

COMPACTIFICATIONS OF $C \times C^*$ AND $(C^*)^2$

TETSUO UEDA

(Received December 27, 1977, revised May 1, 1978)

0°. By a compactification of a complex manifold V we mean a compact complex manifold S together with an analytic set C in S such that $S - C$ is biholomorphic to V . It is known that every compactification of C^2 is a rational surface. (Kodaira [4], Morrow [5]) In this note we shall prove the following two theorems:

THEOREM 1. *Every compactification of $C \times C^*$ is a rational surface.*

THEOREM 2. *Every compactification of $(C^*)^2$ is of one of the following three types:*

- (0) *a rational surface,*
- (1) *a Hopf surface containing only one irreducible curve, or a manifold obtained from a Hopf surface of this type by blowing up at points of the curve (see 4°, case (d)).*
- (2) *a unique P^1 -bundle S over an elliptic curve admitting a unique global section C with $I(C, C) = 0$ such that $S - C$ is an analytically non-trivial principal C -bundle, i.e., the "Serre variety" according to Simha [9], or a manifold obtained from S by blowing up at points of C .*

As for Theorem 2, the existence of such three types has been pointed out by several authors (see for example the footnote of [10]), and recently Simha ([9]) has shown that every non-rational algebraic compactification with irreducible C is of type (2).

Our proof is based on (i) a result obtained by Kodaira from the value distribution theory, from which follows the vanishing of the geometric genus of S , (ii) the method used by Mumford to see the topology of the neighborhood of a compact curve in a surface, in particular his criterion for simplicity, by which we shall show that, in the non-rational case, C can be reduced to an irreducible elliptic curve, and (iii) Kodaira's classification theory of complex surfaces.

1°. Let S be a compact complex manifold of dimension 2. We denote by $b_k(S)$, $P_m(S)$, and $p_g(S)$ respectively, the k -th Betti number, the m -genus and the geometric genus of S . The intersection multiplicity $I(\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2)$ of $\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2 \in H_2(S, \mathbb{R})$ is a non-singular symmetric bilinear form on

$H_2(S, \mathbf{R})$. We denote by (b^+, b^-) the signature of this form.

By Kodaira [4, p. 45-46] we have

PROPOSITION 1. *If S is a compactification of $C \times C^*$ or $(C^*)^2$, then $P_m(S) = 0$ for all m , in particular $p_g(S) = 0$.*

For $(C^*)^2$ this is not stated in [4], but we can easily verify it in the same manner as for $C \times C^*$ calculating the order of the mean degree of the mapping $f: C^2 \rightarrow (C^*)^2$ defined by $f(z_1, z_2) = (e^{z_1}, e^{z_2})$.

COROLLARY. *S being as in the proposition,*

$$\begin{aligned} b^+ &= 2p_g(S) + 1 = 1 && \text{if } b_1(S) \text{ is even,} \\ b^+ &= 2p_g(S) = 0 && \text{if } b_1(S) \text{ is odd.} \end{aligned}$$

This follows from Theorem 3 in [2] and the proposition.

2°. Proof of Theorem 1. Let S be a compactification of $C \times C^*$ with an analytic set C , $S - C \cong C \times C^*$. C is connected, since there is no pair of open sets U_1 and U_2 in $C \times C^*$, which are not relatively compact such that $U_1 \cap U_2 = \emptyset$ and that $(C \times C^*) - (U_1 \cup U_2)$ is compact. C is of dimension 1. In fact, if C were a point, every holomorphic function on $C \times C^*$ would be extended to the whole S by Hartogs' theorem, and would be constant. Let us next consider the following exact homology sequence with real coefficients:

$$0 \rightarrow H_3(S) \rightarrow H_3(S, C) \rightarrow H_2(C) \xrightarrow{i_*} H_2(S) \rightarrow H_2(S, C) .$$

