COMPACT PARALLELIZABLE FOUR DIMENSIONAL SYMPLECTIC AND COMPLEX MANIFOLDS

MARISA FERNÁNDEZ, MARK J. GOTAY AND ALFRED GRAY

(Communicated by David G. Ebin)

ABSTRACT. Examples of compact symplectic manifolds with no complex and/or Kähler structures are presented.

1. Introduction. Many examples of compact symplectic manifolds that carry no positive definite Kähler metric are now known. Here we present some compact 4-dimensional manifolds that have symplectic structures but carry no *complex* structures. More generally we prove

THEOREM 1.1. Let \mathbf{E}^4 be a principal circle bundle over \mathbf{E}^3 , which in turn is a principal circle bundle over a torus \mathbf{T}^2 , so that the first Betti number of \mathbf{E}^4 satisfies $2 \leq b_1(\mathbf{E}^4) \leq 4$. Then

(i) if $b_1(\mathbf{E}^4) = 2$ then \mathbf{E}^4 has symplectic but no complex structures;

(ii) if $b_1(\mathbf{E}^4) = 3$ then \mathbf{E}^4 has both symplectic and complex structures but no positive definite Kähler metrics; however \mathbf{E}^4 carries indefinite Kähler metrics;

(iii) $b_1(\mathbf{E}^4) = 4$ if and only if \mathbf{E}^4 is a 4-torus \mathbf{T}^4 .

REMARKS. (1) Apparently the manifolds that occur in part (i) of Theorem 1.1 are the first examples of compact *symplectic* manifolds with no complex structures. Van de Ven [VdV], Yau [Ya] and Brotherton [Br] have given examples of compact 4-dimensional almost complex manifolds with no complex structures. Brotherton used Massey products to prove the nonexistence of complex structures on certain parallelizable 4-dimensional manifolds.

(2) Thurston [**Th**] has given an example of a compact symplectic manifold with no positive definite Kähler metric. (See also [**Ab**, **CFG**, **CFL**, **We1**].) In §3 we shall see that it is covered under part (ii) of Theorem 1.1. It is interesting to note that this example already occurs in the work of Kodaira [**Kod**, Theorem 19]. An explicit description of the Kodaira-Thurston example as a complex manifold is given in §3.

(3) The spaces \mathbf{E}^4 are all real parallelizable (but only \mathbf{T}^4 is complex parallelizable in the sense of Wang [Wa]). By a blowing up procedure one can construct *nonparallelizable* symplectic manifolds with no complex structure and/or positive definite Kähler metric [Go].

(4) Most of the manifolds considered in Theorem 1.1 have explicit matrix realizations as nilmanifolds [CM]. See also [PS], where it is proved that a compact manifold is a principal torus bundle over a torus if and only if it is a 2-step nilmanifold. The paper [BG] is also relevant.

©1988 American Mathematical Society 0002-9939/88 \$1.00 + \$.25 per page

1209

Received by the editors July 10, 1987.

¹⁹⁸⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification (1985 Revision). Primary 53C15; Secondary 53C55.

(5) As a corollary to part (ii) of Theorem 1.1, we observe that none of the complex structures mentioned there can be calibrated (in the sense of [Gro]) by a symplectic form, since otherwise the corresponding \mathbf{E}^4 would admit a positive definite Kähler metric.

(6) For a general discussion of symplectic manifolds constructed as fiber bundles see [We2].

We wish to thank Mike Hoffman, Dosa McDuff, Jonathan Rosenberg, David Simms and Alan Weinstein for several very useful discussions.

2. The topology of a principal circle bundle over a principal circle bundle over a torus. The classification of principal circle bundles is well known:

THEOREM 2.1 [Kob, p. 35, Kos, p. 133]. There is a one-to-one correspondence between equivalence classes of principal circle bundles over a manifold \mathbf{M} and the cohomology group $H^2(\mathbf{M}, \mathbf{Z})$. Furthermore, given an integral closed 2-form Φ on \mathbf{M} , there is a principal circle bundle $\pi : \mathbf{E} \to \mathbf{M}$ with connection form η such that Φ is the curvature of η (that is, $\pi^*(\Phi) = d\eta$).

Now let α and β be integral closed 1-forms on \mathbf{T}^2 such that α and β are everywhere linearly independent and the cohomology class $[\alpha \wedge \beta]$ generates $H^2(\mathbf{T}^2, \mathbf{Z})$. Theorem 2.1 implies that for every integer *n* there is a principal circle bundle $\mathbf{E}^3 \to \mathbf{T}^2$ corresponding to $n[\alpha \wedge \beta]$ and a connection form γ on \mathbf{E}^3 chosen so that the curvature of γ is $n\alpha \wedge \beta$. The real minimal model of \mathbf{E}^3 is thus

$$M(\mathbf{E}^3) = \{\alpha, \beta, \gamma \mid d\alpha = d\beta = 0, d\gamma = n\alpha \land \beta\}.$$

(We use the same notation for differential forms on base spaces and their pullbacks to total spaces.) Then $H^1(\mathbf{E}^3, \mathbf{R}) = \{[\alpha], [\beta]\}$ and $H^2(\mathbf{E}^3, \mathbf{R}) = \{[\alpha \land \gamma], [\beta \land \gamma]\}$ when $n \neq 0$.

