COMPACT TOTALLY DISCONNECTED MOUFANG BUILDINGS

Theo Grundhöfer, Linus Kramer, Hendrik Van Maldeghem and Richard M. Weiss

(Received December 22, 2010, revised May 6, 2011)

Abstract. Let Δ be a spherical building each of whose irreducible components is infinite, has rank at least 2 and satisfies the Moufang condition. We show that Δ can be given the structure of a topological building that is compact and totally disconnected precisely when Δ is the building at infinity of a locally finite affine building.

1. Introduction. Generalizing earlier work by Kolmogorov and Pontryagin [22, 27], Salzmann [29], and Burns and Spatzier [7], Knarr and two of the present authors showed that an infinite compact (locally) connected building without factors of rank 1 whose topological automorphism group acts transitively on the chambers must be the building associated with the parabolic subgroups of a semisimple Lie group; see [14], [15], [23], [24, Ch. 7]. In particular, such a building is the spherical building at infinity of a unique Riemannian symmetric space of noncompact type. (See Section 9 for more details.)

The goal of this paper is to extend this result to *totally disconnected* compact spherical buildings. Our results are as follows. (All buildings in this paper are thick. By *Bruhat-Tits building*, we mean an irreducible affine building of dimension *l* at least 2 whose building at infinity satisfies the Moufang condition; see Definition 1.3, Conventions 1.5 and Section 5 below.)

THEOREM 1.1. Let Δ be an infinite irreducible spherical building of rank l at least 2. Suppose, furthermore, that Δ satisfies the Moufang condition if l = 2. Then the following hold.

(i) Δ can be given the structure of a totally disconnected compact building if and only if it is the building at infinity of a locally finite Bruhat-Tits building X of dimension l.

(ii) If Δ is the building at infinity of a locally finite affine building X of dimension l, then X is unique, the topology on Δ giving it the structure of a compact building is unique, this topology is uniquely determined by the canonical CAT(0) metric on X, the set of chambers of Δ is totally disconnected and, in fact, homeomorphic to the Cantor set, and the natural map from Iso(X) to Aut(Δ), each equipped with the compact-open topology, is an isomorphism of topological groups.

²⁰⁰⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 20E42; Secondary 20G25, 22F50, 51H99.

Key words and phrases. Moufang property, compact building, locally compact group.

This work was partially supported by the SFB 478 in Münster. The second and fouth authors are partially supported by DFG research grant KR 1668/7–1.

Combining this with the aforementioned results, we have the following.

THEOREM 1.2. Let Δ be a compact spherical building each of whose irreducible factors is infinite, has rank at least 2 and satisfies the Moufang condition. Then the following hold.

(i) Δ is the building at infinity of a product of irreducible Riemannian symmetric spaces of noncompact type and rank at least 2 and locally finite Bruhat-Tits buildings of dimension at least 2.

(ii) The compact topology of Δ is unique up to conjugation by field automorphisms on the irreducible Riemannian symmetric factors belonging to complex simple Lie groups.

(iii) If none of the Riemannian symmetric irreducible factors belongs to a complex simple Lie group, then the compact topology of Δ is unique and every abstract automorphism is continuous.

DEFINITION 1.3. Let Δ an irreducible spherical building of rank at least 2. For each root α of each apartment, we denote by U_{α} the corresponding root group. This is the subgroup of Aut(Δ) consisting of all elements acting trivially on all panels containing two chambers in α . The building Δ satisfies the Moufang condition (equivalently, Δ is Moufang) if for each root α of each apartment, the root group U_{α} acts transitively on the set of all apartments of Δ that contain α . See [43, 11.2].

If Δ is an irreducible spherical building, then by [34] and [43, 11.6], Δ is automatically Moufang if its rank *l* is at least 3. The hypothesis in Theorem 1.1 that Δ is Moufang if l = 2is, however, essential. In [13], for example, it is shown that compact totally disconnected projective planes exist that do not have a continuous epimorphism to a finite projective plane and hence cannot be the building at infinity of a locally finite affine building.

The following question is presently open. In the (locally) connected case the answer is in affirmative in both cases by [7] and [14, 15].

QUESTION 1.4. Let Δ be an irreducible totally disconnected compact building of rank at least 2. If the topological automorphism group Auttop(Δ) acts chamber transitively or even strongly transitively on Δ , is Δ necessarily Moufang?

Bruhat-Tits buildings of dimension $l \ge 2$ were classified by Bruhat and Tits in [6] and [36]. Tables describing the thirty-five families of locally finite Bruhat-Tits buildings can be found in [45, Chapter 28]. Apart from the three families involving inseparable extensions in characteristic 2 and 3 in [45, Table 28.4], they are precisely the affine buildings associated with absolutely simple algebraic groups of *k*-rank *l*, where *k* is a commutative local field in the sense of Definition 3.5 below. These algebraic groups had been classified earlier in [35] (for arbitrary *l*).

CONVENTIONS 1.5. All topological spaces in this paper are assumed to be Hausdorff, unless stated otherwise. In a metric space X we denote balls as

$$B_r(x) = \{y \in X; d(x, y) < r\}$$
 and $B_r(x) = \{y \in X; d(x, y) \le r\}$

All buildings are assumed to be thick (as defined in [43, 1.6]). When we say that Δ is the "building at infinity of an affine building X" in Theorem 1.1 or elsewhere in this paper, we mean that Δ is the "building at infinity of X with respect to the complete system of apartments" as defined in [45, 8.5 and 8.25].

After preparing the ground in Sections 2 through 8, we prove Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 in Section 9. We also call attention to Theorem 4.1 below.

2. Locally compact groups. To get started, we assemble a few well known facts about locally compact groups. Recall that a locally compact space is σ -compact if it is a countable union of compact subsets. Every second countable locally compact space is σ -compact.

THEOREM 2.1. Let G be a locally compact σ -compact group and let X be a locally compact space. Suppose that $G \times X \to X$ is a continuous and transitive action. Then the action induces a homeomorphism from the quotient space G/H to X, where H is the stabilizer of a point $x \in X$.

PROOF. See [33, 10.10] or [30, 96.8].

From 2.1, we obtain the "Open Mapping Theorem":

COROLLARY 2.2. Let $f : G \to H$ be a continuous epimorphism of locally compact groups. If G is σ -compact, then f is an open map. In particular, f is a topological isomorphism if f is continuous and bijective.

PROOF. The map $G \to G/\ker(f)$ is open and G acts via f on H by left multiplication. By 2.1 this action induces a homeomorphism $G/\ker(f) \to H$.

We could not find a reference for the following standard fact.

THEOREM 2.3. Let (X, d) be a proper metric space, i.e., all closed balls in X are compact. Then the isometry group Iso(X), endowed with the compact-open topology, is a locally compact second countable transformation group. The stabilizer $H \subseteq Iso(X)$ of any point $x \in X$ is compact. If H/H_n is finite for all $n \in N$, where H_n is the pointwise stabilizer of the closed ball $\overline{B}_n(x)$, then H is totally disconnected.

PROOF. Since X is a countable union of second-countable open sets [8, XI.4.1], X is second countable. Therefore the space C(X, X) of all continuous maps from X to X is second countable in the compact-open topology; see [8, XII.5.2]. Thus we may use sequences to check continuity. By [8, XII.7.2], the compact-open topology coincides with the topology of uniform convergence on compact sets. We note also that Iso(X) is closed in C(X, X).

We show first that Iso(X) is a topological group. It is true in general that C(X, X) is a topological semigroup (by [8, XII.2.2]), so we only have to check the continuity of inversion. Suppose that $(g_n)_{n \in N}$ converges in Iso(X) to g. We have $d(g_n(z), g(z)) = d(z, g_n^{-1}g(z))$ for each n. Substituting y = g(z), this becomes $d(g_n(z), g(z)) = d(g^{-1}(y), g_n^{-1}(y))$. Thus if $Y \subseteq X$ is compact, then $(g_n^{-1})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges on Y uniformly to g^{-1} because $(g_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges on $g^{-1}(Y)$ uniformly to g.

Now we show that some neighborhood of the identity of Iso(X) is compact. Let $x \in X$ be any point and put $L = \{g \in Iso(X); d(x, g(x)) < 1\}$. This is an open neighborhood of the identity in Iso(X) because the evaluation map $g \mapsto g(x)$ is continuous (by [8, XII2.4]). Since L consists of isometries, L is equicontinuous. Let $y \in X$ be arbitrary and put r = d(x, y). Then L(y) is contained in the compact ball $\overline{B}_{r+1}(x)$. Therefore L(y) has a compact closure. By Arzela-Ascoli (see [8, XII.6.4]), L has a compact closure \overline{L} in Iso(X).

Since $H \subseteq \overline{L}$ is closed, *H* is compact. If H/H_n is finite for all *n*, then *H* injects into the compact totally disconnected space

$$\prod_{n \in N} H/H_n$$

and is therefore totally disconnected.

3. Locally compact fields. In this section we assemble classification results for locally compact nondiscrete fields, skew fields and octonion division algebras.

PROPOSITION 3.1. Let F be an infinite nondiscrete locally compact field. Then the following hold.

(i) If char(F) > 0, then F is isomorphic to the field of formal Laurent series $F_q((t))$ for some q, where F_q is the field of q elements.

(ii) If char(F) = 0, then either $F = \mathbf{R}$ or \mathbf{C} or F is a finite extension of the *p*-adic field \mathbf{Q}_p for some prime *p*.

PROOF. This holds by [42, Chapter 1, Theorems 5 and 8]. \Box

PROPOSITION 3.2. If F is an infinite nondiscrete locally compact field of characteristic p > 0, then F/F^p is an extension of degree p.

PROOF. If $F = F_q((t))$ for some power q of p, then $F^p = F_q((t^p))$. The claim holds, therefore, by 3.1(i).

PROPOSITION 3.3. Let F be an noncommutative nondiscrete locally compact skew field. Then F is a cyclic algebra over a locally compact field, i.e., over one of the fields in Proposition 3.1 other than C.

PROOF. See [31, 58.11].

PROPOSITION 3.4. Let F be a nondiscrete locally compact field or skew field. Then the locally compact topology on F is unique except when F = C, in which case the locally compact topology is unique up to field automorphisms.

PROOF. See the references at the bottom of [31, p. 332].

DEFINITION 3.5. We will say that F is a *local field* if it is a field or a skew field complete with respect to a discrete valuation whose residue field is finite.

COMPACT TOTALLY DISCONNECTED MOUFANG BUILDINGS

PROPOSITION 3.6. Local fields, as defined in Definition 3.5, are precisely the fields and the skew fields that appear in Propositions 3.1 and 3.3 other than those whose center is \mathbf{R} or \mathbf{C} . Furthermore, the discrete valuation of a local field is unique.

PROOF. By [41, Theorem 21.6], a local field is a locally compact field with respect to the topology induced by its valuation. By [32, Section II, Corollary 2 to Proposition 3] and [38, Corollary 2.2], the fields and the skew fields that appear in Propositions 3.1 and 3.3 (other than those with center \mathbf{R} or \mathbf{C}) are, conversely, local fields. The uniqueness of the discrete valuation of a local field holds by [31, 56.13] or [45, 23.15].

REMARK 3.7. Let F be a local skew field. Since F and F^{opp} have the same valuation, it follows by Propositions 3.4 and 3.6 that they have the same topology as locally compact fields.

