

 Open access • Journal Article • DOI:10.1007/S12011-016-0783-3

Comparative Assessment of Copper, Iron, and Zinc Contents in Selected Indian (Assam) and South African (Thohoyandou) Tea (*Camellia sinensis* L.) Samples and Their Infusion: A Quest for Health Risks to Consumer — [Source link](#)

Tanmoy Karak, Ranjit Kumar Paul, Funso Raphael Kutu, Aradhana Mehra ...+4 more authors

Institutions: Indian Agricultural Statistics Research Institute, North-West University, University of Derby, Central Institute of Medicinal and Aromatic Plants

Published on: 01 Feb 2017 - Biological Trace Element Research (Springer US)

Topics: Camellia sinensis

Related papers:

- [Trace elements in tea leaves, made tea and tea infusion: A review](#)
- [Micronutrients \(B, Co, Cu, Fe, Mn, Mo, and Zn\) content in made tea \(*Camellia sinensis* L.\) and tea infusion with health prospect: A critical review.](#)
- [A comparison of the potential health risk of aluminum and heavy metals in tea leaves and tea infusion of commercially available green tea in Jiangxi, China](#)
- [Monitoring of essential and heavy metals in green tea from different geographical origins](#)
- [Fluoride in tea from Shandong Province, China and exposure assessment.](#)

Share this paper:    

View more about this paper here: <https://typeset.io/papers/comparative-assessment-of-copper-iron-and-zinc-contents-in-46wr14evlc>

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31

Comparative assessment of copper, iron and zinc contents in selected Indian (Assam) and South African (Thohoyandou) tea (*Camellia sinensis* L.) samples and their infusion: A quest for health risks to consumer

Tanmoy Karak^{a,*}, Ranjit Kumar Paul^b, Funso Raphael Kutu^c, Aradhana Mehra^d, Puja Khare^e, Amrit Kumar Dutta^a, Krishnamoni Bora^a, Romesh Kumar Boruah^a

^aUpper Assam Advisory Centre, Tea Research Association, Dikom-786101, Dibrugarh, Assam, India

^bDivision of Statistical Genetics, Indian Agricultural Statistics Research Institute, New Delhi 110012, India

^cDepartment of Crop Science, School of Agricultural Sciences, North West University, Mafikeng Campus, Mmabatho 2735, South Africa

^dEnvironmental Sustainability Research Centre, College of Life and Natural Sciences, University of Derby, Derby DE221GB, UK

^eCentral Institute of Medicinal and Aromatic Plants (CIMAP), Near Kukrail Picnic Spot, Lucknow 226015, India

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +91-9435861567; fax: +91- 373-2387556

E-mail addresses: tanmay.karak@gmail.com; t.karak@tocklai.net (T. Karak).

32
33
34

Abstract

35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

The current study aims to assess the infusion pattern of three important micronutrients namely copper (Cu), iron (Fe) and zinc (Zn) contents from black tea samples produced in Assam (India) and Thohoyandou (South Africa). Average daily intakes and hazardous quotient were reported for these micronutrients. Total content for Cu, Fe and Zn varied from 2.25 to 48.82 mg kg⁻¹, 14.75 to 148.18 mg kg⁻¹ and 28.48 to 106.68 mg kg⁻¹ respectively. The average contents of each of the three micronutrients were higher in tea leaves samples collected from South Africa than those from India while the contents in tea infusions in Indian samples were higher than in South African tea samples. Results of this study revealed that the consumption of not more than 600 mL tea infusion produced from 24 g of made tea per day may be beneficial to human in terms of these micronutrients content. Application of nonparametric tests revealed that most of the data sets do not satisfy the normality

1 assumptions. Hence, the use of both parametric and nonparametric statistical analysis that
2 subsequently revealed significant differences in elemental contents among Indian and South
3 African tea.
4
5

6
7 **Keywords:** Black tea; health risk assessment; meta-analysis; micronutrients; repeated
8 infusions
9

10 11 **1. Introduction**

12
13
14 Heavy metals accumulation by plants and their subsequent transfer to human beings
15 either through food or beverages is gaining tremendous global relevance [1]. Young shoots
16 (comprising of two young leaves and one bud) from tea (*Camellia sinensis* L.) plant are often
17 used for preparation of made tea. Approximately 67% of the total world population consume
18 tea infusion (i.e. water extract of made tea) as healthy and non-alcoholic refreshing drink [2].
19 Among several teas, black tea accounted for around 80% of global tea production [2] and is
20 manufactured following the five steps starting from plucking of young shoots:
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30

31 Plucked fresh tea leaves (i.e. young shoots) →withered →rolled →fermented →dried

32
33 The black tea (referred as tea in this paper) is not only considered as a refreshing agent but
34 also has nutraceutical values [3]. Therefore, its wide acceptability as fillips in the global
35 market is attested by about 18 to 20 billion cups of tea that are consumed daily in the world
36 [4]. The total tea producing area in the world is 3.52 million ha of which India and South
37 Africa contribute only 16.02% and 0.02% respectively [5]. According to FAO, estimation of
38 global total tea production in the year 2013 has been reported as 5.346 million tonnes [5].
39 India total tea production in 2013 was 1.21 million tonnes representing 25.16% of the world
40 tea production; and was the second largest tea producer in the world after China [5]. Amongst
41 the 48 tea producing countries in the world, South Africa is ranked 18 producing only 2500
42 tonnes and contributing 0.05% of the total global tea production [5]. Commercial tea
43 production in South Africa is still practised, albeit in smaller quantities [6].
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

The infusion of micronutrients such as Cu, Fe and Zn through leaching from tea leaves into water may also serve as a dietary source of these essential elements to the consumers [2]. These trace elements are considered essential for the living system as micronutrients, and are required for a variety of biomolecules to maintain the normal structure, function and proliferation of cells [7]. Nevertheless, excessive consumption of these trace elements can have toxic effects on the human body through interference with the body's physiological processes such as absorption and enzyme function thereby creating stress, including certain genetic disorders that can be considered as ecological toxins [2].

Tea is one of the major sources of Cu, Fe and Zn for human beings. However, earlier studies have demonstrated that excess amount of trace elements in tea plant, due to its acidophilic nature where trace elements get solubilized and make them potentially available to tea plants [8]. Therefore, it is of paramount importance to quantify the total amount of trace elements in made tea and their transfer in tea infusion. Hence, detail information on elemental analysis of tea leaves and tea infusion is important due to the global tea drinking habit and the increasing demand for tea [8]. Generally, the presence of micronutrients in tea infusion can contribute to the provision of adequate and reliable source of micronutrients supplement to human. However, repeated and regular consumption of tea beyond the dietary requirement may lead to accumulation of some trace elements in the body with significant health implications [9]. Several studies have highlighted that high amounts of Cu, Fe and Zn are present in tea leaves and made tea but these elements are not transferred in high amounts to humans through tea infusion [10, 11]. The amount of trace elements in tea infusion is dependent on several factors such as tea cultivars, type of tea, origin, elements content, speciation of elements and extraction methods such as repeated infusion [12, 13]. Several earlier studies have documented that trace elements in made tea as well as tea infusion are statistically different based on their origin. For example, [Szymczycha-Madeja et al.\[8\]](#)

1 characterised 10 tea samples that originated from Sri Lanka, China, India and Kenya but
2 marketed in Poland through simplified-multivariate classification and reported that variation
3 of trace elements in these tea samples is related to their origin. [McKenzie et al. \[14\]](#) similarly
4 characterised tea leaves according to total mineral content in different tea types by means of
5 probabilistic neural networks.
6
7
8
9
10