We have $\dim H_3(S, C) = \dim H^1(S - C) = 1$ and $\dim H_2(S, C) = \dim H^2(S - C) = 0$ by the Poincaré-Lefschetz duality. Therefore $b_1(S) = b_3(S) = 0$ or 1. It is proved in [4] (using Proposition 1) that, if $b_1(S) = 0$, then S is rational. We shall show that the case $b_1(S) = 1$ does not occur. Suppose that $b_1(S) = b_3(S) = 1$. Then i_* is an isomorphism and induces on $H_2(C)$ a non-singular symmetric bilinear form, which is represented by the intersection matrix $(I(C_j, C_k))$ with respect to the irreducible components C_j of C . By the corollary to Proposition 1, this matrix is negative definite. Then by a theorem of Grauert ([1]), C is exceptional and by collapsing C to a point we obtain a normal complex space S/C . But this is a contradiction, since any holomorphic function on $S - C \cong C \times C^*$ would be extended to the whole S/C (see Narasimhan [7] p. 118, Proposition 4), and would be constant. q.e.d.

3°. Let S be a complex manifold of dimension 2 and consider a connected compact analytic set C of dimension 1 in S with n irreducible components, $C = \bigcup_{j=1}^n C_j$, satisfying the following conditions:

- (#) i) each irreducible component C_j is regular,
- ii) if $C_j \cap C_k \neq \emptyset$ for $j \neq k$, C_j and C_k intersect transversally at only one point,
- iii) $C_i \cap C_j \cap C_k = \emptyset$, if i, j and k are distinct.

Following Mumford [6] and Ramanujam [8], we construct the boundary of a tubular neighborhood of C , as follows: For every singular point p_ν of C we choose a coordinate neighborhood $U_\nu \cong \{(z_1, z_2); |z_1|, |z_2| < R\}$ ($R > 1$), such that C is defined by the equation $z_1 z_2 = 0$, and that $U_\nu \cap U_\mu = \emptyset$ for $\nu \neq \mu$. Next we introduce a Riemannian metric ds in a neighborhood of C , which is of the form $ds^2 = |dz_1|^2 + |dz_2|^2$ in U_ν . Using this metric we define the exponential map $\exp_j: W_{j,\varepsilon} \rightarrow S$, where $W_{j,\varepsilon}$ is a closed ε -neighborhood of the zero section of the normal bundle of C_j . If we take ε sufficiently small, we may assume that \exp_j is a homeomorphism from $W_{j,\varepsilon}$ onto V_j , where V_j is a closed neighborhood of C_j such that $V_j \cap V_k = \emptyset$ if $C_j \cap C_k = \emptyset$, and $V_j \cap V_k \subset U_\nu = \{p \in U_\nu; |z_1(p)|, |z_2(p)| < 1\}$ if $C_j \cap C_k = p_\nu$. We define $M = \partial(\bigcup_{j=1}^n V_j)$. M is a topological manifold of dimension 3.

Let us next define a continuous map Φ of $[0, 1] \times M$ onto $\bigcup_{j=1}^n V_j$ such that $\Phi(0 \times M) = C$ and that $\Phi|_{(0, 1] \times M}$ is a homeomorphism of $(0, 1] \times M$ onto $(\bigcup V_j) - C$, in the following manner (cf. [8]):

- i) for (t, p) with $p \in M \cap \bar{U}'_\nu$. The coordinates (z_1, z_2) of p satisfy $\min\{|z_1|, |z_2|\} = \varepsilon$. Define Φ by

$$(t, z_1, z_2) \mapsto \left(tz_1 + (1-t) \frac{(|z_1| - \varepsilon)z_1}{(1-\varepsilon)|z_1|}, tz_2 \right) \quad \text{if } |z_1| \geq |z_2| = \varepsilon,$$

$$(t, z_1, z_2) \mapsto \left(tz_1, tz_2 + (1-t) \frac{(|z_2| - \varepsilon)z_2}{(1-\varepsilon)|z_2|} \right) \quad \text{if } |z_2| \geq |z_1| = \varepsilon.$$

- ii) for (t, p) with $p \in M - (\bigcup U'_j)$. There is a unique j with $p \in \partial V_j$. Define Φ by $\Phi(t, p) = \exp_j(t \exp_j^{-1}(p))$, where t denotes the multiplication by t on the fiber of the normal bundle.

