Journal of Advances in Microbiology

17(2): 1-11, 2019; Article no.JAMB.49905
ISSN: 2456-7116

Comparative Study on Physicochemical Parameters Study of Oil Polluted Sites and Hydrocarbon Degradation Potentials of Heterotrophic Bacteria in Southern Nigeria

R. N. Akwukwaegbu[1], P. O. Okerentugba[1], G. C. Okpokwasili[1], H. O. Stanley[1*] and C. J. Ugboma[2]

[1]Department of Microbiology, University of Port Harcourt, Port Harcourt, Nigeria.
[2]Department of Microbiology, Rivers State University, Nkpolu Oroworukwo, Port Harcourt, Nigeria.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. Authors RNA and POO designed the study, performed the statistical analysis, wrote the protocol and wrote the first draft of the manuscript. Authors GCO and HOS managed the analyses of the study. Author CJU managed the literature searches. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/JAMB/2019/v17i230137
Editor(s):
(1) Dr. Foluso O. Osunsanmi, Department of Biochemistry and Microbiology, University of Zululand, South Africa.
Reviewers:
(1) Nwauche, Kelechi Thankgod, Rhema University, Nigeria.
(2) Kohji Ishihara, Okayama University of Science, Japan.
Complete Peer review History: http://www.sdiarticle3.com/review-history/49905

Original Research Article

Received 28 April 2019
Accepted 03 July 2019
Published 11 July 2019

ABSTRACT

In this study, hydrocarbon degradation potentials of heterotrophic bacteria isolated from oil-polluted soil were examined; Samples were collected from Sakpenwa, an oil producing community in Tai LGA of Rivers State. The amounts of hydrocarbon in the soil samples were determined using Gas Chromatography-Flame Ionization Detector, GC- FID. The gravimetric analysis showed that the bacteria were capable of utilizing 96.9-99.7% the oil sample. Analysis of variance (ANOVA) carried out at 95% level of confidence showed that the degree of hydrocarbon degradation varied amongst isolates. *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and *Alcaligenes* sp. showed highest degrading activities while *Bacillus subtilis* showed least activity. This study revealed that indigenous bacterial species possess the requisite gene necessary for hydrocarbon biodegradation. Biodegradation is most often the primary mechanism for contaminant destruction in the environment including petroleum contaminants.

**Corresponding author: E-mail: adannerose91@gmail.com*;

Keywords: Physicochemical parameters; hydrocarbon degradation gas chromatography; analysis of variance.

1. INTRODUCTION

One major substance causing environmental pollution in the South-South of Nigeria is Crude oil. Annually, a large amount of petroleum hydrocarbon is being released into the marine and estuarine environments, causing great harm to many organisms, while some (microbial degraders) use them as energy source [1]. A wide range of pollutants (e.g. PAHs) can be degraded by microorganisms found within the polluted environment [2]. However, environmental factors like soil pH, moisture, temperature and nutrients affect the rate of pollutants' degradation by microorganisms in the soil. Other factors that influence pollutants' degradation are toxicity of pollutant [3]. Bacteria are primary producer in the marine food web, where their role is to recycle nutrients and break down hydrocarbon [4-6]. Various bacterial species isolated from soil have been proven to degrade PAHs [7]. Hydrocarbon degraders include *Alcaligenes.*