11 Although controversy regarding the beneficial and/or harmful effects of tea
12 consumption is continually being debated, it however, remained as a good source of different
13 micronutrients if one drinks a few cups daily [\[15\]](#). The concern around the trace element
14 contents in tea infusion, calls for the analysis of trace elements in tea and establishment of the
15 importance of their nutritional value so as to guard against any probable ill effects they may
16 cause to human health [\[12\]](#). Although the comparative analyses of Cu, Fe and Zn contents in
17 made tea and tea infusion among different tea growing countries of the world are available in
18 literature, such study between Indian and South African tea products including assessment of
19 the potential risks associated with high exposure through large consumption have not been
20 studied so far. These determinations are particularly important considering the increasing
21 habitually drink of tea infusions as beverage among Indian and South African people so as to
22 ascertain both the safety of this product and its nutritional value [\[3\]](#). Furthermore, many prior
23 studies have attempted to statistically quantify relationships between a tea and its country of
24 origin [\[2, 14\]](#) but such comparison between Indian and South African tea is currently very
25 scanty. Although available [statistic-information](#) regarding South African tea [relative](#) to the
26 global tea production statistic appears marginal, several initiatives from government and the
27 tea Industry through increase private partner participation [abound](#) aim at bringing about
28 significant increase in [tea](#) local production and availability. Increase product availability in
29 the market to meet local demand for consumption is also being facilitated through
30 importation from other tea growing countries like India supported by the [two](#) countries’
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

bilateral trade agreement to cater for the current low production level while also working towards increase local production. Therefore, this study aims to assess and compare the levels of Cu, Fe and Zn in made tea and three sequential tea infusions from Indian and South African teas. It further reports on the associated exposure and health risk assessment pattern upon consumption so as to provide useful information for better management through improved agronomic practices used for tea cultivation.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Sample description

Twelve crush, tear and curl (CTC) tea samples were procured in February 2015 from the local market of Assam, India (sample ID: IND 1 to IND 6) and a tea producing factory at Thohoyandou, South Africa (sample ID: SA 1 to SA 6) that are widely consumed in both countries and used for this study. All the black tea samples were produced from different tea cultivars however, the manufacturing process was similar.

2.2 Reagents

Analytical grade reagents procured from Merck Millipore India Pvt. Ltd (Mumbai, India) were used throughout the investigation. High quality water (18.2 MΩ/cm resistivity) obtained from a Milli-Q system (Millipore, USA) was also used. Copper (1000 mg L⁻¹ Cu) standard solution traceable to SRM from NIST Cu(NO₃)₂ in 0.5 mol L HNO₃; Fe (1000 mg L⁻¹ Fe) standard solution traceable to SRM from NIST Fe(NO₃)₃ in 0.5 mol L HNO₃ and zinc (1000 mg L⁻¹ Zn) standard solution traceable to SRM from NIST Zn(NO₃)₂ in 0.5 mol L HNO₃ that were all procured from CertiPUR® AAS Standards, USA were used for the preparation of calibration graph in atomic absorption spectrophotometer (AAS) to measure Cu, Fe and Zn in samples, respectively. All the standard solutions were prepared by successive dilutions to the required concentration. Soil SRM-2710 (Montana soil) and

1 sediment BCR-144 (sewage sludge) were used as standard reference materials for quality
2 control.
3

4 *2.3 Digestion of tea samples*

5
6
7 For the analysis of total Cu, Fe and Zn in tea samples, the tri-acid (concentrated
8 HNO₃:H₂SO₄:HClO₄:10:4:1) mixture was used as described by [Karak et al \[12\]](#). In brief, 0.2
9 g of tea sample was placed into 100 mL Erlenmeyer flask and 5 mL of tri-acid mixture was
10 added to it. This mixture was heated for 45 minute at 85°C on a hot plate until the ~~the~~ sample
11 was completely digested. The digested sample solution was allowed to cool down under room
12 temperature, diluted with distilled water and filtered through Whatman no. 1 filter paper
13 (Whatman Ltd., England) into 100 mL polycarbonate volumetric flask. The volume was
14 adjusted to 100 mL by adding distilled water. A blank digest was carried out in the same way.
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27 [The contents of](#) Cu, Fe and Zn were determined by AAS (model: AA240, Agilent, Malaysia).
28

29 *2.4 Preparation of tea infusion*

30
31 Standard infusion methodology commonly used in India and South Africa was used
32 for the preparation of tea infusion. Two gram (2 g) of dried tea sample was infused by adding
33 50 mL boiled distilled water in 100 mL porcelain beaker and it was kept covered with the lid
34 for 5 minutes with intermittent shaking for proper wetting. After 5 minutes, the solution was
35 filtered using filter paper (Whatman No. 1) and decanted into 50 mL volumetric flask and
36 volume was adjusted to 50 mL using same water [that was](#) used for [the](#) tea infusion.
37
38 Subsequently, the tea residue left after [the](#) first infusion was used for making [the](#) second and
39 third infusions following the protocol described by [Mehra and Baker \[16\]](#) but 50 mL boiled
40 distilled water was used to make the tea infusion. The volume of filtrate was made up to 50
41 mL by adding distilled water and was stored in air-tight container in refrigerator by adding
42 0.05 mL analytical grade chloroform to prevent microbial growth. Tea infusion was prepared
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 drinking tea infusion. Consumption of tea infusion through repeated infusion procedure is a
2 common practice in India as well as in South Africa, therefore, repeated infusion was done
3
4 for this present experiment. The contents of Cu, Fe and Zn in tea infusion were determined by
5
6 AAS. The three standards for each of Cu, Fe and Zn as earlier mentioned ~~were~~ used in the
7
8 study for the preparation of calibration curve for Cu, Fe and Zn were prepared from the stock
9
10 standards for each metal-element ion including a blank. The ion concentrations for each
11
12 element therefore were 0, 0.2, 0.4, 0.6 and 0.8 µg/g. All results described in the present study
13
14 are on the basis of dry mass. For ~~metal-the~~ determination of each element, two of the three
15
16 replicates of all ~~the~~ samples of made tea and tea infusion were analysed. The third replicate
17
18 sample was analysed only if the results of the two replicates were not within an acceptable
19
20 range of precision (i.e. relative error of <5% for high contents and <15% for low contents).
21
22
23
24
25

26 *2.5 Quality control*

27
28
29 In order to ascertain the accuracy of analytical results, ~~the~~ standard reference
30
31 materials (SRM-2710 and BCR-144) were analysed following the same digestion and
32
33 analytical procedure as those of samples. The obtained values were in good agreement with
34
35 the certified values. Recoveries from soil sample SRM-2710 were good with an average
36
37 value of 99.6% for Fe (21.71 mg kg⁻¹), 109.8% for Cu (3245 mg kg⁻¹), and 99.3% for Zn
38
39 (6903.34 mg kg⁻¹). The BCR-144 also presented good recoveries with an average of 98.6%
40
41 for Fe (1.81 mg kg⁻¹), 103.8% for Cu (311.40 mg kg⁻¹), and 101.2% for Zn (930.03 mg kg⁻¹).
42
43
44
45

46 *2.6 Parameters for risks assessment*

47 *2.6.1 Average daily intake (ADI) of Cu, Fe and Zn*

48
49 The ADI of Cu, Fe and Zn was calculated to assess the daily exposure of individual,
50
51 which is dependent on both the micronutrient content in the infusion and the volume of tea
52
53 consumed. Average daily intake was calculated following the equation [17]:
54
55
56
57

$$58 \text{ ADI} = C_i \times A_i / A_z$$

59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 where: C_i is the content of Cu, Fe and Zn in tea infusion, A_i is the average daily intake rate of
2 tea and A_z is the average body weight (BW) of men and women. The calculation was based
3 on the assumption that [the](#) average body weight of men and women is 67.4 kg and 64.9 kg,
4 respectively while A_i of tea infusion was 0.6 L d⁻¹[12].
5
6
7
8
9

10 2.6.2 Hazardous Quotient

11 Hazardous quotient (HQ) was used to explain whether [or not](#), excessive and regular
12 consumption of tea infusion containing micronutrients possesses carcinogenic health risk
13 potential ~~or not~~. Hazardous quotient was calculated by the following equation [18]:
14
15
16
17
18
19

$$20 HQ = ADI/RfD$$

21 where: ADI is the average daily intake of the micronutrient in mg kg⁻¹d⁻¹ and RfD is the
22 reference dose of [a](#) particular micronutrient [measured](#) in mg kg⁻¹d⁻¹. The RfD values for Cu,
23 Fe and Zn are 0.04 mg kg⁻¹ BW d⁻¹; 0.7 mg kg⁻¹ BW d⁻¹ and 0.3 mg kg⁻¹ BW d⁻¹, respectively
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31 [19].