Let S/C be the topological space obtained by collapsing C , and let $\pi: S \rightarrow S/C$ denote the canonically defined continuous map. Set $\pi(C) = p_0$ and $\pi \circ \Phi = \Phi_0$. Then M has the following property: There exists a continuous map $\Phi_0: [0, 1] \times M \rightarrow S/C$ such that $\Phi_0(0 \times M) = p_0$ and that $\Phi_0|_{(0, 1] \times M}$ is a homeomorphism. Let us see that any topological space with this property is of the same homotopy type. We take any topological space M' with a continuous map $\Phi': [0, 1] \times M' \rightarrow S/C$ such that $\Phi'(0 \times M') = p_0$ and that $\Phi'|_{(0, 1] \times M'}$ is a homeomorphism, and show the homotopy equivalence of M and M' . Take $t, t' \in (0, 1]$ sufficiently small, such that $\Phi_0(t \times M) \subset \Phi'([0, 1] \times M')$ and $\Phi'(t' \times M') \subset \Phi_0([0, 1] \times M)$,

respectively. Let $(\Phi_0|(0, 1] \times M)^{-1} = (\tau, \sigma)$ and $(\Phi'| (0, 1] \times M')^{-1} = (\tau', \sigma')$, and define $f: M \rightarrow M'$ by $f(p) = \sigma' \circ \Phi_0(t, p)$ and $g: M' \rightarrow M$ by $g(p') = \sigma \circ \Phi'(t', p')$. Then $g \circ f: M \rightarrow M$ is homotopic to the identity map of M , since we have the homotopy $\tilde{h}: [0, 1] \times M \rightarrow M$ defined by

$$\tilde{h}(s, p) = \sigma \circ \Phi'(st' + (1-s)\tau' \circ \Phi_0(t, p), \sigma' \circ \Phi_0(t, p)).$$

Indeed, $\tilde{h}(0, p) = \sigma \circ \Phi'(\tau' \circ \Phi_0(t, p), \sigma' \circ \Phi_0(t, p)) = \sigma \circ \Phi_0(t, p) = p$, and $\tilde{h}(1, p) = \sigma \circ \Phi'(t', \sigma' \circ \Phi_0(t, p)) = g \circ f(p)$. Similarly we see that $f \circ g$ is homotopic to the identity map of M' . Thus M and M' are homotopically equivalent.

Now we consider the continuous map φ of M onto C defined by $\varphi(p) = \Phi(0, p)$. φ induces surjective homomorphisms $\pi_1(M) \rightarrow \pi_1(C)$ and $\varphi_*: H_1(M, \mathbf{Z}) \rightarrow H_1(C, \mathbf{Z})$.

PROPOSITION 2. (Mumford [6, p. 10]). *The kernel K of the homomorphism φ_* is described as follows:*

- i) K is generated by $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$, where α_j is a loop in M which goes around C_j with positive orientation.
- ii) the fundamental relations of these generators are

$$\sum_{k=1}^n I(C_j, C_k) \alpha_k = 0, \quad j = 1, \dots, n.$$

COROLLARY. $\text{rank}(I(C_j, C_k)) = n - \text{rank } H_1(M, \mathbf{Z}) + \text{rank } H_1(C, \mathbf{Z})$.

In fact $\text{rank}(I(C_j, C_k)) = n - \text{rank } K$, and $\text{rank } K = \text{rank } H_1(M, \mathbf{Z}) - \text{rank } H_1(C, \mathbf{Z})$.

C is said to be exceptional if there is a complex space \check{S} and a holomorphic map $f: S \rightarrow \check{S}$ such that $f(C)$ is a point and that $f|_{S-C}$ is a homeomorphism. By the theorem of Grauert referred to in 2°, C is exceptional if and only if the intersection matrix is negative definite. If \check{S} is a manifold, C is said to be exceptional of the first kind.

THEOREM (Mumford [6]). *If C is exceptional, C is of the first kind if and only if M is simply connected.*

4°. **Proof of Theorem 2.** Let S be a compactification of $(C^*)^2$ with $C, S - C \cong (C^*)^2$. C is connected and of dimension 1. We may assume that $C = \bigcup_{j=1}^n C_j$ satisfies the conditions (#) by blowing up at points in C if necessary. M defined in 3° with respect to C is homotopically equivalent to a 3-dimensional torus. Indeed, $C^* \cong S^1 \times \mathbf{R}$, $(C^*)^2 \cong S^1 \times S^1 \times \mathbf{R}^2 \cong S^1 \times S^1 \times S^2 - S^1 \times S^1 \times p$ ($p \in S^2$) as differentiable manifolds. The construction of M is also valid in this situation. So $M \cong S^1 \times S^1 \times S^1$. Hence $\pi_1(M) = H_1(M, \mathbf{Z}) = \mathbf{Z} \oplus \mathbf{Z} \oplus \mathbf{Z}$. Since $\pi_1(M) \rightarrow \pi_1(C)$ is surjective, $\pi_1(C)$ is commutative.