PROPOSITION 3.8. Let F be a nonassociative alternative division algebra. Then F is an octonion division algebra over some infinite field k. If F is locally compact and nondiscrete, then F is topologically isomorphic to the real octonion division algebra O. In particular, the locally compact topology on F is unique and F is connected.

PROOF. The first assertion was first proved in [5] and [21]; see [37, 20.2–20.3] for another proof. Therefore k is infinite by, for example, [37, 9.9(v)]. Now suppose that F is locally compact and nondiscrete. Since the center k of F is closed, it is locally compact. By [40, Corollary 1 on p. 465], k is nondiscrete. Hence by [45, 28.4(i)] and 3.1, k must be **R** or C. By [37, 20.9], k cannot be C. Thus $k = \mathbf{R}$. By [37, 9.4 and 9.7], there is precisely one quaternion division algebra **H** over **R**. Let N denote its reduced norm. Since $N(\mathbf{H}) = \{\alpha \in \mathbf{R}; \alpha \ge 0\}$, it follows from [37, 9.9(v)] that there is precisely one octonion division algebra O over **R**. (The uniqueness of **H** and O was, in fact, first proved by Frobenius.) Since O is finite-dimensional over its center, an isomorphism from O to F must, in fact, be a topological isomorphism (by [31, 58.6(i)]).

4. The rank 1 case: compact projective lines. Let *F* be field, a skew field or an alternative division algebra. Let $P = F \cup \{\infty\}$ and let *G* denote the permutation group on *P* generated by the maps $\tau_a : x \mapsto a + x$ for all $a \in F$ and the involution $i : x \mapsto -x^{-1}$ with the usual conventions that $0^{-1} = \infty$, $\infty^{-1} = 0$, $-\infty = \infty$ and $a + \infty = \infty$ for each $a \in F$. We call the pair (*G*, *P*) the *projective line over F* and denote it by \hat{F} . We call $\hat{F} = (G, P)$ a *compact projective line* if *F* is infinite, *P* carries a compact topology and *G* acts as a group of homeomorphisms on *P*.

The following, combined with Proposition 3.8, generalizes the main result of [12].

THEOREM 4.1. Let F be a field, a skew field or an alternative division ring and suppose that $\hat{F} = (G, P)$ is a compact projective line. Let $F \subseteq P$ be endowed with the subset topology. Then F is a locally compact, non-discrete and σ -compact field, skew field or alternative division ring.

PROOF. We divide the proof into several steps. We note that P is topologically just the one-point compactification of F.

STEP 1. The additive group (F, +) is a locally compact topological group. Moreover, the extended addition $F \times P \rightarrow P$ is continuous.

PROOF. See [41, Theorem 9.4] and its proof.

STEP 2. Both inversion and the map $(x, y) \mapsto xyx$ from $F \times F$ to F are continuous.

PROOF. We start with Hua's identity:

$$xyx = (x^{-1} - (x + y^{-1})^{-1})^{-1} - x$$

for all $x, y \in F$ such that $xy \neq 0, -1$. (When *F* is an octonion division algebra, then by [37, 20.22], the subring generated by any two elements of *F* is associative. Thus Hua's identity holds also in this case.) The map $x \mapsto -x$ is a homeomorphism of *F*, so it extends continuously to its one-point compactification *P*. Since the map $i : x \mapsto -x^{-1}$ is continuous, it follows that the extended inversion $x \mapsto x^{-1}$ from *P* to *P* is also continuous. It remains only to check that with these extended maps, Hua's identity holds also when xy = 0 or xy = -1.

STEP 3. The map $x \mapsto x^2$ is continuous on P, where $\infty^2 = \infty$.

PROOF. On $F = P \setminus \{\infty\}$ we have $x^2 = x \cdot 1 \cdot x$ and on $P \setminus \{0\}$ we have $x^2 = -i(i(x)^2)$. The claim holds, therefore, by Step 2.

STEP 4. *F* is σ -compact and not discrete.

PROOF. The compact set *P* is infinite and homogeneous. It follows that *F* is not discrete and there exists a countably infinite set $C = \{c_n; n \ge 1\} \subseteq F \setminus \{0\}$ that has 0 in its closure. Let *K* be a compact neighborhood of 0. The map $\phi : (x, y) \mapsto xyx$ is continuous. For $z \in F$ we have by continuity $0 \in \phi(\overline{C} \times \{z\}) \subseteq \overline{\phi(C \times \{z\})}$. Therefore, $c_n z c_n \in K$ for some *n*. It follows that

$$F = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} c_n^{-1} K c_n^{-1} \,.$$

Thus *F* is σ -compact.

From now on, we let k denote the center of F.

STEP 5. If char(F) $\neq 2$, then the map $x \mapsto 2x$ from F to itself is a homeomorphism and if char(F) = 2, then k is a closed subset of F and the Frobenius map from k to k^2 is a homeomorphism.

PROOF. If char(F) $\neq 2$, then the map $x \mapsto 2x$ is continuous (by Step 1) and bijective, hence (by Step 4 and Corollary 2.2) a homeomorphism. Suppose that char(F) = 2. In this case, $k = \{x \in F; (x + y)^2 = x^2 + y^2 \text{ for all } y \in F\}$. By Steps 1 and 3, it follows that kis closed in F. By Step 3, the map $x \mapsto x^2$ from $k \cup \{\infty\}$ to $k^2 \cup \{\infty\}$ is continuous. Since

338

the set $k \cup \{\infty\}$ is the one-point compactification of the closed subset $k \subseteq F$, this map is a homeomorphism. Thus the Frobenius map from k to k^2 is a homeomorphism. \Box

By Steps 1 and 2, addition and inversion in F are continuous maps. To conclude that F is a topological field (or skew field or alternative division ring), it thus remains only to show that multiplication is also continuous.

STEP 6. If F = k is a field, then multiplication is continuous.

PROOF. If char(k) \neq 2, then by Steps 1 and 3, the quantity

$$2xy = (x+y)^2 - x^2 - y^2$$

depends continuously on x, y, so by Step 5, the map $(x, y) \mapsto xy$ is continuous. If char(k) = 2, then by Step 4, the inverse s of the Frobenius map $x \mapsto x^2$ is continuous, so by Steps 2 and 3, the map $(x, y) \mapsto s(xy^2x) = xy$ is continuous.

STEP 7. If F is a skew field, then multiplication is continuous.

PROOF. We put $\lambda_c(x) = cx$ for all $c, x \in F$ and $[a, b] = a^{-1}b^{-1}ab$ for all $a, b \in F^* := F \setminus \{0\}$. Then

$$a^{-1}(b^{-1}(ab)x(ab)b^{-1})a^{-1} = [a, b]x = \lambda_{[a,b]}(x)$$

for all $a, b \in F^*$. By Step 2, the maps λ_c are thus continuous for all multiplicative commutators $c \in [F^*, F^*]$. By Step 6, we can assume that F is not commutative. Let a, b be non-commuting elements of F. Then $a = (1 - [a - 1, b])([a, b] - [a - 1, b])^{-1}$. By Corollary 2.2, the continuous automorphism

$$\lambda_{[a,b]-[a-1,b]} = \lambda_{[a,b]} - \lambda_{[a-1,b]}$$

of (F, +) is a homeomorphism and therefore

$$\lambda_a = \lambda_{1-[a-1,b]} \circ \lambda_{[a,b]-[a-1,b]}^{-1}$$

is continuous on *F*. Since *a* is an arbitrary non-central element and every element in *F* is a sum of two non-central elements, we conclude that the maps λ_c are continuous for all $c \in F$. Similarly, the maps $x \mapsto xc$ are continuous for all $c \in F$. By [41, Theorem 11.17], it follows that the map $(x, y) \mapsto xy$ is continuous.

STEP 8. If F is a nonassociative alternative division algebra, then multiplication is continuous.

PROOF. By Proposition 3.8, *F* is an octonion division algebra and *k* is infinite. By [37, 20.8 and 20.22], every subalgebra of *F* generated by two elements is contained in an associative division ring. Let $j_y(x) = yxy$ for all $x, y \in F$. We claim that *k* is closed in *F*. By Step 5, we can assume that char(k) \neq 2. We will show that in this case,

(4.2)
$$k = \{a \in F; j_a(j_x(y)) = j_x(j_a(y)) \text{ for all } x, y \in F\}.$$

By Step 2, this will suffice to prove our claim. Let $a \neq 0$ belong to the set on the right-hand side of (4.2). Then

for all $x, y \in F$. Setting $y = a^{-1}$ in (4.3) yields $a(xa^{-1}x)a = xax$. Hence $a^{-1}xa$ commutes with x for all $x \in F$. Fix $x \in F \setminus \{k\}$ and let B denote the subalgebra of F generated by x. By [37, 20.9], B is a subfield of F and B/k is a quadratic extension. By [37, 20.20, 20.21], it follows that the centralizer of B in F is B itself. Thus $a^{-1}Ba = B$. Hence conjugation by a induces a k-automorphism of order at most 2 on B and therefore a^2 centralizes x. Since xis arbitrary, it follows that $a^2 \in k$. Setting y = 1 in (4.3), we therefore have $ax^2a = xa^2x = x^2a^2$ for all $x \in F$. Thus a commutes with x^2 and hence with $x = ((x + 1)^2 - x^2 - 1)/2$ for all $x \in F$, so $a \in k$. Therefore (4.2) holds. We conclude that k is closed (in all characteristics) as claimed.

By Step 6, k is locally compact and by Step 4, k is σ -compact. We have

$$2xa = ((x + 1)^2 - x^2 - 1)a$$

= $j_{x+1}(a) - j_x(a) - a$

for all $x \in k$ and $a \in F$. Thus if char $(k) \neq 2$, then by Steps 1, 2 and 5, the map $(x, a) \mapsto xa$ from $k \times F$ to F is continuous and therefore F is a topological vector space over k. Suppose that char(k) = 2 and let s denote the inverse of the Frobenius map from k to k^2 . Since $xa = j_{s(x)}(a)$ for all $x \in k^2$ and all $a \in F$, it follows from Steps 2 and 5 that F is a topological vector space over k^2 . By Proposition 3.1(i), dim $_{k^2} k = 2$ and hence dim $_{k^2} F = 16$ if char(k) = 2. By Step 5, k^2 is homeomorphic to k and hence also locally compact and σ compact. We conclude that F is a locally compact topological vector space of finite dimension m (equal to 8 or 16) over a locally compact and σ -compact field L (equal to k or k^2) in all characteristics.

Let v_1, \ldots, v_m be a basis for F. The map $L^m \to F$ that sends (a_1, \ldots, a_m) to $a_1v_1 + \cdots + a_mv_m$ is a continuous bijective homomorphism. Since L is locally compact and σ compact, so is L^m . Hence this map is a homeomorphism by Corollary 2.2. We conclude that
multiplication in F is continuous (since it is bilinear over L).

With this last step, the proof of Theorem 4.1 is complete.

REMARKS 4.4. We note that another proof of Theorem 4.1 can be derived from [26, Satz 1.2]. If we add "totally disconnected" to the hypotheses of Theorem 4.1, then by Proposition 3.8, we can add "F is associative" to the conclusions.

5. Buildings. In this section, we briefly record some basic notions and facts for buildings which can be found in [1], [4], [28], [34] and [43]. We view buildings as simplicial complexes.

Simplicial complexes. Let V be a set and S a collection of finite subsets of V. If $\bigcup S = V$ and if S is closed under going down (i.e., $a \subseteq b \in S$ implies $a \in S$), then the poset

 (S, \subseteq) is called a *simplicial complex*. More generally, any poset isomorphic to such a poset *S* will be called a simplicial complex. The *join S* * *T* of two simplicial complexes *S*, *T* is the product poset; it is again a simplicial complex. The automorphism group of a simplicial complex is the group of all its poset automorphisms.