32 2.7 Statistical Analysis

33 Both parametric and non-parametric tests were performed to evaluate the significant
34 difference in Cu, Fe, Zn contents of made tea and [the](#) three consecutive infusions prepared
35 from the Indian and South African tea samples collected. Test for the homogeneity of
36 variances was also performed using both F- and t-tests. However, since the homogeneity of
37 variance assumption of the three metals in the tea samples and their infusions was violated, t-
38 test with Cochran approximation was performed to compare the two different tea sources.
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47

48 Testing normality of the data was performed following Kolmogorov-Smirnov test
49 originally developed by [Cramér](#) [20] and [Mises](#) [21] and further adapted by [Anderson and](#)
50 [Darling](#) [22] and [Watson and Freeman](#) [23]. The original test statistic, W^2 , Anderson's A^2 , and
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
66
67
68
69
70
71
72
73
74
75
76
77
78
79
80
81
82
83
84
85
86
87
88
89
90
91
92
93
94
95
96
97
98
99
100
101
102
103
104
105
106
107
108
109
110
111
112
113
114
115
116
117
118
119
120
121
122
123
124
125
126
127
128
129
130
131
132
133
134
135
136
137
138
139
140
141
142
143
144
145
146
147
148
149
150
151
152
153
154
155
156
157
158
159
160
161
162
163
164
165
166
167
168
169
170
171
172
173
174
175
176
177
178
179
180
181
182
183
184
185
186
187
188
189
190
191
192
193
194
195
196
197
198
199
200
201
202
203
204
205
206
207
208
209
210
211
212
213
214
215
216
217
218
219
220
221
222
223
224
225
226
227
228
229
230
231
232
233
234
235
236
237
238
239
240
241
242
243
244
245
246
247
248
249
250
251
252
253
254
255
256
257
258
259
260
261
262
263
264
265
266
267
268
269
270
271
272
273
274
275
276
277
278
279
280
281
282
283
284
285
286
287
288
289
290
291
292
293
294
295
296
297
298
299
300
301
302
303
304
305
306
307
308
309
310
311
312
313
314
315
316
317
318
319
320
321
322
323
324
325
326
327
328
329
330
331
332
333
334
335
336
337
338
339
340
341
342
343
344
345
346
347
348
349
350
351
352
353
354
355
356
357
358
359
360
361
362
363
364
365
366
367
368
369
370
371
372
373
374
375
376
377
378
379
380
381
382
383
384
385
386
387
388
389
390
391
392
393
394
395
396
397
398
399
400
401
402
403
404
405
406
407
408
409
410
411
412
413
414
415
416
417
418
419
420
421
422
423
424
425
426
427
428
429
430
431
432
433
434
435
436
437
438
439
440
441
442
443
444
445
446
447
448
449
450
451
452
453
454
455
456
457
458
459
460
461
462
463
464
465
466
467
468
469
470
471
472
473
474
475
476
477
478
479
480
481
482
483
484
485
486
487
488
489
490
491
492
493
494
495
496
497
498
499
500
501
502
503
504
505
506
507
508
509
510
511
512
513
514
515
516
517
518
519
520
521
522
523
524
525
526
527
528
529
530
531
532
533
534
535
536
537
538
539
540
541
542
543
544
545
546
547
548
549
550
551
552
553
554
555
556
557
558
559
560
561
562
563
564
565
566
567
568
569
570
571
572
573
574
575
576
577
578
579
580
581
582
583
584
585
586
587
588
589
590
591
592
593
594
595
596
597
598
599
600
601
602
603
604
605
606
607
608
609
610
611
612
613
614
615
616
617
618
619
620
621
622
623
624
625
626
627
628
629
630
631
632
633
634
635
636
637
638
639
640
641
642
643
644
645
646
647
648
649
650
651
652
653
654
655
656
657
658
659
660
661
662
663
664
665
666
667
668
669
670
671
672
673
674
675
676
677
678
679
680
681
682
683
684
685
686
687
688
689
690
691
692
693
694
695
696
697
698
699
700
701
702
703
704
705
706
707
708
709
710
711
712
713
714
715
716
717
718
719
720
721
722
723
724
725
726
727
728
729
730
731
732
733
734
735
736
737
738
739
740
741
742
743
744
745
746
747
748
749
750
751
752
753
754
755
756
757
758
759
760
761
762
763
764
765
766
767
768
769
770
771
772
773
774
775
776
777
778
779
780
781
782
783
784
785
786
787
788
789
790
791
792
793
794
795
796
797
798
799
800
801
802
803
804
805
806
807
808
809
810
811
812
813
814
815
816
817
818
819
820
821
822
823
824
825
826
827
828
829
830
831
832
833
834
835
836
837
838
839
840
841
842
843
844
845
846
847
848
849
850
851
852
853
854
855
856
857
858
859
860
861
862
863
864
865
866
867
868
869
870
871
872
873
874
875
876
877
878
879
880
881
882
883
884
885
886
887
888
889
890
891
892
893
894
895
896
897
898
899
900
901
902
903
904
905
906
907
908
909
910
911
912
913
914
915
916
917
918
919
920
921
922
923
924
925
926
927
928
929
930
931
932
933
934
935
936
937
938
939
940
941
942
943
944
945
946
947
948
949
950
951
952
953
954
955
956
957
958
959
960
961
962
963
964
965
966
967
968
969
970
971
972
973
974
975
976
977
978
979
980
981
982
983
984
985
986
987
988
989
990
991
992
993
994
995
996
997
998
999
1000

$$W^2 = n \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} [F_1(x) - F_0(x)]^2 d F_0(x)$$

$$A^2 = n \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{[F_1(x) - F_0(x)]^2}{F_0(x) - [F_0(x)]^2} d F_0(x)$$

$$U^2 = n \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} [F_1(x) - F_0(x) - W^2]^2 d F_0(x)$$

The hypothesis regarding the distributional form is rejected at the chosen significance level if the test statistics, W^2 , A^2 and U^2 are greater than the critical values.

The equality of variance test, hypothesis testing with two independent samples, the Mann - Whitney U test and the Kruskal-Wallis test [24] ~~have been were~~ performed for testing the equality of variances of two normal populations. All the statistical analyses of data including those described below were performed with SAS software version 9.3.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1 Copper (~~Cu~~) in made tea

A wide variation in total Cu content (2.3 ± 0.01 to 48.8 ± 4.02 mg kg⁻¹) between South African and Indian tea was observed (Table 1). Moreover, the ~~copper-Cu~~ content varied significantly ($p < 0.05$) between the two countries. Copper content in Indian tea varied from 35.0 ± 2.02 (IND 5) to 48.8 ± 4.02 (IND 3) mg kg⁻¹ with mean content of 40.22 ± 1.28 mg kg⁻¹ while in South African tea, it ~~was varied from~~ only 2.3 ± 0.01 (SA 1 and SA 3) to 4.5 ± 0.03 (SA 4) mg kg⁻¹ with mean content of 2.96 ± 0.27 mg kg⁻¹. The measured Cu values in Indian tea were higher than the ~~range of between~~ 10.0 and 25.0 mg kg⁻¹ reported in Sri Lankan tea by Ramakrishna et al. [25], while ~~the~~ reverse was true for the South African tea. Data reported in the present study ~~is-are~~ comparable with the reported values described by Kumar et al. [26] for Indian and US tea.

None of the 12 tea samples has Cu content that exceeded the prescribed upper limits in tea reported from different countries such as India, Australia, the United Kingdom and the United States (150 mg kg⁻¹; [26]), China (60 mg kg⁻¹; [27]), Japan (100 mg kg⁻¹; [28]) and Turkey (120 mg kg⁻¹ [29]). However, the Indian tea samples (IND 1 to IND 3) exceed the

1 permissible limit of Cu in made tea prescribed in Germany (40 mg kg⁻¹; [30]), USEPA's
2 health criteria (30 mg kg⁻¹; [31]), WHO Provisional guideline limit (2 mg kg⁻¹; [32]) and
3
4 Kenya (30 mg kg⁻¹ as set by the Kenya Bureau of Standards KS 65: 2009). The significantly
5
6 lower Cu contents in tea samples collected from South Africa could be due to non-application
7
8 of chemical fertilizers and copper based fungicides over the last ten years at the tea garden in
9
10 Thohoyandou (Estate Manager, personal communication). Based on the aforesaid, it could be
11
12 stated that the higher Cu contents ~~of Cu~~ in Indian tea may be related to the phosphatic
13
14 fertilizers and possible over application of copper fungicides that play a crucial role ~~of in~~ Cu
15
16 accumulation in tea plants [2, 33].
17
18
19
20
21