Hydrocarbon utilizing bacterial genera include: *Pseudomonas*, *Arthrobacter*, and *Micrococcus* [8]. Previous studies have found the evolution of some obligate hydrocarbon degraders (also named as obligate hydrocarbonoclastic bacteria) of indigenous marine bacterial genera [9]. In summary, only few number of this organism can degrade hydrocarbon *in situ* [6]. *Alcanivorax* sp. is a good hydrocarbon degrader because it has been proven in many parts of the world including the United States as a potential hydrocarbon degrader [10]. Microorganisms are active degraders of hydrocarbon in an environment if high numbers of oil degrading microbes are present in the environment [11]. Bacteria are the prominent degraders of petroleum in oil contaminated environment [12]. A lot of bacteria utilize hydrocarbons as their energy source [10]. Years ago, bacteria were used as the easiest agent for removing petroleum contaminants off the environment [13]. Utilization of n-alkanes ranging from C10–C40 by *Acinetobacter* sp. has been discovered [14]. Isolation of bacterial species from the genus, *Mycobacterium* capable of hydrocarbon degradation in the soil has been reported [15]. Hydrocarbon biodegradation usually requires the consortium of species. One of the most persistent groups of organic pollutant in the ecosystem is petroleum hydrocarbons [16]. They can disrupt the food chain, leading to ecological cycle destruction [17]. This study aimed to determine the physicochemical parameters and hydrocarbon degradation potentials of heterotrophic bacteria isolated from oil polluted sites in Southern Nigeria.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Study Area and Sample Collection

The study site was located at the oil polluted sites in Sakpenwa community in Ogoni land, Tai Local Government Area, Rivers State, Nigeria. This community covers an area of 159 km^2 and had a population of 117,797 as of 2006 population count. Soil samples were collected 500 m and 1000 m away from the major spill sites. Fifty grams (50 g) of the oil-polluted soil samples were collected from each of the sampling points using a soil sampler. The collected soil samples were transported in plastic nylon bags from the polluted sites to the Department of Microbiology, University of Port Harcourt laboratory for analysis within 24 hours.

The control soil was collected from low land and safe environment where residential buildings are located at Ikwere community in Obio/Akpor local Government Area of River state.

A point was marked at the tip where the land was highly polluted at the centre and a tape was used to measure out, 500 m and 1000 m respectively where samples were collected.

2.2 Samples Preparation

The soil samples collected were passed through a mesh sieve (2 mm pore size) to remove large particles and were thoroughly mixed. Thereafter, 5 g of each soil sample was suspended in 45 ml of distilled water. The suspended samples were mixed properly in a rotary shaker at 100 rpm at room temperature (28±2ºC) for 1hour, 30 minutes to liberate the organisms into the liquid medium [18]. The pH of the samples was also taken.

2.3 Isolation and Enumeration of Total Heterotrophic Bacteria

The total culturable heterotrophic bacterial count for each degradation set-up was enumerated using the streak plate method Odokuma and Okpokwasili [19]. Serial dilutions of the samples were made and 0.1ml aliquot of the 10-1 to 10-4 dilutions of each sample were transferred onto

well dried, sterile nutrient agar plates (in triplicate) and incubated at 37°C for 24. After incubation, the bacterial colonies that grew on the plates were counted and sub-cultured onto fresh nutrient agar plates using the streak-plate method in other to obtain pure cultures of each colony. Discrete colonies on the plates were then transferred into nutrient agar slants, properly labelled and stored at 4ºC as a stock culture for preservation and identification.

Fig. 1. Oil polluted sites in Sakpenwa community in Ogoni land

2.4 Biodegradation Studies

The method proposed by Ekpo and Ekpo [20] was used. The biodegradation study of hydrocarbons in the polluted soil was carried out using the Bushnell-Haas broth. This medium consisting of $MgSO_4$ 0.02g; CaCl 0.2 g; K_2HPO_4 100 g; $KHPO_4$ 1 g; NH_4NO_3 1 g; F_2Cl 0.05g was autoclaved in 2 litres conical flasks. Ninety nine millimetre (99 ml) of the liquid medium (Bushnell-Haas broth) was dispensed into five (5) conical flasks into which I ml of sterile crude oil was added [20]. Precisely, 5 ml of each of the bacterial isolates (in liquid broth) were inoculated into five (5) different conical flasks containing the liquid medium. The concentration on day zero was use as control to the other subsequent days. The bacterial cultures were incubated at ambient temperature (28±2ºC) in an electric shaker of 100 strokes per minute for 30 minutes each day. Sampling period was set for every 5 days for 30 days [21]. Bacterial utilization of hydrocarbon was monitored using their optical density at 600nm wavelength [20]. The total petroleum hydrocarbon was measured.