Coxeter groups and buildings. Let (W, I) be a Coxeter system. Thus W is a group with a (finite) generating set I consisting of involutions and a presentation of the form $W = \langle I; (ij)^{\operatorname{ord}(ij)} = 1$ for all $i, j \in I \rangle$, where the relation $(ij)^{\operatorname{ord}(ij)} = 1$ is to be ignored whenever $\operatorname{ord}(ij) = \infty$. For a subset $J \subseteq I$ we put $W_J = \langle J \rangle$. Then (W_J, J) is again a Coxeter system. The poset $\Sigma = \bigcup \{W/W_J; J \subseteq I\}$, ordered by reversed inclusion, is a simplicial complex, the *Coxeter complex* $\Sigma = \Sigma(W, I)$. The *type* of a simplex wW_J is $t(wW_J) = I \setminus J$. The type function may be viewed as a non-degenerate simplicial epimorphism from Σ to the power set 2^I of I viewed as a simplicial complex. We refer to [20] for more details on Coxeter groups.

A (thick) *building* Δ is a simplicial complex together with a collection of subcomplexes called apartments which are isomorphic to a fixed Coxeter complex Σ . The apartments have to satisfy the following two conditions.

(B1) For any two simplices $a, b \in \Delta$, there is an apartment A containing a, b.

(B2) If $A, A' \subseteq \Delta$ are apartments containing the simplices a, b, then there is a (type preserving) isomorphism $A \to A'$ fixing a and b.

(B3) Every non-maximal simplex is contained in at least three maximal simplices. The property (B3) is called *thickness*. Sometimes this axiom is omitted, but for our purposes it is convenient to assume that buildings are thick. Non-thick buildings can in a certain way be reduced to joins of thick buildings and spheres. An automorphism of a building is just a simplicial automorphism.

The join of two buildings is again a building. Conversely, a building decomposes as a join if its Coxeter group is decomposable, i.e., if $I \subseteq W$ decomposes into two subsets which centralize each other; see [28, 3.10]. A building is called *irreducible* if its Coxeter group is not decomposable.

The type functions of the apartments are pairwise compatible and extend to a nondegenerate simplicial epimorphism $t: \Delta \to 2^I$. The cardinality of I is the *rank* of the building, so

$$\operatorname{rank}(\Delta) = \operatorname{dim}(\Delta) + 1$$
.

The automorphisms of a building that preserve the type function are called *special automorphisms*. A building of rank 1 is just a set (of cardinality at least 3 since we are assuming buildings to be thick), where the apartments are the two-element subsets.

Chambers and galleries. The maximal simplices in a building are called *chambers*. The *chamber graph* of Δ is the undirected graph whose vertices are the chambers of Δ . Two chambers are *adjacent* if they have a codimension 1 simplex in common. A *gallery* is a simplicial path in the chamber graph, and a nonstammering gallery is a path where consecutive chambers are always distinct. The chamber graph of a building is always connected. A *minimal gallery* is a shortest path in the chamber graph.

The distance function. Let $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, \ldots, i_m)$ be a sequence in I^m . A gallery of type \mathbf{i} is a gallery (c_0, c_1, \ldots, c_m) where $c_{k-1} \cap c_k$ contains a simplex of type $I \setminus \{i_k\}$. If (c_0, c_1, \ldots, c_m) is a minimal gallery of type \mathbf{i} , then the product $w = i_1 \cdots i_m \in W$ is independent of the chosen gallery (i.e., the types of all minimal galleries yield the same element $w \in W$). The *W*-valued distance δ is defined by $\delta(c_0, c_m) = w$. Thus

$$\delta(a, b) = \delta(b, a)^{-1}$$

for all chambers $a, b \in \Delta$ and $\delta(a, b) = 1$ if and only if a = b.

Residues and panels. Let $a \in \Delta$ be a simplex of type J. The *residue* of a is the poset Res(a) consisting of all simplices containing a; this poset is again a building, whose Coxeter complex is modeled on $W_{I\setminus J}$ [34, 3.12]. If a is a simplex of codimension 1 and type $I \setminus \{j\}$, then Res(a) is called a *j*-panel.

Spherical buildings. A Coxeter complex Σ is called *spherical* if it is finite and a building is called *spherical* if its apartments are finite.

6. Compact buildings.

DEFINITION 6.1. Let Δ be a spherical building with Coxeter system (W, I). We call Δ a *compact spherical building* if for each *i* the set V_i of vertices of type *i* carries a compact topology such that the set of chambers is closed in the product $\prod_{i \in I} V_i$, where we view a chamber as an *I*-tuple of vertices. It is easy to see that this agrees with the definition of a compact spherical building given in [7, 1.1]. In the rank 2 case it also agrees with the definition of a compact generalized polygon; see [14], [16], [23]. We say that Δ is connected, totally disconnected, etc., if the set of chambers is connected, totally disconnected, etc.

Our first observation is that compact spherical buildings arise from transitive actions of compact groups on spherical buildings.

LEMMA 6.2. Let Δ be a spherical building and suppose that a group K acts as a chamber transitive automorphism group. Let $\{v_i; i \in I\}$ denote the vertices of a fixed chamber c. If K is a compact group and if the stabilizers K_{v_i} are closed in K, then Δ is a compact building if we endow the set of *i*-vertices with the compact topology of K/K_i . If K is totally disconnected, then Δ is totally disconnected.

PROOF. The group $K_c = \bigcap \{K_{v_i}; i \in I\}$ is closed and the natural map

$$K/K_c \to \prod_{i \in I} K/K_{v_i}$$

is continuous onto the set of chambers. Since K/K_c is compact, the set of chambers is compact and therefore closed. If K is totally disconnected, then each coset space K/K_v is also totally disconnected; see [18, 7.11].

PROPOSITION 6.3. Let G be a noncompact simple real or complex Lie group and let Δ denote the spherical building associated to the canonical Tits system of G; see [39, p. 68]. Then Δ carries in a natural way a compact topology which turns Δ into a compact building.

Let $K \subseteq G$ be a maximal compact subgroup and let X = G/K denote the associated Riemannian symmetric space of noncompact type. Then Δ can be identified with the spherical building at infinity of X. The compact topology on Δ coincides with the topology induced on Δ by the cone topology on ∂X . See [3, II.8] for the latter.

PROOF. We refer to [39] and [9] for the following facts. Let G = KAU be an Iwasawa decomposition of G; see [17, Section IX.1]. Note that we call the nilpotent part U instead of N since N has a different meaning for Tits systems. Let $K_0 = \text{Cen}_K(A)$. Then K_0 is the reductive anisotropic kernel of G and $B = K_0AU$ is a minimal parabolic subgroup. Let $N = \text{Nor}_G(K_0A)$. Then (B, N) constitutes a Tits system for G, of rank dim A. The parabolics of this Tits system are closed subgroups. The group K acts transitively on the chambers of the corresponding spherical building Δ , since G = KB. Because the parabolics are closed in G, the vertex stabilizers in K are also closed. By Lemma 6.2, Δ is a compact building and G acts continuously. Let x = K denote the unique fixed point of K in G/K. Then K acts continuously on the compact space $X \cup \partial X$; see [3, II.8.8]. Recall that each boundary point in ∂X is represented by a geodesic ray starting at x [3, II.8.2]. Let ξ be such a geodesic ray starting at x. Since K is compact, the K-orbit of ξ is homeomorphic to the coset K/K_{ξ} . The G-stabilizer of $\xi(\infty)$ is a parabolic P (see [9, 2.17]) and therefore we have a K-equivariant homeomorphism $G/P \cong K/K_{\xi}$.

In a similar way, we have the following result for Bruhat-Tits buildings. Recall that by 'Bruhat-Tits building,' we mean an irreducible affine building whose spherical building at infinity is Moufang.

PROPOSITION 6.4. Let X denote a locally finite Bruhat-Tits building. Then Aut(X) is a totally disconnected locally compact group. The spherical building at infinity, Δ , is in a natural way a totally disconnected compact building on which Aut(X) acts continuously. The compact topology on Δ coincides with the topology induced on Δ by the cone topology on ∂X with respect to the canonical CAT(0) metric on X.

PROOF. We endow the geometric realization of X with the unique metric which extends the W-invariant euclidean metric on the apartments. In this way, X becomes a CAT(0) space; see [3, II.10A.4] and [6, 3.2]. Moreover, each closed ball in X is contained in a finite subcomplex and is therefore compact. It follows from Theorem 2.3 that the isometry group Iso(X) is locally compact and the stabilizer of any vertex $x \in X$ is compact. Moreover, the isometry group Iso(X) coincides with the combinatorial automorphism group Aut(X); here note that because X is thick, every isometry is a simplicial automorphism. For every $n \ge 1$, the closed ball $\overline{B}_n(x)$ is contained in a finite metric simplicial subcomplex of X. Therefore Iso(X) induces a finite isometry group on $\overline{B}_n(x)$. By Theorem 2.3, Iso(X)_x is totally disconnected. We claim that Iso(X) is also totally disconnected. If $Z \subseteq Iso(X)$ is a connected subset, then Z intersects every stabilizer trivially. If x is a simplicial vertex, then the orbit Z.x is a connected set of vertices. But simplicial vertices are isolated in X. Therefore $Z.x = \{y\}$ consists of a single element, and so does Z. Now let x be a special vertex. By Proposition 7.9(iv) below, the compact group $K = Iso(X)_x$ acts transitively on the chambers of the spherical building at infinity. The rest of the proof is completely analogous to the previous proof. The *K*-stabilizer of each geodesic ray ξ starting in x is closed. By Lemma 6.2, the spherical building at infinity is a totally disconnected compact building.

Suppose now that Δ is a compact building over I and let C denote its set of chambers. For each sequence $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, \ldots, i_m) \in I^m$, we have the set of all (possibly stammering) galleries of type \mathbf{i} . This is clearly a closed, whence compact, subset of C^{m+1} . The following observations are immediate consequences.

LEMMA 6.5. Let Δ be a compact spherical building and C its set of chambers. Let $m \ge 1$.

(i) For each $\mathbf{i} \in I^m$, the set of all pairs of chambers that can be joined by a gallery of type \mathbf{i} is compact.

(ii) For each $\mathbf{i} \in I^m$, the set of all chambers that can be reached from a given chamber by a gallery of type \mathbf{i} is compact.

(iii) The set of all chambers in any residue of Δ is compact. In particular, panels are compact.

(iv) The set of all pairs of opposite chambers is open in $C \times C$.

(v) The set of all chambers opposite a given chamber is open in C.

PROOF. The sets given in (i) and (ii) are continuous images of compact sets of galleries and therefore compact. Now (iii) is a special case of (ii), since the set of chambers of a residue is precisely the set of all chambers of Δ that can be reached from a chamber in the residue via a (possibly stammering) gallery whose type represents the longest word w_0 in the Coxeter group of the residue by, for example, [43, 5.4]. The complements of the sets given in (iv) and (v) are finite unions of compact sets by (i) and (ii), and therefore closed.

COROLLARY 6.6. Every residue in a compact spherical building is in a natural way a compact spherical building.