22 3.2 Iron (~~Fe~~) in made tea

23
24 Among the three micronutrients reported in the present study, Fe was found to be the
25
26 most abundant in all the tea leaf samples followed by Zn and Cu (Table 1). The content of Fe
27
28 ranged between 91.4±8.02 (IND 2) and 148.2±11.2 (IND 4) mg kg⁻¹ with an average of
29
30 106.55±16.72 mg kg⁻¹ in Indian tea samples but ~~ranged-varied~~ from 14.8±7.12 (SA 3) to
31
32 140.0±16.02 (SA 2) mg kg⁻¹ with an average of 98.88±22.85 mg kg⁻¹ for the South African
33
34 tea samples. The abundance of Fe in tea has also been reported by Görür et al. [34]. The
35
36 mean ~~Fe~~ content of Fe measured in all the tea samples was lower than the permissible limit in
37
38 tea or other foods that were earlier reported from various countries. For instance, Australia
39
40 and New Zealand (110 mg kg⁻¹; [35], Australian Legal Requirements (350 mg kg⁻¹; [36]),
41
42 Brazil (150 mg kg⁻¹; [36]) India (150 mg kg⁻¹; [13]), Sri Lanka (150 mg kg⁻¹; [13]), Thailand
43
44 (133 mg kg⁻¹ ; [36]) and WHO (50-150 mg kg⁻¹; [37]) but higher than some developed
45
46 countries (100 mg kg⁻¹; [38]) and Turkey (20 mg kg⁻¹;[36]). The present results are in line
47
48 with Street et al. [39] who reported a mean content of 146.9 mg Fe kg⁻¹ in Indian tea.
49
50
51
52
53
54
55

56 Comparatively higher amount of Fe in Indian than South African tea could be due to
57
58 such factors as the age of the tea leaves, differences in soil conditions, rainfall, altitude,
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 genetic makeup of the plants as well as manufacturing practices in India. Desideri et al.
2 concluded that the variation ~~of~~ in Fe content among tea samples is mainly attributed to the
3 differences in the degree of fermentation and the plant available Fe content in the soil on
4 which the plants were cultivated [1]. Furthermore, Fe content in tea quality was found to vary
5 with varied realm of production and manufacturing as reported in different studies [40].
6
7
8
9
10

11 3.3 Zinc (~~Zn~~) in made tea

12 Total Zn content in made tea samples (Table 1) differed significantly ($p < 0.05$)
13 between the two countries. The range and mean of Zn contents in Indian tea samples were
14 from 54.7 ± 0.01 (IND 1) to 106.7 ± 0.02 (IND 4) mg kg^{-1} and 74.2 ± 0.78 mg kg^{-1} , respectively.
15 For the South African tea samples, the range and mean values of Zn measured were from
16 28.5 ± 0.01 (SA 2) to 34.3 ± 0.01 (SA 5) mg kg^{-1} and 31.47 ± 1.28 mg kg^{-1} , respectively. The
17 total Zn content in all tea samples was higher than the reported permissible limit of Zn in tea
18 or in other food samples from China (20 mg kg^{-1} ; [41]), International Turkish Standard (50
19 mg kg^{-1} ; [36]) but lower than the maximum permissible level (250 mg kg^{-1}) established by
20 Brazilian Ministry of Health [36], Ministry of Public Health, Thailand (667 mg kg^{-1} ; [36]),
21 Australian Legal Requirements (750 mg kg^{-1} ; [36]) and World Health Organisation ($200\text{-}500$
22 mg kg^{-1} ; [32]). Comparatively lower Zn content ($36.6 \pm 0.7 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$) in various black tea leaf
23 samples was reported by Matsuura et al. [42].
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43

44 The Ssignificant variation in Zn content in tea samples observed in present study can
45 be attributed to several factors such as the age of the plant when harvested, the genetics of the
46 plant, soil conditions, rainfall and altitude [12]. Results from current study are in agreement
47 with the findings from the work by Görür et al. [34] where the total Zn in made tea collected
48 in Turkey was reported to range from 23.59 to 120.46 mg kg^{-1} . On the other hand, ~~a~~ much
49 higher Zn contents of 147.5 mg kg^{-1} ~~have been~~ was reported by Narin et al. [29].
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57

58 ■ TABLE 1 ➤

3.4 Elements content (Cu, Fe and Zn) in tea infusion

Made tea is not consumed as a whole but as a water extract that is often referred to as tea infusion. Hence, tea infusion often represents a major source of essential and nonessential minerals for the human body. The contents of Cu, Fe and Zn in tea infusions are shown in Figs. 1A, B and C, respectively.

3.4.1 Copper in tea infusion

Fig 1A showed that the amount of Cu in different infusion steps differs significantly ($p < 0.05$) irrespective of tea samples. The present findings are in agreement with previous different reports that revealed 0.96 to 22% of total Cu was released through tea infusion [43, 44]. Similarly lower amount of Cu extraction from made tea had been reported by Chand et al. [45] where the content of Cu dissipation from tea to infusion ranged from 0.2 to 1.8%. Notwithstanding, the infusions produced from South African tea provided better source of Cu than Indian tea.

The content of Cu in tea infusion depends on infusion time and the solubilities of Cu, which was significantly ($p < 0.01$) higher in the first infusion than in the second infusion and similarly higher significantly in the second infusion than in the third infusion ($p < 0.01$) [16]. However, in the present study we did not find any consistent trend for Cu release pattern in the tea infusions from made tea evaluated. Available literatures reported that Cu level in tea infusion ranged from 0.12 to 0.84 mg L⁻¹ with the mean value of 0.42 mg L⁻¹ [44], 0.05±0.01 to 0.21±0.02 mg L⁻¹ [40] and 2.04±0.14 to 7.93±2.18 mg L⁻¹ [34]. The Cu values in the tea infusions in the current study were in agreement with those reported in the literatures [2, 43]. Presently, the maximum allowable limit of Cu in tea infusion is 1 mg L⁻¹ [2]. The Cu content in the first infusion produced from IND 6, SA 4 and SA 5 tea samples as shown in Fig. 1A exceeded the permissible limit of Cu. Therefore, it is advisable that such tea samples with high Cu content in the first infusion should be properly labelled from the factory and

1 consumed with caution since excessive consumption of Cu from food and beverages could be
2 detrimental to human health causing stomach upset, diarrhea, anemia, and can lead to tissue
3 injury[46]. This is particularly important because of the potential presence of other beneficial
4 compounds such as polyphenols and flavonoids in the tea samples that may also be in [the first](#)
5 infusion containing high Cu content. Hence, either moderate dilution of the first infusion or
6
7 the reduction of the infusion time may help [to](#) reduce the high Cu ~~concentration~~ [content](#) and
8
9 the potential associated risk.
10
11

12 3.4.2 Iron in tea infusion

13
14
15
16
17
18
19 The Fe release pattern from the tea samples as contained in the three repeated
20 infusions is shown in [Fig. 1B](#). The amount of Fe in different infusion steps differs
21 significantly ($p < 0.05$) irrespective of tea samples. Similar to Cu, there were significant
22 differences ($p < 0.05$) in the Fe content of the different infusions as well as the tea origin.
23
24 Notwithstanding, the first and third infusions from South African tea serve as better Fe source
25 than Indian tea. The amount of extractable Fe in the infusions was comparable to the results
26 obtained by several research findings [\[2, 13, 33\]](#). [Wróbel et al. \[47\]](#) reported that only 4.3%
27 of [the](#) total Fe can be infused from tea despite the high total Fe content in tea samples. This
28 observation is in agreement with the results of the current study where Fe-extractability in the
29 three infusions was about 2.46% and 3.73%, respectively in the Indian and South African tea
30 samples. Furthermore, [Lasheen et al. \[48\]](#) reported that among the seven tea samples from
31 Egypt, Fe content in tea infusion ranged from 3.34 to 20.12 mg L⁻¹ when tea infusion was
32 produced from 2 g tea with 100 mL of hot distilled water for 5 min; which is in agreement
33 with the results obtained from the present study. However, the Fe contents in the tea infusions
34 obtained in the present study were higher than the average contents of 0.006 ± 0.002 mg L⁻¹
35
36 in tea infusion consumed in UK as reported by [Powell et al. \[49\]](#).
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

3.4.2 Zinc in tea infusion

Fig 1C showed that the amount of Zn in the different infusion steps differ significantly ($p < 0.05$) irrespective of tea samples. Nookabkaew et al. [44] and Wong et al. [50] reported that the solubility of Zn in the first infusion was significantly ($p < 0.01$) higher than that of the second infusion while the solubility in the second infusion was also higher significantly ($p < 0.05$) than the third infusion. However no definite trend in Zn release pattern was observed in the present study as the number of infusion increases. Notwithstanding, the first and third infusions produced from South African tea contains better source of Zn than the Indian tea. The Zn contents in the tea infusions were lower than the previously reported mean ranges of $3.35 \pm 0.919 - 8.35 \pm 1.06$ mg L⁻¹[10] and $37.7 \pm 2.1 - 47.3 \pm 2.0$ mg L⁻¹[51]. The Zn results from the current study are at variance with other documented work in the literature such as $0.12 - 0.60$ mg L⁻¹; mean: 0.32 mg L⁻¹[52]; $0.59 - 4.32$ mg L⁻¹[44, 53]; 0.19 ± 0.08 mg L⁻¹[51] and $0.070 \pm 0.004 - 0.441 \pm 0.006$ mg L⁻¹[40] where values were almost ten times higher.