If n = 0, \mathbf{E}^3 is a 3-torus; otherwise \mathbf{E}^3 can be realized as the compact quotient $\Gamma_n \setminus \mathbf{H}_n$ where \mathbf{H}_n is the Lie group of matrices of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & a & -c/n \\ 0 & 1 & b \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

and Γ_n is the subgroup of \mathbf{H}_n consisting of those matrices for which a, b and c are integers.

Principal circle bundles $\mathbf{E}^4 \to \mathbf{E}^3$ are classified by $H^2(\mathbf{E}^3, \mathbf{Z})$. When $n \neq 0$ the Gysin sequence yields $H^2(\mathbf{E}^3, \mathbf{Z}) = \mathbf{Z} \oplus \mathbf{Z} \oplus \mathbf{Z}_{|n|}$; thus for each pair of integers (p,q) there is a principal circle bundle corresponding to the class $p[\alpha \wedge \gamma] + q[\beta \wedge \gamma]$. Again we use Kobayashi's Theorem 2.1 to conclude that the connection form η of $\mathbf{E}^4 \to \mathbf{E}^3$ can be chosen so that its curvature form is precisely $p\alpha \wedge \gamma + q\beta \wedge \gamma$. It follows that when $n \neq 0$ the (real) minimal models of the \mathbf{E}^4 are given as follows:

$$M(\mathbf{E}^4) = \{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \eta \mid d\alpha = d\beta = 0, \ d\gamma = n\alpha \land \beta, d\eta = p\alpha \land \gamma + q\beta \land \gamma\}.$$

Clearly, $b_1(\mathbf{E}^4) = 3$ if p = q = 0 and $b_1(\mathbf{E}^4) = 2$ otherwise. The case n = 0 is similar: for each triple of integers (p, q, r) there is an \mathbf{E}^4 with

$$M(\mathbf{E}^{4}) = \{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \eta \mid d\alpha = d\beta = d\gamma = 0, d\eta = r\alpha \land \beta + p\alpha \land \gamma + q\beta \land \gamma\}.$$

Then $b_1(\mathbf{E}^4) = 4$ if r = p = q = 0 and $b_1(\mathbf{E}^4) = 3$ otherwise.

LEMMA 2.2. The minimal model $M(\mathbf{E}^4)$ is not formal if one of n, p, q, r is different from zero.

PROOF. It suffices to find nonzero Massey products. Suppose $n \neq 0$ (the proof when n = 0 is simpler). Then the cohomology classes $[\alpha \wedge \alpha]$ and $[\alpha \wedge n\beta]$ are both zero, so that the Massey product $\langle [\alpha], [\alpha], [n\beta] \rangle$ is well defined. By definition it is represented by $\alpha \wedge \gamma$. Now $[\alpha \wedge \gamma] \neq 0$ for \mathbf{E}^3 ; it is also nonzero in the cohomology of \mathbf{E}^4 except when $p \neq 0$, q = 0. But in this case the Massey product $\langle [\beta], [\beta], [n\alpha] \rangle$ is nonzero.

3. Proof of Theorem 1.1. First let us note that in all cases E^4 has many symplectic forms. For example

$$\Omega = (a\alpha + b\beta) \wedge \gamma + (e\alpha + f\beta) \wedge \eta$$

is closed if a, b, e, f are constants such that fp - eq = 0, and has maximal rank if $af - be \neq 0$.

PROOF OF (i). We use [Kod, Theorem 25]: A [complex] surface is a deformation of an algebraic surface if and only if its first Betti number is even. Suppose \mathbf{E}^4 with $b_1(\mathbf{E}^4) = 2$ had a complex structure. Then [Kod, Theorem 25] would imply that \mathbf{E}^4 would have a positive definite Kähler metric. But now a result of [DGMS] would imply that $M(\mathbf{E}^4)$ is formal, and this is impossible by Lemma 2.2.

REMARK. It is amusing to compare an \mathbf{E}^4 with $b_1(\mathbf{E}^4) = 2$ with the Kähler manifold $\mathbf{S}^2 \times \mathbf{T}^2$. Both are parallelizable and have the same Betti numbers. But \mathbf{E}^4 has nonzero Massey products while $\mathbf{S}^2 \times \mathbf{T}^2$ does not.

PROOF OF (ii). When $b_1(\mathbf{E}^4) = 3$ and $n \neq 0$ an explicit complex structure on \mathbf{E}^4 can be constructed as follows. Let X, Y, Z, T be the parallelization dual to $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \eta$; the only nonzero bracket is [X, Y] = -nZ. Now define an almost complex structure J on \mathbf{E}^4 by JX = Y, JZ = T. A direct calculation shows that the Nijenhuis tensor of J vanishes; consequently J is complex. A similar construction yields a complex structure on an \mathbf{E}^4 with n = 0.