PROOF. The proof is mainly a matter of careful bookkeeping. Let $a \in \Delta$ be a simplex of type $J \subseteq I$. Its residue Res(a) is by definition the poset of all simplices containing a. For $i \in I \setminus J$, its set of *i*-vertices $V_i(\text{Res}(a))$ consists of all simplices of type $J \cup \{i\}$ containing a. Its chamber set is $C(\text{Res}(a)) = \text{Res}(a) \cap C$. This set C(Res(a)) is compact by 6.5(iii). Since it projects continuously onto $V_i(\text{Res}(a))$, the latter set is also compact. Moreover, $V_i(\text{Res}(a)) \subseteq \prod_{j \in J \cup \{i\}} V_j$ is Hausdorff. Consider the natural injection $C(\text{Res}(a)) \rightarrow \prod_{i \in I \setminus J} V_i(\text{Res}(a))$. This map is continuous, so its image is compact and therefore closed in the product $\prod_{i \in I \setminus J} V_i(\text{Res}(a))$.

COROLLARY 6.7. Let Δ_1 and Δ_2 be spherical buildings and let $\Delta = \Delta_1 * \Delta_2$ denote their join, i.e., the product poset.

(i) If Δ_1 and Δ_2 are compact buildings, then Δ is in a natural way a compact building.

(ii) If Δ is a compact building, then Δ_1 and Δ_2 are compact buildings and Δ is as in (i) isomorphic to their join.

PROOF. Again, the proof is just a matter of bookkeeping. The vertex set of $\Delta_1 * \Delta_2$ is the disjoint union of the vertex sets of Δ_1 and Δ_2 . The chamber set of Δ is the cartesian product of the chamber sets of Δ_1 and Δ_2 . For (i) we note that we have compact Hausdorff topologies on the vertex sets of Δ and the chamber set of Δ is a continuous image of a compact set in their cartesian product. This proves (i). For (ii) we note that Δ_1 and Δ_2 are residues in Δ . By Corollary 6.6, both residues are compact buildings. It is now easy to see that the various compact topologies on $\Delta_1 * \Delta_2$ and Δ coincide, since there are natural continuous bijections between them.

LEMMA 6.8. Let $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, \ldots, i_m)$ be a reduced expression of $i_1 \cdots i_m = w \in W$, i.e., w cannot be expressed in a shorter way as a word in the generating set I. The map which assigns to a pair of chambers (c, d) at distance $\delta(c, d) = w$ the unique gallery $(c_0 = c, c_1, \ldots, c_m = d)$ of type \mathbf{i} is continuous.

PROOF. We use the fact that a map from a topological space X to a compact space Y is continuous if and only if its graph is closed; see [8, XI.2.7]. In our situation $X = \{(a, b) \in C \times C; \delta(a, b) = w\}$ and $Y = C^{m+1}$. The graph of the map in question is the set of all (m + 3)-tuples $(c_0, c_m, c_0, \ldots, c_m)$, where c_0, \ldots, c_m is a nonstammering gallery of type **i**. Clearly, this set is closed in $C^2 \times C^{m+1}$.

COROLLARY 6.9. Let $i \in I$ and $w \in W$ and suppose that l(wi) < l(w), i.e., w admits a reduced expression that ends with the letter i. For any chamber $c \in C$, let $p^i(c)$ denote the unique *i*-panel containing c. Then the map that assigns to a pair of chambers (c, d) at distance $\delta(c, d) = w$ the unique chamber $e = \text{proj}_{p^i(d)}(c)$ with $\delta(e, d) = i$ and $\delta(c, e) = wi$ is continuous.

6.10. Schubert cells. Let $w \in W$ and let $c_0 \in C$ be a fixed chamber. We call the set $C_w(c_0) = \{c \in C; \delta(c_0, c) = w\}$ a *Schubert cell*. If w_0 is the longest element in W, then $C_{w_0}(c_0)$ is sometimes called the *big cell*. The big cell is just the set of all chambers opposite c_0 . By Lemma 6.5(v), this set is open.

PROPOSITION 6.11. Let $w \in W$ be an element of word length $l(w) = m \ge 1$. Then the Schubert cell $C_w(c_0)$ is homeomorphic to a product of m punctured panels, where 'punctured panel' means a panel with one chamber removed.

PROOF. We proceed by induction on m. For each $i \in I$, we have $C_i(c_0) = p^i(c_0) \setminus \{c_0\}$. Thus the claim holds for m = 1. Suppose now that m > 1 and choose i such that l(wi) < l(w). Let w_0 be as in 6.10 and let $j = w_0 i w_0 \in I$, put $v = w w_0$, so $w_0 = v^{-1} w$, and fix $d \in C_v(c_0)$, so $\delta(d, c) = v^{-1}w = w_0$ for all $c \in C_w(c_0)$. Then the panels $p^i(a)$ and $p^j(d)$ are opposite for each $a \in C_{wi}(c_0)$ (by [43, 5.13]). By [43, 5.14(i)], $\operatorname{proj}_{p^i(a)}$ restricted to $p^j(d)$ and $\operatorname{proj}_{p^j(d)}$ restricted to $p^i(a)$ are inverses of each other for each $a \in C_{wi}(c_0)$. Thus the maps $C_{wi}(c_0) \times C_j(d) \to C_w(c_0)$ sending (a, b) to $c = \operatorname{proj}_{p^i(a)}(b)$ and $C_w(c_0) \rightarrow C_{wi}(c_0) \times C_j(d)$ sending *c* to $(a, b) = (\text{proj}_{p^i(c)}(c_0), \text{proj}_{p^j(d)}(c))$ are inverses of each other. By Corollary 6.9, both these maps are continuous. By induction, therefore, $C_{wi}(c_0)$ is homeomorphic to a product of punctured panels.

COROLLARY 6.12. The topology on Δ is uniquely determined by its restriction to the panels of Δ .

PROOF. By Proposition 6.11, the topology on the panels determines the topologies on the Schubert cells. In particular, it determines the topologies on the big cells. Since these are open, this determines the topology on C. The vertex sets V_i are topologically quotients of C and thus the topology on V_i is also determined by the topologies of the panels.

PROPOSITION 6.13. If Δ is an irreducible compact spherical building of rank at least 2, then either C is connected, or C is totally disconnected, and, in fact, homeomorphic to the Cantor set.

PROOF. Suppose that *C* is not connected. Then there is some panel *R* which is not connected. By [23, 2.2.3], *R* is totally disconnected and without isolated points. If *S* is any other panel in Δ , then we find a sequence of panels between *R* and *S* such that consecutive panels are contained in irreducible rank 2 residues. Therefore, *S* is also totally disconnected without isolated points by [23, 2.2.3]. It follows that the big cells in *C* are totally disconnected and without isolated points, and thus *C* itself is totally disconnected. Moreover, no point in *C* is isolated, so the result follows from [19, 2–98].

We remark that the vertex sets V_i are also either connected or totally disconnected, depending on *C*. This can be proved in a similar way as in [23, 2.5.2].

PROPOSITION 6.14. Let Δ be a compact building without factors of rank 1. Then the topology on the vertices and chambers is separable and metrizable.

PROOF. In view of Corollary 6.7 it suffices to consider the case when Δ is irreducible and of rank $m \ge 2$. For m = 2, this is proved in [14, Thm. 1.5]. Suppose now that $m \ge 3$. Every panel of Δ is then contained in some irreducible residue of rank 2 and therefore second countable by [14, Thm. 1.5]. It follows from Proposition 6.11 that every big cell in *C* is second countable. Since *C* can be covered by |W| big cells (where |W| here denotes the cardinality of *W*), and since the big cells are open by Lemma 6.5(v), *C* itself is second countable. It follows that *C* is separable and metrizable [8, VIII.7.3, XI.4.1], [10, 4.2.8]. It follows from [10, 4.4.15] that V_i is also metrizable and separable [8, VIII7.2].

THEOREM 6.15. Let Δ be a compact building without factors of rank 1. Then the group Auttop(Δ) of all continuous automorphisms of Δ , endowed with the compact-open topology, is a second countable locally compact metrizable group. The group of all continuous special automorphisms is an open normal subgroup.

PROOF. This is essentially [7, Thm. 2.1]. We first note that by Proposition 6.14, Δ is a metric building in the sense of [7, p. 12]. We also note that a sufficiently small neighborhood

of the identity of $Auttop(\Delta)$ consists only of type-preserving automorphisms. We note that the argument in [7, pp. 20–21] requires only that each rank 1 residue is contained in some irreducible rank 2 residue. This is precisely our assumption. Thus a sufficiently small identity neighborhood of $Auttop(\Delta)$ is compact and consists of special automorphisms [7, p. 21]. Moreover, $Auttop(\Delta)$ is second countable, because this is true for Δ , cp. [8, XII.5.2], and therefore metrizable [8, IX.9.2].

PROPOSITION 6.16. Let $f : \Delta \to \Delta$ be an abstract automorphism of the compact building Δ . If, for each $i \in I$, there is some *i*-panel $R_i \subseteq C$ such that f is continuous on R, then f is a continuous automorphism of Δ .

PROOF. Suppose that f is continuous on the panel R. Let S be a panel opposite to R. By [43, 5.14(i)] the maps $\operatorname{proj}_R|_S$ and $\operatorname{proj}_S|_R$ are inverses of each other. Moreover, $f|_S = \operatorname{proj}_{f(S)} \circ f \circ \operatorname{proj}_R|_S$ is continuous by Corollary 6.9. It follows from [34, 3.30] that f is continuous on every panel of the same type as R. Therefore f is continuous on every panel of Δ . From Proposition 6.11 we see that f is continuous on every Schubert cell. In particular, f is continuous on the big cells. Since these are open and cover C, f is continuous on each vertex set V_i .

COROLLARY 6.17. Let Δ be a compact spherical building. Then every element of every root group is continuous.

PROOF. A root group fixes some panel of each type chamber-wise; see Definition 1.3. Compare [7, 5.1].

NOTATION 6.18. Let Δ be an irreducible spherical building of rank at least 2 that satisfies the Moufang condition. We denote by G^{\dagger} the subgroup of Aut(Δ) generated by all the root groups of Δ .

COROLLARY 6.19. Let Δ be an irreducible compact spherical building of rank at least 2 that satisfies the Moufang condition. Then G^{\dagger} is contained in Auttop(Δ). In particular, Auttop(Δ) acts strongly transitively on Δ .

PROOF. By [43, 11.12], the group G^{\dagger} defined in Notation 6.18 acts strongly transitively on Δ . By Corollary 6.17, the group G^{\dagger} is contained in Auttop(Δ).

7. Moufang spherical buildings. In this section, we gather the various results about Moufang spherical buildings we will need. See Appendix B in [45] for a summary of the classification of these buildings.

Throughout this section, we let Δ be an irreducible spherical building of rank *l* at least 2 that satisfies the Moufang condition.

NOTATION 7.1. Let F be the defining field of Δ as defined in [45, 30.15]. Note that although we call F the defining *field* of Δ , F might be a skew field or an octonion division

algebra. Note, too, that although we call F the defining field of Δ , it is not quite an invariant of Δ . By [45, 30.29], the following hold.

(i) If Δ is of type A_l, then only the unordered pair { F, F^{opp} } is an invariant of Δ .

(ii) If Δ is mixed as defined in [45, 30.24], then *F* is commutative, char(*F*) = $p \leq 3$, there exists an extension *E* of *F* such that $E^p \subset F \subset E$ and the unordered pair $\{F, E\}$, but not *F* alone, is an invariant of Δ . Note that if we replace *E* by the isomorphic field E^p , then $F^p \subset E \subset F$.