In general, the decrease in elemental content in the tea infusions as compared to the total element in tea leaves could be attributed to chelation of these elements with tannic acid and tannins which exudates during the boiling of tea particles. Precipitation of these chelates may have therefore contributed to the noticeable decrease in metal-element content in the brew extract [52]. In teas with lower tannin levels, better leaching of elements into infusion was observed [10].

■ FIG. 1 ➤

3.5 Human risk assessment and statistical interpretation of the micronutrients content in the infusions

The average daily intake (ADI) and hazardous quotient (HQ) values of Cu, Fe and Zn are shown in Figs 2, 3 and 4, respectively. The mean values for Indian and South African teas

1 in the 1st, 2nd and 3rd infusions along with [the percentages](#) of each element released into [the](#)
2 infusions are also presented in [Table 2](#). It should be noted that the ADI values for Indian and
3 South African teas differ greatly due to variation in the extraction percentages of the analysed
4 trace elements which depend on the [tea](#) origin.
5
6
7

8
9 ■ **FIG.2** ➤

10
11 ■ **FIG.3** ➤

12
13 ■ **FIG.4** ➤

14
15 ■ **TABLE 2** ➤

16
17
18
19 The results revealed that the contribution of tea towards the average daily dietary
20 intake [values](#) of Fe and Zn are high when compared to Cu, which may be attributed to the
21 high contents of Fe and Zn in the analysed tea samples. Similarly, the results clearly showed
22 that HQ values for Cu, Fe and Zn in the different infusions were generally less than one
23 suggesting that these [metals-trace element contents in the infusions are safe for humans and](#)
24 do not pose any carcinogenic risk [54]. According to [Joint FAO/ WHO Expert Committee on](#)
25 [Food Additives \[55\]](#), the provisional tolerable daily intake (PTDI) [values](#) for Cu, Fe, and Zn
26 are 3 mg kg⁻¹ BW day⁻¹, 48 mg kg⁻¹ BW day⁻¹, and 60 mg kg⁻¹ BW day⁻¹, respectively.
27 Therefore, regular consumption of tea such as 600 mL tea infusion produced from 24 g tea
28 per day can potentially contribute about 1 to 5%, 4.6 to 12.3% and 7.8 to 9.1% of the daily
29 dietary requirements of Cu, Fe and Zn, respectively. According to [Powell et al. \[49\]](#), Cu
30 content in 2 min infusion from 12.4 g tea in one litre water provided 2.0% of average daily
31 dietary intake. [Mehra and Baker \[16\]](#) showed that ‘available’ Cu obtained from drinking 1 L
32 of tea per day provided 2.88% (loose tea) and 2.39% (tea bag tea) of the average daily dietary
33 intake.
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54

55
56 The results of normality test for the contents of the three [metals-trace elements](#)
57 [assessed](#) in the made tea samples and their infusions are shown in [Table 3](#) while the outcomes
58
59
60
61

of [the](#) F- and t-tests are presented in [Table 4](#). The tests results [s](#) revealed that the Fe content of tea samples from both countries as well as in the three consecutive infusions followed the non-normal distribution indicating the inappropriateness of t-test.

Except for the Fe content and its infusions, the two sources of tea are significantly different indicating that as far as Fe content and its infusions are concerned, there is no statistical difference between Indian tea and South African tea. Whereas Cu and Zn contents [s](#) in the tea samples from the two countries along with their infusions differed [ed](#) significantly. Results of normality test for ADI for men and women carried out are given in [Table 5](#). Whereas the calculated ADI [values](#) for Cu based on the first infusion of the Indian tea and the second infusion of South African tea [samples](#) as well as the Fe and Zn contents [s](#) in the first infusion of South African tea samples satisfied the normality assumption, the normality assumption was violated in all other cases. The results of F-test for testing homogeneity of variances and t-test for testing the differences in ADI [values](#) of the tea samples from the two countries are reported in [Table 6](#). The homogeneity of variance test for both men and women ADI ~~is~~ [are](#) unequal in all other cases except for Cu in the second infusion indicating that the assumption was violated. Hence, the nonparametric tests of Mann Whitney U and Kruskal Wallis were performed with the results shown in [Table 7](#). A perusal of [Table 7](#) reveals that all the pairs are significantly different.

■ **TABLE 3** ➤

■ **TABLE 4** ➤

■ **TABLE 5** ➤

■ **TABLE 6** ➤

■ **TABLE 7** ➤

Conclusion

1
2 ~~A~~Wide range of Cu, Fe and Zn contents in made tea and tea infusions were found
3
4 in the Indian and South African tea samples evaluated. The difference in micronutrients
5
6 content in made tea could be attributed to the tea being produced in different tea gardens with
7
8 variable soil micronutrients content as well as micronutrient application strategies, which
9
10 resulted in differential micronutrients uptake by tea plants. It is noteworthy that Cu, Fe and
11
12 Zn contents in the first infusion are significantly higher ($p < 0.05$) than those in the subsequent
13
14 infusions except for Fe content in the Indian tea. The estimated daily intakes for the studied
15
16 micronutrients based on the body weight of an average adult (67.4 kg and 64.9 kg body
17
18 weight for men and women, respectively) were below the limits set by FAO/WHO for Cu, Fe
19
20 and Zn intake. In conclusion, this study provides quantitative data on Cu, Fe and Zn to judge
21
22 the potential risk assessment of consumer exposure to these expected ~~metals~~elements. The
23
24 results of this study thus suggest that the consumption of three 200 mL cups of tea infusion
25
26 per day will not constitute any adverse effect on human health but rather, a beneficial effect
27
28 since only a marginal portion of the average daily body ~~metal~~micronutrients dietary
29
30 requirements are met. However, it is suggested that further studies should be carried out to
31
32 incorporate more tea samples collected from both countries in the elemental analysis. Such
33
34 surveys should be carried out regularly to promptly ascertain the health risks of consumers
35
36 regarding micronutrients and ~~heavy metal~~trace elements toxicity considering changing
37
38 farmers' practices due to peculiar socio-economic realities and production constraints across
39
40 the two countries. Human bioavailability studies for specific ~~metals~~elements may also be
41
42 useful to characterise their risks to consumers accurately.
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

Conflict of interest statement

We firmly believe that the present work has not been influenced by any of the financial and personal relationships with anyone or with industries. We declare that there is no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgement

Authors acknowledge the joint financial support for this research provided by the Department of Science and Technology (DST), Ministry of Science and Technology, Govt. of India (DST/INT/South Africa/P-11/2014) and the National Research Foundation (NRF), Republic of South Africa (Grant UID: 90762). Fund received for procurement of AAS by National Tea Research Foundation (NTRF), Tea Board and the Government of India (Project Code No. 151/2011) is herein duly acknowledged. Finally, our sincere thank is extended to the anonymous reviewers for their valuable and constructive comments on this manuscript that have helped us to greatly improve its quality.