2.5 Determination of Crude Oil Degradation Rate in Soil

The crude oil degradation rate in the soil was determined by the solvent extraction method [22]. Five grams (5 g) of soil sample was mixed with 100 ml of normal hexane in a flask and corked. The mixture was shaken using a mechanical shaker for 1hr, and then allowed to settle. With the use of a sterile syringe, an aliquot of the oil extract in the solvent solution (20 ml) was withdrawn and put in a previously weighed evaporation dish. The dish and its content were evaporated to dryness in a rotary evaporator and the dish was reweighed to obtain the difference.

The percentage (%) of the degradation was determined as follows:

Weight of residue crude oil = weight of beaker containing extracted crude – weight of empty beaker.

Amount of crude oil degraded= weight of crude oil added to the media – weight of residual crude oil.

$$\%\ Degradation = \frac{amount\ of\ crude\ oil\ degraded}{amount\ of\ crude\ oil\ added\ to\ the\ media} \times 100$$

2.6 Sample Preparation for Total Petroleum Hydrocarbon (TPH) Analysis

Precisely, 2 ml of sample was extracted with 20 ml of dichloromethane. Separating funnel used to separate the sample and the dichloromethane layer was concentrated in a rotator evaporator. One millilitre (1ml) of acetonitrile was added into the concentration and transferred into vial ready for analysis [23].

Fixed setting: Generally, adjusting of gas flows to the columns was done, the inlets, the detectors and the split ratio. In addition, the injector and the detector temperature were also set. The detector was held at a high end of the oven temperature range to minimize the risk of analyte precipitation. All of these parameters were set to the correct values but double checking of all the instrument was done: Buck 550 gas chromatograph equipped with an on-column, automatic injector, flame ionization detectors, HP 88 capillary column(100mm×0.25µm film thickness,) CA,USA.

Detector temperature A: 250^{o}C

Injector temperature 22^{o}C

Integrator chart speed: 2 cm/min

The oven was set at a temperature of 180^{o}C which warms up the Gas Chromatogram, while its warming set was:

Light will turn off and you begin your run your experiment, when the instrument is ready, then Inject 1 microliter sample onto column A, using proper injection technique.

2.7 Determination of Changes in Total Petroleum Hydrocarbon Content of Soil

The extract was analyzed using the Buck 550 gas chromatography equipped with a Field Ionization Detector (FID), High Performance (HP) 88 capillary column (100 mm × 0.25 µm) with a nominal film thickness of 0.25 µm, while the volume of the injection was 0.8 µL at 22°C. The carrier gas was Helium at (2cm/min) because hydrocarbon is a volatile compound. The holding capacity of the column is 35°C for 1.50 min.The temperature increases gradually from 22°C min^{-1}, to 280°C min^{-1} and held for 5mins. The total sum of the components present is equal to the sum of Total Petroleum Hydrocarbon (TPH) present in the GC capillary column between 5 to 35 min retention time [24].

2.8 Statistical Analysis

Statistical analysis was carried out using Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS, Version 20.0). Analysis of variance (ANOVA), P-values test of significance, was carried out at 95% level of confidence, P - values was used to determine the significance levels between various treatments and data obtained during the study.

Table 1. Temperature condition for warming the oven

Initial temp.	Hold time	Ramp time	Final temp
70°C	5 mins	10 mins	220°C
22°C	2 mins	5 mins	280°C

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The bacterial diversity present in the control soil, site A (500 m) and site B (1000 m) of this study is as represented in Table 2.