In general $\pi_1(C) = \pi_1(C_1) * \dots * \pi_1(C_n) * \pi_1(G)$, the free product of the fundamental groups of the irreducible components C_j and that of the graph G of $\bigcup C_j$. $\pi_1(G)$ is a free group with p generators when G is of the first Betti number p . In order that $\pi_1(C)$ is commutative, all but one of the components of the product must vanish, moreover if $\pi_1(C_j) \neq 1$, the genus of C_j is 1, and if $\pi_1(G) \neq 1$, G contains only one loop.

Thus we have the following three possibilities regarding the configuration of C :

i) $\pi_1(C) = H_1(C, \mathbf{Z}) = 0$, all C_j are rational curves and the graph is a tree,

ii) $\pi_1(C) = H_1(C, \mathbf{Z}) = \mathbf{Z}$, all C_j are rational curves and the graph contains only one loop.

iii) $\pi_1(C) = H_1(C, \mathbf{Z}) = \mathbf{Z} \oplus \mathbf{Z}$, there is only one elliptic curve, the others are, if they exist, all rational, and the graph is a tree.

Let us next consider the exact homology sequence with real coefficients:

$$0 \rightarrow H_3(S) \rightarrow H_3(S, C) \rightarrow H_2(C) \xrightarrow{i_*} H_2(S) \rightarrow H_2(S, C) \rightarrow H_1(C) \rightarrow H_1(S) \rightarrow 0,$$

where $b_1(S) = b_3(S)$, $\dim H_3(S, C) = \dim H^1(S - C) = 2$, and $\dim H_2(S, C) = \dim H^2(S - C) = 1$, by duality; $\dim H_2(C) = n$, the number of the irreducible components of C .

Combining these we have the following five cases:

- (a) $b_1(C) = 0, b_1(S) = 0, b_2(S) = n - 1, b^+ = 1,$
- (b) $b_1(C) = 1, b_1(S) = 0, b_2(S) = n - 2, b^+ = 1,$
- (c) $b_1(C) = 1, b_1(S) = 1, b_2(S) = n, b^+ = 0,$
- (d) $b_1(C) = 2, b_1(S) = 1, b_2(S) = n - 1, b^+ = 0,$
- (e) $b_1(C) = 2, b_1(S) = 2, b_2(S) = n + 1, b^+ = 1.$

Before dealing with each case we prove two lemmas.

LEMMA 1. *Let E be an N -dimensional vector space over the field of real numbers and $I(x, y)$ a non-singular symmetric bilinear form defined on E with signature $(1, N - 1)$. If the restriction I' of I to an $(N - 1)$ -dimensional subspace E' is of rank $N - 2$, then I' is negative semi-definite.*

PROOF. There is an orthogonal decomposition $E = E^+ \oplus E^-$, $\dim E^+ = 1$, $\dim E^- = N - 1$, $I|E^+$ is positive definite, $I|E^-$ is negative definite. Since $\dim E' \cap E^- \geq N - 2$, $I' = I|E'$ has at least $N - 2$ negative eigenvalues. Then I' cannot have any positive eigenvalue.

q.e.d.

LEMMA 2. *Let $C = \bigcup_{j=1}^n C_j$ be as in 3°, and suppose that the intersection matrix $(I(C_j, C_k))$ is negative semi-definite, then*

i) if $I(\sum_{j=1}^n r_j C_j, \sum_{j=1}^n r_j C_j) = 0$ ($r_j \in \mathbf{R}$), then r_j are all positive, negative or zero simultaneously.

ii) $\text{rank}(I(C_j, C_k)) \geq n - 1$,

iii) if $\{j(1), \dots, j(n')\} \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}$, then the $n' \times n'$ matrix $(I(C_{j(\nu)}, C_{j(\mu)}))$ is negative definite.