(iii) In every other case, F is an invariant of Δ .

If F is a local skew field, then so is F^{opp} (with the same valuation). If F, E and p are as in (ii), then (by Proposition 3.2) E equals either F^p or F; in both cases, E is isomorphic to F and, in particular, E is also a local field. Thus in those few cases where there are really two defining fields, one is a local field if and only if the other one is.

THEOREM 7.2. Let F be as in Notation 7.1. Then the following hold.

(i) If Δ is the building at infinity of a locally finite affine building X, then F is a local field and X is one of the buildings in [45, Tables 28.4–28.6] where F is called K.

(ii) If F is a local field, then Δ is the building at infinity of a unique locally finite affine building X.

PROOF. If Δ is the building at infinity of a locally finite affine building X, then by 1.5 and the remarks in the two paragraphs preceding [45, 28.1], F is a local field. Suppose, conversely, that F is a local field. By [45, 28.11(viii)], Δ is not an exceptional quadrangle and therefore the relevant parameter system is "v-compatible" in every case (as defined in the places cited in the second column of [45, Table 27.2]) simply because F is complete with respect to its valuation v. It follows from in [45, 27.2] that Δ is the building at infinity of an affine building X with respect to some system of apartments A and from [45, 27.1(iv)] that the pair (X, A) is unique (since the valuation of F is unique). It is the main result of [45, Chapter 28] (see, in particular, [45, 28.11(viii), 28.14 and 28.33–28.38]) that if F is a local field and Δ is the building at infinity of an affine building X with respect to a system of apartments A, then (X, A) is one of the Bruhat-Tits pairs (as defined in [45, 13.1]) in [45, Tables 28.4–28.6] (where F is called K). It can be read off from the last column of these tables that X is, in every case, locally finite.

It is assumed in [45, 28.29] that A is complete, but this assumption is not used in [45, 28.33–28.38]. Instead, it can be observed by invoking [45, 17.11, 19.28(ii), 23.13, 24.48, 25.27 and 28.15] that each Bruhat-Tits pair in these tables is, in fact, complete as defined in [45, 17.1]. (When invoking [45, 23.13], it needs to be observed that by [45, 28.15], F_0 is a closed subset of F if (F, F_0, σ) is an involutory set and when invoking [45, 25.27], it needs to be observed that by Proposition 3.2, L is closed in F if char(F) = 3 and L is a subfield such that $F^3 \subset L \subset F$.)

We now fix an irreducible rank 2 residue Γ of Δ . By [43, 7.14, 7.15 and 11.8], Γ is a *Moufang n-gon* for some $n \geq 3$ (as defined in [37, 4.2]). Thus, in particular, Γ is a graph whose vertices are the panel and whose edges are the chambers.

NOTATION 7.3. Let Σ be an apartment of Δ containing an apartment of Γ . By [43, 8.13(i)], the intersection $\Sigma \cap \Gamma$ is a circuit of length 2n whose vertices we can label with the integers modulo 2n, so that i - 1 and i are adjacent for each i. For each i, there exists by [43, 3.11 and 4.10] a unique root α_i of Σ such that $\alpha \cap \Gamma = (i, i + 1, ..., i + n)$. Let $U_i = U_{\alpha_i}$ for each i.

PROPOSITION 7.4. Let U_i for all *i* be as in Notation 7.3. Then the following hold.

- (i) The group $\langle U_1, U_2, \ldots, U_n \rangle$ acts faithfully on Γ .
- (ii) If $0 \le j \le n-3$ and $1 \le i \le n-j$, then the subgroup

$$U_i U_{i+1} \cdots U_{i+j}$$

is the pointwise stabilizer of its set of fixed points in Γ .

PROOF. Assertion (i) holds by [43, 11.27]. Assertion (ii) holds, therefore, by [37, 5.2].

NOTATION 7.5. By [37, 17.1–17.7] and 7.4(i), the group $U_+ := \langle U_1, U_2, \ldots, U_n \rangle$ can be identified with one of the groups described in [37, 16.1–16.9]. We denote the nine cases (as in [37]) \mathcal{T} , $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{I}}$, $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{Q}}$, $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{D}}$, $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{P}}$, $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{E}}$, $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{F}}$, \mathcal{H} and \mathcal{O} . (We have n = 3 in the first case, n = 4 in the next six cases, n = 6 in Case \mathcal{H} and n = 8 in Case \mathcal{O} .) In each case, U_+ is defined in terms of a parameter system \mathcal{Z} . In Case \mathcal{T} , \mathcal{Z} is an alternative division ring k (that is to say, a field, a skew field or an octonion division algebra); in Case $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{I}}$, an involutory set (k, k_0, σ) ; in Case $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{I}}$, an anisotropic quadratic space (k, L, q), in Case $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{D}}$, an indifferent set (k, k_0, L_0) , in Case $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{P}}$, an anisotropic quadratic space (k, k_0, σ, L, q) , in Cases $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{E}}$ and $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{F}}$ a quadrangular algebra defined over a field k, in Case \mathcal{H} , an hexagonal system $(J, k, N, \#, T, \times, 1)$ and in Case \mathcal{O} , an octagonal set (k, σ) . (A quadrangular algebra is defined in [44]; references to all the other relevant definitions can be found in [37, 16.1– 16.9].) By [37, 38.9], we can assume that in Case $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{D}}$ the indifferent set $\mathcal{Z} = (k, k_0, L_0)$ is proper as defined in [37, 38.8].

PROPOSITION 7.6. Let k be as in Notation 7.5 and let F be the defining field of Δ as defined in Notation 7.1. Then one of the following holds.

- (i) $k = F \text{ or } F^{\text{opp}}$.
- (ii) F is commutative and F/k is a separable quadratic extension.
- (iii) *F* is a quaternion or octonion division algebra and *k* is its center.
- (iv) *F* is commutative, char(*F*) = p = 2 or 3 and either $F^p \subset k \subset F$ or $k^p \subset F \subset k$.

PROOF. This holds by [45, 30.14–30.15].

COROLLARY 7.7. Let k be as in Notation 7.5 and let F be the defining field of Δ . Then the following hold.

- (i) *k* is a local field if and only if *F* is.
- (ii) If k is a local field, then either k or $\{k, k^{opp}\}$ is an invariant of Δ .

PROOF. By Propositions 3.3 and 3.6, the center of a local field is a local field. By Proposition 3.8, there are no octonion division algebras over a local field. Assertion (i) holds,

therefore, by Propositions 3.6 and 7.6. Assertion (ii) holds by Notation 7.1 applied to Γ (since Γ is an invariant of Δ).

PROPOSITION 7.8. Suppose that Δ is the building at infinity of a locally finite Bruhat-Tits building X, let Σ be an apartment of Δ , let α be a root of Δ and let φ be a valuation of the root datum of Δ based at Σ , which exists by the results in the first two columns of [45, Table 27.2] and is unique up to equipollence by [45, 3.41(iii)]. Let the root group U_{α} be endowed with the metric d_{α} determined by the map φ_{α} as described in [45, 17.3]. Then U_{α} is locally compact with respect to this metric.

PROOF. We write U_{α} additively even though it might not be abelian and let

$$U_{\alpha,k} = \{ u \in U_{\alpha}; \ \varphi_{\alpha}(u) \ge k \}$$

for each integer k (where $\varphi_{\alpha}(0) = \infty$). By [45, 18.20], $U_{\alpha,k+1}$ is a subgroup of finite index in $U_{\alpha,k}$ for each k. To show that U_{α} is locally compact, it will suffice to show that the open subgroup $U_{\alpha,0}$ is compact. Let $(u_i)_{i\in N}$ be an infinite sequence of elements in $U_{\alpha,0}$. We can choose $w_0 \in U_{\alpha,0}$ such that $-w_0 + u_i \in U_{\alpha,1}$ for infinitely many i. We can then choose $w_1 \in U_{\alpha,1}$ such that $-w_1 - w_0 + u_i \in U_{\alpha,2}$ for infinitely many i. We continue in this fashion and set $z_m = w_0 + w_1 + \cdots + w_m$ for each $m \ge 0$. By [45, 17.9] and Theorem 7.2(i), U_{α} is complete with respect to the metric d_{α} . It follows that the sequence $(z_m)_{m\in N}$ has a limit z in U_{α} . In fact, $z \in U_{\alpha,0}$ since $U_{\alpha,0}$ is closed. By the choice of the elements w_j , the element z is an accumulation point of the sequence $(u_i)_{i\in N}$. We conclude that every infinite sequence in $U_{\alpha,0}$ has an accumulation point in $U_{\alpha,0}$, from which it follows that $U_{\alpha,0}$ is compact.

PROPOSITION 7.9. Suppose that Δ is the building at infinity of a Bruhat-Tits building X, let G^{\dagger} be the subgroup of Aut(Δ) defined in Notation 6.18 and let B be the stabilizer in G^{\dagger} of a chamber c of Δ , i.e., B is a minimal parabolic subgroup of G^{\dagger} . Then the following hold.

(i) The group G^{\dagger} is canonically isomorphic to a subgroup of Aut(X).

(ii) The subgroup B acts transitively on the set of all special vertices of a fixed type in X.

(iii) $G^{\dagger} = KB$, where K is the stabilizer in G^{\dagger} of a special vertex of X. (This is the Iwasawa decomposition of G^{\dagger} .)

(iv) The subgroup K acts transitively on the chambers of Δ .

PROOF. By [45, 12.31], (i) holds and we can identify B with a subgroup of Aut(X). The chamber c is a parallel class of sectors of X. By [43, 11.12] and [45, 8.27], B acts transitively on the set of all apartments of X containing c (i.e., containing a sector in the parallel class c). By [45, 7.6], every vertex of X is contained in such an apartment.

Now let A be an apartment of X containing a sector in the parallel class c, let N denote the normalizer of A in G^{\dagger} and put $T = N \cap B$. By [45, 18.3(ii)], T contains all affine reflections of A. By [45, 1.30], it follows that T acts transitively on the set of special vertices of A of any given type. Thus (ii) holds. This, in turn, implies (iii) which then implies (iv). \Box **8.** Compact totally disconnected buildings of rank 2. In this section we prove the following result. It is the key step in the proof of Theorem 1.1.

THEOREM 8.1. Let Γ be an infinite irreducible compact totally disconnected Moufang building of rank l = 2. Let G^{\dagger} be the group of automorphisms generated by all the root groups of Γ and let F be the defining field of Γ as defined in Notation 7.1. Then F is a local field in the sense of Definition 3.5 and the topology on Γ that makes it a compact building is unique.

The building Γ in Theorem 8.1 is a graph whose edges are the chambers and whose vertices are the panels. For each vertex x, we denote by Γ_x the set of vertices adjacent to x and we identify the set of chambers (i.e., edges) containing x with Γ_x via the map $\{x, y\} \mapsto y$.

We fix an apartment Σ of Γ . Let *n*, the labeling of the vertices of Σ and U_i for $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{2n}$ be as in Notation 7.3 and let *k* and Ξ be as in Notation 7.5.

We recall from Theorem 6.15 that the group $Auttop(\Gamma)$ of topological automorphisms of Γ is locally compact, metrizable, second countable, and in particular σ -compact.

PROPOSITION 8.2. The subgroup U_i is a locally compact subgroup of Auttop(Γ) for all $i \in [1, n]$.

PROOF. Let $i \in [1, n]$. By 6.17, $U_i \subset \text{Auttop}(\Gamma)$. By Proposition 7.4(ii) (with j = 0) and Theorem 6.15, therefore, U_i is a closed subgroup of a locally compact group.