3.1 Physicochemical Properties of the Test Soil

It is an established fact that pollutants (e.g. hydrocarbons) affect the physicochemical condition of perturbed soil [25]. Table 3 showed the level of changes in the physicochemical parameters of soil caused by the pollutant and shows that as the contamination level increases, the amount of nitrate and phosphorous in the soil reduce. The control soil has a high and normal quantity of nitrate and phosphorous of 58.30 mg/kg, and 10.20 mg/kg respectively. At 500 m distance away from the polluted site the quantity of the nitrate and phosphorous were 13.90mg/kg and 1.50 mg/kg. At 1000 m away from the polluted site the values were 42.70 mg/kg and 3.2 mg/kg of nitrate and phosphorous respectively. Essential nutrients in the soil were reduced as soil is contaminated, the decrease in nitrate and phosphate level is attributed to the fact that they were been used in the metabolism of organism in building biomass. There is a positive correlation in the utilization of both nitrate and phosphate and this indicate their importance in cell metabolism. It was establish that the availability of nitrogen and phosphorus limit the microbial degradation of hydrocarbon [26,27]. The pH of the soil varies from 7.24 from the control soil sample to 5.08 and 6.47, 500 and 1000 m away from the polluted site respectively, which shows that the soil sample was acidic. This concord with the work of Amund and Adebiyi [28] and Okpokwasili and Jame [29] which indicates that microbial utilization of hydrocarbons often leads to production of organic acids. Thus, the acids probably produced account for the reduction in pH levels.

Figs. 2 – 5 showed the chromatographic profile of hydrocarbon degradation of the various hydrocarbon utilizing bacteria at the initial day, day (0) and the final day for degradation study, day 30 respectively. Thus showing the result of how the hydrocarbon was reduced by each bacterium.

The hydrocarbon-utilizing bacterial genera isolated from the oil contaminated soil were *Pseudomonas, Bacillus, Acinetobacter*, *Alcaligenes and Citrobacter*. Okpokwasili and Okorie [30] isolated similar hydrocarbon utilizing bacteria from Niger Delta aquatic systems. Chikere and Okpokwasili [31] also made similar findings on petroleum effluents. It has also been observed that some microorganisms are more abundant in areas of high concentration of hydrocarbons. These micro floras are actively oxidizing the hydrocarbons and this is considered as another source of carbon for use in the ecosystem.

Results from the Gas Chromatography analyses from Figs. 2 to 5 shows that during the first (5) days, Total Petroleum Hydrocarbon (TPH)

reduction was high due to evaporation which shows that some components of the hydrocarbon were volatile. The reductions in both the number and sizes of the peaks and height from the profiles corroborate with the report by Okpokwasili and Okorie [30] which states that they are hydrocarbon degraders which utilize the breakdown products of hydrocarbon. *Aciligenes* growth increased simultaneously with decrease in TPH throughout the 30days period monitored leading to nutrient limitation in the soil.

The TPH present were C-8,C-10, C-11, C-12, C-13, C-14, C-15, C-16, C-18, C-21, C-23, C-26, C-28, C-29, C-31, C-32, C-33, C-34, C-35 and C-37 for the treatment on day 0-30 days as showed in Table 4. The total amount of TPH after contamination of soil with crude oil showed that the treatment had TPH of (13729.70mg/ml) for the first day and reduced significantly after 30 days by each of the bacterium as follows: *Bacillus* sp (426.15 mg/ml) which was able to degrade the hydrocarbons by 96.90%, *Pseudomonas* sp. (58.68 mg/ml), which was able to degrade the hydrocarbons by 99.60% *Alcaligenes* sp.(111.07 mg/ml) which was able to degrade the hydrocarbon by 99.20% and *Acinetobacter* sp. (38.37 mg/ml) which was able to degrade the hydrocarbon by 99.70%.

Table 2. Culturable bacterial diversity presents in the various study sites

Control	Polluted site (500 m) away	Polluted site (1000 m) away
Acinetobacter sp.	*Pseudomonas* sp.	*Alcaligenes* sp.
Alcaligenes sp.	*Bacillus* sp.	*Citrobacter* sp.
Pseudomonas sp.	*Acinetobacter* sp.	*Bacillus* sp.
Serratia sp.		*Acinetobacter* sp.
Bacillus sp.		