References

- [1] Desideri D, Meli MA, Roselli C, Feduzi L (2011) Polarized X-ray fluorescence spectrometer (EDPXRf) for the determination of essential and non-essential elements in tea. *Microchem J* 98(2):186-189
- [2] Karak T, Bhagat RM (2010) Trace elements in tea leaves, made tea and tea infusion: a review. *Food Res Intern* 43:2234-2252
- [3] Welna M, Szymczycha-Madeja A, Pohl P (2013) A comparison of samples preparation strategies in the multi-elemental analysis of tea by spectrometric methods. *Food Res Int* 53:922-930
- [4] Ashraf CW, Mian A (2008) Levels of selected heavy metals in black tea varieties consumed in Saudi Arabia. *Bull Environ Contam Toxicol* 81:101-104

- 1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
- [5] Chang K (2015) World tea production and trade: Current and future development. A publication by the Food and Agricultural Organization of the United Nations, Rome. Available online at www.fao.org (date accessed 29 May 2016)
- [6] Lindsey KL, Motsei ML, Jäger AK (2002) Screening of South African food plants for antioxidant activity. *J Food Sci* 76:212-213
- [7] Hevia K, Arancibia V, Rojas-Romo C (2015) Levels of copper in sweeteners, sugar, tea, coffee and mate infusions. Determination by adsorptive stripping voltammetry in the presence of α -lipoic acid. *Microchem J* 119:11-16
- [8] Szymczycha-Madeja A, Welna M, Pohl P (2015) Determination of essential and non-essential elements in green and black teas by FAAS and ICP-OES simplified-multivariate classification of different tea products. *Microchem J* 121:122-129
- [9] Mehra A, Lynch P, Saikat S, Chan L (2013) Trace elements in tea (*Camellia sinensis*) and their bioavailability. *Tea in Health and Disease Prevention* 257-274
- [10] Dambiec M, Polechonska L, Klink A (2013) Levels of essentials and non-essential elements in black teas commercialized in Poland and their transfer to tea infusion. *J Food Compos Anal* 31:62-66
- [11] Han S, Gan W, Su Q (2007) On-line sample digestion using an electromagnetic heating column for the determination of zinc and manganese in tea leaf by flame atomic absorption spectrometry. *Talanta* 72:1481-1486
- [12] Karak T, Paul RK, Sonar I, Nath JR, Boruah RK, Dutta AK (2016) Nickel dynamics influenced by municipal solid waste compost application in tea (*Camellia sinensis* L.): a cup that cheers². *Int J Environ Sci Technol* 13(2):663-678
- [13] Karak T, Kutu FR, Nath JR, Sonar I, Paul RK, Boruah RK, Sanyal S, Sabhapondit S, Dutta AK (2015) Micronutrients (B, Co, Cu, Fe, Mn, Mo and Zn) content in made tea

(*Camellia sinensis* L.) and tea infusion with health prospect: A critical review. Crit Rev
Food Sci Nutr (DOI:10.1080/10408398.2015.1083534)

- [14] McKenzie JS, Jurado JM, De Pablos F (2010) Characterisation of tea leaves according to their total mineral content by means of probabilistic neural networks. Food Chem 123: 859-864
- [15] Chen Y, Yu M, Xu J, Chen X, Shi J (2009) Differentiation of eight tea (*Camellia sinensis*) cultivars in China by elemental fingerprint of their leaves. J Sci Food Agric 89:2350-2355
- [16] Mehra A, Baker CL (2007) Leaching and bioavailability of aluminium, copper and manganese from tea (*Camellia sinensis*). Food Chem 100:1456-1453
- [17] USEPA (2002) Guidelines for exposure assessment, Fed Reg. 57, 22887-22938.
- [18] Cao H, Chen J, Zhang J, Zhang H, Qiao L, Men Y (2010) Heavy metals in rice and garden vegetables and their potential health risks to inhabitants in the vicinity of an industrial zone in Jiangsu, China. J Environ Sci 22:1792-1799
- [19] USEPA (2009) United States Environmental Protection Agency, Risk-based concentration table. Philadelphia: United States Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, DC
- [20] Cramér H (1928) On the composition of elementary errors: First paper: Mathematical deductions. Scand Actuarial J 1928:13-74
- [21] Mises RV (1928) Mechanik der plastischen Formänderung von Kristallen. J Appl Math Mech 8:161-185
- [22] Anderson TW, Darling DA (1952) Asymptotic theory of certain "goodness of fit" criteria based on stochastic processes. Ann. Math Stat 193-212
- [23] Watson RT, Freeman A (1961) Hartree-Fock atomic scattering factors for the iron transition series. Acta Crystallogr 14:27-37.

- 1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
- [24] Kruskal WH, Wallis WA (1952) Use of ranks in one-criterion variance analysis. J Am Stat Assoc 47:583-621
- [25] Ramakrishna RS, Palmakumbura S, Chatt A (1986) Varietal variation and correlation of trace metal levels with catechins and caffeine in Sri Lanka tea. J Food Sci Agric 38(4): 331-339
- [26] Kumar A, Nair AGC, Reddy AVR, Garg AN (2005) Availability of essential elements in Indian and US tea brands. Food Chem 89(3):441-448
- [27] Jin CW, Du ST, Zhang K, Lin XY (2008) Factors determining copper concentration in tea leaves produced at Yuyao County, China. Food Chem Toxicol 46:2054-2061
- [28] Fuchinokami Y, Fuchinokami H (1999) Complete work on Japanese tea. Tokyo: Noubunkyo 336-351
- [29] Narin I, Colak H, Turkoglu O, Soylak M, Dogan M (2004) Heavy metals in black tea samples produced in Turkey, Bull. Environ. Contam Toxicol 72:844-849
- [30] Chen ZM (1984) The environmental pollutants in tea products. Chin J Ext Agric 3: 1-10
- [31] USEPA (1983) Methods for chemical analysis of water and waste, EPA Report 600/4-79-020, Office of water, Cincinnati, OH [32] WHO (2004) Health criteria other supporting information. In: Guidelines for drinking water quality (3rd ed., Vol. 1, 296-415), Geneva.
- [33] Szymczycha-Madeja A, Welna M, Pohl P (2012) Elemental analysis of teas and their infusions by spectrometric methods. Trends Anal Chem 35:165-181
- [34] Görür FK, Keser R, Akçay N, Dizman S, Okumuşoğlu NT (2011) Radionuclides and heavy metals concentrations in Turkish market tea. Food Control 22:2065-2070
- [35] NUTTB (2006) Australia and New Zealand dried fruits limit.
- [36] Heidari B, Bakhtiari AR, Shirmeshan G (2013) Concentrations of Cd, Cu, Pb and Zn in soft tissue of oyster (*Saccostrea cucullata*) collected from the Lengeh Port coast, Persian

1 Gulf, Iran: A comparison with the permissible limits for public health. Food Chem
2 141(3): 3014-3019
3

4 [37] WHO (World Health Organization) (1982) Toxicological evaluation of certain food
5 additives. World Health Organization, Geneva, ISBN: 978-92-4-120940-3 106.
6

7 [38] GESAMP, IMO/FAO/UNESCO/WHO/IAEA/UNEP (1991) Review of potentially
8 harmful substances - carcinogens. Reports and studies, No. 46, Geneva.
9

10 [39] Street R, Száková J, Drábek O, Mládková L (2006) The status of micronutrients (Cu, Fe,
11 Mn, Zn) in tea and tea infusions in selected samples imported to the Czech Republic.
12 Czech J Food Sci 24:62-71
13

14 [40] Malik J, Szakova J, Drabek O, Balik J, Kokoska L (2008) Determination of certain
15 micro and macroelements in plant stimulants and their infusions. Food Chem 111:520-
16 525
17

18 [41] Salahinejad M, Aflaki F (2009) Toxic and essential mineral elements content of black
19 tea leaves and their tea infusions consumed in Iran. Biol Trace Elem Res 134:109-117
20

21 [42] Matsuura H, Hokura A, Katsuki F, Itoh A, Haraguchi H (2001) Multi-element
22 determination and speciation of major-to-trace elements in black tea leaves by ICP-AES
23 and ICP-MS with the aid of size exclusion chromatography. Anal Sci 17:391-398
24

25 [43] Kalita JN, Mahanta PK (1993) Analysis of mineral composition of some Assam and
26 Darjeeling black teas. J Sci Food Agric 62(2):105-109
27

28 [44] Nookabkaew S, Rangkadilok N, Satayavivad J (2006) Determination of trace elements
29 in herbal tea products and their infusions consumed in Thailand. J Agric Food Chem
30 54(18):6939-6944
31

32 [45] Chand P, Sharma R, Prasad R, Sud RK, Pakade YB (2011) Determination of essential
33 toxic metals and its transversal pattern from soil to tea brew. Food Nutr Sci 2: 1160-1165
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