From now on we use exponential notation to indicate the action of a group on a set; see [43, 11.9].

PROPOSITION 8.3. The map $u \mapsto 0^u$ is a homeomorphism from U_1 to $\Gamma_1 \setminus \{2\}$ and the map $u \mapsto (n-1)^u$ is a homeomorphism from U_n to $\Gamma_n \setminus \{n+1\}$.

PROOF. The closed subgroup U_1 is σ -compact (by Theorem 6.15) and the stabilizer in U_1 of the vertex 0 is trivial (by [37, 3.7]). By Theorem 2.1 and Proposition 8.2, it follows that the map $u \mapsto 0^u$ is a homeomorphism from U_1 to $\Gamma_1 \setminus \{2\}$. Thus the first assertion holds. The proof of the second assertion is virtually the same.

NOTATION 8.4. Let the cases \mathcal{T} , $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{I}}$, $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{Q}}$, $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{D}}$, $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{F}}$, $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{E}}$, $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{F}}$, \mathcal{H} and \mathcal{O} and the parameter system \mathcal{E} be as in Notation 7.5. We use x_i to denote the various isomorphisms from the appropriate algebraic structures to U_i for all $i \in [1, n]$ that appear in [37, 16.1–16.9]. In particular, the following hold.

(i) x_1 is an isomorphism from k_0 to U_1 and x_4 is an isomorphism from L_0 to U_4 in Case Q_D , where Ξ is the indifferent set (k, k_0, L_0) ;

(ii) x_1 is the isomorphism from the group called S in [37, 16.6] to U_1 in Case $Q_{\mathcal{E}}$; and

(iii) x_1 is the isomorphism from the group called $X_0 \oplus K$ in [37, 16.7] (with K here replaced by k) to U_1 in Case Q_F .

In both (ii) and (iii), the map $t \mapsto x_1(0, t)$ is an isomorphism from the additive group of k into U_1 .

DEFINITION 8.5. In Case Q_D , where $\Xi = (k, k_0, L_0)$, we equip k_0 with the unique topology that makes the isomorphism x_1 into a homeomorphism from k_0 to U_1 . In the remaining cases, we equip k with the unique topology such that the following hold.

- (i) x_1 is a homeomorphism from k to U_1 in Cases $\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{Q}}$ and \mathcal{O} ;
- (ii) x_n is a homeomorphism from k to U_n in Cases Q_I , Q_P and H;

(iii) the map $t \mapsto x_1(0, t)$ is a homeomorphism from k to the subset $x_1(0, k)$ of U_1 in Cases $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{E}}$ and $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{F}}$.

NOTATION 8.6. Let ∞ , *P* and $\langle t \rangle$ be as follows:

(i) In Cases $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{I}}$, $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{P}}$ and \mathcal{H} , let $\infty = n + 1$, $P = \Gamma_n$ and $\langle t \rangle = (n - 1)^{x_n(t)}$ for all $t \in k$.

(ii) In Cases \mathcal{T} , $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{Q}}$ and \mathcal{O} , let $\infty = 2$, $P = \Gamma_1$ and $\langle t \rangle = 0^{x_1(t)}$ for all $t \in k$.

(iii) In Case $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{D}}$, where Ξ is an indifferent set (k, k_0, L_0) , let $\infty = 2$, $P = \Gamma_1$ and $\langle t \rangle = 0^{x_1(t)}$ for all $t \in k_0$.

(iv) In Cases $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{E}}$ and $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{F}}$, let $\infty = 2$, $P = \Gamma_1$ and $\langle t \rangle = 0^{x_1(0,t)}$ for all $t \in k$.

PROPOSITION 8.7. Suppose that Γ is neither in case $Q_{\mathcal{E}}$ nor in case $Q_{\mathcal{F}}$. Let P and $\langle t \rangle$ be as in Notation 8.6 and let τ be the map from P to itself that interchanges ∞ and $\langle 0 \rangle$ and maps $\langle t \rangle$ to $\langle -t^{-1} \rangle$ for all $t \in k^*$ (respectively, $t \in k_0^*$ in case $Q_{\mathcal{D}}$). Then τ is a homeomorphism.

PROOF. Suppose that we are in case \mathcal{T} , $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{Q}}$, $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{D}}$ or \mathcal{O} . (In case $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{D}}$, where $\mathcal{Z} = (k, k_0, L_0)$, " $t \in k$ " is to be read as " $t \in k_0$ " everywhere in this proof.) By [37, 6.1], there exists for each $t \in k^*$ a unique element $\mu(x_1(t))$ in the double coset $U_{n+1}^* x_1(t) U_{n+1}^*$ that maps Σ to itself and induces on Σ the reflection with fixed points 1 and n + 1. The element $\mu(x_1(t))$ maps P to itself and interchanges ∞ and $\langle 0 \rangle$ for all $t \in k^*$. Let $m = \mu(x_1(1))$. Then all the identities in [37, 32.5, 32.7, 32.8 and 32.12] as well as

$$x_{n+1}(t) = x_1(t)^m$$

hold for all $t \in k$ (as explained in the introduction to [37, Chapter 32]). In particular,

$$\mu(x_1(t)) = x_{n+1}(t^{-1})x_1(t)x_{n+1}(t^{-1})$$

for all $t \in k^*$. Choose $t \in k^*$. Since both *m* and $\mu(x_1(t))$ interchange $\langle 0 \rangle$ and ∞ and U_{n+1} fixes $\langle 0 \rangle$, we have

$$\langle t^{-1} \rangle^{mx_1(t)} = \langle 0 \rangle^{x_1(t^{-1})mx_1(t)} = \infty^{m^{-1}x_1(t^{-1})mx_1(t)}$$
$$= \infty^{x_1(t^{-1})mx_1(t)}$$
$$= \infty^{x_{n+1}(t^{-1})x_1(t)}$$
$$= \infty^{\mu(x_1(t))x_{n+1}(t^{-1})^{-1}}$$
$$= \langle 0 \rangle^{x_{n+1}(t^{-1})^{-1}} = \langle 0 \rangle$$

and therefore

$$\langle t^{-1} \rangle^m = \langle 0 \rangle^{x_1(t)^{-1}} = \langle -t \rangle.$$

Thus *m* induces the map τ on *P*. Hence (by 6.17) τ is continuous. Since $\tau = \tau^{-1}$, it is, in fact, a homeomorphism. The argument in the remaining cases is virtually identical, only with $x_n(t)$ in place of $x_1(t)$, $x_n(t^{-1})$ in place of $x_1(t^{-1})$ and $x_0(t^{-1})$ in place of $x_{n+1}(t^{-1})$.

PROPOSITION 8.8. In Case Q_D , where $\Xi = (k, k_0, L_0)$, there exists a subfield M of k_0 containing k^2 such that $x_1(M)$ is a closed subset of U_1 , where x_1 is the isomorphism from k_0 to U_1 indicated in Notation 8.4.

PROOF. Let *P* and ∞ be as in 8.6, let $R = \Gamma_4$, let $[\infty] = 5$, let $[a] = 3^{x_1(a)}$ for all $a \in L_0$ and let π be the map from *R* to *P* that sends $[\infty]$ to ∞ and [a] to $\langle a \rangle$ for each $a \in L_0$. Next let $m = \mu(x_1(1))$ and $r = \mu(x_4(1))$, where μ is as defined in [37, 6.1]. By [37, 6.4(i) and 16.4], we have

$$[x_1(1), x_4(a)] = x_2(a)x_3(a),$$

 $x_2(a) = x_4(a)^m$ and $x_1(b) = x_3(b)^r$ for all $a \in L_0$ and all $b \in k_0$. Hence

$$x_1(a) = (x_4(a)^{-m}x_4(a)^{x_1(1)}x_4(a))^n$$

for all $a \in L_0$. It follows that the map $x_4(a) \mapsto x_1(a)$ from U_4 to U_1 is continuous. By Proposition 8.3, it follows that the restriction $[a] \mapsto \langle a \rangle$ of the map π to $R \setminus \{[\infty]\}$ is continuous. Exactly as in Proposition 8.7 (alternatively: by Proposition 8.7 and [37, 35.18]), the map from *R* to itself interchanging $[\infty]$ and [0] and mapping [a] to $[a^{-1}]$ for all $a \in L_0^*$ is continuous. Thus a sequence $([a_i])_{i \in N}$ in $R \setminus \{[0], [\infty]\}$ converges to $[\infty]$ if and only if the sequence $([a_i^{-1}])_{i \in N}$ converges to [0]. Since the analogous assertion holds in *P*, it follows that the map π from *R* to *P* is continuous. Since *R* is compact (by Corollary 6.6), we conclude that its image is a compact subset of *P*. Thus $\pi(U_4)$ is a closed subset of U_1 . By Proposition 8.3 again, we conclude that L_0 is a closed subset of k_0 .

Now let $M = \{t \in L_0; tL_0 \subset L_0\}$. Then M is a subring of k_0 . Since $k^2 \subset M$ (by [37, 10.2]), it follows that M is, in fact, a subfield. Let $u \in L_0^*$. The product $r^{-1}\mu(x_4(u^{-1}))$ fixes the vertex 2 and (by [37, 32.8])

$$x_1(t)^{r^{-1}\mu(x_4(u^{-1}))} = x_3(t)^{\mu(x_4(u^{-1}))} = x_1(ut)$$

for all $t \in k$. Thus the map from *P* to itself that fixes ∞ and maps $\langle t \rangle$ to $\langle ut \rangle$ is continuous. Now suppose that $(t_i)_{i \in N}$ is a sequence of elements in *M* that converges to an element $t \in L_0$. Then the sequence $(ut_i)_{i \in N}$ converges to *ut*. Since $ut_i \in L_0$ for all *i*, it follows that $ut \in L_0$. Therefore $t \in M$. Hence *M* is closed.

PROPOSITION 8.9. In Cases $Q_{\mathcal{E}}$ and $Q_{\mathcal{F}}$, $x_1(0, k)$ is a closed subset of U_1 , where x_1 is as in Notation 8.4.

PROOF. By 16.10 and 16.11 of [37], $x_1(0, k) = C_{U_1}(U_3)$. Since U_1 and U_3 are closed (by 8.2), the claim follows.

NOTATION 8.10. Let D be the group

- (i) U_n in Cases $Q_{\mathcal{I}}, Q_{\mathcal{P}}$ and \mathcal{H} ;
- (ii) U_1 in Cases Q_T , Q_Q and O;

T. GRUNDHÖFER, L. KRAMER, H. VAN MALDEGHEM AND R. M. WEISS

(iii) $x_1(M)$ in Case $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{D}}$; and

(iv) $x_1(0, k)$ in Cases $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{E}}$ and $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{F}}$.

PROPOSITION 8.11. In Cases $Q_{\mathcal{E}}$, $Q_{\mathcal{F}}$ and $Q_{\mathcal{D}}$, let $Q = \{\infty\} \cup 0^D$, where D is as in Notation 8.10. In all other cases, let Q = P, where P is the panel of Γ defined in Notation 8.6. Then Q is a compact subset of P.

PROOF. By Corollary 6.6, the panel *P* is compact. By Propositions 8.8 and 8.9, therefore, *Q* is the one-point compactification of $Q \setminus \{\infty\}$ in Cases $Q_{\mathcal{E}}, Q_{\mathcal{F}}$ and $Q_{\mathcal{D}}$.