PROOF. i) Set $J^+ = \{j; r_j > 0\}$ and $J^- = \{j; r_j < 0\}$. Then

$$I(\sum_{j \in J^+} r_j C_j, \sum_{j \in J^+} r_j C_j) + I(\sum_{k \in J^-} r_k C_k, \sum_{k \in J^-} r_k C_k) + 2 \sum_{j \in J^+, k \in J^-} r_j r_k I(C_j, C_k) = 0.$$

Since all the terms are non-positive, each term is zero. If $J^+ \neq \emptyset$ or $\{1, \dots, n\}$, there would exist, since C is connected, a $j_0 \notin J^+$ such that $I(C_{j_0}, \sum_{j \in J^+} r_j C_j) > 0$, and then

$$I(C_{j_0} + r \sum_{j \in J^+} r_j C_j, C_{j_0} + r \sum_{j \in J^+} r_j C_j) = I(C_{j_0}, C_{j_0}) + 2r I(C_{j_0}, \sum_{j \in J^+} r_j C_j)$$

would be positive for sufficiently large r . Therefore $J^+ = \emptyset$ or $\{1, \dots, n\}$. Similarly $J^- = \emptyset$ or $\{1, \dots, n\}$. ii) and iii) are easily derived from i).

We now return to the proof of the theorem.

Case (a). We shall show that this case does not occur. Let us suppose S and C satisfy the conditions in (a). By the corollary to Proposition 2, $\text{rank}(I(C_j, C_k)) = n - 3$. $\dim H_2(S) = n - 1$, $\dim \text{Im } i_* = n - 2$ and $\text{rank}(I|\text{Im } i_*) = \text{rank}(I(C_j, C_k)) = n - 3$. Then by Lemma 1, $(I(C_j, C_k))$ is negative semi-definite. This contradicts Lemma 2 ii).

Case (b). S is rational by Proposition 1. Examples of this case are

(i) S : the complex projective plane P^2 , C : the union of three lines $L_j (j = 1, 2, 3)$ in general position,

(ii) S : a line bundle over a rational curve compactified with infinity section, i.e., P^1 -bundle over P^1 (Hirzebruch manifold Σ_n or Nagata's F_n), C : the union of zero section, infinity section and two fibers. It is difficult for the author to determine all the types of configuration of C . Some remarks on this case will be made at the end of this paper.

Case (c). This case does not occur. In fact, by the exact sequence $\dim \text{Im } i_* = n - 1$. Since I is negative definite, $\text{rank}(I(C_j, C_k)) = \text{rank}(I|\text{Im } i_*) = n - 1$. This contradicts the corollary to Proposition 2.

In cases (d) and (e), C is the union of an elliptic curve C_1 and a certain number of trees D_1, D_2, \dots composed of rational curves, $D_\nu \cap D_\mu = \emptyset$, $D_\nu \cap C_1 = p_\nu$. We shall prove that each D_ν is exceptional of the first kind. It suffices to show the following:

i) The intersection matrix with respect to the components of D_ν is negative definite.

In fact, in case (d), $(I(C_j, C_k))$ is negative semi-definite. We can apply Lemma 2 iii). In case (e), $\dim \text{Im } i_* = n$, $\text{rank } (I(C_j, C_k)) = n - 1$. Therefore by Lemma 1, $(I(C_j, C_k))$ is negative semi-definite, and we can apply Lemma 2 iii).

ii) M_ν , the boundary of a tubular neighborhood of D_ν , is simply connected.