None of these \mathbf{E}^4 can possess a positive definite Kähler metric since $b_1(\mathbf{E}^4)$ is odd. (There are also nonzero Massey products.) Nonetheless an indefinite Kähler metric ϕ for the complex structure J can be constructed as follows. Let Ω be a symplectic form which has type (1, 1) with respect to J; for example we can take $\Omega = \alpha \wedge \gamma + \beta \wedge \eta$. Then put $\phi(U, V) = \Omega(U, JV)$ for vector fields U, V on \mathbf{E}^4 .

In general suppose that Ω is Hermitian with respect to an almost complex structure J so that the metric ϕ is given by $\phi(x, y) = \Omega(x, Jy)$. For vector fields X, Y, Zwe have that

$$2\nabla_X(\Omega)(Y,Z) = d\Omega(X,Y,Z) - d\Omega(X,JY,JZ) - \phi(X,S(Y,JZ)),$$

where S denotes the Nijenhuis tensor of J [Gra, formula (4.8)]. It follows that if J is integrable and Ω is symplectic, then ϕ is Kählerian, but possibly indefinite.

REMARK. The Kodaira-Thurston example belongs to case (ii); explicitly it is $\Gamma_{-1} \setminus H_{-1} \times S^1$. As a complex manifold it has the following description. For each Gaussian integer n let

$$\mathbf{G}_{n} = \left\{ \left. \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \bar{z} & w/n \\ 0 & 1 & z \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right| z \text{ and } w \text{ are complex} \right\}.$$

 \mathbf{G}_n is a complex manifold and as a Lie group it is left holomorphic but not right holomorphic. Let Ψ_n be the subgroup of \mathbf{G}_n consisting of all those matrices whose elements are Gaussian integers. Then $\mathbf{E}^4 = \Psi_n \backslash \mathbf{G}_n$ is a nilmanifold and a complex manifold (but not a complex nilmanifold). The Kodaira-Thurston example is $\Psi_1 \backslash \mathbf{G}_1$.

The proof of (iii) is obvious.

REFERENCES

- [Ab] E. Abbena, An example of an almost Kähler manifold which is not Kählerian, Boll. Un. Mat. Ital. (6) 3-A (1984), 383-392.
- [BG] C. Benson and C. S. Gordon, Kähler and symplectic structures on nilmanifolds, preprint, 1986.
- [Br] N. Brotherton, Some parallelizable four manifolds not admitting a complex structure, Bull. London Math. Soc. 10 (1978), 303-304.
- [CFG] L. Cordero, M. Fernández and A. Gray, Symplectic manifolds without Kähler structure, Topology 25 (1986), 375-380.
- [CFL] L. Cordero, M. Fernández and M. de León, Examples of compact non-Kähler almost Kähler manifolds, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 95 (1985), 280-286.
- [CM] L. Cordero and B. Moreiras, On some compact four dimensional parallelizable nilmanifolds, Boll. Un. Mat. Ital. (7) 1-A (1987), 343-350.
- [DGMS] P. Deligne, P. Griffiths, J. Morgan and D. Sullivan, Real homotopy theory of Kähler manifolds, Invent. Math. 29 (1975), 245-274.
- [Go] M. J. Gotay, A class of non-polarizable symplectic manifolds, Monatsch. Math. 103 (1987), 27-30.
- [Gra] A. Gray, Minimal varieties and almost Hermitian submanifolds, Michigan Math. J. 12 (1965), 273-287.
- [Gro] M. Gromov, Pseudoholomorphic curves in symplectic manifolds, Invent. Math. 82 (1985), 307-347.
- [Kob] S. Kobayashi, Principal fibre bundles with the 1-dimensional toroidal group, Tôhoku Math. J.
 (2) 8 (1956), 29-45.
- [Kod] K. Kodaira, On the structure of compact complex analytic surfaces. I, Amer. J. Math. 86 (1964), 751–798.
- [Kos] B. Kostant, Quantization and unitary representations, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 170, Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1970, pp. 87-207.
- [PS] R. Palais and T. Stewart, Torus bundles over a torus, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 12 (1961), 26-29.
- [Th] W. P. Thurston, Some simple examples of symplectic manifolds, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 55 (1976), 467-468.
- [VdV] A. Van de Ven, On the Chern numbers of certain complex and almost complex manifolds, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 55 (1966), 1624–1627.
- [Wa] H. C. Wang, Complex parallelizable manifolds, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 5 (1954), 771-776.
- [We1] A. Weinstein, Lectures on symplectic manifolds, CBMS Regional Conf. Ser. in Math., no. 29, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R. I., 1977.
- [We2] ____, Fat bundles and symplectic manifolds, Adv. in Math. 37 (1980), 239-250.
- [Ya] S. T. Yau, Parallelizable manifolds without complex structure, Topology 15 (1976), 51-53.

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA APLICADA, FACULTAD DE CIENCIAS, UNIVERSIDAD DEL PAÍS VASCO, APARTADO 644, BILBAO, SPAIN

MATHEMATICS DEPARTMENT, UNITED STATES NAVAL ACADEMY, ANNAPOLIS, MARY-LAND 21402

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF MARYLAND, COLLEGE PARK, MARYLAND 20742

1212