n_{k+1}}, \dots, U_p$ in \mathcal{B} which cover D , which satisfy (1) and such that

$$x_i \in U_i \subset \text{cl}U_i \subset \text{st}_S x_i \quad \text{and} \quad \text{diam } U_i < \delta \quad \text{for all } i$$

and if a set V from $\{U_1, \dots, U_{n_k}\}$ is such that $\text{cl}V \cap s^{k+1} = \emptyset$, then $\text{cl}V \cap \text{cl}(U_{n_{k+1}} \cup \dots \cup U_p) = \emptyset$.

It is easy to see that the points $x_1, \dots, x_{n_k}, \dots, x_p$ and the sets $U_1, \dots, U_{n_k}, \dots, U_p$ satisfy conditions (1)–(5). Applying this construction successively to remaining $(k+1)$ -simplexes of $S^{(k+1)}$ we obtain, in finitely many steps, the points $x_1, \dots, x_{n_{k+1}}$ in $|S^{(k+1)}|$ and the sets $U_1, \dots, U_{n_{k+1}}$ in \mathcal{B} which satisfy conditions (1)–(5) and such that $|S^{(k+1)}| \subset U_1 \cup \dots \cup U_{n_{k+1}}$.

Now the lemma follows by the induction.

LEMMA 8. Let $|S|$ be a simplex and let $|P'|$ be a polyhedron such that $P' \subset S$. Let \mathcal{T} be a finite binary family of subpolyhedra of $|P'|$ such that

- (6) if $|V| \in \mathcal{T}$, then $V \subset P'$.

Let \mathcal{Q} be a binary family on $|S|$ constructed as in Lemma 7.

Then the intersections of elements of $\mathcal{Q} \cup \mathcal{T}$ with $|P'|$ form a binary family on $|P'|$ non-tangent in P' .

Proof. The fact that the family in question is non-tangent in P' holds, because \mathcal{Q} is non-tangent in P' and elements of \mathcal{T} are closed.

In order to prove the binaryity let $U_1, \dots, U_j \in \mathcal{Q}$ and $|V_1|, \dots, |V_k| \in \mathcal{T}$ be such that the closures of each two members of $\{U_1, \dots, U_j, |V_1|, \dots, |V_k|\}$ have non-empty intersection and each of $\text{cl}U_i$ has non-empty intersection with $|P'|$.

Let x_1, \dots, x_j be points corresponding to sets U_1, \dots, U_j as in Lemma 7.

It follows from the fact that $\text{cl}U_p \cap |V_q| \neq \emptyset$ and from (2) that $x_p \in |V_q|$, for each p and q . Hence

$$x_1, \dots, x_j \in |V_1| \cap \dots \cap |V_k| \cap |P'|.$$

It is easy to prove using (3), by the induction on j , that all the points x_i , $i = 1, \dots, j$, lie in one simplex s from $V_1 \cap \dots \cap V_k \cap P'$, s being the carrier of one of them. This, together with (5), imply that

$$\text{cl}U_1 \cap \dots \cap \text{cl}U_j \cap \text{cl}|V_1| \cap \dots \cap \text{cl}|V_k| \cap |P'| \neq \emptyset.$$

In the case of the lack of V - s the proof holds with obvious simplifications. In the case of the lack of U - s conclusion follows immediately from the hypotheses.

LEMMA 9. Let $|P|$ be a polyhedron, let \mathcal{R} be a finite binary family consisting of pseudopolyhedra non-tangent in P and let δ be a positive number.

Then there exists a finite open covering \mathcal{F} of $|P|$ consisting of open pseudopolyhedra such that $\text{mesh } \mathcal{F} < \delta$ and $\mathcal{F} \cup \mathcal{R}$ is a binary family non-tangent in P .

Proof. It follows from Lemma 2 that there exists a subdivision P' of P which induces a triangulation on each nonempty intersection of closure of elements of each subfamily of \mathcal{R} , the elements of \mathcal{R} are pseudopolyhedra. We can assume that $P' \subset S$, where $|S|$ is a simplex. Let $\mathcal{T} = \{\text{cl}A : A \in \mathcal{R}\}$. Define \mathcal{F} to be the family of all intersections of elements of \mathcal{Q} with $|P'|$, where \mathcal{Q} is a δ -covering taken for S according to Lemma 7. Lemma 8 assures that $\mathcal{F} \cup \mathcal{T}$ is binary. Thus $\mathcal{F} \cup \mathcal{R}$ is binary. The same Lemma 8 assures that $\mathcal{F} \cup \mathcal{R}$ is non-tangent in P .