- 1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
- [46] Uauy R, Maass A, Araya M(2008) Estimating risk from copper excess in human populations. Am J Clin Nutr 88(suppl):867S-871S
- [47] Wróbel K, Wróbel K, Urbina EMC (2000) Determination of total aluminium, chromium, copper, iron, manganese and nickel and their fractions leached to the infusions of black tea, green tea, *Hibiscus sabdariffa* and *Ilex paraguariensis* (mate). Biol Trace Elem Res 78:271-280
- [48] Lasheen YF, Awwad NS, El-khalafawy A, Abdel-Rassoul AA (2008) Annual effective dose and concentration levels of heavy metals in different types of tea in Egypt. Int J Phys Sci 3(5):112-119
- [49] Powell JJ, Trevor JB, Thompson RPH (1998) In vitro mineral availability from digested tea: a rich dietary source of manganese. Analyst 123:1721-1724
- [50] Wong MH, Zhang ZQ, Wong JWC, Lan CY (1998) Trace metal contents (Al, Cu and Zn) of tea: tea and soil from two tea plantations, and tea products from different provinces of China. Environ. Geochem Health 20(2): 87-94.
- [51] Pohl P, Prusisz B (2007) Fractionation analysis of manganese and zinc in tea infusions by two-column solid phase extraction and flame atomic absorption spectrometry. Food Chem. 102:1415-1424
- [52] Moghaddam MA, Mahvi AH, Asgari AR, Yonesian M, Jahed GH, Nazmara SH (2008) Determination of aluminium and zinc in Iranian consumed tea. Environ. Monit. Assess. 144: 23-30
- [53] AL-Oud SS (2003) Heavy metal contents in tea and herb leaves. Pak J Biol Sci 6:208-212
- [54] Sofuoglu SC, Kavcar P (2008) An exposure and risk assessment for fluoride and trace metal in black tea. J Hazard Mater 158:392-400

[55] Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives (1999) Summary and
conclusions. 53rd Meeting, Rome. June 1-10

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65


LIST OF FIGURES

Fig. 1. Average concentrations (mg L^{-1}) and per cent extracted with respect to total Cu, Fe and Zn in the first, second and third tea infusions (A) Cu, (B) Fe and (C) Zn (vertical error bars indicate the standard error).

Fig. 2. Average daily intake (ADI) and hazardous quotient (HQ) of Cu through first, second and third tea infusions collected from India and South Africa; (A) for men and (B) for women [average body weight (BW) of men= 67.4 kg; average body weight of women= 64.9 kg and RfD for Cu is $40 \mu\text{g kg}^{-1}\text{BW d}^{-1}$]

Fig. 3. Average daily intake (ADI) and hazardous quotient (HQ) of Fe through first, second and third tea infusions collected from India and South Africa; (A) for men and (B) for women [average body weight (BW) of men= 67.4 kg; average body weight of women= 64.9 kg and RfD for Fe is $700 \mu\text{g kg}^{-1}\text{BW d}^{-1}$]

Fig. 4. Average daily intake (ADI) and hazardous quotient (HQ) of Zn through first, second and third tea infusions collected from India and South Africa; (A) for men and (B) for women [average body weight (BW) of men= 67.4 kg; average body weight of women= 64.9 kg and RfD for Zn is $300 \mu\text{g kg}^{-1}\text{BW d}^{-1}$]




1

2



3 **Fig. 1.**

4





5

6 **Fig. 2.**



7

8 **Fig. 3.**



9

10 Fig. 4.

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1

Total Cu, Fe, and Zn content (mg kg⁻¹) in tea samples (values represent mean of three replications ±SE, same letter(s) in a particular column represent non-significant difference between the samples on the basis of DMRT statistical analysis)

Sample ID*	Cu ^{†**}	Fe ^{††***}	Zn ^{†††****}
IND 1	41.5±1.01 ^a	107.5±11.02 ^a	54.7±0.01 ^a
IND 2	40.5±3.01 ^a	91.4±8.02 ^a	55.9±0.02 ^a
IND 3	48.8±4.02 ^a	127.7±9.02 ^a	85.7±0.02 ^b
IND 4	40.0±1.02 ^a	148.2±7.12 ^a	106.7±0.02 ^{b,c}
IND 5	35.0±2.02 ^a	109.0±8.02 ^a	63.8±0.02 ^{a,b}
IND 6	35.6±3.02 ^a	101.7±11.02 ^a	77.3±0.01 ^{a,b}
Mean IND	40.22±2.04	114.23±8.34	74.00±8.21
SA 1	2.3±0.01 ^b	126.8±14.02 ^a	29.2±0.01 ^d
SA 2	3.3±0.02 ^b	140.0±16.02 ^a	28.5±0.01 ^d
SA 3	2.3±0.01 ^b	14.8±0.01 ^b	31.8±0.02 ^d
SA 4	4.5±0.03 ^b	40.5±3.02 ^c	32.8±0.01 ^d
SA 5	3.0±0.01 ^b	137.8±12.01 ^a	34.3±0.01 ^d
SA 6	2.5±0.01 ^b	133.5±11.02 ^a	32.4±0.02 ^d
Mean SA	2.96±0.35	98.88±22.85	31.47±0.91
Overall mean	21.59±8.07	106.55±16.72	52.73±10.64

* SA stands for South Africa and IND stands for India

†** Minimum detection limits of Cu is 2 µg L⁻¹

††*** Minimum detection limits of Fe is 5 µg L⁻¹

†††**** Minimum detection limits of Zn is 10 µg L⁻¹

1 **Table 2**

2 The mean concentration (mg L⁻¹) of Cu, Fe and Zn in the 1st, 2nd and 3rd infusions (values
3 represent mean of three replications ±SE, same letter(s) in a particular column represent
4 non-significant difference between the samples on the basis of DMRT statistical analysis)

Element	Infusion step	Indian tea (n=6)	South African tea (n=6)
Cu	1 st	0.750±0.002 ^a	1.104±0.005 ^b
	2 nd	0.554±0.012 ^a	0.783±0.003 ^b
	3 rd	0.454±0.04 ^b	0.304±0.002 ^b
Fe	1 st	0.883±0.002 ^a	3.692±0.001 ^b
	2 nd	1.775±0.001 ^a	1.629±0.002 ^{b,c}
	3 rd	0.642±0.002 ^a	0.942±0.001 ^{a,b}
Zn	1 st	2.085±0.002 ^a	3.692±0.001 ^b
	2 nd	1.936±0.001 ^a	3.376±0.002 ^{b,c}
	3 rd	0.958±0.001 ^a	0.984±0.003 ^a

5

6 **Table 3**

7 Testing the normality of metal content data in Indian and South African tea-

Goodness-of-Fit Tests for Normal Distribution																
Test	Cu				Percentage 1 st infusion				Percentage 2 nd infusion				Percentage 3 rd infusion			
	India		South Africa		India		South Africa		India		South Africa		India		South Africa	
	test	P-value	test	P-value	test	P-value	test	P-value	test	P-value	test	P-value	test	P-value	test	P-value
K-S	0.118	>0.15	0.204	>0.150	0.147	>0.140	0.322	0.049	0.173	0.050	0.322	0.049	0.095	>0.150	0.155	>0.150
C-V	0.054	>0.25	0.065	>0.250	0.044	0.270	0.101	0.087	0.110	0.082	0.101	0.087	0.043	>0.250	0.027	>0.250
A-D	0.384	>0.25	0.427	0.209	0.314	0.302	0.568	0.082	0.600	0.108	0.568	0.082	0.288	>0.250	0.175	>0.250
	Fe				Percentage 1 st infusion				Percentage 2 nd infusion				Percentage 3 rd infusion			
K-S	0.210	<0.010	0.357	0.017	0.313	<0.010	0.357	0.018	0.350	<0.010	0.313	0.065	0.281	<0.010	0.348	0.022
C-V	0.181	0.009	0.144	0.021	0.670	<0.005	0.135	0.027	0.792	<0.005	0.136	0.025	0.574	<0.005	0.174	0.008
A-D	1.058	0.008	0.763	0.022	3.707	<0.005	0.721	0.029	4.315	<0.005	0.768	0.021	3.175	<0.005	0.947	0.006
	Zn				Percentage 1 st infusion				Percentage 2 nd infusion				Percentage 3 rd infusion			
K-S	0.332	<0.010	0.216	>0.150	0.119	>0.150	0.280	0.143	0.116	>0.150	0.246	>0.150	0.108	>0.150	0.238	>0.150
C-V	0.850	<0.005	0.049	>0.250	0.093	0.136	0.074	0.216	0.072	>0.250	0.045	>0.250	0.040	>0.250	0.076	0.202
A-D	4.529	<0.005	0.286	>0.250	0.690	0.066	0.422	0.216	0.497	0.202	0.265	>0.250	0.261	>0.250	0.494	0.132