Fig. 2. Profile of hydrocarbon degradation by *Alcaligenes* sp. on day zero (A, top) and after 30 days (B, below)

Table 3. Physicochemical parameters of the soil samples

S/N	Parameters	Control Soil	Polluted Soil 500m Away	Polluted Soil 1000m Away
1	pH	7.24	5.08	6.47
2	Conductivity (µs/cm)	126.00	750.00	1097.00
3	Sulphate (So_4) (mg/kg)	10.00	95.00	90.00
4	Moisture content (%)	0.20	2.20	0.04
5	Ammonia, (No_3) (mg/kg)	0.00	0.08	0.13
6	AmmoniaNitrogen (NH_3N) (mg/kg)	0.00	0.07	0.11
7	Nitrate (NO_3) (mg/kg)	56.30	13.90	42.70
8	Nitrate-Nitrogen (NO_3-N) (mg/kg)	13.20	3.10	9.70
9	Calcium (Ca) (mg/kg)	0.20	0.24	0.00
10	Magnesium (Mg) (mg/kg)	0.14	0.28	0.33
11	Sodium (Na) (mg/kg)	5.00	34.00	22.00
12	Potassium (K) (mg/kg)	1.30	8.00	5.08
13	Nickel (Ni) (mg/kg)	0.00	0.00	0.00
14	Mercury (Hg) (mg/kg)	0.00	0.00	0.00
15	Lead (Pb) (mg/kg)	0.31	0.21	0.29
16	Copper (Cu) (mg/kg)	0.05	0.00	0.00
17	Iron (Fe) (mg/kg)	4.13	3.93	4.33
18	Zinc (Zn) (mg/kg)	5.24	0.49	0.01
19	CEC (mg/kg)	0.56	1.70	1.17
20	Total Organic Carbon (%)	31.60	33.00	34.70
21	Phosphate (P_2O_5) (mg/kg)	31.40	4.60	9.70
22	Phosphorous (P) (mg/kg)	10.20	1.50	3.20
23	Phosphate (PO_4^{3-}) (mg/kg)	23.40	3.50	7.20
24	Ash content (%)	85.00	85.00	90.82
25	TPH, /kg	16.00	12984.00	184.80
26	Total Organic Matter	63.20	66.00	69.40





Fig. 3. Profile of hydrocarbon degradation by *Acinetobacter* sp. on day zero (A, top) and after 30 days (B, below)

Table 4. The extent of degradation of the hydrocarbons by selected bacterial degraders after 30 days

Hydrocarbons	*Bacillus* sp.	*Pseudomonas* sp.	*Alcaligenes* sp.	*Acinetobacter* sp.
C_8	✓	✓	✓	✓
C_{10}	-	-	-	-
C_{11}	✓	✓	✓	✓
C_{12}	-	-	✓	✓
C_{13}	✓	✓	-	-
C_{14}	-	-	-	-
C_{15}	✓	✓	✓	✓
C_{16}	-	✓	✓	✓
C_{18}	-	-	-	-
C_{21}	✓	✓	-	-
C_{23}	-	-	-	-
C_{26}	✓	✓	✓	✓
C_{28}	-	-	-	-
C_{29}	-	-	-	-
C_{31}	-	-	✓	✓
C_{32}	✓	✓	-	✓
C_{33}	✓	✓	-	-
C_{34}	-	-	✓	✓
C_{35}	-	-	-	-
C_{37}	-	-	✓	-
Hydrocarbon remaining	426.15mg/ml	58.68mg/ml	111.07mg/ml	38.37mg/ml
Hydrocarbon degraded	96.90%	99.60%	99.20%	99.70%

Key: Present (remaining) = ✓ ; Complete removal/degradation = -

This concord with the work of Quatrini et al. [32] which demonstrated that *Actinobacter* sp. play important role during petroleum hydrocarbon degradation.