LEMMA 10. Let $|P|$ be a polyhedron and let W_1, \dots, W_m be open in $|P|$ pseudopolyhedra non-tangent in P . Then there exists a simple subdivision $P^{(2)}$ of P of order 2 such that all W_1, \dots, W_m are non-tangent in $P^{(2)}$.

Proof. For each $s \in P$ we denote by $U(s)$ the set of all points of s having all the barycentric coordinates in s not smaller than $(\dim P + 1)^{-2}$. Now the thesis of our lemma may be stated as follows.

For each s of P there exists $p_s \in U(s)$ such that for each sequence

$$(7) \quad s_0 \not\subseteq s_1 \not\subseteq \dots \not\subseteq s_k \not\subseteq s$$

and for each face Δ of $(p_{s_0}, \dots, p_{s_k}, p_s)$, the simplex determined by vertices $p_{s_0}, \dots, p_{s_k}, p_s$, there is for each i , $i \leq k$

$$(8) \quad \text{cl}(\Delta \cap W_i) = \Delta \cap \text{cl}W_i.$$

The proof of our lemma will be done by the induction on $\dim s$.

If $\dim s = 0$, then p_s equals s and (8) follows easily from the assumption that W_i are non-tangent in P .

Let p_s be already defined for simplexes of the n -skeleton of P and let $s \in P$ be a $(n+1)$ -simplex. Now we are going to define p_s such that (8) holds for each Δ of each simplex $(p_{s_0}, \dots, p_{s_k}, p_s)$, where s_0, \dots, s_k satisfy (7).

Take on each polyhedron $\text{cl}(s \cap W_i)$ a triangulation T_i such that T_i induces on $s \cap \text{bd}W_i$ (bd stands for the topological boundary in $|P|$) a triangulation which we denote T'_i (the existence of such T'_i follows from Lemma 2). Note that $s \cap \text{bd}W_i$ is equal, in virtue of the non-tangence of W_i in P , to $\text{bd}_s(s \cap W_i)$ (bd_s stands for the topological boundary in s).

Consider all the hyperplanes in s with the dimension not greater than n determined by arbitrary families of simplexes of T'_1, \dots, T'_m and of points p_{s_0}, \dots, p_{s_k} already defined which satisfy (7). Let A be the union of all such hyperplanes. It follows from the fact that A is nowhere dense in s that there exists a point p_s such that $p_s \in U(s) - A$.

Let Δ be a face of $(p_{s_0}, \dots, p_{s_k}, p_s)$, where s_0, \dots, s_k be such that (7) holds. To prove (8), let $p \in \Delta \cap \text{cl}W_i$.

To prove that $p \in \text{cl}(\Delta \cap W_i)$ it suffices, in virtue of the inductive hypothesis, to consider only the case when $p \in \text{intgeom} s$, i.e. when $s = \text{car}_P p$. In consequence $p_s \in \text{car}_\Delta p$. Clearly we can assume that $p \in \text{bd}W_i \cap \text{bdgeom} \Delta$, W_i being non-tangent in P .

If M is a subset of $|P|$, then by $H(M)$ we denote the hyperplane determined by M .

Let $t' = \text{car}_{T'_i} p$ and let $t'' \in T_i$ be such that $t' \subset t''$ and $t'' \cap W_i \cap s \neq \emptyset$. Let $t = \text{intgeom} t''$. Clearly, $t \subset W_i \cap s$, $t' \subset \text{cl}t$ and $\dim H(t) > \dim H(t')$.