We define everything as in 3°, then by definition $M_\nu = \partial(\bigcup_{C_j \subset D_\nu} V_j)$. In the coordinate neighborhood U_ν of p_ν , let $C_1 \cap U_\nu = \{z_1 = 0\}$ and $D_\nu \cap U_\nu = \{z_2 = 0\}$. Let Δ be a disc in C_1 with center p_ν defined by $\Delta = \{z_1 = 0, |z_2| < \varepsilon\}$. We form $M' = M_\nu \cup (C_1 - \Delta) \cup (\bigcup_{\mu \neq \nu} D_\mu)$ and define a continuous map $\psi: M \rightarrow M'$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(z_1, z_2) &= \left(\frac{(|z_1| - \varepsilon)z_1}{(1 - \varepsilon)|z_1|}, z_2 \right) \quad \text{for } p \in M \cap U'_\nu, \\ \psi(p) &= p \quad \text{for } p \in (M - U'_\nu) \cap M_\nu, \\ \psi(p) &= \varphi(p) \quad \text{for } p \in (M - U'_\nu) - M_\nu. \end{aligned}$$

The homomorphism $\pi_1(M) \rightarrow \pi_1(M')$ induced by ψ is surjective as is easily verified. Hence $\pi_1(M')$ is commutative. From this fact we shall derive $\pi_1(M_\nu) = 1$.

Let us recall here the notion of free product of groups with amalgamation. Let groups G and G' be represented by sets of generators and fundamental relations:

$$\begin{aligned} G &= \langle X_1, \dots, X_r \mid R_1 = 1, \dots, R_s = 1 \rangle, \\ G' &= \langle X'_1, \dots, X'_{r'} \mid R'_1 = 1, \dots, R'_{s'} = 1 \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

If G and G' contain subgroups H and H' respectively with an isomorphism $i: H \rightarrow H'$, then the free product of G and G' with amalgamation along H and H' is defined by

$$\langle X_1, \dots, X_r, X'_1, \dots, X'_{r'} \mid R_1 = 1, \dots, R_s = 1, \dots, R'_{s'} = 1, i(x) = x \ (x \in H) \rangle.$$

It is known that this contains subgroups isomorphic to G and to G' .

We set $\pi_1(M_\nu) = \langle \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r \mid R_1 = 1, \dots, R_s = 1 \rangle$, and $\pi_1((C_1 - \Delta) \cup (\bigcup_{\mu \neq \nu} D_\mu)) = \pi_1(C_1 - \Delta) = \langle \beta, \gamma \rangle$, the free group generated by β and γ . By van Kampen's theorem,

$$\pi_1(M') = \langle \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r, \beta, \gamma \mid R_1 = 1, \dots, R_s = 1, \alpha = \beta\gamma\beta^{-1}\gamma^{-1} \rangle,$$

where $\alpha \in \pi_1(M_\nu)$ is the element represented by the loop $\partial\Delta = M_\nu \cap ((C_1 - \Delta) \cup (\bigcup D_\mu))$. If the order of α in $\pi_1(M_\nu)$ is infinite, $\pi_1(M')$ is the free product of $\pi_1(M_\nu)$ and $\pi_1((C_1 - \Delta) \cup (\bigcup D_\mu))$ with amalgamation along $\langle \alpha \rangle$ and $\langle \beta\gamma\beta^{-1}\gamma^{-1} \rangle$, which contains a subgroup isomorphic to $\langle \beta, \gamma \rangle$, and is therefore not commutative. This is a contradiction. Hence α must

be of a finite order m . It is easily seen that, in $\langle \beta, \gamma | (\beta\gamma\beta^{-1}\gamma^{-1})^m = 1 \rangle$, $\beta\gamma\beta^{-1}\gamma^{-1}$ is of order m . Then $\pi_1(M')$ is the free product of $\pi_1(M_\nu)$ and $\langle \beta, \gamma | (\beta\gamma\beta^{-1}\gamma^{-1})^m = 1 \rangle$ with amalgamation along the subgroups $\langle \alpha \rangle$ and $\langle \beta\gamma\beta^{-1}\gamma^{-1} \rangle$. $\langle \beta, \gamma | (\beta\gamma\beta^{-1}\gamma^{-1})^m = 1 \rangle$ is commutative if and only if $m = 1$. Hence $\pi_1(M')$ is the free product of $\pi_1(M_\nu)$ and $\langle \beta\gamma\beta^{-1}\gamma^{-1} = 1 \rangle$ and is not commutative when $\pi_1(M_\nu) \neq 1$. ii) is thus proved.