PROPOSITION 8.12. The map $t \mapsto \langle t \rangle$ is a homeomorphism from k (respectively, M in Case $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{D}}$) to $Q \setminus \{\infty\}$.

PROOF. This holds by Proposition 8.3 and Definition 8.5.

PROPOSITION 8.13. k (respectively, M in Case Q_D) is totally disconnected, in fact, homeomorphic to the Cantor set minus a point.

PROOF. This holds by Propositions 6.13 and 8.12.

PROPOSITION 8.14. Let ω be the map from Q to itself that interchanges ∞ and $\langle 0 \rangle$ and maps $\langle t \rangle$ to $\langle -t^{-1} \rangle$ for all $t \in k^*$ (respectively, $t \in M^*$ in Case Q_D). Then ω is a homeomorphism.

PROOF. By Proposition 8.7, it suffices to consider Cases $Q_{\mathcal{E}}$ and $Q_{\mathcal{F}}$. In these two cases we can simply replace $x_1(t)$ by $x_1(0, t)$, $x_1(t^{-1})$ by $x_1(0, t^{-1})$ and $x_{n+1}(t^{-1})$ by $x_{n+1}(0, t^{-1})$ everywhere in the proof of Proposition 8.7.

PROPOSITION 8.15. Let *E* denote the permutation group on *Q* generated by τ and the group induced by *D* on *Q* and let *k* (respectively, *M* in Case Q_D) be identified with $Q \setminus \{\infty\}$ via the homeomorphism $t \mapsto \langle t \rangle$, so $Q = k \cup \{\infty\}$ (respectively, $Q = M \cup \{\infty\}$). Then (E, Q) is the projective line \hat{k} (respectively, \hat{M} in Case Q_D).

PROOF. This holds by Corollary 6.17 and Proposition 8.14.

PROPOSITION 8.16. *k* is a local field as defined in Definition 3.5.

PROOF. Suppose first that we are not in Case Q_D . By Proposition 8.11, Q is compact. By Theorem 4.1 and Proposition 8.15, therefore, k is a locally compact field. Hence by Propositions 3.8 and 8.13, k is a local field. Now suppose that we are in Case Q_D . By virtually the same arguments, we conclude that M is a local field. Since M is a commutative field of characteristic 2, it is the field of formal Laurent series over a finite field (by Proposition 3.1). By Proposition 8.8, k/M^2 is a quadratic (and hence finite) extension. Therefore k is a local field also in this case.

PROPOSITION 8.17. The topology T on the set of chambers of Γ is unique.

PROOF. Let *R* be a panel of Γ . By Corollary 6.12, it will suffice to show that the restriction of *T* to *R* is unique. Let Σ , *n* and U_i for $i \in N$ be as in 7.3 and let *k* and Ξ be as

in 7.5. We can assume that Σ is chosen so that $R = \Gamma_1$ or Γ_n . Our goal, therefore, is to show that the restriction of the topology *T* to the panel Γ_1 and the restriction of *T* to the panel Γ_n are unique.

By Theorem 7.2(i), Γ is in one of the cases \mathcal{T} , $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{I}}$, $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{Q}}$, $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{P}}$ or \mathcal{H} and, as we saw in Proposition 8.16, k is not octonion. Case $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{D}}$ is ruled out by [45, 28.14], Cases $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{E}}$ and $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{F}}$ are ruled out, as we observed in the proof of Theorem 7.2, by [45, 28.11(viii)] and Case \mathcal{O} is impossible since by Proposition 3.2, there are no octagonal sets (k, σ) , as defined in [37, 10.11], with k local. By Corollary 7.7(ii), either k or $\{k, k^{\text{opp}}\}$ is an invariant of Γ . By Proposition 3.6 and Remark 3.7, the topology on k that makes it into a locally compact field is uniquely determined by Γ . We call this topology T_k . Replacing k by k^{opp} if necessary, we can assume by [37, 35.15] that $R = \Gamma_1$ if Γ is in Case \mathcal{T} . It thus suffices to show that the restriction of T to the panel Γ_1 is uniquely determined by T_k in Case \mathcal{T} and that the restriction of T to Γ_p is uniquely determined by T_k for both p = 1 and p = n in every other case.

From now on, let p = 1 in Cases \mathcal{T} and $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{Q}}$ and p = n in Cases $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{I}}$, $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{P}}$ and \mathcal{H} . By Proposition 8.12, the restriction of T to Γ_p is uniquely determined by T_k . We can assume from now on that we are not in Case \mathcal{T} . Let d be the element of the set $\{1, n\}$ different from p. It remains only to show that the restriction of T to Γ_d is uniquely determined by T_k .

Let ν be the valuation of k. By the results in the third column of [45, Table 27.2], there is a valuation $\varphi = (\varphi_i)$ of the root datum of Γ (where φ_i is a map from U_i^* to \mathbf{Z} for each root α_i of Σ) such that $\varphi_p(x_p(t)) = \nu(t)$ for all $t \in k$. Let T_{φ} be the topology on U_d induced by the metric associated with φ_i as described in Proposition 7.8. By [45, 3.41(iii)], φ is unique up to equipollence. This means that φ_d is uniquely determined by T_k up to an additive constant. Hence the topology T_{φ} depends only on T_k .

Now let T_d denote the locally compact topology on U_d that we identified in Proposition 8.2. Suppose we know that the identity map from U_d to itself is a continuous map from (U_d, T_d) to (U_d, T_{φ}) . By Theorem 6.15 and Corollary 6.17, U_d is σ -compact. By Corollary 2.2, it follows that $T_d = T_{\varphi}$. Hence, by the conclusion of the previous paragraph, T_d is uniquely determined by T_k . By Proposition 8.3, it follows that the restriction of T to Γ_d is also uniquely determined by T_k .

Suppose now that we are in Case Q_Q , where $\Xi = (k, L, q)$ and d = 4. By [37, 16.11], we can assume that there is an element 1 in L such that q(1) = 1. Let $m = \mu(x_1(1))$ and $r = \mu(x_4(1))$. By [37, 6.4(i) and 16.3], we have

$$[x_1(1), x_4(u)^{-1}] = x_2(u)x_3(q(u)),$$

 $x_2(u) = x_4(u)^m$ and $x_3(t)^r = x_1(t)$ for all $u \in L$ and all $t \in k$. Hence

$$x_1(q(u)) = (x_4(u)^{-m}x_4(u)^{x_1(1)}x_4(u)^{-1})^n$$

for all $u \in L$. Therefore the map $x_4(u) \mapsto x_1(q(u))$ is continuous. By [45, 19.20], we can assume that $\varphi_4(x_4(u)) = \nu(q(u))/\delta$ for all $u \in L$, where δ is either 1 or 2. It follows that the identity map from U_4 to itself is a continuous map from (U_4, T_4) to (U_4, T_{φ}) and hence the restriction of T to Γ_4 is uniquely determined by T_k . Suppose next that we are in Case $Q_{\mathcal{P}}$, where $\Xi = (k, k_0, \sigma, L, q)$ and d = 1. Let $m = \mu(x_1(0, 1))$ and $r = \mu(x_4(1))$. By [37, 6.4(i) and 16.5], we have

$$[x_1(a, t), x_4(1)^{-1}] = x_2(t)x_3(a, t)$$

and hence

$$x_4(t) = (x_1(a,t)^{-1}x_1(a,t)^{x_1(1)^{-1}}x_1(a,t)^{-r^{-1}})^{m^{-1}}$$

for all $x_1(a, t) \in U_1$. Thus the map $x_1(a, t) \mapsto x_4(t)$ is continuous. By [45, 24.33], we can assume that $\varphi_1(x_1(a, t)) = \nu(t)/\delta$ for all $x_1(a, t) \in U_1$, where $\delta = 1$ or 2. It follows that the identity map from U_1 to itself is a continuous map from (U_1, T_1) to (U_1, T_{φ}) and hence the restriction of T to Γ_1 is uniquely determined by T_k .

Suppose next that we are in Case Q_T , where $\Xi = (k, k_0, \sigma)$ and d = 1. Replacing [37, 16.5] by [37, 16.2], [45, 24.33] by [45, 23.4] and $x_1(a, t)$ by $x_1(t)$ in the previous paragraph, we obtain a proof that the identity map from U_1 to itself is a continuous map from (U_1, T_1) to (U_1, T_{φ}) and hence the restriction of T to Γ_1 is uniquely determined by T_k also in this case.

Suppose, finally, that we are in Case \mathcal{H} , where $\Xi = (J, k, N, \#, T, \times, 1)$ and d = 1. This time, we set $r = \mu(x_1(1))$ and $m = \mu(x_6(1))$. By [37, 16.8 and 29.17],

$$[x_1(a), x_6(1)^{-1}] = x_2(N(a))x_3(-a^{\#})x_4(N(a))x_5(a)$$

and hence

$$x_4(N(a))x_5(a^{\#})x_6(-N(a)) = (x_1(a)^{-1}x_1(a)^{x_6(1)^{-1}}x_1(a)^{-r^{-1}})^m$$

for all $a \in J$. By Proposition 7.4(ii), each of the groups $U_4U_5U_6$, U_4U_5 and U_6 is closed. By [33, Theorem 6.23], therefore, the map $x_4(w)x_5(b)x_6(t) \mapsto x_6(t)$ from $U_4U_5U_6$ to U_6 is continuous. We conclude that the map $x_1(a) \mapsto x_6(-N(a))$ is continuous. By [45, 15.23], we can assume that $\varphi_1(x_1(a)) = \nu(N(a))/\delta$ for all $a \in J$, where $\delta = 1$ or 3. It follows that the identity map from U_1 to itself is a continuous map from (U_1, T_1) to (U_1, T_{φ}) and hence the restriction of T to Γ_1 is uniquely determined by T_k .

This concludes the proof of Theorem 8.1.

9. The proofs of Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2.

PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1. We assume that Δ is an irreducible infinite compact totally disconnected spherical Moufang building of rank $l \ge 2$. Then the panels of Δ are totally disconnected. Let Γ be an arbitrary irreducible residue of Δ of rank 2. By Corollary 6.6, Γ is a compact totally disconnected building and by [43, 11.8], Γ is Moufang. If Γ_1 , Γ_2 are two irreducible rank 2 residues such that $\Gamma_1 \cap \Gamma_2$ contains a panel, then by [37, 34.5], Γ_1 is finite if and only if Γ_2 is finite. Since Δ is irreducible, it follows that all the irreducible rank 2 residues of Δ are finite if one of them is. Since Δ is infinite, we conclude that Γ is infinite. Hence by Theorem 8.1, the totally disconnected compact topology on Γ is unique. Since Γ is arbitrary, we conclude that the totally disconnected compact topology on every panel of Δ is unique. By Corollary 6.12, therefore, the totally disconnected compact topology on the

set C of chambers of Δ is unique and by Proposition 6.13, C endowed with this topology is homeomorphic to the Cantor set.

Suppose now that f is an abstract automorphism of Δ . Then the topology of Δ , transformed by f, is another totally disconnected compact topology which turns Δ into a compact building. Therefore, f fixes the topology. In other words, f is a homeomorphism. Thus, $Aut(\Delta) = Auttop(\Delta)$.

Next we note that the defining field F of Δ is a local field by Theorem 8.1. By [45, 27.2 and 27.6], therefore, there exists a unique locally finite Bruhat-Tits building X whose building at infinity is Δ . By Proposition 6.4, the compact topology on Δ coincides with the topology induced by the cone topology on ∂X .