Let $p_{s'_0}, \dots, p_{s'_k}$ be all points from $\{p_{s_0}, \dots, p_{s_k}\}$ which lie in $\text{car}_\Delta p$. We have $H(\text{car}_\Delta p \cup t') = H(\{p_{s'_0}, \dots, p_{s'_k}\} \cup t')$, because p_s belongs to the set on the right side. Then, by the definition of p_s we infer that

$\dim H(\text{car}_\Delta p \cup t') = n+1$. This implies, in virtue of $p \in H(\text{car}_\Delta p) \cap H(t') \subset H(\text{car}_\Delta p) \cap H(t)$,

$$\dim(H(\text{car}_\Delta p)) + \dim H(t') = \dim(H(\text{car}_\Delta p) \cap H(t')) + n + 1,$$

$$\dim H(\text{car}_\Delta p) + \dim H(t) = \dim(H(\text{car}_\Delta p) \cap H(t)) + n + 1.$$

But $\dim H(t) > \dim H(t')$. So

$$\dim(H(\text{car}_\Delta p) \cap H(t)) > \dim(H(\text{car}_\Delta p) \cap H(t')).$$

Let

$$q \in H(\text{car}_\Delta p) \cap t \setminus H(\text{car}_\Delta p) \cap H(t').$$

Then the open interval (p, q) is contained in $H(\text{car}_\Delta p) \cap t$. So there exists an $r \in (p, q)$ such that $(p, r) \subset t \cap \Delta \subset W_i \cap \Delta$ and hence $p \in \text{cl}(W_i \cap \Delta)$ what ends the proof of the equality (8). Hence the inductive thesis is proved.

LEMMA 11. *Let \mathcal{R} be a finite family of open in $|P|$ pseudopolyhedra in a polyhedron $|P|$ non-tangent in P and let ε be a positive number. Then there exists a subdivision P' of P such that $\text{mesh} P' < \varepsilon$ and each element of \mathcal{R} is non-tangent in P' .*

Proof. By Lemma 10, there exists a simple subdivision $P^{(1)}$ of P of order 2 such that all elements of \mathcal{R} are non-tangent in $P^{(1)}$. By Lemma 1, $\text{mesh} P^{(1)} \leq (1 - (\dim P + 1)^{-2}) \cdot \text{mesh} P$. This implies that if we shall iterate the process described above, then we can find an r such that $P^{(r)}$ is a subdivision in question.

§ 4. Construction of a binary base on a compact metric space.

THEOREM 2. *Every compact metric space X has a binary base.*

Proof. We shall construct an inverse sequence of polyhedra $|P_n|$ (the metric \bar{d}_n on $|P_n|$ let be such that $\bar{d}_n(|P_n|) \leq 1$) with simplicial onto bonding maps π_n^m , whose inverse limit Y with the standard metric

$$\bar{d}(x, y) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n} \bar{d}_n(\pi_n(x), \pi_n(y)),$$

where π_n denotes the standard projection of Y onto P_n , is homeomorphic to X , and has a binary base $\mathcal{B} = \{\pi_n^{-1}(A) : A \in \mathcal{R}_n, n = 1, 2, \dots\}$, where \mathcal{R}_n is a certain finite open covering of $|P_n|$. To do this it suffices in the procedure of the proof of Freudenthal's theorem, [1] (on the existence of such an expansion without the existence of a binary base on Y) in the form of Pasyukov [5] (proof of Prop. 2, pp. 97-98; for μ being the class of all polyhedra; we assume that the technique of maps of X into nerves of open finite coverings of X is known to the reader), which

consists on the inductive construction of $|P_n|$ and π_{n-1}^n , to take into consideration the following two observations:

(1) if we have been already defined sequences $|P_1| \xleftarrow{\pi_1^2} |P_2| \xleftarrow{\pi_2^3} \dots \xleftarrow{\pi_{n-1}^n} |P_n|$, $\mathcal{R}_1, \dots, \mathcal{R}_n$ and $\delta_1, \dots, \delta_n$, such that, for $1 < i \leq n$,

(a) $|P_i|$ is a polyhedron such that $\text{diam } |P_i| \leq 1$ and π_{i-1}^i is a simplicial map of $|P_i|$ onto $|P_{i-1}|$, where P'_{i-1} is a certain subdivision of P_{i-1} ,

(b) \mathcal{R}_i is a finite family consisting of pseudopolyhedra open in $|P_i|$, non-tangent in P'_i for $i < n$ and in P_n for $i = n$, such that $\text{mesh } \mathcal{R}_i < \delta_i$, \mathcal{R}_i covers $|P_i|$ and $\mathcal{R}_i \cup \{(\pi_k^i)^{-1}(A_k) : A_k \in \mathcal{R}_k, k < i\}$ is a binary family,