Case (d). By blowing down all the D_ν 's, we obtain a compactification \check{S} with an irreducible curve \check{C} , and $\check{S} - \check{C} \cong (C^*)^2$. We have $b_2(\check{S}) = 0$. Hence any curve on S is homologous to zero and the intersection multiplicity of any two curves is zero. In particular there is no irreducible curve different from \check{C} which meets \check{C} . On the other hand, there is no curve which does not meet \check{C} , since $\check{S} - \check{C} \cong (C^*)^2$ does not contain any compact curve. Thus there is no curve on \check{S} other than \check{C} , and consequently there is no non-constant meromorphic function on \check{S} . Now we apply a theorem of Kodaira ([3] Theorem 34): If $b_1(\check{S}) = 1$, $b_2(\check{S}) = 0$, and if \check{S} contains at least one curve and admits no non-constant meromorphic function, then \check{S} is a Hopf surface. Therefore \check{S} is of the form $(C^2 - 0)/G$, where G is a group of transformations generated by a transformation (A) or by transformations (A) and (B).

$$(A): (z_1, z_2) \rightarrow (\alpha_1 z_1 + \lambda z_2^m, \alpha_2 z_2),$$

$$(B): (z_1, z_2) \rightarrow (\varepsilon_1 z_1, \varepsilon_2 z_2)$$

where m is an integer, α_1, α_2 and λ are complex numbers, ε_1 and ε_2 are primitive l -th roots of unity, with conditions $0 < |\alpha_1| \leq |\alpha_2| < 1$, $(\alpha_1 - \alpha_2^m)\lambda = 0$, and $(\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2^m)\lambda = 0$. ([3] Theorem 32).

In our case we have $\lambda \neq 0$, since otherwise \check{S} contains two curves defined by $z_1 = 0$ and $z_2 = 0$. Conversely, if $\lambda \neq 0$, \check{S} contains only one irreducible curve \check{C} defined by $z_2 = 0$, and $\check{S} - \check{C} \cong (C^*)^2$ as is easily verified. This is the type (1) of the theorem.

Case (e). By blowing down all the D_ν 's we obtain a compactification \check{S} with \check{C} . \check{S} is algebraic ([2] Theorem 10), and \check{C} is irreducible. This situation was investigated by Simha [9]: By the Albanese mapping, \check{S} is mapped onto an elliptic curve T , and each fiber is regular and rational, i.e., \check{S} is a P^1 -bundle over T . \check{C} is a global section, and $\check{S} - \check{C}$ is a non-trivial principal C -bundle. Conversely a unique P^1 -bundle of this type is a compactification of $(C^*)^2$. This is the type (2) of the theorem.

REMARK. It is not known to the author whether, for every rational compactification S with C of $(C^*)^2$, there exists a bimeromorphic map f

of S to P^2 such that $f|_{S-C}$ is a biholomorphic map of $S-C$ onto $P^2 - \bigcup L_j$.

This problem can be, in the following special case, affirmatively answered.

PROPOSITION 3. *Assume that $C = \bigcup_{j=1}^n C_j$ satisfies the condition (#), and $I(C_j, C_{j+1}) = 1$, $j = 1, \dots, n$, where we let $C_{n+1} = C_1$, and that C_j 's have no other intersection. Then, blowing down successively irreducible components C_j with $I(C_j, C_j) = -1$, we can reduce S with C to (i) or (ii) of the case (b).*

PROOF. The kernel K of the surjective homomorphism $H_1(M, \mathbf{Z}) \rightarrow H_1(C, \mathbf{Z})$ is isomorphic to $\mathbf{Z} \oplus \mathbf{Z}$. On the other hand, by Proposition 2, K is generated by $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$, with the relations

$$\alpha_{j-1} + v_j \alpha_j + \alpha_{j+1} = 0, \quad j = 1, \dots, n,$$

where $\alpha_0 = \alpha_n$, $\alpha_{n+1} = \alpha_1$, and $v_j = I(C_j, C_j)$.

These relations are written in the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{j-1} \\ \alpha_j \end{pmatrix} = A_j \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_j \\ \alpha_{j+1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{where } A_j = \begin{pmatrix} -v_j & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad j = 1, \dots, n.$$

Hence we see, eliminating $\alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_{n-1}$, that K is generated by α_0 and α_1 with the relation

$$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha_0 \\ \alpha_1 \end{pmatrix} = A_1 \cdots A_n \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_0 \\ \alpha_1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

In order that $K \cong \mathbf{Z} \oplus \mathbf{Z}$, this relation must be trivial, i.e., $A_1 \cdots A_n = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = I$.

