## Supplementary Information

Table A: Unit costs expressed in 2014/2015 UK pounds sterling prices used in the cost-analysis

Resource use variable	Unit cost (f)	Sources		
Antenatal care		0001003		
Community professional				
General practitioner	f67 PSSPII			
Community midwife	£56	NHS Reference Cost		
Secondary care	200			
Hospital doctor (obstetrics clinic)	£124	NHS Reference Cost		
Hospital midwife	£75	NHS Reference Cost		
Dietitian	£83	NHS Reference Cost		
Maternity day assessment unit	£395	NHS Reference Cost		
Intrapartum and postnatal care before discharge				
Normal Delivery	£1,728	NHS Reference Cost		
Assisted Delivery	£2,091	NHS Reference Cost		
Planned Caesarean Section	£3,008	NHS Reference Cost		
Emergency Caesarean Section	£3,838	NHS Reference Cost		
3rd degree perineal trauma repair	£649	Birthplace cost-effectiveness		
		analysis		
Postpartum haemorrhage (>500 mL)	£1,201	NHS Reference Cost		
Maternal stay (per night)	£103	NHS Reference Cost		
Neonatal stay (per night)	£414	NHS Reference Cost		
Neonatal special care baby unit stay (per night)	£486	NHS Reference Cost		

## Table B. Descriptive statistics for the blood glucose observations

	Number of observations, number of patients, overall mean, range			
	intervention	control		
fasting	3850, 98, 5.26 (2.33-16.78)	2615, 85, 5.18 (1.70-12.00)		
postprandial	9753, 98, 6.95 (2.36-23.61)	7090, 85, 6.90 (1.30-22.30)		
preprandial	6479, 98, 5.09 (1.83-20.78)	4685, 85, 5.11 (1.30-11.70)		
Highest weekly mean	6.86	6.18		
Weeks after randomisation of	20	1		
occurrence of highest weekly mean				

On target (fasting readings as defined  $\geq$ 3.5 and  $\leq$ 5.8 mmol/L and postprandial readings  $\geq$ 3.5 and  $\leq$ 7.7 mmol/L)

## Table C. Secondary outcomes for blood glucose

Intervention			Control			Odds Ratio (95% CI)	p-value		
	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν			
	women	observations	observations	women	observations	observations			
			on target			on target			
	% of blood	l glucose fasting o	observations on ta	rget within 4	4 weeks of randon	nisation			
	97	1934	1474	82	1486	1171	0.78 (0.45, 1.35)	p=0.37	
	% of blood	l glucose postprar	ndial observations	on target w	ithin 4 weeks of ra	andomisation			
	97	5006	3599	82	3975	2999	0.91 (0.67, 1.24)	p=0.55	
	% of blood glucose fasting observations on target between 4 and 8 weeks of randomisation								
	89	1313	1089	70	884	734	0.75 (0.38, 1.48)	p=0.40	
% of blood glucose postprandial observations on target between 4 and 8 weeks of randomisation									
	87	3279	2473	70	2390	1908	0 69 (0 49 0 99)	p=0.045	

Results are reported from the generalised mixed linear logistic model adjusted for BMI at recruitment which is included as the only statistically significant fixed effectOn target (fasting readings as defined  $\geq$ 3.5 and  $\leq$ 5.8 mmol/L and postprandial readings  $\geq$ 3.5 and  $\leq$ 7.7 mmol/L)

Table D: mixed model analysis of blood glucose level

	Model 1			Model 2		
Number of observations		34269		34269		
parameter		Estimate (se) p-value		Estimate (se) p-value		
Fixed effects						
constant		6.06 (0.32)		6.51 (0.37)		
gestation (days)		-0.0056 (0.0011) p<0.0001		-0.0054 (0.0008) p<0.0001		
group control		-0.10 (0.42)	p=0.81	0.01 (0.07)	p=0.89	
treatme	nt	0		0		
gestation x treatm	nent	0.0005 (0.0017)	p=0.79	-		
meal breakfas	t	0.075 (0.015	p<0.0001	0.075 (0.015	p<0.0001	
lunch		-0.238 (0.016)	p<0.0001	-0.238 (0.016)	p<0.0001	
dinner		0		0		
post meal		1.791 (0.012)	p<0.0001	1.791 (0.012)	p<0.0001	
pre meal		0		0		
smoking yes		0.50 (0.20)	p=0.02	0.50 (0.20)	p=0.02	
no		0		0		
BMI at booking (k	g/m²)	0.015 (0.005)	p=0.005	0.015 (0.005)	p=0.005	
Random effects						
Variance between intercepts		4.333				
Variance between gradients		0.00007				
Residual variance	9	1.328				
-2 Residual Log L	ikelihood	107810				

The methods of linear mixed models were used to analyse these data. The dependent variable, blood glucose measurements, were taken by each patient six times per day between recruitment and delivery. The change in blood glucose over gestation was modelled using a linear regression equation. A random coefficient model was fitted which allowed for differences between patients in the rate of change of blood glucose. To model the correlation over gestation within patients the unstructured covariance matrix was used. A two-level factor indicating the treatment group, a factor with three levels indicating the time of day of the blood glucose measurement, breakfast, lunch or dinner, together with a two level factor, indicating whether the measurement was pre or post meal, were included in the model as fixed effects. To test whether there was a difference in the mean rate of change of blood glucose over gestation between the treatment groups, the interaction between treatment group and gestation was included as a fixed effect (model 1). The interaction was not significant and was dropped from a second analysis (model 2). Baseline characteristics were included as fixed effects, and these may explain some of the variation between patients in the rate of change of blood glucose over gestation.