C-8, C-11, C-15, and C-26, were recalcitrant to degradation while C-10, C-14, C-18, C-23, C-28, C-29, and C-35 were all degraded in the degradation study.



Fig. 4. Profile of hydrocarbon degradation by bacillus subtilis on day zero (A, top) and after 30 days (B, below)





Fig. 5. Profile of hydrocarbon degradation by *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* on day zero (A, top) and after 30 days (B, below)

Statistical analysis of the result shows that there is significant difference between various hydrocarbon and soil samples.

4. CONCLUSION

This study revealed that the location Sakpenwa Community, Tai L.G.A, Rivers State harbors a variety of microbial hydrocarbon degraders which are able of utilizing Bonny light crude oil as carbon source. This study revealed that indigenous bacterial species possess the requisite hydrocarbon degradation gene necessary for hydrocarbon degradation. We thus concluded that biodegradation is most often the primary mechanism for contaminant destruction including petroleum contaminants.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. McKew BA, Coulon F, Osborn AM, Timmis KN, McGenity TJ. Determining the identity and roles of oil-metabolizing marine bacteria from the Thames estuary. Environmental Microbiology. 2007;9:165-176.
2. Barathi S, Vasudevan N. Utilization of petroleum hydrocarbons by Pseudomonas fluorescens isolated from a petroleum-contaminated soil. Environment International. 2001;26(5):413–416.
3. Kenawy ER, Worley SD, Roy B. The toxicity and application of antimicrobial polymers: A state-of-the-art Review. *Biomacromolecules*. 2007;8(5):1359-1384.
4. Bordenave S, Fourcans A, Blanchard S, Goni MS, Caumette P, Duran R. Structure and functional analyses of bacterial community' changes in Microbial mats following petroleum exposure. Ophelia. 2004;58:195-203.
5. Edlund A, Jansson JK. Changes in active bacterial communities before and after dredging of highly- polluted Baltic Sea sediments. Journal of Applied Environmental Microbiology. 2006;72: 6800-6807.
6. Head IM, Jones DM, Röling WFM. Marine microorganisms make a meal of oil. Nature. Review Microbiology. 2006;4:173-18.
7. Aislabie J, Saul DJ, Foght JM. Bioremediation of hydrocarbon-contaminated polar soils. Extremophiles. 2004;10:171-179.
8. Atlas RM. Microbial degradation of petroleum hydrocarbons: An environmental perspective. Microbiology Review Journals. 1981;45(1):180–209.
9. Brooijmans RJW, Pastink MI, Siezen RJ. Hydrocarbon-degrading bacteria: The oil-spill clean-up crew. Journal of Microbial Biotechnology. 2009;2(6):587–594.
10. Yakimov MM, Timmis KN, Golyshin PN. Obligate oil degrading marine bacteria. current opinon in Biotechnology. 2007;