By direct computation we have, in the case $n = 3$, $v_1 = v_2 = v_3 = 1$, and in the case $n = 4$, $v_1 = v_3 = v_2 + v_4 = 0$, or $v_2 = v_4 = v_1 + v_3 = 0$. These are cases (i) and (ii) as is readily seen.

We prove that, if $n \geq 5$, there exists a C_j with $v_j = -1$. First we see that, if $n \geq 6$, there is no pair C_j, C_k such that $v_j, v_k \geq 0$ and $I(C_j, C_k) = 0$. Assume the contrary, and take $C_{j'}$ and $C_{k'}$ such that

$$I(C_j, C_{j'}) = I(C_k, C_{k'}) = 1, \quad I(C_j, C_{k'}) = I(C_k, C_{j'}) = I(C_{j'}, C_{k'}) = 0.$$

Then we have $I(r_j C_j + C_{j'}, r_k C_k + C_{k'}) = 0$, $I(r_j C_j + C_{j'}, r_j C_j + C_{j'}) > 0$ and $I(r_k C_k + C_{k'}, r_k C_k + C_{k'}) > 0$, for sufficiently large r_j, r_k . This contradicts the fact that $b^+ = 1$. We see similarly that, if $n = 5$, there is no pair C_j, C_k with $v_j > 0, v_k \geq 0$ and $I(C_j, C_k) = 0$. It suffices to consider the following four cases: (a) $v_j < 0, j = 1, \dots, n$. (b) $v_1 \geq 0, v_j < 0$,

$j = 2, \dots, n$. (c) $v_1, v_2 \geq 0, v_j < 0, j = 3, \dots, n$. (d) $n = 5, v_1 = v_3 = 0$. The case (d) is omitted by direct computation. In the first three cases, we have one of the equations, $I = A_1 \cdots A_n, A_1^{-1} = A_2 \cdots A_n$, or $A_2^{-1}A_1^{-1} = A_3 \cdots A_n$, where the left term is

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & -v_1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{or} \quad \begin{pmatrix} -1 & -v_1 \\ v_2 & v_1v_2 - 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

If $v_j \neq -1$, setting the right term $= \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$, we have $a > c > 0$, which is a contradiction. The above assertion is proved by induction using the fact:

In the equation $\begin{pmatrix} a' & b' \\ c' & d' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} k & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$, if $k \geq 2$ and if $a > c > 0$, then $a' > c' > 0$.

Thus one of the irreducible components of C can be blown down and n is diminished until we have $n = 4$, and the proof is completed.

REFERENCES

- [1] H. GRAUERT, Über Modifikationen und exzeptionelle analytische Mengen, *Math. Ann.*, 146 (1962), 331-368.
- [2] K. KODAIRA, On the structure of compact complex analytic surfaces I, *Amer. J. Math.*, 86 (1964), 751-798.
- [3] K. KODAIRA, On the structure of compact complex analytic surfaces II, *Amer. J. Math.*, 88 (1966), 682-721.
- [4] K. KODAIRA, Holomorphic mappings of polydiscs into compact complex manifolds, *J. Differential Geometry*, 6 (1971), 33-46.
- [5] J. A. MORROW, Minimal normal compactifications of C^2 , *Rice Univ. Studies*, 59 (1973), 97-112.
- [6] D. MUMFORD, The topology of normal singularities of an algebraic surfaces and a criterion for simplicity, *Publ. Math. I. H. E. S.*, 9 (1961), 5-22.
- [7] R. NARASIMHAN, Introduction to the theory of analytic spaces, Springer-Verlag, 1966.
- [8] C. P. RAMANUJAM, A topological characterization of the affine plane as an algebraic variety, *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 94 (1971), 69-88.
- [9] R. R. SIMHA, Algebraic varieties biholomorphic to $C^* \times C^*$, *Tôhoku Math. J.*, 30 (1978), 455-461.
- [10] A. VAN DE VEN, Analytic compactification of complex homology cells, *Math. Ann.*, 147 (1962), 189-204.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
 KYOTO UNIVERSITY
 KYOTO, 606 JAPAN