Finally, consider the natural homomorphism $Iso(X) \rightarrow Aut(\Delta)$. By Proposition 6.4 again, the group Iso(X) acts continuously on Δ . Since $Aut(\Delta)$ carries the compact-open topology, the map $Iso(X) \rightarrow Aut(\Delta)$ is continuous. It is also injective (an isometry that fixes Δ pointwise fixes every apartment in X and is therefore the identity). By [45, 26.37 and 26.40-2], this map is also surjective. Since Iso(X) is locally compact and σ -compact by Theorem 2.3, it follows from Corollary 2.2 that this map is open and therefore a homeomorphism. This finishes the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Before we proceed with the proof of Theorem 1.2, we add some general remarks on the compact connected case and its history. Building on Pontryagin's classification of locally compact connected fields [27], Kolmogorov [22] classified compact connected desarguesian projective spaces, showing that the defining field is R, C or the real quaternion division algebra H. Half a century later Salzmann [29] classified all compact connected projective planes admitting a flag transitive, or equivalently, chamber transitive, group of continuous automorphisms. He proved that such a projective plane is the Moufang plane over R, C, H or the real octonion division algebra O. These results cover the compact connected buildings of type A_{R} .

Burns and Spatzier [7] classified all irreducible compact connected buildings of rank at least 2 under the condition that they admit a strongly transitive group of continuous automorphisms; they call this the 'topological Moufang condition'. In particular, they classified all compact connected Moufang buildings of rank at least 2. Their proof does not use the classification of Moufang buildings. Instead, they use a characterization of Furstenberg boundaries of simple Lie groups. They also use, as does Salzmann, the solution of the 5th Hilbert problem.

Continuing in the vein of Salzmann's result, Grundhöfer, Knarr and Kramer [14, 15] classified all compact connected buildings of rank at least 2 admitting a chamber transitive group of continuous automorphisms. As we have seen, it suffices to deal with the rank 2 case. The final result, assembled from [7], [14, 15] and [24, Ch. 7], is as follows.

THEOREM 9.1. Let Δ be an irreducible compact and connected building of rank l at least 2. Suppose that the topological automorphism group Auttop(Δ) acts transitively on the chambers of Δ . Then Δ is the standard spherical building associated to a noncompact centerless real or complex simple Lie group G of rank l; cf. Proposition 6.3.

We remark that such a Lie group G is simple as an abstract group [30, 94.21]. In particular, $G = G^{\dagger}$ in the notation of 6.18. If G is not complex, then every abstract automorphism of $G = G^{\dagger}$ is automatically continuous [11]. This shows that every abstract automorphism of Δ is also continuous. If G is complex, then the automorphism group of $G = G^{\dagger}$ is the group of all continuous automorphisms, extended by the field automorphisms of C; see [2]. Thus, an abstract automorphism of G or Δ need not be continuous in this situation. Nevertheless, we have the following result.

PROPOSITION 9.2. Let Δ be a compact connected irreducible Moufang building of rank at least 2. If the associated simple Lie group G is not complex, or equivalently, absolutely simple as a real algebraic group, then there is a unique topology on Δ which turns Δ into a compact building. If G is complex, then all topologies that turn Δ into a compact building are conjugate under Aut(C).

PROOF. First of all we note that the defining field F of Δ is R, C, the real quaternion division algebra H or the real Cayley division algebra O. Suppose that T is a compact topology on the chambers of Δ that turns Δ into a compact building. If the set of chambers C is connected in this topology, then Δ comes from a simple Lie group H by Theorem 9.1. Therefore there is an abstract isomorphism $H = H^{\dagger} \cong G^{\dagger} = G$. If G is real, this isomorphism is continuous by [11] and if G is complex, then the isomorphism becomes continuous after composing it with a field automorphism by [2, 8.1]; see also [34, 5.8–5.9] for a more detailed statement.

Next, assume that the topology T on C is not connected. Then it is totally disconnected by Proposition 6.13 and Δ is one of the buildings classified in the present paper. Therefore the center of the defining field F is a finite extension of the p-adic field Q_p for some prime p. Neither R nor C is, however, isomorphic to a finite extension of Q_p by, for example, [31, 54.2].

We remark that there is another approach to the proof of Proposition 9.2: it can be shown that a simple real or complex Lie group admits only one locally compact and σ -compact group topology up to conjugation by an abstract automorphism if *G* happens to be complex; see [25].

PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2. The special automorphism group of a reducible building factors as a product of the automorphism groups of the factors. In the compact case, this factorization is compatible with the topology because the elementwise stabilizer of any residue is closed in Auttop(Δ). In this way the problem can be reduced to the irreducible case. Now the result follows from a combination of Theorems 1.1 and 9.1 and Proposition 9.2.

REFERENCES

- [1] P. ABRAMENKO AND K. S. BROWN, Buildings, theory and application, Springer, New York, 2008.
- [2] A. BOREL AND J. TITS, Homomorphismes "abstraits" de groupes algébriques simples, Ann. Math. 97 (1973), 499–571.

- [3] M. R. BRIDSON AND A. HAEFLIGER, Metric spaces of non-positive curvature, Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1999.
- [4] K. S. BROWN, Buildings, Springer, Berlin, New York, Heidelberg, 1998.
- [5] R. H. BRUCK AND E. KLEINFELD, The structure of alternative division rings, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 2 (1951), 878–890.
- [6] F. BRUHAT AND J. TITS, Groupes algébriques simples sur un corps local, I. Données radicielles valuées, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. 41 (1972), 5–251.
- [7] K. BURNS AND R. SPATZIER, On topological Tits buildings and their classification, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. 65 (1987), 5–34.
- [8] J. DUGUNDJI, Topology, Allyn & Bacon, Boston, 1966.
- [9] P. B. EBERLEIN, Geometry of nonpositively curved manifolds, University of Chicago Press, Chicago, 1996.
- [10] R. ENGELKING, General topology, 2nd edition, Heldermann, Berlin, 1989.
- [11] H. FREUDENTHAL, Die Topologie der Lieschen Gruppen als algebraisches Phänomen. I, Ann. of Math. (2) 42 (1941), 1051–1074.
- [12] T. GRUNDHÖFER, Compact disconnected Moufang planes are Desarguesian, Arch. Math. (Basel) 49 (1987), 124–126.
- [13] T. GRUNDHÖFER, Compact disconnected planes, inverse limits and homomorphisms, Monatsh. Math. 105 (1988), 261–277.
- [14] T. GRUNDHÖFER, N. KNARR AND L. KRAMER, Flag-homogeneous compact connected polygons, Geom. Dedicata 55 (1995), 95–114.
- [15] T. GRUNDHÖFER, N. KNARR AND L. KRAMER, Flag-homogeneous compact connected polygons. II, Geom. Dedicata 83 (2000), 1–29.
- [16] T. GRUNDHÖFER AND H. VAN MALDEGHEM, Topological polygons and affine buildings of rank three, Atti Sem. Mat. Fis. Univ. Modena 38 (1990), 459–479.
- [17] S. HELGASON, Differential geometry, Lie groups, and symmetric spaces, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2001.
- [18] E. HEWITT AND K. A. ROSS, Abstract harmonic analysis Vol. I, Academic Press, New York, 1963.
- [19] J. G. HOCKING AND G. S. YOUNG, Topology, Addison-Wesley Publishing Co., Inc., Reading, Mass., 1961.
- [20] J. E. HUMPHREYS, Reflection groups and Coxeter groups, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990.
- [21] E. KLEINFELD, Alternative division rings of characterisitic 2, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U. S. 37 (1951), 818-820.
- [22] A. KOLMOGOROFF, Zur Begründung der projektiven Geometrie, Ann. of Math. (2) 33 (1932), 175–176.
- [23] L. KRAMER, Compact polygons, Dissertation, 72 pp., Math. Fak. Univ. Tübingen, 1994. arXiv:math/0104064.
- [24] L. KRAMER, Homogeneous spaces, Tits buildings, and isoparametric hypersurfaces, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 158 (2002).
- [25] L. KRAMER, The topology of a semisimple Lie group is essentially unique, Adv. Math. 228 (2011), 2623– 2633.
- [26] H. P. PETERSSON, Lokal kompakte Jordan-Divisionsringe, Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg 39 (1973), 164– 179.
- [27] L. PONTRJAGIN, Über stetige algebraische Körper, Ann. Math. 33 (1932), 163-174.
- [28] M. RONAN, Lectures on buildings, University of Chicago Press, Chicago, 2009.
- [29] H. SALZMANN, Homogene kompakte projektive Ebenen, Pacific J. Math. 60 (1975), 217–234.
- [30] H. SALZMANN et al., Compact projective planes, de Gruyter, Berlin, 1995.
- [31] H. SALZMANN, T. GRUNDHÖFER, H. HÄHL AND R. LÖWEN, The classical fields, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2007.
- [32] J.-P. SERRE, Local fields, Springer, Berlin, New York, Heidelberg, 1979.
- [33] M. STROPPEL, Locally compact groups, EMS Textbk. Math., Europ. Math. Soc., Zurich, 2006.
- [34] J. TITS, Buildings of spherical type and finite BN-pairs, Lecture Notes in Math. 386, Springer, Berlin, New York, Heidelberg, 1974.

360 T. GRUNDHÖFER, L. KRAMER, H. VAN MALDEGHEM AND R. M. WEISS

- [35] J. TITS, Reductive groups over local fields, in Proc. Symp. Pure Math. 33, Part 1 (Automorphic forms, representations and *L*-functions, Corvallis 1977), 29–69, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 1979.
- [36] J. TITS, Immeubles de type affine, in Buildings and the geometry of diagrams (Como, 1984), 159–190, Lecture Notes in Math. 1181, Springer, Berlin, New York, Heidelberg, 1974.
- [37] J. TITS AND R. M. WEISS, Moufang polygons, Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 2002.
- [38] A. R. WADSWORTH, Valuation theory on finite dimensional division algebras, in Valuation theory and its applications, Vol. I (Saskatoon, 1999), 385–449, Field Inst. Commun. 32. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 2002.
- [39] G. WARNER, Harmonic analysis on semi-simple Lie groups. I, Springer, New York, Heidelberg, 1972.
- [40] S. WARNER, Locally compact vector spaces and algebras over discrete fields, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 130 (1968), 463-493.
- [41] S. WARNER, Topological fields, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1989.
- [42] A. WEIL, Basic number theory, Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1995.
- [43] R. M. WEISS, The structure of spherical buildings, Princeton University Press, Princeton, 2003.
- [44] R. M. WEISS, Quadrangular algebras, Math. Notes 46, Princeton University Press, Princeton, 2006.
- [45] R. M. WEISS, The structure of affine buildings, Ann. Math. Stud. 168, Princeton University Press, Princeton, 2009.

INSTITUTE FOR MATHEMATICS UNIVERSITY OF WÜRZBURG AM HUBLAND 97074 WÜRZBURG GERMANY INSTITUTE FOR MATHEMATICS UNIVERSITY OF MÜNSTER EINSTEINSTR. 62 48149 MÜNSTER GERMANY

E-mail address: linus.kramer@math.uni-muenster.de

E-mail address: grundhoefer@mathematik.uni-wuerzburg.de

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS GHENT UNIVERSITY KRIJGSLAAN 281 9000 GHENT BELGIUM

E-mail address: hvm@cage.ugent.be

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS TUFTS UNIVERSITY 503 BOSTON AVENUE MEDFORD, MA 02155 USA

E-mail address: rweiss@tufts.edu