18,257-266. 12. Rahman NZA, Ghazali F, Salleh AB, Basri M. Biodegradation of hydrocarbon contamination by immobilized bacterial cells. Journal of Microbiology. 2003;44(3):354–359.
11. Okerentugba PO, Ezeronye OU. Petroleum degrading potentials of single and mixed microbial cultures isolated from rivers and refinery effluents in Nigeria. *African* Journal of Biotechnology. 2003; 2(9):288-292.
12. Rahman NZA, Ghazali F, Salleh AB, Basri M. Biodegradation of hydrocarbon contamination by immobilized bacterial cells. Journal of Microbiology. 2003;44(3): 354–359.
13. Leahy JG, Colwell RR. Microbial degradation of hydrocarbons in the environment. Microbiology Reviews. 1990; 54:305-315.
14. Throne-Holst MA, Wentzel TE, Ellingsen H-K, Zotchev SB. Identification of novel genes involved in long-chain n-alkane degradation by *Acinetobacter* sp. strain DSM 17874. Journal of Applied and Environmental Microbiology. 2007;73(10): 3327–3332.
15. Chaillan FA, Le Flèche E, Bury Y, Phantavong P, Grimont A, Oudot J. Identification and biodegradation potential otropical aerobic hydrocarbon-degrading microorganisms. Research in Microbiology. 2004;155(7):587–595.
16. Huang XD, El-Alawi Y, Gurska J, Glick BR,d Greenberg BM. A multi-process phytoremediation system for decontamination of persistent total petroleum hydrocarbons (TPHs) from soils. Microchemistry Journal. 2005;81:139-147.
17. Khan AG. Role of soil microbes in the rhizospheres of plants growing on trace metal contaminated soils in phytoremediation. Journal of Trace Element Medical Biology. 2005;18:355-364.
18. Iheanacho CC, Okerentugba PO, Orji FA, Ataikiru TL. Hydrocarbon degradation potentials of indigeneous fungal isolates from a petroleum hydrocarbon contaminated soil in Sakpenwa community, Niger Delta. Global Advanced Research Journal of Environmental Science and Toxicology. 2014;3(1):006-011.
19. Odokuma LO, Okpokwasili GC. Role of composition in the biodegradation of dispersants. Waste Manage. 1992;12:39–43.
20. Ekpo MA, Ekpo EI. Utilization of bonny light and bonny medium crude oil by microorganisms isolated from Qua Iboe River Estuarine. Nigeria Journal of Microbiology. 2006;20(1):832–839.
21. Okoh AI. Biodegradation of Bonny light crude oil in soil microcosm by some bacterial strains isolated from crude oil flow stations saver pits in Nigeria. African Journal of Biotechnology. 2003;2(5):104-108.
22. Chithra S, Hema SN. Biodegradation of crude oil by gravimetric analysis *International* Journal of Advanced Technology in Engineering and Science. 2014;2:2348–7550.
23. Association of official analytical chemists, AOAC. Official methods of analysis of the AOAC, 15th ed. Methods 932.06, 925.09, 985.29, 923.03. Association of official analytical chemists. Arlington, VA, USA; 1990.
24. Saari E, Peramaki P, Jalonen J. A comparative study of solvent extraction of total petroleum hydrocarbons in soil. *Microchim. Acta*. 2007;158:261-268. DOI: 10.1007/s00604-006-0718
25. Roling WFM, Milner MG, Jones DM, Fratepietro, F, Swannell RPJ, Daniel F, Head IM. Bacterial community dynamics and hydrocarbon degradation during a field scale evaluation of bioremediation in a mudflat beach contaminated with buried oil. Journal of Applied Environmental Microbiology. 2004;70: 2603-2613.
26. Abu GO, Ogiji PA. Initial test of a bioremediation scheme for cleanup of an oil polluted water body in a rural community in Nigeria. Bioresource Technology. 1996;58:7-12.
27. Zhu X, Venosa AD, Suidan MT, Lee K. Guidelines for the bioremediation of marine shorelines and fresh wetlands. Report under a contract with the office of research and development, US Environmental Protection Agency. 2001;201.
28. Amund OO, Adebiyi AG. Effect of viscosity on the biodegradability of automotive lubricating oils. International Biodegradation. 1991;24:235-237.
29. Okpokwasili GC, James WA. Microbial contamination of kerosene, gasoline, and crude oil and their spoilage potentials. Material and Organismen. 1995;29:147-156.24.
30. Okpokwasili GC, Okorie BB. Biodegradation potentials of microorganisms isolated from car engine

lubricating oil. Tribulogy International. 1988;21:215-220.

31. Chikere BO, Okpokwasili GC. Frequency occurrence of microorganisms of a petrochemical effluent outfall site. Journal of Tropical Bioscience. 2004;4:12–18.

32. Quatrini P, Scaglione G, De Pasquale C, Reila S, Puglia AM. Isolation of Gram–positive n-alkane degraders from a hydrocarbon contaminated Mediterranean shoreline. Journal of Applied Microbiology. 2008;104:251-259.

Peer-review history:
The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
http://www.sdiarticle3.com/review-history/49905