(c) δ_i is a positive number such that if $A \subset |P_i|$ and $\text{diam } A < \delta_i$, then for each $j \leq i$, $\text{diam } \pi_j^i(A) < 1/2^j$, then for every positive number ε there exists a subdivision P'_n of P_n such that $\text{mesh } P'_n < \varepsilon$ and each element of $\mathcal{R}_n \cup \{(\pi_k^n)^{-1}(A_k) : A_k \in \mathcal{R}_k, k < n\}$ is non-tangent in P'_n , and

(2) if we have been already defined a simplicial map π_n^{n+1} of $|P_{n+1}|$ onto $|P'_n|$, where P'_n satisfies conditions from (1), then we can find

(e) a positive number δ_{n+1} such that if $A \subset |P_{n+1}|$ and $\text{diam } A < \delta_{n+1}$, then for each $j \leq n+1$ $\text{diam } \pi_j^{n+1}(A) < 1/2^{n+1}$, and

(f) an open (in $|P_{n+1}|$) covering \mathcal{R}_{n+1} of $|P_{n+1}|$ with $\text{mesh } \mathcal{R}_{n+1} \leq \delta_{n+1}$ consisting of pseudopolyhedra such that family

$$\mathcal{R}_{n+1} \cup \{(\pi_k^{n+1})^{-1}(A_k) : A_k \in \mathcal{R}_k, k < n+1\}$$

is binary and each element of that family is non-tangent in P_{n+1} .

In fact, (1) assures (see the note of Pasynkov loco cit.) the existence of polyhedron $|P_{n+1}|$ and a simplicial map $\pi_n^{n+1} : |P_{n+1}| \rightarrow |P'_n|$, where P'_n is as in (1) (for sufficiently small ε), such that they satisfy the conclusions of the Pasynkov's construction (in order to get a homeomorphism of X with the inverse limit of the inverse sequence $\{|P_n|; \pi_{n-1}^n\}$). In virtue of Lemma 4, the family $\{(\pi_k^{n+1})^{-1}(A_k) : A_k \in \mathcal{R}_k, k < n+1\}$ is non-tangent in P_{n+1} . Now take \mathcal{R}_{n+1} as in (2).

By the induction we have constructed an inverse sequence $\{|P_n|; \pi_{n-1}^n\}$ with inverse limit Y , a homeomorphism of X onto Y (by the procedure of Pasynkov loco cit.) and a sequence \mathcal{R}_k satisfying the conditions of (1) and (2).

Now, easy calculation with projections π_n and maps π_i^n leads, in virtue of (b), to the formula

$$\pi_n^{-1}(\text{cl } A_k) = \text{cl}(\pi_n^{-1}(A_k)) \quad \text{for } A_k \in \mathcal{R}_k, k \leq n.$$

Using this formula and applying (b) once again we infer that \mathcal{B} is a binary family on Y .

The fact that \mathcal{B} is a base follows easily from (b) and (c).

To complete the proof observe that the assertions (1) and (2) follows immediately from Lemma 11 and Lemma 9, respectively.

References

- [1] H. Freudenthal, *Entwicklungen von Räumen und ihren Gruppen*, Compositio Math. 4 (1936-7), pp. 145-234.
- [2] J. de Groot, *Superextensions and Supercompactness*, Proc. I Intern. Symp. on Extension Theory of Topological Structures and its Applications (VEB Deutschen Verlag der Wissenschaften, Berlin 1969), pp. 89-90.
- [3] S. Lefschetz, *Algebraic Topology*, 1942.
- [4] J. L. O'Connor, *Supercompactness of compact metric spaces*, Indag. Math. 32 (1970), pp. 30-34.
- [5] B. A. Пасынков, *Од универсальных дикомпактов*, Uspehi Mat. Nauk 21 (4) (1966), pp. 91-100.
- [6] J. W. Rogers, Jr., *Inducing approximations homotopic to maps between inverse limits*, Fund. Math. 78 (1973), pp. 281-289.
- [7] A. Szymański and M. Turzański, *Topological characterization of cubes and spheres* (to appear).

SILESIA UNIVERSITY, Katowice

Accepted par la Rédaction le 14. 2. 1974