8 **Table 4**

9 Testing for equality of variance and differences in metal content in Indian and South African tea

Equality of Variances and								
Test	Percentage 1 st infusion		Percentage 2 nd infusion		Percentage 3 rd infusion			
F-test	F-test for testing the difference in Indian tea and South African tea							
	F Value	Pr > F	F Value	Pr > F	F Value	Pr > F	F Value	Pr > F
	Cu							
	51.71	0.0003	Percentage 1 st infusion 418.46	<.0001	Percentage 2 nd infusion 680.85	<.0001	Percentage 3 rd infusion 416.53	<.0001
	Fe							
	4.67	0.0081	135.06	<.0001	9.12	0.0001	12.88	<.0001
	Zn							
	333.83	<.0001	8.17	0.0003	30.87	<.0001	5.93	0.0021
t-test	t-test for testing the difference in Indian tea and South African tea							
	t Value	Pr > t	t Value	Pr > t	t Value	Pr > t	t Value	Pr > t
			Percentage 1 st infusion		Percentage 2 nd infusion		Percentage 3 rd infusion	
	6.09	<.0001	-7.26	0.0008	-6.91	0.001	-3.9	0.0114
			Percentage 1 st infusion		Percentage 2 nd infusion		Percentage 3 rd infusion	
	0.51	0.6267	-1.88	0.1189	-1.42	0.2133	-1.51	0.1900
			Percentage 1 st infusion		Percentage 2 nd infusion		Percentage 3 rd infusion	
	5.05	<.0001	-4.42	0.0060	-4.95	0.0041	-4.66	0.0045

10

11 **Table 5**
 12 Testing normality of men and women ADI

Goodness-of-Fit Tests for Normal Distribution																								
Test	1 st infusion								2 nd infusion								3 rd infusion							
	Men ADI				Women ADI				Men ADI				Women ADI				Men ADI				Women ADI			
	India	South Africa	India	South Africa	India	South Africa	India	South Africa	India	South Africa	India	South Africa	India	South Africa	India	South Africa	India	South Africa	India	South Africa	India	South Africa	India	South Africa
test statistic	P-value	test statistic	P-value	test statistic	P-value	test statistic	P-value	test statistic	P-value	test statistic	P-value	test statistic	P-value	test statistic	P-value	test statistic	P-value	test statistic	P-value	test statistic	P-value	test statistic	P-value	
Cu																								
K-S	0.098	0.074	0.290	<0.01	0.097	0.08	0.290	<0.01	0.220	<0.01	0.206	0.042	0.219	<0.01	0.205	0.04	0.141	<0.01	0.188	0.091	0.144	<0.01	0.187	0.092
C-V	0.110	0.085	0.259	<0.005	0.110	0.085	0.259	<0.005	0.580	<0.005	0.094	0.128	0.581	<0.005	0.094	0.12	0.335	<0.005	0.123	0.049	0.333	<0.005	0.123	0.05
A-D	0.814	0.036	1.442	<0.005	0.810	0.036	1.442	<0.005	2.983	<0.005	0.576	0.119	2.987	<0.005	0.578	0.11	1.810	<0.005	0.908	0.018	1.807	<0.005	0.904	0.018
Fe																								
K-S	0.282	<0.01	0.217	0.023	0.282	<0.01	0.218	0.023	0.280	<0.01	0.239	<0.01	0.283	<0.01	0.232	0.01	0.237	<0.01	0.325	<0.01	0.237	<0.01	0.324	<0.01
C-V	1.658	<0.005	0.114	0.07	1.660	<0.005	0.114	0.069	2.138	<0.005	0.162	0.016	2.138	<0.005	0.158	0.01	1.398	<0.005	0.360	<0.005	1.397	<0.005	0.359	<0.005
A-D	9.354	<0.005	0.708	0.054	9.360	<0.005	0.709	0.053	11.923	<0.005	0.992	0.01	11.923	<0.005	0.973	0.01	8.185	<0.005	2.028	<0.005	8.181	<0.005	2.021	<0.005
Zn																								
K-S	0.153	<0.01	0.217	0.023	0.153	<0.01	0.218	0.023	0.142	<0.01	0.221	0.02	0.141	<0.01	0.224	0.01	0.113	0.019	0.246	<0.01	0.113	0.019	0.242	<0.01
C-V	0.269	<0.005	0.113	0.073	0.269	<0.005	0.112	0.072	0.239	<0.005	0.145	0.024	0.237	<0.005	0.147	0.02	0.143	0.03	0.211	<0.005	0.144	0.029	0.209	<0.005
A-D	2.133	<0.005	0.699	0.058	2.134	<0.005	0.700	0.057	1.490	<0.005	0.977	0.011	1.477	<0.005	0.981	0.01	0.906	0.021	1.368	<0.005	0.910	0.021	1.354	<0.005

13 **Table 6**

14 Testing for homogeneity of variances and difference in mean ADI in Indian and South African tea

Test	Equality of Variances and											
	1 st infusion				2 nd infusion				3 rd infusion			
	Men ADI		Women ADI		Men ADI		Women ADI		Men ADI		Women ADI	
	F Value	Pr > F	F Value	Pr > F	F Value	Pr > F	F Value	Pr > F	Pr > F	F Value	Pr > F	Pr > F
F-test	Cu											
	6.03	<.0001	6.01	<.0001	1.18	0.7229	1.19	0.7209	2.76	0.0028	2.76	0.0028
	Fe											
	4.66	<.0001	4.66	<.0001	6.38	0.0001	6.42	<.0001	32.95	<.0001	32.86	<.0001
t-test	Zn											
	3.20	0.0005	3.21	0.0005	12.04	<.0001	12.01	<.0001	3.01	0.0011	3.02	0.0011
	t-test for testing the difference in Indian tea and SA tea											
	t Value	Pr > t	t Value	Pr > t	t Value	Pr > t	t Value	Pr > t	t Value	Pr > t	t Value	Pr > t
Cu												
-3.87	0.0011	-3.87	0.0011	-10.51	<.0001	-10.50	<.0001	3.16	0.0049	3.16	0.0049	
Fe												
-7.78	<.0001	-7.78	<.0001	-2.67	0.0094	-2.67	0.0095	-4.32	<.0001	-4.33	<.0001	
Zn												
-4.94	<.0001	-4.94	<.0001	-5.84	<.0001	-5.85	<.0001	-1.67	0.1115	-1.67	0.1106	

15

16 **Table 7**

17 Nonparametric test for equality of means in men and women ADI

Elements	Infusion	ADI	Wilk test statistics	Probability	Kruskal-Wallis Test	Probability
Cu	1 st	Men	4.24	<0.0001	18.02	<0.0001
		Women	4.25	<0.0001	18.10	<0.0001
	2 nd	Men	6.02	<0.0001	36.31	<0.0001
		Women	6.02	<0.0001	36.31	<0.0001
	3 rd	Men	-2.99	0.0028	8.95	0.0028
		Women	-2.99	0.0028	8.98	0.0027
Fe	1 st	Men	6.21	<0.0001	38.63	<0.0001
		Women	6.21	<0.0001	38.63	<0.0001
	2 nd	Men	4.72	<0.0001	22.35	<0.0001
		Women	4.72	<0.0001	22.35	<0.0001
	3 rd	Men	4.74	<0.0001	22.48	<0.0001
		Women	4.74	<0.0001	22.48	<0.0001
Zn	1 st	Men	4.84	<.0001	23.47	<0.0001
		Women	4.84	<.0001	23.46	<0.0001
	2 nd	Men	5.83	<.0001	34.09	<0.0001
		Women	5.85	<.0001	34.25	<0.0001
	3 rd	Men	2.36	0.0183	5.59	0.0181
		Women	2.36	0.0183	5.59	0.0181

18